Call for Special Issue Proposals

Public Opinion Quarterly solicits proposals for a topical special issue to be published in 2012. Past special issue topics include Web Survey Methods, Understanding the 2008 Presidential Election, and Cell Phone Numbers and Telephone Surveys in the U.S. The 2010 Special Issue will be on Total Survey Error.

All special issues involve an open call for papers. A guest editor(s) oversees the review and publication process. Send proposals for a topic and to serve as the special issue editor by January 15, 2011, to Jamie Druckman at druckman@northwestern.edu or Nancy Mathiowetz at nancym2@uwm.edu
Could anyone point me to a probability-based survey in which the order of modules was randomly assigned to respondents?

=20

Thanks,

Andy

=20

_____________________________
Andy Peytchev, Ph.D.
Survey Methodologist
Research Triangle Institute
3040 Cornwallis Rd.
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
tel. (919) 485-5604
Wall Street’s view of the economy:
Current Dollar GDP, Trillions:
2007 4th Qtr $14.3
2008 3rd Qtr $14.5
2009 2nd Qtr $14.0
2010 2nd Qtr $14.6

Main Street’s view of the economy as measured by polls:
UNEMPLOYMENT:
2007 4th Qtr 4.7%-5.0%
2008 3rd Qtr 5.8%-6.1%
2009 2nd Qtr 9.4%-9.7%
2010 3rd Qtr 9.5%-9.6%

Employment has always been a lagging indicator.

The economy question I have been asking is "jobs and the economy" although "jobs" is probably unnecessary.

On another subject, some media reports that the recession was over missed the employment caveat.

MARKETWATCH ECONOMIC REPORT
Sept. 20, 2010, 10:34 a.m. EDT
U.S. RECESSION ENDED JUNE 2009, NBER FINDS

WASHINGTON (MarketWatch) The following is the statement from the National Bureau of Economic Research on the ending of the recession:

=E2=80=9CThe Business Cycle Dating Committee of the National Bureau of Economic Research met yesterday by conference call. At its meeting, the Committee determined that a trough in business activity occurred in the U.S. economy =20
my in June 2009. The trough marks the END of the recession that began in December 2007 and the BEGINNING of an expansion. The recession lasted 18 months, which makes it the longest of any recession since World War II. Previously the longest postwar recessions were those of 1973-75 and 1981-82, both of which lasted 16 months.

In determining that a trough occurred in June 2009, the committee did NOT conclude that economic conditions since that month have been favorable or that the economy has returned to operating at normal capacity. Rather, the committee determined only that the recession ended and a RECOVERY BEGAN in that month. A recession is a period of falling economic activity spread across the economy, lasting more than a few months, normally visible in real GDP, real income, employment, industrial production, and wholesale-retail sales.

----- Original Message -----=20
From: "J. Ann Selzer" <jannselzer@AOL.COM>=20
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU=20
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2010 8:39:00 AM=20
Subject: Poll shows voters unaware of economic gains=20

Most voters think the economy has shrunk in the past year, that taxes have gone up and that most of TARP money will be lost forever. Those views may be driving their decisions in the mid-terms.

http://tinyurl.com/259eo96=20

J. Ann Selzer, Ph.D=
Selzer & Company=
Des Moines, Iowa 50309=

For purposes of this list, use JAnnSelzer@aol.com=20
For other purposes, use JASelzer@SelzerCo.com=20
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On Nov 1, 2010, at 12:12 PM, nickp@marketsharescorp.com wrote:

> Employment has always been a lagging indicator.

That's not exactly true. Unemployment lags a bit, because people enter and re-enter the workforce when the economy recovers, pushing up the unemployment rate. But until the most recent cycles, employment bottomed in almost exactly the same month as the broad economy and recovered very strongly. The "jobless recoveries" of the early 1990s and early 2000s looked anomalous at the time - now they're starting to look like the new normal.
download my book Wall Street (for free!) at <http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>
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Date:         Mon, 1 Nov 2010 09:46:02 -0700
Reply-To:     John Nienstedt <john@CERC.NET>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         John Nienstedt <john@CERC.NET>
Subject:      Re: Poll shows voters unaware of economic gains
X-To:         Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
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"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To:  <3a4becac-08e5-4778-a3e1-4055ee334323@blur>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
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Doug: Wonder if the more recent "lagging" is due to longer extensions of unemployment benefits?

John Nienstedt, Sr
Competitive Edge Research
john@cerc.net

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Doug Henwood
Sent: Monday, November 01, 2010 9:28 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Poll shows voters unaware of economic gains

On Nov 1, 2010, at 12:12 PM, nickp@marketsharescorp.com wrote:

> Employment has always been a lagging indicator.

That's not exactly true. Unemployment lags a bit, because people enter and re-
enter the workforce when the economy recovers, pushing up the unemployment rate. But until the most recent cycles, employment bottomed in almost exactly the same month as the broad economy and recovered very strongly. The "jobless recoveries" of the early 1990s and early 2000s looked anomalous at the time - now they're starting to look like the new normal.

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>
"blog": <http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

podcast: <http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>
iTunes:
or <http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>

-------------------------------------------------------
download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>
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Date:         Mon, 1 Nov 2010 13:17:21 -0400
Reply-To:     Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>
Subject: Re: Poll shows voters unaware of economic gains
X-To: aapornet aapornet <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
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On Nov 1, 2010, at 12:46 PM, John Nienstedt wrote:

> Wonder if the more recent lagging is partly due to the longer extension of unemployment benefits?

No. The pattern of flows into and out of unemployment bears no relationship to the benefit extensions. Not many people really want to live on $307 a week (the average benefit check) for very long.

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>
"blog": <http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813
producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

podcast: <http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>
iTunes:
or <http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>
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Again:=20

Wall Street=E2=80=99s view of the economy.=20
Current Dollar GDP, Trillions:=20
2007 4th Qtr $14.3=20
2008 3rd Qtr $14.5=20
2009 2nd Qtr $14.0=20
2010 2nd Qtr $14.6=20

Main Street=E2=80=99s view of the economy as measured by polls .=20
UNEMPLOYMENT:=20
2007 4th Qtr 4.7%-5.0%=20
2008 3rd Qtr 5.8%-6.1%=20
2009 2nd Qtr 9.4-9.7%=20
2010 3rd Qtr 9.5%-9.6%=20

Polls show most people think we are in recession.=20
http://www.pollingreport.com/consumer3.htm=20

Nick=20
----- Original Message -----=20
From: "John Nienstedt" <john@CERC.NET>=20
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU=20
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2010 11:55:27 AM=20
Subject: Re: Poll shows voters unaware of economic gains=20

Doug: Wonder if the more recent "lagging" is due to longer extensions of unemployement benefits?=20

John Nienstedt, Sr=20
Competitive Edge Research=20
john@cerc.net=20
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Doug Henwood
Sent: Monday, November 01, 2010 9:28 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Poll shows voters unaware of economic gains

On Nov 1, 2010, at 12:12 PM, nickp@marketsharescorp.com wrote:

> Employment has always been a lagging indicator.

That's not exactly true. Unemployment lags a bit, because people enter and re-enter the workforce when the economy recovers, pushing up the unemployment rate. But until the most recent cycles, employment bottomed in almost exactly the same month as the broad economy and recovered very strongly. The "jobless recoveries" of the early 1990s and early 2000s looked anomalous at the time - now they're starting to look like the new normal.

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>
"blog": <http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

podcast: <http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>
or <http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>
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I would think that the answer might be different for attitudinal and factual surveys. For the former, I'd go with the first returned (since the conditions more closely mirror those of the other respondents...for the second returned survey they have more time to rethink the answers, which changes the conditions of administration). For factual questions, a harder call.

Mike O'Neil
www.mikeoneil.org

On Fri, Oct 29, 2010 at 1:59 PM, Jane Traub <JTraub@scarborough.com> wrote:

> Hi Timothy,
> In this situation it is important to check first if the two surveys came
> from the same household member/sample unit.
> >
> > If not, some question answers may be legitimately different when comparing
> > them. I would recommend deciding upfront which survey you will accept and
> > sticking with that throughout the fieldwork, to avoid introducing possible
> > bias from the "compare and contrast" process. I believe a rational
> > argument can be made for selecting either the first or the most recent. I
think the important thing is to pick the rule and stick to it. The only exception might be if one of the two returns was invalid/unusable. Then you might want to accept the other. In similar projects I have found this method to be the most practical solution until there are papers published conclusively supporting a different solution.

Regards

Jane Traub
Jtraub@scarborough.com

On Oct 29, 2010, at 2:12 PM, "Caplan, James R., CPMS Civ" <james.caplan@CPMS.OSD.MIL> wrote:

Tim, my approach is to accept the first questionnaire sent, but I see no reason why the second couldn't be used to fill in missing data.

Personal experience is that people often forget that they've completed a survey, especially when there are so many, and just do them twice. We try to limit that sort of thing on Web surveys by requiring a "ticket number" but it still happens, especially in mixed mode projects.

Best,

Jim

James R. Caplan, Ph.D.
Management Analyst
Department of Defense
Civilian Personnel Management Service
HR-Business Information Technology Solutions: CDA
703-696-8754
DSN: 426-8754

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy James Beebe
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2010 12:56 PM
To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
Subject: Duplicate Surveys

Hello,

I am a member of a team working on an organ donation study that has been going back and forth on how to handle instances where, in a survey data collection protocol with multiple contact attempts, respondents send back more than just one completed questionnaire.

The standard practice for our survey research center is that we accept either the first or more recent submission wholesale (a decision we make...
prior to data collection); we don't drill down to item-level reporting
dissonance or concordance between the completed questionnaires. That
they have a tendency to accept the questionnaire that is most recently
submitted based on the assumption that it reflects the most current
(and, presumably, more accurate) information. Sometimes, completing the first
questionnaire serves a prime for recollection of information they report
on
their second try, either via a deeper searching of memory or through the
checking of records. This is not foolproof logic, but something we
apply
in these rare instances of duplicative responses, nonetheless.

There are others on the team that see this logic as flawed and our
stance
as a missed opportunity to use information from the first survey for
purposes of data analysis, especially if there are data in the first or
second survey that can help fill in missing information at the item
level.
Moreover, some want us to call respondents back if answers in the two
surveys are not concordant to encourage selection of a single response.

I'm posing the above to you with the hope that I can reflect some
semblance
of a community standard on how to handle these instances of duplicate
surveys. So, if you could help me settle a minor controversy in our
group,
that would be most helpful. Thanks in advance.

Regards,

Tim

Timothy J. Beebe, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of Health Services Research
Mayo Clinic College of Medicine
Vice Chair, Division of Health Care Policy & Research
Director, Survey Research Center
Department of Health Sciences Research

Mayo Clinic
200 First Street SW
Rochester, MN 55905
Tel: (507) 538-4606
Fax: (507) 284-1180
E-mail: beebe.timothy@mayo.edu

------------------------------------
Dear Friends

A very smart graduate student of mine is interested in professional respondents and web surveys, and especially in potential difference in data quality.

A search of the published literature did not reveal many publications. Therefore we appeal to your collective knowledge and hope you can help us.

Do you know or have written any (grey, white) papers on this topic?
Have you published articles in journals we cannot access?

Of course we will feed back our report to the list.

Thanking you in advance, and warmest regards

Edith de Leeuw & Suzette Mathijsse

Professor Dr. Edith D. de Leeuw  
Plantage Doklaan 40, NL-1018 CN Amsterdam  
tel + 31 20 622 34 38  fax + 31 20 330 25 97  
e-mail edithl@xs4all.nl

Klaag niet dat de rozen doornen hebben,  
Maar verheug u dat doornen rozen dragen

Do not moan about roses having thorns  
Rejoice at thorns bearing roses

http://www.aapor.org  
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2010 09:56:27 -0400  
Reply-To: Casey Tesfaye <clanger@AIP.ORG>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Casey Tesfaye <clanger@AIP.ORG>  
Subject: Re: Duplicate Surveys  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <AANLkTinPfma8bQxbWOS=KL80A-9otyQG0XjftDF-P_qZ@mail.gmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
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Re: duplicate surveys

There are arguments for keeping the first entry, the last entry, or the =  
most complete entry, and each has some degree of validity. But I've =  
consistently found that a quick look at the duplicates themselves yields =  
the best picture of what they represent. In many cases, it is quite clear =  
which response to keep. It would be a shame to lose good data because you =  
never bothered to take a quick look at it, especially in datasets with =  
fewer records. However, for the cases that are not so clear, or for huge =  
datasets, making a rule and sticking to it is good practice.

Best,  
Casey
I've often found that in web surveys respondents will start taking it, not finish it and close the window, and then start again later. So the second works out better than the first.

Another cool thing: it's a check on reliability.

-Leora

Dr. Leora Lawton
TechSociety Research
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572
www.techsociety.com
Yahoo Messenger: leora_lawton

-----Original Message-----
From: Casey Tesfaye [mailto:clanger@AIP.ORG]
Sent: Tuesday, November 02, 2010 6:56 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: [AAPORNET] Duplicate Surveys

Re: duplicate surveys

There are arguments for keeping the first entry, the last entry, or the most
complete entry, and each has some degree of validity. But I've consistently found that a quick look at the duplicates themselves yields the best picture of what they represent. In many cases, it is quite clear which response to keep. It would be a shame to lose good data because you never bothered to take a quick look at it, especially in datasets with fewer records. However, for the cases that are not so clear, or for huge datasets, making a rule and sticking to it is good practice.

Best,

Casey

Casey Langer Tesfaye
Senior Research Analyst
Statistical Research Center
American Institute of Physics
http://www.aip.org/statistics
(301)209-3078

Please consider the environment before printing this email
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Date:         Tue, 2 Nov 2010 08:19:33 -0700
Reply-To:     "Margaret R. Roller" <rmr@ROLLERRESEARCH.COM>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         "Margaret R. Roller" <rmr@ROLLERRESEARCH.COM>
Subject:      Re: professional respondents
X-To:         AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Message-ID:   <9517824094171242.WA.rmrrollerresearch.com@lists.asu.edu>

I have had this paper sitting on my desk for a while. I found it quite interesting -- "The Devil is in the Data" -- http://bit.ly/9KBiZe

--
Margaret R Roller
rmr@rollerresearch.com
The Nielsen Company is the largest global measurement company in the world with unique measurement technologies, assets, and data that make it one of the most interesting and challenging places for a measurement scientist to work. We have two major businesses, one focused on what consumers watch and the other on what they buy in over 95 countries.

Measurement science is core to what Nielsen does, and our research projects have high visibility in directly impacting the results of our business and our clients. Research Methods Center of Excellence focuses on the research and development work of innovative solutions for panel recruitment/retention and data collection methodologies. Senior measurement scientists sit on the executive teams of all major groups at Nielsen and are leaders of innovation at the company. Nielsen is one of the best places in the world for a career in measurement.

Our Research Methods Center of Excellence is expanding its responsibilities globally and into new technology areas and we are seeking additional senior research talent to join the team.

We have opportunities for Research Methodologist and Associate Director for online and consumer research with focus on retail measurement. For the consumer research Methodologist and AD, we are seeking several years of relevant practical experience with survey research methods, quantitative, qualitative, question and survey research design experience including practical consumer research with focus on retail measurement and or multicultural experience ideally with emerging markets such as Asia Pacific India Middle East Africa (APIMEA).
We have Lead Research Analyst positions, lead responsibility for designing and conducting highly complex research projects. Contribute to the initiation of research ideas. Design and plan research projects. Execute data collection and data analysis activities for research projects.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the above.

Michael W. Link, Ph.D.
VP Research Methods-COE/
Chief Methodologist
The Nielsen Company
Michael.Link@Nielsen.com
The Nielsen Company is the largest global measurement company in the world with unique measurement technologies, assets, and data that make it one of the most interesting and challenging places for a measurement scientist to work. We have two major businesses, one focused on what consumers watch and the other on what they buy in over 95 countries.

Measurement science is core to what Nielsen does, and our research projects have high visibility in directly impacting the results of our business and our clients. Research Methods Center of Excellence focuses on the research and development work of innovative solutions for panel recruitment/retention and data collection methodologies. Senior measurement scientists sit on the executive teams of all major groups at Nielsen and are leaders of innovation at the company. Nielsen is one of the best places in the world for a career in measurement.

Our Research Methods Center of Excellence is expanding its responsibilities globally and into new technology areas and we are seeking additional senior research talent to join the team.

We have opportunities for Research Methodologist and Associate Director for online and consumer research with focus on retail measurement. For the consumer research Methodologist and AD, we are seeking several years of relevant practical experience with survey research methods, qualitative, quantitative, question and survey research design experience including practical consumer research with focus on retail measurement and or multicultural experience ideally with emerging markets such as Asia Pacific, India, Middle East Africa (APIMEA).

We also have Lead Research Analyst positions, lead responsibility for designing and conducting highly complex research projects. Contribute to the initiation of research ideas. Design and plan research projects. Execute data collection and data analysis activities for research projects.
Associate Director (Consumer Research):

Research Methodologist or Associate Director (Online Research):

Lead Research Analyst:

Please let me know if you have any questions about the above.

Michael

Michael W. Link, Ph.D.
VP Research Methods-COE/
    Chief Methodologist
The Nielsen Company
Michael.Link@Nielsen.com

----------------------------------------------------
http://www.aapor.org
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
    signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
==========================================================================
Date:         Tue, 2 Nov 2010 21:25:28 +0000
Reply-To:     "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Subject:      Job Opening for Senior Sampling Statistician at ICF
International
X-To:         "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID:   <B6AF2BFC06268447AFA1AA7B2071CBA30DF2809A@ICFEXMB03.icf-hq.icfconsulting.com>

==========================================================================
Job Description
Senior Sampling Statistician
Description

Applied Organizational Research Division
Survey and Evaluation Research Center
Fairfax, VA

About ICF International
ICF International (NASDAQ:ICFI) partners with government and commercial clients to deliver professional services and technology solutions in the energy and climate change; environment and infrastructure; health, human services, and social programs; and homeland security and defense markets. The
firm combines passion for its work with industry expertise and innovative analytics to produce compelling results throughout the entire program life cycle, from research and analysis through implementation and improvement. Since 1969, ICF has been serving government at all levels, major corporations, and multilateral institutions. More than 3,500 employees serve these clients worldwide. ICF’s Web site is www.icfi.com.

ICF’s Survey and Evaluation Research Center (SERC) provides quantitative research services to federal and private-sector clients, covering topics such as organizational climate, program performance, customer and employee satisfaction, behavioral health, workforce issues, human service program delivery, and quality of life.

Job Description:
ICF seeks a sampling statistician to work within the Survey and Evaluation Research Center (SERC) at ICF International. The sampling statistician will direct and supervise all aspects of sampling, variance estimation and weighting for large, complex survey research projects. The statistician will provide expert guidance on complex survey research projects using current, state-of-the-art methodologies. Will work at ICF headquarters in Fairfax, VA.

Qualifications

Responsibilities:
. Oversee sample design, sample stratification, weighting and variance estimation for large survey projects
. Define population and specify the appropriate sample frame and sample size for complex surveys
. Work with other research staff members on the design and implementation of the sampling and weighting plan for complex survey projects, but also on questionnaire development and analysis of survey data
. Prepare sampling, stratification and weighting methodology content for proposals and project reports
. Provide budget estimates for the execution of all aspects of the chosen sampling strategy
. Develop written products and discuss issues of sampling, variance estimation and weighting with other team members

Basic Qualifications:
. Ph.D. in a social science discipline or statistics
. 2+ years of relevant experience in sample design
. Experience with quantitative research, survey methodology, statistical analysis, and statistical packages (e.g., SPSS) required

Preferred Skills/Experience:
. Experience with SAS, SUDAAN

Professional Skills:
. Detail-oriented and capable of critically analyzing data outputs, and translating research findings into narrative descriptions & recommendations for action
. Ability to work in a team environment under strict deadlines in a fast-paced environment
ICF offers an excellent benefits package, an award winning talent development program, and fosters a highly skilled, energized and empowered workforce

Contact:
BRADFORD BOOTH, PH.D. | Principal | 703.934.3164 | bbooth@icfi.com
Description

Applied Organizational Research Division
Survey and Evaluation Research Center
Fairfax, VA

About ICF International
ICF International (NASDAQ:ICFI) partners with government and commercial clients to deliver professional services and technology solutions in the energy and climate change; environment and infrastructure; health, human services, and social programs; and homeland security and defense markets. The firm combines passion for its work with industry expertise and innovative analytics to produce compelling results throughout the entire program life cycle, from research and analysis through implementation and improvement. Since 1969, ICF has been serving government at all levels, major corporations, and multilateral institutions. More than 3,500 employees serve these clients worldwide. ICF’s Web site is www.icfi.com.

ICF's Survey and Evaluation Research Center (SERC) provides quantitative and qualitative research and evaluation services to federal and private-sector clients, covering topics such as organizational climate, program performance, customer and employee satisfaction, behavioral health, workforce issues, human service program delivery, and quality of life.
Job Description:
ICF seeks a Senior Research Manager to work within the Survey Research Center (SRC) at ICF International. The Senior Research Manager will play a managerial, technical and analytical role in business development, to include research design, collection and analysis of data and reporting and presenting results for large-scale survey and evaluation research projects at ICF headquarters in Fairfax, VA.

Qualifications

Responsibilities:
1. Design and manage projects and staff
2. Develop and support the implementation of program evaluation and survey methodologies
3. Develop survey instruments
4. Conduct data analyses (e.g. cross-tabulations, regressions, etc)
5. Write final reports communicating research findings for both technical and lay audiences

Basic Qualifications:
1. Ph.D. in a social science discipline or statistics
2. At least 10 years of experience with data analysis, research methods, and data handling
3. Experience with quantitative research, survey methodology, statistical analysis, and statistical packages (e.g., SPSS, SAS)

Preferred Skills/Experience:
1. Content expertise related to one or more of the following: behavioral health, employment/labor markets, veteran populations, work and family issues, criminal justice, substance abuse

Professional Skills:
1. Detail-oriented and capable of critically analyzing data outputs, and translating research findings into narrative descriptions & recommendations for action
2. Ability to work in a team environment under strict deadlines in a fast-paced environment

ICF offers an excellent benefits package, an award winning talent development program, and fosters a highly skilled, energized and empowered workforce.

ICF International is an Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action Employer - M/F/D/V

For a listing of other career opportunities at ICF, please visit our Career Center at www.icfi.com/careers/

Ronald Szoc, PhD | 703.934.3456 | (Cell) 202.345.1085 | RSzoc@icfi.com

ICF International | 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, VA 22031 | 703.934-3278 (Fax)
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This question comes up fairly often on AAPORNET, but I'm not able to find what I need in the archived postings: I'm trying to help a friend identify an easy-to-learn, easy-to-use program for producing crosstab tables. Suggestions?

Sid Groeneman
Groeneman Research & Consulting
www.groeneman.com
sid@groeneman.com
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Subject: Job posting--Assistant Analyst, Washington DC
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
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Sending this out for a colleague; please respond to email at the bottom of the message.

--

A national public opinion research firm in Washington DC seeks Assistant Analyst
to support senior staff with strategic positioning studies for corporate, trade
association, political (Dem.) and public interest clients.

We are looking for outstanding college graduates with strong math and writing
skills and a demonstrated interest in progressive politics and public affairs.
The major job responsibilities include project management, drafting surveys and
discussion guides, data analysis, report writing, and scheduling. Must be efficient, organized, and able to prioritize and handle multiple tasks.
Additional musts: strong communication skills, excellent writing/analytic ability, and initiative. Highly recommended: working knowledge of Excel, PowerPoint, and SPSS.

Email a resume and cover letter to emailresumes2010@yahoo.com
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or
http://tinyurl.com/2agy2ac

"I've been wanting to say this for some time: we've got to do something about these misleading polls," Mr. Reid said as he met with reporters here. "They are all over the country, they are so unfair, and you just gobble them up - no matter where they come from. You just run with them as if they are the finest piece of pastry in the world. They are false and misleading, and people pay for those polls, so you use them."

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

- Sent using Google Toolbar
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Thought this might be of some interest, because many of us spend some time doing reviews, whether of manuscripts, grants, or conference submissions—such as those AAPOR submissions in a few weeks.

I find it interesting how different reviewers can have wildly divergent opinions on the same thing, which is certainly a strength of having multiple reviewers. In researching a paper, I ran across the book “Woodruff: A Study of Community Decision Making†by Albert Schaffer and Ruth Conner Schaffer which was published in 1970 and reviewed by at least four social science journals. I was struck at the contrast between two of those reviews.

BEGINNING
Reviewer #1:
“THe outstanding features of this book are pretension and irrelevance to the subject. The first is enough to put one's teeth on edge and because it occurs so frequently the reader is likely to stop reading very early on—except for unfortunate reviewers. The second makes one wonder whether the title page and the dust jacket have been put on the right book.”

Reviewer #2:
“The recent literature on community decision-making suggests that an advancement beyond the case study approach has finally been achieved. Given this trend, the addition of one more case study to an already long list is not itself likely to generate as much interest as it otherwise would. However, the Schaffers’ study of Woodruff does break new ground, and for this reason deserves attention.”

METHODOLOGY
Reviewer #1:
“Even so, I might have emerged from the book somewhat more positively if the authors had bothered to be adequately explicit about their methods and analysis. Instead, here again, pretentiousness and irrelevance abound. An eighteen page methodological appendix reproduces an interview schedule that is not readily apparent in the findings reported, and a lot of chatty detail about the seven years the authors spent in Woodruff and some of their activities. What they omit is information on such critical matters as who the leaders were (sixty-eight were chosen because they held positions of importance, were mentioned by at least fifteen percent of their number, and were known by the researchers as decision makers), and a systematic analysis of results (rarely is a percentage given).”

Reviewer #2:
The authors are to be commended for the use of their longitudinal and comparative framework and their careful attention to detail. They succeed in showing that decision-making existed within a historical matrix of social structure and past campaigns. A useful addition to their analysis might have been the giving of more systematic attention to external influences on the local systems. Besides strengthening their own work, it would have increased the value of their work for between-study comparisons. Although cognizant of the limitations of case studies, I was disappointed that most of their book was pointed only toward Woodruff and the explanation of specific events. For the most part, it is left to the reader to dig through the richly detailed prose to find suggestions of hypothesis for his own work. Although the study itself was theoretically grounded, little was done to move from the specific case of Woodruff back to community theory.

CONCLUSION

Reviewer #1:
After finishing the book I glanced at the dust jacket which claims:
Hailed by advance readers as comparable to such classics as [...]
The parties responsible should be reported to the Federal Trade Commission.

Reviewer #2:
In spite of these limitations, the book has much to offer. Empirical studies of factors influencing intersystem cooperation and conflict on a community scale are badly needed. Their results suggest hypotheses for needed research. It is a book that should be read by sociologists concerned with how community decisions are made.

MY COMMENTS

Of course everyone is entitled to their own opinion, and for a review it is good that both authors actually made a judgment, rather than simply summarizing what is in the book. However, the tone of the first reviewer sometimes got in the way. I'd rather someone show me why something is pretentious and let me make that determination myself, rather than tell me that it is.

In some ways, the discussion of methodology by the second reviewer was more critical, but it is done in an analytical and constructive way. And for qualitative research, is it a problem that percentages are rarely given? (I am sometimes disturbed when people present percentages from qualitative research, if the study was designed for topic exploration and not representativeness.)

I am not sure if the harsh hyperbole of the first reviewer would be acceptable today. And I think I would like to write more like the second when I do reviews.

Oh, and by the way, the second reviewer was Don Dillman.

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

http://www.aapor.org
Request - I would like to locate reliable sources of exit poll information, such as they may be available, regarding this past Tuesday's elections.

I have been looking at the NPR site for national results and would also be interested in resources for New York state results that might be known.

My thanks and my regards,

John Healy
Manager, Polling Center
NYSUT
800 Troy-Schenectady Road
Latham, NY 12110-2455
Office: (518) 213-6000
Fax: (518) 213-6409
x.6680
jhealy@nysutmail.org
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Reply-To: "Kagay, Mike" <kagay@NYTIMES.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Kagay, Mike" <kagay@NYTIMES.COM>
Subject: New York Times "Portrait of the Electorate" from NEP/Edison
National Exit Poll = Available On-line
X-To: "AAPORNET@asu.edu" <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Message-ID: <FD9772116D1AE349AEDB5D4B4CBB043A8F6EB506BB@NYHQ-MPW-
The New York Times's "Portrait of the Electorate," based on the National Election Pool exit poll conducted by Edison Research, which appeared in Sunday's Week-in-Review section as a full page color chart, is also available on-line as a completely numerical Super Table at:


Cheers, - Mike Kagay
Princeton, NJ
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Reply-To:     howard schuman <hschuman@UMICH.EDU>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         howard schuman <hschuman@UMICH.EDU>
Subject:      New York Times "Portrait of the Electorate" from NEP/Edison National Exit Poll
X-To:         aapor <aapornet@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID:  <4CD832D0.8050309@umich.edu>

The NYTimes article cautions readers to take account of variations by year in how questions were asked, yet the past and present question wordings are not provided. (Nor is much information available on how the exit polling was done, response rate information, or other details that AAPOR recommends for survey reports, though it looks as though some of this can be obtained from the Edison website.) hs

On 11/7/2010 5:02 PM, Kagay, Mike wrote:
> The New York Times's "Portrait of the Electorate," published yesterday, based on
> the National Election Pool exit poll conducted by
> Edison Research, which appeared in Sunday's
> Week-in-Review section as a full page color chart, is also
> available on-line as a completely numerical Super Table at:
>
Cheers, - Mike Kagay
Princeton, NJ
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PAPOR (Pacific chapter of AAPOR) has an exciting line-up for our annual conference, which is just over 4 weeks away.

Highlights include:
Plenary session on the future of internet research, featuring Gary Langer of Langer Research/ABC News and Doug Rivers of Stanford University/YouGov, and moderated by professor and author Paul Lavrakas

Two short courses (each approved for PRC credit through the MRA):
- Designing Surveys for Mobile Devices, taught by Mario Callegaro, Google
- Planning Successful Focus Groups, taught by David Morgan, Portland State University

5 diverse and timely panels:
- Changing Methods and Standards in Survey Research
- The 2010 California Election
- National Issues: the Changing Economic and Political Landscape
- Western States 2010 Elections Roundtable
Visit the PAPOR website to see the entire preliminary program:

Register by November 15 to receive the discounted early registration rate:
http://www.papor.org/confreg.shtml

Note that the conference hotel, the Sir Francis Drake, has sold out of rooms for the conference dates, but a quick search of hotels.com reveals that there are many reasonably-priced hotel rooms available in the San Francisco Union Square area.

Hope to see you there!

Liz Hamel
PAPOR 2010 Conference Chair
confchair@papor.org
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Date:         Tue, 9 Nov 2010 12:53:07 +0000
Reply-To:     "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Subject:      Technical Associate / Survey Programmer Position Available at ICF

ICF International has an opening for a Technical Associate/Survey Programmer. If you are interested, please apply at:

https://icfi.taleo.net/careersection/icf_prof_ext/jobsearch.ftl?lang=en

for Job Number: 1000001315

Position Description is below:

Technical Associate/Survey Programmer
Survey and Evaluation Research Center -- Fairfax, VA
Job Number: 1000001315

Job Description:
ICF seeks a Technical Associate/Survey Programmer to work within its Survey and Evaluation Research Center (SERC). The SERC provides quantitative research services to federal and private-sector clients, covering topics such as organizational climate, program performance, customer and employee satisfaction, behavioral health, workforce issues, human service program delivery, and quality of life. The Associate will play a technical, programming and analytical role supporting the collection and analysis of data for large-scale survey research projects at ICF headquarters in Fairfax, VA.

Qualifications

Responsibilities:
- Develop and support the implementation of program evaluation and survey methodologies
- Develop web-based surveys using code-based syntax
- Develop VBA programs for use in conducting data collection and reporting
- Conduct data analyses (e.g. cross-tabulations, regressions, etc)
- Write final reports communicating research findings for both technical and lay audiences

Basic Qualifications:
- M.A./M.S. in a social science discipline or statistics
- 1+ years of experience with data analysis, research methods, and data handling
- 1+ years of experience programming in Visual Basic for Applications, Java, or C#
- Experience with quantitative research, survey methodology, statistical analysis, and statistical packages (e.g., SPSS, SAS)

Preferred Skills/Experience:
- Experience developing multi-routine/function programs using Visual Basic for Applications
- Experience developing web-based surveys using code syntax
- Content expertise related to one or more of the following: behavioral health, employment/labor markets, veteran populations, work and family issues, criminal justice, substance abuse

Professional Skills:
- Detail-oriented and capable of critically analyzing data outputs, and translating research findings into narrative descriptions & recommendations for action
- Ability to work in a non-technical team environment under strict deadlines in a fast-paced environment

ICF offers an excellent benefits package, an award winning talent development program, and fosters a highly skilled, energized and empowered workforce.

ICF International is an Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action Employer - M/F/D/V

Thank you,
Greetings, fellow AAPORNetters!

=20

Heads up!! The deadline for abstract submission is FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 19 (i.e., one week from THIS Friday). =20

=20

The quality of the AAPOR Annual Conference rests primarily on member submissions. Sharing your knowledge and experiences at our annual conference is what makes AAPOR so special. =20

=20

So think about the innovative research, interesting/unexpected findings and experiences you have encountered this past year and submit your abstract today! =20

=20

Submit your abstracts at:
http://precis.preciscentral.com/User/UserLogin.asp?EventID=3D8d7d8ee0=20

=20

The formal AAPOR Annual Conference "call for papers" can be found using this link: =20

http://www.aapor.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=3D66th_Annual_Conference&Tem= plat
And, of course, both abstract-submission & call-for-papers links can be reached through AAPOR's web site: www.AAPOR.org

Looking forward to seeing everyone,

Rob Santos

2011 AAPOR Annual Conference Chair
The Urban Institute
2100 M Street, NW
Washington, DC  20037

OFF: 202 261-5904
FAX: 202 429-0687
CELL: 512 619-5667
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705.html
WASHINGTON - A remarkable bi-partisan group of campaign pollsters released an open letter this afternoon that assailed the "sometimes uncritical media coverage" of the "proliferation" of public pre-election polls that fail to disclose basic information about how they are conducted and that "have the capacity to shape media and donor reactions to election contests."

SNIP

More specifically, the campaign pollsters urged journalists to hold public polls to disclosure standards of the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) that call on pollsters to release details such as the exact wording of questions, the demographics of their samples, the methods used to draw their samples and interview voters and the response rates they obtain.

SNIP

- Sent using Google Toolbar
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Date:         Tue, 9 Nov 2010 17:56:17 -0500
Reply-To:     "Murray, Patrick" <pdmurray@MONMOUTH.EDU>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         "Murray, Patrick" <pdmurray@MONMOUTH.EDU>
Subject:      Re: Pollsters Raise Alarm: Inaccurate Polls May Be Impacting Campaigns
X-To:         "AAPORNET@asu.edu" <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
In-Reply-To:  <3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E521684011F31C9@exchange.local.artscience.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID:   <64D6BD8C6AB6B64B87185E03482560380A2C991F30@WLB-EXCH-VS-02.monmouth.edu>

1. Looking at some of the odd polling out there this year, the writers have a point..

2. However, these folks are not credible messengers, by any stretch of the imagination. Many, if not all, have been party to "leaked" poll "results" that are designed to change an election. In this past season alone, at least one of the signatories was a party to promoting the viability of a fake Tea Party candidate who was put on the ballot and backed by Democratic operatives.

I'm hopeful that the Transparency Initiative committee can develop standards that the media can readily understand to separate wheat from chaff.
WASHINGTON - A remarkable bi-partisan group of campaign pollsters released an open letter this afternoon that assailed the "sometimes uncritical media coverage" of the "proliferation" of public pre-election polls that fail to disclose basic information about how they are conducted and that "have the capacity to shape media and donor reactions to election contests."

SNIP

More specifically, the campaign pollsters urged journalists to hold public polls to disclosure standards of the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) that call on pollsters to release details such as the exact wording of questions, the demographics of their samples, the methods used to draw their samples and interview voters and the response rates they obtain.

SNIP

- Sent using Google Toolbar
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The problem is when the media itself is part of the "chaff" by sponsoring inaccurate "public" polls.

If a campaign's polls are inaccurate -- the candidate may lose and the pollster may not have a job in the next cycle. If the media sponsors inaccurate polls, there are no consequences for them. Inaccurate public polls in the media can dry up a candidate's fundraising overnight and cause tremendous problems for a campaign. They can even shift public opinion -- since many voters don't want to turn out for a losing candidate. I witnessed a number of "public" polls this cycle that had my clients down 20 to 30 points when our polling had them even. These results were simply wrong and irresponsible - the election proved it.

Brian Gottlieb
Got-Focus LLC
107 S. West Street #504
Alexandria, VA 22314=20
P: 703.537.5048 || C: 202.276.1868
www.Got-Focus.com

On Nov 9, 2010, at 5:56 PM, Murray, Patrick wrote:

> 1. Looking at some of the odd polling out there this year, the writers have a point..
> 20
> 2. However, these folks are not credible messengers, by any stretch =
of the imagination. Many, if not all, have been party to "leaked" poll =
"results" that are designed to change an election. In this past season =
alone, at least one of the signatories was a party to promoting the =
viability of a fake Tea Party candidate who was put on the ballot and =
backed by Democratic operatives.
> 20
> I'm hopeful that the Transparency Initiative committee can develop =
standards that the media can readily understand to separate wheat from =
WASHINGTON - A remarkable bi-partisan group of campaign pollsters released an open letter this afternoon that assailed the "sometimes uncritical media coverage" of the "proliferation" of public pre-election polls that fail to disclose basic information about how they are conducted and that "have the capacity to shape media and donor reactions to election contests."

More specifically, the campaign pollsters urged journalists to hold public polls to disclosure standards of the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) that call on pollsters to release details such as the exact wording of questions, the demographics of their samples, the methods used to draw their samples and interview voters and the response rates they obtain.
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Dear Colleagues,

The Survey Research Methods Section of ASA is now inviting abstracts for roundtable discussions for JSM 2011. This is being sent to the AAPOR list (in addition to the ASA SRMS list), because of the significant overlap in membership and in topics of interest.

Roundtable discussion will take place on Monday, Tuesday or Wednesday of the conference (Aug 1-3). The types of roundtables include lunches, breakfasts (7am), and coffees. Leading a roundtable is a great way to further your area of research, network and have a free lunch, breakfast, or coffee. Roundtable discussion lasts about an hour. It's helpful to have a list of questions on hand, though not necessary. It is a lot of fun.

Leading a roundtable does not prevent you from presenting a paper at JSM.

You do not need to be a SRMS member to suggest a roundtable, but of course you do need to attend JSM to lead one.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me via email. Please submit an abstract on or before DECEMBER 3, 2010 to this email: mlarsen@bsc.gwu.edu. Thanks!

An abstract must consist of the following:

Name: 
The German Society for Online Research (DGOF, www.dgof.de) invites participation in its 13th annual conference. GOR 11 (General Online Research, www.gor.de) will take place at Heinrich-Heine-University, 14 -– 16 March.

We would like to remember you to submit your abstracts for GOR 11! For your submission an abstract with 350 words is necessary.

*The deadline for your submission is already the 15th of November!*

This conference focuses on three topics:
- Social Media Research and Civil Society
- Online research methodology with a focus on data quality
- Applied market research with a focus on the advantages of the online mode and best practice case studies
GOR 11: Call for Papers
Please download the complete CfP here:
Additional to the Call for Papers you may download a comprehensive list of:
all track topics: http://www.gor.de/gor11/GOR11_Comprehensive%20list%20topics%20final.pdf
You can submit your papers via our Conftool:

Kind regards

---
Dipl.-Medienök (FH)
Sara Schneider
Deutsche Gesellschaft für Online-Forschung e.V. (DGOF)
Gesellschaft/Geschäftsstelle/Office

Dr. Skopos GmbH & Co. KG
Hans-Boeckler-Str. 163
D-50354 Huerth

Tel.: +49(0)2233-9988220
Fax.: +49(0)2233-9988111
E-Mail: office@dgof.de
Web: http://www.dgof.de
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Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 11:44:32 -0700
Reply-To: Michael Larsen <mlarsen@BSC.GWU.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Michael Larsen <mlarsen@BSC.GWU.EDU>
Subject: material from John Hall - SPSS and surveys
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Message-ID: <6324716035856052.WA.mlarsenbcs.gwu.edu@lists.asu.edu>

I'm posting this for John Hall, who wrote me in response to an announcement
I made on behalf of ASA SRMS section. I have not examined the material
extensively, but it looks interesting and there appears to be a lot there=
that might be useful. As a service, I am posting the email correspondence
as requested. Thanks. Mike Larsen
This is to let you know about a new website I've been developing since September last year. I'm a retired academic social scientist working on my own and with no institutional affiliation, but I think my archive and training materials worthy of wider circulation.

As well as various materials (useful for research methods courses and beginning social researchers) from my 45 years in survey research the site also has extensive tutorials on the processing, management and analysis of data from questionnaire surveys using SPSS for Windows (especially useful for under- and post-graduate students and early career researchers in the social sciences, especially those undertaking projects on their own or with inexperienced supervisors).

Journeys in Survey Research is basically in two sections: one with training materials for processing and analysing data from questionnaire surveys (mainly using SPSS for Windows, but with other stuff as well) the other for survey research. Both parts have extensive links to other useful sites.

Survey Analysis Workshop contains tutorials and supporting materials, converted and updated from SPSS-X 4 for a Vax mainframe under VMS (1992) to SPSS 18 for Windows on a PC (2010). These are based on the much sought after and highly successful postgraduate course (practice-oriented, hands-on, part-time, evening) I developed and taught at the then Polytechnic of North London (now part of London Metropolitan University) from 1976 to 1992, when I took early retirement. The course was also taught to second-year undergraduates on the B Soc Sc (Social Research pathway) and BSc Sociology. There are many SPSS-based courses around these days, but they are concerned more with statistical methods and techniques rather than research substance: mine is about survey research and social measurement, is very different and by all accounts more fun. SPSS just happens to be the software used: the emphasis is on process and logic and could equally well apply to Stata, R, Minitab, Snap etc.

The survey side has (not easily available, if at all) materials from my time...
as Senior Research Fellow at the SSRC Survey Unit 1970-76 (UK) particularly working papers, reports etc from the Subjective Social Indicators (Quality of Life) surveys conducted by the late Dr Mark Abrams and myself in association with the late Prof Angus Campbell (ISR, Ann Arbor, Michigan):

other material is from my time as Principal Lecturer in Sociology and Director of the Survey Research Unit at PNL.

I've just uploaded a massive tranche of revised SPSS tutorials, bringing the total pages to 374 so far, and rising (Word *.doc format in 11-pt Arial font, optimised for A4 and legible at 2 sheets per page to save trees if printed up as hard copies). All screenshots are in full colour and some text is also colour-coded. A text-book to these specifications would be prohibitively expensive, but all my materials, including supporting data sets and documentation, are available for free download.

I am looking to make the materials as widely available as possible and I trust you will find the site relevant, interesting and useful. I look forward to hearing from you.

John Hall  johnfhall@orange.fr   http://surveyresearch.weebly.com
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Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 13:56:34 -0700
Reply-To: lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM
Subject: program evaluation position
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Message-ID: <eca8d7dc9cab8d063b327d64adbefd62@techsociety.com>

Children & Family Futures in Irvine, CA is currently looking for an employee who has strong evaluation skills. Here is the link to the job description:
http://www.cffutures.org/about/research-associate
They need to fill the position ASAP.

-Leora Lawton

----------------------------------------------------
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
Dear all

Am sending this query on behalf of my colleague;

He is in charge of research project in UK that might potentially involve using IVR. Let us know if you can share your experience.

Thank you
Masahiko

----

We would like to know anyone's experience in doing outbound IVR in UK or comparable Western European country.

- how many outgoing calls/complete
- call backs?
- native outbound phone number and speaker recording?
- how did demos come in?
- how many completes
- experience with length of interview and threshold generally vs what we see in the states
- sample type? RDD? Consultant file?
- mobile phones in sample frame?

----------------------------------------------------

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe? don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
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Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 16:21:39 -0500
Reply-To: Masahiko Aida <maida@GQRR.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Masahiko Aida <maida@GQRR.COM>
Subject: input wanted IVR experience in UK
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <A596446760EC454295A8ADEC2961A62A09172C2A@EVS1.GQRR.local>

Dear all

Am sending this query on behalf of my colleague;

He is in charge of research project in UK that might potentially involve using IVR. Let us know if you can share your experience.

Thank you
Masahiko

----

We would like to know anyone's experience in doing outbound IVR in UK or comparable Western European country.

- how many outgoing calls/complete
- call backs?
- native outbound phone number and speaker recording?
- how did demos come in?
- how many completes
- experience with length of interview and threshold generally vs what we see in the states
- sample type? RDD? Consultant file?
- mobile phones in sample frame?

----------------------------------------------------

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe? don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
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Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2010 21:44:12 +0000
Reply-To: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>
Subject: Re: input wanted IVR experience in UK
X-To: "maida@GQRR.COM" <maida@GQRR.COM>, "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <7A706AA2D653C7499FF31970D03862ACB2322D7EE1@EUUKLONEML5.eu.ipsos>

Hi,
In the UK this is illegal unless you have the prior consent of the recipient to specifically receive IVR calls.

In practice, this means setting up a panel by another means and gaining consent at that stage.

Joe

Joe Stead
Telephone Operations Director - Ipsos MORI
+44 (0)7876 394165

----- Original Message ----- 
From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Subject: input wanted IVR experience in UK

Dear all

Am sending this query on behave of my colleague;

He is in charge of research project in UK that might potentially involve using IVR. Let us know if you can share your experience.

Thank you
Masahiko

----

We would like to know anyone's experience in doing outbound IVR in UK or comparable Western European country.

- how many outgoing calls/complete
- call backs?
- native outbound phone number and speaker recording?
- how did demos come in?
- how many completes
- experience with length of interview and threshold generally vs what we see in the states
- sample type? RDD? Consultant file?
- mobile phones in sample frame?

-----------------------------------------------
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
Thank you Joe, that settles thing.

Masahiko

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Joe Stead
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2010 4:44 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: input wanted IVR experience in UK

Hi,

In the UK this is illegal unless you have the prior consent of the recipient to specifically receive IVR calls.
In practice, this means setting up a panel by another means and gaining consent at that stage.

Joe

Joe Stead  
Telephone Operations Director - Ipsos MORI  
+44 (0)7876 394165

----- Original Message -----  
From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
Subject: input wanted IVR experience in UK

Dear all  

Am sending this query on behalf of my colleague;

He is in charge of research project in UK that might potentially involve using IVR. Let us know if you can share your experience.

Thank you  
Masahiko

-----

We would like to know anyone's experience in doing outbound IVR in UK or comparable Western European country.

- how many outgoing calls/complete  
- call backs?  
- native outbound phone number and speaker recording?  
- how did demos come in?  
- how many completes  
- experience with length of interview and threshold generally vs what we see in the states  
- sample type? RDD? Consultant file?  
- mobile phones in sample frame?

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
Hello AAPOR,


The link takes you to the co-chairs' preliminary write up on the President's deficit reduction committee. The full report will be out on December 1st. Since I'm sure you're all seeing this in the news, I thought you might be interested to see exactly what's being proposed.

I saw polling (now a month old) saying that a majority of Americans want their taxes lowered, and a majority want more and better government services. If Americans aren't yet willing to sacrifice, you can be sure Congress won't.

Ryan Tandler
How will the committee's proposals poll? Can we expect any movement in the next few years on Americans' willingness to give something up in exchange for a balanced budget? What will have to change in the economic and political environments for people to make that shift? Also, do the facts that no serious person in Congress will touch these proposals and that most American probably don't want them to undermine the "message" from the midterm election?

Also upcoming in the next Congress, the debt ceiling will have to be raised. There's a chance that a deal will have to be cut in the Republican House caucus to get Tea Party freshmen to vote for it. Can we expect a turnaround in public opinion on the debt ceiling if the fight over the debt ceiling gets ugly and goes public?

-Ryan Tandler
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Date:         Tue, 16 Nov 2010 12:00:56 -0500
Reply-To:     colleen_porter@COX.NET
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>
Subject:      PRISM online training in "plain language"
X-To:         AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID:  <20101116120056.GAANK.73044.imail@eastrmwml29>

Apologies for multiple postings....

I wanted to let everyone know about this free online course in "plain language." While it was written for health researchers and will help them a lot in their survey questions and informed consent forms, I think much of this is applicable to researchers across a wide range of disciplines. Many of the items in the 21-page "alternative wording" chart are not directly about health care. Even if you don't have an hour to flip through the course itself, consider downloading the toolkit (check out the Resources tab).

This is one of the most comprehensive and helpful resources I've seen, and I have taken several graduate classes in health communication. If I were teaching a course in health surveys, I would incorporate this as part of my curriculum.

I was taking a health communication classes while finishing up a program evaluation project, and writing fact sheets and executive summaries for
legislative staffers, etc. Although those folks don't have issues with literacy per se, they do have problems with attention and not reading the whole thing, and I found that those same principles of plain language are effective with that audience as well:

Also, AAPOR member Cheryl Wiese made a huge contribution to this effort.

Here is the info:

==================================

Jessica Ridpath, Group Health Research Institute research communications coordinator, presented this new online resource at the Health Literacy Annual Research Conference, in Bethesda, MD. October is Health Literacy Month, and President Obama recently signed into law the Plain Writing Act of 2010. Like the Plain Writing Act, the new Web course is based on the principles of plain language: a communication style centered on the audience's needs, abilities, and levels of literacy and numeracy. The online training will broaden the reach of the Program for Readability in Science and Medicine, or PRISM. The Web course is freely available to anyone. Researchers can see how to use plain language in study materials through the course's many concrete examples.

Full news release: http://www.grouphealthresearch.org/newsroom/newsrel/2010/101018.html,

Online Training: http://prism.grouphealthresearch.org

Toolkit: www.grouphealthresearch.org/capabilities/readability/readability_home.html

----
Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

=========================================================================

Date:    Tue, 16 Nov 2010 13:45:40 -0800
Reply-To:   Eleni Delimpaltadaki <eleni@OPPORTUNITYAGENDA.ORG>
Sender:     AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:       Eleni Delimpaltadaki <eleni@OPPORTUNITYAGENDA.ORG>
Subject:    Full time paid internship at The Opportunity Agenda
X-To:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Message-ID:  <C90865B4.A8E9%eleni@opportunityagenda.org>

Please feel free to forward.
Best,

Eleni Delimpaltadaki
Opinion and Media Research Coordinator
The Opportunity Agenda
568 Broadway, Suite 302
New York, NY 10012
Phone: 212.334.5778
Fax: 212.334.2656
www.opportunityagenda.org
"Building the National Will to Expand Opportunity in America"
Read the latest Public Opinion Monthly (http://opportunityagenda.org/public_opinion)

Public Opinion Research and Communications Full Time Spring Internship 2011
http://opportunityagenda.org/about/employment

The Opportunity Agenda is dedicated to building the national will to expand opportunity for all in America through communications, research, and advocacy. We are seeking a student spring Intern with a demonstrated commitment to social justice and equal opportunity, good research skills and understanding of communications, and the initiative to take on new and innovative assignments. A sense of humor and a dose of modesty are essential. The internship is open to graduate students with a specific interest in public opinion research and media content analysis around social justice issues including immigration, health care, racial justice, poverty and human rights in general. The intern will work under the supervision of the Public Opinion and Media Research Coordinator in the communications department of the organization. The precise nature of the work varies by project and is based on the office's needs, but examples of work include:

* Monitoring new public opinion research;
* Assisting in coordinating logistics of public opinion research projects (polling and focus groups);
* Assisting in analyzing existing public opinion research;
* Creating visual presentations of data (charts, tables, graphics);
* Blogging on public opinion topics;
* Assisting in media content analysis including using LexisNexis and other databases to identify appropriate articles;
* Working with the communications team and highly acclaimed research consultants;
* Creating power point presentations of public opinion data;
* Assisting in communications projects based on the department's team;
* Assorted administrative duties

Qualifications:

Candidates for this position should have a strong desire to work in public opinion research and public policy. The ideal candidate will have some experience working in a professional environment and therefore bring to the position analytical, organizational, and general office skills along with strong verbal, written, and interpersonal skills. Good statistical skills and Strong Microsoft Office skills, especially Excel and PowerPoint, are required.
ed. The candidate must be comfortable taking initiative and is a detail-ori-
eted, energetic and quick learner possessing strong writing, editing and re-
esearch skills. Applicants with previous political or consumer opinion res-
earch experience will be given preference.

The intern must be able to commit to a minimum of 35-40 hours weekly for te-
n weeks dependent on their availability. The hours are flexible, and some =
work may be completed off-site. The internship will begin the third week o=
of September. School credit or a stipend are available.

Application Instructions: Please e-mail your resume and a cover letter (ne=
cessary to be considered) to jmizell@opportunityagenda.org. Please no phon=
e calls.
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In my unofficial role as raconteur of the research life, I would be remiss if I didn't tell the story of what it is like to run for AAPOR council and lose--twice. With this year's November 30 nomination deadline approaching, it might be timely.

The short version is that if you are willing to serve and can squeeze in 2-5 hours per week to contribute, it is a great opportunity. This year self-
nominations are being encouraged, so don't be shy about volunteering yourself. If you lose, you'll survive.

And here is more of my story for those who are interested...

The way things work, the outgoing past president, serving in leadership for their third year, chairs the nomination committee and and appoints at least five other members. This is their last opportunity to leave a mark on the organization, and in my experience they savor this final act. The phone call to ask one to be a candidate usually comes in January or so, because the nominating committee makes their recommendations to Council, who approve the slate that goes out to members in the spring. (But even then, there is a petition process from 25 members to add a candidate, something I have never seen in my time in AAPOR--has it ever happened?)
Anyway, my story begins with a phone call from a past president who was very gracious to me throughout the process. I was shocked at the call, because I had no idea that anyone was nominating me, and I tried to talk her out of the idea on the grounds that I was just a part-time project coordinator in a small town. She set me straight on how council should reflect the entire organization, and I admit that coordinators are an integral part of the survey industry.

HINT: Surprising someone like that is not the best beginning, and uses up an inordinate amount of the president's time. Really, you should check with the person you want to nominate, because even if their professional skills are amazing, they may have other factors (impending job change, ailing parents) that may make this particular year perhaps not the best time. Consider too, that the folks getting elected this upcoming spring will be in the middle of their chair year during the fall 2012 election, so they'll have to think through their commitments and whether this year's council election is the right one for them.

In past years, nominations have been done rather informally by email, which is how I was blindsided. This year there is a form that asks the nominee's signature and resume
http://www.aapor.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Executive_Council_2009_2010&Template=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentID=2823
Or if that link is broken, it's right on the front page at aapor.org I think it's a great idea, but will take a bit of effort to pull together, so please take a look before noon on Nov. 30. The second time I was nominated, someone approached me a full month before deadline, and we would have had no problem filling out the form in time.

So back to my story....as that first phone call ended, I promised to give an answer in just a few days, and talked to my family, and they were wonderful. I'd recently been laid off from my editorial-writing job, so I did have some time to spare. I was also influenced by a conversation at AAPOR conference the previous year, that one out on the porch, guys, where we were bemoaning the lack of health care researchers in AAPOR leadership. I never dreamed that I would be the one to be in a position to do something about it, but since the opportunity arose, I was thinking of y'all when I said yes.

And also, I was glad to be able to give back to an organization that had given me so much, answered my questions, provided help when needed.

Next I had to talk to the folks at work; one year it went just fine and the other not so much. This is something of a no-brainer for university faculty, whose professional service is usually part of the three prongs on which their performance is measured for promotion and tenure. But for those of us who are staff or work for a commercial firm, it's a nontrivial commitment. Expenses for travel to Council meetings are reimbursed, and they are good about setting up conference calls, etc., but it still takes some time now and again during the work day.

The best response for a supervisor to give is "Congratulations! What an honor!" and then go from there to work through concerns about time off and
conflict of interest and whether it is really doable. I appreciate that my first commitment is to my employer. But when someone instead responded by asking, "Why would they want you?" and a rather acidic snip about "career trajectory," I decided it was time to look for another job.

So I agreed to run, both times, and for a few days basked in the glow of the approbation of my peers. One of the sitting presidents sent all the nominees that year a congratulatory email to the effect that being elected was icing on the cake, but being nominated by colleagues was the real honor. That was a particularly nice sentiment to hold on to for the 50% of us who weren't elected.

The next step is to pull together one's biosketch that will be sent out with the ballot materials. I studied all the ones from the previous year, doing word counts as to what was average (most were about 350 that year; the shortest was 217 words, and that person won). I tried to identify patterns as to who won and lost, what they said or didn't. There didn't seem to be any distinguishable formula. I did send my drafts out to some friends, who suggested very helpful changes--thanks again, folks.

In a fit of gutlessness, I decided not to include the specifics of my undergraduate degree, only my graduate work. Partly this is because only my graduate degree is relevant to AAPOR; my B.S. is in Agronomy (soil science). But I admit, although it wasn't consciously thought-out at the time, the biggest reason was that my undergrad was at Brigham Young University, and a fellow alumnus, Mitt Romney, had just lost an election in which our religion became an issue, and I just didn't want to go there.

But it does demonstrate how any insecurity you have will raise its ugly head at some time during the election process, and it does require a certain strength of character to put yourself out there in such a public way.

So after the biosketches are turned in and the ballots go out, then you find out who your opponent is, and both times I had the reaction, "Oh, yeah, he'd be great--I'd vote for him."

And that's the thing. We are fortunate in AAPOR that we have really top-notch people willing to serve, and there are no straw candidates. Oh my gosh, in 2008 when Stephen Blumberg and Tim Johnson ran against each other for Standards Chair, I still don't know who I actually voted for...how does one choose? They are both health care researchers, so our team won either way. Indeed, they had just been named as co-chairs of the next Health Survey Methods Conference, which tells you how equally matched they are. A great choice either way, with a slightly different flavor. And there are numerous races like that, every year.

How does any of us make those decisions? Is it a familiar name, someone's professional publications, or an actual assessment of how well they might serve in a particular role (which may actually be a different skill set from their work in the field)? I remember one time walking out to the mailbox to send off a baby gift or get well card or something to an AAPOR friend, and hoping they would not mistake it for vote pandering.

The familiarity thing becomes an issue in that it may give a real advantage to
those from large active chapters like MAPOR or DC-AAPOR. For those of us who are outsiders and off the beaten path, we just don't have the personal contacts and frequent interactions to connect with people. I don't know if anyone has done that kind of analysis of AAPOR elections?

Not that I am making excuses for my failure, and please don't let that deter anyone from running. A lot of folks outside Ann Arbor or DC are elected--Kelly Foster in membership hails from Athens GA.

I may seem to have an advantage because of my presence on AAPORnet, but I am not sure that is an accurate predictor of how I would function on council; for some reason my AAPORnet persona is somewhat sweeter than my real self (as the few AAPORites who know me IRL can attest). I can understand folks who only know me from AAPORnet questioning whether I would be assertive enough, although some of our project officers would gag at the notion--I guess kittens and tigers are in the same family?

From talking to others who ran, I confirmed that for some years, it was the custom for the president making the phone call in April to start by saying, "There is good news and bad news." The good news being that you had more leisure time, with the bad news being you lost. Or the alternative that the good news was you won, the bad news that you were going to be working hard the next two years.

When I lost, I felt bad for a few hours, but mostly frustrated at things I would have liked to do and the folks I would have liked to get to know better, rather than feeling overly rejected. As my husband comforted me, he talked about his experience in leadership of his professional organizations. One of them doesn't hold elections any more "because people would feel bad if they didn't win," and thus suffers from a certain amount of intellectual incest. Another has a hard time getting quality people to serve. He was in awe of an organization that holds "real" elections and has such a depth of talent that someone of my caliber would not win.

In the weeks after losing, both times I had the complication that I was asked to do service for AAPOR, in a situation where I'd be working with the folks who beat me, who would actually benefit by my competent service. But really, the question was the same one I had to answer in January: Was I willing to give back to an organization that had done so much for me? That answer was still yes, so I wasn't going to go all sour-grapesy by the mere fact of losing an election and thus not sitting at the head of the table.

AAPOR is a diverse organization and all facets of our membership should be represented on council. So please think about serving if you can spare the time, and at least look at the application on the web.

And yes, I'll help edit your biosketch if you need another pair of eyes:)

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

----------------------------------------------------
http://www.aapor.org
Dear Colleagues,

Due to the last-minute flurry of emails about our co-sponsored regional seminar in Segovia and queries about late submissions, we are extending our abstract deadline to Wednesday, 1 December 2010. The call for participation can be found at <http://wapor.unl.edu/pdf/Transnational_Connections_2011_CFP.pdf> and is pasted below.

"Transnational Connections: Challenges and Opportunities for Communication and Public Opinion Research"

Segovia, Spain March 17-18, 2011

The World Association for Public Opinion Research will hold a spring regional seminar, Challenges and Opportunities for Communication and Public Opinion Research as part of the international Transnational Connections symposium series in Segovia, Spain.

We invite scholars and practitioners with sociological, psychological, political science and/or communications science background to submit abstracts and panel proposals that revolve around the main conference topics:

Media coverage and public opinion: antecedents and consequences
Polarization, fragmentation, and conflict
New media, public opinion and political participation
Deliberation, political discussion, social network heterogeneity
Media exposure, selectivity
Public opinion (mis)perception
Non-political media and public opinion
Social movements and grassroots organizing
Electoral campaigns and public opinion
Studying public opinion in challenging environments
Novel approaches to studying public opinion

Abstracts should include a general description of the research paper or panel (research topic, questions or hypotheses, methods and results), up to 5 keywords as well as full contact information (mailing address, e-mail address and telephone number) and affiliation for each co-author on a separate sheet. The abstract should not exceed 500 words.

Deadline for proposals: 15 November 2010

About the Transnational Connections symposium series

The first symposium, Transnational Connections - Challenges and Opportunities for Political Communication (http://www.transnationalconnections.ie.edu), brought together scholars and practitioners from 15 countries around the world who debated the state of political communication research, the new theoretical and methodological frontiers facing the field and the possibilities for international collaboration.

This year, researchers and practitioners will again meet at the IE University, which houses 21st-century facilities in a 13th-century convent. The Symposium will include conference-style panels during which participants will present research papers as well as issue-specific workshops during which invited scholars will plan and/or advance collaborative research projects.

The Symposium will be co-sponsored by the IE School for Communication at IE University and the Center for Global Communication Studies at the Annenberg School for Communication, University of Pennsylvania in partnership with the Political Communication Divisions of International Communication Association (ICA), International Political Science Association (IPSA), and European Communication Research and Education Association (ECREA) and the Mediated Communication, Public Opinion, and Society Section of International Association for Media and Communication Research (IAMCR).

Contact for inquiries:
Assistant Professor in Political Communication
IE School of Communication
IE University
Cardenal Zúñiga
12 40003 Segovia Spain
communication@ie.edu
magdalena.wojcieszak@ie.edu

Contact for submissions:
WAPOR
University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Gallup Research Center
201 North 13th Street
Good morning, fellow AAPORNetters!

=20

This is it! The deadline for abstract submission is TODAY, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 19 at 5 pm PACIFIC time (which is 8pm Eastern time). If it helps, remember that you will have time to revise your abstracts online =
Submit your abstracts at:
http://precis.preciscentral.com/User/UserLogin.asp?EventID=3D8d7d8ee0

The formal AAPOR Annual Conference "call for papers" can be found using this link:
http://www.aapor.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=66th_Annual_Conference&Template=CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentID=2681

And, of course, both abstract-submission & call-for-papers links can be reached through AAPOR's web site: www.AAPOR.org

Looking forward to seeing everyone,

Feel free to email or call me if you have any questions

Rob Santos

2011 AAPOR Annual Conference Chair

The Urban Institute
2100 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
OFF: 202 261-5904
FAX: 202 429-0687
CELL: 512 619-5667
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Date:         Sat, 20 Nov 2010 11:11:09 +0100
Reply-To:     Marek Fuchs <fuchs@IFS.TU-DARMSTADT.DE>
Sender:       AAPORNEN <AAPORNEN@ASU.EDU>
From:         Marek Fuchs <fuchs@IFS.TU-DARMSTADT.DE>
Subject:      CfP - Data Quality in Special Population Surveys,
              ESRA (18-22 Jul 2011, Lausanne, Switzerland)
X-To:         aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID:   <4CE79EBD.1090303@ifs.tu-darmstadt.de>
CALL FOR PRESENTATION PROPOSALS

Surveys are an important tool of social science research. They provide, among others, data on the attitudes, behaviors, health issues, political interests and of the population in a given society which in turn might be used in the political decision making process or for civil planning and economic investments. Accordingly, the quality of the data obtained is of crucial importance.

The list of potential distortions in data quality is organized into the total survey error framework. Among others, measurement error, coverage error and non-response error add to the sampling error. For general population surveys the literature provides evidence on many of the variance and bias components of the total survey error and how to address them in the design and the field work of a survey.

Recently, however, more and more surveys are concerned with special populations, e.g. children, the elderly, the disabled, ethnic minorities, elites and people with special illnesses. There is growing interest in these groups, in part because of a particular research interest in them, e.g. due to disadvantaged living conditions, their special needs, their wealth etc. In addition, survey researchers are interested in these special groups, because the negligence of the behaviors and attitudes of those special groups in general population surveys has significant negative effects on the quality of estimates derived from such survey. As a consequence, these special populations are either included in general population surveys or they become subject to independent studies.

Compared to surveys in the general adult population, special requirements and precautions might arise with respect to the survey procedures, when administering a survey to members of a special population. Choosing a survey mode, the sample designs, gaining acceptance and consent, tailoring the survey instrument, defining the interview setting, and establishing rapport are just a few of the key aspects to consider when designing a survey for a special population or when dealing with a members of a special population during field work of a general population survey.
In session on "Data Quality in Special Population Surveys" we want to stimulate a discussion of experiences from the field as well as of results from field-experimental or laboratory experimental studies on various components of the total survey error when dealing with special populations. We welcome papers on one or several of the following aspects:

- Sample frame and coverage issues
- Sampling procedures, problems of accessibility
- Unit-Nonresponse
- Limitations of different modes
- New technologies in data collection
- Measurement and wording
- Cognitive and verbal skills of respondents
- Response sets
- Interactive skills, interviewer-respondent interaction
- Interviewer related aspects

To be considered for inclusion in the scientific program of ESRA 2011, please submit an abstract of your paper containing no more than 250 words via the ESRA website:

http://surveymethodology.eu/conferences/

To submit a presentation, sign up or log in to the ESRA website. After logging in with your account, click "Propose a new presentation" to start submitting.

The closing date for submission of paper proposals is 14 January 2011.

The deadline for notification of presenters is 28 January 2011.

Session Organizers: Susanne Vogl, Marek Fuchs

Best regards
Marek
--
Prof. Dr. Marek Fuchs
Technische Universität Darmstadt
Institut für Soziologie
Residenzschloss R.320-322
Marktplatz 15
D-64283 Darmstadt
Germany
+49.6151.16-70970 o. -70971 (Tel)
+49.6151.16-72070 (Fax)
fuchs@ifs.tu-darmstadt.de
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I am interested in member experiences with web-based CATI

We are interested in something with metered costs, that do not entail a major capital investment in one-time licensing fees. Something with a feature set not unlike QuestionPro has for web based surveys. In fact, if you added a sample administration module to QuestionPro, it would be exactly what we are looking for. With sample administered in the cloud, we could split field work across several sites (either ours or subcontracted vendors) and share sample.

By way of background, we have used WinCati for years and found it appropriate to our needs (KISS). Its major limitation (our version is several years old'; the modest improvements in functionality were not worth the upgrade fees and annual license fees). But we are tied to our single site location when we use this.

VENDOR NOTICE: In our experience, these types of inquiries provoke many responses from vendors. I am OK with that, but I won't look at anything that doesn't give me some cost parameters. A formula is fine, since I understand that there are variables. (E.g, cost per month unlimited, cost per month per station, cost per survey, etc). But if you JUST say "call me to discuss" and don't give me some parameters I am absolutely not interested. A 1-3 page spec sheet should suffice. And, as I said, I am looking for a pricing structure more like QuestionPro (which is fixed $ per month) or some metered basis than anything with a large capital outlay.

But I would be especially hearing from end users who have this experience. Given the direction of technology, it would seem to me that CATI should now logically reside in the cloud, not on site.

Mike O'Neil
www.mikeoneil.org
Can anyone refer me to summary of laws on telephone survey research in Canada?

In addition to general laws on this topic I am particularly interested in any laws in the following areas:

Parental approval for interviewing 17 year olds

Cash incentives

Thanks

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

There are no laws in Canada that specifically address interviewing 17 year olds, but the country's market research association has standards and a cod=
e of conduct governing how its members approach research with individuals under 18 years of age. These can be found at the following link, under 'standards':

http://www.mria-arim.com/?c=3D1282813

Not sure what the issue is regarding cash incentives

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2010 9:28 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: A question about laws on Survey Research in Canada

Can anyone refer me to summary of laws on telephone survey research in Canada?

In addition to general laws on this topic I am particularly interested in any laws in the following areas:

Parental approval for interviewing 17 year olds

Cash incentives

Thanks
--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
A colleague of mine found this - A Scientific American online reader poll on climate change was "freeped" (another trendy new internet term! I'm guessing it's akin to "ballot box stuffing") by readers of two anti-climate change blogs, producing unrepresentative results. And yet the results of this unfortunately worded and administered poll actually made it into Congressional testimony, if these reports are to be believed:


(Note the exhortation in the following to "freep" this poll until Scientific American takes it down:)

http://climateprogress.org/2010/10/26/scientific-american-jumps-the-shark-on-
The perils of nonprobability internet polls...

Carl Ramirez
Assistant Director, Survey Methods
Center for Design Methods & Analysis
US Government Accountability Office
(202) 512-3721

Like Keith, I know of no laws on this question. However, my understanding is that interviewing requires parental approval only for kids under 15 years of age.

Best,

Le 2010-11-22 09:28, Leo Simonetta a écrit :
> Can anyone refer me to summary of laws on telephone survey research in
> Canada?
> 
> In addition to general laws on this topic I am particularly interested
> in any laws in the following areas:
> 
> Parental approval for interviewing 17 year olds
> 
> Cash incentives
Dear AAPOR Friends,

It has been some time since I did field surveys. What is a ball park estimate for a completion per survey cost (not interviewer pay per, but cost to the survey group for a bid) for a rural community? Simple random sample, random adult in HH, hiring and training local interviews. I'm guessing $200, minimum, but like I said, it's been a long time.
Hello Experts of AAPOR net.

I am working with a team who conducted a dual frame survey using both landlines and cell phone frames. I am weighting the survey now and have a question about how folks are implementing variance estimation in SUDAAN and/or SAS (or other package). I am using two different weighting approaches- the first is composite weights with a weighting factor of .5 for respondents who are in both frames (i.e. weight separately in each frame and then apply a composite weighting adjustment in the overlap). The other is a single frame weight that essentially adjusts for multiplicity.

The most commonly cited variance estimators seem to use the subdomains in the estimates (i.e. cell only, land only or overlap) but in implementing this in the survey analysis software would I need to include the overlap as a third (albeit pseudo) strata (along with the weights I mentioned earlier) in order to properly account for the design (simple random sampling from landline frame and simple random sampling from the cell phone frame).
Any wisdom, advice or suggestions would be most appreciated.

Happy Thanksgiving. I am thankful for AAPORnet and all the collective wisdom it represents!

Trent Buskirk

--
Trent D. Buskirk, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Biostatistics

School of Public Health
Saint Louis University
3545 Lafayette Ave.
St. Louis, MO 63104

Office: 314-977-8127
Fax: 314-977-3234
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Greetings, Trent.

Could you kindly share what you find out? I have my own personal concoction but with these complications of dual-frame methods one can never be sure how optimal a solution may be. (These complications make ABS look even better, don't they?)

Regards,
Hello Experts of AAPOR net.

I am working with a team who conducted a dual frame survey using both landlines and cell phone frames. I am weighting the survey now and have a question about how folks are implementing variance estimation in SUDAAN and/or SAS (or other package). I am using two different weighting approaches— the first is composite weights with a weighting factor of .5 for respondents who are in both frames (i.e. weight separately in each frame and then apply a composite weighting adjustment in the overlap). The other is a single frame weight that essentially adjusts for multiplicity.

The most commonly cited variance estimators seem to use the subdomains in the estimates (i.e. cell only, land only or overlap) but in implementing this in the survey analysis software would I need to include the overlap as a third (albeit pseudo) strata (along with the
weights I mentioned earlier) in order to properly account for the design
(simple random sampling from landline frame and simple random sampling
from the cell phone frame).

Any wisdom, advice or suggestions would be most appreciated.

Happy Thanksgiving. I am thankful for AAPORnet and all the collective
wisdom it represents!

Trent Buskirk

--

Trent D. Buskirk, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Biostatistics

School of Public Health
Saint Louis University
3545 Lafayette Ave.
St. Louis, MO 63104

Office: 314-977-8127
Fax: 314-977-3234
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Is BRFSS including cell phone samples in all of its RDD now? I thought they were, but I only found a reference to the 3-state cell+landline = 2007 work in the methodology section of the BRFSS website.

Thanks,
Lynda Voigt
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center
Seattle, WA
Colleagues, we encourage submission of presentation abstracts for a session entitled, "Collection and analysis of social media data for survey research" at the 4th European Survey Research Association (ESRA) conference in Lausanne, Switzerland on 18-22 July, 2011.

Deadline for abstracts: 14th January 2011

CALL FOR PRESENTATION PROPOSALS

Can data from "social media" sites and platforms (such as Facebook and Twitter, for example) be reliably collected and analyzed? What potential benefits can social media provide to the conduct of survey research? What are the pitfalls of such an approach?

Can social media data be a robust and reliable complement to data collected via "traditional" survey research methods? Are social media sites changing the way people communicate with each other and, if so, what might that mean for the way survey data are collected in the future?

These are all questions we'd like to explore in what promises to be a lively session geared towards the future of survey research.

To be considered for inclusion in the scientific program of ESRA 2011, please submit an abstract of your paper containing no more than 250 words via the ESRA website:

http://surveymethodology.eu/conferences/

To submit a presentation, sign up or log in to the ESRA website. After logging in with your account, click "Propose a new presentation" to start submitting.

The closing date for submission of paper proposals is
14 January 2011.

The deadline for notification of presenters is 28 January 2011.

Session Organizer:
Craig A. Hill, PhD
Division Vice President, Survey Research
RTI International
3040 Cornwallis Road
PO Box 12194
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194
919.541.6327

Sign up to receive RTI International news releases via email at www.rti.org/newsroom
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Hi,

I'm working with a group that would like to insert a few questions (about =20
5) on a nationally-representative omnibus-type survey to get normative=20=
data. Because the items to be included are designed for self-=20=
administration, the survey ought to be mail or web (or a combination).=20=
Would anyone have recommendations for options along these lines? The=20
budget is tight so cost will most definitely be an issue.

Thanks in advance for your response.

Regards,

Tim

Timothy J. Beebe, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Health Services Research  
Mayo Clinic College of Medicine  

Vice Chair, Division of Health Care Policy & Research  
Director, Survey Research Center  
Department of Health Sciences Research  

Mayo Clinic  
200 First Street SW  
Rochester, MN 55905  
Tel: (507) 538-4606  
Fax: (507) 284-1180  
E-mail: beebe.timothy@mayo.edu  
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Date:         Tue, 23 Nov 2010 17:17:10 -0500  
Reply-To:     "Harrison, Chase" <charrison@HBS.EDU>  
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From:         "Harrison, Chase" <charrison@HBS.EDU>  
Subject:      Re: Reporting of a self-selected online poll  
X-To:         "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To:  <96383F1BDE44BB4BB999415B498A3BA40D76EAABD5@WASEVSCLU01.prod.gao.gov>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit  
Message-ID:   <73AA3AA2A2A5CE4EA3D012D50BB139C4CD53A72A24@WINHBSMAIL01.hbs.edu>  

And isn't it a bit ironic that the opt-in poll was conducted by Scientific  
American.......  

Chase H. Harrison  
Director, Research Computing Services  
Principal Survey Methodologist  

Harvard Business School  
Baker Library | Bloomberg Center B-93  
Soldiers Field Rd.  
Boston, MA 02163  

617.495.6100 (Main)  
617.496.6252 (Direct)  
617.495.5287 (FAX)  
charrison@hbs.edu  

-----Original Message-----  
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Ramirez, Carl M
A colleague of mine found this - A Scientific American online reader poll on climate change was "freeped" (another trendy new internet term! I'm guessing it's akin to "ballot box stuffing") by readers of two anti-climate change blogs, producing shall we say unrepresentative results. And yet the results of this unfortunately worded and administered poll actually made it into Congressional testimony, if these reports are to be believed:


(Note the exhortation in the following to "freep" this poll until Scientific American takes it down:)

http://climateprogress.org/2010/10/26/scientific-american-jumps-the-shark-online-polls-judith-curry/

The perils of nonprobability internet polls...

------------------------------------------------
Carl Ramirez
Assistant Director, Survey Methods
Center for Design Methods & Analysis
US Government Accountability Office
(202) 512-3721
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Date: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 18:01:31 -0700
Reply-To: Darrell Donakowski <dwdonako@UMICH.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Darrell Donakowski <dwdonako@UMICH.EDU>
Subject: ANES: The 2010-2012 Evaluations of Government and Society Study
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
As of November 23, 2010, the American National Election Studies will be accepting proposals for questions to include on the last 3 waves of our new series of studies — The 2010-2012 Evaluations of Government and Society. Proposals may be submitted through the ANES Online Commons. The following describes the goals of this study and proposal process.

About The 2010-2012 Evaluations of Government and Society Study

The overarching theme of the surveys is citizen attitudes about government and society. These Internet surveys represent the most cost-effective way for the ANES user community to gauge political perceptions during one of the most momentous periods in American history. Aside from the historic nature of the current administration and the almost unprecedented economic crisis facing the country, we believe it is imperative that researchers assess attitudes about politics and society in the period leading up to the 2012 national elections. Potential topics include: attitudes about the performance of the Obama administration on the major issues of the day, evaluations of Congress and the Supreme Court, identification with and attitudes about the major political parties, and levels of interest in and engagement with national politics. This is primarily because these perceptions are unmistakably correlated with both presidential vote choice and levels of political participation. We intend to measure each of these topics at multiple points throughout the two-year period preceding the 2012 elections. In addition to these subjects, we envision that each of these surveys would explore a particular aspect of these political perceptions.

This Study includes five rolling cross-section waves that will allow us the opportunity to pilot new items for possible inclusion on the 2012 time series. Proposals for the first two waves of the study were accepted earlier this year. The first wave of the study was conducted in October 2010; the second wave will be conducted in the Spring of 2011. We are currently accepting proposals for the final three waves of the study. Wave 3 will be conducted in late 2011. Wave 4 will be conducted earlier in 2012 and the final wave will be in the field in the middle of 2012. For

By offering multiple opportunities for the user community to place their items on one or more surveys, we will provide the capacity to survey on a diverse set of topics that are relevant to a wide set of research communities. Lastly, the flexibility of these surveys as to both content and timing will allow the ANES to respond promptly to emerging political issues in this volatile period in our country's history.

About the Online Commons
The design of the questionnaires for The 2010-2012 Evaluations of Government and Society Study will evolve from proposals and comments submitted to the Online Commons (OC). The OC is an online system designed to promote communication among scholars and to yield innovative proposals about the most effective ways to measure electorally-relevant concepts and relationships. The goal of the OC is to improve the quality and scientific value of ANES data collections, to encourage the submission of new ideas, and to make such experiences more beneficial to and enjoyable for investigators. In the last study cycle, more than 700 scholars sent over 200 proposals through the Online Commons.

Proposals for the inclusion of questions must include clear theoretical and empirical rationales. All proposals must also clearly state how the questions will increase the value of the respective studies. In particular, proposed questions must have the potential to help scholars understand the causes and/or consequences of turnout or candidate choice.

For more information about the criteria that will be used to evaluate proposals, please see http://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/2010_2012EGSS/2010_2012EGSScriteria.htm.

For additional information on how to submit a proposal, please see http://www.electionstudies.org/onlinecommons/proposalsubmit.htm.

If you are not on the ANES mailing list and would like to be notified about forthcoming data collections, the release of ANES data, or about opportunities to participate in ANES activities, you can join the list at...
PhD position 'Mixed modes in the European Social Survey' (1,0 fte) (210342)

University of Groningen

Organisation

Since its foundation in 1614, the University of Groningen has enjoyed an international reputation as a dynamic and innovative centre of higher education offering high-quality teaching and research. Balanced study and career paths in a wide variety of disciplines encourage the 27,000 students and researchers to develop their own individual talents. Belonging to the best research universities in Europe and joining forces with prestigious partner universities and networks, the University of Groningen is truly an international place of knowledge.

The university provides a career advisory service for partners of new staff who move to Groningen.

The university is an equal opportunities employer. Because women are still under-represented in a number of fields, they are particularly encouraged to apply.

Job description

The department of Communication and Information Sciences is looking for a PhD candidate in the project 'Experimenting with mixed modes of data collection'. The project is part of the Methodological development projects in the European Social Survey (ESS, (www.europeansocialsurvey.org)). The ESS is a large academically driven survey that is conducted every other year in a large number of European
Countries. Around the data collection of round 5 of the Dutch European Social Survey several 'Developmental Projects' have been planned that will further enhance the research methodology of data collection in the ESS. The goal of the mixed-modes project is to achieve a better representation of hard-to-reach subgroups in terms of selective response and measurement bias. The PhD candidate will conduct an experiment in which respondents are approached through two different communication channels (face-to-face or by phone). Subsequently, they can choose to be interviewed face-to-face, by phone or online. The question is what is the effect of allowing a choice in the mode of data collection for difficult to reach subgroups such as ethnic minorities, adolescents and full-time working couples. In addition, the project will investigate the effects of the mode in which a request to participate was done on the mode preference of respondents. To assess the effects of absence of interviewers in web-surveys, a traditional text-based web-survey will be compared with a video-enhanced web-survey, using pre-recorded video clips of interviewers reading survey questions. Earlier research showed that a video-enhanced mode is more likely to increase reports of sensitive information as compared to traditional text-based surveys. A more complete project description is available upon request.

Qualifications

- Master's degree in Communication Science, Linguistics, Psychology, or a related field in the social sciences (preferably a research master)
- excellent record of undergraduate and Master's level study
- thorough knowledge of methodology and techniques of empirical social research
- excellent command of English, and preferably Dutch
- ability to work both independently and in a research team
- educational skills.

Conditions of employment

The University of Groningen offers a salary of € 2,042 gross per month in the first year to € 2,612 gross per month in the fourth year (figures based on full-time employment). The full-time appointment is temporary for a specified period of four years.

Interviews will presumably take place during the second half of January.

Starting date: 1 March 2011.

Affiliation
The PhD candidate will be affiliated with the 'Discourse and Communication Group' from the Center for Language and Cognition Groningen (CLCG) at the Faculty of Arts of the University of Groningen. This institute embraces all linguistics research in the faculty. The PhD candidate will be enrolled in the research training program of the
Graduate School for the Humanities.

The position requires residence in Groningen or nearby, 38 hours/week research and research training, and must result in a PhD dissertation. After the first year there will be an assessment of the candidates' results and the progress of the project to decide whether the employment will be continued.

You may apply for this position before 15.12.2010 to: vmp@rug.nl

Please include:

-a letter of motivation in English to apply for this project

-a curriculum vitae

-a listing of your publications (if applicable)

-the names and e-mail- and postal addresses of two academic referees

-a copy of your academic diploma(s)

-a list of your grades.

Acquisition is not appreciated.

Information

For information you can contact:
Dr Yfke P. Ongena (for further information on the project), +31 50 3639607, Y.P.Ongena@rug.nl

Marijke R.B. Wubbolts, MA (for practical information), +31 50 3637336, m.r.b.wubbolts@rug.nl

To apply:
http://www.tangram-tis.nl/10378/Kandidaten/Inschrijven/00347-0000004485
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Edith de Leeuw and I are organizing a session on "Mixed-Mode Surveys: A Total Survey Error Perspective" for the European Survey Research Association meetings that will be held in Lausanne, Switzerland, on the shore of Lake Geneva, July 18-22, 2011.

The deadline for submitting proposals is January 14, 2011. A description of the proposed session(s) follows. We have also included some information about this conference, which attracts methodologists world-wide and more information is available from the website.

http://surveymethodology.eu/conferences/lausanne-info/
<http://surveymethodology.eu/conferences/lausanne-info/> =20

Mixed-Mode Surveys: A Total Survey Error Perspective

Edith De Leeuw; University of Utrecht, Netherlands, edithl@XS4ALL.NL

Don A. Dillman; Washington State University, United States, dillman@wsu.edu
<mailto:dillman@wsu.edu> =20

Description:

Mixed-mode surveys were already gaining popularity at the end of the 20th century, but have become a necessity in the second decade of the 21st century. Growing nonresponse in all survey modes is forcing researchers to use a combination of methods to achieve an acceptable response. Coverage issues both in Internet and telephone surveys make it necessary to adopt a mixed-mode approach when surveying the general population. Furthermore, in international and cross-cultural surveys, differential coverage patterns and survey traditions across countries make a mixed-mode design inevitable.

From a total survey error perspective a mixed-mode design is attractive, as it is offering reduced coverage error and nonresponse error at affordable costs. Still, there is a lack of empirical literature on the logistics for effective mixed-mode designs. Also, a theoretical foundation of the effectiveness of mixed-mode is lacking.
Furthermore, measurement error may be increased when using more than one mode. This could be caused by mode inherent effects (e.g., absence or presence of interviewer) or by question format effects, as often different questionnaires are used for different modes. Again, although literature on this topic exists, it is scarce.

For this session we invite papers that investigate the effect of mixed mode designs from a total survey error perspective. We encourage empirical studies based on mixed-mode experiments or pilots. We also encourage empirical papers that discuss logistics and questionnaire construction.

Deadlines

December 2010

Conference registration possible on ESRA website

14 January 2011

Deadline for presentation proposals

18 July 2011

Opening of the conference

22 July 2011

Closing of the conference

Host institutions


FORS <http://www.fors.unil.ch/> is a national centre of expertise in the social sciences and was established in 2008. Its primary activities consist of:

* production of survey data, including national and international surveys;
* dissemination of data for use in secondary analysis;
* research in empirical social sciences, with focus on survey methodology;
* consulting services for researchers in Switzerland and abroad.

FORS collaborates with researchers and research institutes in the social sciences in Switzerland and internationally.
Founded in 1537, the University of Lausanne <http://www.unil.ch/central/page2192_en.html> is composed of seven faculties where approximately 11,500 students and 2,300 researchers work and study. Emphasis is placed on an interdisciplinary approach, with close cooperation between students, professors and teaching staff.

The University of Lausanne is situated at the heart of the French-speaking region of Switzerland, in the middle of Europe - close to France, Germany, Italy, and Austria.

The UNIL campus is spread across three locations, the largest of which is in Dorigny, on the shores of Lake Geneva. This picturesque landscape, with views of the Alps and the lake, provides the ideal setting for study and research.

*****************************************************************************

Don A. Dillman, Regents Professor and
The Thomas S. Foley Distinguished Professor of Government and Public Policy in the Department of Sociology and Deputy Director of the Social and Economic Sciences Research Center
Washington State University
Pullman, WA 99164-4014
dillman@wsu.edu
http://www.sesrc.wsu.edu/dillman/ <http://www.sesrc.wsu.edu/dillman/>=20
(Tel) 509-335-1511 (Fax) 509-335-0116
*****************************************************************************

*****************************************************************************
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I call once again on the wisdom and broad contacts of my AAPOR kin.

For pre-proposal and proposal discussion and pricing I need contact(s) at field house that has extensive experience in Georgia and the region surrounding it. One of the things I need to find out is the feasibility/practicality/legality and eventually expense of doing long complicated telephone interviews in 11 countries in that region.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

----------------------------------------------
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

I should have made clear that I am talking about surveying in the former Soviet state, Georgia as well as neighboring countries including, perhaps,
Azerbaijan
Armenia
Turkey
Iran
Ukraine
Kazakhstan
Kyrgyzstan
Tajikistan
Turkmenistan
Uzbekistan

I expect that some of these will be impossible or at least unreasonably difficult.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 9:35 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Help!

I call once again on the wisdom and broad contacts of my AAPOR kin.

For pre-proposal and proposal discussion and pricing I need contact(s) at field house that has extensive experience in Georgia and the region surrounding it. One of the things I need to find out is the feasibility/practicality/legality and eventually expense of doing long complicated telephone interviews in 11 countries in that region.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
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Job Description for:

Director, Quantitative Methods - San Antonio, TX

This is a leadership position within the San Antonio Office of Analytic Focus LLC for an individual with the quantitative skills to succeed in a highly competitive environment. In addition to an excellent supportive work environment, we offer a salary commensurate with your experience, paid vacation, sick leave, health insurance, dental insurance and professional development opportunities.

Analytic Focus specializes in quantitative analysis applied to problems in litigation. We design research to answer specific questions regarding liability, direct and indirect impact of business practices, and financial loss. Methodologies used reflect best practices and an understanding of practical issues in data collection and analysis.

Summary of Position Responsibilities:

Conduct research and develop models for issues related to liability, economic damages, financial loss, market trends, valuation, and economic impact

Provide expert analysis and testimony in legal proceedings within your area of expertise

Oversee the conduct of complex research and mid to large-scale surveys projects

Responsible for data collection and database management involving multiple data sources

Manage research associates in San Antonio Office

Develop client relationships and market services of the firm

Maintain expertise in your field of research

Position Requirements:

The successful candidate will demonstrate a reputation as an expert in their field as well as demonstrate success developing and building client relationships. The position requires a Ph.D. in statistics, economics, finance, operations research, or a related field with very strong quantitative skills. Previous experience as an expert witness is highly desirable. Previous experience with large scale Federal surveys is a major plus. A minimum of 10 years of analytical experience is required.

The position requires excellent people skills and the ability to
communicate effectively and clearly. Both written and verbal =
communication skills must be exemplary. This position requires the =
ability to reason and discuss quantitative issues successfully with =
people in other fields, and to support your opinions with facts. The =
successful candidate must have strong PC skills and be proficient in the =
use of the Microsoft Office Suite. In-depth knowledge of at least one =
statistical package is required.

The successful candidate possesses the ability to self-motivate and =
adapt to a flexible and unstructured working environment. He/she has =
leadership qualities that will enable him/her to lead a team of =
consultants on complex projects. He/she must exhibit a strong work =
ethic, the ability to work effectively with clients, the ability to =
manage a project within budget and within strict deadlines, and the =
ability to work under pressure. The candidate also must possess the =
ability to maintain attention to detail while multi-tasking, as the =
position requires simultaneously working on multiple projects.

Please fax resume to (210) 641-9892 or email info@analyticfocus.com.
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We should all be looking at Stata. SPSS is into the outrageous area.
Linda Bourque

At 03:03 PM 11/30/2010, John Nienstedt wrote:
> All: We're considering upgrading from version 15 to 19, but not
> liking what I'm hearing in terms of costs from SPSS. We've been
> SPSS customers since 1989, but what other comparable software should
> we be looking at as alternatives?
> 
> John Nienstedt, Sr
> 619-702-2372
> john@cerc.net
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