

From: LISTS.ASU.EDU LISTSERV Server (16.0) [LISTSERV@asu.edu]
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2011 6:10 PM
To: Shapard Wolf
Subject: File: "AAPORNET LOG1005"

Date: Sun, 2 May 2010 19:37:18 +0000
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship
X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
X-cc: "..nickp" <nickp@marketsharescorp.com>
In-Reply-To:
<1023094152.2271051272828413842.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Here is a story about Phoenix conference/convention cancellations.
<http://www.chicagotribune.com/sports/sns-ap-us-arizona-boycott,0,6739174,full.story>

Phoenix hotels may be counseling organizations on how to proceed, for example, organizations could mail credentials in advance to concerned attendees.

The final item in the message below describes how two groups are collecting signatures to place a referendum on the ballot to repeal SB 1070. Lists bearing 75K valid signatures from each would be due in July. The Sec of State says that is too many to verify in time for the November ballot. The referendum would have to be delayed until November 2012. SB 1070 would not become law until then if it passes.

I don't see any reason to move the 2011 conference out of Phoenix,

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 10:28:36 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship

Several states require citizenship to obtain a driver's license. It is not clear whether other states would accept such licenses as proof of citizenship, not clear whether other states determine whether or not an out-of-state driver has such a license.

Here is a story from the Arizona Republic. Three law suits against the state have been filed.
<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/29/20100429arizona-immigration-lawsuit29-ON.html>

Lot's of conflict between elected officials including State Attorney General Terry Goddard who says he would likely refuse to defend the state in court. Goddard appears to be the Democratic challenger to Gov. Jan Brewer this November, ahead 47% to 28% in an ASU poll last November. (Source: Pollingreport.com) Any current polls available?

The side bar has lots of interesting stories including "Referendum could delay AZ immigration law to 2012 .
<http://www.azcentral.com/news/articles/2010/04/28/20100428arizona-immigration-law-referendum-could-delay.html>

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jennifer Hochschild" <hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 9:13:25 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship

RE one thread of this very interesting discussion: According to "US Immigration Support: your online guide to US visas, green cards, and citizenship" (<http://www.usimmigrationsupport.org/illegalimmigrant-driverslicense.html>):

"The requirements for obtaining a driver's license varies by state. Some states are proposing legislation to prohibit the issuance of state issued identification to individuals who are unable to prove legal immigration status. Conversely, in other states it is possible for an illegal immigrant to obtain a driver's license, as no proof of legal immigration status is required."

So apparently having a drivers license from some states would not be sufficient if one were stopped in AZ under the new law.

Note that I don't see a date on this webpage, but it does refer to "the Obama administration" in another location.

best, Jennifer

On 4/30/2010 9:04 AM, Donna Victoria wrote:

- > @ Donatello: "there is not a single conference attendee who should be
- > negatively impacted by this law"
- >
- > Mike, I think having to worry about being stopped for "driving while brown"
- > with the threat of imminent arrest if you don't immediately present your
- > papers is in itself a negative impact, one that is hardly negated if we get
- > thru the conference without any members in shackles.
- >
- > If you were a Latino AAPOR member thinking of bringing along family, how
- > would you feel about an episode like this happening in front of your
- > children?

>
> I don't agree with a boycott for political reasons, I agree that is a
> tangled web for us, but there are two very real concerns before Council:
>
> Financial -- do we lose more money by canceling and moving, or by staying in
> AZ (i.e., how many members who would have gone will opt out)
> Fraternal -- are we being sufficiently protective of all AAPOR members when
> we know this law is not colorblind -- if members feel strongly that setting
> foot in Arizona disrespects or threatens them, do they lose faith in AAPOR
> as a membership organization if we go ahead anyway? At a minimum, we need
> to carefully consider the views of all members, not send the message that
> this is no big deal.
>
> Personally, I'm on the fence as to whether I'd attend in Arizona or not,
> this needs to play out a little more but AAPOR does not have the time for
> that...kinda hoping the Constitution rides to the rescue, here!
>
> So if we leave AZ, I think the "boycott" should be viewed as a financial
> decision, and one of responsibility to members. It's just business -- and
> AZ lawmakers probably should have thought of these impacts when they acted.

> DonnaV

> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 11:57 PM, Stuart Kasdin<skasdin1@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a violation of the Hatch
>> Act if the organization cancels its location or makes a statement about
>> immigration policy. AAPOR, the organization does not automatically become a
>> different type of organization. In the Bush Administration they did try or
>> discuss eliminating the tax exempt status of churches deemed political (in
a
>> liberal direction, or course). For the Hatch Act, the question would be
>> whether on government time, employees are engaging in political behavior.
>> However, since the purpose of the conference is still focused on survey
>> research and related topics, it seems to me that the federal employee is
>> safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as a different type of
>> organization, that would be different. Still, I am just speculating, so
>> grain of salt and all that...

>> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV> wrote:

>> From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>

>> Subject: Re: Arizona

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM

>> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices
>> to make a political statement will place all members who are federal
>> employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude
>> them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to
>> terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

>>

>> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution,
>> I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require
>> the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of
>> its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup
>> membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

>> I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of
>> their general council and monitor this situation closely.

>> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>

>> Penalties

>> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their
>> position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed
>> thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However,
>> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the
>> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day
>> suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.

>> Sincerely,

>> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.

>> Survey Statistician

>> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431

>> Bureau of Transportation Statistics

>> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.

>> Washington, D. C. 20590

>> P: (202) 366-4108

>> F: (202) 366-3640

>> Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Peter Miller

>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>> Subject: Arizona

>> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now
>> scheduled
>> for Phoenix.

>> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the
>> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have
>> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling
>> the
>> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the
>> law, a
>> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

>> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation
>> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according
>> to

>> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation
>> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to
>> the
>> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.
>> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

>>
>> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary
>> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations
>> which
>> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early
>> next
>> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council
>> members
>> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided
>> by
>> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>>
>> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we
>> have
>> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.
>> Peter

>>
>>
>>
>> --

>> Peter V. Miller, PhD.
>> Department of Communication Studies
>> Northwestern University
>> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research
>> p-miller@northwestern.edu

>>
>> -----
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>> set aapornet nomail
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>
>> -----
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>> set aapornet nomail
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>
>> -----
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>> set aapornet nomail
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>

>

>

>

> --

> -----

> Donna Victoria

> Victoria Research& Consulting

> 301-565-9500

>

> -----

> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> <http://www.aapor.org>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> signoff aapornet

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--

Jennifer L. Hochschild

Harvard University

Henry LaBarre Jayne Professor of Government,

Professor of African and African American Studies, and

Harvard College Professor

Department of Government

Harvard University

CGIS -- 1737 Cambridge Street

Cambridge, MA 02138

Phone: 617-496-0181

Fax: 617-495-0438

Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sun, 2 May 2010 15:23:03 -0700
Reply-To: mike.oneil@alumni.brown.edu
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike O'Neil <mikeoneilaz@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <s2s3dfe7d8c1004291849qd3b56e3end3af61d8d777fc8a@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

AAPOR Friends:

I have been taking in and considering all of your comments on this issue as I sort out my own conflicting thoughts on the issue of an Arizona boycott.

In the meantime, you might be interested to see today's (Sunday) Arizona Republic editorial.

What was quite extraordinary is that it took up the entirety of Page 1 following a headline so large that it took up nearly half the page.

I have lived here just over 30 years and do not recall a Page 1 editorial. I commend it to your attention. Many of the comments this editorial directs at Arizona politicians could as easily be directed at many elected officials from non-border states.

<http://www.azcentral.com/news/articles/2010/05/01/20100501arizona-immigration-problem.html>

Mike O'Neil
Tempe, Arizona
www.mikeoneil.org

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 6:49 PM, Young Chun <y Chun2@gmail.com> wrote:

> It is not an isoe
> decision would affect AAPORites and beyond. Below are the recent
> developments associated with the Arizona law.
>
>
>
> - The city council of Washington, D.C. is considering a city government
> boycott of the state.
>
> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/04/27/AR2010042705146.html>
>

> - In San Francisco, the city council is likely to pass a government
> boycott.
>
> http://blogs.sfweekly.com/thesnitch/2010/04/boycott_arizona_resolution.ph=
> p#moreMayor
> Gavin Newsom has temporarily barred city workers from traveling to
> Arizona on official business.
>
> <http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/Mayor-temporarily-bans-workers-from-trave>=
> [ling-to-Arizona-on-city-business-92263659.html](http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/Mayor-temporarily-bans-workers-from-traveling-to-Arizona-on-city-business-92263659.html)
>
> - The American Immigration Lawyers Association moved its upcoming
> conference
> from Arizona to another state.
> <http://www.aila.org/content/default.aspx?docid=3D31831>
>
> - The nation's biggest Spanish-language newspaper, La Opinion, called for
> general boycotts of all Arizona goods and services.
>
> [http://www.politico.com/blogs/bensmith/0410/Major_paper_joins_Arizona_boy](http://www.politico.com/blogs/bensmith/0410/Major_paper_joins_Arizona_boycott_call.html)=
> [cott_call.html](http://www.politico.com/blogs/bensmith/0410/Major_paper_joins_Arizona_boycott_call.html)
>
>
> It is conceivable that the Arizona law could adversely affect the way we
> research and study in the field of public opinion and survey research
> involving residents in Arizona. Yet I remind you that according to the
> AAPOR by-laws, =93the nature of the business of AAPOR and the objects or
> purposes to be transacted, promoted or carried on by it are exclusively
> charitable, scientific, literary or educational and not for profit.=94
>
> I trust a prudent decision will be made by beautiful minds of the AAPOR
> council and I would honor and support the final decision. Thank you for
> spending your invaluable time for resolving this complex issue.
>
> Very best, Young
>
> -----
>
> Young Chun, Ph.d.
>
> Senior survey methodologist
>
> NORC at the University of Chicago
>
> =93Conduct high quality social science research in the public interest=94
>
> YChun2@gmail.com
>
>
>
> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 9:32 PM, Joel Bloom <joelbloom@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Sorry, Mike, but a driver's license is not proof of citizenship. Try
>> crossing a border with just a driver's license and see how far you get.

> See
>> if they'll let you back in the U.S. According to this law, we would *al= l*
>> need to have with us at all times a birth certificate or passport. The
> law
>> requires law enforcement to ask everybody for proof of citizenship in
> every
>> routine contact, such as a traffic stop, and requires them to detain
> anyone
>> who can't prove their citizenship on the spot. A truck driver who is a
> U.S.
>> citizen has already been shackled and arrested at a weigh station becau=
se
>> he
>> couldn't prove he is a citizen with the papers he had on him (which did
>> include a valid driver's license). This is just a start.
>>
>> The good news (one hopes) is that this law is such a clear violation of
>> constitutional rights, as well as such a clear violation of the role of
> the
>> state vs. the federal government, that I think there is very little
> chance
>> that it will still be in force by this time in 2011. But in the mean ti=
me
>> everyone should be clear on this -- this law is written so broadly that
> it
>> impacts every single person who spends any time at all in the state,
>> regardless of their skin color, accent, nationality, or immigration
> status.
>>
>> If it is still in effect this time next year I'll certainly be staying
>> home.
>>
>> -- Joel
>>
>> --
>> Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.
>> The University at Albany, SUNY
>>
>> Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science
>> Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research
>> Phone: (518) 437-4791
>> Cell: 541-579-6610
>> E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu
>> joeldbloom@googlewave.com
>> Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>
>>
>> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 9:04 PM, Doug Henwood <dhenwood@panix.com>
> wrote:
>>
>>> On Apr 29, 2010, at 8:50 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:
>>>
>>> My \$0.02 as an AAPOR member who does not want to see the
>>>> association, as an

>>> entity, become politicized.
>>>
>>>
>>> Of course, if the convention is there, that's a political decision,
>>> too, and will be perceived as such. There's no way of not being
>>> politicized. As someone said, you may not care about politics, but
>>> politics cares about you.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Doug Henwood
>>> Left Business Observer
>>> 242 Greene Ave - #1C
>>> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
>>> <dhenwood@panix.com>
>>> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
>>> "blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>
>>>
>>> voice +1-347-599-2211
>>> cell +1-917-865-2813
>>>
>>> producer, Behind the News
>>> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
>>> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM
>>>
>>> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
>>> podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
>>> iTunes: <
>>>
>>>
> <http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=3D738018=17>
>>>>
>>> or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>
>>>
>>> -----
>>>
>>> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
>>> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>
>>>
>>>
>>> -----
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>>> set aapornet nomail
>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>>
>>>
>>> -----
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

>> set aapornet nomail
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sun, 2 May 2010 20:34:25 -0400
Reply-To: Jason Boxt <jboxt@GLOVERPARKGROUP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jason Boxt <jboxt@GLOVERPARKGROUP.COM>
Subject: Re: AAPORNET Digest - 29 Apr 2010 to 30 Apr 2010 (#2010-98)
X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
In-Reply-To: <AAPORNET%201004302100027431.C16C@LISTS.ASU.EDU>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I'm glad to see such a robust debate on our listserve about AZ and the convention next year. I'm no lawyer, but I do find it hard to believe that anyone - as a member of AAPOR - would be subjected to Hatch Act penalties were the organization to pull out of AZ.

However, I do have another idea. I think AAPOR would be acting irresponsibly by holding an event where the membership has expressed a clear disinclination to attend, thereby subjecting the membership to a massive financial loss. So, in that spirit, I am informing the AAPOR leadership right now that I won't be attending an AAPOR convention - or anything else for that matter - in the state of Arizona.

Oh, and I do owe everyone an update to my question on open vs. closed ended questions. Forthcoming.

Jason

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sun, 2 May 2010 21:56:04 -0400
Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: mike.oneil@alumni.brown.edu
X-cc: Mike O'Neil <mikeoneilaz@GMAIL.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <x2s17ee023d10050215231ceec3d04jf4a45a2fbff23060@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

The front page placement of the editorial says much about the public reaction to Arizona law SB1070, and little else. The editorial might have some virtue if it took a stand on the law itself, but it doesn't. The closest the editors come is to note, while chastising Gov. Brewer for signing it, the negative impact the law will have on the state.

What is more troubling is that much of the editorial's main argument appears to have been lifted, without attribution, from a far more eloquent opinion piece by Eugene Robinson in the Washington Post last Tuesday:
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/04/26/AR2010042602595.html>

(<http://tinyurl.com/27z4pmz>)

Attacking politicians on both sides is hardly an act of courage these days, and, under the current circumstances, the Arizona Republic has not shown that it has the moral standing to do so.

Jan Werner

Mike O'Neil wrote:

> AAPOR Friends:

>

> I have been taking in and considering all of your comments on this issue as

> I sort out my own conflicting thoughts on the issue of an Arizona boycott.

>

> In the meantime, you might be interested to see today's (Sunday) Arizona

> Republic editorial.

>

> What was quite extraordinary is that it took up the entirety of Page 1

> following a headline so large that it took up nearly half the page.

>

> I have lived here just over 30 years and do not recall a Page 1 editorial.

> I commend it to your attention. Many of the comments this editorial directs
> at Arizona politicians could as easily be directed at many elected
> officials from non-border states.

> <http://www.azcentral.com/news/articles/2010/05/01/20100501arizona-immigration-problem.html>

> Mike O'Neil
> Tempe, Arizona
> www.mikeoneil.org

> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 6:49 PM, Young Chun<[ychun2@gmail.com](mailto:ychn2@gmail.com)> wrote:

>> It is not an isoe
>> decision would affect AAPORites and beyond. Below are the recent
>> developments associated with the Arizona law.

>>*
>> - The city council of Washington, D.C. is considering a city government
>> boycott of the state.

>> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/04/27/AR2010042705146.html>

>> - In San Francisco, the city council is likely to pass a government
>> boycott.

>> http://blogs.sfweekly.com/thesnitch/2010/04/boycott_arizona_resolution.php#moreMayor

>> Gavin Newsom has temporarily barred city workers from traveling to
>> Arizona on official business.

>> <http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/Mayor-temporarily-bans-workers-from-traveling-to-Arizona-on-city-business-92263659.html>

>> - The American Immigration Lawyers Association moved its upcoming
>> conference

>> from Arizona to another state.
>> <http://www.aila.org/content/default.aspx?docid=31831>

>> - The nation's biggest Spanish-language newspaper, La Opinion, called for
>> general boycotts of all Arizona goods and services.

>> http://www.politico.com/blogs/bensmith/0410/Major_paper_joins_Arizona_boycott_call.html

>> It is conceivable that the Arizona law could adversely affect the way we
>> research and study in the field of public opinion and survey research
>> involving residents in Arizona. Yet I remind you that according to the

>> AAPOR by-laws, "the nature of the business of AAPOR and the objects or
>> purposes to be transacted, promoted or carried on by it are exclusively
>> charitable, scientific, literary or educational and not for profit."
>>

>> I trust a prudent decision will be made by beautiful minds of the AAPOR
>> council and I would honor and support the final decision. Thank you for
>> spending your invaluable time for resolving this complex issue.
>>

>> Very best, Young
>>

>> -----
>>

>> Young Chun, Ph.d.
>>

>> Senior survey methodologist
>>

>> NORC at the University of Chicago
>>

>> "Conduct high quality social science research in the public interest"
>>

>> YChun2@gmail.com
>>
>>
>>

>> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 9:32 PM, Joel Bloom<joeldbloom@gmail.com> wrote:
>>

>>> Sorry, Mike, but a driver's license is not proof of citizenship. Try
>>> crossing a border with just a driver's license and see how far you get.
>>> See

>>> if they'll let you back in the U.S. According to this law, we would *all*
>>> need to have with us at all times a birth certificate or passport. The
>> law

>>> requires law enforcement to ask everybody for proof of citizenship in
>> every

>>> routine contact, such as a traffic stop, and requires them to detain
>> anyone

>>> who can't prove their citizenship on the spot. A truck driver who is a
>> U.S.

>>> citizen has already been shackled and arrested at a weigh station because
>>> he

>>> couldn't prove he is a citizen with the papers he had on him (which did
>>> include a valid driver's license). This is just a start.
>>>

>>> The good news (one hopes) is that this law is such a clear violation of
>>> constitutional rights, as well as such a clear violation of the role of
>> the

>>> state vs. the federal government, that I think there is very little
>> chance

>>> that it will still be in force by this time in 2011. But in the mean time
>>> everyone should be clear on this -- this law is written so broadly that
>> it

>>> impacts every single person who spends any time at all in the state,
>>> regardless of their skin color, accent, nationality, or immigration
>> status.

>>>
>>> If it is still in effect this time next year I'll certainly be staying
>>> home.
>>>
>>> -- Joel
>>>
>>> --
>>> Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.
>>> The University at Albany, SUNY
>>>
>>> Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science
>>> Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research
>>> Phone: (518) 437-4791
>>> Cell: 541-579-6610
>>> E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu
>>> joeldbloom@googlewave.com
>>> Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>
>>>
>>> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 9:04 PM, Doug Henwood<dhenwood@panix.com>
>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Apr 29, 2010, at 8:50 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> My \$0.02 as an AAPOR member who does not want to see the
>>>>>> association, as an
>>>>>> entity, become politicized.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Of course, if the convention is there, that's a political decision,
>>>>> too, and will be perceived as such. There's no way of not being
>>>>> politicized. As someone said, you may not care about politics, but
>>>>> politics cares about you.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Doug Henwood
>>>>> Left Business Observer
>>>>> 242 Greene Ave - #1C
>>>>> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
>>>>> <dhenwood@panix.com>
>>>>> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
>>>>> "blog":<<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>
>>>>>
>>>>> voice +1-347-599-2211
>>>>> cell +1-917-865-2813
>>>>>
>>>>> producer, Behind the News
>>>>> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
>>>>> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM
>>>>>
>>>>> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
>>>>> podcast:<<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
>>>>> iTunes:<
>>>>>

>>>
>> <http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>
>>>>>
>>>> or<<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>
>>>>
>>>> -----
>>>>
>>>> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
>>>> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> -----
>>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>>>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>>>> set aapornet nomail
>>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
>>>> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> -----
>>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>>>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>>>> set aapornet nomail
>>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
>>>> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> -----
>>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>>>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>>>> set aapornet nomail
>>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> -----
>>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>>>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>>>> set aapornet nomail
>>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> -----
>>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>>>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>>>> set aapornet nomail
>>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> -----
>>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>>>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>>>> set aapornet nomail

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sun, 2 May 2010 19:06:16 -0700
Reply-To: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <x2s17ee023d10050215231ceec3d04jf4a45a2fbff23060@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A very solid book by about immigration policy, is called "Beyond Smoke and Mirrors: Mexican Immigration in an Era of Economic Integration". It's not long but it's very informative and readable. The author, Douglas Massey, is a leading scholar on Mexican immigration.

-leora

Dr. Leora Lawton
TechSociety Research
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572
www.techsociety.com
Yahoo Messenger: leora_lawton

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 10:52:10 -0400
Reply-To: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <5D905A2F5510E24CB80F8FEA67AEB49D03B2BEBA@GODFATHER.cpms.osd.mil>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Jim et. al.,

Thank you for responding to my post regarding the Hatch Act. I knew my post was a stretch, and in many ways, it was intended to be overblown.

I did not join AAPOR to get involved in political advocacy of any kind. I find myself growing tired of the increased number of politically oriented posts on this listserv (e.g., Tea Party - We all know who they are right? - paraphrased from a previous post).

The idea that this organization would consider moving the site of the 2011 conference in order to make a political statement makes me question whether it is an organization that promotes the art and science of survey research, or uses that guise as a vehicle to promote the political agendas of its members. If the later is true, then the applicability of the Hatch Act becomes more relevant in my mind. You are correct in that AAPOR's decision to move the conference is not prohibited under the Hatch Act. That doesn't mean that someone could not make the argument that my participation and support of such action is.

Best,
Ken

-----Original Message-----

From: Caplan, James R., , CPMS Civ [mailto:james.caplan@cpms.osd.mil]
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 8:02 AM
To: Steve, Kenneth (RITA)
Subject: RE: Arizona

Ken,
Please check your facts. AAPOR moving its meeting location is in no way a prohibited political act under Hatch. What Hatch prohibits is PARTISAN political activity in the workplace or using your government position to advocate for a partisan candidate.
Thanks,
Jim

James R. Caplan, Ph.D.
Management Analyst
Department of Defense
Civilian Personnel Management Service
HR-Business Information Technology Solutions: CDA
703-696-8754
DSN: 426-8754

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU] On Behalf Of kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 11:12 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Arizona

Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices to make a political statement will place all members who are federal employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to

terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution, I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of their general council and monitor this situation closely.

<http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>

Penalties

An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However, if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.

Sincerely,

Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.

Survey Statistician
Office of Survey Programs, E34-431
Bureau of Transportation Statistics
1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.
Washington, D. C. 20590
P: (202) 366-4108
F: (202) 366-3640
Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation

very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.
Peter

--
Peter V. Miller, PhD.
Department of Communication Studies
Northwestern University
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research
p-miller@northwestern.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 11:04:11 -0400
Reply-To: Paul Gurwitz <pgurwitz@RENAISS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Paul Gurwitz <pgurwitz@RENAISS.COM>
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The idea that this organization would consider moving the site of the 2011 conference in order to make a political statement makes me question whether it is an organization that promotes the art and science of survey research, or uses that guise as a vehicle to promote the political agendas of its members.

I may be betraying my advanced age and decrepitude, but I think there may be a precedent: Wasn't one of the requirements for a site for the AAPOR convention in the early 1980s that it had to be located in a state that had ratified the Equal Rights Amendment?

Paul Gurwitz

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 11:08:26 -0400
Reply-To: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: [aapornet aapornet <aapornet@asu.edu>](mailto:aapornet aapornet@asu.edu)
In-Reply-To: <4BDEE5EB.4030800@renaiss.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed; delpsp=yes
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)

On May 3, 2010, at 11:04 AM, Paul Gurwitz wrote:

> The idea that this organization would consider moving the site of
> the

> 2011 conference in order to make a political statement makes me
> question
> whether it is an organization that promotes the art and science of
> survey research, or uses that guise as a vehicle to promote the
> political agendas of its members.
>
>
>
> I may be betraying my advanced age and decrepitude, but I think there
> may be a precedent: Wasn't one of the requirements for a site for the
> AAPOR convention in the early 1980s that it had to be located in a
> state
> that had ratified the Equal Rights Amendment?

I'll say it again, since it didn't seem to make an impact the first
time: holding the conference in Arizona is also a political statement,
like it or not.

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
iTunes:
<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>
>
or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 11:09:47 -0400
Reply-To: Ward R Kay <wkay1@GMU.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Ward R Kay <wkay1@GMU.EDU>
Subject: Opinion on Immigration
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <D44FDB37EB1F4B4BA1B828EABB99938D@del12005>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-disposition: inline

For those interested in public opinion on immigration, I will be presenting a paper on the topic at the conference at 8 am Friday.

And if you are really interested, I can send you a copy of my dissertation, defended successfully last week, which uses opinion on immigration as the case study for the difference between public opinion as measured by surveys and opinion expressed through interest groups.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 10:24:54 -0500
Reply-To: "G. Donald Ferree, Jr." <gferree@SSC.WISC.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "G. Donald Ferree, Jr." <gferree@SSC.WISC.EDU>
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
X-cc: aapornet@asu.edu
In-Reply-To:
<49D6DE4CBF9A3649A1A7BFA5D58B525B010F0A74@OSTMAIL04VS5.ad.dot.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Colleagues,

I appreciate Ken Steve's correction of his original posting about the Hatch Act.

I would certainly defend his right, and the right of all AAPOR members to make clear their views on the appropriateness of the organization's taking or not taking certain positions, and to be treated with respect.

I would also urge all of us -- both in the abstract and as modeling the appropriate

parameters of intellectual debate within AAPOR and vis a vis the wider community --
to be careful of hyperbole or overstatement for effect. Especially when the debate
around the Arizona law substantively and immigration policy more generally often
revolves around alleged "facts" which may well be in dispute, we need to be careful.

I am reminded of the principle that "everyone is entitled to his or her opinion but not his or her own facts". As I look out on earlier comments here and in more public fora I see no monopoly of overstatement or sensationalism on any side.

Cheers, and I look forward to continuing discussion of this issue on methodological,
policy, and professional dimensions here and in Chicago in ten days.

Don

Granted that so much of the debate here has to do with disputed facts, let Jim et. al.,

>
> Thank you for responding to my post regarding the Hatch Act. I knew my
> post was a stretch, and in many ways, it was intended to be overblown.
> I did not join AAPOR to get involved in political advocacy of any kind.
> I find myself growing tired of the increased number of politically
> oriented posts on this listserv (e.g., Tea Party - We all know who they
> are right? - paraphrased from a previous post).

>
> The idea that this organization would consider moving the site of the
> 2011 conference in order to make a political statement makes me question
> whether it is an organization that promotes the art and science of
> survey research, or uses that guise as a vehicle to promote the
> political agendas of its members. If the later is true, then the
> applicability of the Hatch Act becomes more relevant in my mind. You
> are correct in that AAPOR's decision to move the conference is not
> prohibited under the Hatch Act. That doesn't mean that someone could
> not make the argument that my participation and support of such action
> is.

>
> Best,
> Ken

>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Caplan, James R., , CPMS Civ [mailto:james.caplan@cpms.osd.mil]
> Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 8:02 AM
> To: Steve, Kenneth (RITA)
> Subject: RE: Arizona

>
> Ken,
> Please check your facts. AAPOR moving its meeting location is in no way
> a
> prohibited political act under Hatch. What Hatch prohibits is PARTISAN

> political activity in the workplace or using your government position to
> advocate for a partisan candidate.

> Thanks,

> Jim

>

> James R. Caplan, Ph.D.

> Management Analyst

> Department of Defense

> Civilian Personnel Management Service

> HR-Business Information Technology Solutions: CDA

> 703-696-8754

> DSN: 426-8754

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU] On Behalf Of

> kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV

> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 11:12 PM

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> Subject: Re: Arizona

>

> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices
> to make a political statement will place all members who are federal
> employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude
> them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to
> terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

>

> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution,
> I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require
> the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of
> its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup
> membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

>

> I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of
> their general council and monitor this situation closely.

>

> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>

>

> Penalties

>

> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their
> position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed
> thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However,
> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the
> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day
> suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.

>

>

> Sincerely,

>

> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.

>

> Survey Statistician

> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431

> Bureau of Transportation Statistics
> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.
> Washington, D. C. 20590
> P: (202) 366-4108
> F: (202) 366-3640
> Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov
> -----Original Message-----
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: Arizona

>
> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now
> scheduled
> for Phoenix.

>
> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have
> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling
> the
> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the
> law, a
> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

>
> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation
> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according
> to
> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation
> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to
> the
> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

>
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations
> which
> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early
> next
> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council
> members
> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided
> by
> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we
> have
> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.
> Peter

>
>
>
> --
> Peter V. Miller, PhD.
> Department of Communication Studies

> Northwestern University
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research
> p-miller@northwestern.edu
>

> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 11:34:05 -0400
Reply-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>
Subject: Re: Arizona: off-site events, a suggestion
X-To: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I am most disturbed about the 2011 conference location because of the possibility that there will be two classes of members - those likely to be harassed and those for which this is not a realistic problem. There seems to be a consensus that harassment is unlikely at the conference

and in the conference hotel, so we could reduce the discriminatory effects by discouraging off-site activities that are not safe for all attendees. If the lead time for these activities is relatively short, this also allows us to see the actual effects of the new law.

This is not a morally pure solution: attendees still need to get to and from the hotel at the start and end of the conference, and this may mean that some attendees have options for alternative lodging that are risky for others; but I propose it as one way to mitigate the problem.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.
Peter

--

Peter V. Miller, PhD.
Department of Communication Studies
Northwestern University
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research
p-miller@northwestern.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 13:17:02 -0400
Reply-To: "Blumberg, Stephen J. (CDC/OSELS/NCHS)" <swb5@CDC.GOV>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Blumberg, Stephen J. (CDC/OSELS/NCHS)" <swb5@CDC.GOV>
Subject: Please Vote on the AAPOR Code Revision
X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT!

For the first time since 2005, the Executive Council agreed to update and strengthen the AAPOR Code of Professional Ethics and Practices. The proposed revisions are the product of nearly one year of effort by an ad hoc Code Review Committee and by the Standards Committee. Further revisions occurred as a result of comments received from members.

The Code expresses the principles that we, as an association, believe support sound and ethical practice in the conduct and use of survey and public opinion research. All AAPOR members subscribe to the Code. Therefore, at least 25% of the eligible membership must vote on all revisions.

If you have not taken the time to vote on the proposed new Code, I urge you to do so now.

Instructions for voting were e-mailed to members earlier today. You will need your AAPOR ID#, and you will need to go to

<https://eballot.votenet.com/aapor>. Your AAPOR ID# was included in this morning's e-mail from AAPOR Headquarters. If you have misplaced it, you may contact Barbara Gunderson at Headquarters (bgunderson@aapor.org).

There is only one question on the ballot, so voting will take only a moment.

Thank you to those who have already voted. If you have not, please cast your vote today.

--Stephen--

Stephen J. Blumberg, Ph.D.
Standards Chair, 2009-2010

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 13:28:59 -0400
Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing
Subject: Some considerations on Arizona 2011
X-To: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There are two different issues to consider with regard to holding the 2011 AAPOR conference in Arizona:

- 1) The safety of attendees.
- 2) The moral (or ideological, depending on your viewpoint) aspect.

Both issues are important, but I think that we need to clearly distinguish between them while discussing what AAPOR's proper course of action should be.

With respect to 1), I don't believe that any AAPOR members would be at risk, even if SB1070 were in effect and strictly enforced at the time of the conference. Whatever one may think of supporters of the law, I don't believe they are that stupid.

With respect to 2), I agree in principle with those AAPOR members who feel that holding the 2011 conference in Phoenix would be a moral failure if not a tacit endorsement of the law. But I also feel that the high cost of withdrawal at this late date would impose an unfair burden

on all members of our organization.

Nonetheless, I do feel that we should take some action that would have an impact beyond just words. That is why I suggest passing a resolution stating that AAPOR's Executive Council may no longer consider Arizona as a venue for any future conference unless and until the legislature there repeals the law, not just if it is rejected by the courts. That might give those decent citizens of Arizona something to fight for.

Jan Werner

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 13:40:37 -0400
Reply-To: "Featherston, Fran A." <ffeather@NSF.GOV>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Featherston, Fran A." <ffeather@NSF.GOV>
Subject: What revisions are we making to the AAPOR Code?
X-To: "Blumberg, Stephen J. (CDC/OSELS/NCHS)" <swb5@CDC.GOV>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:
A<BC216181F8272842AD53CAF1FB80515D026FBC3B@LTA3VS012.ees.hhs.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Steve,

Thanks for the prompting. How do we find out the details of the changes we are being asked to vote on? I tried signing into the ballot as well as signing onto the members section of the AAPOR.ORG site, but I did not find a description of the changes.

Also, a note on usability. This ballot wants just the five digit AAPOR ID and NOT the same version that aapor.org wants.

(fran)

Fran Featherston
National Science Foundation
4201 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22230
703-292-4221
ffeatherston@nsf.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Blumberg, Stephen J. (CDC/OSELS/NCHS)
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 1:17 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: [AAPORNET] Please Vote on the AAPOR Code Revision

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT!

For the first time since 2005, the Executive Council agreed to update and strengthen the AAPOR Code of Professional Ethics and Practices. The proposed revisions are the product of nearly one year of effort by an ad hoc Code Review Committee and by the Standards Committee. Further revisions occurred as a result of comments received from members.

The Code expresses the principles that we, as an association, believe support sound and ethical practice in the conduct and use of survey and public opinion research. All AAPOR members subscribe to the Code. Therefore, at least 25% of the eligible membership must vote on all revisions.

If you have not taken the time to vote on the proposed new Code, I urge you to do so now.

Instructions for voting were e-mailed to members earlier today. You will need your AAPOR ID#, and you will need to go to <https://eballot.votenet.com/aapor>. Your AAPOR ID# was included in this morning's e-mail from AAPOR Headquarters. If you have misplaced it, you may contact Barbara Gunderson at Headquarters (bgunderson@aapor.org).

There is only one question on the ballot, so voting will take only a moment.

Thank you to those who have already voted. If you have not, please cast your vote today.

--Stephen--

Stephen J. Blumberg, Ph.D.
Standards Chair, 2009-2010

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 13:43:59 -0400

Reply-To: "Blumberg, Stephen J. (CDC/OSELS/NCHS)" <swb5@CDC.GOV>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Blumberg, Stephen J. (CDC/OSELS/NCHS)" <swb5@CDC.GOV>
Subject: Re: What revisions are we making to the AAPOR Code?
X-To: "Featherston, Fran A." <ffeather@nsf.gov>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <EEA122A2F2AE254E94C70364E44F075001EBD421@NSF-BE-02.ad.nsf.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

For details on the changes that you are being asked to vote on, please see:

http://www.aapor.org/Proposed_Changes_to_the_Code.htm

There is also a link to this page from the AAPOR.ORG homepage.

On this page, you will find links to the proposed Code and the rationale for the proposed revisions.

--Stephen--

Stephen J. Blumberg, Ph.D.
Standards Chair, 2009-2010

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 12:56:08 -0500
Reply-To: Woody Carter <wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Woody Carter <wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU>
Subject: Re: Some considerations on Arizona 2011
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <4BDF07DB.6040009@jwdp.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

With regard to the safety issue: we cannot predict what the environment will be like at the time of the conference.

It is interesting to note that the predictions being presented in emails in this forum (although not in the one below) are all best-case scenarios -- the Supreme Court will strike down the law, injunctions will prevent it from going into effect, the police won't be so stupid as to act like police act everywhere else they're given blanket discretion, etc. etc.

But how about those pesky worst case scenarios? Suppose immigrants turn to civil disobedience or worse to promote their cause in response to logjam in Washington, recession, and punitive state laws? And the country becomes not only polarized (as it is now) but mobilized?

Then then Arizona law, which opens a chasm of discretion which rivals the Grand Canyon in majesty, could easily be used to frighten and intimidate our members of Latino descent during our Phoenix gathering.

The very unpredictability of the future in the atmosphere of the law as passed and signed by the governor urges caution in exposing our members and their families to such a potential.

Woody

----- Original message -----

>Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 13:28:59 -0400

>From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>

>Subject: Some considerations on Arizona 2011

>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>

>There are two different issues to consider with regard to holding the

>2011 AAPOR conference in Arizona:

>

>1) The safety of attendees.

>2) The moral (or ideological, depending on your viewpoint) aspect.

>

>Both issues are important, but I think that we need to clearly >distinguish between them while discussing what AAPOR's proper course of >action should be.

>

>With respect to 1), I don't believe that any AAPOR members would be at

>risk, even if SB1070 were in effect and strictly enforced at the time of

>the conference. Whatever one may think of supporters of the law, I

>don't believe they are that stupid.

>

>With respect to 2), I agree in principle with those AAPOR members who

>feel that holding the 2011 conference in Phoenix would be a moral >failure if not a tacit endorsement of the law. But I also

feel that the

>high cost of withdrawal at this late date would impose an unfair burden

>on all members of our organization.

>

>Nonetheless, I do feel that we should take some action that

would have
>an impact beyond just words. That is why I suggest passing a resolution
>stating that AAPOR's Executive Council may no longer consider Arizona as
>a venue for any future conference unless and until the legislature there
>repeals the law, not just if it is rejected by the courts.
That might
>give those decent citizens of Arizona something to fight for.
>
>Jan Werner
>

>-----
>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
><http://www.aapor.org>
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
>Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>signoff aapornet
>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 14:09:02 -0400
Reply-To: Paul Braun <pbraun@BRAUNRESEARCH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Paul Braun <pbraun@BRAUNRESEARCH.COM>
Subject: Re: Some considerations on Arizona 2011
X-To: jwerner@jwdp.com, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <4BDF07DB.6040009@jwdp.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

What about the other considerations including:

- 1 - The significant cost to the organization to relocate?
- 2 - The possibility that AAPOR relocates to another state only to discover later (and closer to the conference) that a similar or even more onerous law gets passed in our new location?

While I understand the impulse to sanction, there are a lot of powerless people who will be directly hurt and unjustly or disproportionately punished. Is the hotel worker who will lose his or her job less important than the unaffected office worker?

Is there something else like-minded people CAN do while in Arizona? I like talking with people, sharing views and pushing back on bad ideas with better

ones. I think economic sanctions is like declaring war.

Just my two cents.

Paul

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner

Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 1:29 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Some considerations on Arizona 2011

There are two different issues to consider with regard to holding the 2011 AAPOR conference in Arizona:

- 1) The safety of attendees.
- 2) The moral (or ideological, depending on your viewpoint) aspect.

Both issues are important, but I think that we need to clearly distinguish between them while discussing what AAPOR's proper course of action should be.

With respect to 1), I don't believe that any AAPOR members would be at risk, even if SB1070 were in effect and strictly enforced at the time of the conference. Whatever one may think of supporters of the law, I don't believe they are that stupid.

With respect to 2), I agree in principle with those AAPOR members who feel that holding the 2011 conference in Phoenix would be a moral failure if not a tacit endorsement of the law. But I also feel that the high cost of withdrawal at this late date would impose an unfair burden on all members of our organization.

Nonetheless, I do feel that we should take some action that would have an impact beyond just words. That is why I suggest passing a resolution stating that AAPOR's Executive Council may no longer consider Arizona as a venue for any future conference unless and until the legislature there repeals the law, not just if it is rejected by the courts. That might give those decent citizens of Arizona something to fight for.

Jan Werner

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 14:15:32 EDT
Reply-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Andrew Gage <AGage95526@AOL.COM>
Subject: Re: Some considerations on Arizona 2011
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have been sitting back for quite some time reading the responses and reaction to the Arizona law. We are public opinion researchers. We may not always like the conclusions of our research for any number of reasons yet we stand behind them from a moral and ethical standpoint.

I'm kind of surprised this is even a discussion. Are we seriously going to back out of Arizona because of the decision of their state government and from what I understand with the support of a majority of citizens in Arizona? If it is the will of that state government and its citizens to enact such a law who are we to judge? I actually think that not holding it in Arizona raises more questions about our organization and the meaning of our code of ethics than having it there.

We have more than words to address this issue. We have the time and resources to do exhaustive "Public Opinion Research" on this topic in Arizona and nation wide. The results aside this is how AAPOR should address this issue by doing what we do best. Do the research, write vigorously about what we did find and present to the public and our leaders.

Lets not fall into the trap of the same old lame responses to issues like this. Lets tackle it head on like few organizations can. Knowledge is power and the ability to gather that knowledge is at our disposal and we should use it.

Andy

In a message dated 5/3/2010 1:57:22 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU writes:

With regard to the safety issue: we cannot predict what the environment will be like at the time of the conference.

It is interesting to note that the predictions being presented in emails in this forum (although not in the one below) are all best-case scenarios -- the Supreme Court will strike down

the law, injunctions will prevent it from going into effect, the police won't be so stupid as to act like police act everywhere else they're given blanket discretion, etc. etc.

But how about those pesky worst case scenarios? Suppose immigrants turn to civil disobedience or worse to promote their cause in response to logjam in Washington, recession, and punitive state laws? And the country becomes not only polarized (as it is now) but mobilized?

Then then Arizona law, which opens a chasm of discretion which rivals the Grand Canyon in majesty, could easily be used to frighten and intimidate our members of Latino descent during our Phoenix gathering.

The very unpredictability of the future in the atmosphere of the law as passed and signed by the governor urges caution in exposing our members and their families to such a potential.

Woody

----- Original message -----

>Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 13:28:59 -0400

>From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>

>Subject: Some considerations on Arizona 2011

>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>

>There are two different issues to consider with regard to holding the

>2011 AAPOR conference in Arizona:

>

>1) The safety of attendees.

>2) The moral (or ideological, depending on your viewpoint) aspect.

>

>Both issues are important, but I think that we need to clearly distinguish between them while discussing what AAPOR's proper course of action should be.

>

>With respect to 1), I don't believe that any AAPOR members would be at

>risk, even if SB1070 were in effect and strictly enforced at the time of

>the conference. Whatever one may think of supporters of the law, I

>don't believe they are that stupid.

>

>With respect to 2), I agree in principle with those AAPOR members who

>feel that holding the 2011 conference in Phoenix would be a moral

>failure if not a tacit endorsement of the law. But I also feel that the

>high cost of withdrawal at this late date would impose an

unfair burden
>on all members of our organization.
>
>Nonetheless, I do feel that we should take some action that
would have
>an impact beyond just words. That is why I suggest passing a
resolution
>stating that AAPOR's Executive Council may no longer consider
Arizona as
>a venue for any future conference unless and until the
legislature there
>repeals the law, not just if it is rejected by the courts.
That might
>give those decent citizens of Arizona something to fight for.
>
>Jan Werner
>

>-----
>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
><http://www.aapor.org>
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
>Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>signoff aapornet
>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 15:34:46 -0400
Reply-To: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<[B9AFA686-71B1-46C1-A2F3-EA3B9DE2D7EB@panix.com](mailto:A-B9AFA686-71B1-46C1-A2F3-EA3B9DE2D7EB@panix.com)>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

> I'll say it again, since it didn't seem to make an impact the first

> time: holding the conference in Arizona is also a political statement,
> like it or not.

So is it AAPOR's responsibility to make a political statement on my behalf, like it or not?

You can choose a ready guide in some celestial voice
If you choose not to decide, you still have made a choice
You can choose from phantom fears and kindness that can kill
I will choose a path that's clear
I will choose freewill

Geddy Lee, Alex Lifeson & Neil Peart

Best,
Ken

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Doug Henwood
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 11:08 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Arizona

On May 3, 2010, at 11:04 AM, Paul Gurwitz wrote:

> The idea that this organization would consider moving the site of
> the
> 2011 conference in order to make a political statement makes me
> question
> whether it is an organization that promotes the art and science of
> survey research, or uses that guise as a vehicle to promote the
> political agendas of its members.
>
>
>
> I may be betraying my advanced age and decrepitude, but I think there
> may be a precedent: Wasn't one of the requirements for a site for the
> AAPOR convention in the early 1980s that it had to be located in a
> state
> that had ratified the Equal Rights Amendment?

I'll say it again, since it didn't seem to make an impact the first time: holding the conference in Arizona is also a political statement, like it or not.

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>

"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211

cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News

Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM

Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>

podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>

iTunes:

<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=738018>

17

>

or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at

<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 15:42:07 -0400

Reply-To: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>

Subject: Re: Arizona

X-To: aapornet aapornet <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To:

<49D6DE4CBF9A3649A1A7BFA5D58B525B010F0A75@OSTMAIL04VS5.ad.dot.gov>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed; delsp=yes

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)

On May 3, 2010, at 3:34 PM, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV wrote:

> You can choose a ready guide in some celestial voice

> If you choose not to decide, you still have made a choice

- > You can choose from phantom fears and kindness that can kill
- > I will choose a path that's clear
- > I will choose freewill
- >
- > Geddy Lee, Alex Lifeson & Neil Peart

I'll just bracket my concerns about "choice" and "freewill" to say, wow, Geddy Lee et al.

- > what about the voice of geddy lee
- > how did it get so high?
- > i wonder if he speaks like an ordinary guy?
- > (i know him and he does!)
- > and you're my fact-checkin' cuz
- > (Aww...)

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 19:46:11 GMT
Reply-To: "mpcohen@juno.com" <mpcohen@JUNO.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "mpcohen@juno.com" <mpcohen@JUNO.COM>
Subject: Re: Arizona: off-site events, a suggestion
X-To: MXB@CBSNEWS.COM
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I am grateful to Michael Butterworth for his comments. To me the discussion of whether moving or not moving the conference would be political missed the key point. As a professional organization AAPOR needs to have its annual meeting in a venue where all its member attendees feel welcome and at ease. This is the issue about which we should be concerned. Mike

Michael P. Cohen
mpcohen@juno.com

----- Original Message -----
From: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Arizona: off-site events, a suggestion
Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 11:34:05 -0400

I am most disturbed about the 2011 conference location because of the possibility that there will be two classes of members - those likely to

be harassed and those for which this is not a realistic problem. There seems to be a consensus that harassment is unlikely at the conference and in the conference hotel, so we could reduce the discriminatory effects by discouraging off-site activities that are not safe for all attendees. If the lead time for these activities is relatively short, this also allows us to see the actual effects of the new law.

This is not a morally pure solution: attendees still need to get to and from the hotel at the start and end of the conference, and this may mean that some attendees have options for alternative lodging that are risky for others; but I propose it as one way to mitigate the problem.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.
Peter

--

Peter V. Miller, PhD.
Department of Communication Studies
Northwestern University
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research
p-miller@northwestern.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

#1 Oil & Gas Penny Stock
PDGO - Paradigm Oil and Gas \$800Million in Probable Oil Reserves
<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL3141/4bdf282f4428b26f9ddst06vuc>

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 16:47:27 -0400
Reply-To: "Goldenberg, Karen - BLS" <Goldenberg.Karen@BLS.GOV>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Goldenberg, Karen - BLS" <Goldenberg.Karen@BLS.GOV>
Subject: AAPOR has gone down this path before
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I am feeling really old...because I don't recall anyone mentioning that AAPOR faced a similar dilemma as we planned for the 1991 conference in Phoenix. The Arizona legislature had decided not to approve the Martin Luther King birthday holiday, and many members were up in arms and

calling for Council to cancel or move the conference. Moving would have been very expensive, and there was uncertainty about our ability to find another location with the meeting and sleeping space we needed. As I recall, the solution-in parallel with what some posters have suggested for this conference-was a conference with a theme focused on issues of racism. =20

Personally, I don't think AAPOR can afford to move the conference. I used to be responsible for site selection, and 20 years ago it was difficult to find a venue that would hold all of our space needs within two years of the conference. We've doubled in size since then, and more than doubled our space requirements for meals and parallel sessions. Even if we did not have a huge cancellation penalty, it would be a challenge to find another location that could hold us comfortably. And as someone pointed out, the people who would be hurt the most are the tourism industry employees-many of whom are already vulnerable if this new law goes into effect. I'd rather see us meet the challenge through our program and use the program to send our message to the state.

Anyone can choose to boycott any conference for any reason. AAPORites have provided a broad range of issues about the implications of changing or not changing the venue, and many of them put us in a "damned if you do, damned if you don't" situation. Council has a lot to consider as they weigh the pros and cons of how to react to the legislation.

At least 2011 is not a WAPOR year, although we do get international attendees at most meetings.

Karen Goldenberg

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 21:16:24 -0500
Reply-To: "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Subject: Arizona and Irony
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <B9AFA686-71B1-46C1-A2F3-EA3B9DE2D7EB@panix.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Has anyone noted the irony of the fact that, in economically boycotting Arizona by cancelling the 2011 conference, we would still be giving the state (ok - the hotel and other venues) \$200,000 in cancellation fees. These fees would not be passed on to people working at the hotel, but passed on as more

or less pure profit.

So the boycott would consist of giving Arizona \$200K?

Sorry if this is not the first mention of this. I haven't read all of the posts on this issue on AAPORNET.

RONALD Z. SZOC, PhD | 703.934.3456-Office | 202.345.1085-Cell |

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 11:19:48 -0400

Reply-To: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>

Subject: Arizona: Talk is cheap; boycotts should not be

X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8

Dear colleagues,

I have been reading the posts for several days and I have been impressed with the thoughtfulness of many posts and the decorum shown by all. I also understand that the association leadership is in a difficult position, with any decision angering some members while pleasing others; and the financial implications for the association also remain unclear as we are likely to incur costs not only by canceling but also by reduced attendance if we remain in AZ. I will be a loyal member of AAPOR regardless of how they resolve this.

My sense is that boycotts can be especially effective because they often move an ambivalent business community from the sidelines to being active participants in policy making. On highly polarizing issues, bringing in people from the sidelines often results in more productive discourse and the possibility of compromise. Of course, boycotts draw their strength not only from the costs inflicted on the targets of the boycott, but also on the elevated stature of those willing to make personal sacrifices in order to communicate their sense of injustice. Talk is cheap; boycotts are not supposed to be.

Thus, I would also suggest that should the council elect to move the location of the meeting, that we establish a fund to retire the incurred cancellation fees. AAPOR is by far the most expensive association to which I belong

(though perhaps the best value!) and I know that raising membership or conference registration fees will be a burden for many - and most especially our younger and student members. Thus I hope that others who would endorse a boycott, and who are financially able to do so, pledge to contribute to such a fund - putting our money where our mouth is, so to speak. I, for one, would be pleased to do so by paying not only my "share" but the share of others who are unable to do so without substantial burden.

- Eric

Eric Plutzer, Professor of Political Science
and Academic Director, Survey Research Center
The Pennsylvania State University

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 11:20:28 -0500
Reply-To: amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Allan L. McCutcheon" <amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU>
Subject: Re: Arizona: Talk is cheap; boycotts should not be
X-To: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <12729863871.7496261.01@psu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; DelSp="Yes"; format="flowed"
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi All,

I, too, would be willing to contribute to this.

Best,
Allan

--
Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science
Professor of Statistics &
Survey Research and Methodology
tel. +402.472.7793
fax +402.472.7764

Quoting Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>:

> Dear colleagues,
>
> I have been reading the posts for several days and I have been impressed
with
> the thoughtfulness of many posts and the decorum shown by all. I also
> understand that the association leadership is in a difficult
> position, with any
> decision angering some members while pleasing others; and the financial
> implications for the association also remain unclear as we are
> likely to incur
> costs not only by canceling but also by reduced attendance if we
> remain in AZ.
> I will be a loyal member of AAPOR regardless of how they resolve this.
>
> My sense is that boycotts can be especially effective because they often
move
> an ambivalent business community from the sidelines to being active
> participants in policy making. On highly polarizing issues,
> bringing in people
> from the sidelines often results in more productive discourse and the
> possibility of compromise. Of course, boycotts draw their strength not only
> from the costs inflicted on the targets of the boycott, but also on the
> elevated stature of those willing to make personal sacrifices in order to
> communicate their sense of injustice. Talk is cheap; boycotts are
> not supposed
> to be.
>
> Thus, I would also suggest that should the council elect to move the
location
> of the meeting, that we establish a fund to retire the incurred cancellation
> fees. AAPOR is by far the most expensive association to which I
> belong (though
> perhaps the best value!) and I know that raising membership or conference
> registration fees will be a burden for many - and most especially our
younger
> and student members. Thus I hope that others who would endorse a
> boycott, and
> who are financially able to do so, pledge to contribute to such a fund -
> putting our money where our mouth is, so to speak. I, for one, would be
> pleased to do so by paying not only my "share" but the share of
> others who are
> unable to do so without substantial burden.

> - Eric

> ~~~~~
> Eric Plutzer, Professor of Political Science
> and Academic Director, Survey Research Center
> The Pennsylvania State University

> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 09:46:49 -0700
Reply-To: John Thompson <thompson-john@NORC.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: John Thompson <thompson-john@NORC.ORG>
Subject: Reminder of NORC Reception May 14, 2010
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

To My Colleagues at AAPOR:

A friendly reminder of NORC's reception on Friday, May 14th from 5:45 pm =
to=20
7:45 pm. The reception will be held at the Intercontinental Hotel locate=
d=20
across the street from the Marriott in the King Arthur Court banquet room=
=20
located on the 3rd floor (Historic Tower).

We will serve refreshments including cocktails, light appetizers and some=
=20
give-away mementos that you will enjoy. If you haven't responded, please=
=20
do so at <http://www.norc.org/News/Reception.htm>.

Best regards,

John Thompson
President and CEO
NORC

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 10:17:55 -0700
Reply-To: Tom Bowerman <tom@POLICYINTERACTIVE.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Tom Bowerman <tom@POLICYINTERACTIVE.ORG>
Subject: Arizona - Morality, Neutrality, Liability
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset="iso-8859-1";
reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dear AAPORwarriors,

I too have been following this conversation without comment. I observe many cogent and heartfelt positions.

I ask, is there a moral role for some institutions and organizations to operate from neutrality for the purpose of fulfilling their social obligation? Should NPR or ABC boycott ground news coverage of events in Arizona? Is AAPOR's ability to be a representative or arbiter for objective research impacted by taking an overt ideological or social justice position on issues beyond the specific realm defined in the mission statement?

Andy Gage touched this issue. What is the role for neutrality and non-priming part of an organization which supports conducting good research?

In this context I believe there is some liability to the organization itself taking a proactive position on something which duly democratically elected officials have enacted as policy. I fully support each individual to make their own decision on attending the conference, and many may personally boycott if the Arizona venue is retained. So it seems that some fiscal implications will occur one way or the other. Putting fiscal implications aside, it seems to me that the neutrality of the organization toward social issues outside of the specific AAPOR mission is one essential topic the board must weigh.

Best regards,

Tom Bowerman
www.policyinteractive.org

----- Original Message -----

From: "Andrew Gage" <AGage95526@AOL.COM>
To: <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 11:15 AM
Subject: Re: Some considerations on Arizona 2011

>I have been sitting back for quite some time reading the responses and
> reaction to the Arizona law. We are public opinion researchers. We may not
> always like the conclusions of our research for any number of reasons yet
> we
> stand behind them from a moral and ethical standpoint.
>
> I'm kind of surprised this is even a discussion. Are we seriously going to
> back out of Arizona because of the decision of their state government and
> from what I understand with the support of a majority of citizens in
> Arizona? If it is the will of that state government and its citizens to
> enact such

> a law who are we to judge? I actually think that not holding it in
> Arizona
> raises more questions about our organization and the meaning of our code
> of ethics than having it there.
>
> We have more than words to address this issue. We have the time and
> resources to do exhaustive "Public Opinion Research" on this topic in
> Arizona and
> nation wide. The results aside this is how AAPOR should address this issue
> by doing what we do best. Do the research, write vigorously about what we
> did find and present to the public and our leaders.
>
> Lets not fall into the trap of the same old lame responses to issues like
> this. Lets tackle it head on like few organizations can. Knowledge is
> power
> and the ability to gather that knowledge is at our disposal and we should
> use it.
>
> Andy
>
>
> In a message dated 5/3/2010 1:57:22 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time,
> wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU writes:
>
> With regard to the safety issue: we cannot predict what the
> environment will be like at the time of the conference.
>
> It is interesting to note that the predictions being presented
> in emails in this forum (although not in the one below) are
> all best-case scenarios -- the Supreme Court will strike down
> the law, injunctions will prevent it from going into effect,
> the police won't be so stupid as to act like police act
> everywhere else they're given blanket discretion, etc. etc.
>
> But how about those pesky worst case scenarios? Suppose
> immigrants turn to civil disobedience or worse to promote
> their cause in response to logjam in Washington, recession,
> and punitive state laws? And the country becomes not only
> polarized (as it is now) but mobilized?
>
> Then then Arizona law, which opens a chasm of discretion which
> rivals the Grand Canyon in majesty, could easily be used to
> frighten and intimidate our members of Latino descent during
> our Phoenix gathering.
>
> The very unpredictability of the future in the atmosphere of
> the law as passed and signed by the governor urges caution in
> exposing our members and their families to such a potential.
>
> Woody
>
> ---- Original message ----
>>Date: Mon, 3 May 2010 13:28:59 -0400
>>From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>

>>>Subject: Some considerations on Arizona 2011

>>>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>>

>>>There are two different issues to consider with regard to

> holding the

>>>2011 AAPOR conference in Arizona:

>>

>>>1) The safety of attendees.

>>>2) The moral (or ideological, depending on your viewpoint)

> aspect.

>>

>>>Both issues are important, but I think that we need to clearly

>>>distinguish between them while discussing what AAPOR's proper

> course of

>>>action should be.

>>

>>>With respect to 1), I don't believe that any AAPOR members

> would be at

>>>risk, even if SB1070 were in effect and strictly enforced at

> the time of

>>>the conference. Whatever one may think of supporters of the

> law, I

>>>don't believe they are that stupid.

>>

>>>With respect to 2), I agree in principle with those AAPOR

> members who

>>>feel that holding the 2011 conference in Phoenix would be a moral

>>>failure if not a tacit endorsement of the law. But I also

> feel that the

>>>high cost of withdrawal at this late date would impose an

> unfair burden

>>>on all members of our organization.

>>

>>>Nonetheless, I do feel that we should take some action that

> would have

>>>an impact beyond just words. That is why I suggest passing a

> resolution

>>>stating that AAPOR's Executive Council may no longer consider

> Arizona as

>>>a venue for any future conference unless and until the

> legislature there

>>>repeals the law, not just if it is rejected by the courts.

> That might

>>>give those decent citizens of Arizona something to fight for.

>>

>>>Jan Werner

>>

>>>-----

>>>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

>>><http://www.aapor.org>

>>>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>>>Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

>>>signoff aapornet

>>>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>
> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>
>
> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 14:14:10 -0400
Reply-To: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Subject: AAPOR CODE and Cheap Talk
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
A<BC216181F8272842AD53CAF1FB80515D026FBC3B@LTA3VS012.ees.hhs.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

SNIP from Talk is Cheap Post: Thus, I would also suggest that should the council elect to move the location of the meeting...

SNIPS from Current AAPOR Code of Ethics & Practice: We pledge ourselves to maintain high standards of scientific competence and integrity in conducting, analyzing, and reporting our work; in our relations with survey respondents; with our clients; with those who eventually use the research for decision-making purposes; and with the general public. We further pledge ourselves to reject all tasks or assignments that would require activities inconsistent with the principles of this code ...
When preparing a report for public release we shall ensure that the findings are a balanced and accurate portrayal of the survey results.

SNIP from AAPOR Best Practices: Findings and interpretations should be presented honestly and objectively, with full reporting of all relevant findings, including any that may seem contradictory or unfavorable.

SNIP from AAPOR Bylaws: The nature of the business of AAPOR and the objects or purposes to be transacted, promoted or carried on by it are

exclusively charitable, scientific, literary or educational and not for profit, as follows: To stimulate research and study in the field of public opinion and survey research; to facilitate the dissemination of research methods, techniques and findings; to promote the use of public opinion and survey research in democratic policy formation; to conduct outreach activities to inform the public and media about the merits and limitations of public opinion and survey research; to encourage the development of professional standards; to promote the scientific conduct of public opinion and survey research; to promote public opinion and survey research as a profession; and, to serve as a representative national organization in professional meetings and associations.

My Rationale for opposing a boycott of Arizona:

When reading politically the increasing number of politically charged partisan posts on AAPORnet over the last several months, I have often wondered how it is that AAPOR can maintain its reputation with the general public as an organization committed to the fair and objective portrayal of public opinion. We have seen public comment from AAPOR executive council, reprimanding those who do not abide by AAPOR's standards of ethics and at the same time we have seen numerous posts to AAPOR that clearly have a partisan bent.

In the past I have disregarded any perceived bias in these threads and/or their potential impact on AAPOR reputation because I recognize the value of having such an open forum, and that such threads do not necessarily reflect the policies of the organization or the ideas of all its members. However, an official boycott of Arizona on the part of the AAPOR organization would be something else entirely. A boycott of Arizona for political purposes would run contradictory to the spirit; if not the actual recommendations set forth in AAPOR's Code of Ethics and recommended Best Practices. Furthermore, nowhere in the AAPOR Bylaws is there any mention of political action or influence of public law as a purpose for the existence of the organization. Regardless of my own feelings about the Arizona legislation provoking boycott or those AAPOR members promoting the boycott, I cannot support such an action as a member of AAPOR.

Should the AAPOR organization officially boycott Arizona as a meeting place in 2011, I would have great difficulty seeing the benefit of belonging to an organization in which the purpose and ethical creed to which it claims to adhere are nothing more than ink and paper.

Putting my mouth where my money is - all the best,
Ken

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 14:17:00 -0400

Reply-To: "D. Sunshine Hillygus" <hillygus@DUKE.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "D. Sunshine Hillygus" <hillygus@DUKE.EDU>
Subject: refreshment samples and panel attrition
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Dear AAPORites,

I am trying to track down research and current practices (especially within federal agencies) regarding the use of refreshment samples--fresh cross-sections--in panel survey projects. It seems that refreshment samples are variously used for diagnosing panel attrition (Kruse et al. 2009; Frick 2006), adjusting weights (Brownstone et al. 1999), directly replacing panel attriters (Schupp and Wagner 2002), or imputing panel attriters (Hirano et al. 2001). Any insights about how refreshment samples are being used in some of the major panel surveys or other current research on the topic?

Feel free to email me directly.

Thanks in advance,
Sunshine

--

D. Sunshine Hillygus
Associate Professor of Political Science
Duke University
409 Perkins Library, Box 90204
Durham, NC 27708
(919) 660-4341

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 15:02:55 -0400
Reply-To: Joel Bloom <joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joel Bloom <joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: Re: AAPOR CODE and Cheap Talk
X-To: kenneth.steve@dot.gov
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <49D6DE4CBF9A3649A1A7BFA5D58B525B010F0A7C@OSTMAIL04VS5.ad.dot.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

To Kenneth and others who are focusing exclusively on the political arguments, please understand that there are two completely separate issues at play here, and our members have made arguments on both sides of both issues. The two issues relate to:

1) Whether we agree with the morality or ethics of the law itself;

2) Whether we think the law might place our members in jeopardy if it is in effect at the time of the meeting.

I think we would all benefit from a good-faith effort to focus on the second issue rather than the first. If this were simply a matter of using our professional organization to make a political statement, very few of us would be this concerned, but it is much more than that.

The second issue has to do with the responsibility of AAPOR as a professional association to ensure that its members are not subject to harassment, discrimination and quite possibly wrongful incarceration. Many on the right have argued that we don't know that these things would happen if the meeting were to be held in Arizona, but the simple fact is we don't know that these things would *not* happen either. There have already been reported cases of people being incarcerated because law enforcement officers did not think that their driver's licenses were sufficient proof that they had a legal right to be in Arizona (and not all of them have been Latinos or people of color). AAPOR does have a responsibility to its members not to put them in this sort of jeopardy.

Some have already made similar points, but others continue (in my opinion) to disingenuously disregard those concerns and insist or pretend that the only arguments being made in favor of moving the conference fall under the first category. Whatever you may think of the arguments made under category 2, please at least have the decency and honesty to recognize that these are not simply arguments about making a political statement when in fact they are arguments about the safety and security of our members.

But even on the subject of the first category of arguments, I do find it interesting that my colleagues on the right think it is only a political statement if we decide to move the meeting, but it is somehow apolitical if we stay in Arizona. With all due respect, it is a political statement either way and you can't simply pretend that your side of the debate is politically neutral while the other side is taking a stand. We are taking a stand either way.

And because we would be taking a stand either way, the Association should take the action that is in the best interests of its members. Certainly the best interests of our members include the financial costs associated with holding (or moving) the conference. But they also include whether our members can attend the conference without feeling that their liberty and safety are in jeopardy. (Would someone care to place a dollar value on that? I know know I wouldn't.) I don't know what the right answers are, but I do know the difference between a valid concern and a red herring.

The notion that moving the meeting would just be a political statement that could place the reputation of the association at risk is a red herring. It ignores the very real concerns of many of our members for their safety and that of their colleagues; it also ignores the fact that it is a political statement if we stay as well.

So let's make the decision as we should make it -- by balancing the legitimate safety concerns of many of our members against the financial cost and other logistical issues that might make a move difficult or inadvisable.

I trust our elected Council members to weigh the costs and benefits of each decision and make the correct decision in our interests.

Best regards,

-- Joel

--

Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.
The University at Albany, SUNY

Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science
Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research
Phone: (518) 437-4791
Cell: 541-579-6610
E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu
joelbloom@googlewave.com
Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

On Tue, May 4, 2010 at 2:14 PM, <kenneth.steve@dot.gov> wrote:

- > SNIP from Talk is Cheap Post: Thus, I would also suggest that should
- > the council elect to move the location of the meeting...
- >
- > SNIPS from Current AAPOR Code of Ethics & Practice: We pledge ourselves
- > to maintain high standards of scientific competence and integrity in
- > conducting, analyzing, and reporting our work; in our relations with
- > survey respondents; with our clients; with those who eventually use the
- > research for decision-making purposes; and with the general public. We
- > further pledge ourselves to reject all tasks or assignments that would
- > require activities inconsistent with the principles of this code ...
- > When preparing a report for public release we shall ensure that the
- > findings are a balanced and accurate portrayal of the survey results.
- >
- > SNIP from AAPOR Best Practices: Findings and interpretations should be
- > presented honestly and objectively, with full reporting of all relevant
- > findings, including any that may seem contradictory or unfavorable.
- >
- > SNIP from AAPOR Bylaws: The nature of the business of AAPOR and the
- > objects or purposes to be transacted, promoted or carried on by it are
- > exclusively charitable, scientific, literary or educational and not for
- > profit, as follows: To stimulate research and study in the field of
- > public opinion and survey research; to facilitate the dissemination of
- > research methods, techniques and findings; to promote the use of public
- > opinion and survey research in democratic policy formation; to conduct
- > outreach activities to inform the public and media about the merits and
- > limitations of public opinion and survey research; to encourage the
- > development of professional standards; to promote the scientific conduct
- > of public opinion and survey research; to promote public opinion and
- > survey research as a profession; and, to serve as a representative
- > national organization in professional meetings and associations.
- >

>
> My Rationale for opposing a boycott of Arizona:
>
> When reading politically the increasing number of politically charged
> partisan posts on AAPORnet over the last several months, I have often
> wondered how it is that AAPOR can maintain its reputation with the
> general public as an organization committed to the fair and objective
> portrayal of public opinion. We have seen public comment from AAPOR
> executive council, reprimanding those who do not abide by AAPOR's
> standards of ethics and at the same time we have seen numerous posts to
> AAPOR that clearly have a partisan bent.
>
> In the past I have disregarded any perceived bias in these threads
> and/or their potential impact on AAPOR reputation because I recognize
> the value of having such an open forum, and that such threads do not
> necessarily reflect the policies of the organization or the ideas of all
> its members. However, an official boycott of Arizona on the part of the
> AAPOR organization would be something else entirely. A boycott of
> Arizona for political purposes would run contradictory to the spirit; if
> not the actual recommendations set forth in AAPOR's Code of Ethics and
> recommended Best Practices. Furthermore, nowhere in the AAPOR Bylaws is
> there any mention of political action or influence of public law as a
> purpose for the existence of the organization. Regardless of my own
> feelings about the Arizona legislation provoking boycott or those AAPOR
> members promoting the boycott, I cannot support such an action as a
> member of AAPOR.

>
> Should the AAPOR organization officially boycott Arizona as a meeting
> place in 2011, I would have great difficulty seeing the benefit of
> belonging to an organization in which the purpose and ethical creed to
> which it claims to adhere are nothing more than ink and paper.

>
>
> Putting my mouth where my money is - all the best,
> Ken

>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 16:11:14 -0400
Reply-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>
Subject: Re: AAPOR CODE and Cheap Talk
X-To: Joel Bloom <joelbloom@GMAIL.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: <j2h5712bbfc1005041202ifde10e11rc5f4445431e2a17@mail.gmail.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

May I add that making the decision based on issue (2) requires a conscious attempt to be objective - people on either side of issue (1) will (often unconsciously) minimize or exaggerate the risks to members accordingly. Part of this involves referencing factual statements, like "There have already been reported cases of people being incarcerated..."

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Joel Bloom

Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 3:03 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: AAPOR CODE and Cheap Talk

To Kenneth and others who are focusing exclusively on the political arguments, please understand that there are two completely separate issues at play here, and our members have made arguments on both sides of both issues. The two issues relate to:

- 1) Whether we agree with the morality or ethics of the law itself;
- 2) Whether we think the law might place our members in jeopardy if it is in effect at the time of the meeting.

I think we would all benefit from a good-faith effort to focus on the second issue rather than the first. If this were simply a matter of using our professional organization to make a political statement, very few of us would be this concerned, but it is much more than that.

The second issue has to do with the responsibility of AAPOR as a professional association to ensure that its members are not subject to harassment, discrimination and quite possibly wrongful incarceration. Many on the right have argued that we don't know that these things would happen if the meeting were to be held in Arizona, but the simple fact is we don't know that these things would *not* happen either. There have already been reported cases of people being incarcerated because law enforcement officers did not think that their driver's licenses were sufficient proof that they had a legal right to be in Arizona (and not all of them have been Latinos or people of color). AAPOR does have a responsibility to its members not to put them in this sort of jeopardy.

Some have already made similar points, but others continue (in my opinion) to disingenuously disregard those concerns and insist or pretend that the only arguments being made in favor of moving the conference fall under the first category. Whatever you may think of the arguments made under category 2, please at least have the decency and honesty to recognize that these are not simply arguments about making a political statement when in fact they are arguments about the safety and security of our members.

But even on the subject of the first category of arguments, I do find it interesting that my colleagues on the right think it is only a political statement if we decide to move the meeting, but it is somehow apolitical if we stay in Arizona. With all due respect, it is a political statement either way and you can't simply pretend that your side of the debate is politically neutral while the other side is taking a stand. We are taking a stand either way.

And because we would be taking a stand either way, the Association should take the action that is in the best interests of its members. Certainly the best interests of our members include the financial costs associated with holding (or moving) the conference. But they also include whether our members can attend the conference without feeling that their liberty and safety are in jeopardy. (Would someone care to place a dollar value on that? I know know I wouldn't.) I don't know what the right answers are, but I do know the difference between a valid concern and a red herring.

The notion that moving the meeting would just be a political statement that could place the reputation of the association at risk is a red herring. It ignores the very real concerns of many of our members for their safety and that of their colleagues; it also ignores the fact that it is a political statement if we stay as well.

So let's make the decision as we should make it -- by balancing the legitimate safety concerns of many of our members against the financial cost

and other logistical issues that might make a move difficult or inadvisable.

I trust our elected Council members to weigh the costs and benefits of each decision and make the correct decision in our interests.

Best regards,

-- Joel

--

Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.
The University at Albany, SUNY

Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science
Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research
Phone: (518) 437-4791
Cell: 541-579-6610
E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu
joeldbloom@googlewave.com
Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

On Tue, May 4, 2010 at 2:14 PM, <kenneth.steve@dot.gov> wrote:

- > SNIP from Talk is Cheap Post: Thus, I would also suggest that should
- > the council elect to move the location of the meeting...
- >
- > SNIPS from Current AAPOR Code of Ethics & Practice: We pledge ourselves
- > to maintain high standards of scientific competence and integrity in
- > conducting, analyzing, and reporting our work; in our relations with
- > survey respondents; with our clients; with those who eventually use the
- > research for decision-making purposes; and with the general public. We
- > further pledge ourselves to reject all tasks or assignments that would
- > require activities inconsistent with the principles of this code ...
- > When preparing a report for public release we shall ensure that the
- > findings are a balanced and accurate portrayal of the survey results.
- >
- > SNIP from AAPOR Best Practices: Findings and interpretations should be
- > presented honestly and objectively, with full reporting of all relevant
- > findings, including any that may seem contradictory or unfavorable.
- >
- > SNIP from AAPOR Bylaws: The nature of the business of AAPOR and the
- > objects or purposes to be transacted, promoted or carried on by it are
- > exclusively charitable, scientific, literary or educational and not
- > for
- > profit, as follows: To stimulate research and study in the field of
- > public opinion and survey research; to facilitate the dissemination of
- > research methods, techniques and findings; to promote the use of public

> opinion and survey research in democratic policy formation; to conduct
> outreach activities to inform the public and media about the merits
and
> limitations of public opinion and survey research; to encourage the
> development of professional standards; to promote the scientific
conduct
> of public opinion and survey research; to promote public opinion and
> survey research as a profession; and, to serve as a representative
> national organization in professional meetings and associations.
>
>
> My Rationale for opposing a boycott of Arizona:
>
> When reading politically the increasing number of politically charged
> partisan posts on AAPORnet over the last several months, I have often
> wondered how it is that AAPOR can maintain its reputation with the
> general public as an organization committed to the fair and objective
> portrayal of public opinion. We have seen public comment from AAPOR
> executive council, reprimanding those who do not abide by AAPOR's
> standards of ethics and at the same time we have seen numerous posts
to
> AAPOR that clearly have a partisan bent.
>
> In the past I have disregarded any perceived bias in these threads
> and/or their potential impact on AAPOR reputation because I recognize
> the value of having such an open forum, and that such threads do not
> necessarily reflect the policies of the organization or the ideas of
all
> its members. However, an official boycott of Arizona on the part of
the
> AAPOR organization would be something else entirely. A boycott of
> Arizona for political purposes would run contradictory to the spirit;
if
> not the actual recommendations set forth in AAPOR's Code of Ethics and
> recommended Best Practices. Furthermore, nowhere in the AAPOR Bylaws
is
> there any mention of political action or influence of public law as a
> purpose for the existence of the organization. Regardless of my own
> feelings about the Arizona legislation provoking boycott or those
AAPOR
> members promoting the boycott, I cannot support such an action as a
> member of AAPOR.
>
> Should the AAPOR organization officially boycott Arizona as a meeting
> place in 2011, I would have great difficulty seeing the benefit of
> belonging to an organization in which the purpose and ethical creed to
> which it claims to adhere are nothing more than ink and paper.
>
>
> Putting my mouth where my money is - all the best,
> Ken
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 15:01:17 -0400
Reply-To: Christina Lien <clien1021@GMAIL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Christina Lien <clien1021@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: Job posting - Junior Research Analyst
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Belden Russonello & Stewart, a public opinion research firm in Washington, DC, seeks a *Junior Research Analyst*. *We seek a self-starter to assist in all phases of our survey and focus group research. Excellent organizational, computer, personal and English skills, and a BA or BS required. Knowledge of survey and/or focus group research is a plus.

The position offers a great job experience and potential for growth and responsibility.

* *

Our clients include non-profit organizations, issue groups, and the news media. We provide survey and focus group research, and allied consulting on environmental, education, and other contemporary social issues.

Benefits include health insurance, two weeks vacation, and a great work environment in Dupont Circle.

Please reply to:

Christina Lien

CHRISTINALIEN@BRSPOLL.COM

Belden Russonello & Stewart, 1320 19 Street NW, Suite 700, Washington, D.C.
20036

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 16:30:20 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Poll Shows Most in U.S. Want Overhaul of Immigration Laws
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Poll Shows Most in U.S. Want Overhaul of Immigration Laws

<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/05/04/us/04poll.html?hpw>

By RANDAL C. ARCHIBOLD and MEGAN THEE-BRENAN

LOS ANGELES - The overwhelming majority of Americans think the country's immigration policies need to be seriously overhauled. And despite protests against Arizona's stringent new immigration enforcement law, a majority of Americans support it, even though they say it may lead to racial profiling.

SNIP

Although the respondents broadly agreed that the Arizona law would result in racial profiling, overburden local and state law enforcement agencies and decrease the willingness of illegal immigrants to report crimes for fear of deportation, large majorities said it would reduce the number of illegal immigrants in the state, deter illegal border crossings and, to a lesser extent, reduce crime.

SNIP

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 15:41:02 -0400

Reply-To: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Subject: Re: AAPOR CODE and Cheap Talk
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<j2h5712bbfc1005041202ifde10e11rc5f4445431e2a17@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Mr Bloom,

First of all, I understand there are two issues that have been discussed. I have focused on the first because that is where this discussion originated (SNIP - Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?). Unless there is sufficient documented evidence for the second argument, then it is the red herring. I have seen no due diligence on the part of AAPOR or its members to establish such evidence. Until such evidence is documented (not here say mind you), they remain phantom fears as far as I'm concerned.

Secondly, and with the upmost respect, the fact that you assume I am on the right indicates that you have missed the point of my arguments entirely. My arguments have nothing to do with whether I agree or disagree with the law. The fact that I refuse to use this forum or the 2011 conference as a vehicle to express my opinions of the law is the point of my arguments.

Best,
Ken

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Joel Bloom
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 3:03 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: AAPOR CODE and Cheap Talk

To Kenneth and others who are focusing exclusively on the political arguments, please understand that there are two completely separate issues at play here, and our members have made arguments on both sides of both issues. The two issues relate to:

- 1) Whether we agree with the morality or ethics of the law itself;
- 2) Whether we think the law might place our members in jeopardy if it is in effect at the time of the meeting.

I think we would all benefit from a good-faith effort to focus on the second issue rather than the first. If this were simply a matter of using our professional organization to make a political statement, very few of us would be this concerned, but it is much more than that.

The second issue has to do with the responsibility of AAPOR as a professional association to ensure that its members are not subject to harassment, discrimination and quite possibly wrongful incarceration. Many on the right have argued that we don't know that these things would happen if the meeting were to be held in Arizona, but the simple fact is we don't know that these things would *not* happen either. There have already been reported cases of people being incarcerated because law enforcement officers did not think that their driver's licenses were sufficient proof that they had a legal right to be in Arizona (and not all of them have been Latinos or people of color). AAPOR does have a responsibility to its members not to put them in this sort of jeopardy.

Some have already made similar points, but others continue (in my opinion) to disingenuously disregard those concerns and insist or pretend that the only arguments being made in favor of moving the conference fall under the first category. Whatever you may think of the arguments made under category 2, please at least have the decency and honesty to recognize that these are not simply arguments about making a political statement when in fact they are arguments about the safety and security of our members.

But even on the subject of the first category of arguments, I do find it interesting that my colleagues on the right think it is only a political statement if we decide to move the meeting, but it is somehow apolitical if we stay in Arizona. With all due respect, it is a political statement either way and you can't simply pretend that your side of the debate is politically neutral while the other side is taking a stand. We are taking a stand either way.

And because we would be taking a stand either way, the Association should take the action that is in the best interests of its members. Certainly the best interests of our members include the financial costs associated with holding (or moving) the conference. But they also include whether our

members can attend the conference without feeling that their liberty and safety are in jeopardy. (Would someone care to place a dollar value on that?

I know know I wouldn't.) I don't know what the right answers are, but I do know the difference between a valid concern and a red herring.

The notion that moving the meeting would just be a political statement that could place the reputation of the association at risk is a red herring.

It ignores the very real concerns of many of our members for their safety and that of their colleagues; it also ignores the fact that it is a political statement if we stay as well.

So let's make the decision as we should make it -- by balancing the legitimate safety concerns of many of our members against the financial cost and other logistical issues that might make a move difficult or inadvisable.

I trust our elected Council members to weigh the costs and benefits of each decision and make the correct decision in our interests.

Best regards,

-- Joel

--

Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.
The University at Albany, SUNY

Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science
Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research
Phone: (518) 437-4791
Cell: 541-579-6610
E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu
joeldbloom@googlewave.com
Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

On Tue, May 4, 2010 at 2:14 PM, <kenneth.steve@dot.gov> wrote:

> SNIP from Talk is Cheap Post: Thus, I would also suggest that should
> the council elect to move the location of the meeting...

>

> SNIPS from Current AAPOR Code of Ethics & Practice: We pledge ourselves

> to maintain high standards of scientific competence and integrity in
> conducting, analyzing, and reporting our work; in our relations with
> survey respondents; with our clients; with those who eventually use

the

- > research for decision-making purposes; and with the general public. We
- > further pledge ourselves to reject all tasks or assignments that would
- > require activities inconsistent with the principles of this code ...
- > When preparing a report for public release we shall ensure that the
- > findings are a balanced and accurate portrayal of the survey results.
- >
- > SNIP from AAPOR Best Practices: Findings and interpretations should be
- > presented honestly and objectively, with full reporting of all
- relevant
- > findings, including any that may seem contradictory or unfavorable.
- >
- > SNIP from AAPOR Bylaws: The nature of the business of AAPOR and the
- > objects or purposes to be transacted, promoted or carried on by it are
- > exclusively charitable, scientific, literary or educational and not
- for
- > profit, as follows: To stimulate research and study in the field of
- > public opinion and survey research; to facilitate the dissemination of
- > research methods, techniques and findings; to promote the use of
- public
- > opinion and survey research in democratic policy formation; to conduct
- > outreach activities to inform the public and media about the merits
- and
- > limitations of public opinion and survey research; to encourage the
- > development of professional standards; to promote the scientific
- conduct
- > of public opinion and survey research; to promote public opinion and
- > survey research as a profession; and, to serve as a representative
- > national organization in professional meetings and associations.
- >
- >
- > My Rationale for opposing a boycott of Arizona:
- >
- > When reading politically the increasing number of politically charged
- > partisan posts on AAPORnet over the last several months, I have often
- > wondered how it is that AAPOR can maintain its reputation with the
- > general public as an organization committed to the fair and objective
- > portrayal of public opinion. We have seen public comment from AAPOR
- > executive council, reprimanding those who do not abide by AAPOR's
- > standards of ethics and at the same time we have seen numerous posts
- to
- > AAPOR that clearly have a partisan bent.
- >
- > In the past I have disregarded any perceived bias in these threads
- > and/or their potential impact on AAPOR reputation because I recognize
- > the value of having such an open forum, and that such threads do not
- > necessarily reflect the policies of the organization or the ideas of
- all
- > its members. However, an official boycott of Arizona on the part of
- the
- > AAPOR organization would be something else entirely. A boycott of
- > Arizona for political purposes would run contradictory to the spirit;
- if
- > not the actual recommendations set forth in AAPOR's Code of Ethics and

> recommended Best Practices. Furthermore, nowhere in the AAPOR Bylaws
is
> there any mention of political action or influence of public law as a
> purpose for the existence of the organization. Regardless of my own
> feelings about the Arizona legislation provoking boycott or those
AAPOR
> members promoting the boycott, I cannot support such an action as a
> member of AAPOR.
>
> Should the AAPOR organization officially boycott Arizona as a meeting
> place in 2011, I would have great difficulty seeing the benefit of
> belonging to an organization in which the purpose and ethical creed to
> which it claims to adhere are nothing more than ink and paper.
>
>
> Putting my mouth where my money is - all the best,
> Ken
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 14:00:13 -0700
Reply-To: Tom Bowerman <tom@POLICYINTERACTIVE.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Tom Bowerman <tom@POLICYINTERACTIVE.ORG>
Subject: Single issue vs. omnibus survey bias question
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Survey Methodology Question: Is there a "single issue survey" priming =
bias? =20

=20

I am seeking citation or evidence that a survey question response =
frequency is higher (or lower) when the question is contained in a =
single issue survey compared to omnibus survey? Response frequency =

difference might be observed between the two types of instrument designs = (single issue and omnibus) or between individual instrument styles = compared to empirically observed behavior. For example, is = self-reported church attendance higher in a survey exclusive about = religion or self-reported pro-environmental behavior higher in a survey = exclusive about environment when compared to surveys covering a wide = range of social issues or concerns? The hypothesis would be that = single issue surveys prime the respondent or otherwise sensitize the = respondent who otherwise might not even think too much about the = subject. I am seeking evidence or discussion about the possible = priming bias capacity of single issue surveys, and the consequent = argument that embedding issues of social interest in omnibus survey = instruments is more effective than narrower single-issue instruments.

Thanks very much

Tom Bowerman
tom@policyinteractive.org

www.policyinteractive.org

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 17:28:53 -0400
Reply-To: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM>
Subject: Re: Single issue vs. omnibus survey bias question
X-To: Tom Bowerman <tom@POLICYINTERACTIVE.ORG>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <A8DC23E7D6DC45828B697F3957F72CCC@TB>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

You might want to look at the Polls sections of past issues of POQ -- i.e. Abortion, Second Amendment, Social Security, etc. reviews -- and try to infer (subject to confirmation) which numbers came out of omnibus polling and which did not. At least you've controlled for topic. It's conceivable that some of the insiders could help sort them out. Another idea would be an archive like the Roper Center -- or potentially others. Gallup might be a helpful sole source but it's not evident to outsiders how much of their tracking of hundreds of questions is bundled vs. "single issue." Guess this is just stating the obvious.

If there is a directionally consistent difference between results from the two sources, how do you know which one should be labeled "biased?"

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY
www.jpmurphy.com
600 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.

772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.
610 408 8800 Mobile

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Tom Bowerman
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 05:00 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Single issue vs. omnibus survey bias question

Survey Methodology Question: Is there a "single issue survey" priming bias?

I am seeking citation or evidence that a survey question response frequency is higher (or lower) when the question is contained in a single issue survey compared to omnibus survey? Response frequency difference might be observed between the two types of instrument designs (single issue and omnibus) or between individual instrument styles compared to empirically observed behavior. For example, is self-reported church attendance higher in a survey exclusive about religion or self-reported pro-environmental behavior higher in a survey exclusive about environment when compared to surveys covering a wide range of social issues or concerns? The hypothesis would be that single issue surveys prime the respondent or otherwise sensitize the respondent who otherwise might not even think too much about the subject. I am seeking evidence or discussion about the possible priming bias capacity of single issue surveys, and the consequent argument that embedding issues of social interest in omnibus survey instruments is more effective than narrower single-issue instruments.

Thanks very much

Tom Bowerman
tom@policyinteractive.org

www.policyinteractive.org

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 17:23:34 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Phoenix, AAPOR and demonization of the opposition
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I am not sure whether people are engaging in bombast or mere hyperbole but I am getting tired of it.

It is not unethical to propose that the conference stay in Phoenix and it is not unethical to propose that it move.

It is not unethical to suggest that the conference might want to move because of the safety of the members and it is not unethical to suggest it as a stand against what some perceive as racism.

It is equally OK to suggest that AAPOR might want to move because many individuals might chose to boycott the meeting as it is to suggest that AAPOR might choose to stay in Phoenix because of the financial penalties.

Now I will sit down and shut up.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 17:53:11 -0400
Reply-To: Mark Schulman <M.SCHULMAN@SRBI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mark Schulman <M.SCHULMAN@SRBI.COM>
Subject: After Hours AAPOR Jazz Club
X-To: Aapornet@asu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline

Dear AAPOR members, I discovered that maybe the hottest jazz club in Chicago the Saturday night of our banquet will be right in the Marriott. I wanted to alert you all to my discovery because I didn't find it listed in the Conference program. The band, Charged Particles, is lead by "Philly Jon" Krosnick on percussion (Well, he was raised near Philly, and Philly Joe Jones was one of the great jazz drummers.) Yes, it's our own Jon Krosnick! Ok, I did have a little something to do with it, but just a little.

Charged Particles is exciting jazz fusion and lots more. I've heard them only in recording, so Chicago is my first live encounter with them. They have performed at the Kennedy Center in Washington, DC, the Houston International Jazz Festival, The Riverbend Festival, and in many other performances in concert halls, at festivals, and in clubs. Their most recent appearances have included performances as soloists with symphony orchestras playing jazz repertoire written and arranged especially for Charged Particles. You're find reviews on their web site,(<http://www.chargedparticles.com>) Their newest CD was described as "exciting, challenging, dramatic, classical, and colorful with lots of texture."

At the conference, Charged Particles will perform during the President's Reception on Saturday night, during the beginning of the Banquet Dinner, and after dinner for two hours (when a cash bar will be open). The post-dinner performance will include a jam session, with AAPOR conference attendees who are jazz players sitting in with the band.

And, anyone interested in jamming with them should contact Jon (krosnick@stanford.edu) before the conference. I assure you that I'm not bringing my sax, so no need to worry.

Support live jazz at AAPOR!
Mark Schulman

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 14:50:39 -0700
Reply-To: Edward Bronson <ebronson@CSUCHICO.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Edward Bronson <ebronson@CSUCHICO.EDU>
Subject: Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed; delpsp=yes
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)

Dear Colleagues:

I recall when we as a nation and I personally were trying to decide the issue of whether to boycott South Africa over apartheid. For me, the decisive factor was the speaking out of Desmond Tutu and other leaders who urged us to boycott. Those voices were so important because they spoke for those who would be most hurt, at least in the short run, by a boycott.

Do we know what those most likely to be hurt or helped by a boycott of Arizona, or their spokespeople, would like us to do. That we, of all folks, seem to know so little of the public opinion of that segment of Arizonans, is unfortunate. Equally so, I doubt if we have any good sense of how the majority of our own membership feels, even if we

assume that the recent postings are a good sample.

In the short time remaining until AAPOR must decide what our course of action will be, I hope some effort can be made to assess what we ordinarily have some modest ability to do, gauge opinion.

Ed Bronson

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 15:59:49 -0700
Reply-To: Hank Zucker <hank@SURVEYSYSTEM.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Hank Zucker <hank@SURVEYSYSTEM.COM>
Subject: A Meeting Place
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset="iso-8859-1";
reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I probably won't be attending next year's conference regardless of where it is held, so my only stake in this decision is what is best for our association.

As some have mentioned, either moving the conference or not moving it is a unavoidably a political statment. If you go to a store and see a picket line, both crossing it and not crossing it are statements, even if you have previously made a deposit on an item in the store.

I think the case for moving is clear - subject only to how much the financial costs of a move might harm the society.

The classic history of our group is called "A Meeting Place." Do we really want to hold an annual meeting in a place where some of our members will not feel welcome?

It is clear that as things now stand, some members would not feel welcome in Arizona - either because they are concerned that they personally might be subject to harassment and so forth, because they feel that Arizona has created an environment where people like them are not welcome or because attending would violate their personal code of ethics.

Note that you do not have to agree with the personal codes of people who might not feel welcome in Arizona because of the law to recognize that the number of people who might not feel welcome because of it is significant.

Holding a meeting in a place where we know some people will not feel welcome is a statement to ourselves about our association.

Respectfully,

Hank Zucker

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 19:15:36 -0400
Reply-To: Sally Daniels <sally_daniels@COMCAST.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Sally Daniels <sally_daniels@COMCAST.NET>
Subject: Holy Cow, Jon Krosnick!
X-To: Mark Schulman <M.SCHULMAN@SRBI.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <4BE05F0B020000010011E9B4@srbi.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Anyone who gives a hoot about jazz (and/or about Jon) should check out Jon's jazz band's website and listen to samples of their music. I really, really wish I could come to the conference this year, but I have to miss this one. This is one none of you will want to miss. Way to go, Jon!

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Mark Schulman
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 5:53 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: After Hours AAPOR Jazz Club

Dear AAPOR members, I discovered that maybe the hottest jazz club in Chicago the Saturday night of our banquet will be right in the Marriott. I wanted to alert you all to my discovery because I didn't find it listed in the Conference program. The band, Charged Particles, is lead by "Philly Jon" Krosnick on percussion (Well, he was raised near Philly, and Philly Joe Jones was one of the great jazz drummers.) Yes, it's our own Jon Krosnick! Ok, I did have a little something to do with it, but just a little.

Charged Particles is exciting jazz fusion and lots more. I've heard them only in recording, so Chicago is my first live encounter with them. They have performed at the Kennedy Center in Washington, DC, the Houston International Jazz Festival, The Riverbend Festival, and in many other performances in concert halls, at festivals, and in clubs. Their most recent appearances have included performances as soloists with symphony orchestras playing jazz repertoire written and arranged especially for Charged Particles. You're find reviews on their web site,(<http://www.chargedparticles.com>) Their newest CD was described as "exciting, challenging, dramatic, classical, and colorful with lots of texture."

At the conference, Charged Particles will perform during the President's Reception on Saturday night, during the beginning of the Banquet Dinner, and after dinner for two hours (when a cash bar will be open). The post-dinner performance will include a jam session, with AAPOR

conference attendees who are jazz players sitting in with the band.

And, anyone interested in jamming with them should contact Jon (krosnick@stanford.edu) before the conference. I assure you that I'm not bringing my sax, so no need to worry.

Support live jazz at AAPOR!
Mark Schulman

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 19:25:56 -0400
Reply-To: "Rockwell, Richard" <richard.rockwell@UCONN.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Rockwell, Richard" <richard.rockwell@UCONN.EDU>
Subject: Re: Arizona
X-To: Edward Bronson <ebronson@CSUCHICO.EDU>, "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <2B2DFB3C-3BFA-49EC-98B9-B96D2EA4C9F3@csuchico.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

The same arguments were presented to university and foundation boards against divestment in the stocks of companies that did business in South Africa: the people who would be most hurt would be the poor South Africans who work for these firms; the companies have themselves condemned Apartheid, so why punish them for something that is beyond their control; the financial losses associated with divestment might be significant; it was not the business of universities and foundations to be engaging in political action; and those companies might be able to influence the Apartheid government to change if they stayed in South Africa. Then when the boycotts started, those arguments were made again.

As for the potential financial penalty, I wonder if the State Legislature and Governor have just materially changed the terms of the contract that AAPOR signed? With many associations and corporations contemplating a boycott, I doubt that the hotels would like to be known for suing everyone that broke the contract. That could be really bad public relations for them. And they might lose the suits.

It would be worthwhile to find out what happened to the many associations that broke contracts when a state refused to ratify ERA. One of them was the American Statistical Association, which moved on short notice from Chicago to Las Vegas.

Richard C. ROCKWELL
Professor and Associate Head
Department of Sociology
University of Connecticut Unit 2068
344 Mansfield Road
Storrs-Mansfield, CT 06269-2068
+1.860.486.0086 Office +1.860.486.4422 Department +1.860.486-6356 Fax
richard.rockwell@uconn.edu

From: AAPORNET [AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Edward Bronson
[ebronson@CSUCHICO.EDU]
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 5:50 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Arizona

Dear Colleagues:

I recall when we as a nation and I personally were trying to decide the issue of whether to boycott South Africa over apartheid. For me, the decisive factor was the speaking out of Desmond Tutu and other leaders who urged us to boycott. Those voices were so important because they spoke for those who would be most hurt, at least in the short run, by a boycott.

...
Ed Bronson

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 20:27:29 -0500
Reply-To: amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Allan L. McCutcheon" <amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU>
Subject: Re: After Hours AAPOR Jazz Club
X-To: Mark Schulman <M.SCHULMAN@SRBI.COM>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <4BE05F0B020000010011E9B4@srbi.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; DelSp="Yes"; format="flowed"
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi Mark,

Thank you for this information! Charged Particles' (Krosnick's jazz group) album "Sparks" is first-rate fusion jazz, and deserves all of the praise you note!

Best,
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science
Professor of Statistics &
Survey Research and Methodology
tel. +402.472.7793
fax +402.472.7764

Quoting Mark Schulman <M.SCHULMAN@SRBI.COM>:

> Dear AAPOR members, I discovered that maybe the hottest jazz club in
> Chicago the Saturday night of our banquet will be right in the Marriott.
> I wanted to alert you all to my discovery because I didn't find it
> listed in the Conference program. The band, Charged Particles, is lead
> by "Philly Jon" Krosnick on percussion (Well, he was raised near Philly,
> and Philly Joe Jones was one of the great jazz drummers.) Yes, it's our
> own Jon Krosnick! Ok, I did have a little something to do with it, but
> just a little.
>
> Charged Particles is exciting jazz fusion and lots more. I've heard them
> only in recording, so Chicago is my first live encounter with them.
> They have performed at the Kennedy Center in Washington, DC, the Houston
> International Jazz Festival, The Riverbend Festival, and in many other
> performances in concert halls, at festivals, and in clubs. Their most
> recent appearances have included performances as soloists with symphony
> orchestras playing jazz repertoire written and arranged especially for
> Charged Particles. You're find reviews on their web site,(
> <http://www.chargedparticles.com>) Their newest CD was described as
> "exciting, challenging, dramatic, classical, and colorful with lots of
> texture."
>
> At the conference, Charged Particles will perform during the President's
> Reception on Saturday night, during the beginning of the Banquet Dinner,
> and after dinner for two hours (when a cash bar will be open). The
> post-dinner performance will include a jam session, with AAPOR
> conference attendees who are jazz players sitting in with the band.
>
> And, anyone interested in jamming with them should contact Jon
> (krosnick@stanford.edu) before the conference. I assure you that I'm not
> bringing my sax, so no need to worry.
>
> Support live jazz at AAPOR!
> Mark Schulman
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 23:36:48 -0500

Reply-To: =?iso-8859-1?Q?Alis=FA_Schoua_Glusberg?= <Alisu@EMAIL.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: =?iso-8859-1?Q?Alis=FA_Schoua_Glusberg?= <Alisu@EMAIL.COM>

Subject: Mary Utne O'Brien

X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Many AAPORites knew researcher Mary U. O'Brien who died on April 28 at age 57 after a long battle with breast cancer.

Mary was a social psychologist. She was a Sr. Survey Director at NORC and Abt Associates in the 80s. She then was the Research Director for a series of grant sponsored projects at the School of Public Health, University of Illinois-Chicago, focused on reducing HIV risk behavior among cohorts of injecting drug users in Chicago. In the last decade, she devoted her efforts to the study and dissemination of social and emotional learning (SEL). She was until recently the Executive Director of the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL), an organization whose formation was inspired by Daniel Goleman's 1995 bestseller Emotional Intelligence.

A Memorial Service will be held on Friday, May 7, at 10:30 a.m. in the Sanctuary of the First United Methodist Church, 100 W. Cossitt Ave., LaGrange, IL 60525. In lieu of flowers, the family has requested that donations be made in her honor to CASEL, 815 West Van Buren Street, Suite 210, Chicago, IL 60607 or <<http://www.casel.org/donate.php>> www.casel.org/donate.php would be appreciated. Funeral info: (708) 352-6500.

=20

=20

=20

=20

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 4 May 2010 22:09:25 -0700
Reply-To: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>
Subject: I'm about to rount the listserv to junkmail
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I have heard just about enough about the whole Arizona issue. It is filli=ng up my email box and while the arguments are fascinating, I really have h=heard, I think, all the viewpoints. So, can we just shut the *&^&% up about=it and talk about something else?

MS

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 01:14:20 -0400
Reply-To: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV
Subject: Re: I'm about to rount the listserv to junkmail
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<28CCEB02B0B64D4091ED6FD17DF571691837E57355@EX-BE-024-SV1.shared.themessagecenter.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I'm afraid I've been wasting my time.

Ken

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Michael Sullivan

Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2010 1:09 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: I'm about to rount the listserv to junkmail

I have heard just about enough about the whole Arizona issue. It is filling up my email box and while the arguments are fascinating, I really have heard, I think, all the viewpoints. So, can we just shut the *&^&% up about it and talk about something else?

MS

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 07:53:20 -0400
Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing
Subject: Nice presentation of poll results in Washington Post
X-To: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The Washington Post Web site has a nice graphic presentation of some results concerning the Tea Party movement taken from a new WP/ABC poll.

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/graphic/2010/05/04/GR2010050405437.html?sid=ST2010050500002>

or: <http://tinyurl.com/2fxjjvq>

The results are interesting in and of themselves, but what impresses most is how the graphic design provides a clear and compelling overview of several different analytical points at once while avoiding clutter.

Congratulations to whoever is responsible.

Jan Werner

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 08:25:13 -0400
Reply-To: "Murphy, Joe" <jmurphy@RTI.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Murphy, Joe" <jmurphy@RTI.ORG>
Subject: Re: I'm about to rount the listserv to junkmail
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: A<28CCEB02B0B64D4091ED6FD17DF571691837E57355@EX-BE-024-SV1.shared.themessagecenter.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

A nice thing about AAPORnet is you can cut down on the number of messages by selecting a "digest" subscription: "With a "digest" subscription, you receive larger messages (called "digests") at regular intervals, usually once per day or once per week. These "digests" are collections of individual list postings. Some lists are so active that they produce several digests per day.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Michael Sullivan
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2010 12:09 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: I'm about to rount the listserv to junkmail

I have heard just about enough about the whole Arizona issue. It is filling up my email box and while the arguments are fascinating, I really have heard, I think, all the viewpoints. So, can we just shut the *&^&% up about it and talk about something else?

MS

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 08:50:25 -0400
Reply-To: Mike Mokrzycki <mike@MIKEMOKR.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Mokrzycki <mike@MIKEMOKR.COM>
Subject: Re: I'm about to rount the listserv to junkmail
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To:
<91DB7F42C39247439FB0BA7B7452E90C0963112D@RTPWEXC19.RCC_NT.RTI.ORG>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Yes, and you can turn AAPORNET digest on/off from this link:

<https://lists.asu.edu/cgi-bin/wa?SUBED1=aapornet&A=1>

(which you can get to from the archive link at the bottom of each AAPORNET post)

You also can configure several other settings there, including one to temporarily suspend delivery of AAPORNET posts, e.g. while you're on vacation or until you think a particularly lively debate may have run its course ...

Mike Mokrzycki

On Wed, May 5, 2010 at 8:25 AM, Murphy, Joe <jmurphy@rti.org> wrote:

> A nice thing about AAPORnet is you can cut down on the number of
> messages by selecting a "digest" subscription: "With a "digest"
> subscription, you receive larger messages (called "digests") at regular
> intervals, usually once per day or once per week. These "digests" are
> collections of individual list postings. Some lists are so active that
> they produce several digests per day.
>
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 08:06:22 -0500
Reply-To: Kelly Elver <kelper@SSC.WISC.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Kelly Elver <kelper@SSC.WISC.EDU>
Subject: Job Listing
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
X-cc: Steve Coombs <scoombs@ssc.wisc.edu>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-type: text/plain; CHARSET=US-ASCII; format=flowed

Please see the link below regarding information on a job posting for a position to manage survey research projects at the University of Wisconsin Survey Center. The deadline for application has been extended to June 1, 2010. Thank You!

http://www.ohr.wisc.edu/pvl/pv_064137.html

--

Kelly Elver
Project Management Director
UW Survey Center
1800 University Ave Room 204A

Madison, WI 53726
Phone: (608)262-7360
E-mail: kelper@ssc.wisc.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 12:38:00 -0700
Reply-To: Michael Hagen <michael.hagen@TEMPLE.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Michael Hagen <michael.hagen@TEMPLE.EDU>
Subject: Job posting--Director, Institute for Survey Research,
Temple University
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Title: Director, Institute for Survey Research (ISR)

The Institute for Survey Research (ISR) of Temple University is one of the oldest and most highly regarded academic survey research units in the United States. The Institute is actively seeking the third Director in its history. This uniquely qualified individual will play a key role in shaping the Institute's future focus. Since its founding in 1967, ISR has made numerous research contributions to policy planning at local, state, and national levels through surveys using personal, telephone, mail, and Web-based interviews; program evaluations; and focus group research. The Institute has particular strength in field work, achieving outstanding response rates and maintaining high data quality even with challenging populations. The Institute has worked in the past with NIH, SAMHSA, NIJ, ABA, Presidential Commissions, private foundations and other academic institutions.

The successful candidate will bring a broad social science perspective and be a leader with the ability to adopt a scientific vision consistent with the field of survey research, together with the entrepreneurial spirit to grow the Institute's capacity and research volume. He or she will play a key role in achieving the Institute's objective of effective growth and

nd
continuing to be a national presence in survey and evaluation research. =
The
Director will support and foster an environment to encourage research
collaborations with University Faculty members as well as researchers at
other institutions and organizations in the public and private
not-for-profit sectors. He/She will continue the commitment to the
submission of grants to federal agencies and corporations.=20

Qualifications/Position Description:=20

The Director reports to the Dean of the College of Liberal Arts, and will=
direct all projects performed within the ISR as well as oversee all resea=
rch
and technical/statistical activities, research, development and marketing=
functions.=20

Flexibility exists for a tenure track or research track appointment
depending on qualifications of the candidate. The Director would be expec=
ted
to operate in an entrepreneurial spirit in setting strategic direction fo=
r
the institute in the current economic climate.=20

Responsibilities of the Director include: establish schedules and deadlin=
es
for all ISR studies in conjunction with the Senior Study Director; develo=
p
and manage department budgets, and oversee the outcomes of all ISR projec=
ts.
The ISR Director will develop and direct ISR policies and procedures, set=
employee/departmental goals, and make strategic decisions concerning the
deployment of ISR resources. Additional responsibilities include develop =
and
maintain relationships with internal and external contacts, meet and make=
presentations to Temple University employees, students, staff, and client=
s
in reference to the Institutes various projects.=20

Required Education and Experience:

PhD in the social sciences or related discipline; demonstrated leadership=
experience such as Director, Associate Director or equivalent position in=
a
research or survey center.

Possibilities for a tenured appointment will be assessed upon applicant
qualifications.=20

Required Skills and Abilities:=20

=E2=80=A2=09Demonstrated leadership in social science research, including=

expertise in
all phases and modes of survey research.
Success in, and enthusiasm for, securing large scale grant or
contract
funding from federal, state or local government or private agencies.
Significant record of scholarship including peer-reviewed pub-
lications and
effective teaching/mentoring.
Program Evaluation expertise preferred.

Compliance Statement: In the performance of their functions as detailed in
the position description employees have an obligation to avoid ethical,
legal, financial and other conflicts of interest to ensure that their
actions and outside activities do not conflict with their primary employ-
ment
responsibilities at the institution. Employees are also expected to
understand and be in compliance with applicable laws, University and
employment policies and regulations, including NCAA regulations for areas
and departments which their essential functions cause them to interact.

Response:

In order to be considered for this position, please send a letter of
interest, and CV to the Chair of the Search Committee:

Heidi E. Grunwald, PhD
Interim Director
Institute for Survey Research
Temple University
1719 N. Broad St.
407 Klein Hall
Philadelphia, PA 19122-6098
grunwald@temple.edu
215-204-2217

The review committee will begin assessing candidates upon receipt of letters
of interest and Curriculum Vitae and continue until the position is filled.

For full consideration, materials should be received by June 15, 2010.

Finalists selected may be asked to submit letters of recommendation,
examples of research reports and publications. AA, EOE, m/f/d/v.
For more information about Temple University and the Institute for Survey

Research, please visit our websites at

www.temple.edu/isr

www.temple.edu

09

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 19:13:10 -0400
Reply-To: Joyce Rachelson <j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joyce Rachelson <j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET>
Subject: From Roger Dow, Pres. & CEO of U.S. Travel Assoc.
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thought I'd share this with my AAPOR colleagues:

> U.S. Travel Association Calls for End to Arizona Travel Boycott
>
> Roger Dow, president and CEO of the U.S. Travel Association, has
> called for an end to all Arizona travel boycotts and urged Washington
> policymakers to do what their constituents have repeatedly asked them
> to do and address the complex issue of immigration.
>
> "We call for an end to counterproductive Arizona travel boycotts," Dow
> said. "Immigration reform is an important and sensitive topic for
> Arizona and the country at large. This complex issue should be
> resolved on the merits of various proposals, not by holding an
> industry and its 300,000 employees hostage to politics.
>
> "We appreciate the acknowledgment that travel is a major economic
> force in Arizona and across the country. We need to protect this
> critical aspect of our economy and reward hard-working Americans
> instead of proposing boycotts that will lead to job losses and
> economic hardship for families and local communities," he said. "It is
> inappropriate to punish the men and women of our industry who have
> done no harm to others. The situation in Arizona further highlights
> the need for federal action on immigration reform. The longer Congress
> delays action on this issue, the greater the likelihood for divisive
> and detrimental policies." For more information, visit
> www.ustravel.org <<http://www.ustravel.org>>.
>

--
"We do not see things as they are, we see things as we are." - The Talmud
"People demand the freedom of speech to make up for the freedom of thought
which
they avoid" - Kierkegaard
"Software without support is hardware" - JR/1999

--
Joyce Rachelson, PRC
Dir. Marketing& Sales

Ocucom
JoyceR@ocucm.com
+1 513-846-9353

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 18:08:19 -0700
Reply-To: Mike ONeil <mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike ONeil <mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU>
Subject: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Friends:

I have been reading all of the posts regarding the Arizona immigration law with special interest. I have deliberately withheld comment until I could collect my thoughts.

My first reaction to SB1070 was that it is outrageous, offensive, unnecessary, insulting, and racially suspect. My feelings for those legislators who passed it border on contempt. The state lacks responsible leadership, especially in the legislature, and the =93leaders=94 we have mostly pander to the basest instincts.

UNDERSTAND SOME RECENT ARIZONA HISTORY

I have thought a lot about the political conditions that brought us here. =
The
product of that thinking was published yesterday in the Huffington Post.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/michael-j-o/the-arizona-immigration-l_b_561924.html

(I will not repeat this argument below, but it is the basis for some of the thinking which follows).

With this as a basis, my thoughts re AAPOR are as follows:

BOYCOT THREATS AND THE MARTIN LUTHER KING HOLIDAY

When I first heard of the prospect of a boycott, I thought immediately of the situation when Arizona Gov. Evan Mecham had rescinded the MLK holiday and provoked a national outrage (not only by rescinding the holiday but by doing so in insulting language). The issue was subsequently put on the ballot. In fact, two separate competing proposals were to be voted on. The polls showed the most popular one with a very small lead. On Sunday before the vote, Greg Gumbel broadcast a story that the NFL would consider pulling the Superbowl from Phoenix if the holiday were to go down to electoral defeat. I lived in Arizona at the time. The reaction to the story was a firestorm; it was immediate, intense, and negative. And it focused on resentment of outside interference with a state decision. Two days later, the more viable of the two proposals was defeated by a point or two, even though a clear majority of the electorate had voted for at least one of the two proposals.

I am absolutely convinced that the Gumbel boycott story cost the Holiday the margin of victory. The survey data was not completely conclusive on the point (both the pre-election poll and the electoral result were razor thin, though the pre-election survey showed a likely victory for the holiday). But I lived through the firestorm in the 48 hours before the vote and personally observed how it eclipsed all other stories for that period. And I have worked on enough campaigns to appreciate the significance of owning the debate in those last 48 hours. Can I prove my conclusion that the story killed the vote to a scientific certainty? No. The pre-election poll was close enough that MOE was a plausible alternative explanation. But I would be willing to bet maybe half my life savings on my conclusion: the story provided a couple of points for the opposition—more than enough to provide the margin of victory for the opposition.

(BTW: At the time public MLK votes would probably have gone down to defeat in 20 or so other states. Good think they did not go to a public vote elsewhere).

Lesson: threats to boycott can backfire, even if they feel good at the time=

AAPOR NEUTRALITY

What position would it put AAPOR=92s members if the organization were to support boycotting Arizona for adopting a policy that (thought I personally find it noxious), is supported by clear majorities, not only of Arizonans, but of the American people? (=93According to a New York Times/CBS poll released Monday, 69 percent of respondents from the South said that the law is either =93about right=94 or does not go =93far enough=94 and 66 percent = from the Midwest said the same. Opinion is more divided in the Northeast and West, but nationwide, 60 percent of respondents said the Arizona measure is about right or doesn't go far enough.=94 Arizona opinion is well within the national range. Even without a =93border state=94 effect. To read more: <http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0510/36790.html#ixzz0n54FvO5p>)

Several writers have raised the question of how AAPOR members neutrality could be questioned AAPOR were to publicly endorse a boycott. (I immediatel= y flashed on an image of a Fox News story: =93XXX Polling Company has release= d a study showing that most Americans support a path to citizenship for undocumented residents. Of course, they are card-carrying members of an organization that oppose enforcing our current immigration laws, so what do you expect them to find?=94).

I have also listened as several members suggested that either decision is a stakes out a political position. Clearly to boycott expresses an unambiguous position. But there are many reasons why AAPOR could not chang= e its plans other than support for the immigration law. From AAPOR=92s standpoint, in particular, given that the decision to meet in AZ (and the

financial commitment to do so) were made well prior to the law, I don't see how a nondecision would be a political statement (and especially if it were to be accompanied by a resolution to that effect). A decision to locate a subsequent meeting here would, of course, be more in a grey area.

AAPOR MEMBERS CHANCES OF BEING ACCOSTED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT?

Mathematically somewhere near zero, I'd guess. I can't see anyone well-dressed or obviously educated or middle class being accosted, regardless of race (class bias, you becha!). And if the law is not suspended either by a court (Tucson and Flagstaff are suing, among others) or a citizen initiative (the former more likely than the latter), I am think it unlikely there will be very substantial changes in police practice (Police Chief reactions have ranged from hostile-I-will-not-enforce-this-law to more diplomatic; though rank-and-file officers are probably more supportive. Sheriff Joe is another matter. While he will cite this as authority for his actions, I don't see how he can do much more than he already has. And I can't see conventioners as a priority. He is politically attuned, and it would probably be his downfall.

While I do not hold out any great hope for a legislative reversal (for the reasons set forth in the HuffPo article above), the reactions of most police chiefs has been heartening.

For my part, I am in need of a vacation.

Tonight, I will watch the Suns (wearing their Los Suns jerseys as a positive statement of displeasure with the law).

And tomorrow, I'll be driving south to a Mexican beach where I own property.

A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the fear of encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon.

See you in Chicago. I expect to be well-rested and look forward to engaging those interested on this and other topics. I imagine it will be a topic of discussion.

Happy Cinco de Mayo.

Mike O'Neil

Tempe, AZ

www.mikeoneil.org

www.oneilresearch.com

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 21:34:57 EDT

Reply-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Andrew Gage <AGage95526@AOL.COM>

Subject: Arizona Governor Responds To Sports Boycotts

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Worth reading.

Here is the link:

<http://sports.espn.go.com/espn/commentary/news/story?page=brewer/100505>
(<http://sports.espn.go.com/espn/commentary/news/story?page=brewer/100505>)

Andy

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 22:16:00 -0400

Reply-To: Mike Donatello <mike@DONATELLO.US>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Mike Donatello <mike@DONATELLO.US>

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: <r2k17ee023d1005051808k4a52ab5fjd45eec0a5ca43706@mail.gmail.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="=====
AVGMAIL-4BE77B33=====

--=====
AVGMAIL-4BE77B33=====

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

" A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the fear of encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

Mike,

I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent posters, I ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.

Thank you,

MD

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike ONeil

Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2010 9:08 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: [AAPORNET] Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

Friends:

I have been reading all of the posts regarding the Arizona immigration law with special interest. I have deliberately withheld comment until I could collect my thoughts.

<SNIP>

And tomorrow, I'll be driving south to a Mexican beach where I own property. A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the fear of encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon.

<SNIP>

Happy Cinco de Mayo.

Mike O'Neil
Tempe, AZ
www.mikeoneil.org
www.oneilresearch.com

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2847 - Release Date: 05/05/10
02:26:00

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----AVGMAIL-4BE77B33-----
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----AVGMAIL-5FF65610-----"

-----AVGMAIL-5FF65610-----
Content-Type: text/plain; x-avg=cert; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Description: "Certification"

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2855 - Release Date: 05/05/10 02:26:00

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----AVGMAIL-5FF65610-----
-----AVGMAIL-4BE77B33-----

=====
Date: Wed, 5 May 2010 23:50:49 -0400
Reply-To: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: aapornet aapornet <aapornet@asu.edu>
In-Reply-To:
<!&AAAAAAYAAAAAANJLQ1mrN59FjkscOXfTTNLCgAAAEAAAAFtwD6rlqdZBhhSWew/HUr
YBAAAAA==@donatello.us>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed; delp=yes
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)

On May 5, 2010, at 10:16 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

> " A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the
> fear of
> encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
>
> Mike,
>
> I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent
> posters, I
> ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.

It's very amusing how so many public opinion researchers find the
expression of political sentiments to be indiscreet or worse. "No
opinions please! We're professionals."

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
iTunes:
<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>
>
or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 08:43:05 -0400
Reply-To: Dave Howell <dahowell@ISR.UMICH.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Dave Howell <dahowell@ISR.UMICH.EDU>
Subject: AAPOR/WAPOR: Session in Chicago on Thursday about survey research
jobs in Qatar
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear AAPOR members,

=20

The Social and Economic Survey Research Institute (SESRI) at Qatar University is pleased to invite interested persons with experience in survey research to meet with the Director of SESRI and representatives from the University of Michigan about job opportunities at SESRI in Doha, Qatar. SESRI is growing at a rapid pace and expects to hire a number of positions over the next year or so, including multiple Research Analysts, a Sampling Specialist, a Survey Technology Specialist, and Data Collection Managers. Arabic language skills are helpful, but not required.

=20

SESRI representatives will be available from 2:00pm-4:00pm on Thursday May 13th in room "Grand Avenue C" of the Crowne Plaza "Avenue Chicago Downtown" hotel (which is the site of the WAPOR conference, at 160 E. Huron Street in Chicago). No appointment is required - feel free just to show up. But if you would like to reserve a specific time to meet with us, to schedule a meeting at a different time, or if you would like to send us your CV ahead of time, please email David Howell at "dahowell@umich.edu <<mailto:dahowell@umich.edu>>".

=20

The Social and Economic Survey Research Institute (SESRI), with a research and an educational mission, has been established at Qatar University to conduct high-quality survey research of current and future social and economic issues. SESRI is an initiative of Qatar University, in association with the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at the University of Michigan. For additional information about SESRI, please visit the SESRI website at: <http://www.qu.edu.qa/sesri/>
<<http://www.qu.edu.qa/sesri/>>=20

=20

Thanks! We look forward to seeing you in Chicago.

-Dave

=20

David Howell

Assistant Director, Center for Political Studies

Director of Studies, Comparative Study of Electoral Systems

Institute for Social Research

University of Michigan

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 09:10:01 -0400

Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>

Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing

Subject: Karl Rove on exit polls

X-To: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

In today's Washington Post, Karl Rove describes exit polls as the one thing he believes we would most be better off without.

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/special/opinions/outlook/spring-cleaning/exit-polls.html>

or: <http://tinyurl.com/2b4r7o5>

I'm not particularly surprised that his reasoning reflects blinding political bias and a casual disregard for facts, but his final admonition does have some validity:

If America must have exit polls, then let's not add up the numbers until the voting ends -- and let's break our addiction to these polls over actual returns.

Jan Werner

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 10:21:35 EDT
Reply-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Andrew Gage <AGage95526@AOL.COM>
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

As professionals I think there is a certain decorum that should be observed in our discourse with others but in particular those in our group. I happen to be a law abiding citizen who has a legal carry concealed weapons permit. Did you actually know that most gun crimes are actually committed by criminals who shouldn't be carrying guns at all?!

I don't think you see or can document even a small percentage of cases where a registered gun owner with a concealed weapons permit decides to go on a rampage. I think the statement shows an ignorance to gun laws and their owners that is very similar to some of the comments being made about the Arizona law that has been debated for weeks.

The politicizing of AAPOR to be honest is very troubling to me. My particular niche in market research and consulting is in healthcare. I have worked for healthcare market research organizations and know of several organizations similar to this one that are healthcare centric. At no time during the healthcare debate did any of these companies I worked for or organizations I belong to ever put out or even consider putting out a statement, boycott or any other form of expression supporting or protesting the healthcare reform bill.

I think AAPOR should consider the same neutral stance. Being that our meeting had already been scheduled for Phoenix prior to the debate of this law AAPOR made no conscious choice in its venue selection to support the bill. AAPOR certainly shouldn't make a conscious choice to boycott.

Regardless of the decision made by the AAPOR leadership on this matter I am seriously considering canceling my membership as I find the political nature of most of the posts to be something I have no interest in. After all there are plenty of sites and organizations I can join if I want that kind of dialogue.

Andy

In a message dated 5/5/2010 11:51:49 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, dhenwood@PANIX.COM writes:

On May 5, 2010, at 10:16 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

> " A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the
> fear of
> encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
>
> Mike,
>
> I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent
> posters, I
> ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.

It's very amusing how so many public opinion researchers find the expression of political sentiments to be indiscreet or worse. "No opinions please! We're professionals."

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
iTunes:
<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>>
>
or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 11:34:04 -0400

Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: A<432fa.269f9129.39142a6f@aol.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

You realize, of course, that your post is just as political as the ones you are decrying.

And I think that the original poster might have been engaging in sarcasm, always dangerous in narrow band communications channels.

Of course I could be wrong.

--

Leo G. Simonetta

Director of Research

Art & Science Group

6115 Falls Road, Suite 101

Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Andrew Gage

Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 10:22 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

As professionals I think there is a certain decorum that should be observed

in our discourse with others but in particular those in our group. I happen to be a law abiding citizen who has a legal carry concealed weapons

permit. Did you actually know that most gun crimes are actually

committed by
criminals who shouldn't be carrying guns at all?!

I don't think you see or can document even a small percentage of cases where a registered gun owner with a concealed weapons permit decides to go on a rampage. I think the statement shows an ignorance to gun laws and their owners that is very similar to some of the comments being made about the Arizona law that has been debated for weeks.

The politicizing of AAPOR to be honest is very troubling to me. My particular niche in market research and consulting is in healthcare. I have worked for healthcare market research organizations and know of several organizations similar to this one that are healthcare centric. At no time during the healthcare debate did any of these companies I worked for or organizations I belong to ever put out or even consider putting out a statement, boycott or any other form of expression supporting or protesting the healthcare reform bill.

I think AAPOR should consider the same neutral stance. Being that our meeting had already been scheduled for Phoenix prior to the debate of this law AAPOR made no conscious choice in its venue selection to support the bill. AAPOR certainly shouldn't make a conscious choice to boycott.

Regardless of the decision made by the AAPOR leadership on this matter I am seriously considering canceling my membership as I find the political nature of most of the posts to be something I have no interest in. After all there are plenty of sites and organizations I can join if I want that kind of dialogue.

Andy

In a message dated 5/5/2010 11:51:49 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, dhenwood@PANIX.COM writes:

On May 5, 2010, at 10:16 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

> " A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the
> fear of
> encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
>

> Mike,
>
> I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent
> posters, I
> ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.

It's very amusing how so many public opinion researchers find the expression of political sentiments to be indiscreet or worse. "No opinions please! We're professionals."

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
iTunes:
<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=738018>
17
>
or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 11:46:47 EDT
Reply-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Andrew Gage <AGage95526@AOL.COM>
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hmmm, how is this post political?!

In a message dated 5/6/2010 11:45:02 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time, Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM writes:

You realize, of course, that your post is just as political as the ones you are decrying.

And I think that the original poster might have been engaging in sarcasm, always dangerous in narrow band communications channels.

Of course I could be wrong.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Andrew Gage
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 10:22 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

As professionals I think there is a certain decorum that should be observed in our discourse with others but in particular those in our group. I happen to be a law abiding citizen who has a legal carry concealed weapons

permit. Did you actually know that most gun crimes are actually committed by criminals who shouldn't be carrying guns at all?!

I don't think you see or can document even a small percentage of cases where a registered gun owner with a concealed weapons permit decides to go on a rampage. I think the statement shows an ignorance to gun laws and their owners that is very similar to some of the comments being made about the Arizona law that has been debated for weeks.

The politicizing of AAPOR to be honest is very troubling to me. My particular niche in market research and consulting is in healthcare. I have worked for healthcare market research organizations and know of several organizations similar to this one that are healthcare centric. At no time during the healthcare debate did any of these companies I worked for or organizations I belong to ever put out or even consider putting out a statement, boycott or any other form of expression supporting or protesting the healthcare reform bill.

I think AAPOR should consider the same neutral stance. Being that our meeting had already been scheduled for Phoenix prior to the debate of this law AAPOR made no conscious choice in its venue selection to support the bill. AAPOR certainly shouldn't make a conscious choice to boycott.

Regardless of the decision made by the AAPOR leadership on this matter I am seriously considering canceling my membership as I find the political nature of most of the posts to be something I have no interest in. After all there are plenty of sites and organizations I can join if I want that kind of dialogue.

Andy

In a message dated 5/5/2010 11:51:49 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, dhenwood@PANIX.COM writes:

On May 5, 2010, at 10:16 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

> " A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the
> fear of
> encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

>
> Mike,
>
> I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent
> posters, I
> ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.

It's very amusing how so many public opinion researchers find the expression of political sentiments to be indiscreet or worse. "No opinions please! We're professionals."

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
iTunes:
<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>>
>
or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 11:53:19 -0400

Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>

Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

X-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM

X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: <432fa.269f9129.39142a6f@aol.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The Brady Center keeps a list of gun-related criminal cases involving persons with concealed weapons permits. You can download their compilation as of November 2009 at:
<http://www.bradycenter.org/xshare/pdf/facts/cw-crimes-misdeeds.pdf>

or: <http://tinyurl.com/n765am>

But more to the point, I see no reason why professionals should not be allowed to express their personal opinions on political or social issues, particularly to their peers and colleagues. Everyone, and every organization, has opinions and prejudices. The real problem is not when these are known, it is when they are hidden from view.

I am grateful for the free exchange of ideas on AAPORNET and would hate to see people leave because they disagree with something posted here. I want to hear what others have to say, and not just those I agree with.

We need more open debate, not less.

Jan Werner

Andrew Gage wrote:

- > As professionals I think there is a certain decorum that should be observed
- > in our discourse with others but in particular those in our group. I
- > happen to be a law abiding citizen who has a legal carry concealed weapons
- > permit. Did you actually know that most gun crimes are actually committed
- by
- > criminals who shouldn't be carrying guns at all?!
- >
- > I don't think you see or can document even a small percentage of cases
- > where a registered gun owner with a concealed weapons permit decides to go
- on
- > a rampage. I think the statement shows an ignorance to gun laws and their
- > owners that is very similar to some of the comments being made about the
- > Arizona law that has been debated for weeks.
- >
- > The politicizing of AAPOR to be honest is very troubling to me. My
- > particular niche in market research and consulting is in healthcare. I have
- worked
- > for healthcare market research organizations and know of several
- > organizations similar to this one that are healthcare centric. At no time
- during the
- > healthcare debate did any of these companies I worked for or organizations
- > I belong to ever put out or even consider putting out a statement, boycott
- > or any other form of expression supporting or protesting the healthcare
- > reform bill.
- >
- > I think AAPOR should consider the same neutral stance. Being that our
- > meeting had already been scheduled for Phoenix prior to the debate of this
- law
- > AAPOR made no conscious choice in its venue selection to support the bill.
- > AAPOR certainly shouldn't make a conscious choice to boycott.
- >
- > Regardless of the decision made by the AAPOR leadership on this matter I am
- > seriously considering canceling my membership as I find the political
- > nature of most of the posts to be something I have no interest in. After
- all
- > there are plenty of sites and organizations I can join if I want that kind
- of
- > dialogue.
- >
- > Andy
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- > In a message dated 5/5/2010 11:51:49 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time,
- > dhenwood@PANIX.COM writes:
- >
- > On May 5, 2010, at 10:16 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:
- >
- >> " A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the
- >> fear of
- >> encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
- >>

>> Mike,
>>
>> I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent
>> posters, I
>> ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.
>
> It's very amusing how so many public opinion researchers find the
> expression of political sentiments to be indiscreet or worse. "No
> opinions please! We're professionals."
>
> Doug Henwood
> Left Business Observer
> 242 Greene Ave - #1C
> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
> <dhenwood@panix.com>
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
> "blog":<<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>
>
> voice +1-347-599-2211
> cell +1-917-865-2813
>
> producer, Behind the News
> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM
>
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
> podcast:<<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
> iTunes:
> <<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>>
>>
> or<<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>
>
> -----
>
> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>
>
> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>

>

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 11:45:29 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<52f5a.1f86173.39143e67@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Assertions on the safety of concealed carry seem to me to be political.
Certainly it is something about which there is considerable political
discussion.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Andrew Gage
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 11:47 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

Hmmm, how is this post political?!

In a message dated 5/6/2010 11:45:02 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time,
Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM writes:

You realize, of course, that your post is just as political as the ones
you are decrying.

And I think that the original poster might have been engaging in
sarcasm, always dangerous in narrow band communications channels.

Of course I could be wrong.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Andrew Gage
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 10:22 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

As professionals I think there is a certain decorum that should be observed in our discourse with others but in particular those in our group. I happen to be a law abiding citizen who has a legal carry concealed weapons permit. Did you actually know that most gun crimes are actually committed by criminals who shouldn't be carrying guns at all?!

I don't think you see or can document even a small percentage of cases where a registered gun owner with a concealed weapons permit decides to go on a rampage. I think the statement shows an ignorance to gun laws and their owners that is very similar to some of the comments being made about the Arizona law that has been debated for weeks.

The politicizing of AAPOR to be honest is very troubling to me. My particular niche in market research and consulting is in healthcare. I have worked for healthcare market research organizations and know of several organizations similar to this one that are healthcare centric. At no time during the healthcare debate did any of these companies I worked for or organizations I belong to ever put out or even consider putting out a statement, boycott or any other form of expression supporting or protesting the healthcare reform bill.

I think AAPOR should consider the same neutral stance. Being that our meeting had already been scheduled for Phoenix prior to the debate of this law AAPOR made no conscious choice in its venue selection to support the bill. AAPOR certainly shouldn't make a conscious choice to boycott.

Regardless of the decision made by the AAPOR leadership on this matter
I

am
seriously considering canceling my membership as I find the political
nature of most of the posts to be something I have no interest in.
After all
there are plenty of sites and organizations I can join if I want that
kind of
dialogue.

Andy

In a message dated 5/5/2010 11:51:49 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time,
dhenwood@PANIX.COM writes:

On May 5, 2010, at 10:16 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

> " A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the
> fear of
> encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
>
> Mike,
>
> I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent
> posters, I
> ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.

It's very amusing how so many public opinion researchers find the
expression of political sentiments to be indiscreet or worse. "No
opinions please! We're professionals."

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
iTunes:
<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=738018>
17
>
or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 09:18:47 -0700
Reply-To: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: "jwerner@jwdp.com" <jwerner@jwdp.com> ,

"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To: <4BE2E5EF.7060204@jwdp.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Now the discussion has metastasized into an argument about politics inside the listserv population or, I don't know maybe gun laws. I'm outta here for a while.

MS

Michael J. Sullivan, Ph.D.
Chairman
Freeman, Sullivan & Co.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner

Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 8:53 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

The Brady Center keeps a list of gun-related criminal cases involving persons with concealed weapons permits. You can download their compilation as of November 2009 at:
<http://www.bradycenter.org/xshare/pdf/facts/ccw-crimes-misdeeds.pdf>

or: <http://tinyurl.com/n765am>

But more to the point, I see no reason why professionals should not be allowed to express their personal opinions on political or social issues, particularly to their peers and colleagues. Everyone, and every organization, has opinions and prejudices. The real problem is not when these are known, it is when they are hidden from view.

I am grateful for the free exchange of ideas on AAPORNET and would hate to see people leave because they disagree with something posted here. I want to hear what others have to say, and not just those I agree with.

We need more open debate, not less.

Jan Werner

Andrew Gage wrote:

- > As professionals I think there is a certain decorum that should be observed
- > in our discourse with others but in particular those in our group. I
- > happen to be a law abiding citizen who has a legal carry concealed weapons
- > permit. Did you actually know that most gun crimes are actually committed
- > by
- > criminals who shouldn't be carrying guns at all?!
- >
- > I don't think you see or can document even a small percentage of cases

> where a registered gun owner with a concealed weapons permit decides to go on
> a rampage. I think the statement shows an ignorance to gun laws and their
> owners that is very similar to some of the comments being made about the
> Arizona law that has been debated for weeks.
>
> The politicizing of AAPOR to be honest is very troubling to me. My
> particular niche in market research and consulting is in healthcare. I have
worked
> for healthcare market research organizations and know of several
> organizations similar to this one that are healthcare centric. At no time
during the
> healthcare debate did any of these companies I worked for or organizations
> I belong to ever put out or even consider putting out a statement, boycott
> or any other form of expression supporting or protesting the healthcare
> reform bill.
>
> I think AAPOR should consider the same neutral stance. Being that our
> meeting had already been scheduled for Phoenix prior to the debate of this
law
> AAPOR made no conscious choice in its venue selection to support the bill.
> AAPOR certainly shouldn't make a conscious choice to boycott.
>
> Regardless of the decision made by the AAPOR leadership on this matter I am
> seriously considering canceling my membership as I find the political
> nature of most of the posts to be something I have no interest in. After
all
> there are plenty of sites and organizations I can join if I want that kind
of
> dialogue.
>
> Andy
>
>
>
>
>
> In a message dated 5/5/2010 11:51:49 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time,
> dhenwood@PANIX.COM writes:
>
> On May 5, 2010, at 10:16 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:
>
>> " A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the
>> fear of
>> encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
>>
>> Mike,
>>
>> I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent
>> posters, I
>> ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.
>
> It's very amusing how so many public opinion researchers find the
> expression of political sentiments to be indiscreet or worse. "No
> opinions please! We're professionals."

>
> Doug Henwood
> Left Business Observer
> 242 Greene Ave - #1C
> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
> <dhenwood@panix.com>
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
> "blog":<<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>
>
> voice +1-347-599-2211
> cell +1-917-865-2813
>
> producer, Behind the News
> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM
>
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
> podcast:<<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
> iTunes:
> <<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>>
>>
> or<<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>
>
> -----
>
> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>
>
> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>
>

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 09:25:34 -0700
Reply-To: Natalia Usmanova-Elsner <natalia_usmanova@YAHOO.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Natalia Usmanova-Elsner <natalia_usmanova@YAHOO.COM>
Subject: Trends in recruitment of professionals for B2B research
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Dear colleagues,

Please point me to the literature or your own experience on what changes you have seen over time in trying to recruit professionals (from nurses to physicians to business decision-makers to key opinion leaders) for B2B research studies: surveys, IDIs, focus groups, etc.

What we have observed (and this is only anecdotal) is that it is becoming increasingly difficult to recruit qualified research participants. We speculate this has to do with "oversurveying" (multiple research participation requests), tighter policies at their organizations on research participation, and perhaps low salience to respondents of the research topics they are being asked to address. And even very generous monetary incentives offer but little help.

What has been your experience? Feel free to respond off the list.

Thank you for sharing!

Natalia Elsner | Senior Analyst
8777 E. Via de Ventura, Suite 188
Scottsdale, AZ 85258
phone: 480-947-8078, Ext. 333
fax: 480-481-0747
elsner@hsmgroup.com | www.hsmgroup.com
The HSM Group, Ltd.
Reliable Insights. Innovative Solutions.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 09:35:43 -0700
Reply-To: Bob Klein <bklein@AMS-INC.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Bob Klein <bklein@AMS-INC.COM>
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <52f5a.1f86173.39143e67@aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Are concealed weapons allowed at AAPOR meetings?

Bob Klein - President
Applied Marketing Science, Inc.
303 Wyman Street, Suite 205
Waltham, MA 02451
781-250-6301

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Andrew Gage
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 11:47 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

Hmmm, how is this post political?!

In a message dated 5/6/2010 11:45:02 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time,
Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM writes:

You realize, of course, that your post is just as political as the ones
you are decrying.

And I think that the original poster might have been engaging in
sarcasm, always dangerous in narrow band communications channels.

Of course I could be wrong.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Andrew Gage
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 10:22 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

As professionals I think there is a certain decorum that should be observed in our discourse with others but in particular those in our group. I happen to be a law abiding citizen who has a legal carry concealed weapons permit. Did you actually know that most gun crimes are actually committed by criminals who shouldn't be carrying guns at all?!

I don't think you see or can document even a small percentage of cases where a registered gun owner with a concealed weapons permit decides to go on a rampage. I think the statement shows an ignorance to gun laws and their owners that is very similar to some of the comments being made about the Arizona law that has been debated for weeks.

The politicizing of AAPOR to be honest is very troubling to me. My particular niche in market research and consulting is in healthcare. I have worked for healthcare market research organizations and know of several organizations similar to this one that are healthcare centric. At no time during the healthcare debate did any of these companies I worked for or organizations I belong to ever put out or even consider putting out a statement, boycott or any other form of expression supporting or protesting the healthcare reform bill.

I think AAPOR should consider the same neutral stance. Being that our meeting had already been scheduled for Phoenix prior to the debate of this law AAPOR made no conscious choice in its venue selection to support the bill. AAPOR certainly shouldn't make a conscious choice to boycott.

Regardless of the decision made by the AAPOR leadership on this matter I am seriously considering canceling my membership as I find the political nature of most of the posts to be something I have no interest in. After all there are plenty of sites and organizations I can join if I want that kind of dialogue.

Andy

In a message dated 5/5/2010 11:51:49 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time,

dhenwood@PANIX.COM writes:

On May 5, 2010, at 10:16 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

> " A peaceful country where I can sit on a pristine beach free of the
> fear of
> encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
>
> Mike,
>
> I find this statement incredibly offensive. Echoing other recent
> posters, I
> ask that you please keep political comments to yourself.

It's very amusing how so many public opinion researchers find the expression of political sentiments to be indiscreet or worse. "No opinions please! We're professionals."

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
iTunes:
<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=738018>
17
>
or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
http://www.aapor.org
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
http://www.aapor.org
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
http://www.aapor.org
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
http://www.aapor.org
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 10:38:04 -0700
Reply-To: Paul DiPerna <pd_wpa21@YAHOO.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Paul DiPerna <pd_wpa21@YAHOO.COM>
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona | AAPOR and the potential for a public
service
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

I'm relatively new to AAPOR, and next week will be my first meeting, so this could be very naive to ask. But has the association ever commissioned a research project, or series of projects, that then supported a major conference theme?

My view is that this is an opportunity for AAPOR to provide a valuable public service. I believe it was Barry Feinberg's email, from a bit ago, that resonated with me.

Maybe we can approach one or more foundations to financially support such a

project or one or more special sessions/panels? Immigration is pretty far out of my policy area, but I would be glad to volunteer in whatever capacity to assist along these lines and try to make it happen for next year.

Some members here have already suggested that AAPOR is in a unique position and capacity (relative to other associations and organizations) to offer context, and report perspectives, on a complex and often emotional issue.

I can't agree more.

- Paul

Paul DiPerna
Foundation for Educational Choice
office: 317-229-2131
cell/text: 317-605-5622
email: pd_wpa21@yahoo.com

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 14:06:00 -0400
Reply-To: Rich Clark <clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Rich Clark <clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU>
Subject: Re: Karl Rove on exit polls
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <4BE2BFA9.1010608@jwdp.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I agree with Rove's contention that our focus on exit polls on election night is rarely useful, but I would not want to eliminate them. While the ballot returns tell us "what" happened, we rely on the exit polls to help explain why it happened. I think exit polls should be used to explain rather than predict.

--Rich Clark

On 5/6/2010 9:10 AM, Jan Werner wrote:

> In today's Washington Post, Karl Rove describes exit polls as the one
> thing he believes we would most be better off without.
>

> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/special/opinions/outlook/spring-cleaning/exit-polls.html>

>

>

> or: <http://tinyurl.com/2b4r7o5>

>

> I'm not particularly surprised that his reasoning reflects blinding
> political bias and a casual disregard for facts, but his final
> admonition does have some validity:

>

> If America must have exit polls, then let's not add up
> the numbers until the voting ends -- and let's break
> our addiction to these polls over actual returns.

>

> Jan Werner

>

> -----

> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> <http://www.aapor.org>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> signoff aapornet

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D
Division of Government Services & Research
Carl Vinson Institute of Government
University of Georgia
201 N. Milledge Avenue
Athens, GA 30602

Office phone: (706) 542-9404

Email address: clark@cviog.uga.edu

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 11:44:04 -0700

Reply-To: debell@stanford.edu

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Matthew DeBell <debell@STANFORD.EDU>

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: <2B53647AB916744283C5EE1E7CC9CFC7790566FE3F@EXVMBX015-1.exch015.msoutlookonline.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

On 5/6/2010 9:35 AM, Bob Klein wrote:

> Are concealed weapons allowed at AAPOR meetings?

In Chicago, no.

In Arizona, probably yes. AZ allows concealed handguns in bars and hotel rooms.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_laws_in_the_United_States_%28by_state%29#Arizona

--
Matthew DeBell, Ph.D.
Director of Stanford Operations
American National Election Studies
Stanford University

debell@stanford.edu
650-725-2239
www.electionstudies.org

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 12:26:19 -0700
Reply-To: Bob Klein <bklein@AMS-INC.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Bob Klein <bklein@AMS-INC.COM>
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <4BE30DF4.9020009@stanford.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

So according to Wikipedia:
State law prohibits the carrying of firearms in certain areas including:
"Any private property or private establishment where the owner or lessee has posted signage forbidding the carrying of weapons."

Does anyone know if the venue for the Phoenix meeting has posted or intends to post such signage?

Bob Klein - President
Applied Marketing Science, Inc.
303 Wyman Street, Suite 205

Waltham, MA 02451
781-250-6301

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Matthew DeBell
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 2:44 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

On 5/6/2010 9:35 AM, Bob Klein wrote:
> Are concealed weapons allowed at AAPOR meetings?

In Chicago, no.

In Arizona, probably yes. AZ allows concealed handguns in bars and hotel rooms.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_laws_in_the_United_States_%28by_state%29#Arizona

--

Matthew DeBell, Ph.D.
Director of Stanford Operations
American National Election Studies
Stanford University

debell@stanford.edu
650-725-2239
www.electionstudies.org

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 15:38:38 EDT
Reply-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Andrew Gage <AGage95526@AOL.COM>

Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: bklein@AMS-INC.COM, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I can't speak to the specifics of our venue. But last year I was in Phoenix to visit several friends and was staying at a hotel that posted no signage related to this topic. Several friends of mine some off duty law enforcement and others not who all carry were able to enter and exit the hotel freely. I guess it depends on the venue and their policies as it relates to conference attendees.

Andy

In a message dated 5/6/2010 3:27:02 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, bklein@AMS-INC.COM writes:

So according to Wikipedia:
State law prohibits the carrying of firearms in certain areas including:
"Any private property or private establishment where the owner or lessee has posted signage forbidding the carrying of weapons."

Does anyone know if the venue for the Phoenix meeting has posted or intends to post such signage?

Bob Klein - President
Applied Marketing Science, Inc.
303 Wyman Street, Suite 205
Waltham, MA 02451
781-250-6301

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Matthew DeBell
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 2:44 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

On 5/6/2010 9:35 AM, Bob Klein wrote:
> Are concealed weapons allowed at AAPOR meetings?

In Chicago, no.

In Arizona, probably yes. AZ allows concealed handguns in bars and hotel rooms.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_laws_in_the_United_States_%28by_state%29#Arizona

--
Matthew DeBell, Ph.D.
Director of Stanford Operations
American National Election Studies
Stanford University

debell@stanford.edu
650-725-2239
www.electionstudies.org

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 15:31:56 -0400
Reply-To: Benjamin Phillips <bphillips@BRANDEIS.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Benjamin Phillips <bphillips@BRANDEIS.EDU>
Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <2B53647AB916744283C5EE1E7CC9CFC7790566FF7D@EXVMBX015-1.exch015.msoutlookonline.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I recall visiting Arizona (from Australia) as an eight year-old in the early 1980s and being surprised (to put it mildly) that restaurants had "please leave your gun at the hat check" signs. I certainly wasn't in Sydney any more.

Ben

Benjamin Phillips, Ph.D.
Associate Research Scientist
Steinhardt Social Research Institute and
Cohen Center for Modern Jewish Studies
Brandeis University MS014
415 South Street
Waltham, MA 02453
Phone: 781-736-3855 Fax: 781-736-3929
Web: <http://www.brandeis.edu/cmjs/about/phillips.html>
Email: bphillips@brandeis.edu

On 5/6/2010 3:26 PM, Bob Klein wrote:

> So according to Wikipedia:
> State law prohibits the carrying of firearms in certain areas including:
> "Any private property or private establishment where the owner or lessee has
posted signage forbidding the carrying of weapons."
>
> Does anyone know if the venue for the Phoenix meeting has posted or intends
to post such signage?
>
> Bob Klein - President
> Applied Marketing Science, Inc.
> 303 Wyman Street, Suite 205
> Waltham, MA 02451
> 781-250-6301

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Matthew DeBell
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 2:44 PM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: Re: Thoughts on Arizona -- from Arizona

> On 5/6/2010 9:35 AM, Bob Klein wrote:

>> Are concealed weapons allowed at AAPOR meetings?

>> In Chicago, no.

>> In Arizona, probably yes. AZ allows concealed handguns in bars and hotel
>> rooms.

>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gun_laws_in_the_United_States_%28by_state%29#Arizona

>> --
>> Matthew DeBell, Ph.D.

> Director of Stanford Operations
> American National Election Studies
> Stanford University
>
> debell@stanford.edu
> 650-725-2239
> www.electionstudies.org
>
> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>
> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>

--

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 17:19:27 -0500
Reply-To: "Levy, Eric" <Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Levy, Eric" <Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM>
Subject: Not about Arizona
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

or guns.
=20

I was reading the posts about an AAPOR member who's in a Jazz band that's playing in Chicago next week. I've inadvertently deleted that email, and one of my colleagues would like to see a marketing researcher playing jazz...

=20
Would someone with better conservation skills than I please forward the

info to me? I'd be grateful. And so would Ted.

=20

Thanks in advance.

=20

=20

Eric S. Levy =20

Senior Director, Marketing

Maritz Research

W: (636) 827-4932=20

M: (314) 560-8909

eric.levy@maritz.com <mailto:eric.levy@maritz.com>=20

<http://www.maritzresearch.com/> <<http://www.maritzresearch.com/>>=20

=20

<http://www.maritz.com/maritz_sm.jpg>=20

=20

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, any dissemination, publication or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. The sender does not accept any responsibility for any loss, disruption or damage to your data or computer system that may occur while using data contained in, or transmitted with, this e-mail. =20

If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail. Thank you.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 16:38:29 -0700

Reply-To: Charles DiSogra <cdisogra@KNOWLEDGENETWORKS.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Charles DiSogra <cdisogra@KNOWLEDGENETWORKS.COM>

Subject: Job posting: Survey Research Methodologist, Knowledge Networks

X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

X-cc: Lynne Armstrong <larmstrong@knowledgenetworks.com>,
Mike Dennis <mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Career opening at Knowledge Networks: Survey Research Methodologist

=20

Knowledge Networks (KN) has an exciting opportunity for an experienced survey research methodologist. The position is director of KN's survey methods group. The successful candidate will lead KN's efforts to advance the science and technology related to Web questionnaire design and survey methods that enhance data quality. This is a research position that covers a broad range of methodological and sampling topics. Under the direction of KN's chief statistician, studies will be designed to advance the scientific quality and the operational efficiency of KN's KnowledgePanel(r). The survey research methodologist is expected to produce research reports, present findings at professional conferences and prepare papers for publication in peer-reviewed journals. =20

=20

An advanced degree in survey research, psychology, sociology, behavioral sciences, or a closely related field is required. Also, the candidate will have relevant research experience and a track record of professional presentations and/or published research. Experience with an online research company is highly desirable. Analytical proficiency with SPSS and/or SAS is expected. The position is based in Menlo Park, CA. =20

=20

For further information and instructions on how to apply, visit:
<http://www.knowledgenetworks.com/company/careers.html>. =20

=20

I will also be attending the 2010 AAPOR Annual Conference in Chicago if you 'd like to discuss this position. See you there!

=20

Charles DiSogra

Chief Statistician

Knowledge Networks

cdisogra@knowledgenetworks.com. =20

=20

=20

=20

Knowledge
N E T W O R K S

Charles A. DiSogra, DrPH, MPH =20
Chief Statistician

1350 Willow Road, Suite 102 Menlo Park, CA 94025
Phone 650.289.2185 Fax 650.289.2001
www.knowledgenetworks.com <<http://www.knowledgenetworks.com/>> =20

=20

P Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

=20

=20

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 20:04:50 -0400
Reply-To: "Andrew A. Beveridge" <aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Andrew A. Beveridge" <aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: Arizona Redux
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Dear All:

I hope the decision to stay in Arizona will work out for the association, but it could very well undermine the reputation of AAPOR going forward. The idea that implicitly supporting a regime that now has a policy to "stop, frisk and then deport" seems very short sighted to me. If between now and the meeting there are any evidence of profiling and deportation based upon that, it will mean that AAPOR will own that policy.

Even worse would be the detention of an attendee. To deny that the law in Arizona will not affect profiling is deeply disingenuous. AAPOR could have treated this as a force majeure, and not paid the hotel the \$200K.

Now the association may be on the hook for not making whatever the hotel guarantee is. Only if the law is overturned will AAPOR be able to breathe a sigh of relief.

I am quite disheartened.

--

Andrew A. Beveridge

Prof of Sociology Queens College and Grad Ctr CUNY
Chair Queens College Sociology Dept
Office: 718-997-2848
Email: andrew.beveridge@qc.cuny.edu
252A Powdermaker Hall
65-30 Kissena Blvd
Flushing, NY 11367-1597

President, Social Explorer, Inc
50 Merriam Ave
Bronxville, NY 10708
Phone 914-337-6237
Cell 914-522-4487
andy@sociaexplorer.com
www.sociaexplorer.com

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 20:28:11 EDT
Reply-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Andrew Gage <AGage95526@AOL.COM>
Subject: Re: Arizona Redux
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I for one I'm glad that AAPOR is maintaining "business as usual." I think that saying AAPOR in any way endorses this law is absurd and is a red herring. Should we infer that any business, organization, church or school in Arizona endorses the law because they are conducting business as usual?

I will refer you once again to the statement from the Arizona governor reminding all of us that this is actually a federal law that has been on the books since pre WWII. Arizona is choosing to enforce it because of the grave issue of illegal immigration in that state. Hopefully the federal government will finally act on immigration law and any reforms that need to be made.

Worth reading.

Here is the link:

<http://sports.espn.go.com/espn/commentary/news/story?page=brewer/100505>
(<http://sports.espn.go.com/espn/commentary/news/story?page=brewer/100505>)

Andy

In a message dated 5/6/2010 8:15:53 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM writes:

Dear All:

I hope the decision to stay in Arizona will work out for the association, but it could very well undermine the reputation of AAPOR going forward. The idea that implicitly supporting a regime that now has a policy to "stop, frisk and then deport" seems very short sighted to me. If between now and the meeting there are any evidence of profiling and deportation based upon that, it will mean that AAPOR will own that policy.

Even worse would be the detention of an attendee. To deny that the law in Arizona will not affect profiling is deeply disingenuous. AAPOR could have treated this as a force majeure, and not paid the hotel the \$200K.

Now the association may be on the hook for not making whatever the hotel guarantee is. Only if the law is overturned will AAPOR be able to breathe a sigh of relief.

I am quite disheartened.

--

Andrew A. Beveridge
Prof of Sociology Queens College and Grad Ctr CUNY
Chair Queens College Sociology Dept
Office: 718-997-2848
Email: andrew.beveridge@qc.cuny.edu
252A Powdermaker Hall
65-30 Kissena Blvd
Flushing, NY 11367-1597

President, Social Explorer, Inc
50 Merriam Ave
Bronxville, NY 10708
Phone 914-337-6237
Cell 914-522-4487
andy@sociaexplorer.com
www.sociaexplorer.com

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 21:23:57 -0400
Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing
Subject: Guns n' Arizonans
X-To: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike O'Neil's offhand comment about being "free from the fear of encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many passions, Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that allows anyone who is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a permit. You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.

Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or handle it safely.

You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more disturbing than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be stopped as possible illegal immigrants.

You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:
<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/20100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill116-ON.html>

or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>

Jan Werner

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 22:15:04 -0400
Reply-To: McKinney Ryan <Ryan.McKinney@ARBITRON.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: McKinney Ryan <Ryan.McKinney@ARBITRON.COM>
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<4BE36BAD.50608@jwdp.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I find it humorous that this was a complete nonissue during last year's conference in Florida. Florida, as far as I know, has rather lax handgun and concealed carry laws. I could be wrong but, I'm fairly certain that attendees stayed safe during last year's conference.

I'll echo the red herring sentiment of others on this one.

-Ryan

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>] On Behalf Of Jan Werner
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 9:24 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Guns n' Arizonans

Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike O'Neil's offhand comment about being "free from the fear of encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many passions, Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that allows anyone who is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a permit. You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.

Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or handle it safely.

You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more disturbing than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be stopped as possible illegal immigrants.

You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:

<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/2>

0100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill16-ON.html

or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>

Jan Werner

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

This e-mail has been scanned by Arbitron's Email Content Service.

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 22:45:47 -0400
Reply-To: Mike Donatello <mike@DONATELLO.US>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Donatello <mike@DONATELLO.US>
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <4BE36BAD.50608@jwdp.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="=====AVGMAIL-00AF1570====="

--=====AVGMAIL-00AF1570=====

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

So, anybody wanna talk about surveys, statistics and stuff?

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Jan Werner
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 9:24 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: [AAPORNET] Guns n' Arizonans

Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike O'Neil's
offhand comment about being "free from the fear of encountering a

nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many passions, Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that allows anyone who is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a permit. You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.

Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or handle it safely.

You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more disturbing than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be stopped as possible illegal immigrants.

You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:
<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/20100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill16-ON.html>

or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>

Jan Werner

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10 14:26:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10 14:26:00

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--=====AVGMAIL-00AF1570=====

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="====AVGMAIL-587322B9===="

-----AVGMAIL-587322B9-----

Content-Type: text/plain; x-avg=cert; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Description: "Certification"

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10 14:26:00

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----AVGMAIL-587322B9-----

-----AVGMAIL-00AF1570-----

=====
Date: Thu, 6 May 2010 23:32:22 -0400

Reply-To: Susan Losh <slosh@FSU.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Susan Losh <slosh@FSU.EDU>

Subject: Re: Guns n' Floridians

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:

<2214047AD4E67540B5C77E643C254B2E0EAFF279@PIWMDPEXC.arbitron.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Disposition: inline

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Wasn't at last year's meetings so you didn't hear me howl about this one.

We don't have LAX gun laws in FLA, we have PRIVILEGED gun laws in FLA.

Most of us who work here are not thrilled that employees (or I guess students) can take their guns to work if they so choose.

But I will not progress this thread further.

Susan

----- Original Message -----

From: McKinney Ryan <Ryan.McKinney@ARBITRON.COM>

Date: Thursday, May 6, 2010 10:29 pm

Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> I find it humorous that this was a complete nonissue during last
> year's conference in Florida. Florida, as far as I know, has rather
> lax handgun and concealed carry laws. I could be wrong but, I'm fairly
> certain that attendees stayed safe during last year's conference.
>
> I'll echo the red herring sentiment of others on this one.
>
> -Ryan
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU] On Behalf Of Jan Werner
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 9:24 PM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: Guns n' Arizonans
>
> Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike
> O'Neil's offhand comment about being "free from the fear of
> encountering a
> nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
>
> In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many
> passions, Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that
> allows anyone who
> is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal
> record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a
> permit. You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.
>
> Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed
> weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or
> handle it safely.
>
> You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like
> saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without
> ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more
> disturbing than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be
> stopped as
> possible illegal immigrants.
>
> You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:
> <http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/20100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill16-ON.html>
>
> or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>
>
>
> Jan Werner
>
> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>
>
> _____
> This e-mail has been scanned by Arbitron's Email Content Service.
> _____
>
> -----

> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>

I try to take one day at a time but sometimes several days attack me all at once. Anonymous.

Susan Carol Losh, PhD

Department of Educational Psychology
and Learning Systems
Florida State University
Tallahassee FL 32306-4453

VOICE (850) 644-8778
FAX (850) 644-8776

American Statistical Association/NSF Research Fellow
<http://mailer.fsu.edu/~slosh/index.html>

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 08:46:35 +0100
Reply-To: "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <006f01caed8f\$65c70b10\$31552130\$@us>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I'd be happy to talk about how accurate the exit poll was in the UK :-)

From: AAPORNET [AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Donatello
[mike@DONATELLO.US]
Sent: 07 May 2010 03:45
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

So, anybody wanna talk about surveys, statistics and stuff?

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 9:24 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: [AAPORNET] Guns n' Arizonans

Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike O'Neil's offhand comment about being "free from the fear of encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many passions, Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that allows anyone who is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a permit. You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.

Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or handle it safely.

You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more disturbing than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be stopped as possible illegal immigrants.

You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:
<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/20100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill116-ON.html>

or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>

Jan Werner

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10
14:26:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10
14:26:00

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Consider the environment before printing this email

Any views or opinions are solely those of the author
and do not necessarily represent those of GfK NOP or
any of its associated companies.

The information transmitted is intended only for the
person or entity to which it is addressed and may
contain confidential and/or privileged material. If
you are not the intended recipient of this message,
please do not read, copy, use or disclose this
communication and notify the sender immediately.
It should be noted that any review, retransmission,
dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance
upon, this information by persons or entities other than
the intended recipient is prohibited.

Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee that
attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as
information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses

GfK NOP Limited
245 Blackfriars Road
London
SE1 9UL

Place of registration: England and Wales
Company number: 2512551
Registered office: GfK NOP Limited, Ludgate House, 245 Blackfriars Road,
London, SE1 9UL

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 05:41:51 -0500
Reply-To: amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Allan L. McCutcheon" <amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU>
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans
X-To: "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <2421C36E5E6F844386642A142EB3E572012D23CCD260@EUKSNT-EXCRMB-1.UK.CRWW.GFK>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; DelSp="Yes"; format="flowed"
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi Nick,

So, how accurate was the exit poll in yesterday's election? Coverage of the UK election has been pretty poor on this side of the Atlantic...

Best,
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science
Professor of Statistics &
Survey Research and Methodology
tel. +402.472.7793
fax +402.472.7764

Quoting "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>:

> I'd be happy to talk about how accurate the exit poll was in the UK :-)
>
>
> _____
> From: AAPORNET [AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Donatello
> [mike@DONATELLO.US]
> Sent: 07 May 2010 03:45
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans
>
> So, anybody wanna talk about surveys, statistics and stuff?
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 9:24 PM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: [AAPORNET] Guns n' Arizonans
>
> Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike O'Neil's
> offhand comment about being "free from the fear of encountering a
> nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."
>

- > In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many passions,
- > Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that allows anyone who
- > is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal
- > record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a permit.
- > You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.
- >
- > Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed
- > weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or
- > handle it safely.
- >
- > You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like
- > saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without
- > ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more disturbing
- > than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be stopped as
- > possible illegal immigrants.
- >
- > You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:
- > <http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/20100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill16-ON.html>
- >
- > or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>
- >
- >

> Jan Werner

> -----

- > Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
- > <http://www.aapor.org>
- > Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
- > Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
- > signoff aapornet
- > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
- > No virus found in this incoming message.
- > Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
- > Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10
- > 14:26:00

- >
- >
- > No virus found in this outgoing message.
- > Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
- > Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10
- > 14:26:00

> -----

- > Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
- > <http://www.aapor.org>
- > Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
- > Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
- > signoff aapornet
- > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
- > Consider the environment before printing this email

>

> *****

> Any views or opinions are solely those of the author

> and do not necessarily represent those of GfK NOP or
> any of its associated companies.
> *****
> The information transmitted is intended only for the
> person or entity to which it is addressed and may
> contain confidential and/or privileged material. If
> you are not the intended recipient of this message,
> please do not read, copy, use or disclose this
> communication and notify the sender immediately.
> It should be noted that any review, retransmission,
> dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance
> upon, this information by persons or entities other than
> the intended recipient is prohibited.
> *****
> Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee that
> attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as
> information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses
> *****
> GfK NOP Limited
> 245 Blackfriars Road
> London
> SE1 9UL
>
> Place of registration: England and Wales
> Company number: 2512551
> Registered office: GfK NOP Limited, Ludgate House, 245 Blackfriars
> Road, London, SE1 9UL
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 12:24:07 +0100
Reply-To: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans
X-To: "amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU" <amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <20100507054151.su70iw6t30gogsc4@wm-imp-1.unl.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=WINDOWS-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Exit Poll announced at 10pm when polling stations closed (not legally allowed to announce results before):

Conservative: 307
Labour: 255
Liberal Democrats: 59

Actuals:

Conservative: 292
Labour: 251
Liberal Democrats: 52

With 28 seats still to declare. Looks like the result will be close to spot on when they are all in...

Joe Stead
Telephone Operations Director - Ipsos MORI

T +44 131 561 4509
M +44 7876 394165
joe.stead@ipsos.com
www.ipsos-mori.com

15 Links Place, Edinburgh, EH6 7EZ

Knowing more about life, business and society

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon
Sent: 07 May 2010 11:42
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

Hi Nick,

So, how accurate was the exit poll in yesterday's election? Coverage of the UK election has been pretty poor on this side of the Atlantic...

Best,
Allan

--
Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science
Professor of Statistics &
Survey Research and Methodology
tel. +402.472.7793
fax +402.472.7764

Quoting "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>:

> I'd be happy to talk about how accurate the exit poll was in the UK :-)

>

>

> From: AAPORNET [AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Donatello

> [mike@DONATELLO.US]
> Sent: 07 May 2010 03:45
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

> So, anybody wanna talk about surveys, statistics and stuff?

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 9:24 PM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: [AAPORNET] Guns n' Arizonans

> Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike O'Neil's
> offhand comment about being "free from the fear of encountering a
> nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

> In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many passions,
> Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that allows anyone who
> is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal
> record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a permit.
> You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.

> Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed
> weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or
> handle it safely.

> You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like
> saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without
> ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more disturbing
> than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be stopped as
> possible illegal immigrants.

> You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:
> <http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/20100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill16-ON.html>

> or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>

> Jan Werner

> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> No virus found in this incoming message.

> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

> Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10

> 14:26:00
>
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
> Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10
> 14:26:00

>
> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Consider the environment before printing this email

>
> *****

> Any views or opinions are solely those of the author
> and do not necessarily represent those of GfK NOP or
> any of its associated companies.

> *****

> The information transmitted is intended only for the
> person or entity to which it is addressed and may
> contain confidential and/or privileged material. If
> you are not the intended recipient of this message,
> please do not read, copy, use or disclose this
> communication and notify the sender immediately.
> It should be noted that any review, retransmission,
> dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance
> upon, this information by persons or entities other than
> the intended recipient is prohibited.

> *****

> Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee that
> attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as
> information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses

> *****

> GfK NOP Limited
> 245 Blackfriars Road
> London
> SE1 9UL

>
> Place of registration: England and Wales
> Company number: 2512551
> Registered office: GfK NOP Limited, Ludgate House, 245 Blackfriars
> Road, London, SE1 9UL

>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

>
> -----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

This e-mail and all attachments it may contain is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Ipsos MORI and its associated companies. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, printing, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender if you have received this e-mail in error.

Market & Opinion Research International Ltd (trading as Ipsos MORI),
Registered in England and Wales No. 948470, 79-81 Borough Road, London SE1
1FY, United Kingdom. VAT reg no. 443932151. Email: ukinfo@ipsos.com

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 07:37:27 -0400
Reply-To: Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA>
Organization: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Universit=E9_de_Montr=E9al?=
Subject: British Pollsters' performance
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
X-cc: "Michael Smith, Prof." <michael.smith@mcgill.ca>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I thought it would be interesting for those who did not follow that much the British election to have this background, sent to me by a British-born Canadian friend. Our British friends will no doubt give us more information.

Best,

----- Message original -----

Sujet: Pollsters' performance
Date : Fri, 7 May 2010 01:04:10 -0400
De : Michael Smith, Prof. <michael.smith@mcgill.ca>
Pour : claire.durand@umontreal.ca <claire.durand@umontreal.ca>

Claire,

Greetings from Canberra where today I've been wasting my time by following the UK election. You might want to take a look at it as a case of polling going wrong. Here are some items of interest (anyway, they puzzle me!).

1. As you no doubt know there are two large parties in the UK - Conservative and Labour, and a third - the Liberal Democrats - that gets a substantial share of the vote but a smallish number of seats as a result of the first past the post electoral system.

2. This year, for the first time, there were three televised leaders' debate involving the leaders of the three main parties.

3. The leader of the Liberal Democrats is thought to have done well in the debates and, in addition, profited from the fact that he appeared as if he were an equal of the leaders of the two main parties.

4. About 3 weeks from the election, associated with the debate performances, the Liberal Democrats numbers went up - almost to level with Labour's and remained about level with Labour's to the last pre-election poll (there's a little bit of a dip at the end - but they remained well above their pre debate level).

5. The election results are now coming in and it appears that the Liberal Democrats will get only slightly above the percentage of the vote they got in the last election, and some way below their last poll result (about 22 versus 27% in the poll).

6. There is a fair number of results to come in, but I doubt that these percentages will change all that much.

--

Claire Durand
professeur titulaire
département de sociologie
Université de Montréal
Secrétaire-trésorière World Association of Public Opinion Research (WAPOR)
Vice-présidente, (ISA - RC33) Association internationale de méthodologie
sociologique
<http://www.mapageweb.umontreal.ca/durandc>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 08:57:08 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: New AARP Sex Survey Reveals Single Adults Acting Like Teenagers
and Not Using Protection
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

New AARP Sex Survey Reveals Single Adults Acting Like Teenagers and Not
Using Protection

<http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/new-aarp-sex-survey-reveals-single-adults-acting-like-teenagers-and-not-using-protection-93060169.html>

(You'd think PR websites would understand the benefits of shorter URLs)

Or

<http://tinyurl.com/29aq8h8>

Americans 45+ Continue the Sexual Revolution into the Second Half of
Life without Taking Precautions About STDs; Dating Singles Age 45+ Are
Happier with their Sex Lives than Married Couples; Sexually Active
Adults Increase Chances of Living Longer

WASHINGTON, May 7 /PRNewswire-USNewswire/ -- Sexual activity continues
to thrive with the 45+ demographic according to a new AARP sex survey,
Sex, Romance, and Relationships: AARP Survey of Midlife and Older
Adults, released today. However, older adults are not necessarily
practicing what they preach to younger generations, with results showing
a low use of protection among sexually active dating singles with only
one in five reporting use of condoms regularly. Though rates of sexually
transmitted diseases are not high, they are not absent either.
Additionally, contrary to what one may think, singles age 45+ show a
higher satisfaction rate and have more sex than married couples in the
same age group.

SNIP

--

Leo "Surveys, statistics and stuff since 1996" Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 09:55:25 -0400
Reply-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>
Subject: letters, two kinds
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Still working on a mail survey of dentists, trying to send out a token advance cash incentive, and preparing the IRB submission. In looking through the materials that people had shared about successfully working with the fiscal office to send incentives, one petty cash application stated, "Participants will be instructed to mail back either the survey or the cash."

Well, some folks do send back the cash when they don't want to do the survey, so we always need to have procedures in place for making those deposits.

But to actively present that choice to them? It's not something I've seen before. Does anyone use that approach? Pros and cons?

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

P.S. Oh, the other kind of letters was the ones from AAPOR leadership. I had shared some of this saga with my husband, who had served in leadership for his own professional organizations and had faced similar challenges. I read the letters aloud to him while he was doing the dishes. He was impressed with Peter's prose and logic, but then we got to the second letter, and at one point he turned off the water and put the brush down to listen intently. What exquisite timing that Rob would happen to be chairing conference in 2011.

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 15:33:52 +0100
Reply-To: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>
Subject: Re: British Pollsters' performance
X-To: "Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca" <Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <4BE3FB77.9050703@umontreal.ca>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=WINDOVS-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

A fair summary - no doubt more commentary to follow, however the Liberal Democrat boost in the polls also appears to have been driven by a lot of support amongst the young for which there was also a larger proportion saying that they would definitely vote this time around. When it came to the crunch,

looks like they didn't vote...

Joe Stead
Telephone Operations Director - Ipsos MORI

T +44 131 561 4509
M +44 7876 394165
joe.stead@ipsos.com
www.ipsos-mori.com

15 Links Place, Edinburgh, EH6 7EZ

Knowing more about life, business and society

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Claire Durand
Sent: 07 May 2010 12:37
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: British Pollsters' performance

I thought it would be interesting for those who did not follow that much the British election to have this background, sent to me by a British-born Canadian friend. Our British friends will no doubt give us more information.

Best,

----- Message original -----

Sujet: Pollsters' performance
Date : Fri, 7 May 2010 01:04:10 -0400
De : Michael Smith, Prof. <michael.smith@mcgill.ca>
Pour : claire.durand@umontreal.ca <claire.durand@umontreal.ca>

Claire,

Greetings from Canberra where today I've been wasting my time by following the UK election. You might want to take a look at it as a case of polling going wrong. Here are some items of interest (anyway, they puzzle me!).

1. As you no doubt know there are two large parties in the UK - Conservative and Labour, and a third - the Liberal Democrats - that gets a substantial share of the vote but a smallish number of seats as a result of the first past the post electoral system.
2. This year, for the first time, there were three televised leaders' debate involving the leaders of the three main parties.
3. The leader of the Liberal Democrats is thought to have done well in the

debates and, in addition,
profited from the fact that he appeared as if he were an equal of the leaders
of the two main parties.

4. About 3 weeks from the election, associated with the debate performances,
the Liberal Democrats numbers
went up - almost to level with Labour's and remained about level with Labour's
to the last pre-election poll
(there's a little bit of a dip at the end - but they remained well above their
pre debate level).

5. The election results are now coming in and it appears that the Liberal
Democrats will get only slightly
above the percentage of the vote they got in the last election, and some way
below their last poll result
(about 22 versus 27% in the poll.

6. There is a fair number of results to come in, but I doubt that these
percentages will change all that much.

--

Claire Durand
professeur titulaire
département de sociologie
Université de Montréal
Secrétaire-trésorière World Association of Public Opinion Research (WAPOR)
Vice-présidente, (ISA - RC33) Association internationale de méthodologie
sociologique
<http://www.mapageweb.umontreal.ca/durandc>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

This e-mail and all attachments it may contain is confidential and intended
solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or
opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily
represent those of Ipsos MORI and its associated companies. If you are not the
intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and
that any use, dissemination, printing, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is
strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender if you have received this e-
mail in error.

Market & Opinion Research International Ltd (trading as Ipsos MORI),
Registered in England and Wales No. 948470, 79-81 Borough Road, London SE1
1FY, United Kingdom. VAT reg no. 443932151. Email: ukinfo@ipsos.com

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 10:38:35 -0400
Reply-To: Joe Lenski <jlenski@EDISONRESEARCH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joe Lenski <jlenski@EDISONRESEARCH.COM>
Subject: UK Exit Poll
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
A<7A706AA2D653C7499FF31970D03862ACA72C5A917B@EUUKLONEML5.eu.ipsos>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I would like to congratulate the MORI/NOP team for conducting an amazingly accurate exit poll for yesterday's UK election.

I watched the BBC TV election coverage online from 5PM ET to 1:30AM ET (that is from poll closing at 10PM local time to 6:30AM breakfast time in the UK) and the original results announced based upon the exit poll at 10PM held up throughout the night.

Seats	Original Exit Poll Projection	Final
Result		
Conservative	307	306-307*
Labor	255	
258-259*		
Liberal Democrats	59	
57		
Other Parties	29	28

* There is one seat that is having a recount today and one seat whose election was delayed for three weeks due to the death of a candidate

When you consider how complicated the British electoral system is and that the projections are being made on the results of 650 separate constituency races using exit poll interviews from only 130 polling stations this is a spectacular achievement.

Congrats for demonstrating once again the value of professionally run exit polls.

Joe

Joe Lenski

Executive Vice President
edison research

Tel: 908.707.4707 / Fax: 908.707.4740

www.edisonresearch.com

Check out our newly redesigned web site @ www.edisonresearch.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Joe Stead
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2010 7:24 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

Exit Poll announced at 10pm when polling stations closed (not legally allowed to announce results before):

Conservative: 307
Labour: 255
Liberal Democrats: 59

Actuals:

Conservative: 292
Labour: 251
Liberal Democrats: 52

With 28 seats still to declare. Looks like the result will be close to spot on when they are all in...

Joe Stead
Telephone Operations Director - Ipsos MORI

T +44 131 561 4509
M +44 7876 394165
joe.stead@ipsos.com
www.ipsos-mori.com

15 Links Place, Edinburgh, EH6 7EZ

Knowing more about life, business and society

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon
Sent: 07 May 2010 11:42
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

Hi Nick,

So, how accurate was the exit poll in yesterday's election? Coverage of the UK election has been pretty poor on this side of the Atlantic...

Best,
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science
Professor of Statistics &
Survey Research and Methodology
tel. +402.472.7793
fax +402.472.7764

Quoting "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>:

> I'd be happy to talk about how accurate the exit poll was in the UK
> :-)

>

>

> _____
> From: AAPORNET [AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Donatello
> [mike@DONATELLO.US]
> Sent: 07 May 2010 03:45
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

>

> So, anybody wanna talk about surveys, statistics and stuff?

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 9:24 PM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: [AAPORNET] Guns n' Arizonans

>

> Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike
O'Neil's

> offhand comment about being "free from the fear of encountering a
> nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

>

> In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many
passions,
> Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that allows anyone
who

> is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal
> record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a
permit.

> You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.

>

> Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed
> weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or
> handle it safely.

>

> You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like

> saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without
> ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more
disturbing
> than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be stopped as
> possible illegal immigrants.

> You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:

> <http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/20100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill16-ON.html>

> or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>

> Jan Werner

> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> <http://www.aapor.org>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> signoff aapornet

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> No virus found in this incoming message.

> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

> Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date:
05/06/10

> 14:26:00

> No virus found in this outgoing message.

> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

> Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date:
05/06/10

> 14:26:00

> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> <http://www.aapor.org>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> signoff aapornet

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Consider the environment before printing this email

> *****

> Any views or opinions are solely those of the author
> and do not necessarily represent those of GfK NOP or
> any of its associated companies.

> *****

> The information transmitted is intended only for the
> person or entity to which it is addressed and may
> contain confidential and/or privileged material. If

> you are not the intended recipient of this message,
> please do not read, copy, use or disclose this
> communication and notify the sender immediately.
> It should be noted that any review, retransmission,
> dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance
> upon, this information by persons or entities other than
> the intended recipient is prohibited.
> *****
> Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee that
> attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as
> information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses
> *****
> GfK NOP Limited
> 245 Blackfriars Road
> London
> SE1 9UL
>
> Place of registration:England and Wales
> Company number:2512551
> Registered office: GfK NOP Limited, Ludgate House, 245 Blackfriars
> Road, London, SE1 9UL
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

This e-mail and all attachments it may contain is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Ipsos MORI and its associated companies. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, printing, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender if you have received this e-mail in error.

Market & Opinion Research International Ltd (trading as Ipsos MORI),
Registered in England and Wales No. 948470, 79-81 Borough Road, London
SE1 1FY, United Kingdom. VAT reg no. 443932151. Email: ukinfo@ipsos.com

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 11:19:47 -0400
Reply-To: Joseph.Bauer@CANCER.ORG
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Joseph E. Bauer" <Joseph.Bauer@CANCER.ORG>
Subject: Re: letters, two kinds
X-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <20100507095525.WL8JC.288498.imal@eastrmwml40>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Hi Colleen,

Send back the cash?? I have never seen that - although these are professionals and not a general population. There are pros and cons to sending cash or a check. However, the checks and balances in study design - with sending a check - is that you can track response/ non-response and what the cohort actually does with the 'incentive.' As well as - have the checks become 'null and void' after 90 days. However, whenever I have conducted population based surveys with incentives paid up front (from a prior research environment - since the American Cancer Society can not use donor dollars to pay incentives) - we always had to build into our design - the fact that there are a number of potential respondents who 'take the money and run'. There will be others who return the money or never cash the check - either because its usually 'smallish' and they forget or if it is to 'generate knowledge beneficial to society' they don't cash it and chock it up to a 'donation'. Even with a protocol to contact people who cash the check and don't send in a completed survey - many will be 'passive non-responders' ('Oh, I forgot - I'll send it in right away' or 'I lost it, could you send me another one). There's always a small percentage who try and get you/ or think you will send them another check. Population-based surveys with incentives can be an expensive endeavor. Good Luck!

Joe

PS I'll be interested in the results - with respect to how professionals (in this case dentists) respond to an 'incentive' to motivate them to complete a survey. As an aside - what length are you conceptualizing as being 'optimal'? Its always a balancing act - with respect to maximizing response rates.

Joseph E. Bauer, Ph.D. - Director of Survey Research | Statistics &

Evaluation Center (SEC)
National Home Office | American Cancer Society, Inc.
Quad 6D - Room 234/ 250 Williams Street NW, Atlanta, GA 30303 | cancer.org

404.929.6905 | fax: 404.321.4669

Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>
Sent by: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
05/07/2010 09:56 AM
Please respond to
colleen_porter@COX.NET

To
AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
cc

Subject
letters, two kinds

Still working on a mail survey of dentists, trying to send out a token advance cash incentive, and preparing the IRB submission. In looking through the materials that people had shared about successfully working with the fiscal office to send incentives, one petty cash application stated, "Participants will be instructed to mail back either the survey or the cash."

Well, some folks do send back the cash when they don't want to do the survey, so we always need to have procedures in place for making those deposits.

But to actively present that choice to them? It's not something I've seen before. Does anyone use that approach? Pros and cons?

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

P.S. Oh, the other kind of letters was the ones from AAPOR leadership. I had shared some of this saga with my husband, who had served in leadership for his own professional organizations and had faced similar challenges. I read the letters aloud to him while he was doing the dishes. He was impressed with Peter's prose and logic, but then we got to the second letter, and at one point he turned off the water and put the brush down to listen intently. What exquisite timing that Rob would happen to be chairing conference in 2011.

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 10:32:42 -0500
Reply-To: Mary.Losch@uni.edu
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mary Losch <Mary.Losch@UNI.EDU>
Subject: Please cast your vote today -- AAPOR Code
X-To: aAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Dear AAPOR Members,

I write to remind you of a very important privilege that some of you have already acted upon -- voting on AAPOR's Code of Professional Ethics and Practice. Eleven months ago, the AAPOR Code Review Committee (Sandra Bauman, Jill Darling, Jennifer Franz, Tom Guterbock, Deborah Jay, Gary Langer, Ron Langley, Jay Leve, & Tom Smith) began the important work of reviewing and drafting recommendations for revisions to our code. Over the course of several months, we compared our code to other, related professional associations and to relevant government and academic guidelines. We worked to make the code more applicable to new and changing technologies. We had many spirited discussions which continued as the newly revised code made its way through the Standards Committee and full Council discussions. After its presentation to the membership, many of you provided feedback and thoughtful suggestions that made the document even stronger.

To bring this process to a close, at least 25% of the membership must vote on the code revisions. Some of you have done so but many of you have not. I encourage you to vote today. The code is, for many of us, at the core of the organization and represents the highest principles of professional integrity. Please take a moment and review this important document and record your vote.

You can review the Code and vote at this link:
http://aapor.org/Proposed_Changes_to_the_Code.htm.

Thanks. See you in Chicago!

Mary Losch

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 12:54:58 -0400
Reply-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>
Subject: Re: letters, two kinds
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Responding to Joe's PS...

--- "Joseph E. Bauer" <Joseph.Bauer@CANCER.ORG> wrote:
> PS I'll be interested in the results - with respect to how professionals
> (in this case dentists) respond to an 'incentive' to motivate them to
> complete a survey. As an aside - what length are you conceptualizing as
> being 'optimal'? Its always a balancing act - with respect to maximizing
> response rates.

As far as surveys of professionals and incentives, there are many studies with physicians.

There was a nice fairly recent meta-analysis that included discussion of incentives in "Methodologies for improving response rates in surveys of physicians: a systematic review."
by VanGeest JB, Johnson TP, Welch VL
Evaluation & the Health Professions 2007 Dec;30(4):303-21.

I really liked this article because it teased out a lot of the different things that contribute to survey response from physicians, and seemed to make the case that there is no magic bullet. I think this is true and crucial to our understanding and approach: the topic matters, wording of the letter matters, endorsement from a professional organization matters, the delivery method matters, everything matters. My undergraduate degree is in Agronomy, and in discussing soil fertility, they have a model of a barrel, with the staves coming up to form the sides, and if one piece is lower than the others, the water level can only be held at the level of that lowest stave. I think that is how it is with survey stuff as well. And I wish I could think of a more modern metaphor to express that concept, because certainly younger urban folks are like, "Barrels? Whatever." But I digress.

Studies that did experiments controlling the time of incentive delivery (advance vs. post-completion) with physician populations found that sending the incentive with the first mailing of the questionnaire yielded a significantly higher response rate: In one, 54.4% for the up-front incentive

and only 30.6% for the promise of an incentive
Delnevo, C. D., D. J. Abatemarco and M. B. Steinberg (2004). "Physician
response rates to a mail survey by specialty and timing of incentive."
American Journal of Preventive Medicine 26(3): 234-36.

and in an earlier study, 78%, vs. 66%
Berry, S. H. and D. E. Kanouse (1987). "Physician response to a mailed survey
- An experiment in timing of payment." Public Opinion Quarterly 51(1): 102-
114.

I agree length is crucial. A study on that,
Jepson C., D.A. Asch, J.C. Hershey, et al. "In a mailed physician survey,
questionnaire length had a threshold effect on response rate." Journal of
Clinical Epidemiology 58(1):103-5.

I personally think that for dentists and physicians, 20 minutes, perhaps 2
double-sided pages in double columns. For this upcoming project, we're
hitting the field in September, so we'll be done before winter holidays, and I
imagine someone taking the paper version to their kid's soccer game and
filling it out there.

Colleen Porter

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 12:54:25 -0500
Reply-To: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>
Subject: Please Vote on the AAPOR Code
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

I want to strongly endorse the calls by Stephen Blumberg and Mary Losch for
members to vote on the Code revision. Thank you, in advance, for
participating in this important vote.

All best wishes. Peter

--
Peter V. Miller, PhD.
Department of Communication Studies
Northwestern University
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research
p-miller@northwestern.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 14:12:12 -0400
Reply-To: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM>
Subject: Re: letters, two kinds
X-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <20100507125458.QYXGS.291231.imal@eastrmwml49>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

While this is certainly valuable information, I think there are real hazards associated with generalizing findings of this type from physicians to other professionals or, even more iffy, to business decision makers as a whole.

Physicians are among the most over-surveyed groups in the population. In most practice settings there is an established protocol governing how "the doctor" will reply to survey invitations -- especially focus group invitations. They understand the purpose of research and know that and to what extent their contributions will be compensated. They are more relaxed and cooperative if the sponsor is a nonprofit organization or the study appears to be noncommercial but the same general principles apply. However, I doubt I am alone in having seen these guidelines fail miserably when we attempt to survey, for example, architects, lighting designers, owners of trucking companies or attorneys. Success achieving reasonable response rates with these types of audiences is increasingly elusive. In many cases the only hope is via an opt-in online panel. Unfortunately there are situations in which panels cannot reach the audience of interest, however.

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY
www.jpmmurphy.com
600 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.
772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.
610 408 8800 Mobile

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2010 12:55 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: letters, two kinds

Responding to Joe's PS...

--- "Joseph E. Bauer" <Joseph.Bauer@CANCER.ORG> wrote:
> PS I'll be interested in the results - with respect to how professionals
> (in this case dentists) respond to an 'incentive' to motivate them to
> complete a survey. As an aside - what length are you conceptualizing as
> being 'optimal'? Its always a balancing act - with respect to maximizing
> response rates.

As far as surveys of professionals and incentives, there are many studies with

physicians.

There was a nice fairly recent meta-analysis that included discussion of incentives in

"Methodologies for improving response rates in surveys of physicians: a systematic review."

by VanGeest JB, Johnson TP, Welch VL

Evaluation & the Health Professions 2007 Dec;30(4):303-21.

I really liked this article because it teased out a lot of the different things that contribute to survey response from physicians, and seemed to make the case that there is no magic bullet. I think this is true and crucial to our understanding and approach: the topic matters, wording of the letter matters, endorsement from a professional organization matters, the delivery method matters, everything matters. My undergraduate degree is in Agronomy, and in discussing soil fertility, they have a model of a barrel, with the staves coming up to form the sides, and if one piece is lower than the others, the water level can only be held at the level of that lowest stave. I think that is how it is with survey stuff as well. And I wish I could think of a more modern metaphor to express that concept, because certainly younger urban folks are like, "Barrels? Whatever." But I digress.

Studies that did experiments controlling the time of incentive delivery (advance vs. post-completion) with physician populations found that sending the incentive with the first mailing of the questionnaire yielded a significantly higher response rate: In one, 54.4% for the up-front incentive and only 30.6% for the promise of an incentive

Delnevo, C. D., D. J. Abatemarco and M. B. Steinberg (2004). "Physician response rates to a mail survey by specialty and timing of incentive."

American Journal of Preventive Medicine 26(3): 234-36.

and in an earlier study, 78%, vs. 66%

Berry, S. H. and D. E. Kanouse (1987). "Physician response to a mailed survey - An experiment in timing of payment." Public Opinion Quarterly 51(1): 102-114.

I agree length is crucial. A study on that,

Jepson C., D.A. Asch, J.C. Hershey, et al. "In a mailed physician survey, questionnaire length had a threshold effect on response rate." Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 58(1):103-5.

I personally think that for dentists and physicians, 20 minutes, perhaps 2 double-sided pages in double columns. For this upcoming project, we're hitting the field in September, so we'll be done before winter holidays, and I imagine someone taking the paper version to their kid's soccer game and filling it out there.

Colleen Porter

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 16:13:26 -0500
Reply-To: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>
Subject: Fwd: Posting the Council Decision on Arizona to AAPORNET for Archiving
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Apologies to all of you who have seen this message. We need to post to AAPORNET the "blast emails" sent yesterday so that they can be archived on the listserv.

"Blast emails" go to the entire membership, not just to people subscribed to AAPORNET, and so they do not move through the AAPORNET system. Sorry for the additional email.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: AAPOR Headquarters <dmarchetti@sherwood-group.com>
Date: Thu, May 6, 2010 at 2:15 PM
Subject: 66th Annual Conference in Arizona - Letter From the President
To: p-miller@northwestern.edu

Dear Fellow AAPORites,

I write to inform you of the Council's action on the question of changing the venue for our 2011 conference. In response to serious concerns expressed by a number of members, the Council met via teleconference on May 4 to discuss whether to continue with long-standing plans to hold our annual conference in Arizona in 2011.

While meeting, we had the following points to consider. Some AAPOR members have recommended that we move the conference site from Arizona because they view the new Arizona immigration law as a moral affront. Others worry that some conference attendees might be harassed under the new law. Others point out that conference attendance is likely to fall if we do not move the site in reaction to the law. Still others argue that we should hold the meeting in Arizona despite the law because our reputation as a professional body dedicated to the dispassionate, nonpartisan study of public opinion would suffer if we take a position against the measure. The fact that we will certainly suffer severe financial consequences (a charge of at least \$200,000) by canceling the Phoenix contract weighs on many. And, finally,

all of us, regardless of our views, are operating in an environment of uncertainty about whether the just-passed law will be in effect at the time of the meeting, or whether it will be modified, delayed or even rescinded. There is also the possibility that a similar law could be enacted wherever we move the conference.

After an extensive discussion of these matters that involved current and incoming members of Council, the current board voted unanimously to continue with plans to hold the 2011 conference at the Arizona Grand Hotel. We feel that our responsibility to the mission of the organization and our fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR and its members make this the best choice in a very difficult situation.

AAPOR is a professional organization whose mission is to promote public opinion research for the public good. The Arizona law, regardless of anyone's individual reactions to it, represents a major development in one of the great public issues of our time. Initial research on public views of the law indicates that there is substantial support and substantial opposition. It is the business of public opinion researchers to describe, explain and contextualize such matters. AAPOR's business is to provide a forum within which all views about how this issue should be investigated and understood can be debated. AAPOR is compromised in this mission if it sides with one viewpoint or another.

It has been argued that AAPOR is siding with a viewpoint whether we hold the meeting in Arizona or move it to another state. On this view, we express at least tacit approval for the state's policies if we meet there, just as we express disapproval if we move. For the record, we do not intend our decision to imply endorsement of the Arizona law. From our association's earliest days during the segregation era in this country AAPOR policy was unambiguous in the choice of conference location: All members of AAPOR must be welcome. We will continue to abide by this principle in selecting future conference sites. Unfortunately, such choices are necessarily made with information available at the time, so there is no guarantee that a similar Arizona scenario can be averted in the future.

For next year, we will do what we can to ensure that all our conference attendees are made welcome. By meeting in Arizona, we may be able to garner more attention for data and perspectives on the immigration issue than could be obtained if we meet elsewhere. Rob Santos, next year's conference chair, will add his thoughts about the meeting and also discuss his perspective on the site selection debate in a message immediately following this one. I personally have been moved by Rob's arguments. We know that this decision will be disappointing to some of you. We

fervently wish that the matter had not been thrust upon us. But, the Council is trying, as always, to do what is best for AAPOR. Whatever you think of our judgment, we hope you will hold onto that thought.

With best wishes,

Peter V. Miller
President

*=A9 Copyright AAPOR - All Rights Reserved***

<http://www.aapor.org><http://www.mmsend9.com/lis.cfm?r=3D238389953&sid=3D94=46332&m=3D1003366&u=3DSHER_AAPOR&s=3Dhttp://www.aapor.org>

*This e-mail was sent in HTML or plain text format depending on your e-mail capability.

If your e-mail is unreadable, please send a message to

**aapor@aapor.org<<http://mailtaapor@aapor.org>>

**.*

*You received this e-mail because of your affiliation with AAPOR. To remove yourself

from this list, send a message to

**aapor@aapor.org<<http://mailtaapor@aapor.org>> and

type "remove" in the subject line or

use the Unsubscribe option below this e-mail. *

*American Association for Public Opinion Research

111 Deer Lake Road, Suite 100

Deerfield, IL 60015

+1-847-205-2651 =B7 Fax +1-847-480-9282*

Click here<http://www.mmsend9.com/lis.cfm?r=3D238389953&sid=3D9446333&m=3D10=03366&u=3DSHER_AAPOR&s=3Dhttp://unsubscribe.magnetmail.net/Actions/unsubscr=ibe.cfm?message_id=3D1003366&user_id=3DSHER_AAPOR&recipient_id=3D238389953&email=3Dp-miller@northwestern.edu&group_id=3D460476>to unsubscribe

111 Deer Lake Road, Suite 100, Deerfield, IL 60015

--=20

Peter V. Miller, PhD.

Department of Communication Studies

Northwestern University

President, American Association for Public Opinion Research

p-miller@northwestern.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 7 May 2010 16:15:15 -0500
Reply-To: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>
Subject: Fwd: Posting Letter from the 2011 Conference Chair to AAPORNET
for Archiving
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Again, please pardon this cross posting. Peter

----- Forwarded message -----

From: AAPOR Headquarters <dmarchetti@sherwood-group.com>
Date: Thu, May 6, 2010 at 2:19 PM
Subject: AAPOR 66th Annual Conference in Arizona - Letter from the
Conference Chair
To: p-miller@northwestern.edu

Dear fellow AAPOR members,

Having the honor and good fortune to be your elected Annual Conference Chair for 2011, I have a special message for you. By now you have received AAPOR President Peter Miller's communication reporting Council's deliberations on the merits and threats of moving our annual conference out of Arizona. And you know that Council unanimously decided to continue our plans for the 2011 annual conference to be held in Phoenix.

Like many of you, I am following national reaction to SB1070 as well as the thoughtful and passionate discourse that has dominated our AAPORNET forum in recent days. Clearly, our members have put forth cogent, compelling and, yes, conflicting viewpoints regarding the site of AAPOR's 2011 annual conference in light of this legislation. And generally we have communicated our diverse, insightful views with respect and tolerance. It seems that the AAPOR membership enjoys a widely diverse set of perspectives on SB1070 and the issue of whether or not to move our 2011 annual conference. More importantly, we also share a strong commitment for rigorous, scientific, ethical public opinion research. That is something special.

I can personally attest to a deep feeling of ambivalence. I detest SB1070 and what I believe it communicates to immigrant minorities (I being the 3rd generation descendent of an undocumented Mexican immigrant). But I also know

how widespread profiling is. It has been an unfortunate reality for generations in the Southwest. SB1070 is a marginal step backwards in an environment that was already in great need of attention. Putting aside the content of these views, my point is that, I =96 like you =96 have my own un=ique set of experiences, knowledge, and perspectives that I bring to this issue. AAPOR members share common beliefs and values, and we have our differences too. Both can be used to strengthen our association and our industry.

Therefore, as your 2011 Annual Conference chair and fellow AAPOR member, my message to you is this: Let=92s focus on and draw strength from our shared values and commitment to objective research. That is why we are AAPOR! Let=92s leverage our strengths to bring issues of racial profiling, justice and public perceptions of race/ethnicity to the forefront of the public eye in Phoenix next year as part of our annual conference. We can contribute to public discourse on the consequences of SB1070 by embracing our strengths and presenting solid research on these important policy issues.

I will be attending our annual conference in Chicago next week and am eager to receive your thoughts, concerns and questions about our 2011 conference. Please feel free to communicate with me. I am at your service.

Sincerely,

Rob Santos
2011 Annual Conference Chair
rsantos@urban.org

*=A9 Copyright AAPOR - All Rights Reserved***

<http://www.aapor.org><http://www.mmsend9.com/lis.cfm?r=3D238389953&sid=3D94=52013&m=3D1003945&u=3DSHER_AAPOR&s=3Dhttp://www.aapor.org>

*This e-mail was sent in HTML or plain text format depending on your e-mail capability.

If your e-mail is unreadable, please send a message to

**aapor@aapor.org<<http://mailtaapor@aapor.org>>

**.*

*You received this e-mail because of your affiliation with AAPOR. To remove yourself

from this list, send a message to

**aapor@aapor.org<<http://mailtaapor@aapor.org>> and

type "remove" in the subject line or

use the Unsubscribe option below this e-mail. *

*American Association for Public Opinion Research

111 Deer Lake Road, Suite 100

Deerfield, IL 60015

+1-847-205-2651 =B7 Fax +1-847-480-9282*

Click here<http://www.mmsend9.com/lis.cfm?r=3D238389953&sid=3D9452014&m=3D10=03945&u=3DSHER_AAPOR&s=3Dhttp://unsubscribe.magnetmail.net/Actions/unsubscr=ibe.cfm?message_id=3D1003945&user_id=3DSHER_AAPOR&recipient_id=3D238389953&email=3Dp-miller@northwestern.edu&group_id=3D460476>to
unsubscribe

111 Deer Lake Road, Suite 100, Deerfield, IL 60015

--=20

Peter V. Miller, PhD.
Department of Communication Studies
Northwestern University
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research
p-miller@northwestern.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Sat, 8 May 2010 15:27:55 -0700
Reply-To: mike.oneil@alumni.brown.edu
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike ONeil <mikeoneilaz@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: Re: letters, two kinds
X-To: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com>
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <[005501caee10\\$d1119bc0\\$7334d340\\$@com](mailto:005501caee10$d1119bc0$7334d340$@com)>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Regarding cover letter content.

You could always reference an experience we had 20+ years ago.

We sent out a rather lengthy housing survey and enclosed \$2bills with it.

Some weeks later I read an article with a picture of someone who won several million dollars in the Arizona State Lottery. He was quoted as saying "I knew my luck had changed when someone sent me a housing survey with a \$2 bill enclosed".

It had to be our survey, too many particulars matched.

Suggested language:

"While you may decide not to return this survey, you might want to know about someone who recent ly(?) returned a similar survey; within two weeks he won several million dollars in his state lottery."

Try to get that through your IRB!

Mike O'Neil

www.mikeoneil.org

--sent from peaceful Mexican beach.

On Fri, May 7, 2010 at 11:12 AM, James P. Murphy <jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com>wrote:

> While this is certainly valuable information, I think there are real
> hazards associated with generalizing findings of this type from physicians
> to other professionals or, even more iffy, to business decision makers as a
> whole.
>
> Physicians are among the most over-surveyed groups in the population. In
> most practice settings there is an established protocol governing how "the
> doctor" will reply to survey invitations -- especially focus group
> invitations. They understand the purpose of research and know that and to
> what extent their contributions will be compensated. They are more relaxed
> and cooperative if the sponsor is a nonprofit organization or the study
> appears to be noncommercial but the same general principles apply. However,
> I doubt I am alone in having seen these guidelines fail miserably when we
> attempt to survey, for example, architects, lighting designers, owners of
> trucking companies or attorneys. Success achieving reasonable response rates
> with these types of audiences is increasingly elusive. In many cases the
> only hope is via an opt-in online panel. Unfortunately there are situations
> in which panels cannot reach the audience of interest, however.

>
> James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
> J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY
> www.jpmurphy.com
> 600 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.
> 772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.
> 610 408 8800 Mobile

>
>
> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
> Sent: Friday, May 07, 2010 12:55 PM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: Re: letters, two kinds

>
> Responding to Joe's PS...

>
> --- "Joseph E. Bauer" <Joseph.Bauer@CANCER.ORG> wrote:
>> PS I'll be interested in the results - with respect to how professionals
>> (in this case dentists) respond to an 'incentive' to motivate them to
>> complete a survey. As an aside - what length are you conceptualizing as
>> being 'optimal'? Its always a balancing act - with respect to maximizing
>> response rates.

>
> As far as surveys of professionals and incentives, there are many studies
> with physicians.

>

> There was a nice fairly recent meta-analysis that included discussion of
> incentives in
> "Methodologies for improving response rates in surveys of physicians: a
> systematic review."
> by VanGeest JB, Johnson TP, Welch VL
> Evaluation & the Health Professions 2007 Dec;30(4):303-21.
>
> I really liked this article because it teased out a lot of the different
> things that contribute to survey response from physicians, and seemed to
> make the case that there is no magic bullet. I think this is true and
> crucial to our understanding and approach: the topic matters, wording of
> the letter matters, endorsement from a professional organization matters,
> the delivery method matters, everything matters. My undergraduate degree is
> in Agronomy, and in discussing soil fertility, they have a model of a
> barrel, with the staves coming up to form the sides, and if one piece is
> lower than the others, the water level can only be held at the level of that
> lowest stave. I think that is how it is with survey stuff as well. And I
> wish I could think of a more modern metaphor to express that concept,
> because certainly younger urban folks are like, "Barrels? Whatever." But I
> digress.
>
> Studies that did experiments controlling the time of incentive delivery
> (advance vs. post-completion) with physician populations found that sending
> the incentive with the first mailing of the questionnaire yielded a
> significantly higher response rate: In one, 54.4% for the up-front
> incentive and only 30.6% for the promise of an incentive
> Delnevo, C. D., D. J. Abatemarco and M. B. Steinberg (2004). "Physician
> response rates to a mail survey by specialty and timing of incentive."
> American Journal of Preventive Medicine 26(3): 234-36.
>
> and in an earlier study, 78%, vs. 66%
> Berry, S. H. and D. E. Kanouse (1987). "Physician response to a mailed
> survey - An experiment in timing of payment." Public Opinion Quarterly
> 51(1): 102-114.
>
> I agree length is crucial. A study on that,
> Jepson C., D.A. Asch, J.C. Hershey, et al. "In a mailed physician survey,
> questionnaire length had a threshold effect on response rate." Journal of
> Clinical Epidemiology 58(1):103-5.
>
> I personally think that for dentists and physicians, 20 minutes, perhaps 2
> double-sided pages in double columns. For this upcoming project, we're
> hitting the field in September, so we'll be done before winter holidays, and
> I imagine someone taking the paper version to their kid's soccer game and
> filling it out there.
>
> Colleen Porter
>
> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

> -----
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Sat, 8 May 2010 16:47:48 -0700
Reply-To: mike.oneil@alumni.brown.edu
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike O'Neil <mikeoneilaz@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: Re: Karl Rove on exit polls
X-To: Rich Clark <clark@cviog.uga.edu>
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <4BE30508.5040305@cviog.uga.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

I totally agree. The public impression of their function, however, is that exit polls are only for prediction. The networks are focused intently on their predictive utility--and their capacity to predict the results as early as possible. They do give a modicum of analysis along with this prediction; but I doubt much of the public is focused on that.

While I have never supported the efforts to ban early release of exit polls, I have never really thought that knowing the winner an hour early contributes anything meaningful to society. Understanding voter motivations, however, is another matter. And exit polls provide data on who actually votes (as opposed to who intends to vote). Rarely do we see data on this; Mike Traugott and his colleagues' work was a rare exception and welcome exception.

Mike O'Neil
www.mikeoneil.org

On Thu, May 6, 2010 at 11:06 AM, Rich Clark <clark@cviog.uga.edu> wrote:

> I agree with Rove's contention that our focus on exit polls on election
> night is rarely useful, but I would not want to eliminate them. While
> the ballot returns tell us "what" happened, we rely on the exit polls to
> help explain why it happened. I think exit polls should be used to
> explain rather than predict.
>
> --Rich Clark
>

>
> On 5/6/2010 9:10 AM, Jan Werner wrote:
>
>> In today's Washington Post, Karl Rove describes exit polls as the one
>> thing he believes we would most be better off without.
>>
>>
>> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/special/opinions/outlook/spring-cleaning/exit-polls.html>
>>
>>
>> or: <http://tinyurl.com/2b4r7o5>
>>
>> I'm not particularly surprised that his reasoning reflects blinding
>> political bias and a casual disregard for facts, but his final
>> admonition does have some validity:
>>
>> If America must have exit polls, then let's not add up
>> the numbers until the voting ends -- and let's break
>> our addiction to these polls over actual returns.

>> Jan Werner

>> -----
>> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
>> <http://www.aapor.org>
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
>> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>> signoff aapornet
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>>
> --

> -----
> Richard L. Clark, Ph.D
> Division of Government Services& Research
> Carl Vinson Institute of Government
> University of Georgia
> 201 N. Milledge Avenue
> Athens, GA 30602

>
> Office phone: (706) 542-9404
> Email address: clark@cviog.uga.edu

> -----
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Sun, 9 May 2010 12:13:08 +0000
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Subject: Re: Karl Rove on exit polls
X-To: mike oneil <mike.oneil@alumni.brown.edu>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
<259820739.4893651273407068214.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Rove: =E2=80=9CAnd their early release often generates off-base projections=
and misleading coverage, which can affect the contests themselves. Remembe=
r 2000? Early exit poll numbers released midday on Election Day showed Geor=
ge W. Bush tied with Al Gore in Mississippi, Colorado, Arizona and Alaska, =
and had Bush losing Florida.=E2=80=9D=20

Early release of exit poll voting results during the day is unauthorized - =
unauthorized by the networks and by NEP who conducts these polls. Exit poll=
vote results leaked to political operatives during the day are premature, =
not projections, leaked to operatives such as Karl Rove - but not to the ge=
neral public.=20

Steps have been taken recently to curb these leaks. Certain AAPOR members w=
ho serve on election night decision desks can confirm this. Is it working? =
If so, Rove's comments may be out of date.=20

Nick Panagakis=20
----- Original Message -----=20
From: "Mike ONeil" <mikeoneilaz@GMAIL.COM>=20
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU=20
Sent: Saturday, May 8, 2010 6:47:48 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central=20
Subject: Re: Karl Rove on exit polls=20

I totally agree. The public impression of their function, however, is that=
=20
exit polls are only for prediction. The networks are focused intently on=20
their predictive utility--and their capacity to predict the results as earl=
y=20
as possible. They do give a modicum of analysis along with this prediction;=

=20

but I doubt much of the public is focused on that.=20

While I have never supported the efforts to ban early release of exit polls,=

=20

I have never really thought that knowing the winner an hour early=20

contributes anything meaningful to society. Understanding voter=20

motivations, however, is another matter. And exit polls provide data on who=

=20

actually votes (as opposed to who intends to vote). Rarely do we see data o=

n=20

this; Mike Traugott and his colleagues' work was a rare exception and=20

welcome exception.=20

Mike O'Neil=20

www.mikeoneil.org=20

On Thu, May 6, 2010 at 11:06 AM, Rich Clark <clark@cviog.uga.edu> wrote:=20

> I agree with Rove's contention that our focus on exit polls on election=

=20

> night is rarely useful, but I would not want to eliminate them. While=20

> the ballot returns tell us "what" happened, we rely on the exit polls to=

=20

> help explain why it happened. I think exit polls should be used to=20

> explain rather than predict.=20

>=20

> --Rich Clark=20

>=20

>=20

> On 5/6/2010 9:10 AM, Jan Werner wrote:=20

>=20

>> In today's Washington Post, Karl Rove describes exit polls as the one=20

>> thing he believes we would most be better off without.=20

>>=20

>>=20

>> [http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/special/opinions/outlook/spring-cle=](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/special/opinions/outlook/spring-cleaning/exit-polls.html)

[ning/exit-polls.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/special/opinions/outlook/spring-cleaning/exit-polls.html)=20

>>=20

>>=20

>> or: <http://tinyurl.com/2b4r7o5>=20

>>=20

>> I'm not particularly surprised that his reasoning reflects blinding=20

>> political bias and a casual disregard for facts, but his final=20

>> admonition does have some validity:=20

>>=20

>> If America must have exit polls, then let's not add up=20

>> the numbers until the voting ends -- and let's break=20

>> our addiction to these polls over actual returns.=20

>>=20

>> Jan Werner=20

>>=20

>> -----=20

>> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>=20
>> <http://www.aapor.org/>=20
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .=20
>> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:=20
>> signoff aapornet=20
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.=20
>>=20
>=20
> --=20

> _____=20

> Richard L. Clark, Ph.D=20
> Division of Government Services& Research=20
> Carl Vinson Institute of Government=20
> University of Georgia=20
> 201 N. Milledge Avenue=20
> Athens, GA 30602=20
>=20
> Office phone: (706) 542-9404=20
> Email address: clark@cviog.uga.edu=20
>=20
>=20

> -----=20

> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>=20
> <http://www.aapor.org/>=20
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .=20
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:=20
> signoff aapornet=20
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.=20
>=20

-----=20

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>=20
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:=20
set aapornet nomail=20
On your return send this: set aapornet mail=20
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.=20
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu=
=20

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
http://www.aapor.org
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Sun, 9 May 2010 14:16:11 -0700
Reply-To: Diane ORourke <dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Diane ORourke <dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM>
Subject: Seymour Sudman
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear Friends & Admirers of Seymour Sudman:=20
=C2=A0=20

May 2 was the 10th anniversary of Seymour=E2=80=99s death. Blanche Sudman, =
Seymour=E2=80=99s widow, has made a generous contribution to the AAPOR Seym=
our Sudman Student Paper Award endowment fund in his memory.=20
=C2=A0=20

Seymour spent the last 30 years of his career at the University of Illinois=
at Urbana-Champaign and Blanche still lives in Champaign . As it=E2=80=99s=
just 140 miles from Chicago, Blanche and two of her three children (Harold=
Sudman and Emily Hindin) will be attending the AAPOR conference on Saturda=
y, including the President=E2=80=99s reception and the banquet dinner. Blan=
che will present the award to this year=E2=80=99s Student Paper Award winne=
r.=20
=C2=A0=20

I=E2=80=99m sure Blanche, Harold, and Emily would enjoy meeting any of you =
who knew Seymour or have gained professionally from his books and articles.=
For some reason most families of people held in great esteem by their prof=
essional colleagues aren=E2=80=99t aware of that fact. If you=E2=80=99re go=
ing to attend the conference, stop by the President=E2=80=99s reception on =
Saturday evening to enlighten the Sudmans!=20
=C2=A0=20

Regards,=20
=C2=A0=20

Diane O=E2=80=99Rourke=0A=0A=0A

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Mon, 10 May 2010 13:31:37 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: 20% of Amreicans think Obama not bornin this country
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

ABC/WP birther poll: More of the same
Brendan Nyhan | May 10, 2010
Topics: birther , misperception , Obama
http://www.pollster.com/blogs/abcwp_birther_poll_more_of_the.php

For those who missed it, the ABC News/Washington Post poll (PDF)
released last week included a question about the misperception that

President Obama was not born in this country. They found that 20% of Americans think Obama was not born in this country, including 31% of Republicans:

SNIP

ABC/WP Press release

http://abcnews.go.com/images/PollingUnit/Birthers_new.pdf

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Mon, 10 May 2010 14:04:00 -0700
Reply-To: Mike ONeil <mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike ONeil <mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU>
Subject: Market/Opinion Research Firm =?windows-1252?Q?=96?= Partner
Wanted
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Unique opportunity for an ambitious, highly skilled professional to assume ownership and/or management of a well-established, small, premier opinion research company with a superior reputation, a national reputation, a firm track record, and growth potential. We are an established (30 years) firm with full-service capabilities, with a focus on high-end consultative research in a wide range of industries.

Owner/CEO desire for a 5 year exit strategy has created this opportunity.

We seek a person or persons who can help us capitalize our existing market position, established client base, and reputation.

Relevant Competencies

While degrees and the specific career path may vary, a viable candidate would have

- Demonstrated Sales Skills,
- Financial Stability,
- Management Competencies,
- A "whatever it takes" attitude, and a
- Willingness to make a commitment in exchange for earned ownership.

The sales side is the essential component of this. We want someone who can assume primary responsibility for new business. Why? I simply have no interest in doing that any more. I will consult with potential clients about research strategies and options, provide overall management for existing projects, and write analytical reports as necessary. But I take a pass on chasing down new business. After 30 years, I simply choose not to do this anymore.

Given our reputation as a high-end analytical firm, we expect to maintain the high level of research analytical skills for which we have become known.

This requires that we maintain staff of highly trained analysts with no less than 10+ years private sector research industry experience and who are recognized experts in both quantitative and qualitative design and analysis.

Given our current strength in this area, however, these are **not** absolute requirements for the partner(s) we seek. Should the potential partner have these skills **in addition** to those listed above, it would certainly be a plus, but the above attributes are essential.

* *

Who is this opportunity suited for?

We want someone who has the **skills** to start their own firm from scratch. We offer the opportunity to jumpstart the process and end up in five years what it could take decades to get to on your own. You capitalize on our reputation, track record, repeat clientele, and highly developed operational procedures.

- You might be a sales manager with experience in high-end consultative selling who wants a new, challenging, and potentially rewarding opportunity.

ity.

- You might be a researcher who has considered venturing out on their own and starting their own firm.

- You might be in a middle management position in a research firm but looking for something more entrepreneurial with a higher reward potential.

Obviously, there are all sorts of complexities in a commitment such as this that can only be addressed in the course of an exploratory conversation.

If you think you have the attributes and the interests and are willing to relocate, we invite such a discussion. We can, of course, offer all the usual perks. But the primary perk we offer is the autonomy and long-term potential accompanied by the track record to make those prospects realistic.

If this is not the primary appeal to you, you are probably not the person for this opportunity.

If you are interested, the AAPOR meetings afford an easy opportunity to have an informal and confidential discussion. Send an email to oneil@oneilresearch.com (not this email) and let's sit down and talk. If you read this after the conference has started, feel free to give me a call at the conference; my cell is 480.335-6391,

And take a look at www.oneilresearch.com for further information.

Mike O'Neil

ONEil Associates, Inc.

Tempe (Phoenix) AZ (home of endless sunshine and *interesting* politics)

Moscow, ID 83843

=20

208.885.5849 (phone)

208.885.5554 (fax)

www.agls.uidaho.edu/ssru=20

=20

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 11 May 2010 08:12:13 -0700
Reply-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans
X-To: Mike Donatello <mike@DONATELLO.US>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <[006f01caed8f\\$65c70b10\\$31552130\\$@us](mailto:006f01caed8f$65c70b10$31552130$@us)>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Agreed this thread is getting so totally out of control. I thought the forum was for learning best practices about survey research. What is the point about guns and gun laws? it seems to be degenerating into the "screed-of-the day".

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Donatello
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 10:46 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

So, anybody wanna talk about surveys, statistics and stuff?

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner

Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 9:24 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: [AAPORNET] Guns n' Arizonans

Here's something that I did not at first understand about Mike O'Neil's offhand comment about being "free from the fear of encountering a nutcase legally carrying a concealed weapon."

In addition to the anti-immigrant law that has raised so many passions, Arizona passed another bill (SB 1108) last month that allows anyone who is not legally barred from owning a gun for, e.g., having a criminal record, to carry a concealed firearm without having to obtain a permit. You don't even have to register most guns purchased in Arizona.

Among other things, this means nearly anyone can now carry a concealed weapon in Arizona, without any training whatsoever on how to use it or handle it safely.

You don't have to be anti-gun to find this rather scary, a little like saying that anyone who hasn't been arrested for DUI can drive without ever taking a driver's test. I consider this to be much more disturbing than the idea that any 2011 conference attendees might be stopped as possible illegal immigrants.

You can read about the new Arizona gun law at:
<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/16/20100416arizona-concealed-weapons-bill116-ON.html>

or: <http://tinyurl.com/y84u22x>

Jan Werner

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/> <http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10

14:26:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2858 - Release Date: 05/06/10

14:26:00

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/> <http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Tue, 11 May 2010 08:19:54 -0700
Reply-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Subject: Re: letters, two kinds
X-To: "Joseph.Bauer@CANCER.ORG" <Joseph.Bauer@CANCER.ORG>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <OFA410EEA6.EC206842-ON8525771C.00530A58-8525771C.0054386B@cancer.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

The published research literature is consistent that pre-paid incentives are more effective in generating survey response than post-paid promises. Cash has also been found to be the most effective incentive, more so than checks. Be aware that if you use checks, and they are not cashed you must take care of the local state's escheatment laws - after a given time, the local state governments want to grab the value of uncashed checks (and legally, they can).

We use cash incentives in our mail surveys, and have always had a certain number of respondents who return the cash voluntarily with comments such as "sorry, no time" or "not interested". But to present that as a request, to return the cash in lieu of the survey, is a very interesting proposition....I would be concerned that it flies in the face of a Dillman-like social exchange, leaning more towards a pay-for-performance approach or monetary exchange. But I am not aware of any published tests that have taken this specific approach, so the results might be fascinating.

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [[mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu)] On Behalf Of Joseph E. Bauer

Sent: Friday, May 07, 2010 11:20 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: letters, two kinds

Hi Colleen,

Send back the cash?? I have never seen that - although these are professionals and not a general population. There are pros and cons to sending cash or a check. However, the checks and balances in study design - with sending a check - is that you can track response/ non-response and what the cohort actually does with the 'incentive.' As well as - have the checks become 'null and void' after 90 days. However, whenever I have conducted population based surveys with incentives paid up front (from a prior research environment - since the American Cancer Society can not use donor dollars to pay incentives) - we always had to build into our design - the fact that there are a number of potential respondents who 'take the money and run'. There will be others who return the money or never cash the check - either because its usually 'smallish' and they forget or if it is to 'generate knowledge beneficial to society' they don't cash it and chock it up to a 'donation'. Even with a protocol to contact people who cash the check and don't send in a completed survey - many will be 'passive non-responders' ('Oh, I forgot - I'll send it in right away' or 'I lost it, could you send me another one). There's always a small percentage who try and get you/ or think you will send them another check. Population-based surveys with incentives can be an expensive endeavor. Good Luck!

Joe

PS I'll be interested in the results - with respect to how professionals (in this case dentists) respond to an 'incentive' to motivate them to complete a survey. As an aside - what length are you conceptualizing as being 'optimal'? Its always a balancing act - with respect to maximizing response rates.

Joseph E. Bauer, Ph.D. - Director of Survey Research | Statistics & Evaluation Center (SEC)
National Home Office | American Cancer Society, Inc.
Quad 6D - Room 234/ 250 Williams Street NW, Atlanta, GA 30303 | cancer.org

404.929.6905 | fax: 404.321.4669

Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>
Sent by: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
05/07/2010 09:56 AM
Please respond to
colleen_porter@COX.NET

To

AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

cc

Subject

letters, two kinds

Still working on a mail survey of dentists, trying to send out a token advance cash incentive, and preparing the IRB submission. In looking through the materials that people had shared about successfully working with the fiscal office to send incentives, one petty cash application stated, "Participants will be instructed to mail back either the survey or the cash."

Well, some folks do send back the cash when they don't want to do the survey, so we always need to have procedures in place for making those deposits.

But to actively present that choice to them? It's not something I've seen before. Does anyone use that approach? Pros and cons?

Colleen Porter

Gainesville, FL

P.S. Oh, the other kind of letters was the ones from AAPOR leadership. I had shared some of this saga with my husband, who had served in leadership for his own professional organizations and had faced similar challenges. I read the letters aloud to him while he was doing the dishes. He was impressed with Peter's prose and logic, but then we got to the second letter, and at one point he turned off the water and put the brush down to listen intently. What exquisite timing that Rob would happen to be chairing conference in 2011.

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 11 May 2010 17:38:27 +0100
Reply-To: Iain Noble <I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Iain Noble <I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK>
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
A<1788496C766C6542B3952BBEBA5C45F105A14A9DA3@EXMBX10.exchhosting.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Good thing too, but it's bad enough asking people at the beginning of a conference session to make sure they have their cell phones turned off without having to add that they need to check that the safety's set on their pieces. Don't want that, do we?

Iain Noble
Research and Enterprise Service (RES)
University of Westminster
Room G1
4-12 Little Titchfield Street
London W1W 7UW

Tel: 0207 911 5000 Ext 2651
Mobile: 0753 832 8523

This e-mail and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must not copy or show them to anyone, nor should you take any action based on them, other than to notify the error by replying to the sender

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jane Traub
Sent: 11 May 2010 16:12
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Guns n' Arizonans

Agreed this thread is getting so totally out of control. I thought the forum was for learning best practices about survey research. What is the point about guns and gun laws? it seems to be degenerating into the "screed-of-the day".

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

--
The University of Westminster is a charity and a company limited by
guarantee. Registration number: 977818 England. Registered Office:
309 Regent Street, London W1B 2UW, UK.

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 11 May 2010 14:20:33 -0400
Reply-To: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Comments: RFC822 error: <W> MESSAGE-ID field duplicated. Last occurrence
was retained.
From: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>
Subject: Jobs at Penn State
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Dear colleagues,

Penn State currently has two vacancies at the mid-range level --
above project managers but below the Director/Assistant Director
level. Formerly, these positions oversaw specific data collection
divisions (e.g., web, field) but we anticipate some reorganization so
that new hires will work across traditional areas. Full job
descriptions of the positions are not yet available, but SRC Director
Kurt Johnson and I will be available at AAPOR and Field Directors to
chat with anyone who might be interested in the exploring the possibilities.

Penn State's SRC (<http://www.ssri.psu.edu/survey/>) is located in
State College, which offers a high quality of life with a low cost of living.

If you are interested in chatting at AAPOR or Field Directors, please
email me at EXP12@psu.edu or Kurt at KDJ11@psu.edu .

~~~~~  
Eric Plutzer, Professor of Political Science  
and Academic Director, Survey Research Center  
The Pennsylvania State University

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Tue, 11 May 2010 18:04:30 -0400  
Reply-To: Dave Oshman <doshman@BRAUNRESEARCH.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Dave Oshman <doshman@BRAUNRESEARCH.COM>  
Subject: FW: European in depth  
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
X-cc: Christina Zhang <czhang@braunresearch.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dear AAPORites

I am looking forward to seeing you all this week in Chicago.

Does anyone know who can do in-depth interviews in Germany and Russia (Moscow in particular)? This is a quick, but time pressing project this week.

Please email me off line for this.

Regards

Dave Oshman

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 09:57:24 -0400  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>  
Subject: Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

ScienceNOW

<http://news.sciencemag.org/sciencenow/2010/05/twitter-as-good-as-a-telep>



hone-s.html

or

<http://tinyurl.com/2cb7rrq>

Pollsters aren't trembling yet, but a study of Twitter posts finds that for some key political and economic questions, tracking the content of microblogs on the Internet is nearly as good as doing a traditional telephone survey.

A common complaint among people sucked into the "Twittersphere" is that no one reads their posts. A team led by Noah Smith, a computer scientist at Carnegie Mellon University in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, didn't have time to read them either. But at least the researchers put that massive pile of verbiage to good use: With an average size of 11 words, the 1 billion tweets posted in 2008 and 2009 add up to an impressive cultural data set. The team used text-analysis software to detect tweets pertaining to various issues-such as whether President Barack Obama is doing a good job-and measure the frequency of positive or negative words ranging from "awesome" to "sucks."

The results, which will be presented 25 May at a computer science conference in Washington, D.C., were surprisingly similar to traditional surveys. For example, the ratio of Twitter posts expressing either positive or negative sentiments about President Obama produced a "job approval rating" that closely tracked the big Gallup daily poll across 2009. The president's approval slumped over the course of the year in both. (The correlation between the two was an impressive 79% when the Twitter data was averaged across chunks of several days.)

SNIP

--

Leo (Twit) Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 11:52:39 -0400

Reply-To: Dave Oshman <[doshman@BRAUNRESEARCH.COM](mailto:doshman@BRAUNRESEARCH.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Dave Oshman <[doshman@BRAUNRESEARCH.COM](mailto:doshman@BRAUNRESEARCH.COM)>

Subject: Russian telephone interviewing

X-To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)

X-cc: Christina Zhang <[czhang@braunresearch.com](mailto:czhang@braunresearch.com)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dear AAPORites

Does anyone know of a good Russian phone room they can recommend?

Please email me off line for this.

Regards

Dave Oshman

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 13:05:27 EDT  
Reply-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Andrew Gage <AGage95526@AOL.COM>  
Subject: Rather Stunning Poll Numbers From Pew  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=20

May 12, 2010

Broad Approval For New Arizona Immigration Law

Democrats Divided, But Support key Provisions

(javascript:window.print()) (javascript:;) (javascript:;)=20

Overview

=20

The public broadly supports a new Arizona law aimed at dealing with=20  
illegal immigration and the law=E2=80=99s provisions giving police increa=  
sed powers to=20

stop and detain people who are suspected of being in the country illegall=  
y.=20

Fully 73% say they approve of requiring people to produce documents=20  
verifying their legal status if police ask for them. Two-thirds (67%) app=  
rove of=20

allowing police to detain anyone who cannot verify their legal status, wh=  
ile=20

62% approve of allowing police to question people they think may be in th=  
e=20

country illegally.=20

After being asked about the law=E2=80=99s provisions, 59% say that, consid=

ering=20  
everything, they approve of Arizona=E2=80=99s new illegal immigration law=  
while 32%=20  
disapprove.=20  
The latest national survey by the Pew Research Center for the People & th=  
e=20  
Press, conducted May 6-9 among 994 adults, finds that Democrats are evenly=  
=20  
split over Arizona=E2=80=99s new immigration law: 45% approve of the law=  
and 46% =20  
disapprove. However, majorities of Democrats approve of two of the law=E2=  
=80=99s =20  
principal provisions: requiring people to produce documents verifying lega=  
l =20  
status (65%) and allowing police to detain anyone unable to verify their=  
legal=20  
status (55%).=20  
Republicans overwhelmingly approve of the law and three provisions tested.=  
=20  
Similarly, among independents there is little difference in opinions of th=  
e=20  
new Arizona law (64% approve) and its elements, which are viewed =20  
positively.=20  
Young people are less supportive of the Arizona immigration law than are=  
=20  
older Americans. Fewer than half (45%) of those younger than 30 approve of=  
=20  
the new law while 47% disapprove. Majorities of older age groups =E2=80=  
=93 including=20  
74% of those 65 and older =E2=80=93 approve of the law.=20  
However, even most young people approve of requiring people to produce =20  
documents verifying their legal status; 61% approve of this element of the=  
law =20  
while 35% disapprove. Larger percentages of older age groups support this=  
=20  
provision.=20  
Most Disapprove of Obama on Immigration=20  
As has been the case since last fall, the public is highly critical of=20  
Barack Obama=E2=80=99s handling of immigration policy. Just 25% approve=  
of the way=20  
Obama is handling the issue, while more than twice as many (54%) disappro=  
ve.=20  
That is little changed from last month (29% approve) and down slightly fr=  
om=20  
last November (31%).=20  
In the current survey, 76% of Republicans disapprove of Obama=E2=80=99s ha=  
ndling of=20  
immigration policy, while just 8% approve. Independents disapprove of Oba=  
ma  
=E2=80=99s job on the issue by more than two-to-one (57% to 25%). Even am=  
ong=20  
Democrats, as many disapprove (38%) as approve (37%) of the way he is han=  
dling=20  
the issue, while a quarter (25%) offer no opinion.

Results for this survey are based on telephone interviews conducted under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International among a national sample of 994 adults living in the continental United States, 18 years of age or older, from May 6-9, 2010 (662 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 332 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 123 who had no landline telephone). Both the landline and cell phone samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English. For detailed information about our survey methodology, see <http://people-press.org/methodology/> (<http://people-press.org/methodology/>). The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race/ethnicity, region, and population density to parameters from the March 2009 Census Bureau's Current Population Survey. The sample is also weighted to match current patterns of telephone status and relative usage of landline and cell phones (for those with both), based on extrapolations from the 2009 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size within the landline sample. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting. The following table shows the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the 95% level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

#### ABOUT THE CENTER

The Pew Research Center for the People & the Press is an independent opinion research group that studies attitudes toward the press, politics and public policy issues. We are sponsored by The Pew Charitable Trusts and are one of seven projects that make up the Pew Research Center, a nonpartisan "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends=

=20  
shaping America and the world. =20  
The Center's purpose is to serve as a forum for ideas on the media and=20  
public policy through public opinion research. In this role it serves as=  
an=20  
important information resource for political leaders, journalists, schola=  
rs,=20  
and public interest organizations. All of our current survey results are=  
=20  
made available free of charge. =20  
All of the Center=E2=80=99s research and reports are collaborative product=  
s based=20  
on the input and analysis of the entire Center staff consisting of:=20  
Andrew Kohut, Director  
Scott Keeter, Director of Survey Research  
Carroll Doherty and Michael Dimock, Associate Directors  
Michael Remez, Senior Writer  
Robert Suls, Shawn Neidorf, Leah Christian, Jocelyn Kiley and Alec Tyson,=  
=20  
Research Associates  
Jacob Poushter, Research Assistant

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 14:58:09 EDT  
Reply-To: AGage95526@AOL.COM  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Andrew Gage <AGage95526@AOL.COM>  
Subject: Rather Stunning Poll Numbers From Pew  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=20  
=20  
May 12, 2010  
Broad Approval For New Arizona Immigration Law  
Democrats Divided, But Support key Provisions  
(javascript:window.print()) (javascript:;) (javascript:;)=20  
Overview  
=20  
The public broadly supports a new Arizona law aimed at dealing with=20  
illegal immigration and the law=E2=80=99s provisions giving police increa=  
sed powers to=20  
stop and detain people who are suspected of being in the country illegall=  
y.=20  
Fully 73% say they approve of requiring people to produce documents=20

verifying their legal status if police ask for them. Two-thirds (67%) approve of allowing police to detain anyone who cannot verify their legal status, while 62% approve of allowing police to question people they think may be in the country illegally.

After being asked about the law's provisions, 59% say that, considering everything, they approve of Arizona's new illegal immigration law while 32% disapprove.

The latest national survey by the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press, conducted May 6-9 among 994 adults, finds that Democrats are evenly split over Arizona's new immigration law: 45% approve of the law and 46% disapprove. However, majorities of Democrats approve of two of the law's principal provisions: requiring people to produce documents verifying legal status (65%) and allowing police to detain anyone unable to verify their legal status (55%).

Republicans overwhelmingly approve of the law and three provisions tested. Similarly, among independents there is little difference in opinions of the new Arizona law (64% approve) and its elements, which are viewed positively.

Young people are less supportive of the Arizona immigration law than are older Americans. Fewer than half (45%) of those younger than 30 approve of the new law while 47% disapprove. Majorities of older age groups including 74% of those 65 and older approve of the law.

However, even most young people approve of requiring people to produce documents verifying their legal status; 61% approve of this element of the law while 35% disapprove. Larger percentages of older age groups support this provision.

Most Disapprove of Obama on Immigration

As has been the case since last fall, the public is highly critical of Barack Obama's handling of immigration policy. Just 25% approve of the way Obama is handling the issue, while more than twice as many (54%) disapprove.

That is little changed from last month (29% approve) and down slightly from last November (31%).

In the current survey, 76% of Republicans disapprove of Obama's ha-

ndling of=20

immigration policy, while just 8% approve. Independents disapprove of Obama

job on the issue by more than two-to-one (57% to 25%). Even among

Democrats, as many disapprove (38%) as approve (37%) of the way he is handling

the issue, while a quarter (25%) offer no opinion.

Results for this survey are based on telephone interviews conducted under

the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International among a

national sample of 994 adults living in the continental United States, 18

years of age or older, from May 6-9, 2010 (662 respondents were interviewed

on a landline telephone, and 332 were interviewed on a cell phone,

including 123 who had no landline telephone). Both the landline and cell

phone samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were

conducted in English. For detailed information about our survey methodology,

see <http://people-press.org/methodology/> (<http://people-press.org/methodology/>)

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative

technique that matches gender, age, education, race/ethnicity, region, and

population density to parameters from the March 2009 Census Bureau's Current Population Survey. The sample is also weighted to match current

of telephone status and relative usage of landline and cell phones (for

those with both), based on extrapolations from the 2009 National Health

Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that

respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability

being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size within

the landline sample. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance

take into account the effect of weighting. The following table shows the error attributable to sampling that would be

expected at the 95% level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question

wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error

bias into the findings of opinion polls.

## ABOUT THE CENTER

The Pew Research Center for the People & the Press is an independent opinion research group that studies attitudes toward the press, politics and public policy issues. We are sponsored by The Pew Charitable Trusts and are one of seven projects that make up the Pew Research Center, a nonpartisan "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends shaping America and the world. The Center's purpose is to serve as a forum for ideas on the media and public policy through public opinion research. In this role it serves as an important information resource for political leaders, journalists, scholars, and public interest organizations. All of our current survey results are made available free of charge. All of the Center's research and reports are collaborative products based on the input and analysis of the entire Center staff consisting of: Andrew Kohut, Director  
Scott Keeter, Director of Survey Research  
Carroll Doherty and Michael Dimock, Associate Directors  
Michael Remez, Senior Writer  
Robert Suls, Shawn Neidorf, Leah Christian, Jocelyn Kiley and Alec Tyson, Research Associates  
Jacob Poushter, Research Assistant

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 16:43:09 -0400  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: Who's Your Tea Party? Evidence from Indiana  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

(I know most AAPORistas are busy getting ready to go to or have already gone to the conference, but I thought this might interest the stay-at-homes)

Wolf, Downs, and Ortsey: Who's Your Tea Party? Evidence from Indiana



[http://www.pollster.com/blogs/wolf\\_downs\\_and\\_ortsey\\_whos\\_you.php](http://www.pollster.com/blogs/wolf_downs_and_ortsey_whos_you.php)  
or  
<http://tinyurl.com/2d9z981>

Tea Party observers have floated two explanations for the group's emergence since their unexpectedly intense protests last year. The first explanation - embraced by conservative commentators and the movement itself - is that the Tea Party is comprised of grassroots citizens upset at the direction of the country and the deficit. Democrats champion a second explanation, that the Tea Party is composed of Republicans upset that President Obama and the Democrats control Washington. If the Tea Party is a movement against Washington politicians no matter their political stripes, then establishment Republicans must be wary of disaffected voters picking off their incumbents in primaries and President Obama faces a genuine rejection among voters he attracted in 2008. If it is simply Republicans upset at losing the presidency, 2010 looks more like a normal midterm election than an anti-incumbent revolt.

To get a better feel for the political dynamics behind the Tea Party, The Mike Downs Center for Indiana Politics asked registered Indiana voters whether they identified with the Tea Party, their vote intention for the Republican primary, and a series of election-related questions. Our first noteworthy finding is that 36% of registered likely Hoosier voters identified themselves with the Tea Party, while 61% of Republicans did.

SNIP

--

Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 17:53:55 -0400  
Reply-To: "Blumberg, Stephen J. (CDC/OSELS/NCHS)" <[swb5@CDC.GOV](mailto:swb5@CDC.GOV)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Blumberg, Stephen J. (CDC/OSELS/NCHS)" <[swb5@CDC.GOV](mailto:swb5@CDC.GOV)>  
Subject: Updated wireless-only and wireless-mostly estimates  
X-To: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Today, the National Center for Health Statistics updated our estimates =  
of the size and characteristics of the population without landline =  
telephones. This new report is based on National Health Interview =  
Survey data collected from July - December 2009.

The report can be found at:=20

<http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/wireless201005.htm> =  
<<http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/wireless201005.htm>> =20

or

<http://tinyurl.com/356zw88> <<http://tinyurl.com/ykrasuu>> =20

Note: The tables may be easier to read if you download the PDF version =  
of the report.=20

--Stephen--=20

Stephen J. Blumberg, Ph.D.=20  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention=20  
National Center for Health Statistics=20  
Hyattsville, Maryland=20  
[sblumberg@cdc.gov](mailto:sblumberg@cdc.gov)=20

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 15:10:29 -0700  
Reply-To: Floyd Ciruli <[fciruli@AOL.COM](mailto:fciruli@AOL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Floyd Ciruli <[fciruli@AOL.COM](mailto:fciruli@AOL.COM)>  
Subject: AAPOR Newsletter & 2010 Chicago Conference  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

AAPOR Newsletter

A large PAPOR delegation will be attending the AAPOR 2010 Chicago=20  
conference. Hope to see you all at the Thursday night new member=20  
reception. Link to our latest newsletter for the news and events in the=20=

West at <http://papor.org/files/2010/PAPORTrail-May2010.pdf>=20

Floyd Ciruli  
PAPOR President

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 16:00:16 -0700  
Reply-To: "Anderson, Julia" <[anderson.jp@GHC.ORG](mailto:anderson.jp@GHC.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Anderson, Julia" <[anderson.jp@GHC.ORG](mailto:anderson.jp@GHC.ORG)>  
Subject: Job posting: Manager, Survey Research Program  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Group Health Research Institute, Seattle, WA

[www.grouphealthresearch.org](http://www.grouphealthresearch.org)

The Group Health Research Institute is seeking a candidate for a managerial position to manage the research survey program and provide methods consultation to faculty. This position will have the opportunity to continue to develop an outstanding survey research program and to collaborate with epidemiological and health services researchers on a wide range of clinical and methodologic areas. This position offers a unique opportunity to work with researchers and to test innovative survey methodologies in a large, defined population.

The Institute ([www.grouphealthresearch.org](http://www.grouphealthresearch.org)) is an internationally recognized academic research organization that primarily conducts government funded clinical, epidemiologic, and health services research. It includes 35 MD and PhD investigators, 15 biostatisticians, and over 250 staff members. The Institute and surrounding research centers are part of a vibrant research community. Current areas of research emphasis include behavioral medicine & mental health, healthy aging, cancer screening & control, chronic illness management, complementary & alternative medicine, health economics, pharmacoepidemiology, infectious disease, and women's health. The Institute is the research division of Group Health Cooperative, a non-profit, consumer-governed, integrated health care organization and

affirmative action/equal opportunity employer.

=09  
Applicants should hold a master's degree in a relevant social science or behavioral science, or health sciences discipline. Excellent oral and written communication skills and a strong interest in active collaboration on public domain interdisciplinary research studies are required. Applicants should have a minimum of 3-5 years managing a research program in addition to experience working within the field and expertise in all phases and modes of survey research. They should also have experience and interest to grow the survey program's capacity and research volume. Equivalent academic position titles, depending on the size of the institution may be Associate Director or Director of Survey Research.

Salary is competitive.

Review of applications will continue until the position is filled. To be

considered for the position, please submit a letter of interest and CV to the following web site:

<http://www.ghc.org/careers/index.jhtml>

by selecting Search for Jobs and then entering Job number 100886 for the Manager; Survey Rsrch Prgm (GHRI) position.

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 19:58:15 -0400  
Reply-To: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Subject: Re: Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To:  
<[3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E5216840103F423@exchange.local.artscience.com](mailto:3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E5216840103F423@exchange.local.artscience.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="====AVGMAIL-758A308D===="

--====AVGMAIL-758A308D=====  
Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I believe that I am now going to run to a dark corner of the house and cower in fear of what may come.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta  
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 9:57 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: [AAPORNET] Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

ScienceNOW

<http://news.sciencemag.org/sciencenow/2010/05/twitter-as-good-as-a-telephone-s.html>

or

<http://tinyurl.com/2cb7rrq>

Pollsters aren't trembling yet, but a study of Twitter posts finds that for some key political and economic questions, tracking the content of microblogs on the Internet is nearly as good as doing a traditional telephone survey.

A common complaint among people sucked into the "Twittersphere" is that no one reads their posts. A team led by Noah Smith, a computer scientist at Carnegie Mellon University in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, didn't have time to read them either. But at least the researchers put that massive pile of verbiage to good use: With an average size of 11 words, the 1 billion tweets posted in 2008 and 2009 add up to an impressive cultural data set. The team used text-analysis software to detect tweets pertaining to various issues-such as whether President Barack Obama is doing a good job-and measure the frequency of positive or negative words ranging from "awesome" to "sucks."

The results, which will be presented 25 May at a computer science conference in Washington, D.C., were surprisingly similar to traditional surveys. For example, the ratio of Twitter posts expressing either positive or negative sentiments about President Obama produced a "job approval rating" that closely tracked the big Gallup daily poll across 2009. The president's approval slumped over the course of the year in both. (The correlation between the two was an impressive 79% when the Twitter data was averaged across chunks of several days.)

SNIP

--

Leo (Twit) Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group

6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2867 - Release Date: 05/12/10  
02:26:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2867 - Release Date: 05/12/10  
02:26:00

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--=====AVGMAIL-758A308D=====

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="=====AVGMAIL-0DA01794====="

--=====AVGMAIL-0DA01794=====

Content-Type: text/plain; x-avg=cert; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Disposition: inline  
Content-Description: "Certification"

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2869 - Release Date: 05/12/10 02:26:00

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--=====AVGMAIL-0DA01794=====

---

Date: Thu, 13 May 2010 01:51:39 +0100  
Reply-To: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>  
Subject: Re: Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?  
X-To: Mike Donatello <mike@DONATELLO.US>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To: <009201caf22e\$fce676e0\$f6b364a0\$@us>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=WINDOWS-1252  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Or for an alternate view: <http://kevinagray.posterous.com/click-here-to-set-a-post-title-4>

I have pasted the article below, but you really need to click on the link and see the graphics...

Joe

I want to believe I can listen to Social Networks to understand sentiment and I can't. Its all #NickCleggsFault

Its 22nd April 2010. The British election campaign is in full swing. It probably really hit the accelerator pedal this time last week. That was when, for the first time ever, the leaders of the three main political parties performed a debate on national television. The outcome of that debate was a huge momentum swing for the Liberal Democratic party, who, until that time had been listed as the also rans probably more so in this campaign than those of the recent past. Nick Clegg's (the Leader of the Liberal Democrats) popularity and that of his party hit new highs overnight and little has happened to reverse that trend.

Tonight is the second televised election and in the lead up to this event there has been a concerted attempt to attack Nick Clegg's image and tarnish his reputation.

What, you might ask has that to do with Social Networks?

There are a number of companies who would have you believe that it is possible to monitor public opinion by simply listening to the tweets, texts and general buzz of the social networks. That software could evaluate this constant stream of data and provide clear insight into the opinions of the public. This would be a kind of Utopia for many organisations who are constantly looking to understand the opinions of its customers, prospects and the general public. Currently they have to depend on more manual research engaging Market Research departments and companies in direct contact with individuals.

So is it possible to understand whether the public is pro or anti Nick Clegg as he enters the second debate?

The above image from TweetStats shows that over the last 11 hours Nick Clegg

is the fourth most tweeted term (with neither of his opponents in the top ten) while #NickCleggsFault is the second most tweeted term (more of that in a moment).

<missing image>

This second image from Trendistic shows that for a few hours tweets regarding Nick Clegg's rose dramatically. I personally became aware of this phenomena at 2:00pm when @BoagWorld a leading Web Designer in Britain posted the following:

<missing image>

This was the first in a small flurry of tweets by @BoagWorld all with the term #NickCleggsFault included. So what is this hashtag. Well someone in the twitterverse decided that Nick Clegg was having a hard time with the latest round of media attacks and that they should attack media back on his behalf. They started sending tweets out stating issues that they were having and blaming NickClegg. here is a little example taken from Twitter's search:

<missing image>

The question is: Any analytics available today regarding social networks like twitter seem to conveniently stop at the concept of tweet counting. There are some that try to show influence and other simple measures. There do not seem to be any that want to indicate whether there is positive and/or negative feedback and #NickCleggsfault is probably why. Reading these tweets could give a text analyser the impression that there is a lot of negative thoughts regarding Nick Clegg. Effectively it will miss the irony within them where they are not Blaming Nick at all just showing how silly it is to be negative about Nick Clegg.

Will it ever be possible to measure Social Networks effectively in anything but a human orientated manual process. Yes it may be possible to spot high flying comments and terms and bring them to someone's attention but there seems little reason to believe that the "translation" of these terms will be able to fall to any non-human being.

Kevin Gray

Joe Stead  
Telephone Operations Director - Ipsos MORI

T +44 131 561 4509  
M +44 7876 394165  
joe.stead@ipsos.com  
www.ipsos-mori.com

15 Links Place, Edinburgh, EH6 7EZ

Knowing more about life, business and society

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Donatello



Sent: 13 May 2010 00:58  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

I believe that I am now going to run to a dark corner of the house and cower in fear of what may come.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta  
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 9:57 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: [AAPORNET] Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

ScienceNOW

<http://news.sciencemag.org/sciencenow/2010/05/twitter-as-good-as-a-telephone-s.html>  
or  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cb7rrq>

Pollsters aren't trembling yet, but a study of Twitter posts finds that for some key political and economic questions, tracking the content of microblogs on the Internet is nearly as good as doing a traditional telephone survey.

A common complaint among people sucked into the "Twittersphere" is that no one reads their posts. A team led by Noah Smith, a computer scientist at Carnegie Mellon University in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, didn't have time to read them either. But at least the researchers put that massive pile of verbiage to good use: With an average size of 11 words, the 1 billion tweets posted in 2008 and 2009 add up to an impressive cultural data set. The team used text-analysis software to detect tweets pertaining to various issues-such as whether President Barack Obama is doing a good job-and measure the frequency of positive or negative words ranging from "awesome" to "sucks."

The results, which will be presented 25 May at a computer science conference in Washington, D.C., were surprisingly similar to traditional surveys. For example, the ratio of Twitter posts expressing either positive or negative sentiments about President Obama produced a "job approval rating" that closely tracked the big Gallup daily poll across 2009. The president's approval slumped over the course of the year in both. (The correlation between the two was an impressive 79% when the Twitter data was averaged across chunks of several days.)

SNIP

--

Leo (Twit) Simonetta  
Director of Research

Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2867 - Release Date: 05/12/10  
02:26:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2867 - Release Date: 05/12/10  
02:26:00

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
=====  
This e-mail and all attachments it may contain is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Ipsos MORI and its associated companies. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, printing, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender if you have received this e-mail in error.

Market & Opinion Research International Ltd (trading as Ipsos MORI),  
Registered in England and Wales No. 948470, 79-81 Borough Road, London SE1  
1FY, United Kingdom. VAT reg no. 443932151. Email: [ukinfo@ipsos.com](mailto:ukinfo@ipsos.com)

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 17:59:54 -0700  
Reply-To: [debell@stanford.edu](mailto:debell@stanford.edu)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Matthew DeBell <[debell@STANFORD.EDU](mailto:debell@STANFORD.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: Rather Stunning Poll Numbers From Pew  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[6425.6866b23c.391c5441@aol.com](mailto:6425.6866b23c.391c5441@aol.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I think events may have overtaken the survey. If I'm reading the bills correctly (the original SB 1070 and the amendment HB 2162), the survey questions differ from the actual provisions of the Arizona law as amended on April 30.

In light of the differences, the survey tells us more about opinion concerning immigration enforcement and civil liberties in general than it does about opinion concerning the Arizona law.

A. Pew asked if people approve or disapprove of "Allowing police to question anyone who they think may be in the country illegally." (62% approve)

The April 23 version of the law did this, but the amended (April 30) law does not. It directs police to seek documentation when they have already stopped, detained, or arrested someone in the course of enforcing some other law and they have a reasonable suspicion that the person is in the country illegally (HB 2162, section 11-1051, paragraph B). That is a narrower power that doesn't apply to just "anyone."

B. "Requiring people to produce documents verifying their legal status if police ask for them" (73% approve).

The law only empowers police to ask for the documents when they have already stopped, detained, or arrested someone in a matter not related to immigration. It makes a state crime out of something that is already a violation of federal law if aliens don't have proper documents (HB 2162, section 13-1509). Thus, only certain people in certain circumstances would have to produce the documents, in contrast to the more general language of the question.

C. "Allowing police to detain anyone who cannot verify their legal status." (67% approve)

Again, the law only does this for people who have already been stopped or detained for a matter not related to immigration.

The original version of the bill passed April 23 applied to any "contact" with police. The amended version is much more circumscribed.

Considering that the law's actual (amended) provisions apply to narrower circumstances than the Pew survey's description, it may be that public support for the law's provisions would be even higher than Pew's results suggest.

The original law is here:

<http://www.azleg.gov/FormatDocument.asp?inDoc=/legtext/49leg/2r/laws/0113.htm>

The amendment:

<http://www.azleg.gov/FormatDocument.asp?inDoc=/legtext/49leg/2R/laws/0211.htm>

--

Matthew DeBell, Ph.D.

Director of Stanford Operations

American National Election Studies

Stanford University

[debell@stanford.edu](mailto:debell@stanford.edu)

650-725-2239

[www.electionstudies.org](http://www.electionstudies.org)

On 5/12/2010 11:58 AM, Andrew Gage wrote:

>

>

> May 12, 2010

> Broad Approval For New Arizona Immigration Law

> Democrats Divided, But Support key Provisions

> (javascript:window.print()) (javascript:;) (javascript:;)

> Overview

>

> The public broadly supports a new Arizona law aimed at dealing with

> illegal immigration and the law's provisions giving police increased powers to

> stop and detain people who are suspected of being in the country illegally.

> Fully 73% say they approve of requiring people to produce documents

> verifying their legal status if police ask for them. Two-thirds (67%)

approve of

> allowing police to detain anyone who cannot verify their legal status, while

> 62% approve of allowing police to question people they think may be in the

> country illegally.

> After being asked about the law's provisions, 59% say that, considering

> everything, they approve of Arizona's new illegal immigration law while 32%

> disapprove.

> The latest national survey by the Pew Research Center for the People & the

> Press, conducted May 6-9 among 994 adults, finds that Democrats are evenly

> split over Arizona's new immigration law: 45% approve of the law and 46%

> disapprove. However, majorities of Democrats approve of two of the law's

> principal provisions: requiring people to produce documents verifying legal

> status (65%) and allowing police to detain anyone unable to verify their

legal

> status (55%).

> Republicans overwhelmingly approve of the law and three provisions tested.

> Similarly, among independents there is little difference in opinions of the  
> new Arizona law (64% approve) and its elements, which are viewed  
> positively.  
> Young people are less supportive of the Arizona immigration law than are  
> older Americans. Fewer than half (45%) of those younger than 30 approve of  
> the new law while 47% disapprove. Majorities of older age groups “  
including  
> 74% of those 65 and older “ approve of the law.  
> However, even most young people approve of requiring people to produce  
> documents verifying their legal status; 61% approve of this element of the  
law  
> while 35% disapprove. Larger percentages of older age groups support this  
> provision.  
> Most Disapprove of Obama on Immigration  
> As has been the case since last fall, the public is highly critical of  
> Barack Obama’s handling of immigration policy. Just 25% approve of the  
way  
> Obama is handling the issue, while more than twice as many (54%)  
disapprove.  
> That is little changed from last month (29% approve) and down slightly from  
> last November (31%).  
> In the current survey, 76% of Republicans disapprove of Obama’s handling  
of  
> immigration policy, while just 8% approve. Independents disapprove of  
Obama  
> ’s job on the issue by more than two-to-one (57% to 25%). Even among  
> Democrats, as many disapprove (38%) as approve (37%) of the way he is  
handling  
> the issue, while a quarter (25%) offer no opinion.  
> Results for this survey are based on telephone interviews conducted under  
> the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International among a  
> national sample of 994 adults living in the continental United States, 18  
> years of age or older, from May 6-9, 2010 (662 respondents were interviewed  
> on a landline telephone, and 332 were interviewed on a cell phone,  
> including 123 who had no landline telephone). Both the landline and cell  
phone  
> samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were  
> conducted in English. For detailed information about our survey  
methodology, see  
> [\\_http://people-press.org/methodology/](http://people-press.org/methodology/) (<http://people-press.org/methodology/>)  
> .  
> The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative  
> technique that matches gender, age, education, race/ethnicity, region, and  
> population density to parameters from the March 2009 Census Bureau's  
> Current Population Survey. The sample is also weighted to match current  
patterns  
> of telephone status and relative usage of landline and cell phones (for  
> those with both), based on extrapolations from the 2009 National Health  
> Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that  
> respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability  
of  
> being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size within  
the

- > landline sample. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance
- > take into account the effect of weighting.
- > The following table shows the error attributable to sampling that would be
- > expected at the 95% level of confidence for different groups in the survey:
- >
- > In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question
- > wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce
- error or
- > bias into the findings of opinion polls.
- > ABOUT THE CENTER
- > The Pew Research Center for the People & the Press is an independent
- > opinion research group that studies attitudes toward the press, politics and
- > public policy issues. We are sponsored by The Pew Charitable Trusts and are
- one
- > of seven projects that make up the Pew Research Center, a nonpartisan
- > "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends
- > shaping America and the world.
- > The Center's purpose is to serve as a forum for ideas on the media and
- > public policy through public opinion research. In this role it serves as an
- > important information resource for political leaders, journalists,
- scholars,
- > and public interest organizations. All of our current survey results are
- > made available free of charge.
- > All of the Center's research and reports are collaborative products based
- > on the input and analysis of the entire Center staff consisting of:
- > Andrew Kohut, Director
- > Scott Keeter, Director of Survey Research
- > Carroll Doherty and Michael Dimock, Associate Directors
- > Michael Remez, Senior Writer
- > Robert Suls, Shawn Neidorf, Leah Christian, Jocelyn Kiley and Alec Tyson,
- > Research Associates
- > Jacob Poushter, Research Assistant
- >
- > -----
- > Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>
- > <http://www.aapor.org>
- > Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
- > Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:
- > signoff aapornet
- > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
- >

-----

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Wed, 12 May 2010 21:48:39 -0400  
Reply-To: Alex Lundry <[alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM](mailto:alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Alex Lundry <[alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM](mailto:alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM)>

Subject: Re: Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?  
X-To: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To:  
<7A706AA2D653C7499FF31970D03862ACA72D0EB023@EUUKLONEML5.eu.ipsos>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Interesting - though I would point out that the CMU twitter study and this article aren't necessarily at odds with one another. The CMU study did indeed fail miserably when it came to the 2008 presidential horserace, especially when compared with it's success at measuring consumer confidence and Obama approval with a relatively crude methodology (and I don't use "crude" here pejoratively, but rather, admirably).

My sense is that this sort of mass sentiment extraction from unstructured data would only work best for binary good/bad, approve/disapprove, hot/cold measures, but fall short as you introduce additional variables or nuance into the analysis.

- Alex

Alex Lundry  
<http://www.twitter.com/alexlundry>

---

From: AAPORNET [AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Joe Stead [Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 8:51 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

Or for an alternate view: <http://kevinagray.posterous.com/click-here-to-set-a-post-title-4>

I have pasted the article below, but you really need to click on the link and see the graphics...

Joe

I want to believe I can listen to Social Networks to understand sentiment and I can't. Its all #NickCleggsFault

Its 22nd April 2010. The British election campaign is in full swing. It probably really hit the accelerator pedal this time last week. That was when, for the first time ever, the leaders of the three main political parties performed a debate on national television. The outcome of that debate was a huge momentum swing for the Liberal Democratic party, who, until that time had been listed as the also rans probably more so in this campaign than those of the recent past. Nick Clegg's (the Leader of the Liberal Democrats) popularity and that of his party hit new highs overnight and little has

happened to reverse that trend.

Tonight is the second televised election and in the lead up to this event there has been a concerted attempt to attack Nick Clegg's image and tarnish his reputation.

What, you might ask has that to do with Social Networks?

There are a number of companies who would have you believe that it is possible to monitor public opinion by simply listening to the tweets, texts and general buzz of the social networks. That software could evaluate this constant stream of data and provide clear insight into the opinions of the public. This would be a kind of Utopia for many organisations who are constantly looking to understand the opinions of its customers, prospects and the general public. Currently they have to depend on more manual research engaging Market Research departments and companies in direct contact with individuals.

So is it possible to understand whether the public is pro or anti Nick Clegg as he enters the second debate?

The above image from TweetStats shows that over the last 11 hours Nick Clegg is the fourth most tweeted term (with neither of his opponents in the top ten) while #NickCleggsFault is the second most tweeted term (more of that in a moment).

<missing image>

This second image from Trendistic shows that for a few hours tweets regarding Nick Clegg's rose dramatically. I personally became aware of this phenomena at 2:00pm when @BoagWorld a leading Web Designer in Britain posted the following:

<missing image>

This was the first in a small flurry of tweets by @BoagWorld all with the term #NickCleggsFault included. So what is this hashtag. Well someone in the twitterverse decided that Nick Clegg was having a hard time with the latest round of media attacks and that they should attack media back on his behalf. They started sending tweets out stating issues that they were having and blaming NickClegg. here is a little example taken from Twitter's search:

<missing image>

The question is: Any analytics available today regarding social networks like twitter seem to conveniently stop at the concept of tweet counting. There are some that try to show influence and other simple measures. There do not seem to be any that want to indicate whether there is positive and/or negative feedback and #NickCleggsfault is probably why. Reading these tweets could give a text analyser the impression that there is a lot of negative thoughts regarding Nick Clegg. Effectively it will miss the irony within them where they are not Blaming Nick at all just showing how silly it is to be negative about Nick Clegg.

Will it ever be possible to measure Social Networks effectively in anything



but a human orientated manual process. Yes it may be possible to spot high flying comments and terms and bring them to someone's attention but there seems little reason to believe that the "translation" of these terms will be able to fall to any non-human being.

Kevin Gray

Joe Stead  
Telephone Operations Director - Ipsos MORI

T +44 131 561 4509  
M +44 7876 394165  
joe.stead@ipsos.com  
www.ipsos-mori.com

15 Links Place, Edinburgh, EH6 7EZ

Knowing more about life, business and society

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Donatello  
Sent: 13 May 2010 00:58  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

I believe that I am now going to run to a dark corner of the house and cower in fear of what may come.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta  
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 9:57 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: [AAPORNET] Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

Twitter as Good as a Telephone Survey?

ScienceNOW

<http://news.sciencemag.org/sciencenow/2010/05/twitter-as-good-as-a-telephone-s.html>  
or  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cb7rrq>

Pollsters aren't trembling yet, but a study of Twitter posts finds that for some key political and economic questions, tracking the content of microblogs on the Internet is nearly as good as doing a traditional telephone survey.

A common complaint among people sucked into the "Twittersphere" is that no one reads their posts. A team led by Noah Smith, a computer scientist at Carnegie Mellon University in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, didn't have

time to read them either. But at least the researchers put that massive pile of verbiage to good use: With an average size of 11 words, the 1 billion tweets posted in 2008 and 2009 add up to an impressive cultural data set. The team used text-analysis software to detect tweets pertaining to various issues-such as whether President Barack Obama is doing a good job-and measure the frequency of positive or negative words ranging from "awesome" to "sucks."

The results, which will be presented 25 May at a computer science conference in Washington, D.C., were surprisingly similar to traditional surveys. For example, the ratio of Twitter posts expressing either positive or negative sentiments about President Obama produced a "job approval rating" that closely tracked the big Gallup daily poll across 2009. The president's approval slumped over the course of the year in both. (The correlation between the two was an impressive 79% when the Twitter data was averaged across chunks of several days.)

SNIP

--

Leo (Twit) Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2867 - Release Date: 05/12/10

02:26:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2867 - Release Date: 05/12/10

02:26:00

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
=====

This e-mail and all attachments it may contain is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Ipsos MORI and its associated companies. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, printing, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender if you have received this e-mail in error.

Market & Opinion Research International Ltd (trading as Ipsos MORI),  
Registered in England and Wales No. 948470, 79-81 Borough Road, London SE1  
1FY, United Kingdom. VAT reg no. 443932151. Email: [ukinfo@ipsos.com](mailto:ukinfo@ipsos.com)

---

---

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Thu, 13 May 2010 07:11:51 -0700  
Reply-To: Alexandra Filindra <[afilindra@YAHOO.COM](mailto:afilindra@YAHOO.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Alexandra Filindra <[afilindra@YAHOO.COM](mailto:afilindra@YAHOO.COM)>  
Subject: Doing research in Brazil?  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
X-cc: [rbweitz@brown.edu](mailto:rbweitz@brown.edu)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi all,

A colleague of mine is planning a survey in Brazil and is looking for reliable, cost effective suppliers there. Any thoughts? Two that she is vetting are IBORE and APPM. Has anyone worked with either? Impressions? Other suggestions?

Thanks,

Alexandra

Alexandra Filindra, Ph.D.  
Taubman Center for Public Policy  
Brown University

email: [afilindra@yahoo.com](mailto:afilindra@yahoo.com)  
tel: 848-218-1943

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Thu, 13 May 2010 12:51:23 -0400  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: Census Instructs Staff to Use Caution Following Attacks on  
Employees  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Census Instructs Staff to Use Caution Following Attacks on Employees  
[http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2010/05/12/violence-unusual-threats-mark  
-beginning-census-canvas/](http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2010/05/12/violence-unusual-threats-mark-beginning-census-canvas/)  
or  
<http://tinyurl.com/2uahavc>

FOXNews.com

The Census Bureau is monitoring the safety of its workers after 15 incidents of violence were reported against employees going door-to-door to finish up work on the once-in-a-decade head count.

More than 600,000 Census takers started canvassing neighborhoods across the country this month to follow up with residents at 48 million addresses who did not return their Census forms in full. With so many workers on the street, the bureau says attacks are bound to happen.

SNIP

--  
Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 13 May 2010 10:58:09 -0700  
Reply-To: "Anderson, Julia" <anderson.jp@GHC.ORG>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Anderson, Julia" <anderson.jp@GHC.ORG>  
Subject: Re: Job posting: Manager, Survey Research Program HELP?  
X-To: "aapornet@asu.edu" <aapornet@asu.edu>  
In-Reply-To: <LISTSERV%201005121600163753.0543@LISTS.ASU.EDU>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi--

As you can see, I posted this on aapornet yesterday afternoon and received the email as requested. But it hasn't shown up yet on aapornet. Did I unknowingly violate a rule with a logo (I copied directly from a word document with a corporate logo) or make some other error?

Thank you for your help.

Julia P. Anderson | RESEARCH PROJECT MANAGER  
Survey Research Program, Group Health Research Institute

PHONE 206.287.2947 CDS 220.2947  
EMAIL anderson.jp@ghc.org  
www.grouphealthresearch.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Anderson, Julia  
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 4:00 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Cc: Anderson, Julia  
Subject: Job posting: Manager, Survey Research Program

Group Health Research Institute, Seattle, WA

www.grouphealthresearch.org

The Group Health Research Institute is seeking a candidate for a managerial position to manage the research survey program and provide methods consultation to faculty. This position will have the opportunity to continue to develop an outstanding survey research program and to collaborate with epidemiological and health services researchers on a wide range of clinical and methodologic areas. This position offers a unique opportunity to work with researchers and to test innovative survey methodologies in a large, defined population.

The Institute ([www.grouphealthresearch.org](http://www.grouphealthresearch.org)) is an internationally recognized academic research organization that primarily conducts government funded clinical, epidemiologic, and health services research. It includes 35 MD and PhD investigators, 15 biostatisticians, and over 250 staff members. The Institute and surrounding research centers are part of a vibrant research

community. Current areas of research emphasis include behavioral medicine & mental health, healthy aging, cancer screening & control, chronic illness management, complementary & alternative medicine, health economics, pharmacoepidemiology, infectious disease, and women's health. The Institute is the research division of Group Health Cooperative, a non-profit, consumer-governed, integrated health care organization and an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer.

Applicants should hold a master's degree in a relevant social science or behavioral science, or health sciences discipline. Excellent oral and written communication skills and a strong interest in active collaboration on public domain interdisciplinary research studies are required.

Applicants should have a minimum of 3 - 5 years managing a research survey program in addition to experience working within the field and expertise in all phases and modes of survey research. They should also have experience and interest to grow the survey program's capacity and research volume.

Equivalent academic position titles, depending on the size of the institution may be Associate Director or Director of Survey Research.

Salary is competitive.

Review of applications will continue until the position is filled. To be considered for the position, please submit a letter of interest and CV to the following web site:

<http://www.ghc.org/careers/index.jhtml>

by selecting "Search for Jobs" and then entering Job number 100886 for the Mgr; Survey Rsrch Prgm (GHRI) position.

#### GHC Confidentiality Statement

This message and any attached files might contain confidential information protected by federal and state law. The information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entities originally named as addressees. The improper disclosure of such information may be subject to civil or criminal penalties. If this message reached you in error, please contact the sender and destroy this message. Disclosing, copying, forwarding, or distributing the information by unauthorized individuals or entities is strictly prohibited by law.

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 13 May 2010 14:14:11 -0400

Reply-To: "Hargraves, Lee" <[Lee.Hargraves@UMASSMED.EDU](mailto:Lee.Hargraves@UMASSMED.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Hargraves, Lee" <[Lee.Hargraves@UMASSMED.EDU](mailto:Lee.Hargraves@UMASSMED.EDU)>

Subject: Re: Job posting: Manager, Survey Research Program

X-To: "Anderson, Julia" <anderson.jp@GHC.ORG>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To: <LISTSERV%201005121600163753.0543@LISTS.ASU.EDU>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi, I received the email... I even thought about applying, but I'm not sure I could make the coastal shift...

Best wishes,

Lee Hargraves  
Associate Professor  
Umass Medical School

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Anderson, Julia  
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 7:00 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Job posting: Manager, Survey Research Program

Group Health Research Institute, Seattle, WA

[www.grouphealthresearch.org](http://www.grouphealthresearch.org)

The Group Health Research Institute is seeking a candidate for a managerial position to manage the research survey program and provide methods consultation to faculty. This position will have the opportunity to continue to develop an outstanding survey research program and to collaborate with epidemiological and health services researchers on a wide range of clinical and methodologic areas. This position offers a unique opportunity to work with researchers and to test innovative survey methodologies in a large, defined population.

The Institute ([www.grouphealthresearch.org](http://www.grouphealthresearch.org)) is an internationally recognized academic research organization that primarily conducts government funded clinical, epidemiologic, and health services research. It includes 35 MD and PhD investigators, 15 biostatisticians, and over 250 staff members. The Institute and surrounding research centers are part of a vibrant research community. Current areas of research emphasis include behavioral medicine & mental health, healthy aging, cancer screening & control, chronic illness management, complementary & alternative medicine, health economics, pharmacoepidemiology, infectious disease, and women's health. The Institute is the research division of Group Health Cooperative, a non-profit, consumer-governed, integrated health care organization and an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer.

Applicants should hold a master's degree in a relevant social science or behavioral science, or health sciences discipline. Excellent oral and written communication skills and a strong interest in active collaboration on public domain interdisciplinary research studies are required. Applicants should have a minimum of 3-5 years managing a research survey program in addition to experience working within the field and expertise in

all phases and modes of survey research. They should also have experience and interest to grow the survey program's capacity and research volume. Equivalent academic position titles, depending on the size of the institution may be Associate Director or Director of Survey Research. Salary is competitive.

Review of applications will continue until the position is filled. To be considered for the position, please submit a letter of interest and CV to the following web site:

<http://www.ghc.org/careers/index.jhtml>

by selecting "Search for Jobs" and then entering Job number 100886 for the Mgr; Survey Rsrch Prgm (GHRI) position.

---

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 14 May 2010 10:24:13 -0400

Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>

Subject: The New Normal on Abortion: Americans More "Pro-Life"

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

The New Normal on Abortion: Americans More "Pro-Life"

For second year straight, "pro-life" and "pro-choice" closely matched

by Lydia Saad

<http://www.gallup.com/poll/128036/New-Normal-Abortion-Americans-Pro-Life.aspx>

or

<http://tinyurl.com/2dw47e8>

PRINCETON, NJ -- The conservative shift in Americans' views on abortion that Gallup first recorded a year ago has carried over into 2010.

Slightly more Americans call themselves "pro-life" than "pro-choice," 47% vs. 45%, according to a May 3-6 Gallup poll. This is nearly identical to the 47% to 46% division found last July following a more strongly pro-life advantage of 51% to 42% last May



SNIP

--

Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Sun, 16 May 2010 20:53:47 -0400  
Reply-To: Colleen Porter <[colleen\\_porter@COX.NET](mailto:colleen_porter@COX.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Colleen Porter <[colleen\\_porter@COX.NET](mailto:colleen_porter@COX.NET)>  
Subject: (long) wildy unofficial conference report  
X-To: AAPORNET list <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=WINDOWS-1252; format=flowed; delpsp=yes  
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Okay, here is my haphazard take on conference, my personal opinions mixed in with some interesting tidbits, for the benefit of friends who couldn't make it this year (apologies for errors; please feel free to correct). I am sure that non-attenders would love to hear from others as well, since we all experience it differently. How about first-time attendees, how did it compare with other meetings?

The weather in Chicago was stormy on Wednesday and into Thursday morning. Many flights were delayed, the golf outing was a bit soggy, and when I teased one of the locals that he had the easy commute, he said that, no, actually the lightning had knocked out a crucial train control so that he was delayed for an hour and nearly missed his talk. But after that it was perfect, a pleasant coolness mornings and evenings but clear and sunny.

I was surprised at the airport to see a familiar image  
[http://photos.igougo.com/images/p248182-Chicago\\_IL-Feeling\\_Welcome.jpg](http://photos.igougo.com/images/p248182-Chicago_IL-Feeling_Welcome.jpg)  
Oh, so that's a Chicago symbol and not just an AAPOR thing.

I raced in to register and try to make a session...frantically trying to figure out the escalators and stairways (there was no escalator to the 6th floor?), I got to the session room and it was empty...because I had forgotten the time zone change. So I was there for the first poster session and break. Looking through the conference bag, I was thrilled to see the large, readable first names on the name tags, the bookmark that said 2012 conference will be in Orlando, FL and also the

conference program is again in the smaller spiral-bound format with abstracts on a flash drive. In the conference hall, a new addition was a nice display on the history of AAPOR, including the postcard invitation to Central City and a tasteful wall of past program covers-- people were going past and pointing, "That was my first AAPOR!"

The first session I attended reminded me of why I had made the trip. Jaki McCarthy gave an amazing presentation on, "Bento Box Questionnaire Testing: Multi-Method Questionnaire Testing for the 2012 Census of Agriculture." She noted that a traditional Japanese bento box is a meal constructed according to Buddhist principles of balance; separate compartments hold different colors, flavors, and cooking methods to provide an optimum eating experience. The authors relate this to questionnaire testing, in that methods (field testing, cognitive testing, etc.) can be combined to provide a balanced questionnaire evaluation, with complementary methods used to compensate for any methods' shortcomings. She had expert review in the smaller box, noting that it functioned kind of like wasabi, a little goes a long way. Of course many of us were blown away at the scope of the Census of Agriculture...a FIELD TEST of 30,000? It was a great presentation because it was designed as a presentation, with lots of visuals. It wasn't an academic paper being crammed into dense power-point slides; rather, it was an actual oral presentation that could only be appreciated by being there in that room. That's what every talk should be.

Also, on that panel Kristin Stettler presented a paper entitled, "Early Stage Scoping: Building High Quality Survey Instruments Without High Costs." It was a wonderful discussion of preparing a respondent-friendly instrument by talking to them \*before\* designing an instrument, seeing what records they keep, identifying to whom the questionnaire should be sent, etc. And bringing the client along. In Q & A, someone was dubious that it only applied to establishment surveys. Someone else said, no, that even for general population surveys we often do focus groups early on to explore a topic and what terminology people use, etc. I agreed, and confess to being the voice who then piped up, "But now we have a name for it!" And it is true, I love this term because it will allow us to easily differentiate developmental work that is pre-question design from that addressing testing of draft questions, which is a distinction sometimes worth making. (Her co-author was Fran Featherston who was unable to again due to illness and was missed.)

Most sessions this year had no discussant, a change that allowed five speakers per session. The instructions to chairs also mentioned to only announce the presenting author in the interest of saving time, something I have done unofficially for years. One of the presenters asked if iPhones were issued chairs, since all of them seemed to be using them. Well, no, not provided, but they are handy. The sessions this year had some creative titles, and the papers hung together particularly well.

I was impressed with Brian Shepherds talk on cell phone stuff, because halfway through he took the opportunity to remind us of his exact

definitions for "cell mostly," etc. It was a great technique, because it is so easy to get lost after a few minutes, and that reminder put me back on track. I need to remember to incorporate that in my own work.

I had the privilege of chairing the "hair optional" session on Saturday afternoon. I am sure that the papers were selected for their quality and cohesiveness, but it just happened that the four male presenters all had a similar sleek hairstyle.

One morning at breakfast, I was dithering over which session to attend, back and forth because two of them looked good, a common occurrence when faced with an abundance of great stuff. I finally convinced myself that for one of the sessions, only one paper was really essential, so I was devising a session-hopping strategy. About then I looked up and welcomed someone to share my table space. It turned out that she was the author of that very paper, and she gave me all kinds of handouts and her contact info. Shiny!

The plenary had some of the rock stars of survey research discussing disclosure and transparency. They were lined up on the stage sitting in champagne-colored leather wing chairs under those bright lights for video. Gary Langer said that it reminded him of the bridge of the starship Enterprise, except for the lack of diversity (which was a point, and seemed ironic given the conference theme). One of the key points made was that disclosure is a means, not an end, and is not a guarantee of quality. To me, one of the chilling points made was by Mark Blumenthal, that if the folks at Strategic Vision had said something like, "Our response rates were 21% and 28% and we weighted for age, race and gender..." then everyone would have likely shrugged and gone on without a standards action, as we aren't really in a position to followup every claim made in disclosure. I appreciated one of the commenters who explained how hard it is for a small shop to actively and consistently disclose in a timely manner, about how her person who would put that out is the web guy who also has a zillion more pressing things to do. I suspect she spoke for many in her frank explanation. Also, there was some discussion of the Harvard conference on Survey Quality and mention that perhaps those talks are available on video? Did I hear that right and does someone have the link?

At Friday's luncheon, Peter got up to give his President's Address before the meal was done being served, so over the hubbub, a lot of it was lost to many. At Council's request, he gave the highlights again on Saturday night.

I heard that the NORC reception on Friday night was fantastic. (I missed that and the fun run because my eldest sister was graduating from college with her bachelors, so I drove over to Rock Island for that party--yes, I said eldest; she took a class at a time while working and she'll be 64 next month).

This year, the memorial for those lost during the year was handled by a moment of silence at the start of the business meeting. That

remembrance has taken various forms through the years, from a separate memorial service to a brief mention (with pictures) at the banquet. This might have been okay except Robbie Sangster was not on the list, and there was a mis-spelling for someone else. Is this topic going to be addressed in the post-conference survey? I wonder what most folks prefer?

At the Saturday business meeting, Cliff Zukin brought up the issue of how papers on substantive findings of public opinion research were so far in the minority, with methodology papers so predominant. Michael Link said that not only do we just get more of the methods submissions, but the substantive findings sessions were least attended. On a related note when Frank Newport talked about the future of the organization, he again brought up the issue of dividing into sections. During discussion from the floor, I tried not to be offended when people in the audience were being called on by their title (Dr.) and last name, and I wonder if anyone who didn't have a Ph.D. was shy to comment as a result of not wanting that distinction made? I love that AAPOR doesn't use degrees in the program, etc. and would like to keep it that way. It's nice to be considered a whole human being.

Jon Krosnick's band was wonderful, although a bit loud for background music. Very mellow. It got me thinking again about a link between math and music--my son was a band director for years and now has a graduate degree in research & evaluation (super-quant).

Mike Traugott's acceptance speech for the AAPOR award was a surprise because he quoted one of my favorite groups, Dire Straits, that song Money for Nothing. He said how glad he was to be able to support his family while doing work he enjoyed. Also he talked about how comfortable he felt at his first AAPOR conference. "These are my peeps!"

That's what I think too, and a good place to end.

Colleen Porter  
Gainesville, FL

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Mon, 17 May 2010 09:57:46 -0500  
Reply-To: "Zhang, Weiwu" <[weiwu.zhang@TTU.EDU](mailto:weiwu.zhang@TTU.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Zhang, Weiwu" <[weiwu.zhang@TTU.EDU](mailto:weiwu.zhang@TTU.EDU)>  
Subject: MAPOR Call for Papers  
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear all,

On behalf of MAPOR, I'd like to invite you to submit research paper and panel proposals to the 35th annual MAPOR conference, Nov. 19-20, 2010, Chicago. Links to CFP, student CFP, and other info at:

Call for Papers: [http://www.mapor.org/2010\\_call.pdf](http://www.mapor.org/2010_call.pdf) Deadline for a one or two-page abstract - June 30, 2010

Call for MAPOR Fellows Student Paper Competition: [http://www.mapor.org/2010\\_studentcall.pdf](http://www.mapor.org/2010_studentcall.pdf)

MAPOR Spring Newsletter: <http://www.mapor.org/spring2010.pdf>

MAPOR General Information: <http://www.mapor.org/>

I look forward to seeing you all in Chicago the weekend before the Thanksgiving Holiday!

Weiwu Zhang  
MAPOR President  
College of Mass Communications  
Texas Tech University

Call for Participation  
35th Annual Conference of the

Midwest Association for Public Opinion Research

November 19-20, 2010, Avenue Hotel, Chicago

Conference Theme:

"Consensus, Contrariness, and Compromise in Public Opinion"

The Midwest Association for Public Opinion Research's 35th annual conference welcomes papers and panels exploring consensus, contrariness and compromise, either in contemporary public opinion itself or in pursuit of the best methods for estimating public opinion. Papers and panels on any other topic related to public opinion research, theory, or methodology are equally welcome. These topics can include transparency, translation, data quality, race, and research across cultures and languages.

### Research Papers:

Submissions must be abstracts no longer than two typed, double-spaced pages in MS Word or PDF format. No full-length papers will be reviewed. Please include a cover sheet indicating the name(s), institutional affiliation(s), and e-mail address(es) of all author(s) (not just the contact author). The same author's name may appear on a maximum of two submissions. To allow for blind review, please remove all personally identifying information from the abstract's text before submission.

Note to student authors: If all authors are students who will be enrolled in an undergraduate or graduate program at the time of the conference, please indicate that the paper is a student paper. To be considered, a student paper must list the name of a faculty mentor on the abstract's cover sheet. Additionally, the faculty mentor who is listed must send a separate e-mail of 25 or fewer words endorsing the paper. Student authors also should consider participating in the MAPOR Fellows Student Paper Competition.

### Panel Proposals:

Submit a written proposal (up to two double-spaced pages). Proposals should identify the topic, explain its importance, and list the potential panels and their areas of expertise. Panels related to the conference theme are especially encouraged.

### Submission Information:

All abstracts (papers and panels) must be received no later than 5 p.m. CDT on June 30, 2010. Accepted papers that share a theme will be scheduled for presentation during a paper session. Papers with more individualized topics will be scheduled for presentation during a poster session. MAPOR considers both types equally valuable. All submitters will be notified by Aug. 15 of their abstract's disposition. Please submit abstracts as electronic attachments in MS Word or PDF format via e-mail to [abstracts@mapor.org](mailto:abstracts@mapor.org) or [abstracts@mapor.org](mailto:abstracts@mapor.org). Alternatively, abstracts may appear in the e-mail's body. Abstracts may also be sent by regular mail to:

Ken Blake, MAPOR Conference Chair

Box 64, School of Journalism, Middle Tennessee State University  
Murfreesboro, TN 37132  
Tel: (1) 615-898-2226

MAPOR is a chapter of the American Association for Public Opinion Research

Check the MAPOR website for conference news:

<http://www.mapor.org>

-----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Mon, 17 May 2010 13:24:21 -0400

Reply-To: "Harrison, Chase" <[charrison@HBS.EDU](mailto:charrison@HBS.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Harrison, Chase" <[charrison@HBS.EDU](mailto:charrison@HBS.EDU)>

Subject: Re: (long) wildly unofficial conference report

X-To: Colleen Porter <[colleen\\_porter@COX.NET](mailto:colleen_porter@COX.NET)>, "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

In-Reply-To: <[A4B93689-AD87-446B-8EB6-06E4339B4C79@cox.net](mailto:A4B93689-AD87-446B-8EB6-06E4339B4C79@cox.net)>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

The items Colleen refers to are selected PowerPoint presentations (not video) from last year's conference. They are available at the bottom of the following page:

[http://psr.iq.harvard.edu/survey\\_quality\\_psr\\_spring\\_conference](http://psr.iq.harvard.edu/survey_quality_psr_spring_conference)

[Be sure to scroll to the bottom of the page]

Chase

Chase H. Harrison  
Director, Research Computing Services  
Principal Survey Methodologist

Harvard Business School  
Baker Library | Bloomberg Center B-93  
Soldiers Field Rd.  
Boston, MA 02163

617.495.6100 (Main)  
617.496.6252 (Direct)  
617.495.5287 (FAX)  
[charrison@hbs.edu](mailto:charrison@hbs.edu)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter

Sent: Sunday, May 16, 2010 8:54 PM

To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

Subject: (long) wildly unofficial conference report

-SNIP-

Also, there was some discussion of the Harvard conference on Survey Quality and mention that perhaps those talks are available on video? Did I hear that right and does someone have the link?

-SNIP-

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Mon, 17 May 2010 14:23:17 -0400  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: Interesting Census Current Population Report  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Voting and Registration in the Election of November 2008

<http://www.census.gov/prod/2010pubs/p20-562.pdf>

(20 page pdf)

--  
Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Mon, 17 May 2010 14:50:25 -0400  
Reply-To: Michael McDonald <[mmcdon@GMU.EDU](mailto:mmcdon@GMU.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Michael McDonald <[mmcdon@GMU.EDU](mailto:mmcdon@GMU.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: Interesting Census Current Population Report  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E5216840103F64B@exchange.local.artsience.com](mailto:3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E5216840103F64B@exchange.local.artsience.com)>



MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

These CPS data have been available for almost a year. The report is finally released. For those interested, my analysis is here:  
[http://elections.gmu.edu/CPS\\_2008.html](http://elections.gmu.edu/CPS_2008.html).

=====  
Dr. Michael P. McDonald  
Associate Professor, George Mason University  
Non-Resident Senior Fellow, Brookings Institution

Mailing address:

(o) 703-993-4191      George Mason University  
(f) 703-993-1399      Dept. of Public and International Affairs  
mmdon@gmu.edu      4400 University Drive - 3F4  
<http://elections.gmu.edu>      Fairfax, VA 22030-4444

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta  
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2010 2:23 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Interesting Census Current Population Report

Voting and Registration in the Election of November 2008

<http://www.census.gov/prod/2010pubs/p20-562.pdf>

(20 page pdf)

--

Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 18 May 2010 18:24:26 -0400  
Reply-To: Howard Fienberg <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Howard Fienberg <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>  
Subject: Definition of address-based sampling?  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Would someone please point me to a definition (with citation) for address-based sampling?

=20

Much appreciated,  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
howard.fienberg@mra-net.org  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org/>>=20  
=20

---

<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Tue, 18 May 2010 18:34:25 -0400  
Reply-To: "Fahimi, Mansour" <mfahimi@M-S-G.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Fahimi, Mansour" <mfahimi@M-S-G.COM>  
Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?  
X-To: Howard Fienberg <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: A<D751154249FA5F46AEA4158526596897013222D2@mraexch.mra-dom.mra-net.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Howard,

You can consult the following whitepaper at MSG's website for a detailed overview of ABS, which included several citations as well.  
<http://www.genesys-sampling.com/ContentPages/2/Page236/Address%20Based%20Sampling.pdf>

\_Mansour.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Howard Fienberg  
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 6:24 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Definition of address-based sampling?

Would someone please point me to a definition (with citation) for address-based sampling?

Much appreciated,  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
howard.fienberg@mra-net.org  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Information contained in this e-mail transmission is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, do not read, distribute or reproduce this transmission (including any attachments). If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone or email reply.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Tue, 18 May 2010 17:34:17 -0500  
Reply-To: Nancy A Mathiowetz <[nancym2@UWM.EDU](mailto:nancym2@UWM.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Nancy A Mathiowetz <[nancym2@UWM.EDU](mailto:nancym2@UWM.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?  
X-To: Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG](mailto:howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG)>  
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <[D751154249FA5F46AEA4158526596897013222D2@mraexch.mra-dom.mra-net.org](mailto:D751154249FA5F46AEA4158526596897013222D2@mraexch.mra-dom.mra-net.org)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Howard,=20

Try Link, Battaglia, Frankel, Osborn, and Mokdad (2008) "A Comparison of Address-Based Sampling (ABS) versus Random Digit Dialing (RDD) for General Population Surveys." Public Opinion Quarterly 72(1):6-27.=20

=20

Nancy A. Mathiowetz=20  
Editor, Public Opinion Quarterly=20  
<http://poq.oxfordjournals.org>=20

----- Original Message -----=20

From: "Howard Fienberg" <[howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG](mailto:howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG)>=20  
To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)=20  
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 5:24:26 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central=20  
Subject: Definition of address-based sampling?=20

Would someone please point me to a definition (with citation) for address-based sampling?=20

=C2=A0=20

Much appreciated,=20  
Howard Fienberg, PLC=20  
Director of Government Affairs=20  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)=20  
[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)=20  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120=20  
Washington, DC 20036=20  
(202) 775-5170=20  
Fax: (888) 512-1050=20  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>=20  
=C2=A0=20

-----=20

<http://www.aapor.org>=20  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .=20  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:=20  
signoff aapornet=20

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.=20

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Tue, 18 May 2010 15:44:14 -0700

Reply-To: Mario Callegaro <[callegaro@GOOGLE.COM](mailto:callegaro@GOOGLE.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Mario Callegaro <[callegaro@GOOGLE.COM](mailto:callegaro@GOOGLE.COM)>

Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?

X-To: Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)>

X-cc: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)

In-Reply-To: <[D751154249FA5F46AEA4158526596897013222D2@mraexch.mra-dom.mra-net.org](mailto:D751154249FA5F46AEA4158526596897013222D2@mraexch.mra-dom.mra-net.org)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Link, M (2008). Address Based Sampling in P. Lavrakas (Ed.). Encyclopedia of survey research methods. Vol. 1. (pp. 7-8) Thousands Oaks, CA: Sage

On Tue, May 18, 2010 at 3:24 PM, Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)> wrote:

> Would someone please point me to a definition (with citation) for  
> address-based sampling?

>

> Much appreciated,

> Howard Fienberg, PLC

> Director of Government Affairs

> Marketing Research Association (MRA)

> [howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)

> 1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120

> Washington, DC 20036

> (202) 775-5170

> Fax: (888) 512-1050

> <http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org/>>

>

>

> -----

> <http://www.aapor.org>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

> signoff aapornet

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>

--

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.

Survey Research Scientist  
Quantitative Marketing team  
Google Inc.  
1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy  
Mountain View, CA 94043  
Tel (650) 253-3906  
Pacific Time Zone

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Tue, 18 May 2010 19:44:26 -0400  
Reply-To: Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG](mailto:howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG](mailto:howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG)>  
Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?  
X-To: Mario Callegaro <[callegaro@google.com](mailto:callegaro@google.com)>  
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
In-Reply-To: <[AANLkTikOTdzDUUZI7ahn\\_vDWfvv0nEC-3Ao6uvk6ggFz@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AANLkTikOTdzDUUZI7ahn_vDWfvv0nEC-3Ao6uvk6ggFz@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Thanks to everyone for the responses, I got what I needed.

=20  
Cheers,  
HF  
=20

-----  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
The Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
=20  
=20

-----  
From: Mario Callegaro [<mailto:callegaro@google.com>]=20  
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 6:44 PM  
To: Howard Fienberg  
Cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?

Link, M (2008). Address Based Sampling in P. Lavrakas (Ed.).  
Encyclopedia of survey research methods. Vol. 1. (pp. 7-8) Thousands  
Oaks, CA: Sage

On Tue, May 18, 2010 at 3:24 PM, Howard Fienberg

<howard.fienberg@mra-net.org> wrote:

Would someone please point me to a definition (with citation)  
for  
address-based sampling?

=09

Much appreciated,  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
howard.fienberg@mra-net.org  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>

=09

=09

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>

=09

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

=09

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet

=09

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=09

--=20

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.  
Survey Research Scientist  
Quantitative Marketing team  
Google Inc.  
1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy  
Mountain View, CA 94043  
Tel (650) 253-3906  
Pacific Time Zone

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Wed, 19 May 2010 07:46:48 -0400

Reply-To: "Hill, Craig A." <[chill@RTI.ORG](mailto:chill@RTI.ORG)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Hill, Craig A." <chill@RTI.ORG>  
Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: A<AANLkTikOTdzDUUZI7ahn\_vDWfvv0nEC-3Ao6uvk6ggFz@mail.gmail.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

This may be the earliest:

Iannacchione, Staab, and Redden. 2003. Evaluating the Use of Residential Mailing Addresses in a Metropolitan Household Survey. POQ 67: 202-210

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mario Callegaro  
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 6:44 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?

Link, M (2008). Address Based Sampling in P. Lavrakas (Ed.). Encyclopedia of survey research methods. Vol. 1. (pp. 7-8) Thousands Oaks, CA: Sage

On Tue, May 18, 2010 at 3:24 PM, Howard Fienberg <howard.fienberg@mra-net.org> wrote:

> Would someone please point me to a definition (with citation) for  
> address-based sampling?

>  
> Much appreciated,  
> Howard Fienberg, PLC  
> Director of Government Affairs  
> Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
> howard.fienberg@mra-net.org  
> 1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
> Washington, DC 20036  
> (202) 775-5170  
> Fax: (888) 512-1050  
> <http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org/>>

>  
>  
> -----  
> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> signoff aapornet  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>

--

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.



Survey Research Scientist  
Quantitative Marketing team  
Google Inc.  
1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy  
Mountain View, CA 94043  
Tel (650) 253-3906  
Pacific Time Zone

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====

Date: Wed, 19 May 2010 16:17:42 +0100  
Reply-To: Iain Noble <[I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK](mailto:I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Iain Noble <[I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK](mailto:I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK)>  
Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To:  
A<[900F8F85C359A446A76C3B056AF52EAA27D1BA@RTPWEXC20.RCC\\_NT.RTI.ORG](mailto:900F8F85C359A446A76C3B056AF52EAA27D1BA@RTPWEXC20.RCC_NT.RTI.ORG)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Only if you confine your frame of reference to the US.

Iain Noble  
Research and Enterprise Service (RES)  
University of Westminster  
Room G1  
4-12 Little Titchfield Street  
London W1W 7UW

Tel: 0207 911 5000 Ext 2651  
Mobile: 0753 832 8523

This e-mail and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must not copy or show them to anyone, nor should you take any action based on them, other than to notify the error by replying to the sender

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [[mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)] On Behalf Of Hill, Craig A.  
Sent: 19 May 2010 12:47  
To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?

This may be the earliest:

Iannacchione, Staab, and Redden. 2003. Evaluating the Use of Residential Mailing Addresses in a Metropolitan Household Survey. POQ 67: 202-210

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mario Callegaro  
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 6:44 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Definition of address-based sampling?

Link, M (2008). Address Based Sampling in P. Lavrakas (Ed.). Encyclopedia of survey research methods. Vol. 1. (pp. 7-8) Thousands Oaks, CA: Sage

On Tue, May 18, 2010 at 3:24 PM, Howard Fienberg <howard.fienberg@mra-net.org> wrote:

> Would someone please point me to a definition (with citation) for  
> address-based sampling?

>

> Much appreciated,  
> Howard Fienberg, PLC  
> Director of Government Affairs  
> Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
> howard.fienberg@mra-net.org  
> 1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
> Washington, DC 20036  
> (202) 775-5170  
> Fax: (888) 512-1050  
> <http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org/>>

>

>

> -----

> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> signoff aapornet  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>

--

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.  
Survey Research Scientist  
Quantitative Marketing team  
Google Inc.  
1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy  
Mountain View, CA 94043  
Tel (650) 253-3906

Pacific Time Zone

---

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

--

The University of Westminster is a charity and a company limited by guarantee. Registration number: 977818 England. Registered Office: 309 Regent Street, London W1B 2UW, UK.

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Wed, 19 May 2010 15:24:53 -0400

Reply-To: Christina Lien <[clien1021@GMAIL.COM](mailto:clien1021@GMAIL.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Christina Lien <[clien1021@GMAIL.COM](mailto:clien1021@GMAIL.COM)>

Subject: Statewide omnibus surveys

X-To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Does anyone know if there are any statewide omnibus telephone surveys in the following states:

Ohio

Pennsylvania

New York

California

Texas?

Thanks,

Christina Lien

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Wed, 19 May 2010 13:13:10 -0700

Reply-To: John Nienstedt <[john@CERC.NET](mailto:john@CERC.NET)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: John Nienstedt <[john@CERC.NET](mailto:john@CERC.NET)>

Subject: Re: Statewide omnibus surveys

X-To: Christina Lien <clien1021@GMAIL.COM>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To: <AANLkTilQv96EBCKx3bLdoXqudtLV\_R0Z6zm83BUGXhCJ@mail.gmail.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Competitive Edge conducts omnibus surveys in CA from time to time.

John Nienstedt, Sr.  
President  
2170 4th Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101  
619-702-CERC x307  
Get the Edge at [www.cerc.net](http://www.cerc.net)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Christina Lien  
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 12:25 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Statewide omnibus surveys

Does anyone know if there are any statewide omnibus telephone surveys in the following states:

Ohio  
Pennsylvania  
New York  
California  
Texas?

Thanks,  
Christina Lien

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 20 May 2010 00:21:26 -0400  
Reply-To: "Link, Michael W" <Michael.Link@NIELSEN.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Link, Michael W" <Michael.Link@NIELSEN.COM>  
Subject: Definition of Address Based Sampling  
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

If you're looking for a short, sweet definition, here's the one I use:

"Address Based Sampling is the sampling of addresses from a near universal listing of residential mail delivery locations." This distinguishes it from more manual counting & listing (or in-person enumeration) efforts typically used with area probability sampling. In the US this is typically done using the US..P.S. Computerized Delivery Sequence File, but the definition is generic enough that it could fit databases in other areas or countries which provide a near universal frame of addresses.

The concept of using the DSF as a potential sample frame for assisting with (or ultimately replacing) counting & listing was first examined in: Iannacchione, Staab, and Redden. 2003. Evaluating the Use of Residential Mailing Addresses in a Metropolitan Household Survey. POQ 67: 202-210

The first use of the actual term "Address Based Sampling" as it has been used for the past few years in the US when referring to drawing samples of the general population in the US was first cited in: Link, Battaglia, Frankel, Osborn, and Mokdad (2008) "A Comparison of Address-Based Sampling (ABS) versus Random Digit Dialing (RDD) for General Population Surveys." Public Opinion Quarterly 72(1):6-27.

Admittedly the general concept of "address based sampling" (or sampling of addresses) has been around for a long time to refer to any sample drawn from a frame of addresses. More recent usage has the more specific meaning. Hope that helps.

Michael

Michael W. Link, Ph.D.  
VP Research Methods-COE/  
Chief Methodologist  
The Nielsen Company  
Michael.Link@Nielsen.com

On Tue, May 18, 2010 at 3:24 PM, Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)> wrote:

> Would someone please point me to a definition (with citation) for=20  
> address-based sampling?

>  
> Much appreciated,  
> Howard Fienberg, PLC  
> Director of Government Affairs  
> Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
> [howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)  
> 1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
> Washington, DC 20036  
> (202) 775-5170  
> Fax: (888) 512-1050  
> <http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org/>>

>  
>

> -----  
> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> signoff aapornet  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Thu, 20 May 2010 13:30:44 -0400  
Reply-To: "Geisen, Emily McFarlane" <[egeisen@RTI.ORG](mailto:egeisen@RTI.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Geisen, Emily McFarlane" <[egeisen@RTI.ORG](mailto:egeisen@RTI.ORG)>  
Subject: SAPOR Call for Papers  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: A<[68D8C950C1905A4AA25C8BFCFAC25BA3012E7FBDE094@CORONIS.ttu.edu](mailto:A68D8C950C1905A4AA25C8BFCFAC25BA3012E7FBDE094@CORONIS.ttu.edu)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hello,

=20

It was great seeing many of you at AAPOR last week.=20

=20

While we are all still on a conference buzz, I would like to invite you and your colleagues to participate in the 29th annual Southern Association for Public Opinion Research (SAPOR) Conference, October 7-8, 2010 at the Holiday Inn Brownstone in Raleigh, North Carolina. This year's conference theme will be "A Decade in Review" and the keynote speaker will be Howard Hogan from the Census Bureau.

=20

This year's conference attendees will also have the opportunity to participate in a short course entitled, "Practical Tools for Nonresponse Bias Analysis," taught by Kristen Olson of the University of Nebraska at Lincoln and Jill Montaquila from Westat.=20

=20

In addition, all student papers will be included in the James W. Prothro student paper competition, which includes a cash prize of \$250. =20

=20

The deadline for abstracts is June 30, 2010. For more information about the conference or to submit an abstract, please see our website:  
[http://southernassociationforpublicopinionresearch.org/participation\\_cal1.htm](http://southernassociationforpublicopinionresearch.org/participation_cal1.htm). =20

=20

If you are interested in sponsorship opportunities for the 2010 SAPOR Conference, a copy of our Marketing Prospectus can be found here:  
<http://southernassociationforpublicopinionresearch.org/docs/marketingprospectus.pdf>. =20

=20

Thank you and we look forward to seeing you in October!

=20

Emily McFarlane Geisen

SAPOR Conference Chair

RTI International

3040 Cornwallis Road=20

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Phone: (919) 541-6566

[egeisen@rti.org](mailto:egeisen@rti.org)

=20

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 20 May 2010 17:45:16 -0400  
Reply-To: Nancy Belden <[NancyBelden@BRSPOLL.COM](mailto:NancyBelden@BRSPOLL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Nancy Belden <[NancyBelden@BRSPOLL.COM](mailto:NancyBelden@BRSPOLL.COM)>  
Subject: focus groups for federal government  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Does anyone know where we can find federal government rules that would apply to holding focus groups for a government entity among members of the public? Thanks.

=20

Nancy Belden

Belden Russonello & Stewart

1320 19th Street NW

Suite 700

Washington, DC 20036

=20

[www.brspoll.com](http://www.brspoll.com)

202.822.6090

=20

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 20 May 2010 17:38:29 -0500

Reply-To: "Szoc, Ronald Z" <[RSzoc@ICFI.COM](mailto:RSzoc@ICFI.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Szoc, Ronald Z" <[RSzoc@ICFI.COM](mailto:RSzoc@ICFI.COM)>

Subject: Re: focus groups for federal government

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

In-Reply-To: <[314ECE8B29B8634388BA137A66B8D6D94239C5@FS.BRSPOLL.local](mailto:314ECE8B29B8634388BA137A66B8D6D94239C5@FS.BRSPOLL.local)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Nancy--

That's kind of a broad question (there are a lot of rules), so it would help if you could describe what kind of rules you are thinking of.

Here are some government web sites that you may find helpful:

[http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg\\_infocoll/](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg_infocoll/)

[http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg\\_statpolicy/](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg_statpolicy/)



This is the Office of Management and Budget. If you are doing focus groups for a federal agency, you may need to file an Information Collection Request (ICF) with OMB in support of the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The Web site above gives a lot of information and guidelines on surveys, focus groups, and federal regulations concerning them.

Then there is the issue of informed consent, and who can give it and special populations. You will need some form of informed consent from the focus group participants. The place to start finding out about those is here:  
<http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/>

This is the Office of Human Research Protections (OHRP) which is part of the Department of Health and Human Services.

Some federal agency personnel (in those agencies funding the research) are knowledgeable about these issues and requirements, and others are not, so you may need to educate your client a little after you educate yourself.

Hope this helps.

Ron

RONALD Z. SZOC, PhD | 703.934.3456-Office | 202.345.1085-Cell |

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Nancy Belden  
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2010 5:45 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: focus groups for federal government

Does anyone know where we can find federal government rules that would apply to holding focus groups for a government entity among members of the public?  
Thanks.

Nancy Belden

Belden Russonello & Stewart

1320 19th Street NW

Suite 700

Washington, DC 20036

[www.brspoll.com](http://www.brspoll.com)

202.822.6090

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before quoting  
outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 20 May 2010 16:35:10 -0700  
Reply-To: Mike O'Neil <[mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU](mailto:mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mike O'Neil <[mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU](mailto:mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU)>  
Subject: Contract Work: Qualitative Interviewer/Analyst  
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

We are seeking to engage the services of an experienced qualitative interviewer to help with overflow work.

Initially, we envision conducting 15-25 qualitative executive interviews, probably averaging about 45 minutes each and would need a written summary analysis.

Need to see a work sample of a similar project. Any topic, but an analytical writeup based on one-to-one executive interviews. Not just a transcript, though you can append one of those if you have one. (The work sample is Mandatory--and for our eyes only; we need to see what you have done. You can block out client's names if you like. We'll sign your PDA if necessary, but our ethics should make this unnecessary. Nope, references don't help: we do our own evaluations.)

And tell us how you propose to charge.

No companies, we seek to build a LTR.

Send info to [oneil@oneilresearch.com](mailto:oneil@oneilresearch.com), not this aapornet-only email.

Mike O'Neil  
[www.oneilresearch.com](http://www.oneilresearch.com)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Thu, 20 May 2010 22:42:57 -0400  
Reply-To: [jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU](mailto:jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Judith Tanur <[jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU](mailto:jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU)>  
Subject: Reference on Scaling  
X-To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

A former student of mine is looking for a recommendation for a book on scaling. I find I don't have good information to give her. Can anyone=

help. Feel free to answer to me ([jtanur@notes.cc.sunysb.edu](mailto:jtanur@notes.cc.sunysb.edu)) or directly to her at [mcentron@ic.sunysb.edu](mailto:mcentron@ic.sunysb.edu)

Thanks so much for any help you can offer.

Best, Judy=

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Fri, 21 May 2010 13:32:48 +0100  
Reply-To: Iain Noble <[I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK](mailto:I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Iain Noble <[I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK](mailto:I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK)>  
Subject: Twitter as good as telephone survey: update  
X-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>, Joe Stead <[Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM](mailto:Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM)>, [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: [A<3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E5216840103F51B@exchange.local.artscience.com>](mailto:A<3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E5216840103F51B@exchange.local.artscience.com>)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

The Onion has something to say on this. Content advisory: contains strong language.

<http://www.theonion.com/articles/new-social-networking-site-changing-the-way-oh-chr,17465/>

Iain Noble  
Research and Enterprise Service (RES)  
University of Westminster  
Room G1  
4-12 Little Titchfield Street  
London W1W 7UW

Tel: 0207 911 5000 Ext 2651  
Mobile: 0753 832 8523

This e-mail and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must not copy or show them to anyone, nor should you take any action based on them, other than to notify the error by replying to the sender

--

The University of Westminster is a charity and a company limited by guarantee. Registration number: 977818 England. Registered Office: 309 Regent Street, London W1B 2UW, UK.

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Fri, 21 May 2010 09:33:22 -0400  
Reply-To: "Jonathan E. Brill" <[jonathan.brill.wh82@WHARTON.UPENN.EDU](mailto:jonathan.brill.wh82@WHARTON.UPENN.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Jonathan E. Brill" <[jonathan.brill.wh82@WHARTON.UPENN.EDU](mailto:jonathan.brill.wh82@WHARTON.UPENN.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: Reference on Scaling  
X-To: [jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU](mailto:jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU), [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[OF7B88F0B2.55548F38-ON8525772A.000EA07A-8525772A.000EF0AC@notes.cc.sunysb.edu](mailto:OF7B88F0B2.55548F38-ON8525772A.000EA07A-8525772A.000EF0AC@notes.cc.sunysb.edu)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset="iso-8859-1";  
reply-type=original  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Judy,

Scaling is an ambiguous term (at least to me). Do you mean the analytical/modeling technique known as Multidimensional Scaling? If so, there is a nice book on this in the Sage Publications Monograph Series.

Or do you mean psychometric scale development/construction? If so, Douglas Jackson's manual and Anne Anastasi's text book generally are considered the

classics describing the processes of developing psychometric tests and measures and, for this reason, these sources seem to be cited most often in journal articles when such citations appear or are needed.

Jackson, D. N. (1970). A sequential system for personality scale construction. In C. D. Spielberger (Ed.), *Current topic in clinical and community psychology*. (Vol. 2, pp. 61-96). New York: Academic Press.

Jackson, D. N. (1984). *Personality Research Form Manual*. Port Huron, MI: Research Psychologists Press.

The Jackson manual is not a treatise on test construction per se. Rather, it presents instruments. However, the manual begins with very instructive and lucid introductory narrative describing the scale measurement building process.

The Anastasi text is widely considered to be the classic, authoritative introduction to psychological testing. It offers broad coverage of graduate school topics and is not written in math-speak or laden with obscure statistical formulations. It presents the basics of test construction and provides guidance on how to evaluate different tests, choose tests for particular purposes, and interpret outcome scores appropriately.

There have been many editions of the Anastasi textbook "Psychological Testing" released since it first appeared in 1954. The 7th edition (and, I believe, the most recent edition) was coauthored with Susana Urbina and came out in 1997. Anecdotally, at least based on my experience, the editions released circa 1980 seem to be the ones that get cited most often.

Anastasi, Anne, & Urbina, Susana (1997). *Psychological Testing* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall Inc.

Other good sources on test construction include:

Introductory level:

Allen, Mary J. and Yen, Wendy M. (2002) *Introduction to Measurement Theory*. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press.

Thorndike, Robert. M. (2004). *Measurement and evaluation in psychology and education*. 7 ed. th NJ: Prentice-Hall.

American Psychological Association (1999). *The standards for educational and psychological testing*. Washington, D.C [www.apa.org/science/standards.html](http://www.apa.org/science/standards.html)

Intermediate level:

Crocker, Linda M., & Algina, James. (1986). *Introduction to classical and modern test theory*. NY: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.

Nunnally, Jum C. (1994). *Psychometric theory*. 3rd edition. NY: McGraw-Hill.

Suen, H. K. (1990). *Principles of test theories*. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Advanced level:

Novick, M. R., & Lord, F. M. (1968). Statistical theories of mental test scores. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

McDonald, R. P. (1999). Test theory: A unified treatment. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

A closely related topic is item response theory. I believe there is an entry on this in the Encyclopedia of Survey Research Methods (Paul Lavrakas, ed.) published in 2008 by Sage. If your student is interested in IRT, then here are some additional texts of note:

Introductory level:s:

Embretson, S. E., & Reise, S. P. (2000). Item response theory for psychologists. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1984). Item response theory. Boston, MA: Kluwer-Nijhoff.

More Advanced levels:

Baker, F. B. (1992). Item response theory: Parameter estimation techniques. New York: Marcel Dekker.

Boomsma, A., van Duijn, M. A. J., & Snijders, T. A. B. (Eds.). (2001). Essays on item response theory. New York: Springer.

Van der Linden, W. J., & Hambleton, R. K. Handbook of modern item response theory. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Anyway, I hope this is what you were asking about and this information is of help to you.

Regards,  
Jonathan

Jonathan E. Brill, Ph.D.  
Intelligence - Research - Strategy  
3 Oak Ridge Court  
Voorhees, New Jersey 08043  
Office: 856.772.9030  
e-Mail: jonathan.brill.wh82@wharton.upenn.edu

----- Original Message -----

From: "Judith Tanur" <jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU>  
To: <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2010 10:42 PM  
Subject: Reference on Scaling

A former student of mine is looking for a recommendation for a book on scaling. I find I don't have good information to give her. Can anyone

help. Feel free to answer to me (jtanur@notes.cc.sunysb.edu) or directly to her at mcentron@ic.sunysb.edu

Thanks so much for any help you can offer.

Best, Judy

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Fri, 21 May 2010 07:44:16 -0700

Reply-To: Barb Gunderson <[bgunderson@AAPOR.ORG](mailto:bgunderson@AAPOR.ORG)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Barb Gunderson <[bgunderson@AAPOR.ORG](mailto:bgunderson@AAPOR.ORG)>

Subject: Job Posting - Consumer Reports Natl. Research

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Are you fascinated by consumer trends and behavior? Bring that curiosity=  
=20

to work for one of the companies that Westchester Magazine has named one=20=

of the "10 Best Places to Work." Consumers Union, the nonprofit publisher=  
=20

of Consumer Reports, is the largest consumer product testing center in th=  
e=20

world, with 50 labs under one roof. As a web and print publisher with on=  
e=20

of the largest subscriber bases in the world, we focus on advocating on=20=

behalf of consumers.

We are currently seeking a survey research professional to join The=20

Consumer Reports National Research Center, a highly skilled group of=20

survey professionals whose work generates over 1 million responses per=20=

year.=20

The center's research on consumer experiences, along with thousands of=20=

brand ratings, is used by millions of Americans throughout the country.=20=

The position's primary responsibilities include developing ratings for a=20=  
wide variety of consumer products and services including automobiles,=20  
appliances, electronics, healthcare and shopping.=20

To be a success in this role, you must be able to effectively present=20  
complex research findings to technical and non-technical audiences and be=  
=20  
skilled in questionnaire design, sampling and multivariate analysis. Our=  
=20  
ideal candidate will also be skilled in SAS and possess a Graduate degree=  
=20  
with a Ph.D. in social sciences preferred.=20

In addition to an exciting and flexible work environment, we offer great=20=  
benefits including:=20

- \* Competitive salary=20
- \* 401(k) and pension program with employer match=20
- \* Comprehensive medical and wellness program=20
- \* On-site fitness center with personal trainer
- \* Free shuttle service from the nearby Metro North station and New York=20=

City subway in the Bronx

For additional information and application instructions, please visit our=  
=20  
career center at=20

[www.consumerreports.org/careers](http://www.consumerreports.org/careers)

Or apply directly via:

<https://jobs-consumers.icims.com/jobs/1953/job>

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Fri, 21 May 2010 12:17:11 -0700

Reply-To: Eleni Delimpaltadaki <[eleni@OPPORTUNITYAGENDA.ORG](mailto:eleni@OPPORTUNITYAGENDA.ORG)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Eleni Delimpaltadaki <[eleni@OPPORTUNITYAGENDA.ORG](mailto:eleni@OPPORTUNITYAGENDA.ORG)>

Subject: [APA] FW: Implicit bias AKA unconscious bias makes Evenhanded  
Application of Arizona Law Impossible, says Psychologist

X-To: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>

In-Reply-To: <[C81C4F6F.2C290%ajenkins@opportunityagenda.org](mailto:C81C4F6F.2C290%ajenkins@opportunityagenda.org)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"



Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I thought that AAPOR members might be interested in this analysis.

Best,  
Eleni

Eleni Delimpaltadaki  
Opinion and Media Research Coordinator  
The Opportunity Agenda  
568 Broadway, Suite 302  
New York, NY 10012  
Phone:212.334.5778  
Fax:212.334.2656  
www.opportunityagenda.org

"Building the National Will to Expand Opportunity in America"  
Read the latest Public Opinion Monthly ([http://opportunityagenda.org/public=\\_opinion](http://opportunityagenda.org/public=_opinion))

Implicit Bias May Make Evenhanded Application of New Immigration Law Im= possible, Psychologist Says  
by the American Psychological Association <[http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?et=3D1103423560804&amp;s=3D15572&amp;e=3D001g1UDBoBodc6G\\_PsCBcsK57v2287uAGz0D=sOtz\\_5d1Mywh7HITV\\_GYDH7FRC9I5MXvsB8UnbUIoVUO6Jj49WoYVV4P0-hPeQFnRSLuAsCvdVF=bhfXu5EQREENeORnLeVawr6FfhGmwHq3VbImxvAE9wa3RTSrHUuGu3w2Ywb5cTpTQJ45Pm5agvP=g-Gao-ug](http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?et=3D1103423560804&amp;s=3D15572&amp;e=3D001g1UDBoBodc6G_PsCBcsK57v2287uAGz0D=sOtz_5d1Mywh7HITV_GYDH7FRC9I5MXvsB8UnbUIoVUO6Jj49WoYVV4P0-hPeQFnRSLuAsCvdVF=bhfXu5EQREENeORnLeVawr6FfhGmwHq3VbImxvAE9wa3RTSrHUuGu3w2Ywb5cTpTQJ45Pm5agvP=g-Gao-ug)> <[http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?et=3D1103423560804&amp;s=3D15572&amp;e=3D001g1UDBoBodc6G\\_PsCBcsK57v2287uAGz0DsOtz\\_5d1Mywh7HITV\\_GYDH7FRC9I5MXvsB8UnbUIoVUO6Jj49WoYVV4P0-hPeQFnRSLuAsCvdVFbhfXu5EQREENeORnLeVawr6FfhGmwHq3VbImxvAE9wa3RTSrHUuGu3w2Ywb5cTpTQJ45Pm5agvPg-Gao-ug](http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?et=3D1103423560804&amp;s=3D15572&amp;e=3D001g1UDBoBodc6G_PsCBcsK57v2287uAGz0DsOtz_5d1Mywh7HITV_GYDH7FRC9I5MXvsB8UnbUIoVUO6Jj49WoYVV4P0-hPeQFnRSLuAsCvdVFbhfXu5EQREENeORnLeVawr6FfhGmwHq3VbImxvAE9wa3RTSrHUuGu3w2Ywb5cTpTQJ45Pm5agvPg-Gao-ug)> (May 20, 2010)

John F. Dovidio, Ph.D.  
Arizona recently adopted a new law giving police the authority to inquire= about a person's immigration status during a stop, detention, or arrest.= The APA interviewed social psychologist John F. Dovidio, PhD, of Yale Uni= versity about the new law. Dr. Dovidio studies issues of social power and= social relationships including the influence of explicit and implicit bia= s. Dr. Dovidio can be reached at [john.dovidio@yale.edu](mailto:john.dovidio@yale.edu) <<mailto:john.dovidio@yale.edu>> .

APA. The new law gives broad powers to police officers yet we know from r= esearch that all people including police officers have automatic or impli= cit bias about some racial or ethnic groups. What is implicit bias?

Dovidio. Implicit biases are beliefs (stereotypes) and feelings (prejudic= e) that are activated without intent, control, and often conscious awarene= ss. These are habits of mind that develop through cultural as well as per=

sonal associations. Whereas most people no longer consciously endorse stereotypes and prejudice, the majority of people still harbor implicit biases.

APA. Will this new law lead to racial profiling within the state?

Dovidio. Stereotyping, prejudice, and biases in how people perceive and react to members of other groups typically occur automatically and with limited conscious control. These automatic processes are even more influential when people feel threatened or are under time pressure - common experiences for police officers - and thus will lead to systematic and racially/ethnically biased profiling.

APA. What are the effects of racial profiling beyond the individual; i.e. are there effects on the whole community?

Dovidio. Social identities are important for everyone, but members of traditionally disadvantaged groups generally identify particularly strongly with their group and are vigilant to being treated unfairly because of their group membership. Racial profiling is precisely the kind of injustice that offends not only the people directly involved but also the community as a whole. Moreover, because these incidents are perceived as group based, they erode trust and good will toward the majority group and to the police, as well.

APA. The Arizona governor has said that police in the state will be trained to properly apply the law. Will police officers in the state be able to overcome their implicit or unconscious bias?

Dovidio. Training of the type that is being proposed cannot consistently mitigate the effects of these implicit, and often unconscious, biases. Training may make people more aware of the potential for biased implementation of the law and help them understand better what they should be doing, but research has shown that training by itself cannot eliminate the systematic forces of implicit bias that operate unintentionally, often without awareness and the ability to control it. Training should help limit blatant abuses, but implicit biases will still play an important role in how the new police powers actually play out on the street.

APA. A second new law in Arizona is also creating controversy; this one has to do with courses that are designed primarily for students to teach them about their cultural heritage. What is the importance of knowing one's group history and appreciating the cultural heritage of others?

Dovidio. Having a stronger sense of group connection and identity can buffer feelings of depression and distress typically produced by perceptions =

of discrimination. However, for more positive and productive intergroup relations today, it is important not only to recognize and value one's heritage but also to know that one's group is respected by other groups and that there is common connection, identity and interdependence among the different groups in our society. Educating young people about their own heritage as well as the heritage of their classmates is one way to foster that respect and connection.

The American Psychological Association, in Washington, D.C., is the largest scientific and professional organization representing psychology in the United States and is the world's largest association of psychologists. APA's membership includes more than 152,000 researchers, educators, clinicians, consultants and students. Through its divisions in 54 subfields of psychology and affiliations with 60 state, territorial and Canadian provincial associations, APA works to advance psychology as a science, as a profession and as a means of promoting human welfare.

---

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 09:28:25 -0400

Reply-To: [colleen\\_porter@COX.NET](mailto:colleen_porter@COX.NET)

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Colleen Porter <[colleen\\_porter@COX.NET](mailto:colleen_porter@COX.NET)>

Subject: Future of AAPORnet

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion, creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

But with the rise of various social networking tools and new developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a transition as possible.

To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share your opinions on

key issues.

Finally, we should note that while several committee members are frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

Best,

Colleen Porter  
colleen\_porter@cox.net  
352-215-1192

Adam Safir  
safir.adam@bls.gov

Leo Simonetta  
simonetta@artsci.com

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 07:30:54 -0700  
Reply-To: AAPOR Headquarters <[dmarchetti@AAPOR.ORG](mailto:dmarchetti@AAPOR.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: AAPOR Headquarters <[dmarchetti@AAPOR.ORG](mailto:dmarchetti@AAPOR.ORG)>  
Subject: NEW - AAPOR Job Bank  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Need to fill or find a job? It's easy with the new online AAPOR Job Bank.=  
=20  
Visit the new career center for all your job-searching and placement=20  
needs, [http://jobs.aapor.org/home/index.cfm?site\\_id=3D9847](http://jobs.aapor.org/home/index.cfm?site_id=3D9847)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 13:30:11 -0400  
Reply-To: Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG](mailto:howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG](mailto:howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG)>  
Subject: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research  
X-To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The Marketing Research Association (MRA) filed official comments Friday

objecting to a new proposed regulation from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Proposed Rule would add restrictions to calls made to cell phones, making it even harder for researchers to call cell phone users.

=20

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=3D1085>

=20

Buried within the FCC Proposed Rule, ostensibly dealing only with telemarketing automated calls known as "robocalls," is a requirement that anyone seeking consent to dial a cell phone with an automatic telephone dialing system adhere to onerous rules to obtain respondent consent to receive research calls - the same process as the FCC proposes for telemarketing robocalls. This proposed rule would require a clear and specific written agreement, signed by the cell phone user, to receive calls from researchers using an autodialer.

=20

MRA's Director of Government Affairs, Howard Fienberg, PLC, expressed concern on behalf of the survey and opinion research profession. "With the 'cell phone only' and 'cell phone mostly' populations totaling 40 percent of American households, researchers need to be able to include cell phone users in their studies in order to have viable samples."

=20

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) currently requires express prior consent for any autodialed call to a cell phone.

=20

"The FCC proposes to make the archaic autodialer restrictions of the TCPA even worse, threatening the integrity and results of survey and opinion research," said Howard.

=20

MRA also has a summary of legal/ethical best practices for calling cell phones: <http://www.mra-net.org/ga/bestpractices.cfm>

=20

-----  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>=20

=20

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 14:59:48 -0400  
Reply-To: "Hartman, Anne (NIH/NCI) [E]" <[hartmana@MAIL.NIH.GOV](mailto:hartmana@MAIL.NIH.GOV)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Hartman, Anne (NIH/NCI) [E]" <[hartmana@MAIL.NIH.GOV](mailto:hartmana@MAIL.NIH.GOV)>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

X-To: "colleen\_porter@COX.NET" <colleen\_porter@COX.NET>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To: <20100525092825.J9JV3.460542.imal@eastrmwml29>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi Colleen,

Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to go to facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent doing my federal gov't work on work systems.

Best,

Anne

Anne M. Hartman  
Biostatistician  
Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch  
Applied Research Program  
Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences  
National Cancer Institute  
EPN 4005  
6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344  
Bethesda, MD 20892-7344  
Phone: 301-496-4970  
FAX: 301-435-3710  
E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov  
Web Page: <<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion, creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

But with the rise of various social networking tools and new developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a transition as possible.

To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share your opinions on key issues.

Finally, we should note that while several committee members are frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

Best,

Colleen Porter  
colleen\_porter@cox.net  
352-215-1192

Adam Safir  
safir.adam@bls.gov

Leo Simonetta  
simonetta@artsci.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 15:19:08 -0400  
Reply-To: "Caplan, James R., , CPMS Civ" <james.caplan@CPMS.OSD.MIL>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Caplan, James R., , CPMS Civ" <james.caplan@CPMS.OSD.MIL>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: "Hartman, Anne (NIH/NCI) [E]" <hartmana@MAIL.NIH.GOV>,  
AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To:  
A<74B1201D347B764BB39C52523982D38902768E023E@NIHMLBXBB01.nih.gov>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Anne, et al.

What I took from Colleen's mention of Facebook and Twitter (which are blocked on my system, as well), was that the nature of interpersonal communication has changed since AAPORNET began, not that we necessarily need to move to a different forum or format. I mean who cares if Jim Caplan is sipping on an ice coffee from Starbucks?

Jim

James R. Caplan, Ph.D.  
Management Analyst  
Department of Defense  
Civilian Personnel Management Service  
HR-Business Information Technology Solutions: CDA  
703-696-8754  
DSN: 426-8754

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne (NIH/NCI)  
[E]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

Hi Colleen,

Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to go to facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent doing my federal gov't work on work systems.

Best,

Anne

Anne M. Hartman  
Biostatistician  
Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch  
Applied Research Program  
Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences  
National Cancer Institute  
EPN 4005  
6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344  
Bethesda, MD 20892-7344  
Phone: 301-496-4970  
FAX: 301-435-3710  
E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov  
Web Page: <<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion, creating an



electronic community among AAPOR members.

But with the rise of various social networking tools and new developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a transition as possible.

To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share your opinions on key issues.

Finally, we should note that while several committee members are frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

Best,

Colleen Porter  
colleen\_porter@cox.net  
352-215-1192

Adam Safir  
safir.adam@bls.gov

Leo Simonetta  
simonetta@artsci.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 15:27:34 -0400  
Reply-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: "Hartman, Anne (NIH/NCI) [E]" <hartmana@MAIL.NIH.GOV>,  
AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <74B1201D347B764BB39C52523982D38902768E023E@NIHMLBXBB01.nih.gov>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I hope that by referring to "the rise of social networking tools" the subcommittee did not mean to imply the possibility of using a proprietary networking site like facebook or twitter. These sites can change their rules or be discontinued at the whim of the proprietor. Ads, increased usage charges and appropriation of information are among the obvious possibilities. I don't know the implications of setting up our own social networking system, in terms of the government restrictions that Anne Hartman refers to.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne (NIH/NCI) [E]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

Hi Colleen,

Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to go to facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent doing my federal gov't work on work systems.

Best,

Anne

Anne M. Hartman  
Biostatistician  
Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch  
Applied Research Program  
Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences  
National Cancer Institute  
EPN 4005  
6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344  
Bethesda, MD 20892-7344  
Phone: 301-496-4970  
FAX: 301-435-3710  
E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov  
Web Page: <<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion, creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

But with the rise of various social networking tools and new developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a transition as possible.

To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share your opinions on key issues.

Finally, we should note that while several committee members are frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

Best,

Colleen Porter  
colleen\_porter@cox.net  
352-215-1192

Adam Safir  
safir.adam@bls.gov

Leo Simonetta  
simonetta@artsci.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 14:31:39 -0500  
Reply-To: Woody Carter <[wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU](mailto:wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Woody Carter <[wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU](mailto:wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: "Caplan, James R., , CPMS Civ" <[james.caplan@CPMS.OSD.MIL](mailto:james.caplan@CPMS.OSD.MIL)>, [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[2548FB6E79285740BC4A2B7F7C700FBD0338BE@GODFATHER.cpms.osd.mil](mailto:2548FB6E79285740BC4A2B7F7C700FBD0338BE@GODFATHER.cpms.osd.mil)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Was that decaf or double shot espresso, Jim?

----- Original message -----

>Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 15:19:08 -0400  
>From: "Caplan, James R., , CPMS Civ" <[james.caplan@CPMS.OSD.MIL](mailto:james.caplan@CPMS.OSD.MIL)>  
>Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
>To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
>  
>Anne, et al.  
>  
>What I took from Colleen's mention of Facebook and Twitter (which are blocked on my system, as well), was that the nature of interpersonal communication has changed since AAPORNET began, not that we necessarily need to move to a different forum or format. I mean who cares if Jim Caplan is sipping on an ice coffee from Starbucks?  
>  
>Jim  
>  
>James R. Caplan, Ph.D.  
>Management Analyst  
>Department of Defense  
>Civilian Personnel Management Service  
>HR-Business Information Technology Solutions: CDA  
>703-696-8754  
>DSN: 426-8754  
>  
>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: AAPORNET [[mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne (NIH/NCI)  
>[E]

>Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM  
>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>Hi Colleen,

>Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the  
federal government  
>employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to go to  
facebook or  
>twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent doing my  
federal gov't work  
>on work systems.

>Best,

>Anne

>Anne M. Hartman  
>Biostatistician  
>Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch  
>Applied Research Program  
>Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences  
>National Cancer Institute  
>EPN 4005  
>6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344  
>Bethesda, MD 20892-7344  
>Phone: 301-496-4970  
>FAX: 301-435-3710  
>E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov  
>Web Page: <<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
>Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM  
>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime  
discussion, creating an  
>electronic community among AAPOR members.  
>But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
developments in  
>technology, it is time to think about how things are going,  
fix anything that  
>isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains a valuable  
resource for  
>members. We should note that while the exploration and  
evaluation of options  
>is now beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members

will be updated

>about new developments before they take place, with all efforts made to ensure

>as painless a transition as possible.

>

>To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the Future

>of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

>

>We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve

>members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up issues

>for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on the upcoming

>membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share your opinions on

>key issues.

>

>Finally, we should note that while several committee members are frequent

>posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the AAPOR

>community. Everything is open to comment and constructive criticism as we

>make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

>

>Best,

>

>Colleen Porter

>colleen\_porter@cox.net

>352-215-1192

>

>Adam Safir

>safir.adam@bls.gov

>

>Leo Simonetta

>simonetta@artsci.com

>

>-----

>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:

[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>

>-----

>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:

[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>

>-----

>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
=====

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 21:39:28 +0200  
Reply-To: Edith de Leeuw <edithl@XS4ALL.NL>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Edith de Leeuw <edithl@XS4ALL.NL>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <E08D38B65E01D840AB2A6FE5AE75F004282DC9BC@NYCCNDX01.cbsnews  
enps.cbsnews.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I hope AAPORNET may prosper in future, in the spirit as Jim Beniger made it. A free exchange of information between survey methodologist and statisticians, with the emphasis on mutual support and the sharing of experience and knowledge. Accessible to all AAPORITES!

With warmest regards, Edith

Professor Dr. Edith D. de Leeuw  
Plantage Doklaan 40, NL-1018 CN Amsterdam  
tel + 31 20 622 34 38 fax + 31 20 330 25 97  
e-mail [edithl@xs4all.nl](mailto:edithl@xs4all.nl)

-----  
Klaag niet dat de rozen doornen hebben,  
Maar verheug u dat doornen rozen dragen

Do not moan about roses having thorns  
Rejoice at thorns bearing roses

At 03:27 PM 5/25/2010 -0400, Butterworth, Michael wrote:  
>I hope that by referring to "the rise of social networking tools" the  
>subcommittee did not mean to imply the possibility of using a  
>proprietary networking site like facebook or twitter. These sites can  
>change their rules or be discontinued at the whim of the proprietor.  
>Ads, increased usage charges and appropriation of information are among  
>the obvious possibilities. I don't know the implications of setting up  
>our own social networking system, in terms of the government  
>restrictions that Anne Hartman refers to.  
>  
>-----Original Message-----  
>From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne  
>(NIH/NCI) [E]  
>Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM  
>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>  
>Hi Colleen,  
>  
>Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal  
>government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to go to  
>facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent doing my  
>federal gov't work on work systems.

>  
>Best,

>  
>  
>Anne

>  
>Anne M. Hartman  
>Biostatistician  
>Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch  
>Applied Research Program  
>Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences  
>National Cancer Institute  
>EPN 4005  
>6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344  
>Bethesda, MD 20892-7344  
>Phone: 301-496-4970  
>FAX: 301-435-3710  
>E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov  
>Web Page: <<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

>  
>  
>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
>Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM  
>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>  
>For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion,  
>creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

>  
>But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
>developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are  
>going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains  
>a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the  
>exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will  
>not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before  
>they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a  
>transition as possible.

>  
>To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the  
>Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

>  
>We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve  
>members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up  
>issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on  
>the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share



>your opinions on key issues.

>

>Finally, we should note that while several committee members are  
>frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the  
>AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive  
>criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

>

>Best,

>

>Colleen Porter

>colleen\_porter@cox.net

>352-215-1192

>

>Adam Safir

>safir.adam@bls.gov

>

>Leo Simonetta

>simonetta@artsci.com

>

>-----

>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:

>aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

>-----

>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:

>aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

>-----

>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 19:43:15 +0000

Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>

Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

X-To: Howard Fienberg <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>

X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:

<1030963178.1087981274816455634.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Has anyone polled cell phone users to find how many would object to being interviewed for a poll on their cell phone if called? Or have been polled and favored/opposed being interviewed?

Or whether they favor or oppose having to personally give prior consent in order to be included?

And all of above if it were an IVR interview.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard Fienberg" <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:30:11 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

The Marketing Research Association (MRA) filed official comments Friday objecting to a new proposed regulation from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Proposed Rule would add restrictions to calls made to cell phones, making it even harder for researchers to call cell phone users.

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=1085>

Buried within the FCC Proposed Rule, ostensibly dealing only with telemarketing automated calls known as "robocalls," is a requirement that anyone seeking consent to dial a cell phone with an automatic telephone dialing system adhere to onerous rules to obtain respondent consent to receive research calls - the same process as the FCC proposes for telemarketing robocalls. This proposed rule would require a clear and specific written agreement, signed by the cell phone user, to receive calls from researchers using an autodialer.

MRA's Director of Government Affairs, Howard Fienberg, PLC, expressed concern on behalf of the survey and opinion research profession. "With the 'cell phone only' and 'cell phone mostly' populations totaling 40 percent of American households, researchers need to be able to include cell phone users in their studies in order to have viable samples."

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) currently requires express prior consent for any autodialed call to a cell phone.

"The FCC proposes to make the archaic autodialer restrictions of the TCPA even worse, threatening the integrity and results of survey and opinion research," said Howard.

MRA also has a summary of legal/ethical best practices for calling cell phones: <http://www.mra-net.org/ga/bestpractices.cfm>

-----

Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
howard.fienberg@mra-net.org  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 12:52:52 -0700  
Reply-To: Jane Traub <[JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM](mailto:JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jane Traub <[JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM](mailto:JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research  
X-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>, <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
In-Reply-To: <[2084788014.1088921274816595570.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net](mailto:2084788014.1088921274816595570.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Yes, Professor Peter Tuckel from CUNY did a study that was presented at a past AAPOR meeting...it was not very encouraging. His contact info:

[ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu](mailto:ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu)

Tuckel

First Name Peter  
Title/Rank Professor  
Discipline  
Department or School Sociology  
Office Phone Number 212 772-5582

Jane  
Jane H. Traub  
Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003

(646) 654-8454  
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of  
nickp@marketsharescorp.com  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:43 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Has anyone polled cell phone users to find how many would object to being interviewed for a poll on their cell phone if called? Or have been polled and favored/opposed being interviewed?

Or whether they favor or oppose having to personally give prior consent in order to be included?

And all of above if it were an IVR interview.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard Fienberg" <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:30:11 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

The Marketing Research Association (MRA) filed official comments Friday objecting to a new proposed regulation from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Proposed Rule would add restrictions to calls made to cell phones, making it even harder for researchers to call cell phone users.

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=1085>

Buried within the FCC Proposed Rule, ostensibly dealing only with telemarketing automated calls known as "robocalls," is a requirement that anyone seeking consent to dial a cell phone with an automatic telephone dialing system adhere to onerous rules to obtain respondent consent to receive research calls - the same process as the FCC proposes for telemarketing robocalls. This proposed rule would require a clear and specific written agreement, signed by the cell phone user, to receive calls from researchers using an autodialer.

MRA's Director of Government Affairs, Howard Fienberg, PLC, expressed concern on behalf of the survey and opinion research profession. "With the 'cell phone only' and 'cell phone mostly' populations totaling 40 percent of American households, researchers need to be able to include cell phone users in their studies in order to have viable samples."

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) currently requires express

prior consent for any autodialed call to a cell phone.

"The FCC proposes to make the archaic autodialer restrictions of the TCPA even worse, threatening the integrity and results of survey and opinion research," said Howard.

MRA also has a summary of legal/ethical best practices for calling cell phones: <http://www.mra-net.org/ga/bestpractices.cfm>

-----  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 16:22:42 -0400  
Reply-To: [jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jan Werner <[jwerner@JWDP.COM](mailto:jwerner@JWDP.COM)>  
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <[MXB@CBSNEWS.COM](mailto:MXB@CBSNEWS.COM)>  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To:  
<[E08D38B65E01D840AB2A6FE5AE75F004282DC9BC@NYCCNDX01.cbsnewsenps.cbsnews.net](mailto:E08D38B65E01D840AB2A6FE5AE75F004282DC9BC@NYCCNDX01.cbsnewsenps.cbsnews.net)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I would strongly agree that AAPOR should stay away from any commercial social networking site like Facebook or Twitter.

A major consideration, IMO. should be that AAPOR remain in sole

possession of any information posted on any such system, and that it should not be made available for commercial purposes or data mining.

Although I haven't investigated this myself, there are now a variety of software packages that let groups create and manage their own forums. Since there is likely to be a trade-off between cost, support, features and ease of administration, any choice should not be made lightly.

Jan Werner

---

Butterworth, Michael wrote:

> I hope that by referring to "the rise of social networking tools" the  
> subcommittee did not mean to imply the possibility of using a  
> proprietary networking site like facebook or twitter. These sites can  
> change their rules or be discontinued at the whim of the proprietor.  
> Ads, increased usage charges and appropriation of information are among  
> the obvious possibilities. I don't know the implications of setting up  
> our own social networking system, in terms of the government  
> restrictions that Anne Hartman refers to.  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne  
> (NIH/NCI) [E]  
> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
>  
> Hi Colleen,  
>  
> Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal  
> government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to go to  
> facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent doing my  
> federal gov't work on work systems.  
>  
> Best,  
>  
>  
> Anne  
>  
> Anne M. Hartman  
> Biostatistician  
> Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch  
> Applied Research Program  
> Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences  
> National Cancer Institute  
> EPN 4005  
> 6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344  
> Bethesda, MD 20892-7344  
> Phone: 301-496-4970  
> FAX: 301-435-3710  
> E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov  
> Web Page:<<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

>  
>  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
>  
> For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion,  
> creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.  
>  
> But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
> developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are  
> going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains  
> a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the  
> exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will  
> not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before  
> they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a  
> transition as possible.  
>  
> To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the  
> Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.  
>  
> We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve  
> members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up  
> issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on  
> the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share  
> your opinions on key issues.  
>  
> Finally, we should note that while several committee members are  
> frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the  
> AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive  
> criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.  
>  
> Best,  
>  
> Colleen Porter  
> colleen\_porter@cox.net  
> 352-215-1192  
>  
> Adam Safir  
> safir.adam@bls.gov  
>  
> Leo Simonetta  
> simonetta@artsci.com  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>  
> -----

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 16:25:42 -0400  
Reply-To: Alex Lundry <[alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM](mailto:alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Alex Lundry <[alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM](mailto:alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: "jwerner@jwdp.com" <[jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com)>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

AAPOR already is on Facebook and Twitter.

----- Original Message -----

From: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
Sent: Tue May 25 16:22:42 2010  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

I would strongly agree that AAPOR should stay away from any commercial social networking site like Facebook or Twitter.

A major consideration, IMO. should be that AAPOR remain in sole possession of any information posted on any such system, and that it should not be made available for commercial purposes or data mining.

Although I haven't investigated this myself, there are now a variety of software packages that let groups create and manage their own forums. Since there is likely to be a trade-off between cost, support, features and ease of administration, any choice should not be made lightly.

Jan Werner

---

Butterworth, Michael wrote:



> I hope that by referring to "the rise of social networking tools" the  
> subcommittee did not mean to imply the possibility of using a  
> proprietary networking site like facebook or twitter. These sites can  
> change their rules or be discontinued at the whim of the proprietor.  
> Ads, increased usage charges and appropriation of information are among  
> the obvious possibilities. I don't know the implications of setting up  
> our own social networking system, in terms of the government  
> restrictions that Anne Hartman refers to.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne

> (NIH/NCI) [E]

> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>

> Hi Colleen,

>

> Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal  
> government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to go to  
> facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent doing my  
> federal gov't work on work systems.

>

> Best,

>

>

> Anne

>

> Anne M. Hartman

> Biostatistician

> Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch

> Applied Research Program

> Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences

> National Cancer Institute

> EPN 4005

> 6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344

> Bethesda, MD 20892-7344

> Phone: 301-496-4970

> FAX: 301-435-3710

> E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov

> Web Page:<<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]

> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>

> For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion,  
> creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

>

> But with the rise of various social networking tools and new

> developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are  
> going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains  
> a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the  
> exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will  
> not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before  
> they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a  
> transition as possible.

>  
> To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the  
> Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

>  
> We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve  
> members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up  
> issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on  
> the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share  
> your opinions on key issues.

>  
> Finally, we should note that while several committee members are  
> frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the  
> AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive  
> criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

>  
> Best,

>  
> Colleen Porter  
> colleen\_porter@cox.net  
> 352-215-1192

>  
> Adam Safir  
> safir.adam@bls.gov

>  
> Leo Simonetta  
> simonetta@artsci.com

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
>  
>  
> -----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 13:24:32 -0700  
Reply-To: John Nienstedt <[john@CERC.NET](mailto:john@CERC.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: John Nienstedt <[john@CERC.NET](mailto:john@CERC.NET)>  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research  
X-To: Jane Traub <[JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM](mailto:JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM)>, <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
In-Reply-To: <[1788496C766C6542B3952BBEBA5C45F105A15E1D83@EXMBX10.exchhosting.com](mailto:1788496C766C6542B3952BBEBA5C45F105A15E1D83@EXMBX10.exchhosting.com)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

In CERC's experience we have found the cooperation rates for the landline and cell-only portions of the sample to be very comparable. What drives production rates down on the cell-only portion of the sample are ineligible, hand dialing and non-contacts (some of which I realize may be due to potentially enhanced call screening on cell phones). But, nationally and locally, cell-users are just as likely to cooperate as folks on landlines once we get them on the phone.

John Nienstedt, Sr.  
President, Competitive Edge Research  
2170 4th Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101  
619-702-CERC x307  
Get the Edge at [www.cerc.net](http://www.cerc.net)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Jane Traub  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:53 PM  
To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Yes, Professor Peter Tuckel from CUNY did a study that was presented at a past AAPOR meeting...it was not very encouraging. His contact info:

[ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu](mailto:ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu)

Tuckel

First Name Peter  
Title/Rank Professor  
Discipline  
Department or School Sociology

Office Phone Number 212 772-5582

Jane  
Jane H. Traub  
Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003  
(646) 654-8454  
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of  
nickp@marketsharescorp.com  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:43 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Has anyone polled cell phone users to find how many would object to being interviewed for a poll on their cell phone if called? Or have been polled and favored/opposed being interviewed?

Or whether they favor or oppose having to personally give prior consent in order to be included?

And all of above if it were an IVR interview.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard Fienberg" <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:30:11 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

The Marketing Research Association (MRA) filed official comments Friday objecting to a new proposed regulation from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Proposed Rule would add restrictions to calls made to cell phones, making it even harder for researchers to call cell phone users.

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=1085>

Buried within the FCC Proposed Rule, ostensibly dealing only with telemarketing automated calls known as "robocalls," is a requirement that anyone seeking consent to dial a cell phone with an automatic telephone dialing system adhere to onerous rules to obtain respondent consent to receive research calls - the same process as the FCC proposes for telemarketing robocalls. This proposed rule would require a clear and specific written agreement, signed by the cell phone user, to receive calls from researchers using an autodialer.

MRA's Director of Government Affairs, Howard Fienberg, PLC, expressed concern on behalf of the survey and opinion research profession. "With the 'cell phone only' and 'cell phone mostly' populations totaling 40 percent of American households, researchers need to be able to include cell phone users in their studies in order to have viable samples."

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) currently requires express prior consent for any autodialed call to a cell phone.

"The FCC proposes to make the archaic autodialer restrictions of the TCPA even worse, threatening the integrity and results of survey and opinion research," said Howard.

MRA also has a summary of legal/ethical best practices for calling cell phones: <http://www.mra-net.org/ga/bestpractices.cfm>

-----  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 16:37:14 -0400  
Reply-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <[MXB@CBSNEWS.COM](mailto:MXB@CBSNEWS.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Butterworth, Michael" <[MXB@CBSNEWS.COM](mailto:MXB@CBSNEWS.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet





---

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 14:38:07 -0600  
Reply-To: lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To:  
<47E5853FE34B0E4AB6DE854C289BB9E40584B1030B@MAIL.crosstarget.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

I even saw tweets posted at the AAPOR annual meeting on an electronic board near the internet cafe.

I am not likely to go on facebook or twitter myself but I'm old, internet-wise. There are a lot of younger folks (I saw many at the conference!) and they are on facebook and twitter. And they text and do things with electronic communication I don't really spend my time on. My understanding is that the listserv - wonderful thing that it is - will continue just fine, but that we need to be aware that the world is changing technologically, and with it so are the needs of some of our members. Both ASA's (stat and soc) have twitter & facebook links on their homepage. AAPOR doesn't.

-leora

On Tue, 25 May 2010 16:25:42 -0400, Alex Lundry  
<alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM> wrote:  
> AAPOR already is on Facebook and Twitter.  
>  
>  
> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
> Sent: Tue May 25 16:22:42 2010  
> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
>  
> I would strongly agree that AAPOR should stay away from any commercial  
> social networking site like Facebook or Twitter.  
>  
> A major consideration, IMO. should be that AAPOR remain in sole  
> possession of any information posted on any such system, and that it  
> should not be made available for commercial purposes or data mining.  
>  
> Although I haven't investigated this myself, there are now a variety of  
> software packages that let groups create and manage their own forums.  
> Since there is likely to be a trade-off between cost, support, features  
> and ease of administration, any choice should not be made lightly.  
>  
> Jan Werner  
> \_\_\_\_\_  
>



>

> Butterworth, Michael wrote:

>> I hope that by referring to "the rise of social networking tools" the  
>> subcommittee did not mean to imply the possibility of using a  
>> proprietary networking site like facebook or twitter. These sites can  
>> change their rules or be discontinued at the whim of the proprietor.  
>> Ads, increased usage charges and appropriation of information are among  
>> the obvious possibilities. I don't know the implications of setting up  
>> our own social networking system, in terms of the government  
>> restrictions that Anne Hartman refers to.

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne

>> (NIH/NCI) [E]

>> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>

>> Hi Colleen,

>>

>> Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal  
>> government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to go to  
>> facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent doing my  
>> federal gov't work on work systems.

>>

>> Best,

>>

>>

>> Anne

>>

>> Anne M. Hartman

>> Biostatistician

>> Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch

>> Applied Research Program

>> Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences

>> National Cancer Institute

>> EPN 4005

>> 6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344

>> Bethesda, MD 20892-7344

>> Phone: 301-496-4970

>> FAX: 301-435-3710

>> E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov

>> Web Page:<<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

>>

>>

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]

>> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>> Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>

>> For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion,

>> creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

>>  
>> But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
>> developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are  
>> going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet  
remains  
>> a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the  
>> exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will  
>> not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before  
>> they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a  
>> transition as possible.  
>>  
>> To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the  
>> Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.  
>>  
>> We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best  
serve  
>> members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up  
>> issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on  
>> the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to  
share  
>> your opinions on key issues.  
>>  
>> Finally, we should note that while several committee members are  
>> frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving  
the  
>> AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive  
>> criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.  
>>  
>> Best,  
>>  
>> Colleen Porter  
>> colleen\_porter@cox.net  
>> 352-215-1192  
>>  
>> Adam Safir  
>> safir.adam@bls.gov  
>>  
>> Leo Simonetta  
>> simonetta@artsci.com  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .





ZCBldmFsdWF0aW9uIG9mIG9wdGlvbnMgaXMgmb93IGJlZ2lubmluZywgYW55IGNoYW5nZSB3aWxs  
DQo+PiBub3QgaGFwcGVuIHNVb24uICBNZW1iZXJzIHdpbGwgYmUgdXBkYXRIZCBhYm91dCBuZXcg  
ZGV2ZWxvcG1lbnRzIGJlZm9yZQ0KPj4gdGhleSB0YWtlIHBSYWNILCB3aXRoIGFsbCBIZmZvenRz  
IG1hZGUgdG8gZW5zdXJlIGFzIHhBhaW5sZXXNzIGENCj4+IHRyYW5zaXRpb24gYXMgcG9zc2libGUu  
DQo+Pg0KPj4gVG8gc3RlZXIgdGhhCBIZmZvenQsIEkgaGF2ZSBiZWVuIGFza2VkiHRvIGNoYWly  
IGEgc3ViY29tbW10dGVlIG9uIHRoZQ0KPj4gRnV0dXJlIG9mIEFBUE9SbmV0LCBhc3Npc3RlZCBi  
eSBBZGFtIFNhZmlyIGFuZCBMZW8gU2ltb25ldHRhLg0KPj4NCj4+IFdlIHdlbGNvbWUgeW91ciBp  
bnB1dCBhYm91dCBob3c3gdGhpeyBlbGVjdHJvbmlyIGNVbW11bml0eSBjYW4gYmVzdA0Kc2VydUN  
Cj4+IG1lbWJlcnMgaW4gdGhlIHllYXJzIGFoZWfkLiAgWW91IGFyZSBpbmZpdGVkIHRvIGNvbnRh  
Y3QgdXMgb3IgYnJpbmcdXANCj4+IGlzc3VlcyBmb3lgZGlzY3Vzc2lvbiBoZXJlIG9uIEFBUE9S  
bmV0IGl0c2VsZi4gIFNVbWUgcmlvSvYXRIZCBpdGVtcyBvbG0KPj4gdGhlIHVwY29taW5nIG1lbWJl  
cnNoaXAge3VydV5IHdpbGwgYmVzbyBwcm92aWRlIGFuIG9wcG9ydHVuaXR5IHRvDQpzaGFyZQ0K  
Pj4geW91ciBvcGluaW9ucyBvbiBrZXkgaXNzdWVzLg0KPj4NCj4+IEZpbmFsbHksIHdlIHhNob3Vs  
ZCBub3RlIHRoYXQgd2hpbGUgc2V2ZXJhbCBjb21taXR0ZWUgbWVtYmVycyBhemUNCj4+IGZyZXF1  
ZW50IHbvc3RlcnMgdG8gQUFQT1JuZXQsIG91ciBwcmllvcmll0eSBpbiB0aGlzIGVmZm9ydCBpcyBz  
ZXJ2aW5nDQp0aGUNCj4+IEFBUE9SIGNvbW11bml0eS4gIEV2ZXJ5dGhpbmcaXMgb3BlbiB0byBj  
b21tZW50IGFuZCBjb25zdHJlY3RpdUNCj4+IGNyaXRpY2lzbSBhcyB3ZSBtYWtlIHRoaXMgY2hh  
bmdlLCBhbmQgd2Ugd2VsY29tZSB5b3VyIHRob3VnaHRzLg0KPj4NCj4+IEJlc3QsDQo+Pg0KPj4g  
Q29sbGVlbiBQb3J0ZXINCj4+IGNvbGxZW5fcG9ydGVyQGNveC5uZXQNCj4+IDM1Mi0yMTUtMTE5  
Mg0KPj4NCj4+IEFkYw0gU2FmaXINCj4+IHhZmlyLmFkYw1AYmxzLmdvdg0KPj4NCj4+IElbyBT  
aW1vbmV0dGENCj4+IHNPbW9uZXR0YUBhcnRzY2kuY29tDQo+Pg0KPj4gLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
LS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
Ly9saXN0cy5hc3UuZWR1L2FyY2hpdmVzL2FhcG9ybmV0Lmh0bWwgLg0KPj4gUGx1YXNlIGFzayBh  
dXRob3JzIGJlZm9yZSBxdW90aW5nIG91dHNpZGUgQUFQT1JORVQuDQo+PiBVbnN1YnNjcmliZT8t  
ZG9uJ3QgcmVwbHkgdG8gdGhpeyBtZXNzYWdlLCB3cmll0ZSB0bzoNCj4+IGFhcG9ybmV0LXJlcXVl  
c3RAYXN1LmVkdQ0KPj4NCj4+IC0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
LS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0NCj4+IEFyY2hpdmVzOiBodHRwOi8vbGlzdHMuYXN1LmVkdS9hemNoaXZl  
cy9hYXBvem5ldC5odG1sIC4NCj4+IFBsZWZzZSBhc2sgYXV0aG9yeyBiZWZvemUgcXVvdGluZyBv  
dXRzaWRlIEFBUE9STkVULg0KPj4gVW5zdWJzY3JpYmU/LWRvbid0IHJlcGx5IHRvIHRoaXMgbWVz  
c2FnZSwgd3JpdGUgdG86DQo+PiBhYXBvem5ldC1yZXF1ZXN0QGfzdS5lZHUNCj4+DQo+PiAtLS0t  
LS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
aXZlczogaHR0cDovL2xpc3RzLmFzdS5lZHUvYXJjaGl2ZXMvYWFwb3JuZXQuaHRtbCAuDQo+PiBQ  
bGVhc2UgYXNrIGF1dGhvenMgYmVmb3JlIHf1b3Rpbmcb3V0c2lkZSBQVBPuk5FVC4NCj4+IFVu  
c3Vic2NyaWJlPy1kb24ndCBYzXBseSB0byB0aGlzIG1lc3NhZ2UsIHdyaXRlIHRvOg0KPj4gYWFw  
b3JuZXQtemVxdWVzdEBhc3UuZWR1DQo+Pg0KPj4NCj4NCj4gLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
LS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
LmFzdS5lZHUvYXJjaGl2ZXMvYWFwb3JuZXQuaHRtbCAuDQo+IFBsZWZzZSBhc2sgYXV0aG9yeyBi  
ZWZvemUgcXVvdGluZyBvdXRzaWRlIEFBUE9STkVULg0KPj4VbnN1YnNjcmliZT8tZG9uJ3QgcmVw  
bHkgdG8gdGhpeyBtZXNzYWdlLCB3cmll0ZSB0bzoNCj4gYWFwb3JuZXQtemVxdWVzdEBhc3UuZWR1  
DQo+DQo+IC0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
LS0NCj4gQXJjaGl2ZXM6IGh0dHA6Ly9saXN0cy5hc3UuZWR1L2FyY2hpdmVzL2FhcG9ybmV0Lmh0  
bWwgLg0KPj4BQbGVhc2UgYXNrIGF1dGhvenMgYmVmb3JlIHf1b3Rpbmcb3V0c2lkZSBQVBPuk5F  
VC4NCj4gVW5zdWJzY3JpYmU/LWRvbid0IHJlcGx5IHRvIHRoaXMgbWVzc2FnZSwgd3JpdGUgdG86  
DQo+IGFhcG9ybmV0LXJlcXVlc3RAYXN1LmVkdQ0KDQotLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
LS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0tLS0t  
ZHUvYXJjaGl2ZXMvYWFwb3JuZXQuaHRtbCAuDQpQbGVhc2UgYXNrIGF1dGhvenMgYmVmb3JlIHf1  
b3Rpbmcb3V0c2lkZSBQVBPuk5FVC4NCj4Vic2NyaWJlPy1kb24ndCBYzXBseSB0byB0aGlz  
IG1lc3NhZ2UsIHdyaXRlIHRvOiBhYXBvem5ldC1yZXF1ZXN0QGfzdS5lZHUNCg==

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 17:38:52 -0400  
Reply-To: Colleen Porter <colleen\_porter@COX.NET>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Colleen Porter <colleen\_porter@COX.NET>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <201005251917.04PJ5jrs000313@lists.asu.edu>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed; delsp=yes  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)

On May 25, 2010, at 3:19 PM, Caplan, James R., , CPMS Civ wrote:  
> What I took from Colleen's mention of Facebook and Twitter (which  
> are blocked  
> on my system, as well), was that the nature of interpersonal  
> communication has  
> changed since AAPORNET began, not that we necessarily need to move  
> to a  
> different forum or format....

Yes, that was the idea. And actually, I never mentioned Facebook or  
Twitter by name, just

> But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
> developments in  
> technology....

Whatever changes are eventually made, the focus will be on building an  
electronic community, and it will be a members-only forum.

If it continues as a listserv, are there bells and whistles that you  
enjoy on other listservs that should be implemented here? With the  
ever-rising percentage of AAPOR members using smartphones, is this  
list sufficiently optimized for that kind of viewing? Has the  
AAPORNET name (those four letters in the middle!) ever caused your  
email messages to get trapped in a spam blocker?

At the same time, during this early brainstorming phase, we're not  
limiting consideration only to listserv per se. There have been newer  
technologies arise in the intervening years since AAPORnet started,  
which also build electronic communities: web-based blogs, tools like  
Google Groups, and so on.

In the months ahead, we'll throw out some specific issues for  
discussion here (or feel free to raise yourself, or email us), but the  
input we're getting from this initial posting will help shape that  
agenda. So thanks for all the comments, and you'll be hearing more  
from us as this thoughtful process unfolds.

And yes, AAPOR has a presence on Facebook and Twitter, but those are  
open to anyone, whereas AAPORnet has been a benefit of membership.  
Our particular mission as a subcommittee is limited to the latter forum.

Colleen Porter

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 14:52:08 -0700  
Reply-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: Colleen Porter <colleen\_porter@COX.NET>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To: <7E411CE6-7809-4F68-A918-A435AA30AAFC@cox.net>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

As a frequent user of facebook and linkedin, I would just say one of the benefits of the posts on AAPORnet are their specificity to research issues, generally high quality (in terms of advice and references offered), and lack of commercial clutter (no time-wasting "farmville", city-building or mafia games) . I recently discovered that "Chad", a frequent poster to my linked-in undergrad alumni group, is not a person at all, but a virtual avatar designed to generate link clicks for a commercial blog! Hopefully we have none of this type of thing on AAPORnet (you are all real out there, yes???) :-)

The helpful and collegial focus of AAPOR net is one of it's outstanding qualities which might be diluted through a forum like facebook or linkedin. If we change the format to a more modern structure, it would be good if we could preserve the qualities that make AAPOR net unique and not let it be commercialized or its purpose diverted.

Jane  
Jane H. Traub  
Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003  
(646) 654-8454  
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 5:39 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

On May 25, 2010, at 3:19 PM, Caplan, James R., , CPMS Civ wrote:  
> What I took from Colleen's mention of Facebook and Twitter (which  
> are blocked  
> on my system, as well), was that the nature of interpersonal  
> communication has  
> changed since AAPORNET began, not that we necessarily need to move  
> to a  
> different forum or format....

Yes, that was the idea. And actually, I never mentioned Facebook or Twitter by name, just

> But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
> developments in  
> technology....

Whatever changes are eventually made, the focus will be on building an electronic community, and it will be a members-only forum.

If it continues as a listserv, are there bells and whistles that you enjoy on other listservs that should be implemented here? With the ever-rising percentage of AAPOR members using smartphones, is this list sufficiently optimized for that kind of viewing? Has the AAPORNET name (those four letters in the middle!) ever caused your email messages to get trapped in a spam blocker?

At the same time, during this early brainstorming phase, we're not limiting consideration only to listserv per se. There have been newer technologies arise in the intervening years since AAPORnet started, which also build electronic communities: web-based blogs, tools like Google Groups, and so on.

In the months ahead, we'll throw out some specific issues for discussion here (or feel free to raise yourself, or email us), but the input we're getting from this initial posting will help shape that agenda. So thanks for all the comments, and you'll be hearing more from us as this thoughtful process unfolds.

And yes, AAPOR has a presence on Facebook and Twitter, but those are open to anyone, whereas AAPORnet has been a benefit of membership. Our particular mission as a subcommittee is limited to the latter forum.

Colleen Porter

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 09:01:04 -0400  
Reply-To: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <[miltrgold@COMCAST.NET](mailto:miltrgold@COMCAST.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <[miltrgold@COMCAST.NET](mailto:miltrgold@COMCAST.NET)>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To:  
<[E08D38B65E01D840AB2A6FE5AE75F004282DC9BE@NYCCNDX01.cbsnewsenps.cbsnews.net](mailto:E08D38B65E01D840AB2A6FE5AE75F004282DC9BE@NYCCNDX01.cbsnewsenps.cbsnews.net)>  
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v753.1)



Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; delp=; format=flowed

Over the years, AAPORNET has provided me with enormous value, in the information it passes to and among members, and in giving any member the chance to readily respond to others or post information of interest. As one quick example, the recent exchange of information on scaling texts available was very useful. We should not lose that knowledge and networking resource by changing to another medium, in such a way that we "throw out the baby with the bathwater." Put another way, if it's not broken, why fix it?

As the longtime Publicity Coordinator for a nonprofit organization, I'm now following that organization's gradual transition to Facebook and YouTube videos. I see benefits to enhancing, NOT discarding the list serv that org uses. In the same way, using AAPORNET to the fullest should be our goal, to provide an avenue for information exchange to all.

Milton Goldsamt

Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.  
Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician  
Silver Spring, MD  
miltrgold@comcast.net  
301-649-2768  
(C) 240-671-7201

On May 25, 2010, at 4:37 PM, Butterworth, Michael wrote:

> How much I worry about that depends on how much we would suffer if  
> the service stopped, by our choice or someone else's.

> -----

> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

> Sent: Tue May 25 16:25:42 2010

> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>

> AAPOR already is on Facebook and Twitter.

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

> Sent: Tue May 25 16:22:42 2010

> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>

> I would strongly agree that AAPOR should stay away from any commercial

> social networking site like Facebook or Twitter.

>  
> A major consideration, IMO. should be that AAPOR remain in sole  
> possession of any information posted on any such system, and that it  
> should not be made available for commercial purposes or data mining.  
>  
> Although I haven't investigated this myself, there are now a  
> variety of  
> software packages that let groups create and manage their own forums.  
> Since there is likely to be a trade-off between cost, support,  
> features  
> and ease of administration, any choice should not be made lightly.  
>  
> Jan Werner  
> \_\_\_\_\_  
>  
>  
> Butterworth, Michael wrote:  
>> I hope that by referring to "the rise of social networking tools" the  
>> subcommittee did not mean to imply the possibility of using a  
>> proprietary networking site like facebook or twitter. These sites  
>> can  
>> change their rules or be discontinued at the whim of the proprietor.  
>> Ads, increased usage charges and appropriation of information are  
>> among  
>> the obvious possibilities. I don't know the implications of  
>> setting up  
>> our own social networking system, in terms of the government  
>> restrictions that Anne Hartman refers to.  
>>  
>> -----Original Message-----  
>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne  
>> (NIH/NCI) [E]  
>> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
>>  
>> Hi Colleen,  
>>  
>> Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal  
>> government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to  
>> go to  
>> facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent  
>> doing my  
>> federal gov't work on work systems.  
>>  
>> Best,  
>>  
>>  
>> Anne  
>>  
>> Anne M. Hartman  
>> Biostatistician  
>> Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch  
>> Applied Research Program

>> Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences  
>> National Cancer Institute  
>> EPN 4005  
>> 6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344  
>> Bethesda, MD 20892-7344  
>> Phone: 301-496-4970  
>> FAX: 301-435-3710  
>> E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov  
>> Web Page:<<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

>>  
>>  
>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
>> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>> Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>  
>> For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion,  
>> creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

>>  
>> But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
>> developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are  
>> going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet  
>> remains  
>> a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the  
>> exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change  
>> will  
>> not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments  
>> before  
>> they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a  
>> transition as possible.

>>  
>> To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on  
>> the  
>> Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

>>  
>> We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best  
>> serve  
>> members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or  
>> bring up  
>> issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on  
>> the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to  
>> share  
>> your opinions on key issues.

>>  
>> Finally, we should note that while several committee members are  
>> frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is  
>> serving the  
>> AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive  
>> criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

>>  
>> Best,  
>>

>> Colleen Porter  
>> colleen\_porter@cox.net  
>> 352-215-1192

>> Adam Safir  
>> safir.adam@bls.gov

>> Leo Simonetta  
>> simonetta@artsci.com

>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-  
>> request@asu.edu

>>  
>>  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-  
> request@asu.edu

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-  
> request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 13:28:41 +0000  
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research  
X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
X-cc: ".nickp" <nickp@marketsharescorp.com>  
In-Reply-To:  
<1401409685.1338831274880356108.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Over the past couple of years Pew Research analyzed response rates between cell and landline based on thousands of interviews. Response rates were one point apart. Cell phone respondents were probably offered financial incentives.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Nienstedt" <john@CERC.NET>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:24:32 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

In CERC's experience we have found the cooperation rates for the landline and cell-only portions of the sample to be very comparable. What drives production rates down on the cell-only portion of the sample are ineligible, hand dialing and non-contacts (some of which I realize may be due to potentially enhanced call screening on cell phones). But, nationally and locally, cell-users are just as likely to cooperate as folks on landlines once we get them on the phone.

John Nienstedt, Sr.  
President, Competitive Edge Research  
2170 4th Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101  
619-702-CERC x307  
Get the Edge at [www.cerc.net](http://www.cerc.net)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jane Traub  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:53 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Yes, Professor Peter Tuckel from CUNY did a study that was presented at a past AAPOR meeting...it was not very encouraging. His contact info:

[ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu](mailto:ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu)

Tuckel

First Name Peter  
Title/Rank Professor

Discipline  
Department or School Sociology  
Office Phone Number 212 772-5582

Jane  
Jane H. Traub  
Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003  
(646) 654-8454  
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of  
nickp@marketsharescorp.com  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:43 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Has anyone polled cell phone users to find how many would object to being interviewed for a poll on their cell phone if called? Or have been polled and favored/opposed being interviewed?

Or whether they favor or oppose having to personally give prior consent in order to be included?

And all of above if it were an IVR interview.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard Fienberg" <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:30:11 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

The Marketing Research Association (MRA) filed official comments Friday objecting to a new proposed regulation from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Proposed Rule would add restrictions to calls made to cell phones, making it even harder for researchers to call cell phone users.

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=1085>

Buried within the FCC Proposed Rule, ostensibly dealing only with telemarketing automated calls known as "robocalls," is a requirement that anyone seeking consent to dial a cell phone with an automatic telephone dialing system adhere to onerous rules to obtain respondent consent to receive research calls - the same process as the FCC proposes for telemarketing robocalls. This proposed rule would require a clear

and specific written agreement, signed by the cell phone user, to receive calls from researchers using an autodialer.

MRA's Director of Government Affairs, Howard Fienberg, PLC, expressed concern on behalf of the survey and opinion research profession. "With the 'cell phone only' and 'cell phone mostly' populations totaling 40 percent of American households, researchers need to be able to include cell phone users in their studies in order to have viable samples."

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) currently requires express prior consent for any autodialed call to a cell phone.

"The FCC proposes to make the archaic autodialer restrictions of the TCPA even worse, threatening the integrity and results of survey and opinion research," said Howard.

MRA also has a summary of legal/ethical best practices for calling cell phones: <http://www.mra-net.org/ga/bestpractices.cfm>

-----  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 09:54:23 -0400

Reply-To: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>

Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

X-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:

<1660709296.1340131274880521189.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

For those interested in this series of email exchanges, I would like to note that the 2010 AAPOR Cell Phone TF report, due out this summer, will address all these topics.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of nickp@marketsharescorp.com

Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 9:29 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Over the past couple of years Pew Research analyzed response rates between cell and landline based on thousands of interviews. Response rates were one point apart. Cell phone respondents were probably offered financial incentives.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Nienstedt" <john@CERC.NET>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:24:32 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

In CERC's experience we have found the cooperation rates for the landline and cell-only portions of the sample to be very comparable. What drives production rates down on the cell-only portion of the sample are ineligible, hand dialing and non-contacts (some of which I realize may be due to potentially enhanced call screening on cell phones). But, nationally and locally, cell-users are just as likely to cooperate as folks on landlines once we get them on the phone.

John Nienstedt, Sr.

President, Competitive Edge Research

2170 4th Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101



619-702-CERC x307  
Get the Edge at [www.cerc.net](http://www.cerc.net)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jane Traub  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:53 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Yes, Professor Peter Tuckel from CUNY did a study that was presented at a past AAPOR meeting...it was not very encouraging. His contact info:

[ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu](mailto:ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu)

Tuckel

First Name Peter  
Title/Rank Professor  
Discipline  
Department or School Sociology  
Office Phone Number 212 772-5582

Jane  
Jane H. Traub  
Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003  
(646) 654-8454  
[jtraub@scarborough.com](mailto:jtraub@scarborough.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of  
[nickp@marketsharescorp.com](mailto:nickp@marketsharescorp.com)  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:43 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Has anyone polled cell phone users to find how many would object to being interviewed for a poll on their cell phone if called? Or have been polled and favored/opposed being interviewed?

Or whether they favor or oppose having to personally give prior consent in order to be included?

And all of above if it were an IVR interview.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard Fienberg" <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:30:11 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

The Marketing Research Association (MRA) filed official comments Friday objecting to a new proposed regulation from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Proposed Rule would add restrictions to calls made to cell phones, making it even harder for researchers to call cell phone users.

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=1085>

Buried within the FCC Proposed Rule, ostensibly dealing only with telemarketing automated calls known as "robocalls," is a requirement that anyone seeking consent to dial a cell phone with an automatic telephone dialing system adhere to onerous rules to obtain respondent consent to receive research calls - the same process as the FCC proposes for telemarketing robocalls. This proposed rule would require a clear and specific written agreement, signed by the cell phone user, to receive calls from researchers using an autodialer.

MRA's Director of Government Affairs, Howard Fienberg, PLC, expressed concern on behalf of the survey and opinion research profession. "With the 'cell phone only' and 'cell phone mostly' populations totaling 40 percent of American households, researchers need to be able to include cell phone users in their studies in order to have viable samples."

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) currently requires express prior consent for any autodialed call to a cell phone.

"The FCC proposes to make the archaic autodialer restrictions of the TCPA even worse, threatening the integrity and results of survey and opinion research," said Howard.

MRA also has a summary of legal/ethical best practices for calling cell phones: <http://www.mra-net.org/ga/bestpractices.cfm>

---

Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
howard.fienberg@mra-net.org  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org/>>

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 10:26:27 -0400  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: On immigration, racial divide runs deep  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

On immigration, racial divide runs deep  
Poll: Only 22 percent of Latinos have favorable view of GOP

By Mark Murray  
Deputy political director  
NBC News

[http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/37344303/ns/us\\_news-immigration\\_a\\_nation\\_divided/](http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/37344303/ns/us_news-immigration_a_nation_divided/)  
OR  
<http://tinyurl.com/26c43a3>

WASHINGTON - White and Latino Americans are deeply divided over immigration, their allegiances to the nation's political parties and their opinions about President Barack Obama, according to a new NBC/MSNBC/Telemundo poll.

And in the wake of Arizona's controversial anti-illegal immigration law, the survey suggests that Republicans could get an immediate political boost, but may face a long-term problem among Latinos, the nation's fastest-growing demographic group.

SNIP

Full pdf of all survey questions

[http://msnbcmedia.msn.com/i/MSNBC/Sections/NEWS/A\\_Politics/\\_\\_\\_Politics\\_Today\\_Stories\\_Teases/NMT\\_final.pdf](http://msnbcmedia.msn.com/i/MSNBC/Sections/NEWS/A_Politics/___Politics_Today_Stories_Teases/NMT_final.pdf)

or

<http://tinyurl.com/25mvr4d>

--

Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 11:30:08 -0400  
Reply-To: "J. Ann Selzer" <[jannselzer@AOL.COM](mailto:jannselzer@AOL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "J. Ann Selzer" <[jannselzer@AOL.COM](mailto:jannselzer@AOL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <006601cafcdaf2e870b0\$d8b95210\$@net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Elected officials who have a role in appointing members of the FCC win offices with the help of savvy polling. It would seem in their best interest for outdated rules on cell phones to loosen up rather than become tighter. The rule makers may be thinking only of telemarketing, not realizing the consequences for pollsters who are exempt from rules enforced on telemarketers.

I would encourage our lobbyists to work this issue aggressively. JAS

=20

J. Ann Selzer, Ph.D  
Selzer & Company  
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

For purposes of this list, use JAnnSelzer@aol.com  
For other purposes, use JASelzer@SelzerCo.com

=20

=20

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Wed, May 26, 2010 8:54 am  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

For those interested in this series of email exchanges, I would like to note that the 2010 AAPOR Cell Phone TF report, due out this summer, will address all these topics.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of nickp@marketsharescorp.com  
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 9:29 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Over the past couple of years Pew Research analyzed response rates between cell and landline based on thousands of interviews. Response rates were one point apart. Cell phone respondents were probably offered financial incentives.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Nienstedt" <john@CERC.NET>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:24:32 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

In CERC's experience we have found the cooperation rates for the landline and cell-only portions of the sample to be very comparable. What drives production rates down on the cell-only portion of the sample are ineligible, hand di-

aling=20  
and non-contacts (some of which I realize may be due to potentially enhanc=  
ed=20  
call screening on cell phones). But, nationally and locally, cell-users ar=  
e just=20  
as likely to cooperate as folks on landlines once we get them on the phone=  
.

John Nienstedt, Sr.  
President, Competitive Edge Research  
2170 4th Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101  
619-702-CERC x307  
Get the Edge at [www.cerc.net](http://www.cerc.net)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jane Traub  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:53 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Yes, Professor Peter Tuckel from CUNY did a study that was presented at a=  
past=20  
AAPOR meeting...it was not very encouraging. His contact info:

[ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu](mailto:ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu)

Tuckel

First Name Peter  
Title/Rank Professor  
Discipline  
Department or School Sociology  
Office Phone Number 212 772-5582

Jane  
Jane H. Traub  
Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003  
(646) 654-8454  
[jtraub@scarborough.com](mailto:jtraub@scarborough.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of nickp@marketsharesco=  
rp.com  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:43 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Has anyone polled cell phone users to find how many would object to being=  
=20

interviewed for a poll on their cell phone if called? Or have been polled=  
and=20  
favored/opposed being interviewed?

Or whether they favor or oppose having to personally give prior consent in=  
order=20  
to be included?

And all of above if it were an IVR interview.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard Fienberg" <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:30:11 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

The Marketing Research Association (MRA) filed official comments Friday objecting to a new proposed regulation from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Proposed Rule would add restrictions to calls made to cell phones, making it even harder for researchers to call cell phone users.

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=3D1085>

Buried within the FCC Proposed Rule, ostensibly dealing only with telemarketing automated calls known as "robocalls," is a requirement that anyone seeking consent to dial a cell phone with an automatic telephone dialing system adhere to onerous rules to obtain respondent consent to receive research calls - the same process as the FCC proposes for telemarketing robocalls. This proposed rule would require a clear and specific written agreement, signed by the cell phone user, to receive calls from researchers using an autodialer.

MRA's Director of Government Affairs, Howard Fienberg, PLC, expressed concern on behalf of the survey and opinion research profession. "With the 'cell phone only' and 'cell phone mostly' populations totaling 40 percent of American households, researchers need to be able to include cell phone users in their studies in order to have viable samples."

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) currently requires express prior consent for any autodialed call to a cell phone.

"The FCC proposes to make the archaic autodialer restrictions of the TCPA even worse, threatening the integrity and results of survey and opinion research," said Howard.

MRA also has a summary of legal/ethical best practices for calling cell phones: <http://www.mra-net.org/ga/bestpractices.cfm>

-----

Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
howard.fienberg@mra-net.org  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=20



Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 15:46:41 +0000

Reply-To: [howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Howard Fienberg <[howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG](mailto:howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG)>

Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

X-To: "J. Ann Selzer" <[JAnnSelzer@AOL.COM](mailto:JAnnSelzer@AOL.COM)>, [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

In-Reply-To: <[8CCCAFF757E1593-780-30CD@webmail-m046.sysops.aol.com](mailto:8CCCAFF757E1593-780-30CD@webmail-m046.sysops.aol.com)>

Content-Type: text/plain

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

As the lobbyist for the U.S. survey and opinion research profession, I'm endeavoring to do just that.

Cheers,  
hf

Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)

... Howard Fienberg, Director of Government Affairs, Marketing  
Research Association (MRA)

-----Original Message-----

From: "J. Ann Selzer" <[jannselzer@AOL.COM](mailto:jannselzer@AOL.COM)>

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 11:30:08

To: <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Elected officials who have a role in appointing members of the FCC win offices with the help of savvy polling. It would seem in their best interest for outdated rules on cell phones to loosen up rather than become tighter. The rule makers may be thinking only of telemarketing, not realizing the consequences for pollsters who are exempt from rules enforced on telemarketers.

I would encourage our lobbyists to work this issue aggressively. JAS

J. Ann Selzer, Ph.D  
Selzer & Company  
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

For purposes of this list, use [JAnnSelzer@aol.com](mailto:JAnnSelzer@aol.com)  
For other purposes, use [JASelzer@SelzerCo.com](mailto:JASelzer@SelzerCo.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Wed, May 26, 2010 8:54 am  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

For those interested in this series of email exchanges, I would like to note that the 2010 AAPOR Cell Phone TF report, due out this summer, will address all these topics.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of nickp@marketsharescorp.com  
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 9:29 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Over the past couple of years Pew Research analyzed response rates between cell and landline based on thousands of interviews. Response rates were one point apart. Cell phone respondents were probably offered financial incentives.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Nienstedt" <john@CERC.NET>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:24:32 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

In CERC's experience we have found the cooperation rates for the landline and cell-only portions of the sample to be very comparable. What drives production rates down on the cell-only portion of the sample are ineligible, hand dialing and non-contacts (some of which I realize may be due to potentially enhanced call screening on cell phones). But, nationally and locally, cell-users are just as likely to cooperate as folks on landlines once we get them on the phone.

John Nienstedt, Sr.  
President, Competitive Edge Research  
2170 4th Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101  
619-702-CERC x307  
Get the Edge at [www.cerc.net](http://www.cerc.net)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jane Traub  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:53 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Yes, Professor Peter Tuckel from CUNY did a study that was presented at a past AAPOR meeting...it was not very encouraging. His contact info:

ptuckel@hunter.cuny.edu

Tuckel

First Name Peter  
Title/Rank Professor  
Discipline  
Department or School Sociology  
Office Phone Number 212 772-5582

Jane  
Jane H. Traub  
Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003  
(646) 654-8454  
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of  
nickp@marketsharescorp.com  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:43 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

Has anyone polled cell phone users to find how many would object to being interviewed for a poll on their cell phone if called? Or have been polled and favored/opposed being interviewed?

Or whether they favor or oppose having to personally give prior consent in order to be included?

And all of above if it were an IVR interview.

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "Howard Fienberg" <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 12:30:11 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: FCC Proposed Restrictions on Cell Phone Research

The Marketing Research Association (MRA) filed official comments Friday objecting to a new proposed regulation from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Proposed Rule would add restrictions to calls made to cell phones, making it even harder for researchers to call cell phone users.

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=1085>

Buried within the FCC Proposed Rule, ostensibly dealing only with telemarketing automated calls known as "robocalls," is a requirement that anyone seeking consent to dial a cell phone with an automatic telephone dialing system adhere to onerous rules to obtain respondent consent to receive research calls - the same process as the FCC proposes for telemarketing robocalls. This proposed rule would require a clear and specific written agreement, signed by the cell phone user, to receive calls from researchers using an autodialer.

MRA's Director of Government Affairs, Howard Fienberg, PLC, expressed concern on behalf of the survey and opinion research profession. "With the 'cell phone only' and 'cell phone mostly' populations totaling 40 percent of American households, researchers need to be able to include cell phone users in their studies in order to have viable samples."

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) currently requires express prior consent for any autodialed call to a cell phone.

"The FCC proposes to make the archaic autodialer restrictions of the TCPA even worse, threatening the integrity and results of survey and opinion research," said Howard.

MRA also has a summary of legal/ethical best practices for calling cell phones: <http://www.mra-net.org/ga/bestpractices.cfm>

-----  
Howard Fienberg, PLC  
Director of Government Affairs  
Marketing Research Association (MRA)  
[howard.fienberg@mra-net.org](mailto:howard.fienberg@mra-net.org)  
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 775-5170  
Fax: (888) 512-1050  
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 12:42:40 -0400  
Reply-To: Brittne Nelson <[brittne@HOTMAIL.COM](mailto:brittne@HOTMAIL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Brittne Nelson <[brittne@HOTMAIL.COM](mailto:brittne@HOTMAIL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <[E4EC0B3C-FFDB-4DF0-880C-D2C969E0C98C@comcast.net](mailto:E4EC0B3C-FFDB-4DF0-880C-D2C969E0C98C@comcast.net)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Wow=2C the sentiment seems to be that people aren't open to any change but =  
I can see several opportunities for improvement to listserv communication. =  
I enjoy the snippets that are often sent but if I want to do anything with =  
that email=2C I better print it out or it or forward it immediately or it w=  
ill get lost in an avalanche of other emails. The ability to tag and catego=  
rize discussions would be helpful (for example=2C referring back to discuss=  
ions about cell phones=2C etc). Also=2C the option to highlight or receive =  
notices when specific people post would be useful to me. Finally=2C some to=  
pics are not of interest to me=2C so the ability to self-select what I rece=  
ive would be useful as well. These are just my top of mind ideas but rather=  
than focus on why things shouldn't change I would enjoy seeing a discussio=  
n akin to "If things do change=2C what would change look like?"

Brittne M. Nelson  
Research Analyst  
AARP State Research  
601 E. St NW  
202.434.6307

=20  
"However beautiful the strategy=2C you should occasionally look at the resu=  
lts." -- Winston Churchill

=20  
> Date: Wed=2C 26 May 2010 09:01:04 -0400  
> From: miltrgold@COMCAST.NET  
> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>=20  
> Over the years=2C AAPORNET has provided me with enormous value=2C in the  
> information it passes to and among members=2C and in giving any member  
> the chance to readily respond to others or post information of  
> interest. As one quick example=2C the recent exchange of information  
> on scaling texts available was very useful. We should not lose that  
> knowledge and networking resource by changing to another medium=2C in  
> such a way that we "throw out the baby with the bathwater." Put  
> another way=2C if it's not broken=2C why fix it?  
>=20  
> As the longtime Publicity Coordinator for a nonprofit organization=2C  
> I'm now following that organization's gradual transition to Facebook  
> and YouTube videos. I see benefits to enhancing=2C NOT discarding the  
> list serv that org uses. In the same way=2C using AAPORNET to the  
> fullest should be our goal=2C to provide an avenue for information  
> exchange to all.

>=20

> Milton Goldsamt

>=20

> Milton R. Goldsamt=2C Ph.D.

> Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician

> Silver Spring=2C MD

> miltrgold@comcast.net

> 301-649-2768

> (C) 240-671-7201

>=20

>=20

> On May 25=2C 2010=2C at 4:37 PM=2C Butterworth=2C Michael wrote:

>=20

>> How much I worry about that depends on how much we would suffer if

>> the service stopped=2C by our choice or someone else's.

>> -----

>> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>>

>>

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

>> Sent: Tue May 25 16:25:42 2010

>> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>

>> AAPOR already is on Facebook and Twitter.

>>

>>

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

>> Sent: Tue May 25 16:22:42 2010

>> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>

>> I would strongly agree that AAPOR should stay away from any commercial

>> social networking site like Facebook or Twitter.

>>

>> A major consideration=2C IMO. should be that AAPOR remain in sole

>> possession of any information posted on any such system=2C and that it

>> should not be made available for commercial purposes or data mining.

>>

>> Although I haven't investigated this myself=2C there are now a

>> variety of

>> software packages that let groups create and manage their own forums.

>> Since there is likely to be a trade-off between cost=2C support=2C

>> features

>> and ease of administration=2C any choice should not be made lightly.

>>

>> Jan Werner

>>

>>

>>

>> Butterworth=2C Michael wrote:

>>> I hope that by referring to "the rise of social networking tools" the

>>> subcommittee did not mean to imply the possibility of using a  
>>> proprietary networking site like facebook or twitter. These sites  
>>> can  
>>> change their rules or be discontinued at the whim of the proprietor.  
>>> Ads=2C increased usage charges and appropriation of information are  
>>> among  
>>> the obvious possibilities. I don't know the implications of  
>>> setting up  
>>> our own social networking system=2C in terms of the government  
>>> restrictions that Anne Hartman refers to.

>>> -----Original Message-----  
>>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Hartman=2C Anne  
>>> (NIH/NCI) [E]  
>>> Sent: Tuesday=2C May 25=2C 2010 3:00 PM  
>>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>>> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>> Hi Colleen=2C  
>>>  
>>> Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal  
>>> government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to  
>>> go to  
>>> facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent  
>>> doing my  
>>> federal gov't work on work systems.

>>>  
>>> Best=2C  
>>>  
>>> Anne  
>>>  
>>> Anne M. Hartman  
>>> Biostatistician  
>>> Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch  
>>> Applied Research Program  
>>> Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences  
>>> National Cancer Institute  
>>> EPN 4005  
>>> 6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344  
>>> Bethesda=2C MD 20892-7344  
>>> Phone: 301-496-4970  
>>> FAX: 301-435-3710  
>>> E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov  
>>> Web Page:<<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> -----Original Message-----  
>>> From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
>>> Sent: Tuesday=2C May 25=2C 2010 9:28 AM  
>>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>>> Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>>



>>> For more than 15 years AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion  
>>> creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.  
>>>  
>>> But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
>>> developments in technology it is time to think about how things are  
>>> going fix anything that isn't working and ensure that AAPORnet  
>>> remains  
>>> a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the  
>>> exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning any change  
>>> will  
>>> not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments  
>>> before  
>>> they take place with all efforts made to ensure as painless a  
>>> transition as possible.  
>>>  
>>> To steer that effort I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on  
>>> the  
>>> Future of AAPORnet assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.  
>>>  
>>> We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best  
>>> serve  
>>> members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or  
>>> bring up  
>>> issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on  
>>> the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to  
>>> share  
>>> your opinions on key issues.  
>>>  
>>> Finally we should note that while several committee members are  
>>> frequent posters to AAPORnet our priority in this effort is  
>>> serving the  
>>> AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive  
>>> criticism as we make this change and we welcome your thoughts.  
>>>  
>>> Best  
>>>  
>>> Colleen Porter  
>>> colleen\_porter@cox.net  
>>> 352-215-1192  
>>>  
>>> Adam Safir  
>>> safir.adam@bls.gov  
>>>  
>>> Leo Simonetta  
>>> simonetta@artsci.com  
>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message write to:  
>>> aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message=2C write to:  
>>> aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message=2C write to: aapornet-  
>>> request@asu.edu  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message=2C write to: aapornet-  
>>> request@asu.edu  
>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message=2C write to: aapornet-  
>>> request@asu.edu  
>=20  
>=20  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message=2C write to: aapornet-request@asu.e=  
du

=

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 14:06:01 -0400  
Reply-To: "Trussell, Norman" <[Norman.Trussell@NIELSEN.COM](mailto:Norman.Trussell@NIELSEN.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Trussell, Norman" <[Norman.Trussell@NIELSEN.COM](mailto:Norman.Trussell@NIELSEN.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: A<[BLU118-W5783F9BC62D21D446C71EBDE90@phx.gbl](mailto:BLU118-W5783F9BC62D21D446C71EBDE90@phx.gbl)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Brittne makes a good point. Perhaps a good direction to go would be something along the lines of the Marketing Research Roundtable (<http://forum.researchinfo.com/>) of which some of you may be familiar. This site offers many enhanced features and might serve as a good model to work from.

I would assume that there would be a fairly significant amount of development work and ongoing maintenance required to get it going and keep it running, but the enhanced features could overcome a lot of the issues that people have with constantly being bombarded with email messages on topics they do not care about. The ability to have threads sorted by topic may be especially attractive to some as one would only need to go to a particular folder to view a limitless number of posts on that topic. I would think it would be available via Smartphone or PDA as well.

Norm Trussell  
Research Project Specialist  
Research Methods COE  
The Nielsen Company  
501 Brooker Creek  
Oldsmar, Florida 34677

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Brittne Nelson  
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 12:43 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

Wow, the sentiment seems to be that people aren't open to any change but I can see several opportunities for improvement to listserv communication. I enjoy the snippets that are often sent but if I want to do anything with that email, I better print it out or forward it immediately or it will get lost in an avalanche of other emails. The ability to tag and categorize discussions would be helpful (for example, referring back to discussions about cell phones, etc). Also, the option to highlight or receive notices when specific people post would be useful to me. Finally, some topics are not of interest to me, so the ability to self-select what I receive would be useful as well. These are just my top of mind ideas but rather than focus on why things shouldn't change I would enjoy seeing a discussion akin to "If things do change, what would change look like?"

Brittne M. Nelson  
Research Analyst  
AARP State Research  
601 E. St NW  
202.434.6307

"However beautiful the strategy, you should occasionally look at the

results." -- Winston Churchill

> Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 09:01:04 -0400  
> From: miltrgold@COMCAST.NET  
> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>  
> Over the years, AAPORNET has provided me with enormous value, in the  
> information it passes to and among members, and in giving any member  
> the chance to readily respond to others or post information of  
> interest. As one quick example, the recent exchange of information on  
> scaling texts available was very useful. We should not lose that  
> knowledge and networking resource by changing to another medium, in  
> such a way that we "throw out the baby with the bathwater." Put  
> another way, if it's not broken, why fix it?

>  
> As the longtime Publicity Coordinator for a nonprofit organization,  
> I'm now following that organization's gradual transition to Facebook  
> and YouTube videos. I see benefits to enhancing, NOT discarding the  
> list serv that org uses. In the same way, using AAPORNET to the  
> fullest should be our goal, to provide an avenue for information  
> exchange to all.

> Milton Goldsamt

> Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.  
> Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician Silver Spring, MD  
> miltrgold@comcast.net  
> 301-649-2768  
> (C) 240-671-7201

> On May 25, 2010, at 4:37 PM, Butterworth, Michael wrote:

>> How much I worry about that depends on how much we would suffer if  
>> the service stopped, by our choice or someone else's.

>> -----  
>> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
>> Sent: Tue May 25 16:25:42 2010  
>> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>> AAPOR already is on Facebook and Twitter.

>>

>>

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

>> Sent: Tue May 25 16:22:42 2010

>> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>

>> I would strongly agree that AAPOR should stay away from any

>> commercial social networking site like Facebook or Twitter.

>>

>> A major consideration, IMO. should be that AAPOR remain in sole

>> possession of any information posted on any such system, and that it

>> should not be made available for commercial purposes or data mining.

>>

>> Although I haven't investigated this myself, there are now a variety

>> of software packages that let groups create and manage their own

>> forums.

>> Since there is likely to be a trade-off between cost, support,

>> features and ease of administration, any choice should not be made

>> lightly.

>>

>> Jan Werner

>> \_\_\_\_\_

>>

>>

>>

>> Butterworth, Michael wrote:

>>> I hope that by referring to "the rise of social networking tools"

>>> the subcommittee did not mean to imply the possibility of using a

>>> proprietary networking site like facebook or twitter. These sites

>>> can change their rules or be discontinued at the whim of the

>>> proprietor.

>>> Ads, increased usage charges and appropriation of information are

>>> among the obvious possibilities. I don't know the implications of

>>> setting up our own social networking system, in terms of the

>>> government restrictions that Anne Hartman refers to.

>>>

>>> -----Original Message-----

>>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Hartman, Anne

>>> (NIH/NCI) [E]

>>> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 3:00 PM

>>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>>> Subject: Re: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>>

>>> Hi Colleen,

>>>

>>> Hope you will keep in mind that at the present time the federal

>>> government employees at least at some agencies are not allowed to

>>> go to facebook or twitter. Most of my time on the internet is spent

>>> doing my federal gov't work on work systems.

>>>

>>> Best,  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> Anne  
>>>  
>>> Anne M. Hartman  
>>> Biostatistician  
>>> Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch Applied Research Program  
>>> Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences National Cancer  
>>> Institute EPN 4005 6130 Executive Blvd MSC 7344 Bethesda, MD  
>>> 20892-7344  
>>> Phone: 301-496-4970  
>>> FAX: 301-435-3710  
>>> E-Mail: HartmanA@mail.nih.gov or Anne\_Hartman@nih.gov Web  
>>> Page:<<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/>>

>>>  
>>>  
>>>

>>> -----Original Message-----  
>>> From: Colleen Porter [mailto:colleen\_porter@COX.NET]  
>>> Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 9:28 AM  
>>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>>> Subject: Potential SPAM: Future of AAPORnet

>>>  
>>> For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion,  
>>> creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.  
>>>  
>>> But with the rise of various social networking tools and new  
>>> developments in technology, it is time to think about how things  
>>> are going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that  
>>> AAPORnet remains a valuable resource for members. We should note  
>>> that while the exploration and evaluation of options is now  
>>> beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members will be updated

>>> about new developments before they take place, with all efforts  
>>> made to ensure as painless a transition as possible.

>>>  
>>> To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on  
>>> the Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

>>>  
>>> We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best  
>>> serve members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or  
>>> bring up issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some  
>>> related items on the upcoming membership survey will also provide  
>>> an opportunity to share your opinions on key issues.

>>>  
>>> Finally, we should note that while several committee members are  
>>> frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is  
>>> serving the AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and  
>>> constructive criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your  
>>> thoughts.

>>>  
>>> Best,  
>>>

>>> Colleen Porter  
>>> colleen\_porter@cox.net  
>>> 352-215-1192

>>>  
>>> Adam Safir  
>>> safir.adam@bls.gov

>>>  
>>> Leo Simonetta  
>>> simonetta@artsci.com

>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-  
>>> request@asu.edu

>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-  
>>> request@asu.edu

>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-  
>>> request@asu.edu

>>>  
>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>>> set aapornet nomail  
>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before  
>>> quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before  
quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 14:58:24 -0400  
Reply-To: John Healy <[jhealy@NYSUTMAIL.ORG](mailto:jhealy@NYSUTMAIL.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: John Healy <[jhealy@NYSUTMAIL.ORG](mailto:jhealy@NYSUTMAIL.ORG)>  
Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU, [colleen\\_porter@COX.NET](mailto:colleen_porter@COX.NET)  
In-Reply-To: <20100525092825.J9JV3.460542.imal@eastrmwml29>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
Content-Disposition: inline

Social Networking 'ain't' social. Twitter and Facebook - well, there are no faces in Facebook, no authentic encounters, there is no genuine human interaction. And Twitter - the brand name speaks for itself.

But, with that said, they can't, at least for the cultural moment, be overlooked as conduits to and for communicating in the manner of the popular masses, and learning from them, I suppose.

If I ever use Twitter or Facebook I will become an evil person or something probably, but I cannot deny them; they are vacuous, but they are everywhere.

If we deny it, we will become less relevant, at least in the short run, in the discussion of where and how we discuss what is important to us, and we would maintain too rigid and narrow a forum for those views - I dislike elitism and I do not want to pander to or succumb to the least common denominator of popular culture.

It's a thin line to be sure.

John Healy  
Manager, Polling Center  
NYSUT  
800 Troy-Schenectady Road  
Latham, NY 12110-2455  
Office: (518) 213-6000  
Fax: (518) 213-6409



x.6680  
jhealy@nysutmail.org

>>> "Colleen Porter" <colleen\_porter@COX.NET> 5/25/2010 9:28 AM >>>

For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion, creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

But with the rise of various social networking tools and new developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a transition as possible.

To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share your opinions on key issues.

Finally, we should note that while several committee members are frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

Best,

Colleen Porter  
colleen\_porter@cox.net  
352-215-1192

Adam Safir  
safir.adam@bls.gov

Leo Simonetta  
simonetta@artsci.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 12:23:09 -0700  
Reply-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>  
Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: John Healy <jhealy@NYSUTMAIL.ORG>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To: <4BFD3710020000360005334C@nysutmail.org>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I used to feel that way...until I started using Facebook regularly. Yes, there is some foolishness there, and the format and style of communication does lend itself more easily to superficial-style posts. But there is indeed genuine human interaction going on. It is not all a vacuous electronic wasteland. I don't really use Twitter, but it has recently shown its value as the first source of on-the-ground, instant news about what is going on in the world, as it was in the Haitian earthquake, the Iran political crackdown, etc. As noted, you can't ignore it, it is not going away, it is only going to grow...and perhaps it does have some useful social value after all (see links).

And I agree with Brittne that it would be useful to be able to categorize AAPORnet postings - good point Brittne.

<http://www.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1905125,00.html>

[http://money.cnn.com/2010/01/13/technology/twitter\\_haiti\\_donations/index.htm](http://money.cnn.com/2010/01/13/technology/twitter_haiti_donations/index.htm)

Jane  
Jane H. Traub  
Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003  
(646) 654-8454  
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of John Healy  
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 2:58 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet

Social Networking 'ain't' social. Twitter and Facebook - well, there are no faces in Facebook, no authentic encounters, there is no genuine human interaction. And Twitter - the brand name speaks for itself.

But, with that said, they can't, at least for the cultural moment, be overlooked as conduits to and for communicating in the manner of the

popular masses, and learning from them, I suppose.

If I ever use Twitter or Facebook I will become an evil person or something probably, but I cannot deny them; they are vacuous, but they are everywhere.

If we deny it, we will become less relevant, at least in the short run, in the discussion of where and how we discuss what is important to us, and we would maintain too rigid and narrow a forum for those views - I dislike elitism and I do not want to pander to or succumb to the least common denominator of popular culture.

It's a thin line to be sure.

John Healy  
Manager, Polling Center  
NYSUT  
800 Troy-Schenectady Road  
Latham, NY 12110-2455  
Office: (518) 213-6000  
Fax: (518) 213-6409  
x.6680  
jhealy@nysutmail.org

>>> "Colleen Porter" <colleen\_porter@COX.NET> 5/25/2010 9:28 AM >>>

For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion, creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

But with the rise of various social networking tools and new developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a transition as possible.

To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share your opinions on key issues.

Finally, we should note that while several committee members are frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

Best,

Colleen Porter  
colleen\_porter@cox.net  
352-215-1192

Adam Safir  
safir.adam@bls.gov

Leo Simonetta  
simonetta@artsci.com

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 15:22:38 -0400  
Reply-To: Alex Lundry <[alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM](mailto:alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Alex Lundry <[alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM](mailto:alundry@TARGETPOINTCONSULTING.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
In-Reply-To: <[4BFD3710020000360005334C@nysutmail.org](mailto:4BFD3710020000360005334C@nysutmail.org)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

John - I ask this question sincerely: Have you ever been on Twitter? Do you actually understand what it is and what it's capable of?

To anyone that hasn't, now's a great excuse to actually see what it's all about...here are a few places to start:

The list that AAPOR put together of all AAPOR members on Twitter  
<http://twitter.com/AAPOR/aapormembers>  
Or this list of pollsters: <http://twitter.com/alexlundry/pollsters>  
Or the twitter feeds of three AAPOR members that are prolific tweeters.  
<http://twitter.com/PollsAndVotes>

<http://twitter.com/MysteryPollster>  
<http://twitter.com/mikemokr>

- Alex Lundry

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of John Healy  
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 2:58 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet

Social Networking 'ain't' social. Twitter and Facebook - well, there are no faces in Facebook, no authentic encounters, there is no genuine human interaction. And Twitter - the brand name speaks for itself.

But, with that said, they can't, at least for the cultural moment, be overlooked as conduits to and for communicating in the manner of the popular masses, and learning from them, I suppose.

If I ever use Twitter or Facebook I will become an evil person or something probably, but I cannot deny them; they are vacuous, but they are everywhere.

If we deny it, we will become less relevant, at least in the short run, in the discussion of where and how we discuss what is important to us, and we would maintain too rigid and narrow a forum for those views - I dislike elitism and I do not want to pander to or succumb to the least common denominator of popular culture.

It's a thin line to be sure.

John Healy  
Manager, Polling Center  
NYSUT  
800 Troy-Schenectady Road  
Latham, NY 12110-2455  
Office: (518) 213-6000  
Fax: (518) 213-6409  
x.6680  
jhealy@nysutmail.org

>>> "Colleen Porter" <colleen\_porter@COX.NET> 5/25/2010 9:28 AM >>>

For more than 15 years, AAPORnet has provided realtime discussion, creating an electronic community among AAPOR members.

But with the rise of various social networking tools and new developments in technology, it is time to think about how things are going, fix anything that isn't working, and ensure that AAPORnet remains a valuable resource for members. We should note that while the exploration and evaluation of options is now beginning, any change will not happen soon. Members will be updated about new developments before they take place, with all efforts made to ensure as painless a

transition as possible.

To steer that effort, I have been asked to chair a subcommittee on the Future of AAPORnet, assisted by Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta.

We welcome your input about how this electronic community can best serve members in the years ahead. You are invited to contact us or bring up issues for discussion here on AAPORnet itself. Some related items on the upcoming membership survey will also provide an opportunity to share your opinions on key issues.

Finally, we should note that while several committee members are frequent posters to AAPORnet, our priority in this effort is serving the AAPOR community. Everything is open to comment and constructive criticism as we make this change, and we welcome your thoughts.

Best,

Colleen Porter  
colleen\_porter@cox.net  
352-215-1192

Adam Safir  
safir.adam@bls.gov

Leo Simonetta  
simonetta@artsci.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 17:31:08 -0400  
Reply-To: [jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jan Werner <[jwerner@JWDP.COM](mailto:jwerner@JWDP.COM)>

Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing  
Subject: AAPORNET and the future  
X-To: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I've been a big fan of AAPORNET since it began. I believe it is what has kept AAPOR a "Meeting Place," even as membership and conference attendance have grown to the point where it is now impossible to meet and converse with everyone I would like to. Many AAPOR members know each other only through AAPORNET, as I was reminded once again at the Chicago conference earlier this month.

An email-based message board has worked well for us, but there are drawbacks. It is often hard to follow topics and, although messages are archived and can be retrieved, it is a cumbersome process. The volume of emails can be overwhelming at times and some members avoid AAPORNET altogether because they find the constant flow of messages distracting.

Social networking sites like Facebook and Twitter have their uses, and there is nothing wrong with AAPOR having a presence on them, but they are commercial enterprises whose business model is based on mining the content of users' messages to sell targeted advertising. I don't believe that kind of thing would be a suitable venue for AAPORNET.

Technologies are available today that were not 15 years ago and that could make AAPORNET into a more useful tool for all of us. I think it is important, however, that AAPOR itself retain control over whatever is decided upon, and that it be portable, should the need to change sites or providers arise in the future.

Norm Trussell mentioned the Marketing Research Roundtable (<http://forum.researchinfo.com/>) and I agree that it provides a good model to start from, but there are other forums that provide different features and capabilities, so there is a lot to explore.

I'm looking forward to this discussion and I'd like to thank Colleen Porter, Adam Safir and Leo Simonetta for leading the way toward what I hope will be an even better AAPORNET.

Jan Werner

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 18:55:39 -0400  
Reply-To: Barry Hollander <[barry@UGA.EDU](mailto:barry@UGA.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Barry Hollander <barry@UGA.EDU>  
Subject: Re: AAPORNET and the future  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <4BFD931C.3010504@jwdp.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A listserv is cutting-edge technology -- for the 1980s. A mail list is clumsy, it's hard to follow discussion threads, and has a high noise to signal ratio. That said, in its favor is ease of use for many, a certain tradition, and email is typically available in so many ways today, both on a computer or mobile technology. A listserv pushes to everyone rather than us having to "go" to a source of info, like a discussion board. That's a plus to some.

If AAPOR is serious, then I'd recommend a task force investigate various ways to host a small, private social networking site or something that marries a discussion board with newer technology. It may be the cost and necessary expertise puts it out of bounds for the organization.

Plus people hate learning something new.

There might be a way to use the server that runs the AAPOR site along with Wordpress to create a private site that does many of the things our email list does, but I'm not sure what we'd gain. Haven't really given it a lot of thought.

---

Barry Hollander  
Department of Journalism  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602  
www.barryhollander.com  
www.whatpeopleknow.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 20:14:47 -0400



Reply-To: Susan Losh <slosh@FSU.EDU>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Susan Losh <slosh@FSU.EDU>  
Subject: Re: AAPORNET and the future  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <20100526185539.PYY01858@punts2.cc.uga.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Disposition: inline  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I agree with a lot of Barry's comments. But one thought it triggered for me is some of our colleagues, who for whatever reason, either have limited technology, limited access, or limited connection time, including many of our government colleagues who have limited access to sites such as Facebook or Twitter during the work day. Facebook can be fun; I am not a Twitter person myself (does anyone really want my daily tweets in 140 characters?) but obviously lots of people use both.

But if I had limited technology, connection time or access, I suspect I would put more of it on email and somewhat less on the social networking sites. So perhaps for the near future we forge ahead on two fronts, our listserv with perhaps filter and header selections and our "new technology" wing.

Susan

----- Original Message -----

From: Barry Hollander <barry@UGA.EDU>  
Date: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 7:00 pm  
Subject: Re: AAPORNET and the future  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> A listserv is cutting-edge technology -- for  
> the 1980s. A mail list is clumsy, it's hard  
> to follow discussion threads, and has a high  
> noise to signal ratio. That said, in its favor  
> is ease of use for many, a certain tradition,  
> and email is typically available in so many  
> ways today, both on a computer or mobile  
> technology. A listserv pushes to everyone  
> rather than us having to "go" to a source of  
> info, like a discussion board. That's a plus  
> to some.  
>  
> If AAPOR is serious, then I'd recommend  
> a task force investigate various ways to host  
> a small, private social networking site or  
> something that marries a discussion board with  
> newer technology. It may be the cost and  
> necessary expertise puts it out of bounds  
> for the organization.  
>  
> Plus people hate learning something new.  
>

> There might be a way to use the server that  
> runs the AAPOR site along with Wordpress to  
> create a private site that does many of the  
> things our email list does, but I'm not sure  
> what we'd gain. Haven't really given it a  
> lot of thought.  
> \_\_\_\_\_  
>  
> Barry Hollander  
> Department of Journalism  
> University of Georgia  
> Athens, GA 30602  
> www.barryhollander.com  
> www.whatpeopleknow.com  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
> [request@asu.edu](mailto:request@asu.edu)

I try to take one day at a time but sometimes several days attack me all at once. Anonymous.

Susan Carol Losh, PhD

Department of Educational Psychology  
and Learning Systems  
Florida State University  
Tallahassee FL 32306-4453

VOICE (850) 644-8778  
FAX (850) 644-8776

American Statistical Association/NSF Research Fellow  
<http://mailer.fsu.edu/~slosh/index.html>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 17:21:43 -0700  
Reply-To: Jill E Darling <[jill@DARLINGRESEARCH.COM](mailto:jill@DARLINGRESEARCH.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jill E Darling <[jill@DARLINGRESEARCH.COM](mailto:jill@DARLINGRESEARCH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: AAPORNET and the future  
X-To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)

In-Reply-To: <20100526185539.PYY01858@punts2.cc.uga.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm pretty old-school so I don't mind the listserv. I communicate via email most of the time anyway these days. AAPORnet posts drop neatly into their own topic-threaded folder in Outlook and don't show up as unread mail so if they pile up I don't care.

That said, I am not averse to changes that make life easier such as smart phones and being able to download movies right to my TV. However, since my best point of reference for something better than a listserv is just as old school (bulletin boards) I can't offer suggestions, but I can say what I like and don't like.

What I like about AAPORnet now:

- Posting access granted only to members
- Ease of use. Reading and sending email is simple, and I can read posts very easily at home, on my Blackberry, or on my Touch.
- I'd like something that has at least Outlook's functionality. I can tag posts for later reading, organize folders any way I choose, divert posts to trash and never see them if I get tired of a person or a topic, and am notified if favorite topics arise.

What I'd like to have:

- Posts compiled neatly somewhere other than my hard drive, under related subject headings, available to search through and revisit as needed, forever.

What I don't want is to have to sign up for something that:

- assumes I want to make all of my personal information available to the universe
- sends me constant updates about things, people, or activities I don't care anything about
- uses rollover or other bothersome ads that make it impossible to navigate
- sells any information it can glean from me to the highest bidder
- forces me to check privacy settings and notification preferences once a week to make sure they are still set the way I want them.
- I can't get to from my Blackberry

Anyway, thanks to the committee for taking this on. I look forward to hearing what you come up with. Might want to get input from Net-gen folks, who seem to have been born to share information. Just don't listen to them when they say we need to limit input to some tiny number of characters.

Jill Darling

---

Darling Research  
818-510-0089 (office)

323-240-6622 (mobile)  
jill@darlingresearch.com

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 21:04:12 -0400  
Reply-To: howard schuman <[hschuman@UMICH.EDU](mailto:hschuman@UMICH.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: howard schuman <[hschuman@UMICH.EDU](mailto:hschuman@UMICH.EDU)>  
Subject: In need of a Russian survey  
X-To: aapor <[aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I would like to be able to include 2 or 3 short semi-open questions in a trustworthy Russian ongoing or omnibus survey. It could be country-wide, or in a major city like Moscow, or something in between. The questions would be non-political, and fairly easy to ask, and costs would be covered. Will welcome any leads. hs

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 21:19:07 -0400  
Reply-To: Joyce Rachelson <[j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET](mailto:j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Joyce Rachelson <[j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET](mailto:j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET)>  
Subject: Re: In need of a Russian survey  
X-To: howard schuman <[hschuman@UMICH.EDU](mailto:hschuman@UMICH.EDU)>  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[4BFDC50C.1040407@umich.edu](mailto:4BFDC50C.1040407@umich.edu)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Try these two:

Ms. Aigul Mavletova  
Online Market Intelligence  
[maletova@yandex.ru](mailto:maletova@yandex.ru)

Boris Lyukmanov

Online Market Intelligence  
boris.lyukmanov@gmail.com

I've met both of them at various conferences.

Joyce

On 5/26/2010 9:04 PM, howard schuman wrote:

> I would like to be able to include 2 or 3 short semi-open questions in a  
> trustworthy Russian ongoing or omnibus survey. It could be country-wide,  
> or in a major city like Moscow, or something in between. The questions  
> would be non-political, and fairly easy to ask, and costs would be  
> covered. Will welcome any leads.   hs

>  
> -----

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

--

Joyce Rachelson, PRC  
Dir. Marketing & Sales  
Ocucom  
[JoyceR@ocucom.com](mailto:JoyceR@ocucom.com)  
+1 513-846-9353

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 23:39:53 -0400  
Reply-To: Michael McDonald <[mmcdon@GMU.EDU](mailto:mmcdon@GMU.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Michael McDonald <[mmcdon@GMU.EDU](mailto:mmcdon@GMU.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: AAPORNET and the future  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[004601cafd32\\$95ceca70\\$c16c5f50\\$@com](mailto:004601cafd32$95ceca70$c16c5f50$@com)>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I hope that despite this emphasis on Facebook and Twitter that some other collaborative tools are considered. I would for one would like to see a wiki, where people can post data (and replication data), papers, reports, and collaboratively develop essays on important topics. There are, of course, data archives like ROPER, ICPSR, and the dataverse

(www.thedata.org). I'm thinking more along the lines of datasets that would benefit from collaborative editing.

---

Dr. Michael P. McDonald  
Associate Professor, George Mason University  
Non-Resident Senior Fellow, Brookings Institution

Mailing address:

(o) 703-993-4191      George Mason University  
(f) 703-993-1399      Dept. of Public and International Affairs  
mmdon@gmu.edu      4400 University Drive - 3F4  
<http://elections.gmu.edu>      Fairfax, VA 22030-4444

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU] On Behalf Of Jill E Darling  
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 8:22 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: AAPORNET and the future

I'm pretty old-school so I don't mind the listserv. I communicate via email most of the time anyway these days. AAPORnet posts drop neatly into their own topic-threaded folder in Outlook and don't show up as unread mail so if they pile up I don't care.

That said, I am not averse to changes that make life easier such as smart phones and being able to download movies right to my TV. However, since my best point of reference for something better than a listserv is just as old school (bulletin boards) I can't offer suggestions, but I can say what I like and don't like.

What I like about AAPORnet now:

- Posting access granted only to members
- Ease of use. Reading and sending email is simple, and I can read posts very easily at home, on my Blackberry, or on my Touch.
- I'd like something that has at least Outlook's functionality. I can tag posts for later reading, organize folders any way I choose, divert posts to trash and never see them if I get tired of a person or a topic, and am notified if favorite topics arise.

What I'd like to have:

- Posts compiled neatly somewhere other than my hard drive, under related subject headings, available to search through and revisit as needed, forever.

What I don't want is to have to sign up for something that:

- assumes I want to make all of my personal information available to the universe
- sends me constant updates about things, people, or activities I don't care anything about

- uses rollover or other bothersome ads that make it impossible to navigate
- sells any information it can glean from me to the highest bidder
- forces me to check privacy settings and notification preferences once a week to make sure they are still set the way I want them.
- I can't get to from my Blackberry

Anyway, thanks to the committee for taking this on. I look forward to hearing what you come up with. Might want to get input from Net-gen folks, who seem to have been born to share information. Just don't listen to them when they say we need to limit input to some tiny number of characters.

Jill Darling

---

Darling Research  
818-510-0089 (office)  
323-240-6622 (mobile)  
jill@darlingresearch.com

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 05:15:24 +0000  
Reply-To: [jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com](mailto:jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "James P. Murphy" <[jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM](mailto:jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM)>  
Organization: J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY  
Subject: The Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The initial post by Colleen Porter ("as we make this change") seems to imply that an alteration is preordained. I find the stated justification for movement towards (or even consideration of) social networking media to be flimsy. The fact that these exist and are widely used is hardly evidence that they would be an improvement over the current AAPORnet. As has been mentioned, they are commercial operations with significant ownership and administrative ramifications for users.

When I consider the sets of names I associate with different facets of AAPOR -- such as people who publish in POQ, those I associate with various programs and activities, and those who post at least occasionally on AAPORnet -- I find they are only partially overlapping groups. I think the initiative for any changes in AAPORnet should come from within the community of active users and whatever group -- if one exists -- of non-users who avoid it because there are things about it they do not like AND who would use and contribute to a differently operated system. If they exist, those folks should be telling us what is wrong with things now and how they could be better. Also, their complaints should do more than reflect insufficient knowledge of how to manage personal email.

If there are members who regularly read and feel they benefit from AAPORnet postings but -- for whatever reasons -- do not post themselves, I hope they would chime in because, if they do not, something they value will disappear and it will be without anyone being aware they would rather that not have happened.

The biggest problem I have observed with AAPORnet is that many elite practitioners never contribute. This may result from their projects and methodologies being proprietary, from being handcuffed by Sarbanes Oxley or other corporate policies, from being too busy, or who knows what else. I cannot envision any type of social media changing that but it would be lovely if one day something did.

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.  
J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY  
609 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.  
772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.  
610 408 8800 Mobile  
<http://www.jpMurphy.com/>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 07:45:00 -0400  
Reply-To: "Painter, John S." <[PainterJS@VMI.EDU](mailto:PainterJS@VMI.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Painter, John S." <[PainterJS@VMI.EDU](mailto:PainterJS@VMI.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: AAPORNET and the future  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I concur with this line of thinking and would like to extend it to include some means / method / tool for summarizing (not sure how, just an idea) threads from the list. Some folks graciously post a summary of a lengthy thread, but many of us do not. =20





my  
best point of reference for something better than a listserv is just as =  
old  
school (bulletin boards) I can't offer suggestions, but I can say what I  
like and don't like.

What I like about AAPORnet now:

- Posting access granted only to members
- Ease of use. Reading and sending email is simple, and I can read posts very easily at home, on my Blackberry, or on my Touch.
- I'd like something that has at least Outlook's functionality. I can = tag posts for later reading, organize folders any way I choose, divert posts = to trash and never see them if I get tired of a person or a topic, and am notified if favorite topics arise.

What I'd like to have:

- Posts compiled neatly somewhere other than my hard drive, under = related subject headings, available to search through and revisit as needed, forever.

What I don't want is to have to sign up for something that:

- assumes I want to make all of my personal information available to the universe
- sends me constant updates about things, people, or activities I don't = care anything about
- uses rollover or other bothersome ads that make it impossible to = navigate
- sells any information it can glean from me to the highest bidder
- forces me to check privacy settings and notification preferences once = a week to make sure they are still set the way I want them.
- I can't get to from my Blackberry

Anyway, thanks to the committee for taking this on. I look forward to hearing what you come up with. Might want to get input from Net-gen = folks, who seem to have been born to share information. Just don't listen to = them when they say we need to limit input to some tiny number of characters.

Jill Darling

---

Darling Research  
818-510-0089 (office)  
323-240-6622 (mobile)  
jill@darlingresearch.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: =  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: =  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 05:56:38 -0700  
Reply-To: Matthias Kretschmer <[m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM](mailto:m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Matthias Kretschmer <[m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM](mailto:m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

It looks like there is some gap between certain segments of AAPOR members=  
,  
but I am not sure where the line is being drawn. I don't know what Colle=  
en  
planned to ask in the membership survey, but it looks almost as though th=  
e  
communication platforms are a topic and survey on their own.

Maybe some did a little bit of analysis on the use of AAPORnet but to me =  
it  
feels like 90% of the posts come from 5% of the members. If that number =  
is  
in the right ball park, I would love to know why that is the case and not=

just what platforms people want - are 95% of the members intimidated? Is  
this not the right platform for them anymore? Can one platform serve all =  
needs?

Matthias Kretschmer

The Taylor Research & Consulting Group, Inc.  
Phone: 603-422-7618 Fax: 603-422-7610  
matthiask@thetaylorgroup.com  
www.thetaylorgroup.com

-----  
http://www.aapor.org  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 09:00:08 -0400  
Reply-To: CKreider <[ckreider@KREIDERRESEARCH.COM](mailto:ckreider@KREIDERRESEARCH.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: CKreider <[ckreider@KREIDERRESEARCH.COM](mailto:ckreider@KREIDERRESEARCH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[W36793395694111274937324@webmail49](mailto:W36793395694111274937324@webmail49)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

As a non-poster but interested reader, I am being cooperative and contributing  
my 1.5 cents worth (it's early, only one cup of coffee, not yet up to being  
worth 2 cents):

I value AAPORNET highly, as it currently exists. If I wanted to be more  
easily  
able to classify discussions and follow them coherently, I would change how  
I  
receive them -- the daily indexed version is much more efficient, and  
when I  
want to ignore AAPORNET for a week or two I switch. However, I am a fan  
of  
serendipity as a learning tool, and find the seeming randomness of postings  
here  
a source of enlightenment -- as well as, at times, amusement and/or aggravation.

Since both AAPOR and AAPORNET are "big tents" (thank goodness) and growing,  
it  
seems inevitable that different needs arise. I know there is a basic  
"how to  
use AAPORNET" (actually I think it is generic "using listserv") instruction  
manual out there (where?) and I suspect if we spent more time reading it there

current framework could be much more diverse than most of us know.

The social networking sites are, in my limited experience, ill-suited for the discussions here; I would be disappointed if we were to be forcibly moved to such a format. Saying that, however, reminds me of my late boss, who never learned that a computer is not just a typewriter with built-in whiteout. Expanding the means and uses of conversation about research seems a good thing; starting with user-friendly set up of the existing means might be surprisingly effective.

Christine Kreider, MPA  
Kreider Research and Consulting  
Waterville, Maine

On May 26, 2010 at 10:15 PM "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM> wrote:

> The initial post by Colleen Porter ("as we make this change") seems to imply that an alteration is preordained. I find the stated justification for movement towards (or even consideration of) social networking media to be flimsy. The fact that these exist and are widely used is hardly evidence that they would be an improvement over the current AAPORnet. As has been mentioned, they are commercial operations with significant ownership and administrative ramifications for users.

> When I consider the sets of names I associate with different facets of AAPOR -- such as people who publish in POQ, those I associate with various programs and activities, and those who post at least occasionally on AAPORnet -- I find they are only partially overlapping groups. I think the initiative for any changes in AAPORnet should come from within the community of active users and whatever group -- if one exists -- of non-users who avoid it because there are things about it they do not like AND who would use and contribute to a differently operated system. If they exist, those folks should be telling us what is wrong with things now and how they could be better. Also, their complaints should do more than reflect insufficient knowledge of how to manage personal email.

>  
> If there are members who regularly read and feel they benefit from AAPORnet  
> et  
> postings but -- for whatever reasons -- do not post themselves, I hope they  
> would chime in because, if they do not, something they value will disappear  
> and it will be without anyone being aware they would rather that not have  
> happened.

>  
> The biggest problem I have observed with AAPORnet is that many elite  
> practitioners never contribute. This may result from their projects and  
> methodologies being proprietary, from being handcuffed by Sarbanes Oxley =  
> or  
> other corporate policies, from being too busy, or who knows what else. I  
> cannot envision any type of social media changing that but it would be lovely  
> if one day something did.

>  
> James P. Murphy, Ph.D.  
> J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY  
> 609 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.  
> 772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.  
> 610 408 8800 Mobile  
> <http://www.jpmmurphy.com/>

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 09:31:16 -0400  
Reply-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <[MXB@CBSNEWS.COM](mailto:MXB@CBSNEWS.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Butterworth, Michael" <[MXB@CBSNEWS.COM](mailto:MXB@CBSNEWS.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: Matthias Kretschmer <[m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM](mailto:m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM)>, [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[LISTSERV%201005270556389073.C6F4@LISTS.ASU.EDU](mailto:LISTSERV%201005270556389073.C6F4@LISTS.ASU.EDU)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Is that bad? Is it different from participation in any group, formal or informal? I have a similar impression of questions during conference

sessions.

Do we want a consciousness-raising device, where a low Gini coefficient of postings is an end in itself? Or is the number of people reading the posts and finding a reasonable proportion useful or interesting more to the point?

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Matthias Kretschmer

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 8:57 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: Future of AAPORnet

It looks like there is some gap between certain segments of AAPOR members,

but I am not sure where the line is being drawn. I don't know what

Colleen

planned to ask in the membership survey, but it looks almost as though the

communication platforms are a topic and survey on their own.

Maybe some did a little bit of analysis on the use of AAPORnet but to me it

feels like 90% of the posts come from 5% of the members. If that number is

in the right ball park, I would love to know why that is the case and not

just what platforms people want - are 95% of the members intimidated? Is this not the right platform for them anymore? Can one platform serve all needs?

Matthias Kretschmer

The Taylor Research & Consulting Group, Inc.

Phone: 603-422-7618 Fax: 603-422-7610

matthiask@thetaylorgroup.com

www.thetaylorgroup.com

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 06:36:36 -0700

Reply-To: Jon Ebeling <jon.ebeling@GMAIL.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Jon Ebeling <jon.ebeling@GMAIL.COM>  
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com  
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
In-Reply-To: <W36793395694111274937324@webmail49>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

This is the first time I've noted that there is some interest in moving from this listerv to a quacky form of communication, facebook and tweeter. I find those forms of communication less than informative. I don't subscribe to either and I will not in the future.

So my recommendation is that we forget about forcibly moving the communication system we have to one of these non sensical devices.

Jon Ebeling, Ph.D.  
Regional & Economic Sciences  
Chico, CA

On Wed, May 26, 2010 at 10:15 PM, James P. Murphy  
<jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com>wrote:

- > The initial post by Colleen Porter ("as we make this change") seems to
- > imply that an alteration is preordained. I find the stated justification for
- > movement towards (or even consideration of) social networking media to be
- > flimsy. The fact that these exist and are widely used is hardly evidence
- > that they would be an improvement over the current AAPORnet. As has been
- > mentioned, they are commercial operations with significant ownership and
- > administrative ramifications for users.
- >
- > When I consider the sets of names I associate with different facets of
- > AAPOR -- such as people who publish in POQ, those I associate with various
- > programs and activities, and those who post at least occasionally on
- > AAPORnet -- I find they are only partially overlapping groups. I think the
- > initiative for any changes in AAPORnet should come from within the community
- > of active users and whatever group -- if one exists -- of non-users who
- > avoid it because there are things about it they do not like AND who would
- > use and contribute to a differently operated system. If they exist, those
- > folks should be telling us what is wrong with things now and how they could
- > be better. Also, their complaints should do more than reflect insufficient
- > knowledge of how to manage personal email.
- >
- > If there are members who regularly read and feel they benefit from AAPORnet
- > postings but -- for whatever reasons -- do not post themselves, I hope they
- > would chime in because, if they do not, something they value will disappear
- > and it will be without anyone being aware they would rather that not have
- > happened.
- >
- > The biggest problem I have observed with AAPORnet is that many elite
- > practitioners never contribute. This may result from their projects and
- > methodologies being proprietary, from being handcuffed by Sarbanes Oxley or



- > other corporate policies, from being too busy, or who knows what else. I
- > cannot envision any type of social media changing that but it would be
- > lovely if one day something did.
- >
- > James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
- > J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY
- > 609 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.
- > 772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.
- > 610 408 8800 Mobile
- > <http://www.jpMurphy.com/>
- >
- > -----
- > Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
- > Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:
- > set aapornet nomail
- > On your return send this: set aapornet mail
- > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
- > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)
- >

--

Jon S. Ebeling

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 08:56:05 -0500  
Reply-To: "Levy, Eric" <[Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM](mailto:Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Levy, Eric" <[Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM](mailto:Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM)>  
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: A<[AANLkTikktjO7LAIWhGXDIuNTKpYbL4eVODcYimTCasGf@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AANLkTikktjO7LAIWhGXDIuNTKpYbL4eVODcYimTCasGf@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I'm both gratified by the honest discussions on the topic of the future of communications and shocked at the value judgments placed on change.

Our discussion mirrors that of the larger world -- a struggle for meaning amongst a vast sea of inputs.

We shouldn't drop our current forms of communication until we're satisfied that any new mode offers the same ease, stability and access as the old.

However, I'd caution that our predecessors in the 1970's felt the same

way about the idea of an interlinked computer system. Lucky for us, no one disparaged this new technology as some have here, but rather took the typical route of the intellectually curious: let's see what happens next.

Eric S. Levy  
Senior Director, Marketing  
Maritz Research  
W: (636) 827-4932  
M: (314) 560-8909  
eric.levy@maritz.com  
<http://www.maritzresearch.com/>

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, any dissemination, publication or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. The sender does not accept any responsibility for any loss, disruption or damage to your data or computer system that may occur while using data contained in, or transmitted with, this e-mail.

If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail. Thank you.

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 07:02:49 -0700  
Reply-To: Steve Koczela <[skoczela@YAHOO.COM](mailto:skoczela@YAHOO.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Steve Koczela <[skoczela@YAHOO.COM](mailto:skoczela@YAHOO.COM)>  
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[AANLkTikktjO7LAIWhGXDluNTKpYbL4eVODcYimTCasGf@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AANLkTikktjO7LAIWhGXDluNTKpYbL4eVODcYimTCasGf@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

If we are looking at other technology solutions that would make the group more useful to its members, I do not think we should get too hung up on lambasting Facebook and Twitter and viewing them as the only options, thereby ending the quest for improvement before it begins. While these two technologies have their place in the world, they may not make sense for our community. However, there are plenty of other full-featured forms of online communities that would improve on the stream-of-consciousness characteristic of listservs and allow AAPOR to maintain control of our content, organize topics, post more diverse and collaborative forms of content, and realize other benefits outlined by members in previous postings. Steven Koczela Washington DC

From: J=

on Ebeling <jon.ebeling@GMAIL.COM>=0A To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU=0A Sent: Thu, May =  
27, 2010 9:36:36 AM=0A Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet=0A=0A This is the =  
first time I've noted that there is some interest in moving from=0A this lis=  
serv to a quacky form of communication, facebook and tweeter. I find=0A thos=  
e forms of communication less than informative. I don't subscribe to=0A eit=  
her and I will not in the future.=0A=0A So my recommendation is that we forge=  
t about forcibly moving the=0A communication system we have to one of these =  
non sensical devices.=0A=0A Jon Ebeling, Ph.D.=0A Regional & Economic Science=  
s=0A Chico, CA=0A=0A On Wed, May 26, 2010 at 10:15 PM, James P. Murphy <jpmur=  
phy@jpmurphy.com> wrote:=0A=0A > The initial post by Colleen Porter ("as we m=  
ake this change") seems to=0A > imply that an alteration is preordained. I f=  
ind the stated justification for=0A > movement towards (or even consideratio=  
n of) social networking media to be=0A > flimsy. The fact that these exist a=  
nd are widely used is hardly evidence=0A > that they would be an improvement=  
over the current AAPORnet. As has been=0A > mentioned, they are commercial =  
operations with significant ownership and=0A > administrative ramifications =  
for users.=0A=>=0A > When I consider the sets of names I associate with diffe=  
rent facets of=0A > AAPOR -- such as people who publish in POQ, those I asso=  
ciate with various=0A > programs and activities, and those who post at least=  
occasionally on=0A > AAPORnet -- I find they are only partially overlapping=  
groups. I think the=0A > initiative for any changes in AAPORnet should come=  
from within the community=0A > of active users and whatever group -- if one=  
exists -- of non-users who=0A > avoid it because there are things about it =  
they do not like AND who would=0A > use and contribute to a differently oper=  
ated system. If they exist, those=0A > folks should be telling us what is wr=  
ong with things now and how they could=0A > be better. Also, their complaint=  
s should do more than reflect insufficient=0A > knowledge of how to manage p=  
ersonal email.=0A=>=0A > If there are members who regularly read and feel the=  
y benefit from AAPORnet=0A > postings but -- for whatever reasons -- do not =  
post themselves, I hope they=0A > would chime in because, if they do not, so=  
mething they value will disappear=0A > and it will be without anyone being a=  
ware they would rather that not have=0A > happened.=0A=>=0A > The biggest prob=  
lem I have observed with AAPORnet is that many elite=0A > practitioners neve=  
r contribute. This may result from their projects and=0A > methodologies bei=  
ng proprietary, from being handcuffed by Sarbanes Oxley or=0A > other corpor=  
ate policies, from being too busy, or who knows what else. I=0A > cannot env=  
ision any type of social media changing that but it would be=0A > lovely if =  
one day something did.=0A=>=0A > James P. Murphy, Ph.D.=0A > J.P. MURPHY & COM=  
PANY=0A > 609 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.=0A > 772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.=0A > 610=  
408 8800 Mobile=0A > http://www.jpmurphy.com/=0A=>=0A > -----=  
-----=0A > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives=  
/aapornet.html=0A > Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this =  
text:=0A > set aapornet nomail=0A > On your return send this: set aapornet ma=  
il=0A > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.=0A > Problems?-do=  
n't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu=0A=>=0A=0A=0A=  
=0A--=0A Jon S. Ebeling=0A=0A-----=  
-----=0A http://www.aapor.org=0A Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapo=  
rnet.html .=0A Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:=  
=0A signoff aapornet=0A Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.=  
=0A

-----  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 10:23:12 -0400

Reply-To: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <[miltrgold@COMCAST.NET](mailto:miltrgold@COMCAST.NET)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <[miltrgold@COMCAST.NET](mailto:miltrgold@COMCAST.NET)>

Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet

X-To: Steve Koczela <[skoczela@YAHOO.COM](mailto:skoczela@YAHOO.COM)>

X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

In-Reply-To: <[981659.90489.qm@web52806.mail.re2.yahoo.com](mailto:981659.90489.qm@web52806.mail.re2.yahoo.com)>

Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v753.1)

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; delp=; format=flowed

On May 27, 2010, at 10:02 AM, Steve Koczela wrote:

> However, there are plenty of other full-featured forms of online  
> communities that would improve on the stream-of-consciousness  
> characteristic of listservs and allow AAPOR to maintain control of  
> our content, organize topics, post more diverse and collaborative  
> forms of content, and realize other benefits outlined by members in  
> previous postings.

I, for one, am interested in the ideas you've suggested: could you expand on that a little?

Thanks for your thoughts,

Milton Goldsamt

Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.

Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician

Silver Spring, MD

[miltrgold@comcast.net](mailto:miltrgold@comcast.net)

301-649-2768

(C) 240-671-7201

>

---

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 10:23:50 -0400

Reply-To: Jennifer Agiesta <[AgiestaJ@WASHPOST.COM](mailto:AgiestaJ@WASHPOST.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Jennifer Agiesta <AgiestaJ@WASHPOST.COM>  
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <W36793395694111274937324@webmail49>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Just my two cents, but if whatever we wind up with isn't showing up in my inbox or in my RSS feed, chances are I won't be reading it for lack of time and the thousand other articles/emails/blog posts calling for my attention every day, and for me, that would be a real loss.

I enjoy reading posts to AAPORnet. In return for the effort of weeding through the posts I don't think I care about I sometimes find one that presents a perspective I never would've thought of otherwise. And I value it as a resource on the occasions when I've needed to use it. Adding any additional barriers to access (i.e. having to go somewhere else to get it) or moving it to some (with apologies to the Market Research Forum) hideously ugly discussion board format would be a serious downgrade in my mind.

~~~~~  
Jennifer Agiesta
Polling Analyst
The Washington Post
1150 15th St. NW
Washington, DC 20071
202.334.4578

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 10:42:32 -0400
Reply-To: Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>
Subject: future of AAPORNET
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I agree with Jennifer. If it doesn't show up in my inbox, I'm not going to see it. I love AAPORNET and regard it as one of my best sources of information. I have found that with other organizations that have switched to some sort of "FACEBOOK-like" information sharing approach, I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see. Maybe this is a generational thing?

Karla

ast.net=0A301-649-2768=0A(C) 240-671-7201=0A=0A=0A>=0A=0A=0A-----=
-----=0Ahttp://www.aapor.org=0AArchives: ht=
tp://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .=0AUnsubscribe? Send email to li=
stserv@asu.edu with this text:=0Asignoff aapornet=0APlease ask authors befo=
re quoting outside AAPORNET.=0A

http://www.aapor.org
Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 11:11:00 -0400
Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet
X-To: Jennifer Agiesta <AgiestaJ@WASHPOST.COM>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <OF023EBC86.BF706DFE-ON85257730.004E44A8-
85257730.004F16A3@washpost.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There are advantages to both approaches, but there is no need to give up one for the other. Listserv and/or RSS feeds can be integrated with Forum/BBS software packages, so even if AAPORNET were to migrate to a Web based forum format, subscribers could continue to receive plain text email messages or digests and also have access to the additional Web-based functionality when they need it.

Jan Werner

Jennifer Agiesta wrote:

> Just my two cents, but if whatever we wind up with isn't showing up in my
> inbox or in my RSS feed, chances are I won't be reading it for lack of
> time and the thousand other articles/emails/blog posts calling for my
> attention every day, and for me, that would be a real loss.
>
> I enjoy reading posts to AAPORnet. In return for the effort of weeding
> through the posts I don't think I care about I sometimes find one that
> presents a perspective I never would've thought of otherwise. And I value
> it as a resource on the occasions when I've needed to use it. Adding any
> additional barriers to access (i.e. having to go somewhere else to get it)
> or moving it to some (with apologies to the Market Research Forum)
> hideously ugly discussion board format would be a serious downgrade in my
> mind.
>
> ~~~~~

> Jennifer Agiesta
> Polling Analyst
> The Washington Post
> 1150 15th St. NW
> Washington, DC 20071
> 202.334.4578
>
> -----
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>
>

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 16:13:14 +0100
Reply-To: "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet
X-To: "skoczela@YAHOO.COM" <skoczela@YAHOO.COM>, "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I have no principled objections to alternatives, but my fear is that those who ask for help or advice would lose out without the immediacy of a push approach

----- Original Message -----

From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Sent: Thu May 27 16:06:49 2010
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet

Google Groups is one that comes to mind based on the conversation so far.Â You can have discussions organized by topic, post documents such asÂ papers, presentations, etc, create pages on specific content areas such as pictures of an event, andÂ control membership and priveleges to the site.Â And you won't be bombarded by messages about Bejeweled high scores, Farmville, friend requests fromÂ middle school classmates,Â etc (Facebook) or be required to keep your postings to 140 characters or less (Twitter).

But as Jennifer and Karla point out, every format has itsÂ drawbacks.Â

This is not my area of expertise, to be sure, and I am no Google evangelist.
I just do not want to see the effort scuttled by negative perceptions of
Facebook and Twitter (though I use both).

Steve Koczela

From: Milton R. Goldsamt <miltrgold@COMCAST.NET>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thu, May 27, 2010 10:23:12 AM
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet

On May 27, 2010, at 10:02 AM, Steve Koczela wrote:

> However, there are plenty of other full-featured forms of online
> communities that would improve on the stream-of-consciousness
> characteristic of listservs and allow AAPOR to maintain control of
> our content, organize topics, post more diverse and collaborative
> forms of content, and realize other benefits outlined by members in
> previous postings.

I, for one, am interested in the ideas you've suggested: could you
expand on that a little?

Thanks for your thoughts,

Milton Goldsamt

Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.
Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician
Silver Spring, MD
miltrgold@comcast.net
301-649-2768
(C) 240-671-7201

>

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Consider the environment before printing this email

Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of GfK NOP or any of its associated companies.

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication and notify the sender immediately. It should be noted that any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee that attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses

GfK NOP Limited
245 Blackfriars Road
London
SE1 9UL

Place of registration: England and Wales
Company number: 2512551
Registered office: GfK NOP Limited, Ludgate House, 245 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 9UL

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 11:46:17 -0400
Reply-To: Paul Gurwitz <pgurwitz@RENAISS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Paul Gurwitz <pgurwitz@RENAISS.COM>
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <443781.9912.qm@web52805.mail.re2.yahoo.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'd just like to utter a word of caution about using Google Groups. In

order to use it, members would need to have and sign in with a Google ID. This ID allows Google to personally identify all of the member's transactions with Google. Google keeps this identified information in its databases for an extended period (either six or eighteen months). This information is sold by Google for advertising purposes; it can also be the target of any snooper (with or without a subpoena) anywhere in the world. Notwithstanding Google's pledge to not "be evil", this hoard of personal information may be something that some listserv members might not want to be part of, and as such, might limit the utility of the group to AAPOR.

Paul M. Gurwitz, Ph.D.
Managing Director
RENAISSANCE RESEARCH & CONSULTING

Steve Koczela wrote:

> Google Groups is one that comes to mind based on the conversation so far. You can have discussions organized by topic, post documents such as papers, presentations, etc, create pages on specific content areas such as pictures of an event, and control membership and priveleges to the site. And you won't be bombarded by messages about Bejeweled high scores, Farmville, friend requests from middle school classmates, etc (Facebook) or be required to keep your postings to 140 characters or less (Twitter).

>
>
>

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 08:45:50 -0700
Reply-To: "Kane, Stephanie" <skane@UIDAHO.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Kane, Stephanie" <skane@UIDAHO.EDU>
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<2421C36E5E6F844386642A142EB3E572012D253BBAD1@EUKSNT-EXCRMB-1.UK.CRWW.GFK>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I'll weigh in as one of those who probably falls in the "95% of non-posters"
(isn't it great that 67% of statistics are made up on the spot...? :-))

Some observations supported by anecdotal AAPOR posting evidence from n = 1
(me).

1. Just because people don't post to the list doesn't mean they don't read

From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Sent: Thu May 27 16:06:49 2010
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet

Google Groups is one that comes to mind based on the conversation so far. You can have discussions organized by topic, post documents such as papers, presentations, etc, create pages on specific content areas such as pictures of an event, and control membership and privileges to the site. And you won't be bombarded by messages about Bejeweled high scores, Farmville, friend requests from middle school classmates, etc (Facebook) or be required to keep your postings to 140 characters or less (Twitter).

But as Jennifer and Karla point out, every format has its drawbacks.

This is not my area of expertise, to be sure, and I am no Google evangelist. I just do not want to see the effort scuttled by negative perceptions of Facebook and Twitter (though I use both).

Steve Koczela

From: Milton R. Goldsamt <milrgold@COMCAST.NET>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thu, May 27, 2010 10:23:12 AM
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet

On May 27, 2010, at 10:02 AM, Steve Koczela wrote:

> However, there are plenty of other full-featured forms of online
> communities that would improve on the stream-of-consciousness
> characteristic of listservs and allow AAPOR to maintain control of
> our content, organize topics, post more diverse and collaborative
> forms of content, and realize other benefits outlined by members in
> previous postings.

I, for one, am interested in the ideas you've suggested: could you expand on that a little?

Thanks for your thoughts,

Milton Goldsamt

Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.
Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician
Silver Spring, MD
milrgold@comcast.net
301-649-2768
(C) 240-671-7201

>

<http://www.aapor.org>
 Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
 Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
 signoff aapornet
 Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
 Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
 Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
 signoff aapornet
 Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Consider the environment before printing this email

 Any views or opinions are solely those of the author
 and do not necessarily represent those of GfK NOP or
 any of its associated companies.

The information transmitted is intended only for the
 person or entity to which it is addressed and may
 contain confidential and/or privileged material. If
 you are not the intended recipient of this message,
 please do not read, copy, use or disclose this
 communication and notify the sender immediately.
 It should be noted that any review, retransmission,
 dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance
 upon, this information by persons or entities other than
 the intended recipient is prohibited.

Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee that
 attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as
 information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses

GfK NOP Limited
 245 Blackfriars Road
 London
 SE1 9UL

Place of registration: England and Wales
 Company number: 2512551
 Registered office: GfK NOP Limited, Ludgate House, 245 Blackfriars Road,
 London, SE1 9UL

<http://www.aapor.org>
 Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
 Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 10:59:55 -0500

Reply-To: Erin Strauts <estrauts@IWU.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Erin Strauts <estrauts@IWU.EDU>

Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet

X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

I am a student who has not posted on the listserv, but I do learn quite a bit from the posts I read. Whatever changes are made I think the email set-up should remain intact. There are weeks when I am very busy and it would never cross my mind to check an AAPORnet website but I would open a email.

That being said, Jan is right in that we can keep everyone happy. I liked Steve's suggestion of having a Google account in addition to the current infrastructure. I would not have to be where messages are posted but could house any content a member would like to link to. This would essentially allow attachments to posts without cluttering inboxes. It could also be useful to have a group calendar where dates of relevant conferences and events could be posted. I understand there are there are privacy issues, however it could be optional to join the Google group.

Erin Strauts

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 10:50:28 -0400

Reply-To: "Butler, Sarah" <Sarah.Butler@NERA.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "Butler, Sarah" <Sarah.Butler@NERA.COM>

Subject: Future of AAPORNET

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I post very rarely but like many others value the posts I read. If I had

to go searching, I likely wouldn't and would not be kept abreast of things that may typically be outside the scope of what I do on a day to day basis. I have on more than one occasion saved a chain because I thought it had interesting ideas or hints even though they were not directly relevant to my current work.

While I am a big fan of Facebook for seeing friend's pictures and news of people I know across the world I don't think the discussions typically found on AAPORNET would be well suited to it.=20

I guess my one suggestion would be a better way to making the archives readable and searchable -- as some one has pointed out, often times a member is kind enough to summarize all findings but sometimes that doesn't happen and these summaries can be so helpful.

Sarah

Sarah Butler =20
Senior Consultant=20
NERA=20
Economic Consulting=20
One Front Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111=20
Tel: 1-415-291-1022, Fax: 1-415-291-1020=20
Mobile: 1-914-216-1637 =20
Sarah.Butler@NERA.com
www.nera.com=20

=20

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 16:18:37 +0000

Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>

Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To:

<531527995.1818681274975109044.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I agree. Far more convenient to check your in-box. And there are the archives as well.

Participation will surely drop. Below: "I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see."

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Karla McPherson" <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:42:32 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: future of AAPORNET

I agree with Jennifer. If it doesn't show up in my inbox, I'm not going to see it. I love AAPORNET and regard it as one of my best sources of information. I have found that with other organizations that have switched to some sort of "FACEBOOK-like" information sharing approach, I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see. Maybe this is a generational thing?

Karla

Karla McPherson, Ph.D.

Senior Study Director

Westat

1600 Research Blvd.

Rockville, MD 20850

(240) 314-2498

karlamcpherson@westat.com

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 09:34:59 -0700

Reply-To: Lynn Stalone <Lynn.Stalone@IHR-RESEARCH.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Lynn Stalone <Lynn.Stalone@IHR-RESEARCH.COM>

Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:

<1215097310.1837941274977117001.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comca
st.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have to weigh in with Jennifer, Karla and Nick. One of the reasons I read the AAPORNET posts is that they do come to my in box. The listserve format is also very easy to forward to coworkers and colleagues, which I do often with information from AAPORNET.

I also follow AAPOR, as well as several other professional organizations on Twitter, LinkedIn and Facebook. These are great for quick updates and scans, plus running commentary at conferences and other events. However, I rarely read/go to links from these other forums unless the topic is very compelling.

There are plenty of tools and programs that are very robust which have the option of alerts/feed to be sent to e-mail, so we should never have to lose that capability.

Lynn

LYNN STALONE, PRC | PARTNER
IHR RESEARCH GROUP
14772 PLAZA DR. | #201 | TUSTIN | CA 92780
DIRECT: 714-368-1885
MOBILE: 714-315-9453
OFFICE MAIN: 714-368-1884
Lynn.Stalone@ihr-research.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of
nickp@marketsharescorp.com
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:19 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I agree. Far more convenient to check your in-box. And there are the archives as well.

Participation will surely drop. Below: "I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see."

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Karla McPherson" <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:42:32 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: future of AAPORNET

I agree with Jennifer. If it doesn't show up in my inbox, I'm not going to see it. I love AAPORNET and regard it as one of my best sources of information. I have found that with other organizations that have switched

to some sort of "FACEBOOK-like" information sharing approach, I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see. Maybe this is a generational thing?

Karla

Karla McPherson, Ph.D.
Senior Study Director
Westat
1600 Research Blvd.
Rockville, MD 20850
(240) 314-2498
karlamcpherson@westat.com

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 09:35:54 -0700
Reply-To: Tom Bowerman <tom@POLICYINTERACTIVE.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Tom Bowerman <tom@POLICYINTERACTIVE.ORG>
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset="utf-8"; reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

AAPORnetters:

Following this "future" conversation brings me to a personally pragmatic wish: I would like to be able to easily optionally "opt out" and then "opt in" on a conversation thread. My circumstances to engage on subjects vary

with my work load and other distractions. I enjoy and learn from much of what I read on AAPORnet. But with the Arizona boycott and now with the "future" thread, I feel I've reached the limit of "cost" of time vs. "benefit" of education and participation.

The most important part of AAPORnet for me are the technical postings Q&A opportunity and sharing. AAPOR policy decisions are more important to some of us than others, and some issues are more important to me than other issues. I now find myself weeding through the policy discussion of the "future of AAPORnet" so I don't miss a technical technique subject of interest.

My request for the future is for a platform to manage conversation threads easily. Right now I would opt-out of weeding through fifty or more messages a day discussing the pros and cons of facebook, twitter and other platform without losing the technical Q&A's.

Tom Bowerman
PolicyInterative

----- Original Message -----

From: "Erin Strauts" <estrauts@IWU.EDU>
To: <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 8:59 AM
Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet

>I am a student who has not posted on the listserv, but I do learn quite a
>bit from the posts I read. Whatever changes are made I think the email
>set-up should remain intact. There are weeks when I am very busy and it
>would never cross my mind to check an AAPORnet website but I would open a
>email.

>
> That being said, Jan is right in that we can keep everyone happy. I liked
> Steve's suggestion of having a Google account in addition to the current
> infrastructure. I would not have to be where messages are posted but could
> house any content a member would like to link to. This would essentially
> allow attachments to posts without cluttering inboxes. It could also be
> useful to have a group calendar where dates of relevant conferences and
> events could be posted. I understand there are there are privacy issues,
> however it could be optional to join the Google group.

>
> Erin Strauts

>
> -----

> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 09:48:33 -0700

Reply-To: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>

Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

X-To: Lynn Stalone <Lynn.Stalone@IHR-RESEARCH.COM>, "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To:

<20100527163501.507281038029@smtpauth03.csee.onr.siteprotect.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

One drawback I can see to taking the listserv into a public arena is the public. Public chat rooms tend to attract intellectual riff raff that go on long idiotic rants about liberals, conservatives, Democrats, Republicans, Nancy Pelosi, George Bush, Bill Clinton, Mother Therese and a thousand other off the wall topics that nobody on this list cares about. I appreciate the exclusive professional nature of this list. Whatever you do, keep this valuable attribute in mind when you fix whatever is currently wrong -- I forget, what problem are we trying to solve?

Michael J. Sullivan, Ph.D.

Chairman

Freeman, Sullivan & Co.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Lynn Stalone

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:35 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I have to weigh in with Jennifer, Karla and Nick. One of the reasons I read the AAPORNET posts is that they do come to my in box. The listserve format is also very easy to forward to coworkers and colleagues, which I do often with information from AAPORNET.

I also follow AAPOR, as well as several other professional organizations on Twitter, LinkedIn and Facebook. These are great for quick updates and scans, plus running commentary at conferences and other events. However, I rarely read/go to links from these other forums unless the topic is very compelling.

There are plenty of tools and programs that are very robust which have the option of alerts/feed to be sent to e-mail, so we should never have to lose that capability.

Lynn

LYNN STALONE, PRC | PARTNER
IHR RESEARCH GROUP
14772 PLAZA DR. | #201 | TUSTIN | CA 92780
DIRECT: 714-368-1885
MOBILE: 714-315-9453
OFFICE MAIN: 714-368-1884
Lynn.Stalone@ihr-research.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of
nickp@marketsharescorp.com
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:19 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I agree. Far more convenient to check your in-box. And there are the archives as well.

Participation will surely drop. Below: "I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see."

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Karla McPherson" <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:42:32 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: future of AAPORNET

I agree with Jennifer. If it doesn't show up in my inbox, I'm not going to see it. I love AAPORNET and regard it as one of my best sources of information. I have found that with other organizations that have switched to some sort of "FACEBOOK-like" information sharing approach, I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see. Maybe this is a generational thing?

Karla

Karla McPherson, Ph.D.
Senior Study Director
Westat
1600 Research Blvd.
Rockville, MD 20850
(240) 314-2498
karlamcpherson@westat.com

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 14:07:50 -0500

Reply-To: Howard Gordon <hgordon@GRFILTD.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Howard Gordon <hgordon@GRFILTD.COM>

Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

X-To: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>, <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

<AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To: <28CCEB02B0B64D4091ED6FD17DF571691837FC7335@EX-BE-024-SV1.shared.themessagecenter.com>

<28CCEB02B0B64D4091ED6FD17DF571691837FC7335@EX-BE-024-SV1.shared.themessagecenter.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Michael Sullivan

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 11:49 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

One drawback I can see to taking the listserv into a public arena is the public. Public chat rooms tend to attract intellectual riff raff that go on long idiotic rants about liberals, conservatives, Democrats, Republicans, Nancy Pelosi, George Bush, Bill Clinton, Mother Therese and a thousand other off the wall topics that nobody on this list cares about. I appreciate the exclusive professional nature of this list. Whatever you do, keep this valuable attribute in mind when you fix whatever is currently wrong -- I forget, what problem are we trying to solve?

Michael J. Sullivan, Ph.D.

Chairman

Freeman, Sullivan & Co.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Lynn Stalone
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:35 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I have to weigh in with Jennifer, Karla and Nick. One of the reasons I read the AAPORNET posts is that they do come to my in box. The listserv format is also very easy to forward to coworkers and colleagues, which I do often with information from AAPORNET.

I also follow AAPOR, as well as several other professional organizations on Twitter, LinkedIn and Facebook. These are great for quick updates and scans, plus running commentary at conferences and other events. However, I rarely read/go to links from these other forums unless the topic is very compelling.

There are plenty of tools and programs that are very robust which have the option of alerts/feed to be sent to e-mail, so we should never have to lose that capability.

Lynn

LYNN STALONE, PRC | PARTNER
IHR RESEARCH GROUP
14772 PLAZA DR. | #201 | TUSTIN | CA 92780
DIRECT: 714-368-1885
MOBILE: 714-315-9453
OFFICE MAIN: 714-368-1884
Lynn.Stalone@ihr-research.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of
nickp@marketsharescorp.com
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:19 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I agree. Far more convenient to check your in-box. And there are the archives as well.

Participation will surely drop. Below: "I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see."

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Karla McPherson" <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:42:32 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: future of AAPORNET

I agree with Jennifer. If it doesn't show up in my inbox, I'm not going to see it. I love AAPORNET and regard it as one of my best sources of information. I have found that with other organizations that have switched to some sort of "FACEBOOK-like" information sharing approach, I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see. Maybe this is a generational thing?

Karla

Karla McPherson, Ph.D.
Senior Study Director
Westat
1600 Research Blvd.
Rockville, MD 20850
(240) 314-2498
karlamcpherson@westat.com

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 15:26:57 -0400
Reply-To: "J. Ann Selzer" <jannselzer@AOL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "J. Ann Selzer" <jannselzer@AOL.COM>
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <E1OHlQm-0007jE-4Y@cl05.gs02.gridserver.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

I will just mention that I have a separate e-mail account which is used almost exclusively for AAPORnet because it was overwhelming my inbox at times. We do have a problem with links not coming through but generally, it works fine for me. JAS

=20

J. Ann Selzer, Ph.D
Selzer & Company
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

For purposes of this list, use JAnnSelzer@aol.com
For other purposes, use JASelzer@SelzerCo.com

=20

=20

-----Original Message-----

From: Howard Gordon <hgordon@GRFILTD.COM>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thu, May 27, 2010 2:07 pm
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Michael Sullivan
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 11:49 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

One drawback I can see to taking the listserv into a public arena is the public. Public chat rooms tend to attract intellectual riff raff that go on long idiotic rants about liberals, conservatives, Democrats, Republicans, Nancy Pelosi, George Bush, Bill Clinton, Mother Therese and a thousand other off the wall topics that nobody on this list cares about. I appreciate the exclusive professional nature of this list. Whatever you do, keep this

valuable attribute in mind when you fix whatever is currently wrong -- I forget, what problem are we trying to solve?

Michael J. Sullivan, Ph.D.
Chairman
Freeman, Sullivan & Co.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Lynn Stalone
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:35 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I have to weigh in with Jennifer, Karla and Nick. One of the reasons I read the AAPORNET posts is that they do come to my in box. The listserv format is also very easy to forward to coworkers and colleagues, which I do often with information from AAPORNET.

I also follow AAPOR, as well as several other professional organizations on Twitter, LinkedIn and Facebook. These are great for quick updates and scans, plus running commentary at conferences and other events. However, I rarely read/go to links from these other forums unless the topic is very compelling.

There are plenty of tools and programs that are very robust which have the option of alerts/feed to be sent to e-mail, so we should never have to lose that capability.

Lynn

LYNN STALONE, PRC | PARTNER
IHR RESEARCH GROUP
14772 PLAZA DR. | #201 | TUSTIN | CA 92780
DIRECT: 714-368-1885
MOBILE: 714-315-9453
OFFICE MAIN: 714-368-1884
Lynn.Stalone@ihr-research.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of nickp@marketsharescorp.com
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:19 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I agree. Far more convenient to check your in-box. And there are the archives as well.

Participation will surely drop. Below: "I never go there to check it unless"

s
somebody tells me that there's something I should see."

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Karla McPherson" <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:42:32 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: future of AAPORNET

I agree with Jennifer. If it doesn't show up in my inbox, I'm not going to see it. I love AAPORNET and regard it as one of my best sources of information. I have found that with other organizations that have switched to some sort of "FACEBOOK-like" information sharing approach, I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see. Maybe this is a generational thing?

Karla

Karla McPherson, Ph.D.

Senior Study Director

Westat

1600 Research Blvd.

Rockville, MD 20850

(240) 314-2498

karlamcpherson@westat.com

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=20

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 12:38:23 -0700
Reply-To: Bill Wells <wwells@UBALT.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Bill Wells <wwells@UBALT.EDU>
Subject: Reposting job opening for Survey Manager in Baltimore, MD
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Good afternoon,

We are currently looking for a qualified candidate to fill an open position within our survey staff at a small, nonprofit public policy research institution within the university of Baltimore.

The job announcement is posted below, and can also be accessed at the following url:

<http://www.ubalt.edu/template.cfm?page=3D318&posting=3D493>

Thanks,

Bill Wells
William R. Wells
Research Associate
Interim Survey Lab Manager
Schaefer Center for Public Policy
University of Baltimore

1420 N. CHARLES STREET
LIBERAL ARTS & POLICY BUILDING, 5TH FLOOR

BALTIMORE, MD 21201
Office(410) 837-6099
Fax (410) 837-6175

University of Baltimore
Schaefer Center for Public Policy
Vacancy Number 2010-057UBW

Position Type: Regular exempt grant-funded position with benefits package=

Opens: 03/05/10 Re-opens: 04/02/10 Closes: Open Until Filled

Salary: \$40,000 - \$50,000

NOTE: Some evening and weekend work is required.

NOTE: Applicants submitting resumes for the original posting are being considered and do not need to reapply.

Duties

The Survey Lab Manager oversees the Center's survey operations including telephone and mail surveys and participates in writing research proposals=

,
developing survey designs, engaging in questionnaire construction, administering survey research projects, interacting with clients, and preparing reports of findings. The Manager ensures the smooth operation = of the day-to-day operations of the Center's Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) survey research lab. Duties include:

- * Managing the day-to-day operations of CATI Lab and ensuring the timely, cost efficient, successful completion of projects and its smooth operation
- * Scheduling telephone interviewers based on project needs and cost efficiencies
- * Monitoring and reviewing interviewers' performance and taking appropriate action as required
- * Ensuring accuracy and quality of surveys
- * Ensuring compliance with CATI Lab policies and procedures
- * Interviewing, selecting and training CATI Lab staff
- * Summarizing the specific status of a project and communicating it o= n a timely basis to project managers, clients, and other supervisory staff
- * Generating reports to determine, predict or prevent probl= ems for a specific project, identifying and assessing phone and computer equipment problems and troubleshooting any system difficulties or other problems in the CATI lab
- * Making arrangements for focus study groups
- * Proofreading and editing survey instruments
- * Programming of surveys in Ci3 or WebSensus
- * Participating in writing research reports
- * Preparing summary graphs and tables for inclusion in final reports

* Proofreading and editing final reports (test and graphics)

Qualifications

Position requires bachelor's degree in public administration, public policy, sociology, marketing, or related discipline and 3 years of related experience, including one year in a supervisory role. Graduate degree or coursework can be substituted for relevant experience on a year for year basis.

Experience in proposal development, project management, data analysis, report preparation or Ci3/Web Sensus programming is a plus. Experience with Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) systems is desirable. Also requires excellent interpersonal, administrative and organizational skills; excellent written and oral communication skills; and excellent project management skills. Also requires ability to balance multiple projects; ability to troubleshoot problems, monitor work progress, motivate and critique employees; and strong computer skills including SPSS, Excel, Microsoft Office Suite, and Ci3/Web Sensus.

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 13:00:37 -0700
Reply-To: Paul DiPerna <pd_wpa21@YAHOO.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Paul DiPerna <pd_wpa21@YAHOO.COM>
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Just my quick opinion that AAPORNET, in its listserv format, has been a very useful tool and resource for me.. I'm grateful for it. I also second (maybe it's fourth or fifth by now) what Jennifer Agiesta and subsequent posters have stated as reasons why AAPORNET is user-friendly.

This listserv is definitely helpful because of the platform/technology, but it also seems to me there are professional (yet informal) norms and an online culture that have emerged over a pretty long period of time. If we leave this type of platform entirely, we would likely experience some kind of resetting of the online community.

That said, a Ning social network *could* complement AAPORNET if connection and search are important goals. Ning (about.ning.com) is a social network-

building service that allows for customizing and privacy capabilities.

Generally speaking, I think the added value of a Ning network (or Facebook) is to connect/reconnect with other people based on searchable interests, needs, and priorities. Online social networks tend to be more personally revealing than listservs, amplifying the interpersonal experience, for better or worse.. My organization has used Ning a couple times, in a limited way, to support large meetings. It was helpful for pre- and post- conference objectives.

Ning networks can also provide listserv and Google Groups-like functions. But a significant downside, to contrast with a listserv, is the extra time and attention needed for building, and then managing, the network and online community.

Ning may be a useful complement if connection, search, and filtering, become more and more important to us.

- Paul

Paul DiPerna
home: 317-733-1087
cell/text: 202-641-1858
email: pd_wpa21@yahoo.com

From: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thu, May 27, 2010 12:48:33 PM
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

One drawback I can see to taking the listserv into a public arena is the public. Public chat rooms tend to attract intellectual riff raff that go on long idiotic rants about liberals, conservatives, Democrats, Republicans, Nancy Pelosi, George Bush, Bill Clinton, Mother Therese and a thousand other off the wall topics that nobody on this list cares about. I appreciate the exclusive professional nature of this list. Whatever you do, keep this valuable attribute in mind when you fix whatever is currently wrong -- I forget, what problem are we trying to solve?

Michael J. Sullivan, Ph.D.
Chairman
Freeman, Sullivan & Co.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Lynn Stalone
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:35 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I have to weigh in with Jennifer, Karla and Nick. One of the reasons I read the AAPORNET posts is that they do come to my in box. The listserv format is also very easy to forward to coworkers and colleagues, which I do often with information from AAPORNET.

I also follow AAPOR, as well as several other professional organizations on Twitter, LinkedIn and Facebook. These are great for quick updates and scans, plus running commentary at conferences and other events. However, I rarely read/go to links from these other forums unless the topic is very compelling.

There are plenty of tools and programs that are very robust which have the option of alerts/feed to be sent to e-mail, so we should never have to lose that capability.

Lynn

LYNN STALONE, PRC | PARTNER
IHR RESEARCH GROUP
14772 PLAZA DR. | #201 | TUSTIN | CA 92780
DIRECT: 714-368-1885
MOBILE: 714-315-9453
OFFICE MAIN: 714-368-1884
Lynn.Stalone@ihr-research.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of
nickp@marketsharescorp.com
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:19 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: future of AAPORNET

I agree. Far more convenient to check your in-box. And there are the archives as well.

Participation will surely drop. Below: "I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see."

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Karla McPherson" <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:42:32 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: future of AAPORNET

I agree with Jennifer. If it doesn't show up in my inbox, I'm not going to see it. I love AAPORNET and regard it as one of my best sources of information. I have found that with other organizations that have switched to some sort of "FACEBOOK-like" information sharing approach, I never go there to check it unless somebody tells me that there's something I should see. Maybe this is a generational thing?

Karla

Karla McPherson, Ph.D.
Senior Study Director
Westat
1600 Research Blvd.
Rockville, MD 20850
(240) 314-2498
karlamcpherson@westat.com

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoffaapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoffaapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoffaapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoffaapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 18:57:26 -0400
Reply-To: Mike Donatello <mike@DONATELLO.US>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Donatello <mike@DONATELLO.US>

Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <AANLkTikktjO7LAIWhGXDIuNTKpYbL4eVODcYimTCasGf@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The existing listserv works fine for me, but I personally would prefer a format with threading, organizational tools, etc. (e.g., something based on phpBB or vBulletin). I am an avid user of Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn, but I think that decent forum software on a hosted account specific to AAPOR would be a better way to go.

Along the lines of what Jan noted, it's relatively easy to tweak a platform like vBulletin to send messages in plain text, automatically generate RSS feeds, or use skins designed specifically for mobile access. Moving to a more versatile platform could be seamless to folks who prefer the existing experience while providing better functionality for those who are willing to avail themselves of it. I'd rather not hamstring everyone by refusing to consider an evolution of one of the biggest benefits of AAPOR membership.

Mike Donatello
Director, Research
USA TODAY
7950 Jones Branch Dr., McLean, VA 22108
V 703.854.4572 F 703.854.2165
mdonatello@usatoday.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jon Ebeling
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:37 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: [AAPORNET] The Future of AAPORnet

This is the first time I've noted that there is some interest in moving from this listerv to a quacky form of communication, facebook and tweeter. I find those forms of communication less than informative. I don't subscribe to either and I will not in the future.

So my recommendation is that we forget about forcibly moving the communication system we have to one of these non sensical devices.

Jon Ebeling, Ph.D.
Regional & Economic Sciences
Chico, CA

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2897 - Release Date: 05/27/10
02:25:00

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Fri, 28 May 2010 10:16:50 +0900

Reply-To: Christian Collet <collet@ICU.AC.JP>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Christian Collet <collet@ICU.AC.JP>

Subject: Re: The Future of AAPORnet

X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

In-Reply-To: <01bd01cafdef\$fb2075a0\$f16160e0\$@us>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

A few observations from across the Pacific:

1. One thing we may wish to consider -- if the reform committee is not already doing so -- is the different types of information users post to AAPORnet. It seems to me as if things like job postings and news articles migrate nicely to different platforms/media -- blog, Twitter, etc. -- without much of a significant dropoff in the substance of the AAPORnet community. Posts like Colleen's pre/post conference thoughts might also work nicely in a blog atmosphere -- and might, one would hope, encourage others to provide their take on the proceedings and items of interest as she always gracefully does.

2. At the same time, I agree that the best way to preserve that sense of community is to maintain some type of system that does feed into our inboxes -- or allows us to login and manage settings so that posts of general interest will continue to reach all of us.

3. As many users no doubt know, GMail does a nice job of aggregating "conversations" so that, if there is a discussion taking place on a topic of non-interest, it is easily marked as read or deleted if the user wishes to do so. This really helps to manage the flow when conversations on certain topics heat up (e.g., Arizona).

4. I joined in the mid-1990s. My recollection is that Jim Beniger took a pretty active role as list moderator, providing his own unique observations and commentary on various issues that crept up, both inside, but usually outside, the association. It places a time/cost burden on the moderator, no doubt, but a clever voice of regularity -- an emcee of sorts -- helps to maintain continuity and community. If such an officially-anointed voice, or voices, were to comment/Tweet on items of general interest regularly, I think it would stimulate discussion and be an asset to a potential AAPORnet 2.0.

Thanks to Colleen, Adam and Leo for their work on this.

Christian Collet
International Christian University, Tokyo
<http://subsite.icu.ac.jp/people/collet/>
<http://www.christiancollet.com>

On Fri, May 28, 2010 at 7:57 AM, Mike Donatello <mike@donatello.us> wrote:

> The existing listserv works fine for me, but I personally would prefer a
> format with threading, organizational tools, etc. (e.g., something based on
> phpBB or vBulletin). ^ I am an avid user of Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn,
> but I think that decent forum software on a hosted account specific to AAPOR
> would be a better way to go.

>
> Along the lines of what Jan noted, it's relatively easy to tweak a platform
> like vBulletin to send messages in plain text, automatically generate RSS
> feeds, or use skins designed specifically for mobile access. ^ Moving to a
> more versatile platform could be seamless to folks who prefer the existing
> experience while providing better functionality for those who are willing to
> avail themselves of it. ^ I'd rather not hamstring everyone by refusing to
> consider an evolution of one of the biggest benefits of AAPOR membership.

>
>
> ---

> Mike Donatello
> Director, Research
> USA TODAY
> 7950 Jones Branch Dr., McLean, VA 22108
> V 703.854.4572 ^ F 703.854.2165
> mdonatello@usatoday.com

>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jon Ebeling
> Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 9:37 AM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: Re: [AAPORNET] The Future of AAPORnet

>
> This is the first time I've noted that there is some interest in moving from
> this listerv to a quacky form of communication, facebook and tweeter. I find
> those forms of communication less than informative. I don't subscribe to
> either and I will not in the future.

>
> So my recommendation is that we forget about forcibly moving the
> communication system we have to one of these non sensical devices.

>
> Jon Ebeling, Ph.D.
> Regional & Economic Sciences
> Chico, CA

>
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
> Version: 9.0.819 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2897 - Release Date: 05/27/10
> 02:25:00

>
> -----
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>

<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Fri, 28 May 2010 12:34:03 +0100
Reply-To: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joe Stead <Joe.Stead@IPSOS.COM>
Subject: AAPORNET - Forum
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=WINDOWS-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hi,

I think the use of a private forum (as others have commented) would be the most appropriate medium. An example (although there are plenty of others) can be seen here: <http://www.invisionpower.com/products/board/>

Users can be verified before being able to access and post (so restricting to the AAPOR membership) although some forums could be left open if required to the wider community. The forum can be organised into sub-forums covering different topics and within each sub forum a topic can be created thus allowing users to only look at the topics they are interested in. These are the basic features - on some boards there are also other features which allow some form of social networking for those who wish to do so.

Topics will remain for as long as required (only restriction is the cost of storage) and there are powerful customisable search features.

For those who prefer push to email, users can subscribe to as many sub forums as they wish, choosing to receive emails of discussions either instantly or in a daily or weekly digest. This allows control over which topics are pushed to email by only subscribing to the areas in which people have an interest. Additionally individual topics can be subscribed to allowing instant updates to email on topics of interest.

Personally I think this would be a huge step forward for AAPORNET.

Joe

Joe Stead
Telephone Operations Director - Ipsos MORI

T +44 131 561 4509
M +44 7876 394165
joe.stead@ipsos.com<mailto:joe.stead@ipsos.com>
www.ipsos-mori.com<http://www.ipsos-mori.com/>

15 Links Place, Edinburgh, EH6 7EZ

Knowing more about life, business and society

=====

This e-mail and all attachments it may contain is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Ipsos MORI and its associated companies. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, printing, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender if you have received this e-mail in error.

Market & Opinion Research International Ltd (trading as Ipsos MORI), Registered in England and Wales No. 948470, 79-81 Borough Road, London SE1 1FY, United Kingdom. VAT reg no. 443932151. Email: ukinfo@ipsos.com

=====

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Fri, 28 May 2010 11:29:30 -0500
Reply-To: "Ziegenfuss, Jeanette Y., Ph.D." <Ziegenfuss.Jeanette@MAYO.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Ziegenfuss, Jeanette Y., Ph.D." <Ziegenfuss.Jeanette@MAYO.EDU>
Subject: Past AAPOR presentation - cell phone in an RDD survey and vice

versa
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <001401cad4cb\$e9d795f0\$bd86c1d0\$@edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hello all,

I recall hearing a report on the following questions at an AAPOR conference within the last few years. Does anyone else recall these questions or the data source? I am interested in learning how well they performed and if they are still being used.

Thanks in advance,
Jeanette

In RDD survey: "If we had called you right now on your cell phone would you have answered it?"

In Cell: "If we had called you right now on your home phone would you have answered it?"

- or something approximating this meaning...

Jeanette Ziegenfuss, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor
Mayo Clinic
Survey Research Center
Division of Health Care Policy and Research

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Fri, 28 May 2010 14:07:12 -0700
Reply-To: Floyd Ciruli <fciruli@AOL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Floyd Ciruli <fciruli@AOL.COM>
Subject: PAPER Mini-Conference
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

PAPOR, co-sponsored with the Berkeley Population Center, will be hosting a post-AAPOR recap mini-conference on Monday, June 21, 9-3 PM, on the UC

Berkeley campus. This conference provides research highlights of the national meeting, plus offers an informal opportunity for networking.

Registration includes lunch and beverage service. For more information,

visit the PAPER website, www.papor.org. We look forward to seeing you there!

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 11:57:17 -0700
Reply-To: "H. Stuart Elway" <hstuart@ELWAYRESEARCH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "H. Stuart Elway" <hstuart@ELWAYRESEARCH.COM>
Subject: mail
X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

mail

=====
Email scanned by PC Tools - No viruses or spyware found.
(Email Guard: 7.0.0.18, Virus/Spyware Database: 6.15110)
<http://www.pctools.com/>
=====

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu