

From: LISTS.ASU.EDU LISTSERV Server (16.0) [LISTSERV@asu.edu]
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2011 6:10 PM
To: Shapard Wolf
Subject: File: "AAPORNET LOG1004"

Date: Thu, 1 Apr 2010 10:35:56 -0400
Reply-To: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <milrgold@COMCAST.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <milrgold@COMCAST.NET>
Subject: Washington Post Article about Robert Groves
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v753.1)
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; delp=yes; format=flowed

An informative article appeared in yesterday's (March 31) Washington Post about Bob Groves and his attempts to make the Census more useful. It's titled: "Groves brings scholarly depth to bear in leading census, winning over critics." It can be found at---
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/30/AR2010033003675.html>

Milton Goldsamt

Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.
Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician
Silver Spring, MD
milrgold@comcast.net
301-649-2768
(C) 240-671-7201

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 1 Apr 2010 10:48:34 -0400
Reply-To: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <milrgold@COMCAST.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <milrgold@COMCAST.NET>
Subject: Re: Washington Post Article about Robert Groves--corrected URL
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <751CBD54-8CE4-421C-8668-6CA134F7305A@comcast.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v753.1)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; delp=yes; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The full web site address for the Bob Groves article is: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/30/AR2010033003675.html>

Milton Goldsamt

Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.
Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician
Silver Spring, MD
miltrgold@comcast.net
301-649-2768
(C) 240-671-7201

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Thu, 1 Apr 2010 15:59:49 -0400
Reply-To: "Zhang, Zi" <Zi.Zhang@UMASSMED.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Zhang, Zi" <Zi.Zhang@UMASSMED.EDU>
Subject: Job Posting: Survey Research Analyst at UMass Medical School
X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Survey Research Analyst

Office of Survey Research=20

UMass Medical School

Shrewsbury, Massachusetts

=20

The Office of Survey Research (OSR) within the Center for Health Policy & Research in UMass Medical School's Commonwealth Medicine is seeking a Survey Research Analyst. The Survey Research Analyst provides analytical and programming support on multiple survey research projects undertaken by OSR. The Survey Research Analyst participates as a member of the OSR research team with investigators and faculty from the UMass system in addition to consultants and representatives from other organizations.

=20

The ideal candidate will have the following qualifications:

=20

* Bachelor's degree in quantitative field such as mathematics, computer science, statistics, social science, health services research, or a health-related field with coursework in quantitative and qualitative methods.

=20

* At least 2 to 3 years experience with data management and analysis using SAS, SPSS, and/or any other major statistical packages.

=20

For additional information, please go to www.umassmed.edu and search for requisition 17613 within our Careers page or click on this link:

https://www.hrapply.com/umm/AppJobView.jsp?link=3D17613&page=3DAppJobList=.jsp&skimSessionName=3Dcom.hrlogix.view.cont.app.JobListTable&skimName=3Drequisition.requisition_id&skimNdx=3D0&op=3Dreset

=20

Developed and operated by the University of Massachusetts Medical School, Commonwealth Medicine (CWM) offers access to an unparalleled depth and breadth of academic, research, management and clinical resources. CWM's programs have been instrumental in assisting Massachusetts' health care agencies increase the value and quality of health care expenditures, and improve access and delivery of care to at-risk and uninsured populations. CWM is made up of an array of programs that collaborate with various state and local agencies on health care initiatives.

=20

As an equal opportunity and affirmative action employer, UMMS recognizes the power of a diverse community and encourages applications from individuals with varied experiences, perspectives and backgrounds.

=20

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 1 Apr 2010 17:45:40 -0400
Reply-To: Jon Cohen <CohenJ@WASHPOST.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jon Cohen <CohenJ@WASHPOST.COM>
Subject: Re: Washington Post Article about Robert Groves--corrected URL

X-To: "Milton R. Goldsamt" <miltrgold@COMCAST.NET>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <15BF1E45-8F68-4095-A0E4-68E4AF582325@comcast.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Headline in paper: "In leading census, Groves finds strength in numbers"

"Milton R. Goldsamt" <miltrgold@COMCAST.NET>
Sent by: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
04/01/2010 10:48 AM
Please respond to
"Milton R. Goldsamt" <miltrgold@COMCAST.NET>

To
AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
cc

Subject
Re: Washington Post Article about Robert Groves--corrected URL

The full web site address for the Bob Groves article is: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/30/AR2010033003675.html>

Milton Goldsamt

Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.
Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician
Silver Spring, MD
miltrgold@comcast.net
301-649-2768
(C) 240-671-7201

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapor.net.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapor.net-request@asu.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 1 Apr 2010 17:50:26 -0400
Reply-To: Joyce Rachelson <j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joyce Rachelson <j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET>
Subject: Re: Washington Post Article about Robert Groves--corrected URL
X-To: Jon Cohen <CohenJ@WASHPOST.COM>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <OFD5CE1602.673D4BBA-ON852576F8.00777E66-852576F8.00778A0B@washpost.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Here's a tiny url of the article.

*<http://tinyurl.com/yaab62v>

Regards,
Joyce
*

Jon Cohen wrote:

> Headline in paper: "In leading census, Groves finds strength in numbers"

>

>

>

>

> "Milton R. Goldsamt" <miltrgold@COMCAST.NET>

> Sent by: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

> 04/01/2010 10:48 AM

> Please respond to

> "Milton R. Goldsamt" <miltrgold@COMCAST.NET>

>

>

> To

> AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> cc

>

> Subject

> Re: Washington Post Article about Robert Groves--corrected URL

>

>

>

>

>

>

> The full web site address for the Bob Groves article is: [http://](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/30/AR2010033003675.html)

> [www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/30/](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/30/AR2010033003675.html)

> [AR2010033003675.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/30/AR2010033003675.html)

>

> Milton Goldsamt
>
>
> Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.
> Consulting Research Psychologist & Statistician
> Silver Spring, MD
> miltrgold@comcast.net
> 301-649-2768
> (C) 240-671-7201
>

> -----
> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu

> -----
> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

--
Joyce Rachelson, PRC
Dir. Marketing & Sales
Ocucom
JoyceR@ocucom.com
+1 513-846-9353

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 1 Apr 2010 18:52:42 -0400
Reply-To: "Michael D. Cohen" <mcohen@COHENRESEARCHGROUP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Michael D. Cohen" <mcohen@COHENRESEARCHGROUP.COM>
Subject: Social Desirability Bias for Patriotism
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v1078)
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi,

Does anyone have a good citation for the extent to the effect of social

desirability bias on questions of patriotism or support of the military?

Thanks,

Michael D. Cohen, Ph.D.
Cohen Research Group
10 G Street, NE, Suite 601
Washington, DC 20002
(202) 558-6300 Phone
(202) 558-6301 Fax

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Thu, 1 Apr 2010 23:55:42 +0100
Reply-To: "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Moon, Nick (GfK NOP, UK)" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>
Subject: telescoping and gender
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

An academic colleague in the UK has asked if I was aware of any supporting evidence about a phenomenon he's observed in his data.

I said I didn't but I knew some people who might, so here goes.

What he observed is that of those who report ever having experienced event type X, men are always more likely than women to report that it happened in the last 12 months.

This suggests either that men have shorter memories or that they telescope events further back in the past to the current time. The former would suggest that estimates for men are relatively underestimated compared to women; the latter that one shouldn't compare results for men and women over a specific recall period.

Anyone ever come across this?
thanks

nick
Consider the environment before printing this email

Any views or opinions are solely those of the author
and do not necessarily represent those of GfK NOP or
any of its associated companies.

The information transmitted is intended only for the

person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication and notify the sender immediately. It should be noted that any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee that attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses

GfK NOP Limited
245 Blackfriars Road
London
SE1 9UL

Place of registration: England and Wales
Company number: 2512551
Registered office: GfK NOP Limited, Ludgate House, 245 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 9UL

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 01:40:45 -0400
Reply-To: Tom Guterbock <tmglp@VIRGINIA.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Tom Guterbock <tmglp@VIRGINIA.EDU>
Subject: Last chance to check out the proposed revisions of the AAPOR Code
X-To: AAPORnet List server <aapornet@asu.edu>
X-cc: AASRO Collab site member list <aasro@collab.itc.virginia.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline

Hello,

I had the privilege of serving on a committee that helped to draft the proposed revision of AAPOR's Code that is now up for comment on aapor.org. The comment period closes today, Friday 4/2.

There were very passionate discussions over many weeks about some of the proposed changes among members of the revision committee (chaired by Mary Losch), members of the Standards Committee (chaired by Steve Blumberg) and (as I hear from others) also in the deliberations of AAPOR's Executive Council. I've been somewhat surprised at the lack of discussion of the proposed revisions here on AAPORnet .

If you've not taken the time to read the proposed new Code, I urge you to

do so. The new draft makes considerable revisions to the disclosure requirements of the Code, all in the direction of more things that need to be disclosed. As a former AAPOR Standards Chair I am a fan of greater transparency and more complete disclosure in our profession, but I am not sure that the new requirements will fit with the needs, capabilities and business imperatives of all AAPOR members. I have sent some comments to that effect to the Standards Committee, speaking just for myself.

If you have comments of your own, you should send them today to standards@aapor.org. Again, the member comment period ends today, Friday 4/2.

Tom

cc: AASRO members list

Thomas M. Guterbock Voice: (434)243-5223
Director CSR Main Number: (434)243-5222
Center for Survey Research FAX: (434)982-5524
University of Virginia EXPRESS DELIVERY: 2400 Old Ivy Road
P. O. Box 400767 Suite 212
Charlottesville, VA 22904-4767 Charlottesville, VA 22903
e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 09:39:45 -0400
Reply-To: hochschild@gov.harvard.edu
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jennifer Hochschild <hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU>
Organization: Harvard
Subject: paper using ESS
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
X-cc: Charles Lang <charles_lang@mail.harvard.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello,

The note below is from a graduate student; can anyone help him re access to the 2004 Hungary data from ESS, which are apparently not on the website?

many thanks, Jennifer

QUERY: Have been immersed in the ESS for the last week, ... It would be good to get a hold of the 2004 Hungary data that they split into telephone, face-to-face interview and pencil and paper to see the difference. I can't see it on the website, do you know

if it is available?

--

Jennifer L. Hochschild
Harvard University
Henry LaBarre Jayne Professor of Government,
Professor of African and African American Studies, and
Harvard College Professor

Department of Government
Harvard University
CGIS -- 1737 Cambridge Street
Cambridge, MA 02138
Phone: 617-496-0181
Fax: 617-495-0438
Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu <mailto:Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu>

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 10:05:25 -0400
Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing
Subject: Re: Last chance to check out the proposed revisions of the AAPOR
Code
X-To: Tom Guterbock <tmglp@VIRGINIA.EDU>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <F0C7150C8FD55D4A8905CED9@DBBG9Y21.virginia.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I did read the proposed code and the letter from Stephen Blumberg and Reg Baker describing the changes, and I think the committee is to be congratulated for having done a good job. I am particularly gratified to see that suggestions I had lobbied for in 2005 (e.g., disclosure of weighting information) have now made their way into the code.

If I have any criticism, it is that the code itself now reads more like a laundry list of dos and don'ts than a creed to live by, but that is more a question of style than content. Nonetheless, form tends to dictate comprehension (as we should all know too well by now).

Since the bylaws require that the code come up again for review no later than 2015, it is not too early to begin to think about how we want to

present the core principles of AAPOR to the world in a way that makes it easy for everyone to absorb to the extent of their individual needs. One approach I might suggest is to restructure the content of the three existing sections of the code along the following lines:

- I) Overall principles of integrity and transparency.
- II) General guidelines for good behavior and disclosure.
- III) Specific requirements for the above.

One advantage of this kind of explicit hierarchy is that, in addition to a printed version (linear), it would permit an electronic (HTML, PDF) representation that could more accurately represent the structure of the code, and more important, allow readers to find what they want without traversing a lot of detail. This would also allow incorporation of cross references with other AAPOR documents on principles of good practice or similar relevant matters.

And, once again, thanks to all on the committee for their hard work and congratulations for an excellent job.

Jan Werner

Tom Guterbock wrote:

- > Hello,
- > I had the privilege of serving on a committee that helped to draft the
- > proposed revision of AAPOR's Code that is now up for comment on aapor.org.
- > The comment period closes today, Friday 4/2.
- > There were very passionate discussions over many weeks about some of the
- > proposed changes among members of the revision committee (chaired by Mary
- > Losch), members of the Standards Committee (chaired by Steve Blumberg) and
- > (as I hear from others) also in the deliberations of AAPOR's Executive
- > Council. I've been somewhat surprised at the lack of discussion of the
- > proposed revisions here on AAPORnet .
- > If you've not taken the time to read the proposed new Code, I urge you to
- > do so. The new draft makes considerable revisions to the disclosure
- > requirements of the Code, all in the direction of more things that need to
- > be disclosed. As a former AAPOR Standards Chair I am a fan of greater
- > transparency and more complete disclosure in our profession, but I am not
- > sure that the new requirements will fit with the needs, capabilities and
- > business imperatives of all AAPOR members. I have sent some comments to
- > that effect to the Standards Committee, speaking just for myself.
- > If you have comments of your own, you should send them today to
- > standards@aapor.org. Again, the member comment period ends today, Friday
- > 4/2.
- >
- > Tom
- >
- > cc: AASRO members list
- >
- >
- > Thomas M. Guterbock Voice: (434)243-5223
- > Director CSR Main Number: (434)243-5222
- > Center for Survey Research FAX: (434)982-5524

> University of Virginia EXPRESS DELIVERY: 2400 Old Ivy Road
> P. O. Box 400767 Suite 212
> Charlottesville, VA 22904-4767 Charlottesville, VA 22903
> e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu

>
> -----
> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>
>

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 10:09:48 -0400
Reply-To: Howard Fienberg <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Howard Fienberg <howard.fienberg@MRA-NET.ORG>
Subject: New Law Legalizes Exit Polling at Minnesota Voting Places
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The Governor of Minnesota yesterday signed a bill into law that legalizes exit polling at Minnesota voting places. The Marketing Research Association (MRA) had previously testified in support of the law in the state legislature.

<http://www.mra-net.org/news/article.cfm?aID=3D1077>

=20

Howard Fienberg, PLC
Director of Government Affairs
Marketing Research Association (MRA)
howard.fienberg@mra-net.org
1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 775-5170
Fax: (888) 512-1050
<http://www.mra-net.org> <<http://www.mra-net.org>>=20
=20

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 07:40:24 -0700
Reply-To: Jon Krosnick <krosnick@STANFORD.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jon Krosnick <krosnick@STANFORD.EDU>
Subject: 2010 Summer Institute in Political Psychology
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

You are invited to participate in:

THE 2010 SUMMER INSTITUTE IN POLITICAL PSYCHOLOGY

at Stanford University from July 11 - July 30, 2010

Applications are being accepted for the 19th Annual Summer Institute in Political Psychology, to be held at Stanford University July 11-30, 2010. SIPP 2010 will involve 60 participants (graduate students, faculty, professionals, and advanced undergraduates), and just a few slots remain open.

The Summer Institute offers 3 weeks of intensive training in political psychology. Political psychology is an exciting and thriving field that explores the origins of political behavior and the causes of political events, with a special focus on the psychological mechanisms at work.

Research findings in political psychology advance basic theory of human cognitive and social behavior and are an important basis for political decision-making in practice.

SIPP was founded in 1991 at Ohio State University, and Stanford has hosted SIPP since 2005, with support from Stanford University and from the National Science Foundation. Hundreds of participants have attended SIPP during these years.

The 2010 SIPP curriculum is designed to (1) provide broad exposure to theories, empirical findings, and research traditions; (2) illustrate successful cross-disciplinary research and integration; (3) enhance methodological pluralism; and (4) strengthen networks among scholars from around the world.

SIPP activities will include lectures by world-class faculty, discussion groups, research/interest group meetings, group projects, and an array of social activities.

Some of the topics covered in past SIPP programs include voting and elections, public opinion, race relations, conflict and dispute resolution, international

conflict, decision-making by political elites, moral disengagement and violence, social networks, activism and social protest, political socialization, and justice.

For detailed information and to apply, visit this website:

<<http://www.stanford.edu/group/sipp>> <http://www.stanford.edu/group/sipp>

Applicants are accepted on a rolling basis until all slots are filled, so applying soon maximizes chances of acceptance.

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 16:47:50 +0200
Reply-To: peter.mohler@uni-mannheim.de
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Peter Mohler <peter.mohler@UNI-MANNHEIM.DE>
Organization: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Universit=E4t_Mannheim_?=
Subject: Re: paper using ESS
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
X-cc: European Social Survey <ess@city.ac.uk>
In-Reply-To: <4BB5F3A1.7010708@gov.harvard.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dear all,
the country file of Hungary 2004 plus full documentation can be found
on the ESS Data Website at
<http://ess.nsd.uib.no/ess/round2/download.html>
if you are not yet a registered user, just register using your e-mail
peter mohler

On 02.04.2010 15:39, Jennifer Hochschild wrote:

> Hello,
>
> The note below is from a graduate student; can anyone help him re access
> to the 2004 Hungary data from ESS, which are apparently not on the
> website?
>
> many thanks, Jennifer
>
>
> QUERY: Have been immersed in the ESS for the last week, ... It would be
> good to get a hold of the 2004 Hungary data that they split into
> telephone, face-to-face interview and pencil and paper to see the

> difference. I can't see it on the website, do you know
> if it is available?
>
>
> --
> Jennifer L. Hochschild
> Harvard University
> Henry LaBarre Jayne Professor of Government,
> Professor of African and African American Studies, and
> Harvard College Professor
>
> Department of Government
> Harvard University
> CGIS -- 1737 Cambridge Street
> Cambridge, MA 02138
> Phone: 617-496-0181
> Fax: 617-495-0438
> Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu <mailto:Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu>

> -----
> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 11:22:08 -0400
Reply-To: Joel Bloom <joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joel Bloom <joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: Re: Last chance to check out the proposed revisions of the AAPOR

Code
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <4BB5F9A5.70804@jwdp.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

The new code looks good to me; I am always in favor of more disclosure over less. My main concern would be that our colleagues in the private sector might not be able to follow all of the guidelines as readily as those of us in the public sector. My corollary concern to that is that we don't want to

drive good, ethical researchers out of the association. Since I'm in the public sector, I have no problems with any of it myself, so I would hope that the committee has heard from those who might be up against constraints that would make the level of full disclosure envisioned here unreasonable or impossible.

On a side note, I realize that this comes too late to do any good this time around, but the way the documents were put out there for review makes it more difficult than necessary to match up (1) the old code; (2) the new code and (3) the justifications for the changes. Looking ahead to the next time we undergo this process (either with the code or other official AAPOR documents) I would suggest creating a single document with the existing code and the new code together (with deleted language struck through and new language underlined) and the justifications for each change placed as comments at the actual point in the document where the change is proposed. This would be very easy to do, and would make the review process a great deal more user-friendly (and would thus make the resulting reviews more robust). Thanks for all your great work on this!

-- Joel

--

Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.
The University at Albany, SUNY

Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science
Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research
Phone: (518) 437-4791
Cell: 541-579-6610
E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu
joelbloom@googlewave.com
Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

On Fri, Apr 2, 2010 at 10:05 AM, Jan Werner <jjwerner@jwdp.com> wrote:

> I did read the proposed code and the letter from Stephen Blumberg and
> Reg Baker describing the changes, and I think the committee is to be
> congratulated for having done a good job. I am particularly gratified to
> see that suggestions I had lobbied for in 2005 (e.g., disclosure of
> weighting information) have now made their way into the code.
>
> If I have any criticism, it is that the code itself now reads more like
> a laundry list of dos and don'ts than a creed to live by, but that is
> more a question of style than content. Nonetheless, form tends to
> dictate comprehension (as we should all know too well by now).
>
> Since the bylaws require that the code come up again for review no later
> than 2015, it is not too early to begin to think about how we want to
> present the core principles of AAPOR to the world in a way that makes it
> easy for everyone to absorb to the extent of their individual needs. One
> approach I might suggest is to restructure the content of the three
> existing sections of the code along the following lines:
>

> I) Overall principles of integrity and transparency.
> II) General guidelines for good behavior and disclosure.
> III) Specific requirements for the above.
>
> One advantage of this kind of explicit hierarchy is that, in addition to
> a printed version (linear), it would permit an electronic (HTML, PDF)
> representation that could more accurately represent the structure of the
> code, and more important, allow readers to find what they want without
> traversing a lot of detail. This would also allow incorporation of cross
> references with other AAPOR documents on principles of good practice or
> similar relevant matters.
>
> And, once again, thanks to all on the committee for their hard work and
> congratulations for an excellent job.
>
> Jan Werner
> _____
>
>
> Tom Guterbock wrote:
>
>> Hello,
>> I had the privilege of serving on a committee that helped to draft the
>> proposed revision of AAPOR's Code that is now up for comment on aapor.org
>> .
>> The comment period closes today, Friday 4/2.
>> There were very passionate discussions over many weeks about some of the
>> proposed changes among members of the revision committee (chaired by Mary
>> Losch), members of the Standards Committee (chaired by Steve Blumberg) and
>> (as I hear from others) also in the deliberations of AAPOR's Executive
>> Council. I've been somewhat surprised at the lack of discussion of the
>> proposed revisions here on AAPORnet .
>> If you've not taken the time to read the proposed new Code, I urge you to
>> do so. The new draft makes considerable revisions to the disclosure
>> requirements of the Code, all in the direction of more things that need to
>> be disclosed. As a former AAPOR Standards Chair I am a fan of greater
>> transparency and more complete disclosure in our profession, but I am not
>> sure that the new requirements will fit with the needs, capabilities and
>> business imperatives of all AAPOR members. I have sent some comments to
>> that effect to the Standards Committee, speaking just for myself.
>> If you have comments of your own, you should send them today to
>> standards@aapor.org. Again, the member comment period ends today, Friday
>> 4/2.
>>
>> Tom
>>
>> cc: AASRO members list
>>
>>
>> Thomas M. Guterbock Voice: (434)243-5223
>> Director CSR Main Number: (434)243-5222
>> Center for Survey Research FAX: (434)982-5524
>> University of Virginia EXPRESS DELIVERY: 2400 Old Ivy Road
>> P. O. Box 400767 Suite 212

>> Charlottesville, VA 22904-4767 Charlottesville, VA 22903

>> e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu

>>

>> -----

>> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:

>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>

>>

>>

> -----

> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> set aapornet nomail

> On your return send this: set aapornet mail

>

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

--

Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.

The University at Albany, SUNY

Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science

Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research

Phone: (518) 437-4791

Cell: 541-579-6610

E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu

joeldbloom@googlewave.com

Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 18:23:39 +0200

Reply-To: Edith de Leeuw <edithl@XS4ALL.NL>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Edith de Leeuw <edithl@XS4ALL.NL>

Subject: Re: paper using ESS

X-To: hochschild@gov.harvard.edu, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

X-cc: p.lynn@essex.ac.uk

In-Reply-To: <4BB5F3A1.7010708@gov.harvard.edu>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi Jennifer

Try and contact Peter Lynn in Essex (university of Essex UK), his E-mail
p.lynn@essex.ac.uk

He is one of the co-authors on a mixed-mode paper based on a.o. the Hungary
data See

<http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/publications/working-papers/iser/2006-41.pdf>

If I am not mistaken it just got published.

Good luck, Edith

At 09:39 AM 4/2/2010 -0400, Jennifer Hochschild wrote:

>Hello,

>

>The note below is from a graduate student; can anyone help him re access
>to the 2004 Hungary data from ESS, which are apparently not on the website?

>

>many thanks, Jennifer

>

>

>QUERY: Have been immersed in the ESS for the last week, ... It would be
>good to get a hold of the 2004 Hungary data that they split into
>telephone, face-to-face interview and pencil and paper to see the
>difference. I can't see it on the website, do you know
>if it is available?

>

>

>

> Jennifer L. Hochschild

>

Professor Dr. Edith D. de Leeuw
Plantage Doklaan 40, NL-1018 CN Amsterdam
tel + 31 20 622 34 38 fax + 31 20 330 25 97
e-mail edithl@xs4all.nl

Klaag niet dat de rozen doornen hebben,
Maar verheug u dat doornen rozen dragen

Do not moan about roses having thorns
Rejoice at thorns bearing roses

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 2 Apr 2010 17:58:38 -0500
Reply-To: "G. Donald Ferree, Jr." <gferree@SSC.WISC.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "G. Donald Ferree, Jr." <gferree@SSC.WISC.EDU>
Subject: Re: Last chance to check out the proposed revisions of the AAPOR Code
X-To: standards@aapor.org
X-cc: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Dear Colleagues,

I applaud the new draft code, especially its greater detail on the kinds of information required and its explicitly noting that -- while acceptance of the code as such is a condition of AAPOR membership and is not legally binding on others -- we assert our right as the professional association of opinion researchers to comment on work which reflects on the profession and indirectly trades on our collective good name. Therefore, AAPOR asserts that the substance of the standards apply to all who purport to pursue opinion research.

I can see Joel's point about being sensitive to those who feel their situations may require keeping certain information confidential, but suspect the problem area likely will fall in the area of weighting (and relatedly, such things as identification of "likely voters" or the various schemes used to move from theoretically eligible voters to those who will actually cast ballots). As I read the code, it does not explicitly call for the exact formulae that would produce "likelihood of voting weights" or the like which might be problematic as "proprietary". Rather a description of the variables involved might suffice. If the exact formulae were always required, this might well cause a problem for some people I would regard as exemplary professionals. Of course, they would be better judges of that than I of whether this theoretical difficulty applies in practice.

A related point is that it might be useful to include a generalized obligation to "respond to requests for disclosure as fully and in as timely a fashion as possible, except where one can document excessive burden of providing the information or serious considerations of protecting intellectual property and/or respondent rights". The intent would be to state a general principle against which the

behavior of a person or organization complained about could be judged. This could in practice provide reasons why what might on the surface seem a violation should not be censured, and while encouraging as much partial compliance as possible. The timeframes in the draft seem basically reasonable, especially if one imagines the word "normally" inserted. But I can imagine it might reasonably take more than thirty days to respond to some requests and we would be better off if an organization promised and delivered in slightly more time than being taken as equally in violation if they flatly refused or if it took six weeks. In practice, I would trust the Standards Committee to make such determinations but having something clearly in the code to back them up might help.

Looking to the future revisions, I would urge consideration of including a general obligation (with proper protections of intellectual property etc.) to preserve data and make them available for secondary analysis, such as by archiving. After all, copyrights expire as do patents, and destruction of data -- or rendering it permanently proprietary -- may cut off some fruitful future research. Moreover, some situations, such as many federal grants, require this explicitly. This is far too complicated to write into the code as a general requirement at this point, but I think it is a suitable subject for future discussion. It fits in with the notion that our obligation to responsible analysis of data does not cease with the collection of the data themselves.

I commend all those who have been involved in this effort, and hope we can move forward as a professional community with improved standards.

Don

> The new code looks good to me; I am always in favor of more disclosure over less. My main concern would be that our colleagues in the private sector might not be able to follow all of the guidelines as readily as those of us in the public sector. My corollary concern to that is that we don't want to drive good, ethical researchers out of the association. Since I'm in the public sector, I have no problems with any of it myself, so I would hope that the committee has heard

from those who might be up against constraints that would make the level of full disclosure envisioned here unreasonable or impossible.

>
> On a side note, I realize that this comes too late to do any good this time around, but the way the documents were put out there for review makes it more difficult than necessary to match up (1) the old code; (2) the new code and (3) the justifications for the changes. Looking ahead to the next time we undergo this process (either with the code or other official AAPOR documents) I would suggest creating a single document with the existing code and the new code together (with deleted language struck through and new language underlined) and the justifications for each change placed as comments at the actual point in the document where the change is proposed. This would be very easy to do, and would make the review process a great deal more user-friendly (and would thus make the resulting reviews more robust). Thanks for all your great work on this!

>
> -- Joel
>
> --
> Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.
> The University at Albany, SUNY
>
> Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science
> Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research
> Phone: (518) 437-4791
> Cell: 541-579-6610
> E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu
> joeldbloom@googlewave.com
> Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

>
>
> On Fri, Apr 2, 2010 at 10:05 AM, Jan Werner <jwerner@jwdp.com> wrote:
>
>> I did read the proposed code and the letter from Stephen Blumberg and Reg Baker describing the changes, and I think the committee is to be congratulated for having done a good job. I am particularly gratified to see that suggestions I had lobbied for in 2005 (e.g., disclosure of weighting information) have now made their way into the code.
>> If I have any criticism, it is that the code itself now reads more like a laundry list of dos and don'ts than a creed to live by, but that is more a question of style than content. Nonetheless, form tends to dictate comprehension (as we should all know too well by now).
>> Since the bylaws require that the code come up again for review no later than 2015, it is not too early to begin to think about how we want to present the

core principles of AAPOR to the world in a way that makes it easy for everyone to absorb to the extent of their individual needs. One approach I might suggest is to restructure the content of the three existing sections of the code along the

following lines:

>> I) Overall principles of integrity and transparency.

>> II) General guidelines for good behavior and disclosure.

>> III) Specific requirements for the above.

>> One advantage of this kind of explicit hierarchy is that, in addition to a printed version (linear), it would permit an electronic (HTML, PDF) representation that could more accurately represent the structure of the code, and more important, allow readers to find what they want without traversing a lot

of detail. This would also allow incorporation of cross references with other AAPOR documents on principles of good practice or similar relevant matters.

>> And, once again, thanks to all on the committee for their hard work and congratulations for an excellent job.

>> Jan Werner

>>

>> _____
>> Tom Guterbock wrote:

>>> Hello,

>>> I had the privilege of serving on a committee that helped to draft the proposed

revision of AAPOR's Code that is now up for comment on aapor.org .

>>> The comment period closes today, Friday 4/2.

>>> There were very passionate discussions over many weeks about some of the proposed changes among members of the revision committee (chaired by Mary Losch), members of the Standards Committee (chaired by Steve Blumberg) and (as I

hear from others) also in the deliberations of AAPOR's Executive Council. I've been somewhat surprised at the lack of discussion of the proposed revisions

here

on AAPORnet .

>>> If you've not taken the time to read the proposed new Code, I urge you to do so.

The new draft makes considerable revisions to the disclosure requirements of the

Code, all in the direction of more things that need to be disclosed. As a former

AAPOR Standards Chair I am a fan of greater transparency and more complete disclosure in our profession, but I am not sure that the new requirements will fit with the needs, capabilities and business imperatives of all AAPOR members.

I have sent some comments to that effect to the Standards Committee, speaking just for myself. If you have comments of your own, you should send them today to

standards@aapor.org. Again, the member comment period ends today, Friday 4/2.

>>> Tom

>>> cc: AASRO members list

>>> Thomas M. Guterbock Voice: (434)243-5223

>>> Director CSR Main Number: (434)243-5222

>>> Center for Survey Research FAX: (434)982-5524

>>> University of Virginia EXPRESS DELIVERY: 2400 Old Ivy Road
>>> P. O. Box 400767 Suite 212
>>> Charlottesville, VA 22904-4767 Charlottesville, VA 22903
>>> e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu

>>> -----
>>> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
>>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>> -----
>> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives:
<http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
>> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
>> set aapornet nomail
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>
>
>
> --
> Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.
> The University at Albany, SUNY
>
> Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science
> Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research
> Phone: (518) 437-4791
> Cell: 541-579-6610
> E-mail: jbloom@albany.edu
> joeldbloom@googlewave.com
> Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

> -----
> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives:
<http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sat, 3 Apr 2010 00:22:51 -0400
Reply-To: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM>
Subject: The Proposed Code
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU, standards@aapor.org
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There are a few places in the introductory paragraphs that I think could be clarified.

From the draft:

We-the members of the American Association for Public Opinion Research, its affiliated chapters, or the broader public opinion and survey research profession-subscribe to the principles expressed in the following Code.

Why is the word "or" in the first sentence? Are we saying that "the broader public opinion and survey research profession" is equivalent to what precedes "or?" Hardly. Why not say "and non-members within the public opinion and survey research profession?" Answer (IMO): Because that would amount to explicit acknowledgement that we presume to speak for non-members. If the sentence were made grammatically correct, the easily disputed nature of the assertion would be more apparent:

Also from the draft:

The Code describes the obligation of research professionals, regardless of their membership in this Association or any other, to commit to integrity and transparency in order to uphold the credibility of public opinion and survey research.

A careful reading makes it evident that the Code describes the mandates or imperatives endorsed by the association and not the obligation. What this sentence seems to be saying - and I have not heard this contradicted - is that AAPOR feels it can dictate the standards under which non-members should operate. That is questionable. One might reply that we are stating only that they should "commit to integrity and transparency." But what professional would refuse that? There is an implication that non-members should commit to integrity and transparency by adhering to the practices

articulated by AAPOR in its Code. To me that is difficult to justify.

I think it would be better to say:

"We believe that public opinion and survey research professionals, regardless of their membership in this association, should be bound by the principles of this Code."

And regarding the expression, "public opinion and survey researchers" . . .

What is the difference - within the context of AAPOR -- between a public opinion researcher and a survey researcher? If there is none, why not use a single term? Repetition of "public opinion and survey research(er)" implies that the two are not equivalent. Like "bricks and mortar" or "oil and vinegar." I agree that the Code principles apply to survey research on topics other than public opinion (although that could be debated) but, more importantly, is there any non-survey method of measuring public opinion to which the Code is relevant? If not, then use a single term. Public opinion research using surveys is a subset of all surveys. Are we speaking about all surveys here, or something less than that?

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.

J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY

www.jpmmurphy.com

600 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.

772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.

610 408 8800 Mobile

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 09:26:14 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Erick Erickson will pull 'shotgun' over Census
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Erick Erickson will pull 'shotgun' over Census
Politico

CNN contributor and prominent Republican blogger Erick Erickson is threatening to pull out a "shotgun" to scare away census workers.

Erickson - the founder of the conservative blog RedState - said on his Macon, Ga.-area radio show Thursday that if a census worker carrying a longer American Community Survey form came by his house, he would "pull out my wife's shotgun and see how that little ACS twerp likes being scared at the door."

SNIP

Read more:

<http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0410/35338.html#ixzz0kERPuFy3>

Or

<http://tinyurl.com/yhg4wbm>

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 09:16:34 -0500
Reply-To: Kelly Elver <kelver@SSC.WISC.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Kelly Elver <kelver@SSC.WISC.EDU>
Subject: Job Listing
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
X-cc: Steve Coombs <scoombs@ssc.wisc.edu>

MIME-version: 1.0
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-type: text/plain; CHARSET=US-ASCII; format=flowed

Please see the link below regarding information on a new job posting for a position to manage survey research projects at University of Wisconsin Survey Center. The deadline for application is April 15, 2009
Thank You!

http://www.ohr.wisc.edu/pvl/pv_064137.html

--

Kelly Elver
Project Management Director
UW Survey Center
1800 University Ave Room 204A
Madison, WI 53726
Phone: (608)262-7360
E-mail: kelper@ssc.wisc.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 10:25:59 -0400
Reply-To: James Bason <jbason@UGA.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: James Bason <jbason@UGA.EDU>
Subject: Response to My Question
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks to all who responded to my question regarding the percentage dilemma. I had over 30 responses, and many duplicated so I'll just give a quick review of the collective response here.

I got two good references on the topic:

1. Tourangeau, The Psychology of Survey Response, was the most cited literature source, and was cited by a number of people.
2. Paper by Conrad, F.G., Couper, M.P., Tourangeau, R., and Galesic, M. 2002. Interactive Feedback Can Improve the Quality of Responses in Web Surveys. ASA Survey Methods Section. (This paper was really good and is probably the route we'll take by making sure the program totals up responses as the respondent enters info so that they can see what proportion they have entered.
3. Others pointed to John Robinson at U of MD and his work on time.
4. Dynamic pie charts were mentioned
5. It was mentioned that perhaps using 10 tasks rather than 12 or 13 would work better.
6. Many people mentioned using a program with a web or CATI package to show a running tally (which is what we will do)
7. Creation of a 'percent of total variable' using the total % as the denominator (for cases where responses total more than 100%.

Thanks to everyone for being such a great resource, as always.

Sincerely,

Jim Bason, Ph.D.

Director and Associate Research Scientist

Survey Research Center

University of Georgia

Athens, GA 30602

706-542-9082

706-425-3029 Fax

jbason@uga.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 10:40:51 -0400
Reply-To: Frauke Kreuter <fkreuter@SURVEY.UMD.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Frauke Kreuter <fkreuter@SURVEY.UMD.EDU>
Subject: FW: Position in Germany - Professor in Survey Methodology
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

fyi

Please see the link below regarding information on a job posting for a position at the University of Konstanz, Germany.
The deadline for application is April 9, 2009

<http://www.uni-konstanz.de/news/index.php?cont=stellausw&seite=2010/039&id=3>

The department of Politics and Management at the University of Konstanz is searching for a Professor in Survey Methodology. Qualified candidates should have relevant publications and should be willing to offer courses in Survey Methodology to bachelor students in Politics and Management. For details contact Herr Prof. Dr. Gerald Schneider, Tel: + 49 (0) 7531 / 88 - 2608. The Universität of Konstanz is one of nine Germany's universities of Excellence and is certified as family friendly University by the Hertie-Stiftung. The University is an equal opportunity employer. Applications can be submitted in electronic form and should include (CV, list of publications, record of teaching, academic credentials), the application form (<http://www.uni-konstanz.de/shared/pdf/upload/Bewerberbogen2010039.PDF>) and copies of three relevant papers. The application can be send to Dr. Brigitte Rieger (sektion.rwv@uni-konstanz.de) by April 9 2010, referencing the position with 2010/039. International applications are welcome.

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 11:33:46 -0400
Reply-To: Casey Tesfaye <clanger@AIP.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Casey Tesfaye <clanger@AIP.ORG>
Subject: Re: Response to My Question
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <001401cad4cb\$e9d795f0\$bd86c1d0\$@edu>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Re: the running tallies...

=20

We have decided not to use them in our work, because of concerns about = scripting. Many people have scripting blocks on their browsers, and we = believe that the scripting could be problematic.

=20

That said, totaling would be very convenient... How are others handling = this problem?

=20

Thanks,

=20

Casey

=20

Casey Langer Tesfaye
Statistical Research Center
American Institute of Physics
<http://www.aip.org/statistics>
(301)209-3078

>>> James Bason <jbason@UGA.EDU> 4/5/2010 10:25 AM >>>

Thanks to all who responded to my question regarding the percentage = dilemma.

I had over 30 responses, and many duplicated so I'll just give a quick review of the collective response here.

I got two good references on the topic:

1. Tourangeau, The Psychology of Survey Response, was the most cited literature source, and was cited by a number of people.

2. Paper by Conrad, F.G., Couper, M.P., Tourangeau, R., and Galesic, M. 2002. Interactive Feedback Can Improve the Quality of Responses in Web Surveys. ASA Survey Methods Section. (This paper was really good and is probably the route we'll take by making sure the program totals up = responses

as the respondent enters info so that they can see what proportion they =
have
entered.

3. Others pointed to John Robinson at U of MD and his work on time.
4. Dynamic pie charts were mentioned
5. It was mentioned that perhaps using 10 tasks rather than 12 or 13 would work better.
6. Many people mentioned using a program with a web or CATI package =
to
show a running tally (which is what we will do)
7. Creation of a 'percent of total variable' using the total % as =
the
denominator (for cases where responses total more than 100%.

Thanks to everyone for being such a great resource, as always.

Sincerely,

Jim Bason, Ph.D.

Director and Associate Research Scientist

Survey Research Center

University of Georgia

Athens, GA 30602

706-542-9082

706-425-3029 Fax

jbason@uga.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 09:51:53 -0700
Reply-To: Hank Zucker <hank@SURVEYSYSTEM.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Hank Zucker <hank@SURVEYSYSTEM.COM>
Subject: Re: Response to My Question
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset="iso-8859-1";
reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Only about 5% of Internet users have JavaScript turned off (http://www.w3schools.com/browsers/browsers_stats.asp), because turning it off degrades a substantial percentage of web sites. In addition, some others might have their browsers set to notify them if a page has a script and give them the choice of running it. But even if JavaScript is turned off, that would mean the total box doesn't total, it would not mean that the rest of the page would not function. I think that the running total is so useful to completing this task, that using it for the large majority of people who will see it is worthwhile, even if it doesn't work for a small number of people. And you could have a note on the page saying that the running total depends on JavaScript being turned on, so that small number will know why they are not seeing a running total.

Regards,
Hank

Hank Zucker
Creative Research Systems
(707) 765-1001
hank@surveysystem.com

----- Original Message -----

From: "Casey Tesfaye" <clanger@AIP.ORG>
To: <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Sent: Monday, April 05, 2010 8:33 AM

Subject: Re: Response to My Question

Re: the running tallies...

We have decided not to use them in our work, because of concerns about scripting. Many people have scripting blocks on their browsers, and we believe that the scripting could be problematic.

That said, totaling would be very convenient... How are others handling this problem?

Thanks,

Casey

Casey Langer Tesfaye
Statistical Research Center
American Institute of Physics
<http://www.aip.org/statistics>
(301)209-3078

>>> James Bason <jbason@UGA.EDU> 4/5/2010 10:25 AM >>>

Thanks to all who responded to my question regarding the percentage dilemma. I had over 30 responses, and many duplicated so I'll just give a quick review of the collective response here.

I got two good references on the topic:

1. Tourangeau, The Psychology of Survey Response, was the most cited literature source, and was cited by a number of people.
2. Paper by Conrad, F.G., Couper, M.P., Tourangeau, R., and Galesic, M. 2002. Interactive Feedback Can Improve the Quality of Responses in Web Surveys. ASA Survey Methods Section. (This paper was really good and is probably the route we'll take by making sure the program totals up responses as the respondent enters info so that they can see what proportion they have entered.
3. Others pointed to John Robinson at U of MD and his work on time.
4. Dynamic pie charts were mentioned
5. It was mentioned that perhaps using 10 tasks rather than 12 or 13 would work better.
6. Many people mentioned using a program with a web or CATI package to show a running tally (which is what we will do)

7. Creation of a 'percent of total variable' using the total % as the denominator (for cases where responses total more than 100%.

Thanks to everyone for being such a great resource, as always.

Sincerely,

Jim Bason, Ph.D.

Director and Associate Research Scientist

Survey Research Center

University of Georgia

Athens, GA 30602

706-542-9082

706-425-3029 Fax

jbason@uga.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 11:59:41 -0700

Reply-To: Mark Blumenthal <mark@POLLSTER.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Mark Blumenthal <mark@POLLSTER.COM>

Subject: Native American pollster?

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Rochelle Kaplan, a Pollster.com reader, emailed me today in search of a Native American with expertise in political polling.

Anyone who can help with this query should contact her directly at ro@wifunds.com or 801-942-6226.

Thanks,
Mark Blumenthal

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 13:12:30 -0700

Reply-To: John Thompson <thompson-john@NORC.ORG>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: John Thompson <thompson-john@NORC.ORG>

Subject: Invitation to NORC Reception

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

To My Colleagues at AAPOR:

I am very pleased that the 2010 meetings are going to be in Chicago. NOR=C=20
has had a long and rewarding affiliation with AAPOR, starting with the=20=

second Director of NORC =E2=80=93 Clyde Hart =E2=80=93 as one of the four= ding AAPOR members=20

and its first president. In addition, many of you have worked at or=20 collaborated with NORC at some point in your careers. As most of you=20=

know, NORC=E2=80=99s largest corporate office is also located in Chicago.=

This=20 presents an opportunity to celebrate NORC=E2=80=99s long affiliation with= AAPOR in=20 several ways:

On Friday evening from 5:45 to 7:45, NORC will host a reception in=20 celebration of our long-term relationship with AAPOR and the fact that th= e=20

meetings are in Chicago. The reception is at the Intercontinental Hotel=20= located across the street from the Marriott. We will serve refreshments=20=

including cocktails and light appetizers. We will also have some give-aw= ay=20 mementos that you will enjoy. We would like to get a general count of=20=

those interested in this event so we ask that you please RSVP by May 5 at= =20

<http://www.norc.org/News/Reception.htm>.

Since many of you have worked at NORC, we would like to give you an=20 opportunity to tour our offices located at 55 East Monroe Street. We wi= ll=20

make our offices available during office hours on Thursday and Friday May= =20

13 and 14, respectively. If you think you may get a break during the=20 meetings, feel free to stop by. We only ask that you let us know in=20 advance by contacting Julie Serna at serna-julie@norc.org. We=E2=80=99ll= make sure=20

that you get directions and that someone will be available to =E2=80=9Cgu= ide=E2=80=9D you=20

around. I would also note that you do not have to be a former employee o= f=20

NORC to visit our space.

AAPOR is a superb organization devoted to the highest principles and ethi= cs=20

of good research and we are quite proud of our long and productive=20 relationship. So please join us as we celebrate the Chicago 2010 meeting= s.

Sincerely,=20

John Thompson
President and CEO
NORC
55 E. Monroe St - 30th Floor
Chicago, IL. 60603

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 21:28:57 -0400
Reply-To: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>
Subject: Tea Party demographics: major change from CNN to Gallup
X-To: aapornet aapornet <aapornet@asu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed; delp=yes
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)

A February CNN poll found that the Tea Partiers were "male, rural, upscale, and overwhelmingly conservative":

<http://www.cnn.com/2010/POLITICS/02/17/tea.party.poll/index.html?iref=allsearch>

Now Gallup says the demographics are almost indistinguishable from the rest of the country's:

<http://www.gallup.com/poll/127181/Tea-Partiers-Fairly-Mainstream-Demographics.aspx>

Why the diff? Any ideas?

Doug Henwood
Left Business Observer
242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
<dhenwood@panix.com>
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>

podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>

iTunes:

<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>

>

or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

download my book Wall Street (for free!) at

<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Apr 2010 22:10:39 -0400

Reply-To: David Tully <d-tully@U.NORTHWESTERN.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: David Tully <d-tully@U.NORTHWESTERN.EDU>

Subject: Re: Tea Party demographics: major change from CNN to Gallup

X-To: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@panix.com>

X-cc: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

In-Reply-To: <076F9FC9-522B-4BEB-A759-9F9EE2C54C3F@panix.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

The difference between the two reports is that CNN's demographics come from "activists" and the Gallup demographics are for "supporters".

The Gallup poll gives the demographic crosstabs for those who "consider [themselves] a supporter of the tea party movement". The CNN poll's demographic breakdown is based on what it labels "tea party activists" or those that have "given money", "attended a rally", or "taken a step" of some other sort in support of the Tea Party. CNN's crosstabs for the "tea party activists" only account for 12% of their sample (124 interviews), a much smaller and more hardcore segment than those that said they supported the tea party.

In the CNN poll, those that offer verbal support for the tea party total 35%, and in the Gallup poll, 28%. Taking into account the differences in question wording (CNN offers a moderate support/oppose option, Gallup offers support/oppose/neither), these percentages are pretty close. They probably reflect conservative or anti-healthcare respondents in general. I would guess that if CNN gave the crosstabs for their respondents who support or moderately support the tea party, it would look more like Gallup's, especially with CNN's series of lead in questions that ask about support for a third party likely providing a small bias in favor of the tea party in their poll.

Hope that helps,

David

--

David G. Tully
Department of Political Science
Northwestern University
601 University Place
Evanston, IL 60201
646.246.6531

On Mon, Apr 5, 2010 at 9:28 PM, Doug Henwood <dhenwood@panix.com> wrote:

> A February CNN poll found that the Tea Partiers were "male, rural,
> upscale, and overwhelmingly conservative":

>

>

> <http://www.cnn.com/2010/POLITICS/02/17/tea.party.poll/index.html?iref=allsearch>

>

> Now Gallup says the demographics are almost indistinguishable from the
> rest of the country's:

>

> <http://www.gallup.com/poll/127181/Tea-Partiers-Fairly-Mainstream-Demographics.aspx>

>

> Why the diff? Any ideas?

>

>

>

>

>

> Doug Henwood

> Left Business Observer

> 242 Greene Ave - #1C

> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA

> <dhenwood@panix.com>

> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>

> "blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

>

> voice +1-347-599-2211

> cell +1-917-865-2813

>

> producer, Behind the News

> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM

> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

>

> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>

> podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>

> iTunes:

> <<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>

>>

> or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

>

> -----

>

> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at

> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

>

> -----

> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> set aapornet nomail

> On your return send this: set aapornet mail

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 6 Apr 2010 06:03:19 -0400

Reply-To: Benoit Gauthier <gauthier@circum.com>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Benoit Gauthier <gauthier@CIRCUM.COM>

Organization: =?iso-8859-1?Q?R=E9seau_Circum?=
Subject: Response to My Question

X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To: <AAPORNET%201004052100029737.0CF8@LISTS.ASU.EDU>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

(2010.04.06, 05:47)

Hank mentioned that "Only about 5% of Internet users have JavaScript turned off". That is probably true of all Internet traffic. However, we have entered specific populations where all scripting was refused, e.g., employees of some large security-conscious agencies. Our solution is to add a button on screen for the respondent to request a total (or any other calculation, really).

Benoit GAUTHIER
R=E9seau Circum inc. / Circum Network Inc.

> Re: the running tallies...

>=20

> We have decided not to use them in our work, because of concerns
> about scripting. Many people have scripting blocks on their
> browsers, and we believe that the scripting could be problematic.
>=20
> That said, totaling would be very convenient... How are others handling t=
his problem?
>=20
> Thanks,
>=20
> Casey
>=20
> Casey Langer Tesfaye
> Statistical Research Center
> American Institute of Physics
> <http://www.aip.org/statistics>
> (301)209-3078

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Tue, 6 Apr 2010 13:35:24 +0000
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Subject: Re: Tea Party demographics: major change from CNN to Gallup
X-To: David Tully <d-tully@U.NORTHWESTERN.EDU>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <x2qdec3d1a41004051910q314ca690m2a864220ff2c12ac@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Also, Gallup found that "Tea Party supporters are decidedly Republican and conservative in their leanings. Also, compared with average Americans, supporters are slightly more likely to be male and less likely to be lower-income."

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "David Tully" <d-tully@U.NORTHWESTERN.EDU>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2010 9:10:39 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: Re: Tea Party demographics: major change from CNN to Gallup

The difference between the two reports is that CNN's demographics come from "activists" and the Gallup demographics are for "supporters".

The Gallup poll gives the demographic crosstabs for those who

"consider [themselves] a supporter of the tea party movement". The CNN poll's demographic breakdown is based on what it labels "tea party activists" or those that have "given money", "attended a rally", or "taken a step" of some other sort in support of the Tea Party. CNN's crosstabs for the "tea party activists" only account for 12% of their sample (124 interviews), a much smaller and more hardcore segment than those that said they supported the tea party.

In the CNN poll, those that offer verbal support for the tea party total 35%, and in the Gallup poll, 28%. Taking into account the differences in question wording (CNN offers a moderate support/oppose option, Gallup offers support/oppose/neither), these percentages are pretty close. They probably reflect conservative or anti-healthcare respondents in general. I would guess that if CNN gave the crosstabs for their respondents who support or moderately support the tea party, it would look more like Gallup's, especially with CNN's series of lead in questions that ask about support for a third party likely providing a small bias in favor of the tea party in their poll.

Hope that helps,

David

--

David G. Tully
Department of Political Science
Northwestern University
601 University Place
Evanston, IL 60201
646.246.6531

On Mon, Apr 5, 2010 at 9:28 PM, Doug Henwood <dhenwood@panix.com> wrote:

> A February CNN poll found that the Tea Partiers were "male, rural,
> upscale, and overwhelmingly conservative":

>

>

<http://www.cnn.com/2010/POLITICS/02/17/tea.party.poll/index.html?iref=allsearch>

h

>

> Now Gallup says the demographics are almost indistinguishable from the
> rest of the country's:

>

> <http://www.gallup.com/poll/127181/Tea-Partiers-Fairly-Mainstream-Demographics.aspx>

>

> Why the diff? Any ideas?

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Doug Henwood
> Left Business Observer
> 242 Greene Ave - #1C
> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
> <dhenwood@panix.com>
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>
> "blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>
>
> voice +1-347-599-2211
> cell +1-917-865-2813
>
> producer, Behind the News
> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM
> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM
>
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>
> podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>
> iTunes:
> <<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>>
>>
> or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>
>
> -----
>
> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at
> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>
>
> -----
> Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Tue, 6 Apr 2010 09:03:29 -0700
Reply-To: Bill Wells <wwells@UBALT.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Bill Wells <wwells@UBALT.EDU>
Subject: Job opening at the University of Baltimore Schaefer Center for
Public Policy
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Survey Lab Manager
Schaefer Center for Public Policy
Vacancy #: 2010-050B Regular exempt grant-funded position with full benef=
its
package=20
Re-Open Date: 4/2/2010; Closing Date: Open Until Filled Annual Salary:=
=20
\$40,000 to \$50,000

NOTE: Some evening and weekend work required. Applicants submitting
resumes for the original posting are being considered and do not need to
reapply.

The Survey Lab Manager oversees the Center's survey operations including
telephone and mail surveys and participates in writing research proposals=
,
developing survey designs, engaging in questionnaire construction,
administering survey research projects, interacting with clients, and
preparing reports of findings. The Manager ensures the smooth operation =
of
the day-to-day operations of the Center's Computer Assisted Telephone
Interviewing (CATI) survey research lab. Duties include:

=E2=80=A2=09Managing the day-to-day operations of CATI Lab and ensuring t=
he timely,
cost efficient, successful completion of projects and its smooth operatio=
n=20
=E2=80=A2=09Scheduling telephone interviewers based on project needs and =
cost
efficiencies=20
=E2=80=A2=09Monitoring and reviewing interviewers' performance and taking=
appropriate
action as required=20
=E2=80=A2=09Ensuring accuracy and quality of surveys=20
=E2=80=A2=09Ensuring compliance with CATI Lab policies and procedures=20
=E2=80=A2=09Interviewing, selecting and training CATI Lab staff=20
=E2=80=A2=09Summarizing the specific status of a project and communicatin=
g it on a
timely basis to project managers, clients, and other supervisory staff=20=

=E2=80=A2=09Generating reports to determine, predict or prevent potential=
problems for
a specific project, identifying and assessing phone and computer equipmen=
t

problems and troubleshooting any system difficulties or other problems in=

the CATI lab=20

=E2=80=A2=09Making arrangements for focus study groups=20

=E2=80=A2=09Proofreading and editing survey instruments=20

=E2=80=A2=09Programming of surveys in Ci3 or WebSensus=20

=E2=80=A2=09Participating in writing research reports

=E2=80=A2=09Preparing summary graphs and tables for inclusion in final re=
ports=20

=E2=80=A2=09Proofreading and editing final reports (test and graphics)=20=

Qualifications: Position requires bachelor=E2=80=99s degree in public
administration, public policy, sociology, marketing, or related disciplin=

e
and 3 years of related experience, including one year in a supervisory ro=
le.

Graduate degree or coursework can be substituted for relevant experience=
on

a year for year basis. Experience in proposal development, project
management, data analysis, report preparation or Ci3/Web Sensus programmi=
ng

is a plus. Experience with Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CAT=
I)

systems is desirable.=20=20

Also requires excellent interpersonal, administrative and organizational
skills; excellent written and oral communication skills; and excellent
project management skills. Also requires ability to balance multiple
projects; ability to troubleshoot problems, monitor work progress, motiva=
te

and critique employees; and strong computer skills including SPSS, Excel,=

Microsoft Office Suite, and Ci3/Web Sensus.

TO APPLY: Include your Vacancy Number on all correspondence and in your=

e-mail subject line. Submit resume and cover letter to hrresume@ubalt.ed=
u,

or mail to: Human Resources, Vacancy Number 2010-050B, University of
Baltimore, 1420 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21201

UB is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action/ADA Compliant Employer & Ti=
tle

IX Institution. www.ubalt.edu/hr

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Tue, 6 Apr 2010 15:19:56 -0400

Reply-To: Scott Keeter <skeeter@PEWRESEARCH.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Scott Keeter <skeeter@PEWRESEARCH.ORG>
Subject: Temporary positions at the Pew Research Center
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The Pew Research Center has immediate openings for two temporary Research Assistants. Both positions are full time and provide 401K contributions and partial benefits. More details, including how to apply, are available at the links below. Because of their temporary nature, these positions are well suited for recent graduates or students with the flexibility to start work before the end of the spring semester. =20

=20

People-Press:

=20

The Pew Research Center for the People & the Press has an immediate need for a temporary Research Assistant for the spring and summer of 2010. The Research Assistant will be involved in all aspects of the survey research process, including assisting with questionnaire development, data management, statistical analysis, reporting and quality control. Because of the particular and variable nature of survey research, very little of the Center's data collection and analysis processes are automated. As a result, individual oversight and judgment are critical. The Research Assistant will work integrally within a broader research team to ensure that questionnaires are designed and developed effectively, that data management and coding is carried out without errors, and that data analysis and reports meet the highest standards of accuracy and impartiality.

=20

Details: <http://pewresearch.org/docs/?DocID=3D116>
<<http://pewresearch.org/docs/?DocID=3D116>>=20

=20

=20

Global:

=20

The Pew Global Attitudes Project has an immediate need for a temporary Research Assistant for the spring and summer of 2010. This position will work primarily in support of, and under the direction of, research staff in the design of public opinion research projects and the analysis of

survey data. The primary responsibilities will be fact-checking and proof-reading, organizing relevant polling data, and performing research and analysis as required. Ideal candidates will be graduate students with coursework relating to international studies/politics, public opinion, research methodology, or statistics, or will have a Bachelor's degree with 1-2 years experience. Candidates will also have experience with research methods, a proven facility with MS Office and internet research, and a primary interest area in international affairs and politics.=20

=20

Details: <http://pewresearch.org/docs/?DocID=3D117>
<<http://pewresearch.org/docs/?DocID=3D117>> =20

=20

=20

=20

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Wed, 7 Apr 2010 09:51:36 -0400

Reply-To: Matthias Kretschmer <m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Matthias Kretschmer <m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM>

Subject: Re: GIS Software

In-Reply-To: <f6239c391003081152s7e34d678uec3224f7a11905f7@mail.gmail.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

I posted a question about a month ago about GIS software (see below).

A couple of people asked me to summarize what we came up with.

We haven't purchased anything yet, but we settled on Maptitude (<http://www.caliper.com/maptovu.htm>). They don't have demo versions, but if you are serious about purchasing it, you can send them an example data file and they will show you in a Web Meeting how your data would be mapped using their software. It is reasonably priced (\$495), you can customize areas but at the same time it doesn't feel like an overkill.

Matthias

On Mon, Mar 8, 2010 at 2:52 PM, Matthias Kretschmer <m.e.kretschmer@gmail.com> wrote:

> We are looking into GIS software packages, something that would allow us to
> create maps.
>
> We would need a program that allows us to define areas relatively easy (for
> example DMAs by ZIP codes). Are there any programs that people use which
> allow us to do that without being too complex?
>
> Thanks
>
> Matthias
>
> --
> Matthias Kretschmer
> <http://www.linkedin.com/in/kretschmermatthias>
>

--
Matthias Kretschmer
7807 Boston Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
U.S.A.

Tel.: +1.603.380.5832
matthias.e.kretschmer@gmail.com

Voting, Early Registration deadlines approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 7 Apr 2010 13:00:59 -0400
Reply-To: "Beach, Scott Richard" <scottb@PITT.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Beach, Scott Richard" <scottb@PITT.EDU>
Subject: Surveying Children
X-To: "AAPORNET@asu.edu" <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Colleagues:

Can anybody point me to some good overview/methodology/guideline (do and do= n'ts) references on conducting surveys with children and adolescents. Sp= ecifically, we are involved in a study using telephone interviews of childr= en ages 5-7; 8-12; and 13-17, who receive slightly different versions of th= e PedsQL quality of life instruments. We're looking for references that mi= ght provide some background for interviewer training. I know there is a fa= irly large literature in the medical/health sciences on development and use= of the PedsQL, but I'm looking for broader overviews from the survey metho=

dology perspective

Experiences or lessons learned from similar studies by anyone are also welcome.

Thanks!

Scott Beach, Ph.D.
Director of Survey Research
University Center for Social and Urban Research
University of Pittsburgh

Advanced Registration rate approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Wed, 7 Apr 2010 12:07:47 -0500
Reply-To: "Simpson, Leonard" <Leonard.Simpson@SJCD.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Simpson, Leonard" <Leonard.Simpson@SJCD.EDU>
Subject: Re: Surveying Children
X-To: "Beach, Scott Richard" <scottb@PITT.EDU>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <5EFFEB1A6A62F340A93E71B33BB009D703B2E707AB@PITT-EXCH-14.univ.pitt.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Here is information about child informed consent to do research that I received from a fellow AAPOR member back in August.

<http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/researchfaq.html>

-Leonard

Leonard E. Simpson II
Survey Research Analyst
aSPIRE Office
San Jacinto College District
4624 Fairmont Pkwy, Suite 101
Pasadena, TX 77504
Office: 281-998-6169
Fax: 281-998-6395
Reply to: leonard.simpson@sjcd.edu

"The strength of your faith is measured by what you are willing to endure!"

"Courage is not the absence of fear, but rather the judgment that something else is more important than fear." ~Ambrose Redmoon

****Confidentiality Notice****

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Beach, Scott Richard
Sent: Wednesday, April 07, 2010 12:01 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Surveying Children

Colleagues:

Can anybody point me to some good overview/methodology/guideline (do and don'ts) references on conducting surveys with children and adolescents. Specifically, we are involved in a study using telephone interviews of children ages 5-7; 8-12; and 13-17, who receive slightly different versions of the PedsQL quality of life instruments. We're looking for references that might provide some background for interviewer training. I know there is a fairly large literature in the medical/health sciences on development and use of the PedsQL, but I'm looking for broader overviews from the survey methodology perspective

Experiences or lessons learned from similar studies by anyone are also welcome.

Thanks!

Scott Beach, Ph.D.
Director of Survey Research
University Center for Social and Urban Research
University of Pittsburgh

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Wed, 7 Apr 2010 13:39:34 -0500
Reply-To: Trent Buskirk <tbuskirk@SLU.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Trent Buskirk <tbuskirk@SLU.EDU>

Subject: Re: online survey vendors
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <g2j8036e4671004071139z658b24f8h6696be2f4c7ab9f@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Hello Aaporites! I am trying to find a survey vendor whose shop can handle phone and email recruitment (from lists) of healthcare professionals as well as web survey programming, hosting, and data collection for a panel study lasting three years with approx. 3 to 4 surveys per year. Does anyone have suggestions?

Thank you all.
Trent D. Buskirk, Ph.D.

On Apr 7, 2010 12:03 PM, "Beach, Scott Richard" <scottb@pitt.edu> wrote:

Colleagues:

Can anybody point me to some good overview/methodology/guideline (do and don'ts) references on conducting surveys with children and adolescents. Specifically, we are involved in a study using telephone interviews of children ages 5-7; 8-12; and 13-17, who receive slightly different versions of the PedsQL quality of life instruments. We're looking for references that might provide some background for interviewer training. I know there is a fairly large literature in the medical/health sciences on development and use of the PedsQL, but I'm looking for broader overviews from the survey methodology perspective

Experiences or lessons learned from similar studies by anyone are also welcome.

Thanks!

Scott Beach, Ph.D.
Director of Survey Research
University Center for Social and Urban Research
University of Pittsburgh

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 08:38:30 -0400
Reply-To: Thomas Lamatsch <tlamatsch@GMAIL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Thomas Lamatsch <tlamatsch@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: web survey software
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

I was wondering if people could share their latest experiences with web survey software. I know this topic comes up pretty regularly but answers regarding the best software out there seem to change regularly. Please respond to me directly tlamatsch@gmail.com or thomas.lamatsch@monmouth.edu and I will share a summary with the group.

Thanks,
--tom

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 10:50:16 -0400
Reply-To: "Safir, Adam - BLS" <Safir.Adam@BLS.GOV>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Safir, Adam - BLS" <Safir.Adam@BLS.GOV>
Subject: Grad student internship opportunity with the Bureau of Labor
Statistics
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
A<7E2F683348DBCA48A944656D95F53590032FCC4F08@EXCH2008.hq.asha.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

If you know any graduate students who might be interested in the position described below, please feel free to share this announcement with them.

Also note the fast approaching application deadline of Friday, April 16.

Best,
Adam Safir
U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

INTERNSHIP ANNOUNCEMENT

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics/Consumer Expenditure Survey/Branch of Research and Program Development has a full-time summer internship position available. The Consumer Expenditure surveys program consists

of two surveys, the quarterly Interview Survey and the Diary Survey, which provide information on the buying habits of American consumers. The survey data are collected for the Bureau of Labor Statistics by the U.S. Census Bureau.

Description:

The intern position provides methodological research support for current and planned research projects. Possible projects may include analyzing the use of global versus detailed expenditure questions; analyzing the effect of telescoping in the Consumer Expenditure Interview Survey; reviewing the survey instrument for candidate questions to be asked in a different mode and developing alternative wording; contributing to the design of a web survey instrument; analyzing Contact History Instrument (CHI) paradata; analyzing the impact of new telephone administration procedures; and helping with the planning and coordination of upcoming workshops.

You will assist in study development and design; review questionnaires, data files, documentation and reports; use SAS to conduct basic data analysis, including production of frequencies, crosstabs, means, and regression analysis; summarize quantitative and qualitative research findings; and respond to ad-hoc data requests.

A strong interest in survey methodology, statistics, sociology, psychology, economics, or other related fields and familiarity with SAS, Word, Excel, and PowerPoint are a plus. You should be detail-oriented, have excellent communication and writing skills, be able to handle multiple projects, and work collaboratively on a project team.

Qualifications:

This position is in the Student Temporary Employment Program (STEP). A person in the STEP program is under a temporary assignment.

To be eligible you MUST:

- 1) be enrolled in an accredited school and recommended by a school official;
- 2) be at least 16 years old;
- 3) meet qualification and security requirements; and
- 4) be a U.S. citizen.

This position will be paid at the GS-5 grade level, which has a starting rate of \$16.33 per hour.

How to Apply:

If you meet the eligibility requirements above, including U.S. citizenship, email your resume and transcripts to cheng.jean@bls.gov by April 16, 2010. Unofficial and/or web transcripts are acceptable. For further information please contact Jean Cheng at 202-691-5827.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/Archives>: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 09:34:00 -0700
Reply-To: Barb Gunderson <bgunderson@AAPOR.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Barb Gunderson <bgunderson@AAPOR.ORG>
Subject: Job Posting - Senior Vice President, Research
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT, RESEARCH

Widmeyer Communications, one of the nation's leading independent public affairs communications firms, has built a successful in-house research

team that is unique among its peers. Made up of research professionals

with communications expertise, the team specializes in producing the insights that our clients need to change attitudes, strengthen a brand,

improve a service or evaluate strategic options. Collaboration between

research and our communications teams means that the best in creative thinking meets with the most rigorous testing.

As more attention than ever is now being paid to ensuring that communications programs are effective, efficient and targeted, research is

a critical component of nearly every communications effort. As a result,

we are looking for a senior leader to join our team, and expand our research and polling practice.

Key Responsibilities
Oversee three members of the research team who are based in the Washington office

Innovatively grow the research and polling practice, leading and coordinating new business efforts both independently and in concert with

PR teams

Closely monitor account management with focus on exceeding client satisfaction and promoting client growth

Oversee account financials, including budget allocation and control, and client billing

Achieve research profitability goals on a P&L basis

Expand the research group's product offerings

Grow the public profile of Widmeyer Research, through appropriate

speaking engagements, op-eds, blog postings, and other efforts

Monitor current and emerging policy issues to enhance area

expertise and develop new business opportunities for the firm

Experience/Qualifications

At least ten years of experience in public opinion research

required, including experience with client-based research firm

An established track record of success in new business development

An established track record of success in managing large-scale

opinion research projects

Management experience, including developing a winning team

Excellent written and oral communications skills, with a solid

understanding of all aspects of the research process

An entrepreneurial spirit

Please e-mail resumes to:

jobs@widmeyer.com

Job Reference =93 Research

Please visit our Website at www.widmeyer.com

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 13:14:15 -0400

Reply-To: "Flicker, Laura" <lflicker@RTI.ORG>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "Flicker, Laura" <lflicker@RTI.ORG>

Subject: Trends in survey technology-is there a literature

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I'm writing on behalf of a coworker who is not a subscriber...

=20

Is there any literature about the increasing technical demands on survey computing as we increase capabilities to assist the interviewer or respondent? Especially for CATI, CAPI, CADE, Web. We are already looking into papers from past conferences of the Association for Survey

Computing in the UK but wonder about other possible resources. Thanks for any assistance.

=20

Laura Flicker, M.S.

Survey Methodologist

RTI International

3040 Cornwallis Road

Hanes 204

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

phone 919-316-3510

fax 919-316-3867

=20

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 13:21:25 -0400
Reply-To: Joyce Rachelson <j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Joyce Rachelson <j.rachels@EARTHLINK.NET>
Subject: Re: Trends in survey technology-is there a literature
X-To: "Flicker, Laura" <lflicker@RTI.ORG>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
<82445F3B44AE7240813F5C6D87D4F367075F35F3@RTPWEXC18.RCC_NT.RTI.ORG>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Tim Macer's reviews are pretty extensive. Try this link.

<http://www.meaning.uk.com/category/software-reviews/>

Good luck,
Joyce

Flicker, Laura wrote:

> I'm writing on behalf of a coworker who is not a subscriber...
>
>
>
> Is there any literature about the increasing technical demands on survey
> computing as we increase capabilities to assist the interviewer or
> respondent? Especially for CATI, CAPI, CADE, Web. We are already looking
> into papers from past conferences of the Association for Survey
> Computing in the UK but wonder about other possible resources. Thanks
> for any assistance.

>
>
>
> Laura Flicker, M.S.
>
> Survey Methodologist
>
> RTI International
>
> 3040 Cornwallis Road
>
> Hanes 204
>
> Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
>
> phone 919-316-3510
>
> fax 919-316-3867

> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

--
Joyce Rachelson, PRC
Dir. Marketing & Sales
Ocucom
JoyceR@ocucom.com
+1 513-846-9353

> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 13:16:26 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Suspense Builds Over Census for New Orleans
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/08/us/08orleans.html>

or

<http://tinyurl.com/yj2advb>

Suspense Builds Over Census for New Orleans

By CAMPBELL ROBERTSON

Published: April 7, 2010

NEW ORLEANS - Nobody really knows how many people live here.

Since Hurricane Katrina flooded New Orleans in September 2005, the true size of the city has been a matter of wild uncertainty.

Ever since this city was full of water and nearly empty of residents in September 2005, the true size of New Orleans has been a matter of wild uncertainty. Even today, population estimates can swing by the tens of thousands.

SNIP

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 13:47:30 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Survey finds that racial attitudes influence the tea party
movement in battleground states
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Survey finds that racial attitudes influence the tea party movement in
battleground states
<http://uwnews.org/article.asp?articleid=56877>

Catherine O'Donnell cath2@u.washington.edu

The tea party movement has gotten much attention in recent months, but
aside from decrying big government and excessive spending, who are the
supporters and what else do they appear to believe?

A new University of Washington survey found that among whites,
southerners are 12 percent more likely to support the tea party than
whites in other parts of the U.S., and that conservatives are 28 percent
more likely than liberals to support the group.

SNIP

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapor.net.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapor.net nomail
On your return send this: set aapor.net mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapor.net-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 11:53:50 -0700
Reply-To: Mario Callegaro <callegaro@GOOGLE.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mario Callegaro <callegaro@GOOGLE.COM>
Subject: Re: Trends in survey technology-is there a literature
X-To: "Flicker, Laura" <lflicker@rti.org>
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To:
<82445F3B44AE7240813F5C6D87D4F367075F35F3@RTPWEXC18.RCC_NT.RTI.ORG>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

For online surveys you can read Kaczmirek, L. (2008). Internet survey software tools. Chapter 13 in The Sage Handbook of Online Research Methods

--

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.
Survey Research Scientist
Google Inc.

On Thu, Apr 8, 2010 at 10:14 AM, Flicker, Laura <lflicker@rti.org> wrote:

> I'm writing on behalf of a coworker who is not a subscriber...
>
>
>
> Is there any literature about the increasing technical demands on survey
> computing as we increase capabilities to assist the interviewer or
> respondent? Especially for CATI, CAPI, CADE, Web. We are already looking
> into papers from past conferences of the Association for Survey
> Computing in the UK but wonder about other possible resources. Thanks
> for any assistance.

>
>
> Laura Flicker, M.S.
>
> Survey Methodologist
>
> RTI International
>
> 3040 Cornwallis Road
>
> Hanes 204
>
> Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
>
> phone 919-316-3510
>
> fax 919-316-3867

> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 12:01:24 -0700
Reply-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Subject: Re: Trends in survey technology-is there a literature
X-To: "Flicker, Laura" <lflicker@RTI.ORG>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To:
<82445F3B44AE7240813F5C6D87D4F367075F35F3@RTPWEXC18.RCC_NT.RTI.ORG>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Laura, check out the worldwide readership symposium site, there are several papers published on CAPI and CASI and their effects on interviewing.

www.readershipsymposium.com

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Flicker, Laura
Sent: Thursday, April 08, 2010 1:14 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Trends in survey technology-is there a literature

I'm writing on behalf of a coworker who is not a subscriber...

Is there any literature about the increasing technical demands on survey computing as we increase capabilities to assist the interviewer or respondent? Especially for CATI, CAPI, CADE, Web. We are already looking into papers from past conferences of the Association for Survey Computing in the UK but wonder about other possible resources. Thanks for any assistance.

Laura Flicker, M.S.

Survey Methodologist

RTI International

3040 Cornwallis Road

Hanes 204

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

phone 919-316-3510

fax 919-316-3867

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 16:21:49 -0400
Reply-To: Jaki McCarthy <Jaki_McCarthy@NASS.USDA.GOV>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jaki McCarthy <Jaki_McCarthy@NASS.USDA.GOV>
Subject: Questions about Internet Access
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello Colleagues,

I have a request for information about questions asking about Internet access. We are thinking of adding some questions about this for farm

operators but I am curious to know what type of information people are able to report about their Internet access. I would assume that people cannot typically report the speed of their Internet access. (I know I can't!) But can they accurately report that it is "high speed" or not? Can they report the type of access (dial up, DSL, cable modem, fiber optic, etc.)? If you asked for the specific service provider would that help?

I'd love to hear about any experiences people have had regarding asking about Internet access or any pointers to relevant information.

Thanks!

Jaki S. McCarthy

USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service
Research and Development Division
703-877-8000 ext 142
jaki_mccarthy@nass.usda.gov

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 13:35:54 -0700
Reply-To: Mario Callegaro <callegaro@GOOGLE.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mario Callegaro <callegaro@GOOGLE.COM>
Subject: Re: Questions about Internet Access
X-To: Jaki McCarthy <Jaki_McCarthy@nass.usda.gov>
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <OF0F5254C3.DD092688-ON852576FF.006FC2C8-852576FF.006FC8C2@nass.usda.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hello, as a good starting point see the questionnaire used by the recent FCC broadband survey

Questionnaire: http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-296444A1.pdf

Report: http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-296442A1.pdf

The CPS internet supplement 2007 asked the following:

HENET3 2 (Do you/Does anyone in this household) connect to

the Internet from home?

EDITED UNIVERSE:

HENET1 = 1 and HENET2 = (1-16) and

VALID ENTRIES:

-1 = Not in universe

1 = Yes

2 = No

HENET4 2 Do you currently access the Internet using

EDITED UNIVERSE:

HENET3 = 1

VALID ENTRIES:

1 = A regular "dial-up telephone

2 = DSL,cabel modem, satellite, wireless (such as Wi-Fi),mobile phone or PDA, fiber optics,or so some other broadband Internet connection

3 = Something else

Link to questionnaire:

<ftp://www.bls.census.gov/pub/cps/supps/oct07sdd.asc>

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.
Survey Research Scientist
Google Inc.

On Thu, Apr 8, 2010 at 1:21 PM, Jaki McCarthy

<Jaki_McCarthy@nass.usda.gov> wrote:

> Hello Colleagues,

>

> I have a request for information about questions asking about Internet
> access. We are thinking of adding some questions about this for farm
> operators but I am curious to know what type of information people are
> able to report about their Internet access. I would assume that people
> cannot typically report the speed of their Internet access. (I know I
> can't!) But can they accurately report that it is "high speed" or not?
> Can they report the type of access (dial up, DSL, cable modem, fiber
> optic, etc.)? If you asked for the specific service provider would that
> help?

>

> I'd love to hear about any experiences people have had regarding asking
> about Internet access or any pointers to relevant information.

>

> Thanks!

>

>
> Jaki S. McCarthy
>
> USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service
> Research and Development Division
> 703-877-8000 ext 142
> jaki_mccarthy@nass.usda.gov
>
> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

--

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 13:45:21 -0700
Reply-To: Dean Bonner <bonner@PPIC.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Dean Bonner <bonner@PPIC.ORG>
Subject: Re: Questions about Internet Access
X-To: Mario Callegaro <callegaro@GOOGLE.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

You may also want to look at the Pew Internet & American Life Project
and the way they ask their question about connectivity.

=20

=20

Pew Internet & American Life Project Poll, Aug, 2009
<<http://pewinternet.org/Data-Tools/Explore-Survey-Questions/Roper-Center.aspx?t=3D&sdate=3Dmm/dd/yy&edate=3Dmm/dd/yy&k=3Dconnection>>=20

=20

(Form A, ask:) At home, do you connect to the Internet through a dial-up telephone line, or do you have some other type of connection, such as a DSL-enabled phone line, a cable TV (television) modem, a wireless connection, a fiber optic connection such as FIOS or T-1?

=20

(Form B, ask:) At home, what type of connection do you have to the Internet...a dial-up telephone line, a DSL line, a cable modem, satellite connection, a connection to a fixed wireless provider, a wireless connection such as an AirCard, a fiber optic connection such as FIOS or a T-1?

=20

=20

db

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mario Callegaro
Sent: Thursday, April 08, 2010 1:36 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Questions about Internet Access

=20

Hello, as a good starting point see the questionnaire used by the recent FCC broadband survey

=20

Questionnaire:
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-296444A1.pdf

=20

Report:
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-296442A1.pdf

=20

The CPS internet supplement 2007 asked the following:

=20

HENET3 2 (Do you/Does anyone in this household) connect to the Internet from home?

=20

EDITED UNIVERSE:

=20

HENET1 =3D 1 and HENET2 =3D (1-16) and

=20

VALID ENTRIES:

=20

-1 =3D Not in universe

1 =3D Yes

2 =3D No

=20

HENET4 2 Do you currently access the Internet using

=20

EDITED UNIVERSE:

=20

HENET3 =3D 1

=20

VALID ENTRIES:

=20

1 =3D A regular "dial-up telephone

2 =3D DSL,cabel modem, satellite, wireless (such as

Wi-Fi),mobile phone or PDA, fiber optics,or so some other broadband

Internet connection

3 =3D Something else

=20

Link to questionnaire:

<ftp://www.bls.census.gov/pub/cps/supps/oct07sdd.asc>

=20

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.

Survey Research Scientist

Google Inc.

=20

=20

=20

On Thu, Apr 8, 2010 at 1:21 PM, Jaki McCarthy

<Jaki_McCarthy@nass.usda.gov> wrote:

> Hello Colleagues,

>=20

> I have a request for information about questions asking about Internet

> access. We are thinking of adding some questions about this for farm

> operators but I am curious to know what type of information people are

> able to report about their Internet access. I would assume that
people

> cannot typically report the speed of their Internet access. (I know I

> can't!) But can they accurately report that it is "high speed" or
not?

> Can they report the type of access (dial up, DSL, cable modem, fiber

> optic, etc.)? If you asked for the specific service provider would
that

> help?

>=20

> I'd love to hear about any experiences people have had regarding
asking

> about Internet access or any pointers to relevant information.

>=20

> Thanks!

>=20

>=20

> Jaki S. McCarthy

>=20

> USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service

> Research and Development Division

> 703-877-8000 ext 142

> jaki_mccarthy@nass.usda.gov

>=20

> -----

> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> set aapornet nomail

> On your return send this: set aapornet mail

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

>=20

=20

=20

=20

--

=20

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 16:48:55 -0400
Reply-To: bquarles@aol.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Becky Quarles <bquarles@AOL.COM>
Subject: Re: Questions about Internet Access
X-To: Mario Callegaro <callegaro@GOOGLE.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <j2n9b31face1004081335hb8b46ed2oe6c9df03bf9aefbb@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just a thought- Why not ask the questions in same format as the CPS? Then, when respondents give the second response: (DSL, cable modem, satellite, wireless such as Wi-Fi, mobile phone or PDA, fiber optics, or so some other broadband), you could ask follow up question asking which one(s) they use. Then, you would have the basic data for everyone and more specific data for those who can give it. Knowing what proportion of this population can answer the more specific question would be very useful as you design your next survey.

Becky Quarles

Internet connection

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Mario Callegaro
Sent: Thursday, April 08, 2010 4:36 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Questions about Internet Access

Hello, as a good starting point see the questionnaire used by the recent FCC broadband survey

Questionnaire:

http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-296444A1.pdf

Report: http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-296442A1.pdf

The CPS internet supplement 2007 asked the following:

HENET3 2 (Do you/Does anyone in this household) connect to the Internet from home?

EDITED UNIVERSE:

HENET1 = 1 and HENET2 = (1-16) and

VALID ENTRIES:

-1 = Not in universe

1 = Yes

2 = No

HENET4 2 Do you currently access the Internet using

EDITED UNIVERSE:

HENET3 = 1

VALID ENTRIES:

1 = A regular "dial-up telephone

2 = DSL, cable modem, satellite, wireless (such as Wi-Fi), mobile phone or PDA, fiber optics, or so some other broadband Internet connection

3 = Something else

Link to questionnaire:

<ftp://www.bls.census.gov/pub/cps/supps/oct07sdd.asc>

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.
Survey Research Scientist
Google Inc.

On Thu, Apr 8, 2010 at 1:21 PM, Jaki McCarthy

<Jaki_McCarthy@nass.usda.gov> wrote:

> Hello Colleagues,

>

> I have a request for information about questions asking about Internet
> access. We are thinking of adding some questions about this for farm
> operators but I am curious to know what type of information people are
> able to report about their Internet access. I would assume that people
> cannot typically report the speed of their Internet access. (I know I
> can't!) But can they accurately report that it is "high speed" or not?

> Can they report the type of access (dial up, DSL, cable modem, fiber
> optic, etc.)? If you asked for the specific service provider would that
> help?
>
> I'd love to hear about any experiences people have had regarding asking
> about Internet access or any pointers to relevant information.
>
> Thanks!
>
>
> Jaki S. McCarthy
>
> USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service
> Research and Development Division
> 703-877-8000 ext 142
> jaki_mccarthy@nass.usda.gov
>
> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

--

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 20:51:21 +0000
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Subject: Re: Questions about Internet Access

X-To: Jaki McCarthy <Jaki_McCarthy@NASS.USDA.GOV>
X-cc: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <OF0F5254C3.DD092688-ON852576FF.006FC2C8-852576FF.006FC8C2@nass.usda.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I don't know how practical this is for a questionnaire.

There are many speed tests available for free on the internet.
Google the words: download speed test

Here is one
<http://www.speedtest.net/>

Would it be practical for respondents to record their own download and upload speeds?

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jaki McCarthy" <Jaki_McCarthy@NASS.USDA.GOV>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2010 3:21:49 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: Questions about Internet Access

Hello Colleagues,

I have a request for information about questions asking about Internet access. We are thinking of adding some questions about this for farm operators but I am curious to know what type of information people are able to report about their Internet access. I would assume that people cannot typically report the speed of their Internet access. (I know I can't!) But can they accurately report that it is "high speed" or not? Can they report the type of access (dial up, DSL, cable modem, fiber optic, etc.)? If you asked for the specific service provider would that help?

I'd love to hear about any experiences people have had regarding asking about Internet access or any pointers to relevant information.

Thanks!

Jaki S. McCarthy

USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service
Research and Development Division
703-877-8000 ext 142
jaki_mccarthy@nass.usda.gov

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 15:02:51 -0700
Reply-To: "Mark R. Anton" <mra@WILDER.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Mark R. Anton" <mra@WILDER.ORG>
Subject: Project management for survey research organizations
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hi All-

Our IT Manager is interested in hearing from folks regarding project management software that works well for survey research organizations. We have various systems developed in-house keeping track of research projects and tracking time spent on projects by staff. We would like an integrated project management system without having to build one ourselves or contract with someone to build one for us. Is anyone aware of existing packages that do this well?

TIA,

Mark

Mark R. Anton, M.S., M.Ed.
Research Analyst
Wilder Research
451 Lexington Parkway North
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55104
651/280-2699 voice
651/280-3699 fax

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 2010 16:35:21 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Minimal Disclosure and Pollster.com
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Minimal Disclosure and Pollster.com

Mark Blumenthal | April 5, 2010
Topics: AAPOR , Disclosure , NCPP

http://www.pollster.com/blogs/minimal_disclosure_and_pollste.php
or
<http://tinyurl.com/yhe9l7z>

My column today concludes with the argument that news media outlets, including Pollster.com, need to do a better job holding pollsters to the minimal disclosure standards set by organizations like the National Council on Public Polls (NCPP). What follows are some thoughts about how we plan to do better on that score here at Pollster.com.

SNIP

So as a first step, starting today, when we encounter polls from an new organization (or an organization that is new to us), we are going to require that their publicly accessible reports meet all of NCPP's minimal (Level 1) disclosure requirements before including their results in our charts and tables:

SNIP

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 2010 16:02:22 +0200
Reply-To: Edith de Leeuw <edithl@XS4ALL.NL>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Edith de Leeuw <edithl@XS4ALL.NL>
Subject: Re: Surveying Children
X-To: "Simpson, Leonard" <Leonard.Simpson@SJCD.EDU>, scottb@pitt.edu, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <1095A80AC2112D47B7FD09DDC770ADD6304D978A44@EXCH2K7.main.sjcd.edu>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Useful information can be found in the chapter on pretesting questionnaires for children and adolescents, that we wrote for the Methods for testing and evaluating survey questionnaires , edited by Stanley Presser et al. Wiley series in survey Methodology

When I was involved with the advisory board of the ILO on Child Labour studies, I also wrote a practical report on surveying children. If it helps I can send it to you.

Success, Edith

At 12:07 PM 4/7/2010 -0500, Simpson, Leonard wrote:

>Here is information about child informed consent to do research that I
>received from a fellow AAPOR member back in August.

>
><http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/researchfaq.html>

>
>-Leonard

>
>*****

>
>Leonard E. Simpson II
>Survey Research Analyst
>aSPIRE Office
>San Jacinto College District
>4624 Fairmont Pkwy, Suite 101
>Pasadena, TX 77504
>Office: 281-998-6169
>Fax: 281-998-6395
>Reply to: leonard.simpson@sjcd.edu

>
>"The strength of your faith is measured by what you are willing to endure!"

>
>"Courage is not the absence of fear, but rather the judgment that

>something else is more important than fear." ~Ambrose Redmoon

>

>****Confidentiality Notice****

>This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and
>privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any
>review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited.
>If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive
>information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by
>reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

>

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Beach, Scott Richard

>Sent: Wednesday, April 07, 2010 12:01 PM

>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>Subject: Surveying Children

>

>Colleagues:

>

>Can anybody point me to some good overview/methodology/guideline (do and
>don'ts) references on conducting surveys with children and
>adolescents. Specifically, we are involved in a study using telephone
>interviews of children ages 5-7; 8-12; and 13-17, who receive slightly
>different versions of the PedsQL quality of life instruments. We're
>looking for references that might provide some background for interviewer
>training. I know there is a fairly large literature in the medical/health
>sciences on development and use of the PedsQL, but I'm looking for broader
>overviews from the survey methodology perspective

>

>Experiences or lessons learned from similar studies by anyone are also
>welcome.

>

>Thanks!

>

>Scott Beach, Ph.D.

>Director of Survey Research

>University Center for Social and Urban Research

>University of Pittsburgh

>

>-----

>Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

>-----

>Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Professor Dr. Edith D. de Leeuw

Plantage Doklaan 40, NL-1018 CN Amsterdam

tel + 31 20 622 34 38 fax + 31 20 330 25 97

e-mail edithl@xs4all.nl

Klaag niet dat de rozen doornen hebben,
Maar verheug u dat doornen rozen dragen

Do not moan about roses having thorns
Rejoice at thorns bearing roses

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 2010 13:35:47 -0400
Reply-To: Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA>
Organization: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Universit=E9_de_Montr=E9al?=
Subject: generation of email addresses
X-To: aapornet <AAPORNET@ASU.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi,
In an article today, a pollster states that one of the means his firm
uses to establish its web panel is "random generation of email addresses"
(in Canada). Can anybody inform on this method?

Best,
Claire Durand

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 2010 14:32:03 -0400
Reply-To: Jim Ellis <jme2ce@VIRGINIA.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jim Ellis <jme2ce@VIRGINIA.EDU>
Subject: Re: advice for self-administered "lobby surveys"
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Awhile back I e-mailed the group to ask for advice about doing on-site

"lobby surveys" in different human service agency offices. Thanks very much to those who responded and traded e-mails with me offline. Here is a summary of the comments I got:

Work closely with someone on-site where the surveys will be distributed.

Get support of the managers/bosses at the sites.

Have some sort of log, checklist or spreadsheet to keep track of what is sent where. Have an ethic of "minimal impact" on the local folks - think of them as respondents, too, not your staff. They have a job to do above and beyond what you're asking.

Don't rely on respondents to check a box saying where they picked up the survey. Think about pre-numbering the questionnaires using a coding scheme that indicates which location the piece was placed at and a unique individual number. Some might say the second infringes on anonymity but it probably doesn't, and the real issue is probably confidentiality rather than anonymity.

If feasible, print the questionnaire on the back of an oversized postcard with a business reply permit for delivery. That would simplify placement (no envelopes needed) and may encourage clients to take the forms with them and mail them later.

People may not want to fill out a form and hand it to an employee of the agency. Will it be read by the collector? Will it actually be forwarded and processed? Even providing sealable envelopes is not a full guarantee.

If your distribution is a "Take One" rack, you have to consider people taking more than one -- for whatever reason. It would be preferable to have someone at the agency hand a single form to the client as part of the appointment.

You can get good information without full-fledged probability surveys, and such surveys are often done best by academic units.

But it is possible to think about a selection process for times of day or people who come in the door, selecting every nth one for example.

With just the total number of clients seen on each day and number of responses each day you could possibly calculate a response rate. Cooperative locations might give you that information.

Jim Ellis
Director of Research
Center for Survey Research
University of Virginia
434-243-5224

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Sun, 11 Apr 2010 10:14:29 -0400
Reply-To: Young Chun <[ychun2@GMAIL.COM](mailto:y Chun2@GMAIL.COM)>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Young Chun <[ychun2@GMAIL.COM](mailto:y Chun2@GMAIL.COM)>
Subject: We support Bob Groves and Census colleagues: April 16 is the last
day to mail back
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Dear AAPOR folks,

We have 6 days left to mail back the 2010 census forms. For every household, it costs 42 cents to mail and then process a completed census form. But hiring an enumerator, training them and dispatching them to collect the information later by nonresponse follow-up costs \$57 per household. That's the latest census cost data. As you know, the census data are the benchmark for every American survey to weight its data validly. Any

small area estimation modeling becomes robust with the census data. Among others, AAPOR members may be the primary users and beneficiaries of the Census data. We may return our share by helping count in our local community in any small or big ways by empowering online or offline resources and networks at our fingertips, in the next 6 days in particular.

We are delighted to tell you a Facebook established last July, 2009 has been steadily updating efforts by Bob Groves and Census, almost every week, to count everyone living in the United States in 2010. A number of AAPOR and American Statistical Association colleagues have persistently extended their support to Bob and Census colleagues via this Facebook or other venues.

*

<http://www.facebook.com/#!/pages/We-Support-Robert-M-Groves-at-Census/102083277911?v=wall&ref=ts>

* <<http://www.facebook.com/pages/We-Support-Robert-M-Groves-at-Census/102083277911?ref=nf#!/pages/We-Support-Robert-M-Groves-at-Census/102083277911?v=wall&ref=mf>>

Here it is if you are not yet a fan of "We support Robert M. Groves at Census" and like to become a catalyst of census counts in your local community, again online or offline. Your support could be materialized to help mail back the Census form in your neighborhood in the next several days, especially those communities with low response rates like neighborhoods that have more minorities, immigrant communities or poor people as well as colleges and resort communities.

Among other things, this Facebook includes an announcement that Bob will be speaking on Monday, April 12 at a National Press Club to update the progress of the Bureau's enumeration efforts and upcoming operations to complete it. You can find handy links to both Time magazine's 10 questions for Bob Groves and New York Time's 10 minutes video with Bob. Please find further details in the Facebook for Bob and Census in the public interest.

Together for Census 99.9% counts!

Young

=====
Asaph Young Chun, Ph.D.
Senior Survey Methodologist
NORC at the University of Chicago www.norc.uchicago.edu
"Conduct high quality social science research in the public interest"
Ychun2@gmail.com
(Views expressed are only mine and do not represent the institution I am affiliated with.)

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 12 Apr 2010 09:54:49 -0700
Reply-To: Mario Callegaro <callegaro@GOOGLE.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mario Callegaro <callegaro@GOOGLE.COM>
Subject: Re: Questions about Internet Access
X-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@comcast.net>
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <1078949796.10837601270759881888.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I just found the CPS internet supplement 2009 question wording:

<http://www.ntia.doc.gov/data/SurveyInstrument2009.html>

<<http://www.ntia.doc.gov/data/SurveyInstrument2009.html>>They did ask an extra question in comparison to 2007 :

NET5 What is the main reason that you do not have high-speed (that is, faster than dial-up) Internet access at home?

<1> Don't need it, not interested
<2> Too expensive
<3> Can use it somewhere else
<4> Not available in area
<5> No computer or computer inadequate
<6> Privacy and security
<7> Concern for children's access
<8> Lack of confidence or skill
<9> Other reasons (Please specify) _____ NET5s
Blind <D> or <R>

Mario
On Thu, Apr 8, 2010 at 1:51 PM, nickp@marketsharescorp.com <mkshares@comcast.net> wrote:

> I don't know how practical this is for a questionnaire.
>
> There are many speed tests available for free on the internet.
> Google the words: download speed test
>
> Here is one
> <http://www.speedtest.net/>
>
> Would it be practical for respondents to record their own download and
> upload speeds?
>
> Nick

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jaki McCarthy" <Jaki_McCarthy@NASS.USDA.GOV>
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2010 3:21:49 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
> Subject: Questions about Internet Access

>
> Hello Colleagues,

>
> I have a request for information about questions asking about Internet
> access. We are thinking of adding some questions about this for farm
> operators but I am curious to know what type of information people are
> able to report about their Internet access. I would assume that people
> cannot typically report the speed of their Internet access. (I know I
> can't!) But can they accurately report that it is "high speed" or not?
> Can they report the type of access (dial up, DSL, cable modem, fiber
> optic, etc.)? If you asked for the specific service provider would that
> help?

>
> I'd love to hear about any experiences people have had regarding asking
> about Internet access or any pointers to relevant information.

>
> Thanks!

>
>
> Jaki S. McCarthy

>
> USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service
> Research and Development Division
> 703-877-8000 ext 142
> jaki_mccarthy@nass.usda.gov

> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>
--=20

Mario Callegaro Ph. D.
Survey Research Scientist
Quantitative Marketing team
Google Inc.
1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy
B41 164C
Mountain View, CA 94043
Tel (650) 253-3906
Fax (650) 253-6715
<http://mariocallegaro.info>
Pacific Time Zone

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Mon, 12 Apr 2010 13:22:32 -0700
Reply-To: "Mark R. Anton" <mra@WILDER.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Mark R. Anton" <mra@WILDER.ORG>
Subject: A summary: Project management for survey research organizations
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hi All-

I recently asked for advice regarding project management software that other research organizations are using successfully. I received several suggestions (thanks to all who responded), though, overall, folks don't seem too happy with what they are currently using:

* Basecamp and 5pm. Two online options that didn't really work well for an organization with fast turn-around times. Maybe requires too much set-up.

* MS Office Project. A very complete package, but so rich with features that it may be difficult to learn and use effectively.

* Rotator Survey. A Spanish-language solution that is inexpensive -- not sure if an English version exists. Used in conjunction with MS Office Project.

* QuickBooks and Excel. The combination works for one organization, though not optimally.

Original email:

Our IT Manager is interested in hearing from folks regarding project management software that works well for survey research organizations. We have various systems developed in-house keeping track of research projects and tracking time spent on projects by staff. We would like an integrated project management system without having to build one ourselves or contract with someone to build one for us. Is anyone aware of existing packages that do this well?

Mark R. Anton, M.S., M.Ed.
Research Analyst
Wilder Research
451 Lexington Parkway North
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55104
651/280-2699 voice
651/280-3699 fax

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 12 Apr 2010 18:14:36 -0700
Reply-To: Matthias Kretschmer <m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Matthias Kretschmer <m.e.kretschmer@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: Re: Questions about Internet Access
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Our experience has been that people are more likely to know where their money goes than what they actually get in return.

We have found going by service provider to be more accurate but it can be a long list of providers if you have a national poll. For smaller areas, the list might be relatively short. (Make sure you understand how some of the bundles work that the satellite TV providers offer).

If the respondents are with a phone company you can then double-check about the type of connection (people might know whether it's dial-up or not and I am not sure if you worry about fiber optic vs. DSL - and if you talk about farm operators, incidence of fiber optics should be very, very small anyways).

Matthias

Matthias Kretschmer | Senior Consultant

The Taylor Research & Consulting Group, Inc.
Phone: 603-422-7618 Fax: 603-422-7610
matthiask@thetaylorgroup.com
www.thetaylorgroup.com

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Tue, 13 Apr 2010 06:59:06 -0500
Reply-To: Smith-Tom <smitht@NORC.UCHICAGO.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Smith-Tom <smitht@NORC.UCHICAGO.EDU>
Subject: Central City
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

AAPOR is attempting to locate information on the attendees of its founding conference held at Central City in 1946. Below is a list of three attendees for whom we are seeking more information. Please contact me if you have any information on these people. Thank you.

=20

Tom W. Smith

NORC/University of Chicago

=20

Attendees of 1946 Central City Conference

=20

Mrs. Olive Halbert Head, Coding Department, NORC
Denver

=20

Robert E. Harvey Sales Manager, National Analysts
Philadelphia

=20

Miss. Elizabeth Mosher Head, Statistical Department, NORC
Denver/Chicago

=20

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Tue, 13 Apr 2010 14:02:21 -0700
Reply-To: Barb Gunderson <bgunderson@AAPOR.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Barb Gunderson <bgunderson@AAPOR.ORG>
Subject: Job Posting- Research Analyst (#6151)
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Overview:=20

The American Institutes for Research (AIR) is a well-established not-for-profit social science research and development organization. Our Federal=20=

Statistics Program seeks a Research Analyst to support its client, the=20=

National Center for Education Statistics (NCES).=20

=20

Responsibilities:=20

The candidate for this work will support current and future project=20 efforts of the Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS), Teacher Follow-up=20 Survey (TFS), and the Beginning Teacher Longitudinal Study (BTLS)=20 sponsored by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and=20 collected by the Census Bureau. SASS is the nation=E2=80=99s most extensi= ve sample=20

survey of elementary and secondary schools and the teachers and=20 administrators who staff them. TFS is a follow-up survey of a sub sample=20=

of teachers who participated in the previous year=E2=80=99s SASS. BTLS is= a new=20

study intended to annually follow a cohort of teachers for up to 10 years=

=20

to measure pathways in and out of the teaching labor market.=20

=20
The primary purpose of this project is to assist NCES with all aspects of=

=20
survey planning, operations, processing, quality improvement, and data=20=

analysis for all surveys. Work will include designing and revising=20
questionnaires, quality reviews of data files and documentation,=20
statistical programming and creation of data tables, ad-hoc research=20
requests, writing of statistical analysis reports, and other work=20
requested by the client to support the survey work at its various stages.=

=20
Candidates for this position will provide full-time support (40 hours per=

=20
week) to SASS and TFS tasks.=20

=20
Qualifications:=20

A Ph.D. in fields such as education, sociology, psychology, criminology,=20=
survey methodology, or other related fields. Additional qualifications=20=

should include: ability to work with others as part of a team; proficienc=
y=20
in Microsoft Office products (e.g., Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel),=20
advanced programming skills and experience with statistical software such=
=20
as Stata, SPSS, or SAS, excellent oral and written communication skills,=20=

attention to detail, willingness to learn Institute for Education Science=
s=20
statistical and publication standards, and interest in working with=20
quantitative survey data and supporting NCES at all stages of the survey=20=

operations.

=20
To Apply:
AIR offers an excellent compensation and benefits package, including a=20=

fully funded retirement plan, generous paid time off, subsidized garage=20=

parking, tuition assistance, and more. For more information, please visi=
t=20

our website at www.air.org. To apply, please go to <https://jobs-airdc.icims.com?&sn=3DAAPOR> and conduct a job title search. EOE.
=20

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 09:59:30 -0700
Reply-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Subject: Re: generation of email addresses
X-To: "Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca" <Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <4BBF6573.7030507@umontreal.ca>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi Claire,

I believe what he is referring to is a "river" recruitment, whereby a pop-up invite is sent to every "nth" visitor to a given website, who is then asked for their address. When this is done across a variety of general interest web sites I have heard it referred to as "random" selection of email addresses.

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Claire Durand
Sent: Friday, April 09, 2010 1:36 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: generation of email addresses

Hi,
In an article today, a pollster states that one of the means his firm uses to establish its web panel is "random generation of email addresses" (in Canada). Can anybody inform on this method?

Best,
Claire Durand

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 12:52:03 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Poll: 1 in 10 say they're Tea Party activists
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Poll: 1 in 10 say they're Tea Party activists
By the CNN Wire Staff
<http://www.cnn.com/2010/POLITICS/04/14/tea.party.poll/>

Washington (CNN) -- Ten percent of Americans say they have actively supported the Tea Party movement, and those Tea Party activists are older, better educated and more religious than the general public, according to a new national poll.

Of the Tea Party activists questioned in a CNN/Opinion Research Corp. survey released Wednesday morning, seven of 10 call themselves conservatives.

SNIP

The CNN/Opinion Research Corporation national poll was conducted April 9-11, with 1,008 adult Americans questioned by telephone. The survey's overall sampling error is plus or minus three percentage points.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 15:15:36 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair
http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544_162-20002487-503544.html

Posted by Stephanie Condon

As Tea Partiers gather for today's rally in Boston, home of the original Tea Party protest in 1773, 42 percent of Tea Party supporters think the amount of income taxes they'll pay this year is unfair, according to a new CBS News/ New York Times poll.

Yet while some say the Tea Party stands for "Taxed Enough Already," most Tea Party supporters - 52 percent - say their taxes are fair, the poll shows. Just under one in five Americans say they support the Tea Party movement.

SNIP

This poll was conducted among a random sample of 1,580 adults nationwide, interviewed by telephone April 5-12, 2010. Phone numbers were dialed from RDD samples of both standard land-lines and cell phones. The error due to sampling for results based on the entire sample could be plus or minus two percentage points. The error for subgroups is higher.

An oversample of people who describe themselves as supporters of the Tea Party movement were interviewed, for a total of 881 interviews. The results were then weighted in proportion to the adult population. The margin of error for the sample of the unemployed is three points.

This poll release conforms to the Standards of Disclosure of the National Council on Public Polls.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 16:03:27 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63

April 14, 2010 7:45 AM

James R. Beniger, an award-winning communication and sociology professor at the USC Annenberg School for Communication & Journalism and Princeton University who authored a highly acclaimed study of the economic and technological origins of the information society, died after a long battle with Alzheimer's disease. He was 63.

"Jim Beniger had the highest academic standards, along with the strongest caring for our students," said communication professor emeritus A. Michael Noll, former dean of the USC Annenberg School. "He was always challenging them intellectually. The field of communication has lost a delightful human being and a provocative scholar taken far too soon."

SNIP

In 1996, Beniger was elected the 53rd president of the American Association of Public Opinion Research some 20 years after he won the association's Student Paper Award. "Jim's deep involvement in [the association] spanned his academic career," said Peter Miller, the association's current president. "He was a charismatic figure who led the association into the digital age. We will miss him greatly."

Beniger initiated and ran the association's online bulletin board for many years and as a frequent contributor demonstrated the breadth of his concerns and the depth of his legendary wit.

He is survived by his wife Kay Ferdinandsen and daughters Ann and Katherine Beniger of Manhattan Beach; his mother Charlotte Beniger; and his sister Linda York. In lieu of flowers, contributions in his memory may be sent to the Alzheimer's Association at www.alz.org

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 16:19:29 -0400

Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>

Subject: Re: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:

A<3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E521684FE7A97@exchange.local.artscience.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

And the link to the whole article is

http://uscnews.usc.edu/obituaries/in_memoriam_james_r_beniger_63.html

--

Leo G. Simonetta

Director of Research

Art & Science Group

6115 Falls Road, Suite 101

Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta

Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 4:03 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63

In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63

April 14, 2010 7:45 AM

James R. Beniger, an award-winning communication and sociology professor at the USC Annenberg School for Communication & Journalism and Princeton University who authored a highly acclaimed study of the economic and technological origins of the information society, died after a long battle with Alzheimer's disease. He was 63.

"Jim Beniger had the highest academic standards, along with the strongest caring for our students," said communication professor emeritus A. Michael Noll, former dean of the USC Annenberg School. "He was always challenging them intellectually. The field of communication has lost a delightful human being and a provocative scholar taken far too soon."

SNIP

In 1996, Beniger was elected the 53rd president of the American Association of Public Opinion Research some 20 years after he won the association's Student Paper Award. "Jim's deep involvement in [the association] spanned his academic career," said Peter Miller, the association's current president. "He was a charismatic figure who led the association into the digital age. We will miss him greatly."

Beniger initiated and ran the association's online bulletin board for many years and as a frequent contributor demonstrated the breadth of his concerns and the depth of his legendary wit.

He is survived by his wife Kay Ferdinandsen and daughters Ann and Katherine Beniger of Manhattan Beach; his mother Charlotte Beniger; and his sister Linda York. In lieu of flowers, contributions in his memory may be sent to the Alzheimer's Association at www.alz.org

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 16:36:09 -0400
Reply-To: Mike Mokrzycki <mike@MIKEMOKR.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Mokrzycki <mike@MIKEMOKR.COM>
Subject: Re: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To:
<3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E521684FE7AA4@exchange.local.artscience.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Here from the AAPORNET archives is the first official post to this list, from Jim Beniger more than 15 years ago. Indeed it was, as he noted, an historic occasion.

Jim mentioned there were some 300 subscribers then; currently there are 1,314.

*Subject:*AAPOR Welcomes You to AAPORNET! *From:* James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU> *Date:*Tue, 22 Nov 1994 18:36:34 -0800 *Content-Type:*text/plain

As you should have just learned from the automatically-generated message immediately preceding this one, your Internet address has been added to AAPORNET, a news and discussion list available only to members of the American Association for Public Opinion Research.

AAPORNET was established on a trial basis by the AAPOR Executive Council at its November 18 meeting in New York City. AAPORNET is intended both to help launch AAPOR's 50th Anniversary celebrations and to explore new means of communication and other benefits for members as AAPOR moves into its second half-century and on into the new millennium.

Please keep AAPORNET in mind, both as a means to communicate with the AAPOR membership and as a source of professional information from others, including the AAPOR Secretariat and Council. Any message sent to AAPORNET@vm.usc.edu will go immediately to each of the 300-some members currently subscribed to it and, conversely, anything that any one of them sends to that address will appear immediately among your own Internet mail.

Further introduction to AAPORNET will appear here over the next few weeks. Feel free to withdraw at any time by following the simple instructions in the previous machine-generated message or, if you prefer, by relaying your wishes to Jim Beniger, an AAPOR volunteer currently managing AAPORNET, at: beniger@rcf.usc.edu

Because you are a charter member of this first attempt to take AAPOR50 into cyberspace, just a half-century after Harry Field began to organize the group that would eventually become the first annual AAPOR meeting, in the mountains of Colorado, we hope you will feel something of both these historic occasions--and of their implications for time and for space--as you interact here in AAPORNET with other members of AAPOR in its 50th year.

On Wed, Apr 14, 2010 at 4:19 PM, Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@artsci.com> wrote:

> And the link to the whole article is

>
> http://uscnews.usc.edu/obituaries/in_memoriam_james_r_beniger_63.html
>
>
> --
> Leo G. Simonetta
> Director of Research
> Art & Science Group
> 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
> Baltimore, MD 21209
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta
> Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 4:03 PM
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
> Subject: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63
>
> In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63
>
> April 14, 2010 7:45 AM
>
> James R. Beniger, an award-winning communication and sociology professor
> at the USC Annenberg School for Communication & Journalism and Princeton
> University who authored a highly acclaimed study of the economic and
> technological origins of the information society, died after a long
> battle with Alzheimer's disease. He was 63.
>
> "Jim Beniger had the highest academic standards, along with the
> strongest caring for our students," said communication professor
> emeritus A. Michael Noll, former dean of the USC Annenberg School. "He
> was always challenging them intellectually. The field of communication
> has lost a delightful human being and a provocative scholar taken far
> too soon."
>
> SNIP
>
> In 1996, Beniger was elected the 53rd president of the American
> Association of Public Opinion Research some 20 years after he won the
> association's Student Paper Award. "Jim's deep involvement in [the
> association] spanned his academic career," said Peter Miller, the
> association's current president. "He was a charismatic figure who led
> the association into the digital age. We will miss him greatly."
>
> Beniger initiated and ran the association's online bulletin board for
> many years and as a frequent contributor demonstrated the breadth of his
> concerns and the depth of his legendary wit.
>
> He is survived by his wife Kay Ferdinandsen and daughters Ann and
> Katherine Beniger of Manhattan Beach; his mother Charlotte Beniger; and
> his sister Linda York. In lieu of flowers, contributions in his memory
> may be sent to the Alzheimer's Association at www.alz.org
>
> --

> Leo G. Simonetta
> Director of Research
> Art & Science Group
> 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
> Baltimore, MD 21209

>

> -----

> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

> -----

> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 17:33:09 -0400
Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM>
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair
X-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
<3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E521684FE7A85@exchange.local.artscience.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

This provides a good example of poor reporting of the details of a poll,
and more specifically, of the "margin of sampling error."

If the total sample was 1580, the MOSE for a simple random sample would
be just under 2.5%, so one might argue that it could be rounded to 2%,

although that would certainly not be the conservative approach.

However, we are told that the TPS account for just under 20% of the population, and the TPS were oversampled to obtain 881 TPS interviews overall.

If the reported total of 1580 includes the 881 TPS, rounding the TPS to 20%, a quick calculation shows 699 non-TPS interviews, giving an original sample size of 873. The 881 TPS interviews would have to be weighted down to 174 to account for their correct proportion in the total. For that effective sample size, the MOSE would certainly be well above 3%, even without accounting for other effects of the design.

Of course, if the 1580 did not include the supplemental TPS interviews, then the actual number of non-TPS was 1264 and the number of TPS in the original sample 316, with 656 additional TPS interviews for an overall total of 2145 interviews. For a SRS sample of 2145, a 2% MOSE might be reasonable, but in a complex sample like this it could well be much higher, if anyone actually even tried to compute it correctly.

Sloppy reporting, all around.

Jan Werner

(Note, the error in the last paragraph, "unemployed" instead of "Tea Party supporters" has already been corrected online.)

Leo Simonetta wrote:

> Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair

> http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544_162-20002487-503544.html

>

> SNIP

>

> This poll was conducted among a random sample of 1,580 adults

> nationwide, interviewed by telephone April 5-12, 2010. Phone numbers

> were dialed from RDD samples of both standard land-lines and cell

> phones. The error due to sampling for results based on the entire sample

> could be plus or minus two percentage points. The error for subgroups is

> higher.

>

> An oversample of people who describe themselves as supporters of the Tea

> Party movement were interviewed, for a total of 881 interviews. The

> results were then weighted in proportion to the adult population. The

> margin of error for the sample of the unemployed is three points.

>

> This poll release conforms to the Standards of Disclosure of the

> National Council on Public Polls.

>

> --

> Leo G. Simonetta

> Director of Research

> Art& Science Group

> 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
> Baltimore, MD 21209
>
> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> set aapornet nomail
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>
>

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 18:55:55 -0400
Reply-To: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>
Subject: Re: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63
X-To: Mike Mokrzycki <mike@MIKEMOKR.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <z2k57df0eb01004141336q9f9c38d5k3f3f99b4b4dcace1@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

I well recall this posting Mike has shared with us from that day 16+ years ago, as I posted the 1994 MAPOR conference program from the previous weekend onto AAPORnet as my first posting.

Jim knew how great a contribution he made to our organization by establishing the AAPORnet exchange forum that so many of us have come to value and enjoy.

For this and for many other reasons his memory deserves to be preserved by AAPOR and its members, and I for one will always remember him fondly and with respect.

I'll also always remember his email and my reply to him the morning of September 11, 2001 from my then Nielsen office in Manhattan when our phone lines were dead but the internet was still working. Some of you might find this of interest and thus I am adding it below:

-----Original Message-----

From: Lavrakas, Paul

Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2001 12:26 PM
To: 'James Beniger'
Subject: FW: On this day

Hi Jim,

Thanks for your caring message to all of us in this area (NYC). My bldg is a few block north of Grand Central Terminal and we had a rumor circulate that the bldg had received a bomb threat. However, bldg management told us just a while ago that they were not advocating an evacuation of the bldg. All transportation out of the City is pretty much stopped, but the train lines out are supposedly going to start up again this afternoon, so maybe I'll make it home to CT tonight.

Having worked with VNS on the 1996., 1998 and 2000 election nights on the 93rd fl of the WTC, the scenes of those bldgs collapsing are very emotional to me. Thinking about all the emergency personnel there trying to help the initial victims of the plane crashes then being caught in the collapses themselves, ...

(By the way, Murray and all other at VNS are now in offices in Brooklyn, as opposed to Manhattan.)

I expect it will take us a long time to understand what exactly happened, how and why -- and to fully understand how our lives will be impacted in the long-run. A challenge we will certainly face is to balance the need to heighten security in the future against giving up the freedoms we cherish. Public opinion surveys of the public's evolving "state of mind" will be important to not let the reactions to this horrific tragedy move us too far towards giving up those freedoms.

Best to you, PJJ

-----Original Message-----

From: James Beniger [mailto:beniger@rcf.usc.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2001 12:05 PM
To: AAPORNET
Subject: On this day

I can't remember when I've ever felt worse than I do at this moment. Just knowing that a flight from Boston to Los Angeles was intentionally crashed into the Trade Center in Manhattan keeps my mind at work--entirely out of my control--calculating the probability than some of those I know, value and love in or around these places has been killed on this day.

If you live around Boston, New York or Southern California, or if you know that I know that you frequent these places, would mind please sending me a brief note (off list, please) simply to tell me that you are at least safe.

Thank you.

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Mokrzycki
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 4:36 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63

Here from the AAPORNET archives is the first official post to this list, from Jim Beniger more than 15 years ago. Indeed it was, as he noted, an historic occasion.

Jim mentioned there were some 300 subscribers then; currently there are 1,314.

*Subject:*AAPOR Welcomes You to AAPORNET! *From:* James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU> *Date:*Tue, 22 Nov 1994 18:36:34 -0800 *Content-Type:*text/plain

As you should have just learned from the automatically-generated message immediately preceding this one, your Internet address has been added to AAPORNET, a news and discussion list available only to members of the American Association for Public Opinion Research.

AAPORNET was established on a trial basis by the AAPOR Executive Council at its November 18 meeting in New York City. AAPORNET is intended both to help launch AAPOR's 50th Anniversary celebrations and to explore new means of communication and other benefits for members as AAPOR moves into its second half-century and on into the new millennium.

Please keep AAPORNET in mind, both as a means to communicate with the AAPOR membership and as a source of professional information from others, including the AAPOR Secretariat and Council. Any message sent to AAPORNET@vm.usc.edu will go immediately to each of the 300-some members currently subscribed to it and, conversely, anything that any one of them sends to that address will appear immediately among your own Internet mail.

Further introduction to AAPORNET will appear here over the next few weeks. Feel free to withdraw at any time by following the simple instructions in the previous machine-generated message or, if you prefer, by relaying your wishes to Jim Beniger, an AAPOR volunteer currently managing AAPORNET, at: beniger@rcf.usc.edu

Because you are a charter member of this first attempt to take

AAPOR50 into cyberspace, just a half-century after Harry Field began to organize the group that would eventually become the first annual AAPOR meeting, in the mountains of Colorado, we hope you will feel something of both these historic occasions--and of their implications for time and for space--as you interact here in AAPORNET with other members of AAPOR in its 50th year.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 18:57:29 -0400
Reply-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair
X-To: jwerner@jwdp.com, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <4BC63495.6020109@jwdp.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I apologize for several typos that were online earlier, but I want to show how we calculated the MOSE for tea party supporters, which was reported correctly.

We interviewed 881 tea party supporters. Their weights were reduced relative to the 699 non-tea party supporters, so that the two groups could be combined. However, any frequencies within the tea party group are unaffected by the reduced weights for the group as a whole, so the effective sample size for these within-group frequencies is still 881; the MOSE for them is therefore 3.37%, which, as usual, we round to 3%.

The MOSE for the 699 non-tea party supporters is 3.78%. Estimates for the entire sample are an average of the estimates for tea party and non-tea party, weighted by about 0.18 for the TPs and 0.82 for the non-TPs. The variance of the weighted sum is 0.18 squared times the tea party variance, plus 0.82 squared times the non-TP variance. The MOSE for the entire sample is 3.16%, the square root of the variance. We round this to 3%. Reporting this as 2% was a typo.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Jan Werner
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 5:33 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair

This provides a good example of poor reporting of the details of a poll, and more specifically, of the "margin of sampling error."

If the total sample was 1580, the MOSE for a simple random sample would be just under 2.5%, so one might argue that it could be rounded to 2%, although that would certainly not be the conservative approach.

However, we are told that the TPS account for just under 20% of the population, and the TPS were oversampled to obtain 881 TPS interviews overall.

If the reported total of 1580 includes the 881 TPS, rounding the TPS to 20%, a quick calculation shows 699 non-TPS interviews, giving an original sample size of 873. The 881 TPS interviews would have to be weighted down to 174 to account for their correct proportion in the total. For that effective sample size, the MOSE would certainly be well above 3%, even without accounting for other effects of the design.

Of course, if the 1580 did not include the supplemental TPS interviews, then the actual number of non-TPS was 1264 and the number of TPS in the original sample 316, with 656 additional TPS interviews for an overall total of 2145 interviews. For a SRS sample of 2145, a 2% MOSE might be reasonable, but in a complex sample like this it could well be much higher, if anyone actually even tried to compute it correctly.

Sloppy reporting, all around.

Jan Werner

(Note, the error in the last paragraph, "unemployed" instead of "Tea Party supporters" has already been corrected online.)

Leo Simonetta wrote:

- > Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair
- > http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544_162-20002487-503544.html
- >
- > SNIP
- >
- > This poll was conducted among a random sample of 1,580 adults
- > nationwide, interviewed by telephone April 5-12, 2010. Phone numbers
- > were dialed from RDD samples of both standard land-lines and cell
- > phones. The error due to sampling for results based on the entire
- > sample
- > could be plus or minus two percentage points. The error for subgroups
- > is
- > higher.
- >
- > An oversample of people who describe themselves as supporters of the
- > Tea
- > Party movement were interviewed, for a total of 881 interviews. The
- > results were then weighted in proportion to the adult population. The
- > margin of error for the sample of the unemployed is three points.
- >
- > This poll release conforms to the Standards of Disclosure of the

> National Council on Public Polls.

>

> --

> Leo G. Simonetta

> Director of Research

> Art& Science Group

> 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101

> Baltimore, MD 21209

>

> -----

> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> set aapornet nomail

> On your return send this: set aapornet mail

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

>

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 20:44:09 -0400

Reply-To: Young Chun <y Chun2@GMAIL.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Young Chun <y Chun2@GMAIL.COM>

Subject: Re: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63

X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

In-Reply-To: <000001cadc25\$a3d7ccd0\$eb876670\$@net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear AAPOR colleagues,

Plus I would like to note and remember Jim's contribution to the 50th anniversary AAPOR conference he chaired in 1995. Excerpts from Proceedings of the 50th annual conference in POQ Fall 1995 summarize as follows:

Annual membership meeting on May 20, 1995, at the Bonaventure Resort and Spa in Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

Conference. Jim Beniger received a round of applause for the successful fiftieth-anniversary conference....

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTIETH ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH: The American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) held its fiftieth-anniversary conference May 18-21, 1995, at the Bonaventure Resort and Spa in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. Official registration was 569, making the fiftieth conference the largest that AAPOR has ever held on its own. It surpassed by 14.3 percent the previous record of 498 for an exclusively AAPOR-sponsored conference, set in 1993, and by 5.4 percent the AAPOR registration of 540 at last year's conference, held jointly with the World Association for Public Opinion Research (WAPOR). Final thanks must go to the 430 individuals actually listed in the formal program, without whose intellectual efforts all the rest of the fiftieth conference would not have been worth staging, and whose contributions of which I expect to see published or cited here in the pages of POQ help to guide the collective enterprise that is AAPOR well into our second half century.

JAMES R. BENIGER

Conference Chair

As I recall the 1995 Annual Conference Committee Jim chaired, I then as a behavioral scientist with BLS had and will keep the fond memory of working closely with him, from June 1994 to May 1995, and with scores of AAPOR conference committee members as follows:

Herbert I. Abelson, Scott L. Althaus, Laurie J. Bauman, Joan S. Black, Lawrence D. Bobo, Donna Card Charron, Young I. Chun, Charles D. Cowan, Mari-

e
Crane, Helen M. Crossley, Don A. Dillman, Jennifer Dykema, Murray Edelman,
Robert M. Eisinger, Phyllis M. Endreny, G. Ray Funkhouser, Karen Goldenberg=
,
Albert E. Gollin, Susan Herbst, Rachel A. Hickson, Josephine R. (Jo) Holz,
Lilli Japac, Kenneth E. John, John M. Kennedy, Paul J. Lavrakas, Robert S.
Lee, Jacob (Jack) Ludwig, Philip Meyer, J. Ronald Milavsky, Peter V. Miller=
,
arren J. Mitofsky, Diana C. Mutz, W. Russell Neuman, Michael Newman, Tom
Newman, Jackie O'Connell, Colm O'Muircheartaigh, John R. Robinson, Eleanor
Singer, Tom W. Smith, Jane C. Stokes, Michael W. Traugott, Charles R.
Wright. (Source: POQ, Fall 1995)

I recall we had constant exchange of ideas and feedback and energetic
discussion to craft one of the finest and memorable AAPOR conferences on th=
e
listserv AAPOR50vm.usc.edu Jim gladly established for AAPOR in 1994. I
learned from Jim about wisdom and insights to support and collaborate one
another for a community like AAPOR, facilitate a transparent decision makin=
g
process and make prudent influence beyond. It was the first AAPOR
conference committee I served, happily, thanks to Jim's synergetic and
visionary leadership!

Gratefully,

Young

Young Chun, Ph.D.

Senior Survey Methodologist

NORC at the University of Chicago

"Conduct high quality social science research in the public interest"

On Wed, Apr 14, 2010 at 6:55 PM, Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@optonline.ne=
t
> wrote:

> I well recall this posting Mike has shared with us from that day 16+ year=
s
> ago, as I posted the 1994 MAPOR conference program from the previous
> weekend
> onto AAPORnet as my first posting.

>
> Jim knew how great a contribution he made to our organization by
> establishing the AAPORnet exchange forum that so many of us have come to
> value and enjoy.
>
> For this and for many other reasons his memory deserves to be preserved b=
y
> AAPOR and its members, and I for one will always remember him fondly and
> with respect.
>
> I'll also always remember his email and my reply to him the morning of
> September 11, 2001 from my then Nielsen office in Manhattan when our phon=
e
> lines were dead but the internet was still working. Some of you might fin=
d
> this of interest and thus I am adding it below:
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Lavrakas, Paul
> Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2001 12:26 PM
> To: 'James Beniger'
> Subject: FW: On this day
>
>
> Hi Jim,
>
> Thanks for your caring message to all of us in this area (NYC). My bldg =
is
> a few block north of Grand Central Terminal and we had a rumor circulate
> that the bldg had received a bomb threat. However, bldg management told =
us
> just a while ago that they were not advocating an evacuation of the bldg.
> All transportation out of the City is pretty much stopped, but the train
> lines out are supposedly going to start up again this afternoon, so maybe
> I'll make it home to CT tonight.
>
> Having worked with VNS on the 1996., 1998 and 2000 election nights on the
> 93rd fl of the WTC, the scenes of those bldgs collapsing are very emotion=
al
> to me. Thinking about all the emergency personnel there trying to help t=
he
> initial victims of the plane crashes then being caught in the collapses
> themselves, ...
>
> (By the way, Murray and all other at VNS are now in offices in Brooklyn, =
as
> opposed to Manhattan.)
>
> I expect it will take us a long time to understand what exactly happened,
> how and why -- and to fully understand how our lives will be impacted in
> the
> long-run. A challenge we will certainly face is to balance the need to
> heighten security in the future against giving up the freedoms we cherish=

.
> Public opinion surveys of the public's evolving "state of mind" will be
> important to not let the reactions to this horrific tragedy move us too far
> towards giving up those freedoms.

> Best to you, PJL

> -----Original Message-----

> From: James Beniger [mailto:beniger@rcf.usc.edu]

> Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2001 12:05 PM

> To: AAPORNET

> Subject: On this day

> I can't remember when I've ever felt worse than I do at this moment.
> Just knowing that a flight from Boston to Los Angeles was intentionally
> crashed into the Trade Center in Manhattan keeps my mind at
> work--entirely
> out of my control--calculating the probability than some of those I know,
> value and love in or around these places has been killed on this day.

> If you live around Boston, New York or Southern California, or if you
> know that I know that you frequent these places, would mind please
> sending
> me a brief note (off list, please) simply to tell me that you are at
> least safe.

> Thank you.

> Jim

> *****

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Mike Mokrzycki

> Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 4:36 PM

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> Subject: Re: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63

> Here from the AAPORNET archives is the first official post to this list,
> from Jim Beniger more than 15 years ago. Indeed it was, as he noted, an
> historic occasion.

> Jim mentioned there were some 300 subscribers then; currently there are
> 1,314.

> *Subject:* AAPOR Welcomes You to AAPORNET! *From:* James Beniger <
> beniger@RCF.USC.EDU> *Date:* Tue, 22 Nov 1994 18:36:34 -0800

> *Content-Type:*

> text/plain

>

> As you should have just learned from the automatically-generated
> message immediately preceding this one, your Internet address has
> been added to AAPORNET, a news and discussion list available only
> to members of the American Association for Public Opinion Research.

>

> AAPORNET was established on a trial basis by the AAPOR Executive
> Council at its November 18 meeting in New York City. AAPORNET is
> intended both to help launch AAPOR's 50th Anniversary celebrations
> and to explore new means of communication and other benefits for
> members as AAPOR moves into its second half-century and on into the
> new millennium.

>

> Please keep AAPORNET in mind, both as a means to communicate with
> the AAPOR membership and as a source of professional information
> from others, including the AAPOR Secretariat and Council. Any
> message sent to AAPORNET@vm.usc.edu will go immediately to each of
> the 300-some members currently subscribed to it and, conversely,
> anything that any one of them sends to that address will appear
> immediately among your own Internet mail.

>

> Further introduction to AAPORNET will appear here over the next few
> weeks. Feel free to withdraw at any time by following the simple
> instructions in the previous machine-generated message or, if you
> prefer, by relaying your wishes to Jim Beniger, an AAPOR volunteer
> currently managing AAPORNET, at: beniger@rcf.usc.edu

>

> Because you are a charter member of this first attempt to take
> AAPOR50 into cyberspace, just a half-century after Harry Field
> began to organize the group that would eventually become the first
> annual AAPOR meeting, in the mountains of Colorado, we hope you
> will feel something of both these historic occasions--and of their
> implications for time and for space--as you interact here in
> AAPORNET with other members of AAPOR in its 50th year.

>

> -----

> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

> set aapornet nomail

> On your return send this: set aapornet mail

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Apr 2010 20:43:05 -0700
Reply-To: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM>
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair
X-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To:
<3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E521684FE7A85@exchange.local.artsience.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Probably because they don't pay any.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 12:16 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair

Poll: Most Tea Party Supporters Say Their Taxes Are Fair
http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544_162-20002487-503544.html

Posted by Stephanie Condon

As Tea Partiers gather for today's rally in Boston, home of the original Tea Party protest in 1773, 42 percent of Tea Party supporters think the amount of income taxes they'll pay this year is unfair, according to a new CBS News/ New York Times poll.

Yet while some say the Tea Party stands for "Taxed Enough Already," most Tea Party supporters - 52 percent - say their taxes are fair, the poll shows. Just under one in five Americans say they support the Tea Party movement.

SNIP

This poll was conducted among a random sample of 1,580 adults nationwide, interviewed by telephone April 5-12, 2010. Phone numbers were dialed from RDD samples of both standard land-lines and cell phones. The error due to sampling for results based on the entire sample could be plus or minus two percentage points. The error for subgroups is higher.

An oversample of people who describe themselves as supporters of the Tea Party movement were interviewed, for a total of 881 interviews. The results were then weighted in proportion to the adult population. The margin of error for the sample of the unemployed is three points.

This poll release conforms to the Standards of Disclosure of the

National Council on Public Polls.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 09:31:10 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Two tea party polls and a commentary
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Poll Finds Tea Party Backers Wealthier and More Educated
By KATE ZERNIKE and MEGAN THEE-BRENAN
New York Times

<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/15/us/politics/15poll.html?emc=tnt&tntemaill=y>
or
<http://tinyurl.com/y62f5hy>

Tea Party supporters are wealthier and more well-educated than the general public, and are no more or less afraid of falling into a lower socioeconomic class, according to the latest New York Times/CBS News poll.

The 18 percent of Americans who identify themselves as Tea Party supporters tend to be Republican, white, male, married and older than 45.

SNIP

AP/GfK poll

<http://www.ap-gfkipoll.com/pdf/AP-GfK%20Poll%20April%202010%20HC.pdf>
(Tea Party questions are page 32-33 of the pdf)

Support for the Tea Party?
ABC News
April 15, 2010 8:48 AM

<http://blogs.abcnews.com/thenumbers/2010/04/support-for-the-tea-party.html>
or
<http://tinyurl.com/y66w87j>

Two new Tax Day polls underscore some of the vagaries of measurement, especially, perhaps, when it comes to gauging support for a new and still somewhat fuzzily understood political movement.

These surveys ask straightforward and almost identical questions - do you consider yourself a supporter of the Tea Party movement, or not? Their results, though, are strikingly different: In an AP/GfK poll, 31 percent of Americans identify themselves as Tea Party supporters. In a CBS/NYT poll it's 18 percent.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 12:44:38 -0400
Reply-To: Dan Cox <dcox@PUBLICRELIGION.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Dan Cox <dcox@PUBLICRELIGION.ORG>
Subject: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed; delsp=yes
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi All,

Can anyone point me to studies on or share their experience with minimizing participant attrition in panel surveys? Specifically I am trying to get an idea of the best practices currently being employed. This subject may have been discussed previously at some point, but searching my AAPOR archives I cannot find anything on it.

Thanks.

Daniel Cox
Research Director
Public Religion Research Institute

Phone: 202-435-0278
Email: dcox@publicreligion.org
www.publicreligion.org

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 13:22:48 -0500
Reply-To: "Rao, Kumar" <Kumar_Rao@GALLUP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Rao, Kumar" <Kumar_Rao@GALLUP.COM>
Subject: Re: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys
X-To: Dan Cox <dcox@PUBLICRELIGION.ORG>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <97E50E12-491B-44B9-9032-55EC6D460481@publicreligion.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Daniel,
One area of investigation to minimize panel attrition has been the use of incentives and the citations below are a few. The British household Panel study has a few whitepapers on this topic also. But, the closest to your

search will be the one from Kathy and Brocque in Field Methods.

Ahern, Kathy, and Robyne Le Brocque. 2005. Methodological Issues in the Effects of Attrition: Simple Solutions for Social Scientists. *Field Methods* 17 (1):53-69.

Castiglioni, Laura, Klaus Pforr, and Ulrich Krieger. 2008. The Effect of Incentives on Response Rates and Panel Attrition: Results of a Controlled Experiment. *Survey Research Methods* 3 (2):151-158.

Zagorsky, Jay L., and Patricia Rhoton. 2008. The Effects of Promised Monetary Incentives on Attrition in a Long-Term Panel Survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 72 (3):502-513.

Laurie, Heather. The effect of increasing financial incentives in a panel survey: An experiment on the British Household Panel Survey, Wave 14 2007.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Dan Cox
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 11:45 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

Hi All,

Can anyone point me to studies on or share their experience with minimizing participant attrition in panel surveys? Specifically I am trying to get an idea of the best practices currently being employed. This subject may have been discussed previously at some point, but searching my AAPOR archives I cannot find anything on it.

Thanks.

Daniel Cox
Research Director
Public Religion Research Institute

Phone: 202-435-0278
Email: dcox@publicreligion.org
www.publicreligion.org

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail message and all attachments, if any, may contain confidential and privileged material and are intended only for the person or entity to which the message is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this information is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and destroy all copies of the original message.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 11:46:27 -0700
Reply-To: Mike Dennis <jmdstat@YAHOO.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Dennis <jmdstat@YAHOO.COM>
Subject: Re: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys
X-To: "Rao, Kumar" <Kumar_Rao@GALLUP.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
<676B4A9266A0DC4891799E6D249397C35C09CC3933@Exchng6.noam.gallup.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi, Daniel

In addition to the papers mentioned by Kumar, I'd suggest that you look at our paper presented last year at AAPOR from the AP-Yahoo! election study.

Go to <http://www.knowledgenetworks.com/ganp/reviewer-info.html> and look for this 2009 paper:

Kruse, Yelena, Mario Callegaro, J. Michael Dennis, Stefan Subias, Mike Lawrence, Charles DiSogra, and Trevor Tompson. 2009. Panel Conditioning and Attrition in the AP-Yahoo! News Election Panel Study. Presented at the 2009 Annual Conference of the American Association for Public Opinion Research.

There are other relevant papers there too.

thanks

Mike Dennis

mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com

From: "Rao, Kumar" <Kumar_Rao@GALLUP.COM>
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Sent: Thu, April 15, 2010 11:22:48 AM
Subject: Re: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

Daniel,

One area of investigation to minimize panel attrition has been the use of incentives and the citations below are a few. The British household Panel study has a few whitepapers on this topic also. But, the closest to your search will be the one from Kathy and Brocque in Field Methods.

Ahern, Kathy, and Robyne Le Brocque. 2005. Methodological Issues in the Effects of Attrition: Simple Solutions for Social Scientists. *Field Methods* 17 (1):53-69.

Castiglioni, Laura, Klaus Pforr, and Ulrich Krieger. 2008. The Effect of Incentives on Response Rates and Panel Attrition: Results of a Controlled Experiment. *Survey Research Methods* 3 (2):151-158.

Zagorsky, Jay L., and Patricia Rhoton. 2008. The Effects of Promised Monetary Incentives on Attrition in a Long-Term Panel Survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 72 (3):502-513.

Laurie, Heather. The effect of increasing financial incentives in a panel survey: An experiment on the British Household Panel Survey, Wave 14 2007.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Dan Cox
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 11:45 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

Hi All,

Can anyone point me to studies on or share their experience with minimizing participant attrition in panel surveys? Specifically I am trying to get an idea of the best practices currently being employed. This subject may have been discussed previously at some point, but searching my AAPOR archives I cannot find anything on it.

Thanks.

Daniel Cox
Research Director
Public Religion Research Institute

Phone: 202-435-0278
Email: dcox@publicreligion.org
www.publicreligion.org

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail message and all attachments, if any, may contain confidential and privileged material and are intended only for the person or entity to which the message is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this information is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and destroy all copies of the original message.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 12:40:40 -0700
Reply-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Subject: Re: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys
X-To: Dan Cox <dcox@PUBLICRELIGION.ORG>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

In-Reply-To: <97E50E12-491B-44B9-9032-55EC6D460481@publicreligion.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

CASRO has a panel conference every year, the last one was in February. I did not attend, but there may have been some papers on this topic. Here is the link to the site:

http://www.casro.org/techform/2010-panelconf_recap.cfm#

Hope this helps,

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Dan Cox
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 12:45 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

Hi All,

Can anyone point me to studies on or share their experience with minimizing participant attrition in panel surveys? Specifically I am trying to get an idea of the best practices currently being employed. This subject may have been discussed previously at some point, but searching my AAPOR archives I cannot find anything on it.

Thanks.

Daniel Cox
Research Director
Public Religion Research Institute

Phone: 202-435-0278
Email: dcox@publicreligion.org
www.publicreligion.org

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 15:48:44 -0400
Reply-To: steve@mktginc.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Steven Gittelman <steve@MKTGINC.COM>
Organization: Mktg, Inc
Subject: Re: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys
X-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To:
<1788496C766C6542B3952BBEBA5C45F1059F51D177@EXMBX10.exchhosting.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There were no discussions on attrition.

Steven Gittelman, Ph.D. | President
Tel: 631.277.7000 | Cell: 631.466.6604
200 Carleton Avenue, East Islip, NY 11730
Steve@mktginc.com | www.MktgInc.com
Blog: www.SampleMetrics.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Jane Traub [<mailto:JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>]
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 3:38 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

CASRO has a panel conference every year, the last one was in February. I did

not attend, but there may have been some papers on this topic. Here is the link to the site:

http://www.casro.org/techform/2010-panelconf_recap.cfm#

Hope this helps,

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Dan Cox
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 12:45 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

Hi All,

Can anyone point me to studies on or share their experience with minimizing participant attrition in panel surveys? Specifically I am trying to get an idea of the best practices currently being employed. This subject may have been discussed previously at some point, but searching my AAPOR archives I cannot find anything on it.

Thanks.

Daniel Cox
Research Director
Public Religion Research Institute

Phone: 202-435-0278
Email: dcox@publicreligion.org
www.publicreligion.org

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Mktg. Inc. is a Market Research Company located in East Islip, NY. This email and

any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the individual or entity to which they are addressed. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. Alternatively, you may also contact us via our website www.mktginc.com or by calling (631) 277-7000.

Although

Mktg. Inc attempts to sweep e-mail and attachments for viruses, it does not guarantee that either is virus-free or accepts no liability for any damage sustained

as a result of viruses.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 16:26:54 -0400

Reply-To: Joe Lenski <jlenski@EDISONRESEARCH.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Joe Lenski <jlenski@EDISONRESEARCH.COM>

Subject: The Country Music Association is seeking a Marketing Research

Director

X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

In case anyone is interested or knows of anyone who might be interested, the Country Music Association is seeking a Marketing Research Director.

=20

The link to the announcement is below along with contact information:

=20

<http://www.cmaworld.com/research/>

=20

CMA MARKETING RESEARCH DIRECTOR

POSITION SUMMARY: Trade association seeks research professional to manage a broad range of research initiatives related to the country music industry.=20

=20

ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS:

* Manage and analyze qualitative and quantitative primary and secondary research efforts to support industry needs and organizational goals.

* Ability to analyze and summarize data from multiple sources including both syndicated and proprietary research to identify patterns, elicit key insights about business situations, problems and opportunities and articulate actionable recommendations.

* Consult with internal marketing and executive teams, Board leadership and research vendors to develop research projects and services that will provide relevant, reliable and timely consumer information to CMA constituencies.

* Create and maintain industry metrics to support strategic objectives; identify needs, conduct analyses; create reporting and presentations as needed.

* Present/disseminate key findings to CMA constituencies; write research reports, articles and newsletters; serve as source for research and analysis; manage distribution of data (website, email, inquiry response, etc.).

=20

EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE REQUIRED:

* Bachelor's Degree and 5+ years of relevant research experience including syndicated research (e.g. MRI, Simmons), proprietary projects,

and other industry data (e.g. SoundScan, Pollstar).

=20

SKILLS AND ABILITIES REQUIRED:

- * Ability to identify and resolve problems in a timely manner; gathers and analyzes information skillfully; develops alternative solutions; works well in group problem solving situations; uses reason even when dealing with emotional topics.
- * Strong interpersonal skills; focuses on solving conflict; maintains confidentiality; remains open to others' ideas and tries new things.
- * Effective public speaking skills; speaks clearly and persuasively in a positive manner; responds well to questions.
- * Responds promptly to member/customer needs; solicits member feedback to improve service; responds timely to requests for service and assistance; meets commitments.
- * Writes clearly and informatively; varies writing style to meet needs; presents numerical data effectively; able to read and interpret written communications.
- * Inspires and motivates others to perform well; effectively influences actions and opinions of others; accepts feedback from others; gives appropriate recognition to others.
- * Ability to handle all types of people and difficult situations in a mature and professional manner.
- * Looks for ways to improve and promote quality; demonstrates accuracy and thoroughness.

Please submit your resume to ResearchPosition@CMAworld.com
<mailto:researchposition@cmaworld.com> <mailto:research@cmaworld.com>=20

About the Country Music Association=20

Founded in 1958, the Country Music Association was the first trade organization formed to promote a type of music. CMA now has more than 5,500 organizational and individual members in 40 countries. The objectives of the organization are to guide and enhance the development of Country Music throughout the world; to demonstrate it as a viable medium to advertisers, consumers and media; and to provide a unity of purpose for the Country Music industry. For more information, visit

CMAworld.com <<http://www.CMAworld.com>> . The Association produces two major industry events - the CMA Awards and the CMA Music Festival.=20

About the CMA Awards

For more than 40 years, the CMA Awards have represented the pinnacle of achievement in the Country Music industry. Ranging from the coveted New Artist of the Year Award (formerly the Horizon Award), which recognizes excellence amongst Country's newest stars, to the prestigious Entertainer of the Year Award, the CMA Awards are presented annually in twelve categories. The event features performances from today's top artists and presentations from an array of Country stars and Hollywood celebrities. It's the one night of the year most anticipated by the Country Music industry and fans alike - dubbed "Country Music's Biggest Night(tm)". With its telecast of "The 43rd Annual CMA Awards" Nov. 11, 2009, ABC Television Network won the night in Total Viewers (17.2 million) and Adults 18-49 (5.3/14). For more information, visit [CMAawards.com](http://www.cmaawards.com) <<http://www.cmaawards.com>>=20

About the CMA Music Festival

The CMA Music Festival is the Ultimate Country Music Fan Experience. It's four days of non-stop action with more than 60 hours of live music, autograph signings, exhibits, sporting events, and late-night parties. Fans from every state and more than two dozen countries hit Nashville annually for this world-class event, which drew an average daily attendance of 56,000 in 2009 and has significantly increased each year since moving to its Downtown Nashville location. Highlights from the event are featured on a three-hour television special broadcast nationally on ABC Television Network. In 2009, "CMA Music Festival: Country's Night To Rock" garnered 7.4 million viewers and a 2.0/6 Adults 18-49 rating/share. For more information, visit [CMAfest.com](http://www.CMAfest.com) <<http://www.CMAfest.com>>=20

=20

-----=20

Joe Lenski

Executive Vice President

edison research

Tel: 908.707.4707 / Fax: 908.707.4740=20

www.edisonresearch.com

=20

Check out our newly redesigned web site @ www.edisonresearch.com
<<http://www.edisonresearch.com/>>=20

=20

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 13:38:46 -0700
Reply-To: Anne Pessala <anne.pessala@D3SYSTEMS.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Anne Pessala <anne.pessala@D3SYSTEMS.COM>
Subject: Sources for optimism indicator
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I am looking for background literature about the use of questions like "Do you think you are living better or worse than your parents were living when they were your age?" and "Do you think that your children will live better or worse than you are living?" as indicators of optimism in public opinion surveys. Any suggestions for papers or other resources where we may find some helpful information?

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 16:20:59 -0500
Reply-To: rday@rdresearch.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Richard Day <rday@RDRESEARCH.COM>
Organization: Richard Day Research
Subject: FW: interviewing
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Can someone please tell me...

What is the youngest age that does not require parental permission

for an on line interview

thanks.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 17:29:57 -0400

Reply-To: steve@mktginc.com

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Steven Gittelman <steve@MKTGINC.COM>

Organization: Mktg, Inc

Subject: Re: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

X-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:

<1788496C766C6542B3952BBEBA5C45F1059F51D177@EXMBX10.exchhosting.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

From our viewpoint there are many sides to attrition. In its most simplistic terms we see selective changes in demography and behavior. Clearly, those who are willing to hang around for years doing surveys may be demographically similar to their cohorts who abandon the process but they are clearly behaviorally different.

We believe that the only way to assure a good blend of respondents is to behaviorally pre-profile them, and then match the profiles to a standard.

Steven Gittelman, Ph.D. | President

Tel: 631.277.7000 | Cell: 631.466.6604

200 Carleton Avenue, East Islip, NY 11730

Steve@mktginc.com | www.MktgInc.com

Blog: www.SampleMetrics.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Jane Traub [mailto:JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM]
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 3:38 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

CASRO has a panel conference every year, the last one was in February. I did not attend, but there may have been some papers on this topic. Here is the link to the site:

http://www.casro.org/techform/2010-panelconf_recap.cfm#

Hope this helps,

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Dan Cox
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 12:45 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Minimizing Attrition in Panel Surveys

Hi All,

Can anyone point me to studies on or share their experience with minimizing participant attrition in panel surveys? Specifically I am trying to get an idea of the best practices currently being employed. This subject may have been discussed previously at some point, but searching my AAPOR archives I cannot find anything on it.

Thanks.

Daniel Cox
Research Director
Public Religion Research Institute

Phone: 202-435-0278
Email: dcox@publicreligion.org
www.publicreligion.org

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Mktg. Inc. is a Market Research Company located in East Islip, NY. This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the individual or entity to which they are addressed. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. Alternatively, you may also contact us via our website www.mktginc.com or by calling (631) 277-7000. Although Mktg. Inc attempts to sweep e-mail and attachments for viruses, it does not guarantee that either is virus-free or accepts no liability for any damage sustained as a result of viruses.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 16:30:14 -0500
Reply-To: "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Subject: Re: interviewing
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <00df01cadce1\$8b399700\$7400000a@rdresearch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Richard--

From the OHRP (Office of Human Research Protections): Generally, the law considers anyone under the age of 18 to be a child. OHRP further states that when the interviewee is a "child" (i.e., under 18), one needs to get assent of the child, and permission of the parents.

IRBs are supposed to follow these guidelines.

Hope this helps.

Ron

RONALD Z. SZOC, PhD | 703.934.3456-Office | 202.345.1085-Cell |

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Richard Day
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 5:21 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: FW: interviewing

Can someone please tell me...

What is the youngest age that does not require parental permission
for an on line interview

thanks.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 18:32:22 -0400

Reply-To: "LaToya R. Lang, Esq." <latoya.lang@MRA-NET.ORG>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "LaToya R. Lang, Esq." <latoya.lang@MRA-NET.ORG>

Subject: Re: interviewing

X-To: rday@rdresearch.com, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: <[00df01cadce1\\$8b399700\\$7400000a@rdresearch.com](mailto:00df01cadce1$8b399700$7400000a@rdresearch.com)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Richard,

Most state laws consider a minor until the age of majority as the age of 18. Therefore, CMOR recommends that parental consent is obtained to conduct online research for any minor under the age of 18

Best,

LaToya Lang

LaToya Rembert Lang, JD

General Counsel

The Marketing Research Association (MRA)

latoya.lang@mra-net.org

1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 775-5171

Fax: (888) 512-1060

<http://www.mra-net.org>

<http://www.youropinioncounts.org>

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Richard Day

Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 5:21 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: FW: interviewing

Can someone please tell me...

What is the youngest age that does not require parental permission

for an on line interview

thanks.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 18:48:00 -0400
Reply-To: "LaToya R. Lang, Esq." <latoya.lang@MRA-NET.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "LaToya R. Lang, Esq." <latoya.lang@MRA-NET.ORG>
Subject: Re: interviewing
X-To: rday@rdresearch.com, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <[00df01cadce1\\$8b399700\\$7400000a@rdresearch.com](mailto:00df01cadce1$8b399700$7400000a@rdresearch.com)>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Richard,

I also wanted to mention to you the law specific to contacting children online - the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA). The law applies to the online collection of personal information from children under 13. The rules spell out what a web site operator must include in a privacy policy, when and how to seek verifiable consent from a parent and what responsibilities an operator has to protect children's privacy and safety online.

While COPPA only applies to the collection of personal information from children under the age of 13, the age of majority in most of the U.S. is still 18, so respondents will mostly be living at home -- and still be considered children by society's standards. Therefore, obtaining parental consent is a best practice recommendation from CMOR.

Best,
LaToya Lang

LaToya Rembert Lang, JD
General Counsel
The Marketing Research Association (MRA)
latoya.lang@mra-net.org

1111 16th St. NW, Suite 120
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 775-5171
Fax: (888) 512-1060
<http://www.mra-net.org>
<http://www.youropinioncounts.org>

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Richard Day
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 5:21 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: FW: interviewing

Can someone please tell me...

What is the youngest age that does not require parental permission

for an on line interview

thanks.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 15 Apr 2010 23:11:32 -0400
Reply-To: Mike Mokrzycki <mike@MIKEMOKR.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Mokrzycki <mike@MIKEMOKR.COM>
Subject: Re: In Memoriam: James R. Beniger, 63
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <z2k57df0eb01004141336q9f9c38d5k3f3f99b4b4dcace1@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Jim Beniger's 1998 AAPOR presidential address, "Survey and Market Research

Confront Their Futures on the World Wide Web," is now available (including to non-members) at <http://poq.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/reprint/62/3/442> . Thanks to the Public Opinion Quarterly editors and our friends at Oxford Journals for making this happen.

Beniger's words, in his inimitable style, resonate remarkably today. It's fascinating to see where our field and our world are now compared with how he vividly envisioned things in 1998, as the Internet suddenly was becoming ubiquitous (and as AAPOR conference peered into the future with a plenary titled "The Market Research Industry in the Year 2010").

On the issue of non-probability online surveys - hotly debated to this day (see the AAPOR Online Panel Task Force's new report) - Beniger unapologetically defended sampling's theoretical underpinnings: "I do indeed genuflect before the laws of statistical inference." At the same time, online sampling constraints aside, he excitedly imagined ways Web-based data collection tools would help survey researchers do great new things.

I'm grateful that Jim, with his fervent interests, got to experience the dawn of the digital age.

Mike Mokrzycki

AAPOR Communications Chair 2009-10

p.s. This pragmatic plug for decency anchored the "AAPORNETiquette" guidelines Jim posted several times in this list's infancy:

Treat everyone on AAPORNET as you would someone you will see regularly for the rest of your life because--since we are all AAPOR members--you probably will.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Fri, 16 Apr 2010 15:32:33 -0400
Reply-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>
Subject: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8; format=flowed; delp=no
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Content-Disposition: inline

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Fri, 16 Apr 2010 13:03:58 -0700
Reply-To: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Jane Traub <JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: "colleen_porter@COX.NET" <colleen_porter@COX.NET>,
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <21205992.42.1271446353822.JavaMail.colleen_porter@127.0.0.1>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Oh my! She/he has my sympathies. You may want suggest they explain that the incentive is a necessary cost of doing the survey, just like postage or printing, it is not "payment for services" to the respondents. The respondents are not and should not be treated like employees of the university. Without incentives, survey salience will likely be the primary driver of the response and non response bias is a major concern. (Only the people MOST interested in the topic, or those who feel strongly negative about the topic, will respond.)

Most private companies do not collect SSI's for their respondents to send them

incentives, unless they are getting over \$600 (which they might in the case of a sweepstakes prize). Perhaps showing them the too-numerous to count studies that have been published by other universities, in which incentives were used, might sway them.

Good Luck!

Jane
Jane H. Traub
Sr. VP, Research
Scarborough Research
770 Broadway
NY NY 10003
(646) 654-8454
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Fri, 16 Apr 2010 15:08:09 -0500
Reply-To: "Trent D. Buskirk, Ph.D." <tbuskirk@SLU.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Trent D. Buskirk, Ph.D." <tbuskirk@SLU.EDU>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <21205992.42.1271446353822.JavaMail.colleen_porter@127.0.0.1>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello all.

We have the same issues here. Even after IRB approval, finance office refuses to let this go through. It makes it very tricky to do RDD surveys here. The way we have gotten around this is to sub-contract with a third party vendor who administers the incentive. Then you know that the names/ssn's etc. may not be released by the vendor anyway to protect confidentiality, so you can't get them anyway.

Hope this helps and know you are not alone in this insanity!

Trent Buskirk

Colleen Porter wrote:

- > A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the
- > best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance
- > token incentive.
- >
- > The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must
- > know the person's social security number, whether they are a university
- > employee, and citizenship status.
- >
- > I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but
- > to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and
- > losing sight of our mission.
- >
- > Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has
- > advice for my friend?
- >
- > (And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller
- > Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts
- > research.)
- >
- > Thanks,
- >
- > Colleen Porter

> Gainesville, FL

>

> -----

> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:

> aapornet-request@asu.edu

--

Trent D. Buskirk, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of Biostatistics

School of Public Health

Saint Louis University

3545 Lafayette Ave.

St. Louis, MO 63104

Office: 314-977-8127

Fax: 314-977-3234

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====

Date: Fri, 16 Apr 2010 17:17:47 -0500

Reply-To: Cynthia Nelson <cnelson@NIU.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Cynthia Nelson <cnelson@NIU.EDU>

Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:

<1788496C766C6542B3952BBEBA5C45F1059F563CBF@EXMBX10.exchhosting.com>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Disposition: inline

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

If by chance there is a token incentive that has the University logo, it has been allowed by our fiscal people under a different set of rules...there are

cost limits etc. Don't know if this would pass the fiscal people involved, or whether the University name recognition is something that would be an asset to your colleague's study.

Cynthia Nelson

College of Liberal Arts and Sciences

SSRI/Monat

Northern Illinois University

DeKalb, IL 60115

voice 815.753.1918

fax 815.753.2305

e-mail cnelson@niu.edu

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 16 Apr 2010 18:34:50 -0400
Reply-To: "O'Brien, Eileen" <Eileen.O'Brien@EIA.DOE.GOV>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "O'Brien, Eileen" <Eileen.O'Brien@EIA.DOE.GOV>
Subject: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

On behalf of a colleague, a question about conflicting race/ethnicity reports for the same person over the life of a panel study...

=20

Problem: In this study, a group of 2nd, 4th graders, and 9th graders were interviewed 3 years in a row. Over the life of the study, the answer to the race/ethnicity question changed. =20

Questions: Which answer should be retained? To the extent this occurs in other panels, what solution is applied in practice? Recommended in literature?

=20

More elements... =20

=20

1) Parent and child provide a different answer. =20

=20

For the youngest cohort (2nd graders), the parents provided the answer to this question for the first two years; in all other years and cohorts (4th grade and up), the answer was self reported. So yes, parent's answer and child's answers didn't necessarily agree.

=20

=20

2) Child reports at least two times and provides a different answer across rounds.

=20

=20

3) Oh boy, here are the response options-a contributing factor...

=20

White/Caucasian

Black/African American

Hispanic/Latino/a

Asian/Asian American

Native American

Biracial

Multiracial

Other ethnicity

=20

=20

Options considered...

=20

1) Some kind of hierarchy to assign "final" response? E.g., self, self most recent, self most common, parent most recent, parent most common, most common ...?

2) Retain all answers and run analysis to determine where it may matter.

3) ??

=20

Eileen=20

=20

=20

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Sat, 17 Apr 2010 12:24:32 -0400
Reply-To: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>
Subject: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: AAPORNET%201004162100009561.C274@LISTS.ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8

Eileen,

You describe an interesting problem. In the absence of a theoretical model of racial identification among young children to guide you, I would suggest examining the two simplest solutions:

1. Assign race based on the modal answer given by children and parents taken together [a good solution for topline and descriptives], or
2. [For more sophisticated analyses]: Calculate proportions giving each answer and treat exactly like dummy variables in analysis. Most children would be scored 1 for one category and 0 for all others. But a few students might score .67 for black and .33 for mixed race (0 on all others), for example. In multivariate models, these could still be interpreted in the usual way and this would allow you to retain the different responses as meaningful data.

Of course, the changes could themselves be interesting in their own right. Treating this as a challenge and not simply an obstacle to analysis would suggest that as a first step, the investigator should inspect the data to see when changes were most likely (e.g., following divorce or other changes in family composition). This might be as rewarding as "fixing" the problem!

- Eric

Date: Fri, 16 Apr 2010 18:34:50 -0400
From: "O'Brien, Eileen" <Eileen.O'Brien@EIA.DOE.GOV>
Subject: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study

Problem: In this study, a group of 2nd, 4th graders, and 9th graders were interviewed 3 years in a row. Over the life of the study, the answer to the race/ethnicity question changed.

Questions: Which answer should be retained? To the extent this occurs in other panels, what solution is applied in practice? Recommended in literature?

Eric Plutzer, Professor of Political Science
and Academic Director, Survey Research Center
The Pennsylvania State University

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sun, 18 Apr 2010 07:58:38 -0400
Reply-To: Shawna Avila <slstigge@SOUTHERN.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Shawna Avila <slstigge@SOUTHERN.EDU>
Subject: FW: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To: <58F0EA3F1AE0D04B8440FFF150A02DA2CC25E1E095@EXCH-MB-2.sau.southern.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I meant to send this idea to everyone also.

From: Shawna Avila
Sent: Sunday, April 18, 2010 7:57 AM
To: O'Brien, Eileen
Subject: RE: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study

These can be excellent results for someone working with identity. Latin American Studies gets into this a lot. It would fascinate one of us and we would be coming to you to find out how you were able to get such a range of answers. As you know, most people in the United States are mixed race. Virtually all Hispanics and African Americans are mixed race and many "Whites" are too, whether they know it or not. Society often forces them to pick one of their multiple races or picks one for them depending on how their skin color and features turn out. Thus between siblings, cousins, and other relatives there can be White, African Americans, Hispanics, Asians, Native Americans, etc. Parents sometimes have chosen one of the multiple races/ethnicities for their children. All of this can create identity conflicts within the child. These children are in the prime years for trying to figure out who they are. As they learn more about their family history (they are asking questions a lot of times when they are confused) and have more interactions with others and get to know themselves and American/World History better, their self-identities often change. Your study has been one of the few that I have seen that have visibly captured this phenomenon. Even if you do use some mathematical method to pick one racial/ethnic category for the children (even if you are choosing multiracial or biracial for them)for these children, it would be a disservice to them and to your study to not also discuss evolving identities.

Shawna Avila

From: AAPORNET [AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of O'Brien, Eileen [Eileen.O'Brien@EIA.DOE.GOV]
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 6:34 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study

On behalf of a colleague, a question about conflicting race/ethnicity reports for the same person over the life of a panel study...

Problem: In this study, a group of 2nd, 4th graders, and 9th graders were interviewed 3 years in a row. Over the life of the study, the answer to the race/ethnicity question changed.

Questions: Which answer should be retained? To the extent this occurs in other panels, what solution is applied in practice? Recommended in literature?

More elements...

1) Parent and child provide a different answer.

For the youngest cohort (2nd graders), the parents provided the answer to this question for the first two years; in all other years and cohorts (4th grade and up), the answer was self reported. So yes, parent's answer and child's answers didn't necessarily agree.

2) Child reports at least two times and provides a different answer across rounds.

3) Oh boy, here are the response options-a contributing factor...

White/Caucasian

Black/African American

Hispanic/Latino/a

Asian/Asian American

Native American

Biracial

Multiracial

Other ethnicity

Options considered...

- 1) Some kind of hierarchy to assign "final" response? E.g., self, self most recent, self most common, parent most recent, parent most common, most common ...?
- 2) Retain all answers and run analysis to determine where it may matter.
- 3) ??

Eileen

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 12:29:32 +0000
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>
Subject: Tea partiers and the Oklahoma City bombing
X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
In-Reply-To:
<1106839191.14574651271679949588.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

IN OKLAHOMA CITY, A NEW ANTI-GOVERNMENT WAVE=20

"Tea party protesters rally against what they view as excessive federal pow=
er, as the city prepares for the 15th anniversary of the bombing that kille=
d 168. ([Murrah Federal Building.]=20

=E2=80=9CFor two days, thousands of "tea party" members gathered on the Sta=
tehouse grounds shouting anti-government slogans and waving flags with slog=
ans such as "Don't Tread on Me" and "End the Fed!" A proposal expected to b=
e introduced soon in the Legislature to create a new state militia, out of =
the control of federal authorities and staffed by armed and uniformed volun=
teers, drew praise.=E2=80=9D=20

[http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/nationworld/la-na-oklahoma-city18-2010ap=
r18,0,461203.story=20](http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/nationworld/la-na-oklahoma-city18-2010ap=
r18,0,461203.story=20)

I remember a time when responsible, informed grown-ups were in charge of th=
e news. Now we have a cacophony of uninformed, irresponsible newscasters on=
the F-word channel such as one who continually preaches that Federal gover=
nment is spending Social Security surplus trust funds. (Surplus funds are i=
nvested in special obligation securities that earn interest upon redemption=
. What else should SSA do with surplus funds?) Motive: Another appeared on =
the cover of Forbes for earning \$13 million last year. Add to that an unsuc=
cessful VP candidate now setting a new standard for simple mindedness in Am=
erica.=20

There should be more "fact-check" questions in polls determining what peopl=
e believe to sort through the cacophony.=20

Nick Panagakis=20

Not to be distributed without permission.=20

----- Original Message -----=20

From: "Leo Simonetta" <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>=20

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU=20

Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2010 8:31:10 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central=20

Subject: Two tea party polls and a commentary=20

Poll Finds Tea Party Backers Wealthier and More Educated=20

By KATE ZERNIKE and MEGAN THEE-BRENAN=20

New York Times=20

[http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/15/us/politics/15poll.html?emc=3Dtnt&tntema=
=20](http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/15/us/politics/15poll.html?emc=3Dtnt&tntema=
=20)

il1=3Dy=20

or=20
<http://tinyurl.com/y62f5hy>=20

Tea Party supporters are wealthier and more well-educated than the=20
general public, and are no more or less afraid of falling into a lower=20
socioeconomic class, according to the latest New York Times/CBS News=20
poll.=20

The 18 percent of Americans who identify themselves as Tea Party=20
supporters tend to be Republican, white, male, married and older than=20
45.=20

SNIP=20

-----=20
AP/GfK poll=20

<http://www.ap-gfkipoll.com/pdf/AP-GfK%20Poll%20April%202010%20HC.pdf>=20
(Tea Party questions are page 32-33 of the pdf)=20

-----=20

Support for the Tea Party?=20
ABC News=20
April 15, 2010 8:48 AM=20

<http://blogs.abcnews.com/thenumbers/2010/04/support-for-the-tea-party.ht>=20
ml=20
or=20
<http://tinyurl.com/y66w87j>=20

Two new Tax Day polls underscore some of the vagaries of measurement,=20
especially, perhaps, when it comes to gauging support for a new and=20
still somewhat fuzzily understood political movement.=20

These surveys ask straightforward and almost identical questions - do=20
you consider yourself a supporter of the Tea Party movement, or not?=20
Their results, though, are strikingly different: In an AP/GfK poll, 31=20
percent of Americans identify themselves as Tea Party supporters. In a=20
CBS/NYT poll it's 18 percent.=20

--=20
Leo G. Simonetta=20
Director of Research=20
Art & Science Group=20
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101=20
Baltimore, MD 21209=20

-----=20
Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>=20

<http://www.aapor.org>=20
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .=20
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:=20
signoff aapornet=20
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.=20

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 09:04:13 -0400
Reply-To: "Painter, John S." <PainterJS@VMI.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Painter, John S." <PainterJS@VMI.EDU>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: A<21205992.42.1271446353822.JavaMail.colleen_porter@127.0.0.1>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe 600\$) in a given year that person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms. BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for participating in clinical trials, too.

I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this threshold. In cases where it was possible PI's recalculated the maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.

HTH,

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but

to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 08:12:35 -0500
Reply-To: Trent Buskirk <tbuskirk@SLU.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Trent Buskirk <tbuskirk@SLU.EDU>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <1A5D283567B2B847AF7F34E7B32F709F019796C4@EMAIL.vmi.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Does anyone know if the university is heavily research focused? It could be that the office of research services does not fully understand differences between survey and medical research. We have this issue at one university at which I worked in part until a few years ago- most all survey protocols that were health related had to receive full board from the medical IRB- the language for exempted survey research from AAPOR's website helped paved the way for changes in policy.

Could it be that the debate between survey incentives and study payment (i.e. for time, travel and supplies to participate in medical studies which often offer larger than "token" incentives) should be discussed with University officials? How do others feel about this? Does AAPOR have language to help researchers make the case that incentives for survey research (some of which can be paid up front) are not the same as payment for enrolling in studies (which are most often not paid until a later time in the study period?)

This is really interesting and I think a summary of this type of information could help researchers "fight the good fight!"

Trent Buskirk

Painter, John S. wrote:

> The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe 600\$) in a given year that person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms. BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for participating in clinical trials, too.

>
> I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this threshold. In cases where it was possible PI's recalculated the maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.

>
> HTH,

>
> John

>
> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter

> Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

>
> A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the
> best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance
> token incentive.

>
> The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must
> know the person's social security number, whether they are a university
> employee, and citizenship status.

>
> I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but
> to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and
> losing sight of our mission.

>
> Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has
> advice for my friend?

>
> (And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller
> Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts
> research.)

>
> Thanks,

>
> Colleen Porter
> Gainesville, FL

>
> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>
> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

--

Trent D. Buskirk, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Biostatistics
School of Public Health
Saint Louis University

Salus Center, 3rd Floor
3545 Lafayette Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63104

Voice: 314-977-8127
Fax: 314-977-3534

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 09:22:41 -0400
Reply-To: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: "Painter, John S." <PainterJS@VMI.EDU>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <1A5D283567B2B847AF7F34E7B32F709F019796C4@EMAIL.vmi.edu>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

John,

The threshold is \$600 from one "employer," so as long as a university doesn't not incent a person (not a household) more than \$600 in one calendar year there is no IRS implication for the respondent nor the payer. If the \$600 threshold in one year is exceeded than the payer needs to get a SS# from the payee (the respondent)and file the 1099.

So it appears that someone at your university does not understand the tax law or wasn't being straight-forward with you.

PJL

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:04 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe 600\$) in a given year that person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms. BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for participating in clinical trials, too.

I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this threshold. In cases where it was possible PI's recalculated the maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.

HTH,

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 09:38:47 -0400
Reply-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8; format=flowed; delp=no
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline

Well, another point being missed in all this by the tax people is that if an address-based sample is being used, the token incentive is being sent to an address with only a "dear resident" in the name line.

And for most of the folks I've heard from (I'll post a summary toward the end of the week, after some meetings about this issue), the IRB approval was not the problem; the catch was on the fiscal side of things.

Good to hear that those IRB resources on the AAPOR website are being used to good effect. We've also found them helpful (I just recommended them in a class last week!), so thanks again to the folks who made that happen.

Colleen

On Mon, Apr 19, 2010 at 9:12 AM, Trent Buskirk wrote:

> Does anyone know if the university is heavily research focused? It
> could be that the office of research services does not fully
> understand
> differences between survey and medical research. We have this issue

> at
> one university at which I worked in part until a few years ago- most
> all
> survey protocols that were health related had to receive full board
> from
> the medical IRB- the language for exempted survey research from
> AAPOR's
> website helped paved the way for changes in policy.
>
> Could it be that the debate between survey incentives and study
> payment
> (i.e. for time, travel and supplies to participate in medical studies
> which often offer larger than "token" incentives) should be discussed
> with University officials? How do others feel about this? Does AAPOR
> have language to help researchers make the case that incentives for
> survey research (some of which can be paid up front) are not the same
> as
> payment for enrolling in studies (which are most often not paid until
> a
> later time in the study period?)
>
> This is really interesting and I think a summary of this type of
> information could help researchers "fight the good fight!"
>
> Trent Buskirk
>
>
>
> Painter, John S. wrote:
>> The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In
>> the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold
>> (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe 600\$) in a given year that
>> person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the
>> university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms.
>> BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for
>> participating in clinical trials, too.
>>
>> I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most
>> cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this
>> threshold. In cases where it was possible PI's recalculated the
>> maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.
>>
>> HTH,
>>
>> John
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
>> Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
>> Subject: token incentives vs. university policies
>>
>> A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the
>> best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an

>> advance
>> token incentive.
>>
>> The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must
>> know the person's social security number, whether they are a
>> university
>> employee, and citizenship status.
>>
>> I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall,
>> but
>> to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and
>> losing sight of our mission.
>>
>> Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and
>> has
>> advice for my friend?
>>
>> (And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller
>> Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts
>> research.)

>> Thanks,
>>
>> Colleen Porter
>> Gainesville, FL
>>

>> -----
>> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching:
>> <http://www.aapor.org/>
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>> -----
>> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching:
>> <http://www.aapor.org/>
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>
>> --
>>

>> _____
> Trent D. Buskirk, Ph.D.
> Associate Professor of Biostatistics
> School of Public Health
> Saint Louis University
>
> Salus Center, 3rd Floor
> 3545 Lafayette Avenue
> St. Louis, MO 63104

>
> Voice: 314-977-8127
> Fax: 314-977-3534
>
> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 09:41:28 -0400
Reply-To: "Painter, John S." <PainterJS@VMI.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Painter, John S." <PainterJS@VMI.EDU>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<006401cadfc3\$64b2b2d0\$2e181870\$@net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Paul,

The university wanted the personal info "up-front" in order to keep a running tally of what a participant earned so that in the event that an individual's earnings did exceeded 600\$ a 1099 could be mailed. I believe the misunderstanding is from my incomplete explanation of events, not from the university being disingenuous.

Of course this also suggests a counter point in that a single researcher cannot know what a person might earn by participating in an assortment of university based research projects (which was the fiscal office's main point). This was a more difficult argument to counter, but I believe the social science IRB was able to work something out so this burden on survey research was avoided.

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Paul J Lavrakas PhD
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:23 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

John,

The threshold is \$600 from one "employer," so as long as a university doesn't not incent a person (not a household) more than \$600 in one calendar year there is no IRS implication for the respondent nor the payer. If the \$600 threshold in one year is exceeded than the payer needs to get a SS# from the payee (the respondent)and file the 1099.

So it appears that someone at your university does not understand the tax law or wasn't being straight-forward with you.

PJL

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:04 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe 600\$) in a given year that person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms. BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for participating in clinical trials, too.

I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this threshold. In cases where it was possible PI's recalculated the maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.

HTH,

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 10:12:53 -0400
Reply-To: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Paul J Lavrakas PhD <pjlavrak@OPTONLINE.NET>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: "Painter, John S." <PainterJS@VMI.EDU>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <1A5D283567B2B847AF7F34E7B32F709F019796C5@EMAIL.vmi.edu>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

John,

Again I say your university appears to be woefully ununiformed about the tax law as it pertains to the issue of paying incentives to respondents. The university has no right or need to know anything about a respondent's income from other sources in the matter of paying an incentive to someone. All that applies in terms of tax implications is whether or not the university is paying more or less than \$600 to any one person within a calendar year. That is what triggers whether a 1099 needs to be issued and a SS# gathered from the

respondent. At least that was my understanding as of 2007 when I learned a great deal about this issue while working for my former employer. I have no reason to believe that the tax law affecting this issue has changed since then.

PJL

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:41 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

Paul,

The university wanted the personal info "up-front" in order to keep a running tally of what a participant earned so that in the event that an individual's earnings did exceed 600\$ a 1099 could be mailed. I believe the misunderstanding is from my incomplete explanation of events, not from the university being disingenuous.

Of course this also suggests a counter point in that a single researcher cannot know what a person might earn by participating in an assortment of university based research projects (which was the fiscal office's main point). This was a more difficult argument to counter, but I believe the social science IRB was able to work something out so this burden on survey research was avoided.

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Paul J Lavrakas PhD
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:23 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

John,

The threshold is \$600 from one "employer," so as long as a university doesn't not incent a person (not a household) more than \$600 in one calendar year there is no IRS implication for the respondent nor the payer. If the \$600 threshold in one year is exceeded then the payer needs to get a SS# from the payee (the respondent) and file the 1099.

So it appears that someone at your university does not understand the tax law or wasn't being straight-forward with you.

PJL

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:04 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe 600\$) in a given year that person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms. BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for participating in clinical trials, too.

I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this threshold. In cases where it was possible PI's recalculated the maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.

HTH,

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 10:45:02 -0400
Reply-To: Aneta Genova <genovaa@INTERMEDIA.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Aneta Genova <genovaa@INTERMEDIA.ORG>
Subject: Job posting: International qualitative research manager
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

International Qualitative Research Manager:

=20

InterMedia Survey Institute-a global research, evaluation and consulting =
firm
specializing in media and communication-is seeking an experienced =
Qualitative
Research Manager who will be responsible for the management of all
qualitative research projects under InterMedia's contract to conduct =
global
audience/media research for the Broadcasting Board of Governors.=20

=20

This is a highly multifaceted position with duties including client
interface, research design and development, procedures oversight, =
project
management and scheduling and documentation review. In this role you =

will work closely with other senior managers and client liaisons in the coordination of people and projects across regions. You will work with regional and project managers to ensure client needs are met (and = surpassed!) and will ensure the teams maintain schedules and timelines set. You will support and contribute to project teams and provide knowledge, insight = and thought leadership based on your experience, and you will help to = enhance and develop new and creative qualitative methodologies for use under the contract.=20

=20

The ideal candidate will be a first-rate qualitative researcher with experience and genuine interest in audience attitudes and behavior in = the context of rapidly evolving media markets and information and = communication technologies, as well as someone who thrives on working on challenging = briefs and in challenging research environments around the world. Specifically, = we are seeking candidates with the following attributes:

=20

Key Requirements

Knowledge, Skills & Experience:

- * Proven track record as a qualitative researcher at research = agency, client company and/or NGO, including skills in qualitative design, moderation, analysis, reporting and debriefing
- * Experience running multi-country qualitative research programs = and projects, including initiating improvements in qualitative research = design, implementation and reporting
- * A minimum of 7 years relevant professional work experience=20
- * A minimum of a Bachelors Degree in political or social = science, market research, international affairs or related field
- * Knowledge and practical experience of all 'standard' = qualitative techniques - and an interest and willingness to help develop new methodologies, especially using new technologies

* A good understanding of other research techniques including quantitative research, and the ability to work confidently on mixed-methodology projects

* Genuine interest in media and new, emerging media in particular, in transitional and developing societies around the world, ideally with first-hand on-the-ground experience

* Evidence of impactful and insightful writing and presentation skills, combining multiple inputs

* High proficiency with word processing, graphics and presentation software

* Strong oral and written communication skills

* Proven supervisory, organizational, client relations, project and time management skills=20

* Ability to work to multiple and tight deadlines

* Proficiency in foreign languages desirable

* Ability to travel (approximately 15-20%)

=20

Personal Characteristics:

* Innate curiosity; passion for research, analysis and delivering insights to clients

* Commitment to quality and accuracy

* Team player; self-starter; shows initiative; works independently

* First-rate interpersonal skills

=20

The position is based in Washington, D.C. InterMedia provides a friendly work environment and a generous benefits package and salary commensurate with

experience. Qualified candidates should send a cover letter and resume to=20

qualmgrhr@intermedia.org or via fax# 866-500-4095.=20

=20

Please note that only shortlisted candidates will be contacted.

=20

=20

=20

EOE/M/F/V/D

=20

=20

4/10

=20

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY:

This e-mail and attachments may contain information that is =
confidential, privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure under =
applicable law. Dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail =
or the information contained herein by anyone other than the intended =
recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the =
message to the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you are not the =
addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have =
received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender of the e-mail =
immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and =
immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system. =
Thank you for your cooperation.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 09:39:22 -0500
Reply-To: "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Szoc, Ronald Z" <RSzoc@ICFI.COM>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <006a01cadfca\$66f26570\$34d73050\$@net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

John,

To amplify on what Paul said, here is the guide to 1099s from the IRS Web

site.

<http://www.irs.gov/efile/article/0,,id=98114,00.html>

Notice that the description under the 1099MISC, which is the form that would be used, the threshold is \$600. Also, you may mention to the University that the likelihood of any one subject/respondent/participant receiving more than \$600 from yours plus any other research study from the same University is effectively zero. (Here I am imagining that the amount of the incentive for participation is under \$50 or \$100 or so. If your incentive to any one individual is in the hundreds of dollars, then perhaps the university has some cause for concern. But again, the likelihood of multiple participations by any one person, is probably zero or close to it).

Hope this helps.

Ron

RONALD Z. SZOC, PhD | 703.934.3456-Office | 202.345.1085-Cell |

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Paul J Lavrakas PhD
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 10:13 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

John,

Again I say your university appears to be woefully ununiformed about the tax law as it pertains to the issue of paying incentives to respondents. The university has no right or need to know anything about a respondent's income from other sources in the matter of paying an incentive to someone. All that applies in terms of tax implications is whether or not the university is paying more or less than \$600 to any one person within a calendar year. That is what triggers whether a 1099 needs to be issued and a SS# gathered from the respondent. At least that was my understanding as of 2007 when I learned a great deal about this issue while working for my former employer. I have no reason to believe that the tax law affecting this issue has changed since then.

PJL

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:41 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

Paul,

The university wanted the personal info "up-front" in order to keep a running tally of what a participant earned so that in the event that an individual's earnings did exceeded 600\$ a 1099 could be mailed. I believe the

misunderstanding is from my incomplete explanation of events, not from the university being disingenuous.

Of course this also suggests a counter point in that a single researcher cannot know what a person might earn by participating in an assortment of university based research projects (which was the fiscal office's main point). This was a more difficult argument to counter, but I believe the social science IRB was able to work something out so this burden on survey research was avoided.

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Paul J Lavrakas PhD
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:23 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

John,

The threshold is \$600 from one "employer," so as long as a university doesn't not incent a person (not a household) more than \$600 in one calendar year there is no IRS implication for the respondent nor the payer. If the \$600 threshold in one year is exceeded than the payer needs to get a SS# from the payee (the respondent)and file the 1099.

So it appears that someone at your university does not understand the tax law or wasn't being straight-forward with you.

PJL

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:04 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe 600\$) in a given year that person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms. BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for participating in clinical trials, too.

I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this threshold. In cases where it was possible PI's recalculated the maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.

HTH,

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter

Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapor.net.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapor.net-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapor.net.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapor.net-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapor.net.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapor.net-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapor.net.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapor.net-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 11:29:58 -0400
Reply-To: "Painter, John S." <PainterJS@VMI.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Painter, John S." <PainterJS@VMI.EDU>
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<7F45C6A900F9D34ABE7B868B1E6897D702870D59@NTGEXMB04.icf-hq.icfconsulting.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Ron and Paul,

I'm afraid the issue here is my inability to explain things clearly given that what you are saying back to me is exactly what I intended to say to the list.

However, I would like to point out that it is entirely possible for an individual to participate in multiple research projects in a given year. Just take a look at the local/ student newspaper or walk around campus of any tier 1 research institute and you will find innumerable recruitment fliers, many offering some form of reimbursement which depending on the inconvenience can range from a few dollars to a couple thousand (e.g., cold remedy trials). My sense is that the fiscal office does not care very much about what a specific person earns for their participation in a single project, the fiscal office is concerned about an individual's cumulative compensation across all projects for a single year (thus they want to keep a running tally). The problem is the fiscal office confounded the relatively high compensation of medical trials / psychology experiments, etc. with the paltry few dollars of incentive involved with survey. Once this was explained they changed their policy.

BTW the university I am referring to is not my current employer.

Apologies for my failure to communicate this more clearly.

Best,
John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Szoc, Ronald Z
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 10:39 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

John,

To amplify on what Paul said, here is the guide to 1099s from the IRS Web site.

<http://www.irs.gov/efile/article/0,,id=98114,00.html>

Notice that the description under the 1099MISC, which is the form that would be used, the threshold is \$600. Also, you may mention to the University that the likelihood of any one subject/respondent/participant receiving more than \$600 from yours plus any other research study from the same University is effectively zero. (Here I am imagining that the amount of the incentive for participation is under \$50 or \$100 or so. If your incentive to any one individual is in the hundreds of dollars, then perhaps the university has some cause for concern. But again, the likelihood of multiple participations by any one person, is probably zero or close to it).

Hope this helps.

Ron

RONALD Z. SZOC, PhD | 703.934.3456-Office | 202.345.1085-Cell |

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Paul J Lavrakas PhD
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 10:13 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

John,

Again I say your university appears to be woefully uninformed about the tax law as it pertains to the issue of paying incentives to respondents. The university has no right or need to know anything about a respondent's income from other sources in the matter of paying an incentive to someone. All that applies in terms of tax implications is whether or not the university is paying more or less than \$600 to any one person within a calendar year. That is what triggers whether a 1099 needs to be issued and a SS# gathered from the respondent. At least that was my understanding as of 2007 when I learned a great deal about this issue while working for my former employer. I have no reason to believe that the tax law affecting this issue has changed since then.

PJL

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:41 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

Paul,

The university wanted the personal info "up-front" in order to keep a running tally of what a participant earned so that in the event that an individual's earnings did exceed \$600 a 1099 could be mailed. I believe the misunderstanding is from my incomplete explanation of events, not from the university being disingenuous.

Of course this also suggests a counter point in that a single researcher cannot know what a person might earn by participating in an assortment of university based research projects (which was the fiscal office's main point). This was a more difficult argument to counter, but I believe the social science IRB was able to work something out so this burden on survey research was avoided.

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Paul J Lavrakas PhD
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:23 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

John,

The threshold is \$600 from one "employer," so as long as a university doesn't not incent a person (not a household) more than \$600 in one calendar year there is no IRS implication for the respondent nor the payer. If the \$600 threshold in one year is exceeded then the payer needs to get a SS# from the payee (the respondent) and file the 1099.

So it appears that someone at your university does not understand the tax law or wasn't being straight-forward with you.

PJL

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 9:04 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe \$600) in a given year that person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms. BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for participating in clinical trials, too.

I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this threshold. In cases

where it was possible PI's recalculated the maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.

HTH,

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter

Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 11:36:04 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Distrust, Discontent, Anger and Partisan Rancor
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Distrust, Discontent, Anger and Partisan Rancor
<http://people-press.org/report/606/trust-in-government>

By almost every conceivable measure Americans are less positive and more critical of government these days. A new Pew Research Center survey finds a perfect storm of conditions associated with distrust of government - a dismal economy, an unhappy public, bitter partisan-based backlash, and epic discontent with Congress and elected officials.

SNIP

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 2010 12:10:15 -0700

Reply-To: Jonathan Jackson <j.p.jackson@LSE.AC.UK>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Jonathan Jackson <j.p.jackson@LSE.AC.UK>

Subject: LSE Summer School in Survey Methods and Analysis, 26 July - 13 August 2010

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

*** APOLOGIES FOR CROSS-POSTING ***

LSE Summer School in Survey Methods and Analysis

26th July - 13th August 2010

London School of Economics

We are pleased to announce the launch of an annual summer school in survey methodology, taught by faculty at the London School of Economics, University of Michigan, and National Centre for Social Research (NatCen).

This course develops an understanding of all of the key areas of survey methodology, from data collection to sampling, to questionnaire design and testing, to the analysis of subsequent data. In the first week the course

describes the different data collection methods that are used in surveys,

focusing on comparisons of major modes (face-to-face, telephone, and mail)

and alternative methods of data collection (diaries, administrative records,

direct observation, etc.). In the second week we address different aspects

of questionnaire design and question testing, providing hands-on experience

of designing questionnaires and survey questions. In the final week we consider how to analyse survey data, covering regression and latent variable

modelling.

The instructors are from the LSE's Methodology Institute, the University of

Michigan's Summer Institute in Survey Research Techniques, and National

Centre for Social Research's (NatCen) Questionnaire Development and Testing

Hub. The course is aimed at graduate students, early career academic researchers, researchers in the public and private sector, and

managers/commissioners of research in the public, private and third sectors.

Some background in basic quantitative analysis (such as descriptive statistics, statistical inference and simple linear regression) is helpful and expected, but no further specific knowledge is required.

Further details can be found here

(<http://www2.lse.ac.uk/study/summerSchools/summerSchool/courses/SurveyMethods.aspx>) or by contacting Jonathan Jackson at j.p.jackson@lse.ac.uk or tel: 0044 207 9557652.

Applications to the Summer School can be made here

(<http://www2.lse.ac.uk/study/summerSchools/summerSchool/applying/Home.aspx>).

Dr Jonathan Jackson

Senior Lecturer in Research Methodology

Methodology Institute

London School of Economics tel +44 (0)20 7955 7652

Houghton Street fax +44 (0)20 7955 7005

London WC2A 2AE, UK e-mail j.p.jackson@lse.ac.uk

Website:

<http://www2.lse.ac.uk/methodologyInstitute/whosWho/jpjackson@lse.ac.uk.aspx>

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 20 Apr 2010 19:02:28 +0100

Reply-To: Iain Noble <I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Iain Noble <I.Noble@WESTMINSTER.AC.UK>

Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: A<1A5D283567B2B847AF7F34E7B32F709F019796C4@EMAIL.vmi.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

In the UK it would only possibly count as 'earnings' if it was a conditional incentive, i.e. it could be interpreted as paying people to take part (and \$600 for a medical survey participant certainly looks that way to me). If it's a unconditional incentive then it's a gift not a payment for services. As well as being a lot more effective.

A trickier thing here is research with benefits (social security/welfare programme payments) recipients. The rules of these usually have a much lower weekly limit (< \$30) on earnings allowed before deductions from amounts paid. But of course the people who run these programmes often want to do research with the people on them and the researchers often want to use incentive payments. So the people in charge say that such payments don't count

Iain Noble
Research and Enterprise Service (RES)
University of Westminster
Room G1
4-12 Little Titchfield Street
London W1W 7UW
Â
Tel: 0207 911 5000 Ext 2651
Mobile: 0753 832 8523

This e-mail and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must not copy or show them to anyone, nor should you take any action based on them, other than to notify the error by replying to the sender

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Painter, John S.
Sent: 19 April 2010 14:04
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: token incentives vs. university policies

The rationale I was given for this issue pertained to taxation. In the event that a participant's earnings surpassed some threshold (can't recall exactly what it was--maybe 600\$) in a given year that person would be required to pay taxes on that money. Thus the university needed this info to track earnings and to mail 1099 forms. BTW this requirement for identifying info extended to payment for participating in clinical trials, too.

I believe survey researchers were successful in arguing that in most cases it was impossible for a survey participant to exceed this threshold. In cases where it was possible PI's recalculated the maximum possible payment to a few dollars below the threshold.

HTH,

John

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2010 3:33 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: token incentives vs. university policies

A university-based researcher wants to do a mail survey following the best practices laid out in Dillman et al., including sending an advance token incentive.

The university fiscal people won't allow this, they insist they must know the person's social security number, whether they are a university employee, and citizenship status.

I understand that some homeland security laws took effect last fall, but to hinder the conduct of research in this way seems hypervigilant and losing sight of our mission.

Hoping someone has successfully navigated those rapids already, and has advice for my friend?

(And yes, this is another example for my Merry-go-rounds vs. Roller Coasters stuff, an instance when administration directly impacts research.)

Thanks,

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

--
The University of Westminster is a charity and a company limited by guarantee. Registration number: 977818 England. Registered Office: 309 Regent Street, London W1B 2UW, UK

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 20 Apr 2010 16:56:44 -0700
Reply-To: "Patricia A. Gwartney" <pgwartney@GMAIL.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Patricia A. Gwartney" <pgwartney@GMAIL.COM>
Subject: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study
X-To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>

X-cc: Aliya Saperstein <asaper@uoregon.edu>, Andrew Penner <penner@uci.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Eileen,

The findings of one of my colleagues in the University of Oregon Sociology Department may assist your colleague. Aliya Saperstein is a social demographer whose research with Andrew Penner (UC-Irvine) suggests that race is a fluid status indicator, "not a fixed individual attribute."

" ... how individuals perceive their own race and how they are perceived by others depends in part on their social position. Using longitudinal data from a representative sample of Americans, we find that individuals who are unemployed, incarcerated, or impoverished are more likely to be seen and identify as black and less likely to be seen and identify as white, regardless of how they were classified or identified previously."

Here are two articles from their project:

Saperstein, Aliya and Andrew M. Penner. 2010. "The Race of a Criminal Record: How Incarceration Colors Racial Perceptions." *Social Problems* 57(1): 92-113.
<http://caliber.ucpress.net/doi/abs/10.1525/sp.2010.57.1.92>

Penner, Andrew M. and Aliya Saperstein. 2008. "How Social Status Shapes Race." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105(50): 19628-30.
<http://www.pnas.org/content/105/50/19628>

While these articles may not help your colleague with coding rules to force consistency, they may provide a theoretical rationale for keeping the data as they are, i.e., inconsistent.

Hope this helps.

Patty
Patricia A. Gwartney, Ph.D.
Professor and Head
Department of Sociology
University of Oregon
Eugene OR 97403-1291
tel: 541-346-5007
email: pgwartney@gmail.com
<http://sociology.uoregon.edu/faculty/gwartney.php>

Date: Fri, 16 Apr 2010 18:34:50 -0400

From: "O'Brien, Eileen"
<Eileen.O'Brien@EIA.DOE.GOV<Eileen.O%27Brien@EIA.DOE.GOV>
>

Subject: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study

On behalf of a colleague, a question about conflicting race/ethnicity reports for the same person over the life of a panel study...

Problem: In this study, a group of 2nd, 4th graders, and 9th graders were interviewed 3 years in a row. Over the life of the study, the answer to the race/ethnicity question changed.

Questions: Which answer should be retained? To the extent this occurs in other panels, what solution is applied in practice? Recommended in literature?

More elements...

1) Parent and child provide a different answer.

For the youngest cohort (2nd graders), the parents provided the answer to this question for the first two years; in all other years and cohorts (4th grade and up), the answer was self reported. So yes, parent's answer and child's answers didn't necessarily agree.

2) Child reports at least two times and provides a different

answer across rounds.

3) Oh boy, here are the response options-a contributing factor...

White/Caucasian

Black/African American

Hispanic/Latino/a

Asian/Asian American

Native American

Biracial

Multiracial

Other ethnicity

Options considered...

1) Some kind of hierarchy to assign "final" response? E.g., self, self most recent, self most common, parent most recent, parent most common, most common ...?

2) Retain all answers and run analysis to determine where it may matter.

3) ??

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2010 08:50:39 -0500
Reply-To: "Levy, Eric" <Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Levy, Eric" <Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM>
Subject: Re: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study
X-To: "Patricia A. Gwartney" <pgwartney@GMAIL.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<u2g35ee4f01004201656g1fc0ed97qc7a82549bb75ea39@mail.gmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I'm a first-time poster, so forgive me if I've done this incorrectly.

I've been watching this discussion with great interest, given the attention paid to this topic during the Census, and because many of us rely upon reported race or ethnicity information for so many things.

So, I was surprised and delighted to hear an NPR program related to this topic last night.

Both links below are from Tell Me More, hosted by Michel Martin. The first is lead-in story about Williams Syndrome, a genetic disorder that has the unusual effect of rendering its sufferers immune to distinctions of race and ethnicity.

<http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=126136775>

The second is an interview with the author of "Mixed", Kip Fulbeck from the University of California, Santa Barbara.

<http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=126136779>

I'm not sure this answers your questions, but it is an interesting context from which we might draw conclusions about what respondents think about this issue.

Eric

Eric S. Levy
Strategic Consulting Director,
Customer Experience & Loyalty Research
Maritz Research
W: (636) 827-4932
M: (314) 560-8909
eric.levy@maritz.com
<http://www.maritzresearch.com/>

-----Original Message-----

Date: Fri, 16 Apr 2010 18:34:50 -0400

From: "O'Brien, Eileen"
<Eileen.O'Brien@EIA.DOE.GOV<Eileen.O%27Brien@EIA.DOE.GOV>
>

Subject: conflicting race/ethnicity responses across a panel study

On behalf of a colleague, a question about conflicting race/ethnicity reports for the same person over the life of a panel study...

Problem: In this study, a group of 2nd, 4th graders, and 9th graders were interviewed 3 years in a row. Over the life of the study, the answer to the race/ethnicity question changed.

Questions: Which answer should be retained? To the extent this occurs in other panels, what solution is applied in practice? Recommended in literature?

More elements...

1) Parent and child provide a different answer.

For the youngest cohort (2nd graders), the parents provided the answer to this question for the first two years; in all other years and cohorts (4th grade and up), the answer was self reported. So yes, parent's answer and child's answers didn't necessarily agree.

2) Child reports at least two times and provides a different answer across rounds.

3) Oh boy, here are the response options-a contributing factor...

White/Caucasian

Black/African American

Hispanic/Latino/a

Asian/Asian American

Native American

Biracial

Multiracial

Other ethnicity

Options considered...

1) Some kind of hierarchy to assign "final" response? E.g., self, self most recent, self most common, parent most recent, parent most common, most common ...?

2) Retain all answers and run analysis to determine where it may matter.

3) ??

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

aapornet-request@asu.edu

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, any dissemination, publication or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. The sender does not accept any responsibility for any loss, disruption or damage to your data or computer system that may occur while using data contained in, or transmitted with, this e-mail.

If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail. Thank you.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2010 11:35:56 -0400
Reply-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>
Subject: Summary on advance (cash) token incentives and university policies
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks to all the folks who responded, both on- and off-line as well as the phone calls. Here is basically what I learned:

1. There is going to be a session on this topic at Field Director's this year, so that will be a great resource.
2. This is a common problem for a lot of university-based researchers. Nobody said they had issues with IRB on this topic, but lots of folks reported getting the procedure through IRB, but then hit the wall when it came to the fiscal folks.
3. Some universities have a set minimum below which a social security/signature is not required. The common dollar amounts I heard more than once were \$25, \$100, and \$300. That is my goal: \$25 would be fine.
4. Some (many?) researchers get around institutional intransigence on this issue by hiring an outside bulk mailing firm to both prepare the mailing and include the incentive. (Let's think about the privacy consequences of that

one.....)

5. Some IRBs have gotten involved in supporting the researchers and protecting the subjects, on the grounds that the payment requirements should not be more invasive and a threat to privacy than the research itself.

6. For people who do it themselves, strict cash handling procedures need to be followed. You need to have at least two people at all times from the time the check is picked up, to cashing it, to pickup/drop-off of envelopes to the mail or courier service. One place needed to have four people doing it so that three would be in the room at all times. Some places are picky about who does it--at least one salaried employee or faculty must be with it at all times (not hourly workers or students). For the paperwork, keep careful logs of the addresses to which they were sent, but on the university paperwork, specify: "This is a mailing and will not be reconciled." That way auditors can come over and see your paperwork, but you are not sending people's information through campus mail, to offices that don't really need to have it. You have to have a plan for money that is returned; once a week or \$100 is a common schedule; you need to specify how it is stored until returned, have a log, and possibly two people involved with returns.

7. Everyone learns this one way or another but just to mention....if you're preparing a mailing yourself, never seal the envelopes until the very end. New bills tend to stick together, and it is not uncommon to get to the end of a batch of 100 and realize you are short one, because two have stuck together!

8. It does seem that those of us at universities with medical centers have a tougher time of it, because of the reasons pointed out in the online discussion. There are lots of studies that pay hundreds of dollars, and there are people who participate in all kinds of studies, doing all kinds of things I personally would not (I used to work in a pain lab). Even though our informed consent forms say that they should let the researchers know about other studies, people routinely blow off that disclosure--even health care profession students who should know better! There are concerns about the quality of test results when you think you have a naive subject and do not, as well as the fiscal consequences.

9. One of the points often made in favor of advance incentives is that "even the feds do it." Indeed, a non-trivial percentage of federally sponsored surveys do include some sort of incentive. You might want to check out this report; I appreciated the distinction between honorarium and incentive.

http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/assets/omb/inforeg/pmc_survey_guidance_2006.pdf

10. I twitched every time someone said "paid" or "earnings" in this discussion.

We want social exchange, not a monetary exchange, so I try to avoid words like "earned" or "paid" when we talk about advance incentives It's a token thank-you, not payment per se.

11. Research has clearly shown that advance cash token incentives increase response rates. If a university fails to allow implementation of that "best practice," they are putting their researchers at a disadvantage in competing for grants.

12. For those who are allowed to do this, there is mutual respect and confidence between the research team and administrators. The researchers avoided being confrontational or dismissive of the administrators, and developed a relationship with the fiscal folks. When faced with someone who was uncooperative or had no authority, they smiled and said thank-you, then went up the line to someone else who could be of more help. However, in once place it took "months" to be allowed to do it, and lots of study timelines could not survive that delay. Of course lots of folks smiled at everyone and still got nixed by the tax lawyers. Smiling and being nice isn't a guarantee it will get approved, but failing to do so is pretty much a guarantee it will NOT. And it was a bit freaky to hear quotes from my "Merry-go-rounds and Roller Coasters" presentation quoted back to me, because it is such a common observation that courtesy and respect grease the wheels on this kind of undertaking.

13. I know that some homeland security laws took effect just last October that have greatly influenced university hiring practices. I don't know if that has had an effect on this type of thing, but just keep it in mind if someone tells you about a successful project three years ago or something.

14. Of course if cash is not allowed after all your efforts, other incentives may be considered: charitable donations, university logo paraphernalia, kid's books for surveys of parents, etc. (Although that classic chocolate square study would NOT work in Florida--it would quickly turn liquid, and we know about mailing liquids.)

Colleen

Colleen K. Porter, Coordinator
SE Center for Research to Reduce Disparities in Oral Health
"Taking the bite out of head and neck cancer"
<http://www.dental.ufl.edu/TakeTheBite/>
University of Florida, College of Dentistry
cporter@dental.ufl.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2010 11:45:25 -0400
Reply-To: Dan Cox <dcox@PUBLICRELIGION.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Dan Cox <dcox@PUBLICRELIGION.ORG>
Subject: Thank you
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=WINDOWS-1252; format=flowed; delpsp=yes
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Below is the summary of references that I received regarding the issue =20=

of minimizing attrition in panels surveys. Thank you everyone who =20
responded with helpful suggestions and references.

Best,

Dan

Kruse, Yelena, Mario Callegaro, J. Michael Dennis, Stefan Subias, Mike =20=

Lawrence, Charles DiSogra, and Trevor Tompson. 2009. Panel =20
Conditioning and Attrition in the AP-Yahoo! News Election Panel Study. =20=

Presented at the 2009 Annual Conference of the American Association =20
for Public Opinion Research.

Kruse, Yelena, Poom Nukulki, Mario Callegaro, and Melanie Thomas. =20
2009. The Effect of Email Invitation Customization on Survey =20
Completion Rates in an Internet Panel: A Meta-Analysis of 10 Public =20
Affairs Surveys. Presented at the 2009 Annual Conference of the =20
American Association for Public Opinion Research.

Hill, Daniel H. and Robert J. Willis, 2001. "Reducing Panel Attrition" =20=

The Journal of Human Resources, Vol. 36, No. 3, pp. 416-438).

Hauser, Robert M. "Attrition in the Long Run: The Wisconsin =20
Longitudinal Study" Working Paper, =
http://www.ssc.wisc.edu/~hauser/rmh_Attrition_080403a.pdf

Lavrakas, Paul J., Rosemary Holden, Ling-Miean Heng, Scott Bell, and =20
Agnieszka Flizik. "Personal Contact and Performance-Based Incentives =20
to Raise Long-term Panel Compliance and Reducing Missing Data." 2006 =20
AAPOR Paper

Cantor, David. Substantive Implications of Longitudinal Design Features: The National Crime Survey as a Case Study. in D. Kasprzyk, et al. (eds.), Panel Surveys. New York: John Wiley and Sons. (pp. 25-79).

Ahern, Kathy, and Robyne Le Brocque. 2005. Methodological Issues in the Effects of Attrition: Simple Solutions for Social Scientists. Field Methods 17 (1):53-69.

Castiglioni, Laura, Klaus Pforr, and Ulrich Krieger. 2008. The Effect of Incentives on Response Rates and Panel Attrition: Results of a Controlled Experiment. Survey Research Methods 3 (2):151-158.

Zagorsky, Jay L., and Patricia Rhoton. 2008. The Effects of Promised Monetary Incentives on Attrition in a Long-Term Panel Survey. Public Opinion Quarterly 72 (3):502-513.

Laurie, Heather. The effect of increasing financial incentives in a panel survey: An experiment on the British Household Panel Survey, Wave 14 2007.

Olsen, R. The Problem of Survey Attrition: Survey Methodology is Key. Monthly Labor Review 128(2005), 63-70.

Daniel Cox
Research Director
Public Religion Research Institute

Phone: 202-435-0278
Email: dcox@publicreligion.org
www.publicreligion.org

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
<http://www.aapor.org>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2010 11:42:40 -0400
Reply-To: colleen_porter@COX.NET
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET>

Subject: (philosophical) Re: Summary on advance (cash) token incentives
and university policies
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just a few points that I thought of later...

First, I neglected to address my original question of how university employees are treated. When I asked some folks, the response was along the lines of, "In their free time, they should be treated like any other respondent." Nobody volunteered that this is an issue for their institution.

Second, I do wonder if some of our thinking on this has gotten to the point of dogma. Don Dillman paid a visit to our university last week and one of the things he said in his talk was that the "culture" of the telephone has changed. And one might argue, the culture of the mailbox, and the computer, and so on.

If the culture has changed that much, then new research is needed. Desperately. Young people coming up shouldn't be shy about questioning the assumptions underlying work that was done 20 years ago. Experienced researchers with the reputation and ability to get funding for such methodological research can make a huge contribution by addressing emerging issues.

Those assumptions can be pervasive. Heck, in my report yesterday I recited dogma about social exchange theory, and do we even know that is operative nowadays? Do we "know" these things the way doctors "knew" that peptic ulcers are caused by stress? (Thinking back to my July 09 essay on "Radical Research")

It might actually be that nowadays actually paying respondents for their efforts is more effective...or some other dynamic that we haven't even thought about yet. That we don't have the vocabulary to describe yet.

And we shouldn't worry about insulting the scientists whose work came before. Yeah, they might be nice people, but if they are true scientists, they will welcome new ideas (as long as they are tested as rigorously as their own work!)

And guess what, folks? You know how RDD worked very well for a while, and is becoming obsolete? Well, whatever you are cooking up in your library carrel or RA cubicle, that's gonna win you student paper awards, help get you tenure, be very successful....and will eventually be replaced by the Next New Thing.

But we need it now. Think outside the box, think outside the rectangle. Look at our respondents today, who carry smart phones and make verbs out of all kinds of words. Focus on them, and the best way to reach them. Assume nothing. And then report your findings at AAPOR.

Colleen Porter
Gainesville, FL

---- Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@COX.NET> wrote:

>

> Thanks to all the folks who responded, both on- and off-line as well as the
> phone calls. Here is basically what I learned:

>

> 1. There is going to be a session on this topic at Field Director's this
year,

> so that will be a great resource.

>

> 2. This is a common problem for a lot of university-based researchers.

Nobody

> said they had issues with IRB on this topic, but lots of folks reported
getting

> the procedure through IRB, but then hit the wall when it came to the fiscal

> folks.

>

> 3. Some universities have a set minimum below which a social
security/signature

> is not required. The common dollar amounts I heard more than once were \$25,

> \$100, and \$300. That is my goal: \$25 would be fine.

>

> 4. Some (many?) researchers get around institutional intransigence on this

> issue by hiring an outside bulk mailing firm to both prepare the mailing and

> include the incentive. (Let's think about the privacy consequences of that

> one.....)

>

> 5. Some IRBs have gotten involved in supporting the researchers and
protecting

> the subjects, on the grounds that the payment requirements should not be
more

> invasive and a threat to privacy than the research itself.

>

> 6. For people who do it themselves, strict cash handling procedures need to
be

> followed. You need to have at least two people at all times from the time
the

> check is picked up, to cashing it, to pickup/drop-off of envelopes to the
mail

> or courier service. One place needed to have four people doing it so that
three

> would be in the room at all times. Some places are picky about who does it-
-at

> least one salaried employee or faculty must be with it at all times (not
hourly

> workers or students). For the paperwork, keep careful logs of the
addresses to

> which they were sent, but on the university paperwork, specify: "This is a

> mailing and will not be reconciled." That way auditors can come over and
see

> your paperwork, but you are not sending people's information through campus

> mail, to offices that don't really need to have it. You have to have a plan

for

> money that is returned; once a week or \$100 is a common schedule; you need

to

> specify how it is stored until returned, have a log, and possibly two people
> involved with returns.

>

> 7. Everyone learns this one way or another but just to mention....if you're
> preparing a mailing yourself, never seal the envelopes until the very end.

New

> bills tend to stick together, and it is not uncommon to get to the end of a
> batch of 100 and realize you are short one, because two have stuck together!

>

> 8. It does seem that those of us at universities with medical centers have
a

> tougher time of it, because of the reasons pointed out in the online
discussion.

> There are lots of studies that pay hundreds of dollars, and there are people
who

> participate in all kinds of studies, doing all kinds of things I personally
> would not (I used to work in a pain lab). Even though our informed consent
> forms say that they should let the researchers know about other studies,

people

> routinely blow off that disclosure--even health care profession students who
> should know better! There are concerns about the quality of test results

when

> you think you have a naive subject and do not, as well as the fiscal
> consequences.

>

> 9. One of the points often made in favor of advance incentives is that
"even

> the feds do it." Indeed, a non-trivial percentage of federally sponsored
> surveys do include some sort of incentive. You might want to check out this
> report; I appreciated the distinction between honorarium and incentive.

>

>

http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/assets/omb/inforeg/pmc_survey_guidance_2006.pdf

>

> 10. I twitched every time someone said "paid" or "earnings" in this
discussion.

> We want social exchange, not a monetary exchange, so I try to avoid words
like

> "earned" or "paid" when we talk about advance incentives It's a token thank-
you,

> not payment per se.

>

> 11. Research has clearly shown that advance cash token incentives increase
> response rates. If a university fails to allow implementation of that "best

> practice," they are putting their researchers at a disadvantage in competing
for

> grants.

>

> 12. For those who are allowed to do this, there is mutual respect and
> confidence between the research team and administrators. The researchers
> avoided being confrontational or dismissive of the administrators, and

developed

> a relationship with the fiscal folks. When faced with someone who was

> uncooperative or had no authority, they smiled and said thank-you, then went up
> the line to someone else who could be of more help. However, in once place it
> took "months" to be allowed to do it, and lots of study timelines could not survive that delay. Of course lots of folks smiled at everyone and still got
> nixed by the tax lawyers. Smiling and being nice isn't a guarantee it will get
> approved, but failing to do so is pretty much a guarantee it will NOT. And it
> was a bit freaky to hear quotes from my "Merry-go-rounds and Roller Coasters"
> presentation quoted back to me, because it is such a common observation that
> courtesy and respect grease the wheels on this kind of undertaking.
>
> 13. I know that some homeland security laws took effect just last October that
> have greatly influenced university hiring practices. I don't know if that has
> had an effect on this type of thing, but just keep it in mind if someone tells
> you about a successful project three years ago or something.
>
> 14. Of course if cash is not allowed after all your efforts, other incentives
> may be considered: charitable donations, university logo paraphernalia, kid's
> books for surveys of parents, etc. (Although that classic chocolate square
> study would NOT work in Florida--it would quickly turn liquid, and we know about
> mailing liquids.)
>
> Colleen
>
> Colleen K. Porter, Coordinator
> SE Center for Research to Reduce Disparities in Oral Health
> "Taking the bite out of head and neck cancer"
> <http://www.dental.ufl.edu/TakeTheBite/>
> University of Florida, College of Dentistry
> cporter@dental.ufl.edu
>
> -----
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> <http://www.aapor.org>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2010 09:33:43 -0700
Reply-To: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>
Subject: international demographics
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi,

I'm about to field a web survey to a membership base that has members all over the globe. Most live in the US but many live in Europe, Africa, Asia, Australia and South America. I'm looking for suggestions on how to ask where they live without it being a 150 country pull-down, while also making sure I don't 'diss' those who live in, say, Ghana or Thailand, by lumping them all together. For the US, I'd like to ask zipcode.

I can see something like:

What country do you live in? [select one: US, Europe, etc.]

...which then skips to either zipcode for US or specific country pull-downs for the continents, i.e.,

If US, what zipcode? _ _ _ _ _

Thoughts?

Thanks!
Leora

Dr. Leora Lawton
TechSociety Research
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572
www.techsociety.com
Yahoo Messenger: leora_lawton

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2010 09:48:41 -0700
Reply-To: Christopher Moore <chrismoore77@YAHOO.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Christopher Moore <chrismoore77@YAHOO.COM>
Subject: Data collection services in Africa/Zambia

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <6EABBF6A0BFE4E7D9FB1BCB6DCACBC49@dell2005>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hello all:

I am seeking recommendations for a vendor who can collect quantitative data in Zambia.

This work will be a combination of urban/rural and elite/gen-pop samples.

Thanks in advance!

Regards,
Chris

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2010 14:47:41 -0500
Reply-To: "Levy, Eric" <Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Levy, Eric" <Eric.Levy@MARITZ.COM>
Subject: Re: international demographics
X-To: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: A<6EABBF6A0BFE4E7D9FB1BCB6DCACBC49@dell2005>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Leora,

I like a version of your solution:

In the first question:

Which region do you live in? (North America, Central America, South America, Europe, Asia, Pacifica, etc.)

Based on the answer, you can then have a contextual drop-down that only covers the countries in that region. It's still a lot of countries, but it's better than alienating your respondents from Liechtenstein or Nauru...

For the US respondents, you can then ask zip code.

Eric S. Levy
Strategic Consulting Director,
Customer Experience & Loyalty Research

Maritz Research
W: (636) 827-4932
M: (314) 560-8909
eric.levy@maritz.com
<http://www.maritzresearch.com/>

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leora Lawton
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2010 11:34 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: international demographics

Hi,

I'm about to field a web survey to a membership base that has members all over the globe. Most live in the US but many live in Europe, Africa, Asia, Australia and South America. I'm looking for suggestions on how to ask where they live without it being a 150 country pull-down, while also making sure I don't 'diss' those who live in, say, Ghana or Thailand, by lumping them all together. For the US, I'd like to ask zipcode.

I can see something like:

What country do you live in? [select one: US, Europe, etc.]

...which then skips to either zipcode for US or specific country pull-downs for the continents, i.e.,

If US, what zipcode? _ _ _ _ _

Thoughts?

Thanks!
Leora

Dr. Leora Lawton
TechSociety Research
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572
www.techsociety.com Yahoo Messenger: leora_lawton

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this e-mail

is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, any dissemination, publication or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. The sender does not accept any responsibility for any loss, disruption or damage to your data or computer system that may occur while using data contained in, or transmitted with, this e-mail.

If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail. Thank you.

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2010 16:01:40 -0400
Reply-To: Micheline Blum <micheline.blum@BARUCH.CUNY.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Micheline Blum <micheline.blum@BARUCH.CUNY.EDU>
Subject: Incidence of legal blindness in NY
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Baruch's School of Public Affairs and our Computer Center for Visually Impaired People are trying to find out the number of legally blind individuals in New York City. We wondered if anyone in AAPOR has done a survey-or knows of a survey-of New York City or New York State, which asked about blindness, visual impairment, or vision in general.=20

=20

We believe that most research to date has used national rates of blindness to estimate the incidence in states and cities. We would like to get better numbers for New York. Ultimately, we would like to be able to determine what proportion of the blind and visually impaired population in New York is currently receiving services. =20

=20

Thanks in advance.

=20

Mickey=20

=20

=20

Micheline Blum

Director

Baruch College Survey Research

School of Public Affairs

Baruch College/CUNY

646-660-6795

micheline.blum@baruch.cuny.edu

=20

=20

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2010 14:51:34 -0700
Reply-To: Royce Park <npark@UCLA.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Royce Park <npark@UCLA.EDU>
Subject: Survey Methodologist position at UCLA
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

DATA QUALITY & SURVEY METHODOLOGY MANAGER
California Health Interview Survey (CHIS)

Job Description:

The UCLA Center for Health Policy Research (Center) has an outstanding=20=

career opportunity for a California Health Interview Survey (CHIS) Data=20=

Quality and Survey Methodology Manager (Manager). CHIS is one of the=20
nation's largest ongoing health surveys and is conducted by the Center in=

=20
collaboration with the multiple state agencies and private organizations.=

=20
The Center, which was established in 1994, is the premier source of healt=

h=20
policy information for California.=20

The Manager will have primary responsibility for CHIS survey methodology,=
=20

and will conduct studies to evaluate data quality for peer review journal=

=20
publications. The Manager may also consult on related issues for other=20=

surveys conducted and used at the Center.

Responsibilities:

=E2=80=A2Plan, evaluate, assess, and document issues associated with CHIS=
data=20

quality=20

=E2=80=A2Develop sample design, post-survey statistical activities, data =
analysis,=20

and other data and estimate issues in collaboration with statisticians=20=

=E2=80=A2Collaborate and consult on quality control issues, improvement o=
f survey=20

methodology, and changes to survey methodology for future CHIS cycles=20

=E2=80=A2Maintain the data quality section on the CHIS website=20=20

=E2=80=A2Supervise research and technical assistance staff=20

=E2=80=A2Author and co-author methodology reports, technical documents an=
d peer-

reviewed journal articles=20

=E2=80=A2Assist with post-survey data production activities, including we=
ighting=20

and imputation and the evaluation of weights and imputation methods

Qualifications:

=E2=80=A2Graduate degree in survey methodology, social science or related=
field

=E2=80=A2Demonstrated experience working with complex surveys and large d=
ata sets

=E2=80=A2Experienced in survey data development, weighting, imputation, r=
esponse=20

rate calculation, variable construction, data file management, technical=20=

documentation and quality control

=E2=80=A2Excellent writing and analytical skills and oral presentation sk=
ills

=E2=80=A2Demonstrated knowledge of statistical methods and data collectio=
n=20

techniques related to the administration of survey projects

=E2=80=A2Proficient in statistical programming (SAS, SUDAAN, Stata, SPSS)=
and data=20

management programs

=E2=80=A2Supervisory experience. Demonstrated ability to develop, plan an=
d direct=20

the work of support staff

Compensation:=20

Full-time, career position. Salary range: \$5067 - \$9125 monthly. Salary=20=

commensurate with experience. Excellent benefits. EOE.=20

How to Apply:

Go to <https://hr.mycareer.ucla.edu> and search for Requisition #14352. If=20=

you have questions regarding this position that are not addressed in the=20=

complete online job description, contact Karen Markus at kmarkus@ucla.edu=

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 23 Apr 2010 09:23:03 -0400
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Obama and place of birth (New York Times/CBS News poll)
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Obama and the 'Birthers' in the Latest Poll

By DALIA SUSSMAN AND MARINA STEFAN

<http://thecaucus.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/04/21/obama-and-the-birthers-in-the-latest-poll/?scp=1&sq=birther&st=cse>

or

<http://tinyurl.com/27ocrcc>

President Obama was born in Hawaii on Aug. 4, 1961. A scanned image of his birth certificate released during the 2008 presidential campaign says he was, and Hawaii's health director and its registrar of vital statistics have confirmed it.

Despite all that, a substantial number of Americans are not convinced. In a recent New York Times/CBS News poll, 58 percent said Mr. Obama was born in the United States. That leaves a significant minority who said they thought he was born in another country (20 percent) or said they did not know (23 percent). (Question No. 50 in the poll.)

SNIP

<Third attempt first two got bounced by AAPORnet filters>

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 23 Apr 2010 09:50:14 -0400

Reply-To: Melissa Marcello <mmarcello@PURSUANTRESEARCH.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Melissa Marcello <mmarcello@PURSUANTRESEARCH.COM>

Subject: Re: Obama and place of birth (New York Times/CBS News poll)

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To:

<3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E521684FE7DF6@exchange.local.artscience.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I wonder if these same people aren't convinced that Hawaii is really a state. Now that would be an interesting question to ask on a survey...

There's a lot of "junk" swirling around the Internet suggesting it is not.

Here is one crude example:

<http://pajamasmedia.com/blog/birthers-have-a-point-is-hawaii-really-a-state/>

Melissa Marcello

President

pursuant research

DATA - DRIVEN STRATEGY

1701 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Suite 300, PMB 386

Washington, DC 20006

d: 202.887.0070

c: 202.352.7462

f: 800.567.1723

mmarcello@pursuantresearch.com

Visit our Website at www.pursuantresearch.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta

Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 9:23 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Obama and place of birth (New York Times/CBS News poll)

Obama and the 'Birthers' in the Latest Poll

By DALIA SUSSMAN AND MARINA STEFAN

<http://thecaucus.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/04/21/obama-and-the-birthers-in-the-latest-poll/?scp=1&sq=birther&st=cse>

or

<http://tinyurl.com/27ocrcc>

President Obama was born in Hawaii on Aug. 4, 1961. A scanned image of his birth certificate released during the 2008 presidential campaign says he was, and Hawaii's health director and its registrar of vital statistics have confirmed it.

Despite all that, a substantial number of Americans are not convinced. In a recent New York Times/CBS News poll, 58 percent said Mr. Obama was born in the United States. That leaves a significant minority who said they thought he was born in another country (20 percent) or said they did not know (23 percent). (Question No. 50 in the poll.)

SNIP

<Third attempt first two got bounced by AAPORnet filters>

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Research
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/Archives>: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/Archives>: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send this: set aapornet mail
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Fri, 23 Apr 2010 13:27:14 -0500
Reply-To: Mary.Losch@uni.edu
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mary Losch <Mary.Losch@UNI.EDU>
Subject: Re: Survey Methodologist Position at University of Northern Iowa
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: Quoted-printable

The University of Northern Iowa Center for Social and Behavioral Research =
is seeking a

survey methodologist. The full position notice appears below.

~~~~~

University of Northern Iowa  
Research Associate/CSBR  
Center for Social and Behavioral Research (CSBR)

Provides support for all aspects of research activities in the CSBR; assists with the design of projects including developing sampling and data analysis plans, constructing data collection instruments, managing large data sets, creating case weights, and performing advanced statistical analysis; prepares reports and gives presentations; supervises and trains student staff; and develops research projects and grant proposals. Master's degree in social sciences or survey methods plus at least two years of survey research experience and data analysis for quantitative social research projects required. At least one year of training, mentoring, or supervising students also required. Student experience will be considered. Experience as the principal or co-investigator on survey research projects and experience related to public health, health promotion, program evaluation, or another area consistent with CSBR's research agenda is preferred. Criminal and other relevant background checks required. The University offers an excellent benefits package including a generous vacation plan, TIAA-CREF, health and dental insurance, and life and disability insurance. For details, visit [www.uni.edu/hrs/benefits](http://www.uni.edu/hrs/benefits). Application materials received by May 21, 2010 will be given first consideration. Additional information provided upon request (319) 273-3424. Send cover letter, resume, and names, addresses, and telephone numbers of three references to:

Research Associate/CSBR Search Chair  
Human Resources, 027 Gilchrist, UNI  
Cedar Falls, Iowa 50614-0034.

Fax (319) 273-2927. Email: [HRS-Applications@uni.edu](mailto:HRS-Applications@uni.edu) URL: [www.uni.edu](http://www.uni.edu)  
UNI is a smoke free campus.

AA/EEO

-----  
Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Fri, 23 Apr 2010 14:28:59 -0400

Reply-To: Rich Clark <clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Rich Clark <clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU>

Subject: Re: Obama and place of birth (New York Times/CBS News poll)

X-To: Melissa Marcello <mmarcello@PURSUANTRESEARCH.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: <000b01cae2eb\$e69496d0\$b3bdc470\$@com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I wouldn't call this article "junk". It is political satire, and while it is not particularly good, IMHO, it suggests the readiness of the public to accept any wild conspiracy theory. I think, however, that we, the public, are more likely to only accept those theories that mesh with our greater hopes or world views. While those on the left can believe the vilest of accusations against Bush and Cheney, they are likely to see the Birthers as kooks; at the same time, the Birthers are not necessarily on board with the accusations made against the previous administration.

--Rich Clark

On 4/23/2010 9:50 AM, Melissa Marcello wrote:

> I wonder if these same people aren't convinced that Hawaii is really a  
> state. Now that would be an interesting question to ask on a survey...  
> There's a lot of "junk" swirling around the Internet suggesting it is not.  
> Here is one crude example:  
> <http://pajamasmedia.com/blog/birthers-have-a-point-is-hawaii-really-a-state/>

>

>

> Melissa Marcello

> President

> pursuant research

> DATA - DRIVEN STRATEGY

>

> 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

> Suite 300, PMB 386

> Washington, DC 20006

> d: 202.887.0070

> c: 202.352.7462

> f: 800.567.1723

> mmarcello@pursuantresearch.com

>

> Visit our Website at [www.pursuantresearch.com](http://www.pursuantresearch.com)

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta

> Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 9:23 AM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Obama and place of birth (New York Times/CBS News poll)  
>  
> Obama and the 'Birthers' in the Latest Poll  
>  
> By DALIA SUSSMAN AND MARINA STEFAN  
>  
> <http://thecaucus.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/04/21/obama-and-the-birthers-in-the-latest-poll/?scp=1&sq=birther&st=cse>  
> or  
> <http://tinyurl.com/27ocrcc>  
>  
>  
>  
> President Obama was born in Hawaii on Aug. 4, 1961. A scanned image of  
> his birth certificate released during the 2008 presidential campaign  
> says he was, and Hawaii's health director and its registrar of vital  
> statistics have confirmed it.  
>  
> Despite all that, a substantial number of Americans are not convinced.  
> In a recent New York Times/CBS News poll, 58 percent said Mr. Obama was  
> born in the United States. That leaves a significant minority who said  
> they thought he was born in another country (20 percent) or said they  
> did not know (23 percent). (Question No. 50 in the poll.)  
>  
> SNIP  
>  
> <Third attempt first two got bounced by AAPORnet filters>  
> --  
> Leo G. Simonetta  
> Director of Research  
> Art& Science Group  
> 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
> Baltimore, MD 21209  
>  
> -----  
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
> -----  
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

--

---

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D  
Division of Government Services& Research  
Carl Vinson Institute of Government  
University of Georgia  
201 N. Milledge Avenue  
Athens, GA 30602

Office phone: (706) 542-9404  
Email address: [clark@cviog.uga.edu](mailto:clark@cviog.uga.edu)

-----  
Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 23 Apr 2010 17:47:34 -0400  
Reply-To: Rich Clark <[clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU](mailto:clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Rich Clark <[clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU](mailto:clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU)>  
Subject: SPSS Data Collection  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I am interested in a software solution that will allow us to develop multi-mode surveys (for paper and web) with one software package, and I'm looking at SPSS Data Collection software and something called Surveycraft (I'm not clear what the difference is).

While I'm sure that I can have an SPSS/IBM representative describe the products to me, I would be grateful if anyone with experience in any of these products would contact me offline to share briefly your experience with SPSS data collection.

--Rich Clark

--

---

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D  
Division of Government Services& Research  
Carl Vinson Institute of Government  
University of Georgia  
201 N. Milledge Avenue  
Athens, GA 30602

Office phone: (706) 542-9404

Email address: [clark@cviog.uga.edu](mailto:clark@cviog.uga.edu)

-----  
Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Mon, 26 Apr 2010 18:38:59 -0400  
Reply-To: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Subject: Re: international demographics  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To:  
<[6A92EDDF1A68194891850EAE89BBF369055BA82B@FENEXCH1602C.us.maritz.net](mailto:6A92EDDF1A68194891850EAE89BBF369055BA82B@FENEXCH1602C.us.maritz.net)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="=====[AVGMAIL-2FC97EF1](#)====="

--=====[AVGMAIL-2FC97EF1](#)=====

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

You might also consider using a simple click map for selection of region.  
That can work well and is very easy for respondents.

---  
Mike Donatello  
Director, Research  
USA TODAY  
7950 Jones Branch Dr., McLean, VA 22108  
V 703.854.4572 F 703.854.2165  
[mdonatello@usatoday.com](mailto:mdonatello@usatoday.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Levy, Eric  
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2010 3:48 PM  
To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Subject: Re: [AAPORNET] international demographics

Leora,

I like a version of your solution:

In the first question:

Which region do you live in? (North America, Central America, South America, Europe, Asia, Pacifica, etc.)

Based on the answer, you can then have a contextual drop-down that only covers the countries in that region. It's still a lot of countries, but it's better than alienating your respondents from Liechtenstein or Nauru...

For the US respondents, you can then ask zip code.

Eric S. Levy  
Strategic Consulting Director,  
Customer Experience & Loyalty Research  
Maritz Research  
W: (636) 827-4932  
M: (314) 560-8909  
eric.levy@maritz.com  
<http://www.maritzresearch.com/>

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leora Lawton  
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2010 11:34 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: international demographics

Hi,

I'm about to field a web survey to a membership base that has members all over the globe. Most live in the US but many live in Europe, Africa, Asia, Australia and South America. I'm looking for suggestions on how to ask where they live without it being a 150 country pull-down, while also making sure I don't 'diss' those who live in, say, Ghana or Thailand, by lumping them all together. For the US, I'd like to ask zipcode.

I can see something like:

What country do you live in? [select one: US, Europe, etc.]

...which then skips to either zipcode for US or specific country pull-downs for the continents, i.e.,

If US, what zipcode? \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

Thoughts?

Thanks!  
Leora

Dr. Leora Lawton  
TechSociety Research  
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"  
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704

(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572  
www.techsociety.com Yahoo Messenger: leora\_lawton

-----  
Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, any dissemination, publication or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. The sender does not accept any responsibility for any loss, disruption or damage to your data or computer system that may occur while using data contained in, or transmitted with, this e-mail.  
If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail. Thank you.

-----  
Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2830 - Release Date: 04/25/10 02:31:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2836 - Release Date: 04/26/10 14:27:00

-----  
Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

--=====AVGMAIL-2FC97EF1=====

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="=====AVGMAIL-24EC5787====="

--=====AVGMAIL-24EC5787=====

Content-Type: text/plain; x-avg=cert; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Disposition: inline



Content-Description: "Certification"

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2837 - Release Date: 04/26/10 14:=  
27:00

-----  
Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

--=====AVGMAIL-24EC5787=====--

--=====AVGMAIL-2FC97EF1=====--

-----  
Date: Wed, 28 Apr 2010 17:26:02 -0400  
Reply-To: "Mulrow, Jeri M." <[jmulrow@NSF.GOV](mailto:jmulrow@NSF.GOV)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Mulrow, Jeri M." <[jmulrow@NSF.GOV](mailto:jmulrow@NSF.GOV)>  
Subject: NSF Job Announcement -- Deputy Division Director,  
Division of Science Resources Statistics  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The National Science Foundation's Division of Science Resources  
Statistics is seeking candidates for the position of Deputy Division  
Director. =20

=20

The Deputy Division Director is a Senior Executive Service (SES)  
permanent position with a salary range of \$155,500 to \$172,373. =20

=20

The Deputy, in collaboration with the Division Director, provides  
overall strategic planning and day-to-day management of the division,  
leadership and guidance to staff, determines funding requirements, and  
oversees the Division's contracts and budget. In addition, the Deputy  
fosters partnerships with other Divisions, Directorates, Federal  
agencies, scientific organizations, international bodies, relevant  
professional organizations and the academic community.

=20

Job announcement number: S20100055-C with position requirements and  
application procedures is located at:

<http://jobview.usajobs.gov/GetJob.aspx?OPMControl=3D1891019&org=3DNSF>  
<<http://jobview.usajobs.gov/GetJob.aspx?OPMControl=3D1891019&org=3DNSF>> =

=20

Applicants may obtain the announcements by contacting Shirley Craig at  
703-292-4367. =20

=20

Applications must be received by May 28, 2010. =20

=20

=20

\*\*\*\*\*

Jeri Mulrow

Senior Mathematical Statistician

Division of Science Resources Statistics

National Science Foundation

703-292-4784

\*\*\*\*\*

P Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 13:02:42 +0200  
Reply-To: "Dr.Thomas Petersen" <[tpetersen@IFD-ALLENSBACH.DE](mailto:tpetersen@IFD-ALLENSBACH.DE)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Dr.Thomas Petersen" <[tpetersen@IFD-ALLENSBACH.DE](mailto:tpetersen@IFD-ALLENSBACH.DE)>  
Subject: Diesel drivers in the US  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v624)  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; delpsp=yes; format=flowed

Dear All,

I have been asked by a customer whether there are any informations =20  
about the (potential) drivers of Diesel cars in the U.S. available, for =20=

example from market-media studies. Can anybody give me advice here?

Thank you

Thomas Petersen

Dr. Thomas Petersen  
Institut für Demoskopie Allensbach  
Radolfzeller Straße 8  
78476 Allensbach

Telefon: +49 7533 805-0  
Telefax: +49 7533 3048  
<http://www.ifd-allensbach.de>  
E-Mail: [tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de](mailto:tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de)

GmbH mit Sitz in 78476 Allensbach (HRB 380016 Amtsgericht Freiburg) =20  
USt.-IdNr. DE 142312042  
Geschäftsführer: Univ. Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Elisabeth Noelle, Prof. =  
Dr. =20  
Renate Kähler

\*\*\*\*\*=20=

\*\*\*\*\*

Diese E-Mail kann vertrauliche und/oder rechtlich geschützte =20  
Informationen enthalten.  
Wenn Sie nicht der richtige Adressat sind oder diese E-Mail irrtümlich =  
erhalten  
haben, informieren Sie bitte sofort den Absender und vernichten Sie =20  
diese E-Mail. Das  
unerlaubte Kopieren sowie die unbefugte Weitergabe dieser E-Mail ist =20  
nicht gestattet.

\*\*\*\*\*=20=

\*\*\*\*\*

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 07:44:10 -0400  
Reply-To: "Santos, Rob" <[RSantos@URBAN.ORG](mailto:RSantos@URBAN.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Santos, Rob" <[RSantos@URBAN.ORG](mailto:RSantos@URBAN.ORG)>  
Subject: Re: Diesel drivers in the US

X-To: "Dr.Thomas Petersen" <tpetersen@IFD-ALLENSBACH.DE>,  
AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: A<f1014060479e53975daf4552686ac5ba@ifd-allensbach.de>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

You should be able to get this out of the 2009 National Household Travel  
Survey data which is now publicly available at <http://nhts.ornl.gov/>

Robert Santos  
Senior Institute Methodologist  
The Urban Institute  
2100 M Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20037  
OFF: 202 261-5904  
FAX: 202 429-0687  
CELL: 512 619-5667

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Dr.Thomas Petersen  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:03 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Diesel drivers in the US

Dear All,

I have been asked by a customer whether there are any informations  
about the (potential) drivers of Diesel cars in the U.S. available, for  
example from market-media studies. Can anybody give me advice here?

Thank you

Thomas Petersen

Dr. Thomas Petersen  
Institut für Demoskopie Allensbach  
Radolfzeller Straße 8  
78476 Allensbach

Telefon: +49 7533 805-0  
Telefax: +49 7533 3048  
<http://www.ifd-allensbach.de>  
E-Mail: [tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de](mailto:tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de)

GmbH mit Sitz in 78476 Allensbach (HRB 380016 Amtsgericht Freiburg)  
USt.-IdNr. DE 142312042  
Geschäftsführer: Univ. Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Elisabeth Noelle, Prof. Dr.  
Renate Köcher

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

Diese E-Mail kann vertrauliche und/oder rechtlich geschützte Informationen enthalten.  
Wenn Sie nicht der richtige Adressat sind oder diese E-Mail irrtümlich erhalten haben, informieren Sie bitte sofort den Absender und vernichten Sie diese E-Mail. Das unerlaubte Kopieren sowie die unbefugte Weitergabe dieser E-Mail ist nicht gestattet.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 09:26:16 -0700  
Reply-To: Michael Sullivan <[michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM](mailto:michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Michael Sullivan <[michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM](mailto:michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Diesel drivers in the US  
X-To: "Santos, Rob" <[RSantos@URBAN.ORG](mailto:RSantos@URBAN.ORG)>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
In-Reply-To: <[0F96478603980B46AAAFBA77069582ED0E5447AE@UIEXCH.urban.org](mailto:0F96478603980B46AAAFBA77069582ED0E5447AE@UIEXCH.urban.org)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

For at least two decades, the California Energy Commission has done contingent valuation surveys of the California market to estimate future vehicle market shares based on variations in price and other variables. I suggest that you contact them.

Michael J. Sullivan, Ph.D.  
Chairman  
Freeman, Sullivan & Co.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [[mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)] On Behalf Of Santos, Rob

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:44 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Diesel drivers in the US

You should be able to get this out of the 2009 National Household Travel Survey data which is now publicly available at <http://nhts.ornl.gov/>

Robert Santos  
Senior Institute Methodologist  
The Urban Institute  
2100 M Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20037  
OFF: 202 261-5904  
FAX: 202 429-0687  
CELL: 512 619-5667

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Dr. Thomas Petersen  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:03 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Diesel drivers in the US

Dear All,

I have been asked by a customer whether there are any informations about the (potential) drivers of Diesel cars in the U.S. available, for example from market-media studies. Can anybody give me advice here?

Thank you

Thomas Petersen

Dr. Thomas Petersen  
Institut für Demoskopie Allensbach  
Radolfzeller Straße 8  
78476 Allensbach

Telefon: +49 7533 805-0  
Telefax: +49 7533 3048  
<http://www.ifd-allensbach.de>  
E-Mail: [tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de](mailto:tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de)

GmbH mit Sitz in 78476 Allensbach (HRB 380016 Amtsgericht Freiburg)  
USt.-IdNr. DE 142312042  
Geschäftsführer: Univ. Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Elisabeth Noelle, Prof. Dr.  
Renate Köcher

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Diese E-Mail kann vertrauliche und/oder rechtlich geschützte

Informationen enthalten.

Wenn Sie nicht der richtige Adressat sind oder diese E-Mail irrtümlich erhalten

haben, informieren Sie bitte sofort den Absender und vernichten Sie diese E-Mail. Das unerlaubte Kopieren sowie die unbefugte Weitergabe dieser E-Mail ist nicht gestattet.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 12:32:01 -0400  
Reply-To: "Harrison, Chase" <[charrison@HBS.EDU](mailto:charrison@HBS.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Harrison, Chase" <[charrison@HBS.EDU](mailto:charrison@HBS.EDU)>  
Subject: Job Openings: Voter Field Experiments  
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I'm forwarding along two jobs which might be relevant for some AAPOR members.

---

Title: Analyst

For more information contact: [analystjob@analystinstitute.org](mailto:analystjob@analystinstitute.org), (Send resume and cover letter)

## Responsibilities

Analyst will work closely with others in the Analyst Institute and broader progressive community. S/he will be involved in a range of activities including data analysis, writing, editing, and general administrative responsibilities. The data analysis will entail:

- Managing and randomizing voter files for experiments
- Analyzing experiments and summarizing results in written reports
- Conducting regression-based analyses on large datasets

This person must have a background in quantitative methods, be self-motivated, be comfortable working on multiple projects at once, and thrive in a dynamic start-up environment. This person must also possess strong written skills.

## Qualifications

- \* Mastery of statistics software (Stata preferred, R, SPSS okay)
- \* Experience working with large datasets
- \* Detail oriented and highly organized
- \* Ability to work well under deadlines
- \* Excellent analytical skills
- \* Able to learn quickly, and able to develop skills as needed
- \* Basic understanding of methodology of political experiments and measurement
- \* Excellent writing skills

Start Date: Flexible, ASAP

Location: Washington DC, McPherson Square

Analyst Institute is an equal opportunity employer. Salary is commensurate with experience.

Title: Senior Analyst

For more information contact: [jobs@analystinstitute.org](mailto:jobs@analystinstitute.org)

## Responsibilities

The Senior Analyst(s) will work closely with partner organizations in developing, designing, executing, and analyzing randomized controlled experiments to evaluate aspects of their voter contact programs. Any given experiment will likely involve membership recruitment, voter registration,



fundraising, voter persuasion, and/or get-out-the-vote efforts.

This person must have experience with field experiments, relevant statistical and methodological training, be self-motivated, and thrive in a dynamic start-up environment. This person may work as a part-time consultant (20+ hour per week) or as a full-time employee of the Analyst Institute.

#### Qualifications

- \* Experience with field research, especially field experiments.
- \* Detail oriented and highly organized.
- \* Experience working on, or with political campaigns.
- \* Ability to work well under tight deadlines.
- \* Mastery of statistics software (Stata preferred, R, SPSS okay)
- \* Excellent verbal and written skills.
- \* Understanding of statistics and the methodology of political experiments and measurement.
- \* PhD or MA in behavioral science.

Start Date: Flexible, ASAP

Location: Washington DC, McPherson Square

Analyst Institute is an equal opportunity employer. Salary is commensurate with experience.

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 12:59:51 -0400

Reply-To: "Ariel A.Finno" <[aafinno@GMAIL.COM](mailto:aafinno@GMAIL.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Ariel A.Finno" <[aafinno@GMAIL.COM](mailto:aafinno@GMAIL.COM)>

Subject: Rasmussenreports.com: 58% Favor Welcoming Immigration Policy

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

"58% Favor Welcoming Immigration Policy" at [www.rasmussenreports.com](http://www.rasmussenreports.com) .  
Monday, April 26, 2010

"While Americans continue to strongly support efforts that will slow or stop illegal immigration, most also still favor a generally welcoming policy of legal immigration.

A new Rasmussen Reports national telephone survey finds that 58% favor a policy that would welcome all immigrants except national security threat

s,  
criminals and those who would come here to live off our welfare  
system.=94 ..(Snippet)

Story continued here:

[http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public\\_content/politics/current\\_events/immigration/58\\_favor\\_welcoming\\_immigration\\_policy](http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/politics/current_events/immigration/58_favor_welcoming_immigration_policy)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 13:05:58 -0400

Reply-To: eric k foster <[ekfoster07@GMAIL.COM](mailto:ekfoster07@GMAIL.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: eric k foster <[ekfoster07@GMAIL.COM](mailto:ekfoster07@GMAIL.COM)>

Subject: Re: Diesel drivers in the US

X-To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)

In-Reply-To: <[28CCEB02B0B64D4091ED6FD17DF571691837E56E0F@EX-BE-024-SV1.shared.themessagecenter.com](mailto:28CCEB02B0B64D4091ED6FD17DF571691837E56E0F@EX-BE-024-SV1.shared.themessagecenter.com)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I suggest just Googling "diesel market share" for a quick start from a number of perspectives (countries, timelines, SE contingencies, etc.).

--=20

Eric K. Foster, PhD, MSBA, MA

610.667.6036

484.347.8998 (m)

[ekfoster07@gmail.com](mailto:ekfoster07@gmail.com)

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 12:26 PM, Michael Sullivan <[michaelsullivan@fscgroup.com](mailto:michaelsullivan@fscgroup.com)> wrote:

> For at least two decades, the California Energy Commission has done  
> contingent valuation surveys of the California market to estimate future  
> vehicle market shares based on variations in price and other variables. =

I

> suggest that you contact them.

>

> Michael J. Sullivan, Ph.D.

> Chairman

> Freeman, Sullivan & Co.

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Santos, Rob

> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:44 AM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: Diesel drivers in the US  
>  
> You should be able to get this out of the 2009 National Household Travel  
> Survey data which is now publicly available at <http://nhts.ornl.gov/>

>  
> Robert Santos  
> Senior Institute Methodologist  
> The Urban Institute  
> 2100 M Street, NW  
> Washington, DC 20037  
> OFF: 202 261-5904  
> FAX: 202 429-0687  
> CELL: 512 619-5667

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Dr.Thomas Petersen  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:03 AM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Diesel drivers in the US

> Dear All,

> I have been asked by a customer whether there are any informations  
> about the (potential) drivers of Diesel cars in the U.S. available, for  
> example from market-media studies. Can anybody give me advice here?

> Thank you

> Thomas Petersen

> Dr. Thomas Petersen  
> Institut für Demoskopie Allensbach  
> Radolfzeller Straße 8  
> 78476 Allensbach

> Telefon: +49 7533 805-0  
> Telefax: +49 7533 3048  
> <http://www.ifd-allensbach.de>  
> E-Mail: [tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de](mailto:tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de)

> GmbH mit Sitz in 78476 Allensbach (HRB 380016 Amtsgericht Freiburg)  
> USt.-IdNr. DE 142312042  
> Geschäftsführer: Univ. Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Elisabeth Noelle, Prof. Dr.  
> Renate Köcher

> \*\*\*\*\*  
> \*\*\*\*\*

> Diese E-Mail kann vertrauliche und/oder rechtlich geschützte

- > Informationen enthalten.
- > Wenn Sie nicht der richtige Adressat sind oder diese E-Mail irrt=FCmlich
- > erhalten
- > haben, informieren Sie bitte sofort den Absender und vernichten Sie
- > diese E-Mail. Das
- > unerlaubte Kopieren sowie die unbefugte Weitergabe dieser E-Mail ist
- > nicht gestattet.

>  
> \*\*\*\*\*

> \*\*\*\*\*

>  
> -----

- > Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
- > Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:
- > set aapornet nomail
- > On your return send this: set aapornet mail
- > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
- > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----

- > Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
- > Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:
- > set aapornet nomail
- > On your return send this: set aapornet mail
- > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
- > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----

- > Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
- > Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:
- > set aapornet nomail
- > On your return send this: set aapornet mail
- > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
- > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 10:01:40 -0700  
Reply-To: Darrell Donakowski <[dwdonako@UMICH.EDU](mailto:dwdonako@UMICH.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Darrell Donakowski <[dwdonako@UMICH.EDU](mailto:dwdonako@UMICH.EDU)>  
Subject: ANES Reminder: The 2010-2012 Evaluations of Government and  
Society Study  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The American National Election Studies (ANES) will be accepting question proposals for inclusion on the first two waves of The 2010-2012 Evaluations of Government and Society Study for six more days. (Please note: Only Registered Users are allowed to post proposals and comments on the Online

Commons. If a proposal has multiple authors, every author must be a Registered User before the proposal can be posted.)

Proposals will be accepted until 3:00p.m. EDT, May 5, 2010. The deadline for members of the Online Commons community to comment on proposals is May 12, 2010. The deadline for revisions to proposals is 3:00p.m. EDT on May 19, 2010. For additional information about how to submit a proposal, please visit: <http://www.electionstudies.org/>

The following describes the goals of this study and provides greater detail about the opportunity to include questions on it.

#### About The 2010-2012 Evaluations of Government and Society Study

The overarching theme of the surveys is citizen attitudes about government and society. These Internet surveys represent the most cost-effective way for the ANES user community to gauge political perceptions during one of the most momentous periods in American history. Aside from the historic nature of the current administration and the almost unprecedented economic crisis facing the country, we believe it is imperative that researchers assess attitudes about politics and society in the period leading up to the 2012 national elections. Specifically, we have in mind here attitudes about the performance of the Obama administration on the major issues of the day, evaluations of Congress and the Supreme Court, identification with and attitudes about the major political parties, and levels of interest in and engagement with national politics. This is primarily because these perceptions are unmistakably correlated with both presidential vote choice and levels of political participation. We intend to measure each of these topics at multiple points throughout the two-year period preceding the 2012 elections. However in addition to these subjects, we envision that each of these surveys would explore a particular aspect of these political perceptions.

This Study will include five rolling cross-section waves that will allow us the opportunity to pilot new items for possible inclusion on the 2012 time series. By offering multiple opportunities for the user community to place their items on one or more surveys, we will provide the capacity to survey on a diverse set of topics that are relevant to a wide set of research communities. Lastly, the flexibility of these surveys as to both content and timing will allow the ANES to respond promptly to emerging political issues in this volatile period in our country's history.

### About the Online Commons

The design of the questionnaires for The 2010-2012 Evaluations of Government and Society Study will evolve from proposals and comments submitted to the Online Commons (OC). The OC is an online system designed to promote communication among scholars and to yield innovative proposals about the most effective ways to measure electorally-relevant concepts and relationships. The goal of the OC is to improve the quality and scientific value of ANES data collections, to encourage the submission of new ideas, and to make such experiences more beneficial to and enjoyable for investigators. In the last study cycle, more than 700 scholars sent over 200 proposals through the Online Commons.

Proposals for the inclusion of questions must include clear theoretical and empirical rationales. All proposals must also clearly state how the questions will increase the value of the respective studies. In particular, proposed questions must have the potential to help scholars understand the causes and/or consequences of turnout or candidate choice. For more information about the criteria that will be used to evaluate proposals, please see [http://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/2010\\_2012EGSS/2010\\_2012EGSScriteria.htm](http://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/2010_2012EGSS/2010_2012EGSScriteria.htm)

This opportunity is open to anyone who wants to make a constructive contribution to the development of ANES surveys. Feel free to pass this invitation along to anyone (e.g., your colleagues and students) who you think might be interested. We hope to hear from you.

-----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 14:35:38 -0500  
Reply-To: [amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU](mailto:amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Allan L. McCutcheon" <[amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU](mailto:amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU)>  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; DelSp="Yes"; format="flowed"  
Content-Disposition: inline  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona.  
While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years,  
I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in  
Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some  
way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?  
Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 15:46:25 -0400  
Reply-To: Joel Bloom <[joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM](mailto:joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Joel Bloom <[joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM](mailto:joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <20100429143538.37nzsjrvhcgwkcs0@wm-imp-3.unl.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

This would give a whole new meaning to presenting papers.

--

Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.  
The University at Albany, SUNY

Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science  
Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research  
Phone: (518) 437-4791  
Cell: 541-579-6610  
E-mail: [jbloom@albany.edu](mailto:jbloom@albany.edu)  
[joelbloom@googlewave.com](mailto:joelbloom@googlewave.com)  
Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 3:35 PM, Allan L. McCutcheon <[amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu](mailto:amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu)> wrote:

> Hi All,  
>  
> The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona.  
> While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years,  
> I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in  
> Arizona.  
>  
> Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some  
> way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?  
> Thoughts?  
>  
> Best,  
> Allan  
> --  
> Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
> Professor of Statistics &  
> Survey Research and Methodology  
> tel. +402.472.7793  
> fax +402.472.7764  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail



Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 15:48:41 -0400  
Reply-To: Kate Stewart <KateStewart@BRSPOLL.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Kate Stewart <KateStewart@BRSPOLL.COM>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: amccutch@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: A<20100429143538.37nzsjrvcgwks0@wm-imp-3.unl.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 15:50:45 -0400  
Reply-To: Barry Hollander <[barry@UGA.EDU](mailto:barry@UGA.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Barry Hollander <[barry@UGA.EDU](mailto:barry@UGA.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[20100429143538.37nzsjrvcgwkc0@wm-imp-3.unl.edu](mailto:20100429143538.37nzsjrvcgwkc0@wm-imp-3.unl.edu)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I would prefer any problems AAPOR members may have with the conference location in Arizona keep that a personal decision and not one tackled by the organization as a whole. Many AAPOR members will no doubt be polling on this issue and should AAPOR take a stand -- one way or the other -- it may affect how some see those poll results. I can hear some TV talking head now, discounting a survey on the Arizona law because it was done by one of those AAPOR folks who are all against it (or for it) anyway.

Perception matters. Imagine if some bogus poll about the Arizona law comes out, AAPOR members rightly criticize its methodology, except oh by the way the organization also canceled its conference in Arizona.

Just the opinion of someone who has never actually attended an AAPOR conference, so take with a sizable grain of salt.

---

Barry Hollander  
Department of Journalism  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602  
[www.barryhollander.com](http://www.barryhollander.com)  
[www.whatpeopleknow.com](http://www.whatpeopleknow.com)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 15:59:34 -0400

Reply-To: Kate Stewart <KateStewart@BRSPOLL.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Kate Stewart <KateStewart@BRSPOLL.COM>

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

X-To: Barry Hollander <barry@UGA.EDU>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: A<20100429155045.PXJ04779@punts2.cc.uga.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I think that is a good point but also something that should be discussed at the business mtg because if a large number of people decide to make a personal decision and not go to the conference that has a huge impact on AAPOR's finances and the success of the conference...so not saying I support any decision at this point but think it should be a topic for discussion so that we can minimize any negative impact on AAPOR and next year's conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Barry Hollander

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:51 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I would prefer any problems AAPOR members may have with the conference location in Arizona keep that a personal decision and not one tackled by the organization as a whole. Many AAPOR members will no doubt be polling on this issue and should AAPOR take a stand -- one way or the other -- it may affect how some see those poll results. I can hear some TV talking head now, discounting a survey on the Arizona law because it was done by one of those AAPOR folks who are all against it (or for it) anyway.

Perception matters. Imagine if some bogus poll about the Arizona law comes out, AAPOR members rightly criticize its methodology, except oh by the way the organization also canceled its conference in Arizona.

Just the opinion of someone who has never actually attended an AAPOR conference, so take with a sizable grain of salt.

Barry Hollander  
Department of Journalism  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602  
www.barryhollander.com  
www.whatpeopleknow.com

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 15:56:06 -0400  
Reply-To: Allan Rivlin <[arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM](mailto:arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Allan Rivlin <[arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM](mailto:arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[314ECE8B29B8634388BA137A66B8D6D94236A7@FS.BRSPOLL.local](mailto:314ECE8B29B8634388BA137A66B8D6D94236A7@FS.BRSPOLL.local)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin  
Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 16:03:56 -0400

Reply-To: "Timothy D. MacKinnon" <tim.mackinnon@RUTGERS.EDU>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Timothy D. MacKinnon" <tim.mackinnon@RUTGERS.EDU>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: Allan Rivlin <arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <6E769C1777D544EDB07D795CC3AF7506@Allan>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin  
Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--  
Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 16:13:17 -0400  
Reply-To: "Murray, Patrick" <[pdmurray@MONMOUTH.EDU](mailto:pdmurray@MONMOUTH.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Murray, Patrick" <[pdmurray@MONMOUTH.EDU](mailto:pdmurray@MONMOUTH.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

In-Reply-To: <314ECE8B29B8634388BA137A66B8D6D94236A8@FS.BRSPOLL.local>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I agree with Kate. I release public polls on immigration and would not attend next year IF it was moved just to make a statement about the AZ law. The only justification I would be able to accept for relocating is if a projected drop-off attendance would seriously undermine the success of the conference. [And it would be a logistical headache to move at this point, especially since the organizers just went through that hassle last year.]

Patrick Murray  
Director  
Monmouth University Polling Institute

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:00 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I think that is a good point but also something that should be discussed at the business mtg because if a large number of people decide to make a personal decision and not go to the conference that has a huge impact on AAPOR's finances and the success of the conference...so not saying I support any decision at this point but think it should be a topic for discussion so that we can minimize any negative impact on AAPOR and next year's conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Barry Hollander  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:51 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I would prefer any problems AAPOR members may have with the conference location in Arizona keep that a personal decision and not one tackled by the organization as a whole. Many AAPOR members will no doubt be polling on this issue and should AAPOR take a stand -- one way or the other -- it may affect how some see those poll results. I can hear some TV talking head now, discounting a survey on the Arizona law because it was done by one of those AAPOR folks who are all against it (or for it) anyway.

Perception matters. Imagine if some bogus poll about the Arizona law comes out, AAPOR members rightly criticize its methodology, except oh by the way the organization also canceled its conference in Arizona.



Just the opinion of someone who has never actually attended an AAPOR conference, so take with a sizable grain of salt.

---

Barry Hollander  
Department of Journalism  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602  
www.barryhollander.com  
www.whatpeopleknow.com

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 13:14:53 -0700  
Reply-To: "Pollack, Lance" <[Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU](mailto:Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Pollack, Lance" <[Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU](mailto:Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
In-Reply-To: <[002d01cae7d7\\$19e9ad50\\$4dbd07f0@mackinnon@rutgers.edu](mailto:002d01cae7d7$19e9ad50$4dbd07f0@mackinnon@rutgers.edu)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I agree. AAPOR instead should take this as an opportunity. The program committee for the 2011 meeting can make the Arizona law, reactions to it inside and outside Arizona, US immigration policy, and immigration reform topics of emphasis for that meeting with plenary sessions, the whole works.

Presenting methodologically sound research on the issue in the primary city in the state at ground zero could create a "perfect storm" of national attention on the organization. Presentations and interpretations of DATA may help focus the nation on a major topic that, like too many others, has been festering in a bog of dataless bluster.

Lance M. Pollack, PhD  
University of California, San Francisco  
Center for AIDS Prevention Studies (CAPS)  
50 Beale Street, Suite 1300  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
tel: 415-597-9302  
fax: 415-597-9213  
email: Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 1:04 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin  
Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L.

McCutcheon

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science

Professor of Statistics &

Survey Research and Methodology

tel. +402.472.7793

fax +402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 16:15:10 -0400

Reply-To: Allan Rivlin <arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Allan Rivlin <arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM>

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: <002d01cae7d7\$19e9ad50\$4dbd07f0\$@mackinnon@rutgers.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

For people who for whatever reason believe that their American citizenship or legal immigration status is unlikely to be doubted, it may be a difficult decision to make whether to attend a conference in Arizona at this time.

For people who for whatever reason believe their American citizenship or legal immigration status could be doubted, perhaps this is not such a difficult decision.

Perhaps this is not a political decision at all, just something AAPOR needs to do to ensure that all of it's diverse membership feels comfortable attending the conference.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:04 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin

Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L.

McCutcheon

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,

Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science

Professor of Statistics &

Survey Research and Methodology

tel. +402.472.7793

fax +402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 13:27:14 -0700  
Reply-To: Jane Traub <[JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM](mailto:JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jane Traub <[JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM](mailto:JTraub@SCARBOROUGH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: "Pollack, Lance" <[Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU](mailto:Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU)>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
In-Reply-To: <[A2CF9569F247704A9D2CA2DC556D56782F3D3AE403@EX02.net.ucsf.edu](mailto:A2CF9569F247704A9D2CA2DC556D56782F3D3AE403@EX02.net.ucsf.edu)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Lance's suggestion sounds like a much more professional response, in my opinion, that would bring more net positive benefits than a boycott.

Jane  
Jane H. Traub

Sr. VP, Research  
Scarborough Research  
770 Broadway  
NY NY 10003  
(646) 654-8454  
jtraub@scarborough.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Pollack, Lance  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I agree. AAPOR instead should take this as an opportunity. The program committee for the 2011 meeting can make the Arizona law, reactions to it inside and outside Arizona, US immigration policy, and immigration reform topics of emphasis for that meeting with plenary sessions, the whole works. Presenting methodologically sound research on the issue in the primary city in the state at ground zero could create a "perfect storm" of national attention on the organization. Presentations and interpretations of DATA may help focus the nation on a major topic that, like too many others, has been festering in a bog of dataless bluster.

Lance M. Pollack, PhD  
University of California, San Francisco  
Center for AIDS Prevention Studies (CAPS)  
50 Beale Street, Suite 1300  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
tel: 415-597-9302  
fax: 415-597-9213  
email: Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 1:04 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin

Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>



Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 13:26:34 -0700  
Reply-To: Jon Ebeling <[jon.ebeling@GMAIL.COM](mailto:jon.ebeling@GMAIL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jon Ebeling <[jon.ebeling@GMAIL.COM](mailto:jon.ebeling@GMAIL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu](mailto:amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu)  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <[20100429143538.37nzsjrvhcgwkcs0@wm-imp-3.unl.edu](mailto:20100429143538.37nzsjrvhcgwkcs0@wm-imp-3.unl.edu)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Move the meeting to California. It is close by and I'm sure there are facilities, such as in San Francisco , that would welcome such a meeting. I'm one of the persons that would welcome it there as I live near SF.

thanks

jon ebeling

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 12:35 PM, Allan L. McCutcheon <[amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu](mailto:amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu)> wrote:

> Hi All,  
>  
> The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona.  
> While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years,  
> I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in  
> Arizona.  
>  
> Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some  
> way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?  
> Thoughts?  
>  
> Best,  
> Allan  
> --  
> Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
> Professor of Statistics &  
> Survey Research and Methodology  
> tel. +402.472.7793  
> fax +402.472.7764  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

--

Jon S. Ebeling

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 16:23:43 -0400  
Reply-To: "Butterworth, Michael" <[MXB@CBSNEWS.COM](mailto:MXB@CBSNEWS.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Butterworth, Michael" <MXB@CBSNEWS.COM>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: Barry Hollander <barry@UGA.EDU>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <20100429155045.PXJ04779@punts2.cc.uga.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Would we boycott AZ to show our disapproval of the law, or because it would put attendees at risk of harassment or worse? If the latter, shouldn't we wait a little to see if our fears are realized? If the former, I think Barry Hollander's comments are correct.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Barry Hollander  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:51 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I would prefer any problems AAPOR members may have with the conference location in Arizona keep that a personal decision and not one tackled by the organization as a whole. Many AAPOR members will no doubt be polling on this issue and should AAPOR take a stand -- one way or the other -- it may affect how some see those poll results. I can hear some TV talking head now, discounting a survey on the Arizona law because it was done by one of those AAPOR folks who are all against it (or for it) anyway.

Perception matters. Imagine if some bogus poll about the Arizona law comes out, AAPOR members rightly criticize its methodology, except oh by the way the organization also canceled its conference in Arizona.

Just the opinion of someone who has never actually attended an AAPOR conference, so take with a sizable grain of salt.

---

Barry Hollander  
Department of Journalism  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602  
www.barryhollander.com  
www.whatpeopleknow.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 13:39:05 -0700  
Reply-To: Lynn Stalone <[Lynn.Stalone@IHR-RESEARCH.COM](mailto:Lynn.Stalone@IHR-RESEARCH.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Lynn Stalone <[Lynn.Stalone@IHR-RESEARCH.COM](mailto:Lynn.Stalone@IHR-RESEARCH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[h2n53910ea1004291326i309a74aeu3c9acb1e07d73d12@mail.gmail.com](mailto:h2n53910ea1004291326i309a74aeu3c9acb1e07d73d12@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

This is an interesting discussion and members will certainly be passionate in their opinions of the new AZ laws. Beyond turning a change of venue into a political statement (which I also agree undermines our reputation of being "unbiased"), there are the simple execution and financial aspects of moving a conference as large as AAPOR.

There are a finite number of hotels which can handle a group our size, plus deposits and other financial commitments which often cannot be dismissed outside of natural disaster, etc. In addition, conference venues are usually booked well over a year in advance.

There may be no option for AAPOR to move this event, and it would truly be a shame if members boycotted and chose not to support the organization. In the end game, it would be of greater negative impact to AAPOR to lose outstanding supporters and presenters than it would be for AZ to lose some tourist dollars.

Just my opinion.

Best regards,

Lynn

LYNN STALONE, PRC | PARTNER

IHR RESEARCH GROUP

14772 PLAZA DR. | #201 | TUSTIN | CA 92780

DIRECT: 714-368-1885

MOBILE: 714-315-9453

OFFICE MAIN: 714-368-1884

Lynn.Stalone@ihr-research.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jon Ebeling

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 1:27 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Move the meeting to California. It is close by and I'm sure there are facilities, such as in San Francisco , that would welcome such a meeting.

I'm one of the persons that would welcome it there as I live near SF.

thanks

jon ebeling

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 12:35 PM, Allan L. McCutcheon <

amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu> wrote:

> Hi All,

>

> The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona.

> While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years,  
> I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in  
> Arizona.  
>  
> Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some  
> way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?  
> Thoughts?  
>  
> Best,  
> Allan  
> --  
> Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
> Professor of Statistics &  
> Survey Research and Methodology  
> tel. +402.472.7793  
> fax +402.472.7764  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

--

Jon S. Ebeling

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 13:40:07 -0700

Reply-To: "Pollack, Lance" <[Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU](mailto:Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Pollack, Lance" <[Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU](mailto:Lance.Pollack@UCSF.EDU)>

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

In-Reply-To: <[94CB350111B94233855A9C0AA7F25F97@Allan](mailto:94CB350111B94233855A9C0AA7F25F97@Allan)>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

But first AAPOR needs to provides its members with information on what Arizona authorities say constitutes "proof" or "papers". You have to carry an ID in order to board a plane these days, so there may not be any extra burden for many out-of-state attendees. Of course, some states issue drivers licenses without screening for citizenship, so AZ might not heed certain documents.

It did occur to me at one point to say that if you really felt unsure, then take your passport or green card or whatever appropriate documentation you may need. Then again, the idea of an American citizen having to take a passport in order to go to Arizona probably says something about the law right there.

Lance M. Pollack, PhD  
University of California, San Francisco  
Center for AIDS Prevention Studies (CAPS)  
50 Beale Street, Suite 1300  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
tel: 415-597-9302  
fax: 415-597-9213  
email: Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 1:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

For people who for whatever reason believe that their American citizenship or legal immigration status is unlikely to be doubted, it may be a difficult decision to make whether to attend a conference in Arizona at this time.

For people who for whatever reason believe their American citizenship or legal immigration status could be doubted, perhaps this is not such a difficult decision.

Perhaps this is not a political decision at all, just something AAPOR needs to do to ensure that all of it's diverse membership feels comfortable attending the conference.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:04 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin  
Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart



Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 16:36:49 -0400  
Reply-To: -A <casusbelli99@GMAIL.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: -A <casusbelli99@GMAIL.COM>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: "Pollack, Lance" <Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu>  
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
In-Reply-To: <A2CF9569F247704A9D2CA2DC556D56782F3D3AE403@EX02.net.ucsf.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

i agree, other professional organizations with similar (potential) conundrums have in the past done exactly what Dr. Pollack is suggesting. they used the opportunity to present symposiums, research, data and educational training seminars on the controversial topic at hand. hopefully educating the attendees and the public, including the media who reported on the conference.

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 4:14 PM, Pollack, Lance <Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu> wrote:

> I agree. AAPOR instead should take this as an opportunity. The program  
> committee for the 2011 meeting can make the Arizona law, reactions to it  
> inside and outside Arizona, US immigration policy, and immigration reform  
> topics of emphasis for that meeting with plenary sessions, the whole works.  
> Presenting methodologically sound research on the issue in the primary city  
> in the state at ground zero could create a "perfect storm" of national  
> attention on the organization. Presentations and interpretations of DATA may  
> help focus the nation on a major topic that, like too many others, has been  
> festering in a bog of dataless bluster.

>  
> Lance M. Pollack, PhD  
> University of California, San Francisco  
> Center for AIDS Prevention Studies (CAPS)  
> 50 Beale Street, Suite 1300  
> San Francisco, CA 94105  
> tel: 415-597-9302  
> fax: 415-597-9213  
> email: Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu

>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 1:04 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>  
> While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a  
> political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of  
> any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our  
> members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it  
> would be wise to not boycott.

>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>  
> Santa Fe is great this time of year!  
>  
> Allan Rivlin  
> Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>  
>  
> I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of  
> Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already  
> complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be  
> discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

>  
> Kate  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L.  
> McCutcheon  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>  
> Hi All,  
>  
> The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona.  
> While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years,  
> I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in  
> Arizona.

>  
> Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some  
> way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?  
> Thoughts?

>  
> Best,  
> Allan  
> --  
> Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
> Professor of Statistics &  
> Survey Research and Methodology  
> tel. +402.472.7793  
> fax +402.472.7764

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 16:41:18 -0400  
Reply-To: Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: Allan Rivlin <arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To: <94CB350111B94233855A9C0AA7F25F97@Allan>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I agree that we should consider the position in which holding the conference in Arizona will put our members who may be subjected to this law. Perhaps we should discuss a way to make this a non-political decision for AAPOR to avoid subjecting our members to harassment if they attend.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

For people who for whatever reason believe that their American citizenship or legal immigration status is unlikely to be doubted, it may be a difficult decision to make whether to attend a conference in Arizona at this time.

For people who for whatever reason believe their American citizenship or legal immigration status could be doubted, perhaps this is not such a difficult decision.

Perhaps this is not a political decision at all, just something AAPOR needs to do to ensure that all of it's diverse membership feels comfortable attending the conference.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:04 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin  
Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 14:23:39 -0700  
Reply-To: Susan H Pinkus <[spinkus@SBCGLOBAL.NET](mailto:spinkus@SBCGLOBAL.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Susan H Pinkus <[spinkus@SBCGLOBAL.NET](mailto:spinkus@SBCGLOBAL.NET)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU, Karla McPherson <[KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM](mailto:KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM)>  
In-Reply-To: <[6AFCB9A14E2A444895752E78C5E8DF790210E80EEC@EX-CMS01.westat.com](mailto:6AFCB9A14E2A444895752E78C5E8DF790210E80EEC@EX-CMS01.westat.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

If this hideous law stands -- we shld boycott Arizona -- we need to take a =  
stand and show what ramifications will happen when laws are passed that are=  
discriminatory and bigoted.

=A0  
Susan

--- On Thu, 4/29/10, Karla McPherson <[KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM](mailto:KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM)> wrote:

From: Karla McPherson <[KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM](mailto:KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 1:41 PM

I agree that we should consider the position in which holding the conferenc=  
e in Arizona will put our members who may be subjected to this law. Perhaps=  
we should discuss a way to make this a non-political decision for AAPOR to=  
avoid subjecting our members to harassment if they attend.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

For people who for whatever reason believe that their American citizenship



or legal immigration status is unlikely to be doubted, it may be a difficult decision to make whether to attend a conference in Arizona at this time.

For people who for whatever reason believe their American citizenship or legal immigration status could be doubted, perhaps this is not such a difficult decision.

Perhaps this is not a political decision at all, just something AAPOR needs to do to ensure that all of its diverse membership feels comfortable attending the conference.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:04 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin  
Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--  
Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel.=A0 +402.472.7793  
fax=A0=A0=A0+402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 14:26:50 -0700  
Reply-To: Susan H Pinkus <[spinkus@SBCGLOBAL.NET](mailto:spinkus@SBCGLOBAL.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Susan H Pinkus <[spinkus@SBCGLOBAL.NET](mailto:spinkus@SBCGLOBAL.NET)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU), Allan Rivlin <[arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM](mailto:arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM)>  
In-Reply-To: <[6E769C1777D544EDB07D795CC3AF7506@Allan](mailto:6E769C1777D544EDB07D795CC3AF7506@Allan)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

yes, let's go to Santa Fe -- great city!!!=A0 I think we as an organization=  
need to take a stand against this immig. law and if it means canceling our=  
conference there next year (if the law is not rescinded) then by all means=  
do so.  
=A0  
Susan

--- On Thu, 4/29/10, Allan Rivlin <[arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM](mailto:arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM)> wrote:

From: Allan Rivlin <[arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM](mailto:arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 12:56 PM

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin

Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L.

McCutcheon

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science

Professor of Statistics &

Survey Research and Methodology

tel.=A0 +402.472.7793

fax=A0=A0=A0+402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 14:59:16 -0700  
Reply-To: Bob Klein <[bklein@AMS-INC.COM](mailto:bklein@AMS-INC.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Bob Klein <[bklein@AMS-INC.COM](mailto:bklein@AMS-INC.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
In-Reply-To: <[415127.70650.qm@web81001.mail.mud.yahoo.com](mailto:415127.70650.qm@web81001.mail.mud.yahoo.com)>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I wonder how many on the list read the op-ed piece from this morning's NY Times.  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dszydl>

Bob Klein - President  
Applied Marketing Science, Inc.  
303 Wyman Street, Suite 205  
Waltham, MA 02451  
781-250-6301

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Susan H Pinkus  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:24 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

If this hideous law stands -- we shld boycott Arizona -- we need to take a stand and show what ramifications will happen when laws are passed that are discriminatory and bigoted.

Susan

--- On Thu, 4/29/10, Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM> wrote:

From: Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 1:41 PM

I agree that we should consider the position in which holding the conference in Arizona will put our members who may be subjected to this law. Perhaps we should discuss a way to make this a non-political decision for AAPOR to avoid subjecting our members to harassment if they attend.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

For people who for whatever reason believe that their American citizenship or legal immigration status is unlikely to be doubted, it may be a difficult decision to make whether to attend a conference in Arizona at this time.

For people who for whatever reason believe their American citizenship or legal immigration status could be doubted, perhaps this is not such a difficult decision.

Perhaps this is not a political decision at all, just something AAPOR needs to do to ensure that all of it's diverse membership feels comfortable attending the conference.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:04 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin  
Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?  
Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--  
Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

-----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail



Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 17:14:49 -0500

Reply-To: Peter Miller <[p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU](mailto:p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Peter Miller <[p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU](mailto:p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU)>

Subject: Arizona

X-To: aapornet@asu.edu

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter

--

Peter V. Miller, PhD.

Department of Communication Studies

Northwestern University

President, American Association for Public Opinion Research

[p-miller@northwestern.edu](mailto:p-miller@northwestern.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 18:34:46 -0400  
Reply-To: [jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jan Werner <[jwerner@JWDP.COM](mailto:jwerner@JWDP.COM)>  
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: -A <[casusbelli99@GMAIL.COM](mailto:casusbelli99@GMAIL.COM)>  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[q2ta1ea1f041004291336z3dd4b3bey6834588be9b8cd31@mail.gmail.com](mailto:q2ta1ea1f041004291336z3dd4b3bey6834588be9b8cd31@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I don't think anonymous comments are appropriate on AAPORNET.

If you don't want to identify yourself, don't join the conversation.

Jan Werner

---

-A wrote:

> i agree, other professional organizations with similar (potential)  
> conundrums have in the past done exactly what Dr. Pollack is suggesting.  
> they used the opportunity to present symposiums, research, data and  
> educational training seminars on the controversial topic at hand. hopefully  
> educating the attendees and the public, including the media who reported on  
> the conference.

>  
> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 4:14 PM, Pollack,  
Lance<[Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu](mailto:Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu)>wrote:

>  
>> I agree. AAPOR instead should take this as an opportunity. The program  
>> committee for the 2011 meeting can make the Arizona law, reactions to it  
>> inside and outside Arizona, US immigration policy, and immigration reform  
>> topics of emphasis for that meeting with plenary sessions, the whole works.  
>> Presenting methodologically sound research on the issue in the primary city  
>> in the state at ground zero could create a "perfect storm" of national  
>> attention on the organization. Presentations and interpretations of DATA  
may  
>> help focus the nation on a major topic that, like too many others, has been  
>> festering in a bog of dataless bluster.

>>  
>> Lance M. Pollack, PhD  
>> University of California, San Francisco  
>> Center for AIDS Prevention Studies (CAPS)

>> 50 Beale Street, Suite 1300  
>> San Francisco, CA 94105  
>> tel: 415-597-9302  
>> fax: 415-597-9213  
>> email: Lance.Pollack@ucsf.edu

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 1:04 PM  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>>

>> While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a  
>> political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of  
>> any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our  
>> members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it  
>> would be wise to not boycott.

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>>

>> Santa Fe is great this time of year!

>>

>> Allan Rivlin

>> Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>>

>>

>> I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of  
>> Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already  
>> complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be  
>> discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

>>

>> Kate

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L.  
>> McCutcheon  
>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>> Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>>

>> Hi All,

>>

>> The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona.  
>> While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years,

>> I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in  
>> Arizona.  
>>  
>> Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some  
>> way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?  
>> Thoughts?  
>>  
>> Best,  
>> Allan  
>> --  
>> Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
>> Professor of Statistics &  
>> Survey Research and Methodology  
>> tel. +402.472.7793  
>> fax +402.472.7764  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail

>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 18:51:34 -0400  
Reply-To: [pmeyer@unc.edu](mailto:pmeyer@unc.edu)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Philip Meyer <[pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU](mailto:pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: Bob Klein <[bklein@AMS-INC.COM](mailto:bklein@AMS-INC.COM)>  
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <[2B53647AB916744283C5EE1E7CC9CFC779052821BA@EXVMBX015-1.exch015.msoutlookonline.net](mailto:2B53647AB916744283C5EE1E7CC9CFC779052821BA@EXVMBX015-1.exch015.msoutlookonline.net)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Part of the point of having a federal system is that states can experiment with oddball legislation while the rest of the nation watches and learns. So why not seize the learning opportunity here and organize a panel at the Arizona meeting on ways to measure and evaluate the effects of what the legislature has done? Some cool, scientific detachment applied to the issue could be interesting, useful, and fun.

Phil

Bob Klein wrote:

> I wonder how many on the list read the op-ed piece from this morning's NY Times.  
> <http://tinyurl.com/2dszyd1>  
>  
>  
> Bob Klein - President  
> Applied Marketing Science, Inc.

> 303 Wyman Street, Suite 205  
> Waltham, MA 02451  
> 781-250-6301

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Susan H Pinkus  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:24 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

> If this hideous law stands -- we shld boycott Arizona -- we need to take a stand and show what ramifications will happen when laws are passed that are discriminatory and bigoted.

> Susan

> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM> wrote:

> From: Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 1:41 PM

> I agree that we should consider the position in which holding the conference in Arizona will put our members who may be subjected to this law. Perhaps we should discuss a way to make this a non-political decision for AAPOR to avoid subjecting our members to harassment if they attend.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:15 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

> For people who for whatever reason believe that their American citizenship or legal immigration status is unlikely to be doubted, it may be a difficult decision to make whether to attend a conference in Arizona at this time.

> For people who for whatever reason believe their American citizenship or legal immigration status could be doubted, perhaps this is not such a difficult decision.

> Perhaps this is not a political decision at all, just something AAPOR needs to do to ensure that all of it's diverse membership feels comfortable attending the conference.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:04 PM

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

>  
>  
> While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a  
> political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of  
> any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our  
> members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it  
> would be wise to not boycott.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

> Santa Fe is great this time of year!

> Allan Rivlin  
> Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

> I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of  
> Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already  
> complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be  
> discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

> Kate

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L.  
> McCutcheon  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

> Hi All,

> The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona.  
> While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years,  
> I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in  
> Arizona.

> Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some  
> way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this?  
> Thoughts?

> Best,

> Allan  
> --  
> Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
> Professor of Statistics &  
> Survey Research and Methodology  
> tel. +402.472.7793  
> fax +402.472.7764  
>

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail



> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>  
>

--  
PK

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 18:39:12 -0400  
Reply-To: Allan Rivlin <[arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM](mailto:arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Allan Rivlin <[arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM](mailto:arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[2B53647AB916744283C5EE1E7CC9CFC779052821BA@EXVMBX015-1.exch015.msoutlookonline.net](mailto:2B53647AB916744283C5EE1E7CC9CFC779052821BA@EXVMBX015-1.exch015.msoutlookonline.net)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Bob Klein wrote: I wonder how many on the list read the op-ed piece from this morning's NY Times. <http://tinyurl.com/2dszydl>

I did, if this is a survey.

It makes some good point but there are some serious logical flaws in other areas that leave me agreeing with the critics more than the defenders of this law.

For example, Kobach states that "the Arizona law is most likely to come into play after a traffic stop." In saying this he does not address the concerns of the laws critics from law enforcement who point out other examples of police activity that are problematic, such as criminal investigations. Several police chiefs and other law enforcement leaders have expressed the concern that this will change their ability to enforce laws in their community because victims and witnesses to a crime may hesitate to talk to police because they could be asked to produce proof of status. I am very disappointed that he does not offer any response to this concern.

Kobach argues that this is a good law for those instances where a police officer is questioning illegal aliens, because after all, the "dozen passengers are crammed in" a minivan are criminals if they came in the country illegally. But getting a law right means getting it right for cases where people are guilty and getting it right in cases where people are innocent. He does not address circumstances where the passengers are a softball team, or a bridge club, that did not leave the house with proof of citizenship.

The tone of the article is far more intellectually engaging than the article itself -- until it goes off the rails.

This sentence, "President Obama and the Beltway crowd feel these problems can be taken care of with 'comprehensive immigration reform' — meaning amnesty and a few other new laws," is downright offensive to people who are looking for solutions to this problem that take into account law enforcement, personal security, employee and employer rights, as well as civil rights in an advanced democracy.

Allan Rivlin  
CenteredPolitics.com

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 18:12:33 -0500  
Reply-To: Selfa-Lance <[SELFA-LANCE@NORC.ORG](mailto:SELFA-LANCE@NORC.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Selfa-Lance <[SELFA-LANCE@NORC.ORG](mailto:SELFA-LANCE@NORC.ORG)>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: A<[2B53647AB916744283C5EE1E7CC9CFC779052821BA@EXVMBX015-1.exch015.msoutlookonline.net](mailto:2B53647AB916744283C5EE1E7CC9CFC779052821BA@EXVMBX015-1.exch015.msoutlookonline.net)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I agree with the position that Susan advances below: boycotting Arizona.

Not only for the message that a professional organization like AAPOR could send RE: the law. But does AAPOR really want to be in the position of warning international scholars who attend (WAPOR members, for example) not to forget their passports when they leave the conference center so they won't run afoul of the law? Not to mention any conference attendees who could face harassment just because a law enforcement official feels a "reasonable suspicion" that they may not be carrying the right papers.

Lance Selfa  
Sr. Research Scientist  
NORC

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Bob Klein  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:59 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I wonder how many on the list read the op-ed piece from this morning's NY Times.  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dszydl>

Bob Klein - President  
Applied Marketing Science, Inc.  
303 Wyman Street, Suite 205  
Waltham, MA 02451  
781-250-6301

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Susan H Pinkus  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:24 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

If this hideous law stands -- we shld boycott Arizona -- we need to take a stand and show what ramifications will happen when laws are passed that are discriminatory and bigoted.

Susan

--- On Thu, 4/29/10, Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM> wrote:

From: Karla McPherson <KarlaMcPherson@WESTAT.COM>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 1:41 PM

I agree that we should consider the position in which holding the

conference in Arizona will put our members who may be subjected to this law. Perhaps we should discuss a way to make this a non-political decision for AAPOR to avoid subjecting our members to harassment if they attend.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

For people who for whatever reason believe that their American citizenship or legal immigration status is unlikely to be doubted, it may be a difficult decision to make whether to attend a conference in Arizona at this time.

For people who for whatever reason believe their American citizenship or legal immigration status could be doubted, perhaps this is not such a difficult decision.

Perhaps this is not a political decision at all, just something AAPOR needs to do to ensure that all of it's diverse membership feels comfortable attending the conference.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:04 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

While I don't like the law, moving the conference from Phoenix would be a political move and would, at the very least, jeopardize the legitimacy of any immigration polls, and perhaps all political polls we do. If our members want to be taken seriously by people of all political stripes it would be wise to not boycott.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan Rivlin  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:56 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Santa Fe is great this time of year!

Allan Rivlin  
Strongly supports sending a message to AZ.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kate Stewart  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:49 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

I was having similar thoughts last night and then read that the mayor of Phoenix has come out against the law...I think that makes an already complicated issue more so... Perhaps this is something that could be discussed at the business mtg during the conference.

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Allan L. McCutcheon  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:36 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hi All,

The 2011 AAPOR meeting is scheduled to be held in Phoenix, Arizona. While I have attended nearly every AAPOR meeting in the past 20 years, I must admit that I will feel uncomfortable attending a meeting in Arizona.

Given the "immigration" bill that has become law in AZ, is there some way in which AAPOR might be able to express concern over this? Thoughts?

Best,  
Allan

--

Donald O. Clifton Chair of Survey Science  
Professor of Statistics &  
Survey Research and Methodology  
tel. +402.472.7793  
fax +402.472.7764

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 23:03:02 +0000  
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: Peter Miller <[p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU](mailto:p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU)>  
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To:  
<[1047438806.1450161272580777467.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net](mailto:1047438806.1450161272580777467.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

----- Original Message -----

From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@comcast.net](mailto:mkshares@comcast.net)>  
To: "Peter Miller" <[p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU](mailto:p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU)>  
Cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:39:37 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: Re: Arizona

Peter-

Also take WAPOR members into account.

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Peter Miller" <[p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU](mailto:p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU)>  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:14:49 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central  
Subject: Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter

--

Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
Department of Communication Studies  
Northwestern University  
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
p-miller@northwestern.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 19:29:01 -0400  
Reply-To: Ken Sherrill <[ken@KENSHERILL.COM](mailto:ken@KENSHERILL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Ken Sherrill <[ken@KENSHERILL.COM](mailto:ken@KENSHERILL.COM)>  
Subject: What's taking a political stand RE: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)



In-Reply-To: <4BDA0D76.9060705@email.unc.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm confused by an aspect of the thread about whether to keep the meeting in Arizona or not. People seem to be saying that deciding to move the meeting is taking a political stand and will endanger our reputation for neutrality. It seems to me that deciding not to move the conference also is taking a political stand.

Whether we stay in Arizona or move to another state, we are taking a stand. If that is the case, we should do the right thing. Undoubtedly, staying in Arizona will place some of our members at risk. That's wrong.

Ken Sherrill

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 16:35:22 -0700

Reply-To: Jerold Pearson <[jpearson@STANFORD.EDU](mailto:jpearson@STANFORD.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Jerold Pearson <[jpearson@STANFORD.EDU](mailto:jpearson@STANFORD.EDU)>

Subject: Re: Arizona

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

In-Reply-To: <t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
>responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations  
>which have been raised here.

Just one voice from the peanut gallery, but if the number of attendees is one factor when considering the financial ramifications of any decision, I can tell you now that I will not attend if it is in Arizona and the law is still on the books (whether it is being enforced or not). Since scheduling has prevented me from attending next month's conference, I was really looking forward to the 2011 conference. But I have decided not to spend a penny in Arizona until this abomination is reversed.

Jerold Pearson, '75

Director of Market Research

Stanford Alumni Association

650-723-9186

[www.stanford.edu/~jpearson](http://www.stanford.edu/~jpearson)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 22:39:37 +0000  
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: Peter Miller <[p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU](mailto:p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU)>  
X-cc: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <[t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com](mailto:t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Peter-

Also take WAPOR members into account.

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Peter Miller" <[p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU](mailto:p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU)>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:14:49 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by

the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter

--

Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
Department of Communication Studies  
Northwestern University  
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
p-miller@northwestern.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 00:00:44 +0000  
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To:  
<[403386238.1478671272585220630.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net](mailto:403386238.1478671272585220630.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sorry for the multiple postings. Comcast has been slow, checking on my citizenship. (Just kidding.)

The Arizona law is really a mess. . NY Times op-ed piece notwithstanding.

For AAPOR this is a non-political decision. Presumably WAPOR members will be in attendance.

I haven't researched this thoroughly, but many states do accept "foreign" drivers licenses.

Proof of citizenship for WAPOR members ? No.

Vehicles may contain passengers to young or too old to drive - no drivers licenses.

The law is a mess.

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <mkshares@COMCAST.NET>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:39:37 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: Re: Arizona

Peter-

Also take WAPOR members into account.

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Peter Miller" <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:14:49 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members

will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter

--  
Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
Department of Communication Studies  
Northwestern University  
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
p-miller@northwestern.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 20:08:12 -0400  
Reply-To: [jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jan Werner <[jwerner@JWDP.COM](mailto:jwerner@JWDP.COM)>  
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: Peter Miller <[p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU](mailto:p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU)>  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com](mailto:t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Given the financial burden it would impose on AAPOR, I can't endorse the idea of relocating the 2011 conference.

However, as another person wrote in opposing any action, perceptions DO matter, and the Arizona law is clearly perceived as xenophobic in intent, which is why AAPOR should not remain silent about it.

I should also say that I don't see much substance in the notion that taking a stand on the Arizona law would jeopardize the image of AAPOR members as impartial researchers. The flip side of that argument is why should one trust people who put profits before ethical considerations.

The fact is that whoever's ox is gored by the results of a survey will often look for some angle to disparage the research organization, so you might as well go with what you believe to be the right thing.

Perhaps a resolution could be submitted instructing Council to not consider Arizona for any future conferences unless the legislature there explicitly reverses this law. There certainly are plenty of worthwhile alternatives in the West.

Jan Werner

---

Peter Miller wrote:

> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled  
> for Phoenix.

>  
> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the  
> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a  
> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

>  
> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to  
> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the  
> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

>  
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which  
> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next  
> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members  
> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by  
> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>  
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have  
> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter

>  
>  
>  
>  
> --

> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
> Department of Communication Studies

> Northwestern University  
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
> p-miller@northwestern.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 20:50:09 -0400  
Reply-To: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com](mailto:t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="=====AVGMAIL-20C80BA0====="

--=====AVGMAIL-20C80BA0=====

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Leaving my own personal beliefs out of the discussion, there is not a single conference attendee who should be negatively impacted by this law. Anyone traveling to the venue other than on foot or bicycle will be in possession of an acceptable form of ID as a precondition of travel. I believe that even non-driving adult passengers in a private vehicle would be likely to have some form of ID that would serve the purpose. The law does not specifically address the status of minors, so one could logically assume that police would follow the same procedures as they do in any other instance in which an adult has acceptable ID and vouches for the status of minors in his/her custody.

I doubt that AZ police are going to be cavalier about enforcement of this law, knowing the firestorm of litigation that abuse would precipitate.

My \$0.02 as an AAPOR member who does not want to see the association, as an entity, become politicized.

---

Mike Donatello  
Director, Research  
USA TODAY  
7950 Jones Branch Dr., McLean, VA 22108  
V 703.854.4572 F 703.854.2165  
mdonatello@usatoday.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: [AAPORNET] Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter

--

Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
Department of Communication Studies  
Northwestern University  
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
p-miller@northwestern.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>



Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2840 - Release Date: 04/29/10  
02:27:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2840 - Release Date: 04/29/10  
02:27:00

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

--=====AVGMAIL-20C80BA0=====

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="=====AVGMAIL-1F01747E====="

--=====AVGMAIL-1F01747E=====

Content-Type: text/plain; x-avg=cert; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Disposition: inline  
Content-Description: "Certification"

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2842 - Release Date: 04/29/10 02:27:00

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

--=====AVGMAIL-1F01747E-----  
--=====AVGMAIL-20C80BA0-----

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 21:04:16 -0400  
Reply-To: Doug Henwood <[dhenwood@PANIX.COM](mailto:dhenwood@PANIX.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Doug Henwood <dhenwood@PANIX.COM>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: aapornet aapornet <aapornet@asu.edu>  
In-Reply-To: <010501cae7ff\$15627050\$402750f0\$@us>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed; delsp=yes  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)

On Apr 29, 2010, at 8:50 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

> My \$0.02 as an AAPOR member who does not want to see the  
> association, as an  
> entity, become politicized.

Of course, if the convention is there, that's a political decision, too, and will be perceived as such. There's no way of not being politicized. As someone said, you may not care about politics, but politics cares about you.

Doug Henwood  
Left Business Observer  
242 Greene Ave - #1C  
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA  
<dhenwood@panix.com>  
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>  
"blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

voice +1-347-599-2211  
cell +1-917-865-2813

producer, Behind the News  
Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM  
Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>  
podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>  
iTunes:  
<<http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>>  
>  
or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

-----  
download my book Wall Street (for free!) at  
<<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 18:08:13 -0700  
Reply-To: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>  
Subject: Re: 2011 AAPOR Meeting  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It's already been challenged...3 lawsuits filed today. I doubt it's going to stand by the time we have our meeting.

I like the idea of using AAPOR as a forum to educate.

I wonder if cancelling the conference ironically might hurt those most likely to be hurt by the legislation: legal Latinos who work in the hotels and restaurants.

All I have to say is that if we cancel, please keep it on the west side of the country!

-Leora

Dr. Leora Lawton  
TechSociety Research  
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"  
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572  
www.techsociety.com  
Yahoo Messenger: leora\_lawton

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 20:24:31 -0500  
Reply-To: Woody Carter <wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Woody Carter <wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU>  
Subject: Personal choices could affect the professional choice  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The AAPOR conference is far and away the best professional conference around. Can't be beat. That's why it will be a

shame if I can't go next year because it's in Arizona.  
Admittedly, that's a personal choice.

However, if a large number of members make that same personal choice, as I hope they will, then that might make the cancellation fee a bargain. It would certainly take AAPOR leadership off the hook -- it was just a business decision. Might be prudent to check (a poll?).

Woody

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 21:32:20 -0400  
Reply-To: Joel Bloom <[joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM](mailto:joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Joel Bloom <[joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM](mailto:joeldbloom@GMAIL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <[F1AF8BC7-190C-494F-991A-698B879229B5@panix.com](mailto:F1AF8BC7-190C-494F-991A-698B879229B5@panix.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Sorry, Mike, but a driver's license is not proof of citizenship. Try crossing a border with just a driver's license and see how far you get. See if they'll let you back in the U.S. According to this law, we would \*all\* need to have with us at all times a birth certificate or passport. The law requires law enforcement to ask everybody for proof of citizenship in every routine contact, such as a traffic stop, and requires them to detain anyone who can't prove their citizenship on the spot. A truck driver who is a U.S. citizen has already been shackled and arrested at a weigh station because he couldn't prove he is a citizen with the papers he had on him (which did include a valid driver's license). This is just a start.

The good news (one hopes) is that this law is such a clear violation of constitutional rights, as well as such a clear violation of the role of the state vs. the federal government, that I think there is very little chance that it will still be in force by this time in 2011. But in the mean time everyone should be clear on this -- this law is written so broadly that it impacts every single person who spends any time at all in the state, regardless of their skin color, accent, nationality, or immigration status.

If it is still in effect this time next year I'll certainly be staying home.

-- Joel

--

Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.

The University at Albany, SUNY

Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science

Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research

Phone: (518) 437-4791

Cell: 541-579-6610

E-mail: [jbloom@albany.edu](mailto:jbloom@albany.edu)

[joeldbloom@googlewave.com](mailto:joeldbloom@googlewave.com)

Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 9:04 PM, Doug Henwood <[dhenwood@panix.com](mailto:dhenwood@panix.com)> wrote:

> On Apr 29, 2010, at 8:50 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

>

> My \$0.02 as an AAPOR member who does not want to see the

>> association, as an

>> entity, become politicized.

>>

>

> Of course, if the convention is there, that's a political decision,

> too, and will be perceived as such. There's no way of not being

> politicized. As someone said, you may not care about politics, but

> politics cares about you.

>

>

>

> Doug Henwood

> Left Business Observer

> 242 Greene Ave - #1C

> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA

> <[dhenwood@panix.com](mailto:dhenwood@panix.com)>

> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>

> "blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

>

> voice +1-347-599-2211

> cell +1-917-865-2813

>

> producer, Behind the News

> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM

> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

>

> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>

> podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>

> iTunes: <

> <http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>

>>

> or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

>

> -----

>

> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at

> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>

>

>

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 21:49:15 -0400  
Reply-To: Young Chun <[ychn2@GMAIL.COM](mailto:ychn2@GMAIL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Young Chun <[ychn2@GMAIL.COM](mailto:ychn2@GMAIL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <[y2w5712bbfc1004291832z63e096c0v4d4318c1ff3dee9f@mail.gmail.com](mailto:y2w5712bbfc1004291832z63e096c0v4d4318c1ff3dee9f@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

It is not an isolated issue to discuss and decide about. An AAPOR Council's decision would affect AAPORites and beyond. Below are the recent developments associated with the Arizona law.

- The city council of Washington, D.C. is considering a city government boycott of the state.  
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/04/27/AR2010042705146.html>

- In San Francisco, the city council is likely to pass a government boycott.  
[http://blogs.sfweekly.com/thesnitch/2010/04/boycott\\_arizona\\_resolution.php#moreMayor](http://blogs.sfweekly.com/thesnitch/2010/04/boycott_arizona_resolution.php#moreMayor)  
Gavin Newsom has temporarily barred city workers from traveling to Arizona on official business.  
<http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/Mayor-temporarily-bans-workers-from-traveling-to-Arizona-on-city-business-92263659.html>

- The American Immigration Lawyers Association moved its upcoming conference from Arizona to another state.  
<http://www.aila.org/content/default.aspx?docid=3D31831>

- The nation's biggest Spanish-language newspaper, La Opinion, called for general boycotts of all Arizona goods and services.  
[http://www.politico.com/blogs/bensmith/0410/Major\\_paper\\_joins\\_Arizona\\_boycott\\_call.html](http://www.politico.com/blogs/bensmith/0410/Major_paper_joins_Arizona_boycott_call.html)

It is conceivable that the Arizona law could adversely affect the way we research and study in the field of public opinion and survey research involving residents in Arizona. Yet I remind you that according to the AAPOR by-laws, "the nature of the business of AAPOR and the objects or purposes to be transacted, promoted or carried on by it are exclusively charitable, scientific, literary or educational and not for profit."

I trust a prudent decision will be made by beautiful minds of the AAPOR council and I would honor and support the final decision. Thank you for spending your invaluable time for resolving this complex issue.

Very best, Young

-----  
Young Chun, Ph.d.

Senior survey methodologist

NORC at the University of Chicago

"Conduct high quality social science research in the public interest"

YChun2@gmail.com

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 9:32 PM, Joel Bloom <joeldbloom@gmail.com> wrote:

- > Sorry, Mike, but a driver's license is not proof of citizenship. Try
- > crossing a border with just a driver's license and see how far you get. See
- > if they'll let you back in the U.S. According to this law, we would \*all\*
- > need to have with us at all times a birth certificate or passport. The law
- > requires law enforcement to ask everybody for proof of citizenship in every
- > routine contact, such as a traffic stop, and requires them to detain anyone
- > who can't prove their citizenship on the spot. A truck driver who is a U.S.
- > citizen has already been shackled and arrested at a weigh station because
- > he
- > couldn't prove he is a citizen with the papers he had on him (which did
- > include a valid driver's license). This is just a start.
- >
- > The good news (one hopes) is that this law is such a clear violation of
- > constitutional rights, as well as such a clear violation of the role of t=

he  
> state vs. the federal government, that I think there is very little chance  
> that it will still be in force by this time in 2011. But in the meantime  
> everyone should be clear on this -- this law is written so broadly that it  
> impacts every single person who spends any time at all in the state,  
> regardless of their skin color, accent, nationality, or immigration status.  
>  
> If it is still in effect this time next year I'll certainly be staying  
> home.  
>  
> -- Joel  
>  
> --  
> Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.  
> The University at Albany, SUNY  
>  
> Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science  
> Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research  
> Phone: (518) 437-4791  
> Cell: 541-579-6610  
> E-mail: [jbloom@albany.edu](mailto:jbloom@albany.edu)  
> [joelbloom@googlewave.com](mailto:joelbloom@googlewave.com)  
> Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>  
>  
> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 9:04 PM, Doug Henwood <[dhenwood@panix.com](mailto:dhenwood@panix.com)> wrote:  
>  
>> On Apr 29, 2010, at 8:50 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:  
>>  
>> My \$0.02 as an AAPOR member who does not want to see the  
>>> association, as an  
>>> entity, become politicized.  
>>>  
>>  
>> Of course, if the convention is there, that's a political decision,  
>> too, and will be perceived as such. There's no way of not being  
>> politicized. As someone said, you may not care about politics, but  
>> politics cares about you.  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> Doug Henwood  
>> Left Business Observer  
>> 242 Greene Ave - #1C  
>> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA  
>> <[dhenwood@panix.com](mailto:dhenwood@panix.com)>  
>> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>  
>> "blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>  
>>  
>> voice +1-347-599-2211  
>> cell +1-917-865-2813  
>>



>> producer, Behind the News  
>> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM  
>> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM  
>>  
>> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>  
>> podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>  
>> iTunes: <  
>>  
> <http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=3D738018=17>  
>>>  
>> or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>  
>>  
>> -----  
>>  
>> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at  
>> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>  
>>  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 22:04:37 -0400  
Reply-To: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[y2w5712bbfc1004291832z63e096c0v4d4318c1ff3dee9f@mail.gmail.com](mailto:y2w5712bbfc1004291832z63e096c0v4d4318c1ff3dee9f@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="====AVGMAIL-3DEE239C===="

--====AVGMAIL-3DEE239C====

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Joel, are you sure about that? Article 8[B][1-4] specifies acceptable forms of identification:

A PERSON IS PRESUMED TO NOT BE AN ALIEN WHO IS UNLAWFULLY PRESENT IN THE UNITED STATES IF THE PERSON PROVIDES TO THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OR AGENCY ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:

1. A VALID ARIZONA DRIVER LICENSE.
2. A VALID ARIZONA NONOPERATING IDENTIFICATION LICENSE.
3. A VALID TRIBAL ENROLLMENT CARD OR OTHER FORM OF TRIBAL IDENTIFICATION.
4. IF THE ENTITY REQUIRES PROOF OF LEGAL PRESENCE IN THE UNITED STATES BEFORE ISSUANCE, ANY VALID UNITED STATES FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT ISSUED IDENTIFICATION.

Are there states which issue licenses without proof of legal presence and residency? Virginia does not, but it's been a long time since I had a license from another state. I'm not sure whether the requirement is uniform or not.

MD

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Joel Bloom  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 9:32 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: [AAPORNET] Arizona

Sorry, Mike, but a driver's license is not proof of citizenship. Try crossing a border with just a driver's license and see how far you get. See if they'll let you back in the U.S. According to this law, we would \*all\* need to have with us at all times a birth certificate or passport. The law requires law enforcement to ask everybody for proof of citizenship in every routine contact, such as a traffic stop, and requires them to detain anyone who can't prove their citizenship on the spot. A truck driver who is a U.S. citizen has already been shackled and arrested at a weigh station because he couldn't prove he is a citizen with the papers he had on him (which did include a valid driver's license). This is just a start.

The good news (one hopes) is that this law is such a clear violation of constitutional rights, as well as such a clear violation of the role of the state vs. the federal government, that I think there is very little chance that it will still be in force by this time in 2011. But in the mean time everyone should be clear on this -- this law is written so broadly that it impacts every single person who spends any time at all in the state, regardless of their skin color, accent, nationality, or immigration status.

If it is still in effect this time next year I'll certainly be staying home.

-- Joel

--

Joel David Bloom, Ph.D.  
The University at Albany, SUNY

Research Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science  
Associate Director, Office of Institutional Research  
Phone: (518) 437-4791  
Cell: 541-579-6610  
E-mail: [jbloom@albany.edu](mailto:jbloom@albany.edu)  
[joeldbloom@googlewave.com](mailto:joeldbloom@googlewave.com)  
Web: <http://www.albany.edu/ir/>

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 9:04 PM, Doug Henwood <[dhenwood@panix.com](mailto:dhenwood@panix.com)> wrote:

> On Apr 29, 2010, at 8:50 PM, Mike Donatello wrote:

>  
> My \$0.02 as an AAPOR member who does not want to see the  
>> association, as an  
>> entity, become politicized.

>>  
>  
> Of course, if the convention is there, that's a political decision,  
> too, and will be perceived as such. There's no way of not being  
> politicized. As someone said, you may not care about politics, but  
> politics cares about you.

>  
>  
>  
> Doug Henwood  
> Left Business Observer  
> 242 Greene Ave - #1C  
> Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA  
> <[dhenwood@panix.com](mailto:dhenwood@panix.com)>  
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>  
> "blog": <<http://doughenwood.wordpress.com/>>

>  
> voice +1-347-599-2211  
> cell +1-917-865-2813

>  
> producer, Behind the News  
> Thursdays, 5-6 PM, WBAI, New York 99.5 FM  
> Saturdays, 10-11 AM, KPFA, Berkeley 94.1 FM

>  
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com/Radio.html>>  
> podcast: <<http://shout.lbo-talk.org/lbo/radio-feed.php>>  
> iTunes: <  
> <http://phobos.apple.com/WebObjects/MZStore.woa/wa/viewPodcast?id=73801817>  
> >  
> or <<http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>>

>  
> -----

>  
> download my book Wall Street (for free!) at  
> <<http://www.wallstreetthebook.com>>  
>  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2840 - Release Date: 04/29/10  
02:27:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2840 - Release Date: 04/29/10  
02:27:00

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----AVGMAIL-3DEE239C-----  
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="-----AVGMAIL-67EE5B32-----"

-----AVGMAIL-67EE5B32-----  
Content-Type: text/plain; x-avg=cert; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Disposition: inline  
Content-Description: "Certification"

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2842 - Release Date: 04/29/10 02:==

27:00

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

--=====AVGMAIL-67EE5B32=====

--=====AVGMAIL-3DEE239C=====

=====  
Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 19:49:07 -0700

Reply-To: Leora Lawton <[lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM](mailto:lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Leora Lawton <[lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM](mailto:lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM)>

Subject: FW: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Forwarded on behalf of another AAPOR member.

-Leora

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Grove [[mailto:lisa@groveinsight.com](mailto:mailto:lisa@groveinsight.com)]

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:33 PM

Subject: RE: 2011 AAPOR Meeting

Hello All,

I read but generally don't participate in the dialogue. I'm the pollster for the Arizona Democratic Party and a number of other candidates, including Terry Goddard who is challenging Jan Brewer. We believe that the message shouldn't be "boycott the state" since this was a legislative and executive decision, but to change the leadership.

I personally do not think it is fair to punish the entire state of Arizona based on the decisions of a governor they did not elect. Remember, Jan Brewer was appointed to the job after Janet Napolitano moved to Homeland Security.

Food for thought, I hope.

Lisa Grove

Grove Insight, Ltd.

10 SE Tenth Ave.

Portland, OR 97214

503-236-9740

[www.groveinsight.com](http://www.groveinsight.com)

-----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 23:11:44 -0400

Reply-To: [kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV](mailto:kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV)

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: [kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV](mailto:kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV)

Subject: Re: Arizona

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

In-Reply-To:

[A<t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com>](mailto:A<t2z815570ad1004291514z2d9f237dza3d9bb6daaf53398@mail.gmail.com>)

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices to make a political statement will place all members who are federal employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution, I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of their general council and monitor this situation closely.

<http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>

## Penalties

An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However, if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.

Sincerely,

Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.

Survey Statistician

Office of Survey Programs, E34-431

Bureau of Transportation Statistics

1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.

Washington, D. C. 20590

P: (202) 366-4108

F: (202) 366-3640

Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.  
Peter

--

Peter V. Miller, PhD.

Department of Communication Studies

Northwestern University

President, American Association for Public Opinion Research

p-miller@northwestern.edu

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 20:57:17 -0700  
Reply-To: Stuart Kasdin <[skasdin1@YAHOO.COM](mailto:skasdin1@YAHOO.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Stuart Kasdin <[skasdin1@YAHOO.COM](mailto:skasdin1@YAHOO.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU), [kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV](mailto:kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV)  
In-Reply-To:  
<[49D6DE4CBF9A3649A1A7BFA5D58B525B010F0A73@OSTMAIL04VS5.ad.dot.gov](mailto:49D6DE4CBF9A3649A1A7BFA5D58B525B010F0A73@OSTMAIL04VS5.ad.dot.gov)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a violation of the Hatch Act if the organization cancels its location or makes a statement about immigration policy. AAPOR, the organization does not automatically become a different type of organization. In the Bush Administration they did try to discuss eliminating the tax exempt status of churches deemed political (in a liberal direction, or course). For the Hatch Act, the question would be whether on government time, employees are engaging in political behavior. However, since the purpose of the conference is still focused on survey research and related topics, it seems to me that the federal employee is safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as a different type of organization, that would be different. Still, I am just speculating, so grain of salt and all that...

--- On Thu, 4/29/10, [kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV](mailto:kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV) <[kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV](mailto:kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV)> wrote:

From: [kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV](mailto:kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV) <[kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV](mailto:kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM

Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices



to make a political statement will place all members who are federal employees in violation of The Hatch Act.=A0 Not only would this preclude them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution, I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of its attendees.=A0 I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of their general council and monitor this situation closely.

<http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>

### Penalties

An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However, if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.

Sincerely,

Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.

Survey Statistician  
Office of Survey Programs, E34-431  
Bureau of Transportation Statistics  
1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.  
Washington, D. C. 20590  
P: (202) 366-4108  
F: (202) 366-3640  
Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Arizona

Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days.=A0 We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law, a

number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location. After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided by the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.  
Peter

--

Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
Department of Communication Studies  
Northwestern University  
President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
p-miller@northwestern.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
[aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Thu, 29 Apr 2010 23:16:04 -0500

Reply-To: "G. Donald Ferree, Jr." <[gferree@SSC.WISC.EDU](mailto:gferree@SSC.WISC.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "G. Donald Ferree, Jr." <[gferree@SSC.WISC.EDU](mailto:gferree@SSC.WISC.EDU)>

Subject: Re: Arizona

X-To: Stuart Kasdin <[skasdin1@YAHOO.COM](mailto:skasdin1@YAHOO.COM)>

X-cc: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)

In-Reply-To: <[165439.44796.qm@web53308.mail.re2.yahoo.com](mailto:165439.44796.qm@web53308.mail.re2.yahoo.com)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I am also not a lawyer, but as I understand it, AAPOR's status as a tax exempt entity is key in terms of potential legal prohibition on membership by federal employees due to the Hatch Act. A central question is typically whether or not

direct advocacy for or against candidate(s) in a partisan election is involved.

Certainly, a number of professional organizations have established policies of not

meeting in various locations based on such things as ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment, the Martin Luther King holiday, same sex marriage legislation, or

even labor policies. If AAPOR were to call for the election or defeat of the Governor of Arizona or others in partisan elections, our tax exempt status might be

jeopardized, but such steps as the suggested boycott are different. I note that the

website referred to in the original post gives as examples of permitted activities

for state and local employees comment on political issues and/or explicit statements

about referenda.

The answer to this question does not, of course, finally settle whether or not the

proposed move is professionally appropriate. But the assertion that the Hatch Act

would require Federal employees to terminate their membership strikes me as likely

overblown. In any event, as noted, I am not a lawyer myself, however.

Perhaps a

clear opinion from AAPOR's attorney alone or in conjunction with other organizations

would clear the air and let the discussion focus on appropriate questions about the

advisability or non-advisability of trying to move the 2011 meeting.

Don

> I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a violation of the Hatch Act if the

> organization cancels its location or makes a statement about immigration policy.

> AAPOR, the organization does not automatically become a different type of organization. In the Bush Administration they did try or discuss eliminating the

> tax exempt status of churches deemed political (in a liberal direction, or course). For the Hatch Act, the question would be whether on government time,

> employees are engaging in political behavior. However, since the purpose of the

> coference is still focused on survey research and related topics, it seems to me

> that the federal employee is safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as a different type of organization, that woud be different. Still, I am just

> speculating, so grain of salt and all that...

>

> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV <kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV> wrote:

>

>

> From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV <kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>

> Subject: Re: Arizona

> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM

>

>

> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices to make a political statement will place all members who are federal employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

>

> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution, I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

>

> I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of their general council and monitor this situation closely.

>

> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>

>

> Penalties

>

> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However,

> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the  
> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day  
> suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.

>  
>  
> Sincerely,

> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.

>  
> Survey Statistician  
> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431  
> Bureau of Transportation Statistics  
> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.  
> Washington, D. C. 20590  
> P: (202) 366-4108  
> F: (202) 366-3640  
> Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Arizona

>  
> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now  
> scheduled  
> for Phoenix.

>  
> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling  
> the  
> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the  
> law, a  
> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

>  
> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according  
> to  
> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to  
> the  
> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

>  
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations  
> which  
> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early  
> next  
> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council  
> members  
> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
> by  
> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>  
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we  
> have  
> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.  
> Peter

>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
> Department of Communication Studies  
> Northwestern University  
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
> p-miller@northwestern.edu

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 00:53:31 -0400  
Reply-To: Michael McDonald <[mmcdon@GMU.EDU](mailto:mmcdon@GMU.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Michael McDonald <[mmcdon@GMU.EDU](mailto:mmcdon@GMU.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona

X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

In-Reply-To: <647b9fd0268da3733d12f3e975c48d0b.squirrel@webmail.ssc.wisc.edu>

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I agree. In addition to the lack of a boycott by a professional organization on the list of prohibited activities, note Title 5, Part III, Subpart F, Chapter 73, Subchapter III, Sec. 7323(c), which states:

"An employee retains the right to vote as he chooses and to express his opinion on political subjects and candidates."

<http://uscode.house.gov/uscode-cgi/fastweb.exe?getdoc+uscview+t05t08+827+0++%28%29%20%20AND%20%28%28%285%29%20A>

I suppose things could get a bit murkier if Arizona qualified a ballot initiative to address the immigration law and this issue was still before the AAPOR membership, but we are not in that state of the world.

=====  
Dr. Michael P. McDonald  
Associate Professor, George Mason University  
Non-Resident Senior Fellow, Brookings Institution

Mailing address:

(o) 703-993-4191 George Mason University  
(f) 703-993-1399 Dept. of Public and International Affairs  
mcdon@gmu.edu 4400 University Drive - 3F4  
<http://elections.gmu.edu> Fairfax, VA 22030-4444

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU] On Behalf Of G. Donald Ferree, Jr.  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 12:16 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

I am also not a lawyer, but as I understand it, AAPOR's status as a tax exempt entity is key in terms of potential legal prohibition on membership by federal employees due to the Hatch Act. A central question is typically whether or not direct advocacy for or against candidate(s) in a partisan election is involved.

Certainly, a number of professional organizations have established policies of not meeting in various locations based on such things as ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment, the Martin Luther King holiday, same sex marriage legislation, or even labor policies. If AAPOR were to call for the election or defeat of the

Governor of Arizona or others in partisan elections, our tax exempt status might be jeopardized, but such steps as the suggested boycott are different. I note that the website referred to in the original post gives as examples of permitted activities for state and local employees comment on political issues and/or explicit statements about referenda.

The answer to this question does not, of course, finally settle whether or not the proposed move is professionally appropriate. But the assertion that the Hatch Act would require Federal employees to terminate their membership strikes me as likely overblown. In any event, as noted, I am not a lawyer myself, however. Perhaps a clear opinion from AAPOR's attorney alone or in conjunction with other organizations would clear the air and let the discussion focus on appropriate questions about the advisability or non-advisability of trying to move the 2011 meeting.

Don

> I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a violation of the Hatch Act if the  
> organization cancels its location or makes a statement about immigration policy.  
> AAPOR, the organization does not automatically become a different type of  
> organization. In the Bush Administration they did try or discuss eliminating the  
> tax exempt status of churches deemed political (in a liberal direction, or  
> course). For the Hatch Act, the question would be whether on government time,  
> employees are engaging in political behavior. However, since the purpose of the  
> conference is still focused on survey research and related topics, it seems to me  
> that the federal employee is safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as a  
> different type of organization, that would be different. Still, I am just  
> speculating, so grain of salt and all that...  
>  
> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV <kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV> wrote:  
>  
>  
> From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV <kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>  
> Subject: Re: Arizona  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM



>  
>  
> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices  
> to make a political statement will place all members who are federal  
> employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude  
> them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to  
> terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.  
>  
> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution,  
> I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require  
> the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of  
> its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup  
> membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.  
>  
> I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of  
> their general council and monitor this situation closely.  
>  
> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>  
>  
> Penalties  
>  
> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their  
> position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed  
> thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However,  
> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the  
> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day  
> suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.  
>  
>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.  
>  
> Survey Statistician  
> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431  
> Bureau of Transportation Statistics  
> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.  
> Washington, D. C. 20590  
> P: (202) 366-4108  
> F: (202) 366-3640  
> [Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov](mailto:Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov)  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Peter Miller  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Arizona  
>  
> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now  
> scheduled  
> for Phoenix.  
>  
> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling

> the  
> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the  
> law, a  
> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.  
>  
> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according  
> to  
> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to  
> the  
> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.  
>  
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations  
> which  
> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early  
> next  
> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council  
> members  
> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
> by  
> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.  
>  
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we  
> have  
> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.  
> Peter  
>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
> Department of Communication Studies  
> Northwestern University  
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
> p-miller@northwestern.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 08:26:23 -0400  
Reply-To: "Andrew A. Beveridge" <aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Andrew A. Beveridge" <aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM>  
Subject: Re: Incidence of legal blindness in NY  
X-To: Micheline Blum <micheline.blum@baruch.cuny.edu>  
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
In-Reply-To: <16720E8B3F0C8D4C8F51EE08BFFAEF960216C3DE@mb01.baruch.local>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Micky, et al:

The Census Bureau finally split deaf from blind in the 2008 ACS. I  
attach a table.

Andy

On Thu, Apr 22, 2010 at 4:01 PM, Micheline Blum  
<micheline.blum@baruch.cuny.edu> wrote:

> Baruch's School of Public Affairs and our Computer Center for Visually  
> Impaired People are trying to find out the number of legally blind  
> individuals in New York City. =A0We wondered if anyone in AAPOR has done =  
a  
> survey-or knows of a survey-of New York City or New York State, which

> asked about blindness, visual impairment, or vision in general.  
>  
>  
>  
> We believe that most research to date has used national rates of  
> blindness to estimate the incidence in states and cities. =A0We would lik=  
e  
> to get better numbers for New York. =A0Ultimately, we would like to be  
> able to determine what proportion of the blind and visually impaired  
> population in New York is currently receiving services.

> Thanks in advance.

> Mickey

> Micheline Blum

> Director

> Baruch College Survey Research

> School of Public Affairs

> Baruch College/CUNY

> 646-660-6795

> micheline.blum@baruch.cuny.edu

> -----  
> Advanced Registration rate deadline approaching: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu).

--=20  
Andrew A. Beveridge

Prof of Sociology Queens College and Grad Ctr CUNY  
Chair Queens College Sociology Dept  
Office: 718-997-2848  
Email: [andrew.beveridge@qc.cuny.edu](mailto:andrew.beveridge@qc.cuny.edu)  
252A Powdermaker Hall  
65-30 Kissena Blvd  
Flushing, NY 11367-1597

President, Social Explorer, Inc  
50 Merriam Ave  
Bronxville, NY 10708  
Phone 914-337-6237  
Cell 914-522-4487  
[andy@sociaexplorer.com](mailto:andy@sociaexplorer.com)  
[www.sociaexplorer.com](http://www.sociaexplorer.com)

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 09:04:37 -0400  
Reply-To: Donna Victoria <[donna@VICTORIARESEARCH.COM](mailto:donna@VICTORIARESEARCH.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Donna Victoria <[donna@VICTORIARESEARCH.COM](mailto:donna@VICTORIARESEARCH.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: Stuart Kasdin <[skasdin1@yahoo.com](mailto:skasdin1@yahoo.com)>  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <[165439.44796.qm@web53308.mail.re2.yahoo.com](mailto:165439.44796.qm@web53308.mail.re2.yahoo.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

@ Donatello: "there is not a single conference attendee who should be negatively impacted by this law"

Mike, I think having to worry about being stopped for "driving while brown" with the threat of imminent arrest if you don't immediately present your papers is in itself a negative impact, one that is hardly negated if we get thru the conference without any members in shackles.

If you were a Latino AAPOR member thinking of bringing along family, how would you feel about an episode like this happening in front of your children?

I don't agree with a boycott for political reasons, I agree that is a tangled web for us, but there are two very real concerns before Council:

Financial -- do we lose more money by canceling and moving, or by staying in AZ (i.e., how many members who would have gone will opt out)  
Fraternal -- are we being sufficiently protective of all AAPOR members when

we know this law is not colorblind -- if members feel strongly that setting foot in Arizona disrespects or threatens them, do they lose faith in AAPOR as a membership organization if we go ahead anyway? At a minimum, we need to carefully consider the views of all members, not send the message that this is no big deal.

Personally, I'm on the fence as to whether I'd attend in Arizona or not, this needs to play out a little more but AAPOR does not have the time for that...kinda hoping the Constitution rides to the rescue, here!

So if we leave AZ, I think the "boycott" should be viewed as a financial decision, and one of responsibility to members. It's just business -- and AZ lawmakers probably should have thought of these impacts when they acted.

DonnaV

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 11:57 PM, Stuart Kasdin <skasdin1@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a violation of the Hatch  
> Act if the organization cancels its location or makes a statement about  
> immigration policy. AAPOR, the organization does not automatically become a  
> different type of organization. In the Bush Administration they did try or  
> discuss eliminating the tax exempt status of churches deemed political (in a  
> liberal direction, or course). For the Hatch Act, the question would be  
> whether on government time, employees are engaging in political behavior.  
> However, since the purpose of the coference is still focused on survey  
> research and related topics, it seems to me that the federal employee is  
> safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as a different type of  
> organization, that woud be different. Still, I am just speculating, so  
> grain of salt and all that...

>  
> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV <kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV> wrote:

>  
>  
> From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV <kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>  
> Subject: Re: Arizona  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM

>  
>  
> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices  
> to make a political statement will place all members who are federal  
> employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude  
> them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to  
> terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

>  
> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution,  
> I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require  
> the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of  
> its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup  
> membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

>  
> I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of  
> their general council and monitor this situation closely.

>  
> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>  
>  
> Penalties  
>  
> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their  
> position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed  
> thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However,  
> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the  
> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day  
> suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.  
>  
>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.  
>  
> Survey Statistician  
> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431  
> Bureau of Transportation Statistics  
> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.  
> Washington, D. C. 20590  
> P: (202) 366-4108  
> F: (202) 366-3640  
> Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Arizona  
>  
> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now  
> scheduled  
> for Phoenix.  
>  
> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling  
> the  
> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the  
> law, a  
> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.  
>  
> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according  
> to  
> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to  
> the  
> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.  
>  
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations

> which  
> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early  
> next  
> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council  
> members  
> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
> by  
> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>  
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we  
> have  
> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.  
> Peter

>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
> Department of Communication Studies  
> Northwestern University  
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
> p-miller@northwestern.edu

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

--

-----  
Donna Victoria



Victoria Research & Consulting  
301-565-9500

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
=====

Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 09:09:11 -0400  
Reply-To: "Timothy D. MacKinnon" <[tim.mackinnon@RUTGERS.EDU](mailto:tim.mackinnon@RUTGERS.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Timothy D. MacKinnon" <[tim.mackinnon@RUTGERS.EDU](mailto:tim.mackinnon@RUTGERS.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: [jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com), [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[4BDA1F6C.5040508@jwdp.com](mailto:4BDA1F6C.5040508@jwdp.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I don't want to keep throwing water on the fire here because I am firmly against this law personally, however, I think we are making a few assumptions about the situation on the ground in AZ one year from now, such as.

1. That this law will even end up going into effect - there are constitutional challenges due and there is a lot of heat on the AZ legislature right now - some mightier players than us are putting major pressure to bear, including the Obama administration, the tourism industry, foreign countries and even Major League Baseball (AZ relies on Spring training for a lot their revenue and I hardly think a sport where Latinos make up a large amount of the players will be thrilled to go there)
2. That this law will even be enforced. Police have a lot of discretion when it comes to enforcement in their localities. This law may end up being much ado about nothing -- a book law only. And as of now, I believe the Phoenix Police are thoroughly against this (I could be wrong here about Phoenix PD).
3. That our members would be in any danger even if the law was enforced - Something makes me think police won't be running around Scottsdale and Tempe shining lights in the eyes of a bunch of folks wearing convention gear. NOTE - not that I think profiling by class is right, it is wrong, I'm just saying that I highly doubt it is a realistic concern at this point.

Bottom line is that I think if we make a decision this early (as it appears that we would have to) it will be a decision based on incomplete and preliminary information, which is hardly something we would do in our research. I also think the risk of appearing to choose a political side is too great, especially when our members poll on this very subject (and keeping the convention in Phoenix does not constitute tacit approval of the law). I do not wish to live in a world where liberals have their own public interest pollsters and conservatives have theirs (although I am afraid this is happening already) If you want to send a message to Arizona, do what I am

doing, go to one of the May 1st rallies coming up in support of immigrant rights, write a message to your congressman or the Arizona legislature, join a Facebook page, Tell everyone you know that you think this law is wrong, but moving the convention would be a quick decision, based on incomplete information that would be perceived as political. Just my two cents.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 8:08 PM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Subject: Re: Arizona

Given the financial burden it would impose on AAPOR, I can't endorse the idea of relocating the 2011 conference.

However, as another person wrote in opposing any action, perceptions DO matter, and the Arizona law is clearly perceived as xenophobic in intent, which is why AAPOR should not remain silent about it.

I should also say that I don't see much substance in the notion that taking a stand on the Arizona law would jeopardize the image of AAPOR members as impartial researchers. The flip side of that argument is why should one trust people who put profits before ethical considerations.

The fact is that whoever's ox is gored by the results of a survey will often look for some angle to disparage the research organization, so you might as well go with what you believe to be the right thing.

Perhaps a resolution could be submitted instructing Council to not consider Arizona for any future conferences unless the legislature there explicitly reverses this law. There certainly are plenty of worthwhile alternatives in the West.

Jan Werner

---

Peter Miller wrote:

> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled  
> for Phoenix.

>

> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the  
> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law,

a

> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

>

> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to

> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the  
> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.  
>  
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which  
> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next  
> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members  
> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
by

> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>  
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have  
> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter

>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
> Department of Communication Studies  
> Northwestern University  
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
> p-miller@northwestern.edu

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>  
>  
-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 09:38:17 -0400  
Reply-To: Sally Daniels <[sally\\_daniels@COMCAST.NET](mailto:sally_daniels@COMCAST.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Sally Daniels <sally\_daniels@COMCAST.NET>  
Subject: Arizona and the 2010 Census  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

As the 2010 Census tries to wrap up, I worry a bit about how the Arizona law may impact it. Even though data collection for the Census will be finished before the law goes into effect, it may be causing more resistance to Census takers already. There could be an undercount in Arizona this time. I wonder what, if anything, is being done to counter this.

Sally Daniels

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 10:13:25 -0400  
Reply-To: [hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Jennifer Hochschild <[hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU](mailto:hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU)>  
Organization: Harvard  
Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <[t2z432c36861004300604wdc7fc7d3v874d74dadf87a2e0@mail.gmail.com](mailto:t2z432c36861004300604wdc7fc7d3v874d74dadf87a2e0@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

RE one thread of this very interesting discussion: According to "US Immigration Support: your online guide to US visas, green cards, and citizenship" (<http://www.usimmigrationsupport.org/illegalimmigrant-driverslicense.html>):

"The requirements for obtaining a driver's license varies by state. Some states are proposing legislation to prohibit the issuance of state issued identification to individuals who are unable to prove legal immigration status. Conversely, in other states it is possible for an illegal immigrant to obtain a driver's license, as no proof of legal immigration status is required."

So apparently having a drivers license from some states would not be sufficient if one were stopped in AZ under the new law.

Note that I don't see a date on this webpage, but it does refer to "the

Obama administration" in another location.

best, Jennifer

On 4/30/2010 9:04 AM, Donna Victoria wrote:

- > @ Donatello: "there is not a single conference attendee who should be
- > negatively impacted by this law"
- >
- > Mike, I think having to worry about being stopped for "driving while brown"
- > with the threat of imminent arrest if you don't immediately present your
- > papers is in itself a negative impact, one that is hardly negated if we get
- > thru the conference without any members in shackles.
- >
- > If you were a Latino AAPOR member thinking of bringing along family, how
- > would you feel about an episode like this happening in front of your
- > children?
- >
- > I don't agree with a boycott for political reasons, I agree that is a
- > tangled web for us, but there are two very real concerns before Council:
- >
- > Financial -- do we lose more money by canceling and moving, or by staying in
- > AZ (i.e., how many members who would have gone will opt out)
- > Fraternal -- are we being sufficiently protective of all AAPOR members when
- > we know this law is not colorblind -- if members feel strongly that setting
- > foot in Arizona disrespects or threatens them, do they lose faith in AAPOR
- > as a membership organization if we go ahead anyway? At a minimum, we need
- > to carefully consider the views of all members, not send the message that
- > this is no big deal.
- >
- > Personally, I'm on the fence as to whether I'd attend in Arizona or not,
- > this needs to play out a little more but AAPOR does not have the time for
- > that...kinda hoping the Constitution rides to the rescue, here!
- >
- > So if we leave AZ, I think the "boycott" should be viewed as a financial
- > decision, and one of responsibility to members. It's just business -- and
- > AZ lawmakers probably should have thought of these impacts when they acted.
- >
- > DonnaV
- >
- > On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 11:57 PM, Stuart Kasdin<skasdin1@yahoo.com> wrote:
- >
- >> I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a violation of the Hatch
- >> Act if the organization cancels its location or makes a statement about
- >> immigration policy. AAPOR, the organization does not automatically become
- a
- >> different type of organization. In the Bush Administration they did try or
- >> discuss eliminating the tax exempt status of churches deemed political (in
- a
- >> liberal direction, or course). For the Hatch Act, the question would be
- >> whether on government time, employees are engaging in political behavior.
- >> However, since the purpose of the coference is still focused on survey
- >> research and related topics, it seems to me that the federal employee is

>> safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as a different type of  
>> organization, that would be different. Still, I am just speculating, so  
>> grain of salt and all that...

>>  
>> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV> wrote:

>>  
>> From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>  
>> Subject: Re: Arizona  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM

>>  
>> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices  
>> to make a political statement will place all members who are federal  
>> employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude  
>> them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to  
>> terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

>>  
>> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution,  
>> I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require  
>> the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of  
>> its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup  
>> membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

>>  
>> I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of  
>> their general council and monitor this situation closely.

>>  
>> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>

>>  
>> Penalties

>>  
>> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their  
>> position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed  
>> thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However,  
>> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the  
>> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day  
>> suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.

>>  
>>  
>> Sincerely,

>>  
>> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.

>>  
>> Survey Statistician  
>> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431  
>> Bureau of Transportation Statistics  
>> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.  
>> Washington, D. C. 20590  
>> P: (202) 366-4108  
>> F: (202) 366-3640  
>> Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller

>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>> Subject: Arizona

>>

>> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now  
>> scheduled  
>> for Phoenix.

>>

>> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
>> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
>> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling  
>> the  
>> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the  
>> law, a  
>> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

>>

>> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
>> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according  
>> to  
>> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
>> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to  
>> the  
>> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
>> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

>>

>> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
>> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations  
>> which  
>> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early  
>> next  
>> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council  
>> members  
>> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
>> by  
>> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>>

>> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we  
>> have  
>> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.  
>> Peter

>>

>>

>>

>> --

>> Peter V. Miller, PhD.

>> Department of Communication Studies

>> Northwestern University

>> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research

>> p-miller@northwestern.edu

>>

>> -----

>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

>> set aapornet nomail

>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>

>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>

>  
>  
>  
> --

> -----  
> Donna Victoria  
> Victoria Research& Consulting  
> 301-565-9500  
>  
> -----  
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> signoff aapornet  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--  
Jennifer L. Hochschild  
Harvard University  
Henry LaBarre Jayne Professor of Government,  
Professor of African and African American Studies, and  
Harvard College Professor

Department of Government  
Harvard University  
CGIS -- 1737 Cambridge Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
Phone: 617-496-0181  
Fax: 617-495-0438  
[Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)

-----



Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 10:14:49 -0400  
Reply-To: "Andrew A. Beveridge" <[aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM](mailto:aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Andrew A. Beveridge" <[aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM](mailto:aabeveridge@GMAIL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona and the 2010 Census  
X-To: Sally Daniels <[sally\\_daniels@comcast.net](mailto:sally_daniels@comcast.net)>  
X-cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
In-Reply-To: <[490B5E8D4A4C441BB841A6A5F06D3300@SallyD](mailto:490B5E8D4A4C441BB841A6A5F06D3300@SallyD)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Segments of Arizona had lower response this time than last time, so it may already be affecting the response.

Andy

P.S. As to conference, what if one attendee was picked up (Mike Donatello to the contrary notwithstanding) by the new law and its empowered police. What impact would that have on AAPOR in the future. Not good, I would warrant. Also wouldn't the law scare away non-citizens from coming? It certainly seems that Arizona as an entity has decided to be quite unwelcoming to non-citizens, especially those who do not look "white." It does put one in mind of the "pass laws" in South Africa, where Africans had to have papers to go into non-African sections of South Africa, and as John Stewart did in a bit, some of the laws on showing papers in Facist and Communist countries.

On Fri, Apr 30, 2010 at 9:38 AM, Sally Daniels

<[sally\\_daniels@comcast.net](mailto:sally_daniels@comcast.net)> wrote:

> As the 2010 Census tries to wrap up, I worry a bit about how the Arizona law  
> may impact it. Even though data collection for the Census will be finished  
> before the law goes into effect, it may be causing more resistance to Census  
> takers already. There could be an undercount in Arizona this time. I  
> wonder what, if anything, is being done to counter this.

>

>

>

> Sally Daniels

>

>

> -----

> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

> signoff aapornet  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>

--

Andrew A. Beveridge  
Prof of Sociology Queens College and Grad Ctr CUNY  
Chair Queens College Sociology Dept  
Office: 718-997-2848  
Email: [andrew.beveridge@qc.cuny.edu](mailto:andrew.beveridge@qc.cuny.edu)  
252A Powdermaker Hall  
65-30 Kissena Blvd  
Flushing, NY 11367-1597

President, Social Explorer, Inc  
50 Merriam Ave  
Bronxville, NY 10708  
Phone 914-337-6237  
Cell 914-522-4487  
[andy@sociaexplorer.com](mailto:andy@sociaexplorer.com)  
[www.sociaexplorer.com](http://www.sociaexplorer.com)

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 10:19:09 -0400  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: Hispanics and Arizona's New Immigration Law  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Pew pulled together some research from the last couple of years . . . .

Hispanics and Arizona's New Immigration Law  
<http://pewresearch.org/pubs/1579/arizona-immigration-law-fact-sheet-hispanic-population-opinion-discrimination>

April 29, 2010

Arizona last week passed a law authorizing local police to check the

immigration status of anyone they reasonably suspect of being in the United States illegally.<sup>1</sup> The law has generated sharp debate between advocates who say it is needed to combat illegal immigration and opponents who say it is an infringement on civil liberties and an invitation to racial/ethnic profiling of Hispanics by the police. In addition, some say the law will create tensions between police and Hispanics that will hinder general law enforcement.

Below are a set of recent findings from the Pew Research Center and Pew Hispanic Center that provide background on a range of issues raised by the new Arizona law. The findings are drawn mainly from nationwide surveys conducted in 2008 and 2009.

snip

--

Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 10:21:58 -0400  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Hispanics and Arizona's New Immigration Law  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To:  
A<[3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E521684FE81E4@exchange.local.artscience.com](mailto:3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E521684FE81E4@exchange.local.artscience.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

<http://tinyurl.com/23lkq31>

--

Leo (Curses, foiled again) Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 10:19 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Hispanics and Arizona's New Immigration Law

Pew pulled together some research from the last couple of years . . . .

Hispanics and Arizona's New Immigration Law  
<http://pewresearch.org/pubs/1579/arizona-immigration-law-fact-sheet-hispanic-population-opinion-discrimination>

April 29, 2010

Arizona last week passed a law authorizing local police to check the immigration status of anyone they reasonably suspect of being in the United States illegally.<sup>1</sup> The law has generated sharp debate between advocates who say it is needed to combat illegal immigration and opponents who say it is an infringement on civil liberties and an invitation to racial/ethnic profiling of Hispanics by the police. In addition, some say the law will create tensions between police and Hispanics that will hinder general law enforcement.

Below are a set of recent findings from the Pew Research Center and Pew Hispanic Center that provide background on a range of issues raised by the new Arizona law. The findings are drawn mainly from nationwide surveys conducted in 2008 and 2009.

snip

--  
Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Research  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 07:35:50 -0700  
Reply-To: Ginger Blazier <gblazier@DIRESEARCH.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Ginger Blazier <gblazier@DIRESEARCH.COM>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <003201cae866\$53a3ea80\$faebbf80\$@mackinnon@rutgers.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

We all know that any decision will not satisfy everyone's feelings or convictions. I just wanted to say that the AAPOR Executive Council has a difficult decision and I will support their final outcome. I respect the individuals on the council and trust that they will make a decision that will uphold the credibility and integrity of our association and what it represents.

Ginger Blazier, PRC  
SVP  
Directions In Research  
9645 Granite Ridge Dr., Suite 330  
San Diego, CA 92123  
P: (619) 299-5883  
GBlazier@DIResearch.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 6:09 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

I don't want to keep throwing water on the fire here because I am firmly against this law personally, however, I think we are making a few assumptions about the situation on the ground in AZ one year from now, such as.

1. That this law will even end up going into effect - there are constitutional challenges due and there is a lot of heat on the AZ legislature right now - some mightier players than us are putting major pressure to bear, including the Obama administration, the tourism industry, foreign countries and even Major League Baseball (AZ relies on Spring training for a lot their revenue and I hardly think a sport where Latinos make up a large amount of the players will be thrilled to go there)
2. That this law will even be enforced. Police have a lot of discretion when it comes to enforcement in their localities. This law may end up being much ado about nothing -- a book law only. And as of now, I believe the Phoenix Police are thoroughly against this (I could be wrong here about Phoenix PD).
3. That our members would be in any danger even if the law was enforced - Something makes me think police won't be running around Scottsdale and Tempe shining lights in the eyes of a bunch of folks wearing convention gear. NOTE - not that I think profiling by class is right, it is wrong, I'm just saying that I highly doubt it is a realistic concern at this point.

Bottom line is that I think if we make a decision this early (as it appears that we would have to) it will be a decision based on incomplete and preliminary information, which is hardly something we would do in our research. I also think the risk of appearing to choose a political side is too great, especially when our members poll on this very subject (and keeping the convention in Phoenix does not constitute tacit approval of the law). I do not wish to live in a world where liberals have their own public interest pollsters and conservatives have theirs (although I am afraid this is happening already) If you want to send a message to Arizona, do what I am doing, go to one of the May 1st rallies coming up in support of immigrant rights, write a message to your congressman or the Arizona legislature, join a Facebook page, Tell everyone you know that you think this law is wrong, but moving the convention would be a quick decision, based on incomplete information that would be perceived as political. Just my two cents.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 8:08 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

Given the financial burden it would impose on AAPOR, I can't endorse the idea of relocating the 2011 conference.

However, as another person wrote in opposing any action, perceptions DO matter, and the Arizona law is clearly perceived as xenophobic in intent, which is why AAPOR should not remain silent about it.

I should also say that I don't see much substance in the notion that taking a stand on the Arizona law would jeopardize the image of AAPOR members as impartial researchers. The flip side of that argument is why should one trust people who put profits before ethical considerations.

The fact is that whoever's ox is gored by the results of a survey will often look for some angle to disparage the research organization, so you might as well go with what you believe to be the right thing.

Perhaps a resolution could be submitted instructing Council to not consider Arizona for any future conferences unless the legislature there explicitly reverses this law. There certainly are plenty of worthwhile alternatives in the West.

Jan Werner

---

Peter Miller wrote:

> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled  
> for Phoenix.

>  
> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the  
> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law,  
a  
> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.  
>  
> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to  
> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the  
> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.  
>  
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which  
> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next  
> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members  
> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
by  
> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.  
>  
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have  
> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter  
>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
> Department of Communication Studies  
> Northwestern University  
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
> p-miller@northwestern.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
-----

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2843 - Release Date: 04/29/10  
18:27:00

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 15:28:36 +0000  
Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship  
X-To: [hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To:  
<[356870719.1692471272641239805.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net](mailto:356870719.1692471272641239805.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Several states require citizenship to obtain a driver's license. It is not clear whether other states would accept such licenses as proof of citizenship, not clear whether other states determine whether or not an out-of-state driver has such a license.

Here is a story from the Arizona Republic. Three law suits against the state have been filed.  
<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/29/20100429arizona-immigration-lawsuit29-ON.html>

Lot's of conflict between elected officials including State Attorney General Terry Goddard who says he would likely refuse to defend the state in court. Goddard appears to be the Democratic challenger to Gov. Jan Brewer this November, ahead 47% to 28% in an ASU poll last November. (Source: [Pollingreport.com](http://Pollingreport.com)) Any current polls available?

The side bar has lots of interesting stories including "Referendum could delay



AZ immigration law to 2010.

<http://www.azcentral.com/news/articles/2010/04/28/20100428arizona-immigration-law-referendum-could-delay.html>

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jennifer Hochschild" <hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 9:13:25 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship

RE one thread of this very interesting discussion: According to "US Immigration Support: your online guide to US visas, green cards, and citizenship"

(<http://www.usimmigrationsupport.org/illegalimmigrant-driverslicense.html>):

"The requirements for obtaining a driver's license varies by state. Some states are proposing legislation to prohibit the issuance of state issued identification to individuals who are unable to prove legal immigration status. Conversely, in other states it is possible for an illegal immigrant to obtain a driver's license, as no proof of legal immigration status is required."

So apparently having a drivers license from some states would not be sufficient if one were stopped in AZ under the new law.

Note that I don't see a date on this webpage, but it does refer to "the Obama administration" in another location.

best, Jennifer

On 4/30/2010 9:04 AM, Donna Victoria wrote:

> @ Donatello: "there is not a single conference attendee who should be  
> negatively impacted by this law"

>

> Mike, I think having to worry about being stopped for "driving while brown"  
> with the threat of imminent arrest if you don't immediately present your  
> papers is in itself a negative impact, one that is hardly negated if we get  
> thru the conference without any members in shackles.

>

> If you were a Latino AAPOR member thinking of bringing along family, how  
> would you feel about an episode like this happening in front of your  
> children?

>

> I don't agree with a boycott for political reasons, I agree that is a  
> tangled web for us, but there are two very real concerns before Council:

>

> Financial -- do we lose more money by canceling and moving, or by staying in  
> AZ (i.e., how many members who would have gone will opt out)  
> Fraternal -- are we being sufficiently protective of all AAPOR members when  
> we know this law is not colorblind -- if members feel strongly that setting

> foot in Arizona disrespects or threatens them, do they lose faith in AAPOR  
> as a membership organization if we go ahead anyway? At a minimum, we need  
> to carefully consider the views of all members, not send the message that  
> this is no big deal.  
>  
> Personally, I'm on the fence as to whether I'd attend in Arizona or not,  
> this needs to play out a little more but AAPOR does not have the time for  
> that...kinda hoping the Constitution rides to the rescue, here!  
>  
> So if we leave AZ, I think the "boycott" should be viewed as a financial  
> decision, and one of responsibility to members. It's just business -- and  
> AZ lawmakers probably should have thought of these impacts when they acted.

> DonnaV

> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 11:57 PM, Stuart Kasdin<skasdin1@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a violation of the Hatch  
>> Act if the organization cancels its location or makes a statement about  
>> immigration policy. AAPOR, the organization does not automatically become a  
>> different type of organization. In the Bush Administration they did try or  
>> discuss eliminating the tax exempt status of churches deemed political (in  
a  
>> liberal direction, or course). For the Hatch Act, the question would be  
>> whether on government time, employees are engaging in political behavior.  
>> However, since the purpose of the coference is still focused on survey  
>> research and related topics, it seems to me that the federal employee is  
>> safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as a different type of  
>> organization, that woud be different. Still, I am just speculating, so  
>> grain of salt and all that...

>> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV> wrote:

>> From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>

>> Subject: Re: Arizona

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM

>> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices  
>> to make a political statement will place all members who are federal  
>> employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude  
>> them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to  
>> terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

>> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution,  
>> I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require  
>> the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of  
>> its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup  
>> membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

>> I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of  
>> their general council and monitor this situation closely.

>>  
>> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>  
>>  
>> Penalties  
>>  
>> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their  
>> position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed  
>> thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However,  
>> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the  
>> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day  
>> suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.  
>>  
>>  
>> Sincerely,  
>>  
>> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.  
>>  
>> Survey Statistician  
>> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431  
>> Bureau of Transportation Statistics  
>> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.  
>> Washington, D. C. 20590  
>> P: (202) 366-4108  
>> F: (202) 366-3640  
>> Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov  
>> -----Original Message-----  
>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Peter Miller  
>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM  
>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>> Subject: Arizona  
>>  
>> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now  
>> scheduled  
>> for Phoenix.  
>>  
>> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
>> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
>> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling  
>> the  
>> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the  
>> law, a  
>> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.  
>>  
>> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
>> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according  
>> to  
>> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
>> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to  
>> the  
>> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
>> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.  
>>  
>> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
>> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations

>> which  
>> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early  
>> next  
>> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council  
>> members  
>> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
>> by  
>> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>>  
>> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we  
>> have  
>> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.  
>> Peter

>>  
>>  
>>  
>> --

>> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
>> Department of Communication Studies  
>> Northwestern University  
>> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
>> p-miller@northwestern.edu

>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>>  
>  
>  
>  
> --

> -----  
> Donna Victoria

> Victoria Research& Consulting  
> 301-565-9500  
>  
> -----  
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> signoff aapornet  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--

Jennifer L. Hochschild  
Harvard University  
Henry LaBarre Jayne Professor of Government,  
Professor of African and African American Studies, and  
Harvard College Professor

Department of Government  
Harvard University  
CGIS -- 1737 Cambridge Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
Phone: 617-496-0181  
Fax: 617-495-0438  
[Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 11:29:44 -0400  
Reply-To: [jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jan Werner <[jwerner@JWDP.COM](mailto:jwerner@JWDP.COM)>  
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: "Timothy D. MacKinnon" <[tim.mackinnon@RUTGERS.EDU](mailto:tim.mackinnon@RUTGERS.EDU)>  
X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <003201cae866\$53a3ea80\$faebbf80\$@mackinnon@rutgers.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Timothy D. MacKinnon wrote:

- > I don't want to keep throwing water on the fire here because I am firmly
- > against this law personally, however, I think we are making a few
- > assumptions about the situation on the ground in AZ one year from now, such
- > as.
- >
- > 1. That this law will even end up going into effect - there are
- > constitutional challenges due and there is a lot of heat on the AZ
- > legislature right now - some mightier players than us are putting major
- > pressure to bear, including the Obama administration, the tourism industry,
- > foreign countries and even Major League Baseball (AZ relies on Spring
- > training for a lot their revenue and I hardly think a sport where Latinos
- > make up a large amount of the players will be thrilled to go there)
- > 2. That this law will even be enforced. Police have a lot of discretion
- > when it comes to enforcement in their localities. This law may end up being
- > much ado about nothing -- a book law only. And as of now, I believe the
- > Phoenix Police are thoroughly against this (I could be wrong here about
- > Phoenix PD).

The validity of the above two concerns has already been demonstrated by the actions yesterday of Maricopa County Sheriff Joe Arpaio, who was one of the driving forces behind the passage of SB 1070 and who is now talking about running for governor of Arizona.

See <http://www.kpho.com/news/23315412/detail.html> for more on that.

- > 3. That our members would be in any danger even if the law was enforced
- > - Something makes me think police won't be running around Scottsdale and
- > Tempe shining lights in the eyes of a bunch of folks wearing convention
- > gear. NOTE - not that I think profiling by class is right, it is wrong, I'm
- > just saying that I highly doubt it is a realistic concern at this point.

Whether or not it is a realistic concern, I think a lot of members who are not obviously caucasian, or who have a slightly swarthy complexion, might worry about attending.

- > Bottom line is that I think if we make a decision this early (as it appears
- > that we would have to) it will be a decision based on incomplete and
- > preliminary information, which is hardly something we would do in our
- > research. I also think the risk of appearing to choose a political side is
- > too great, especially when our members poll on this very subject (and
- > keeping the convention in Phoenix does not constitute tacit approval of the
- > law). I do not wish to live in a world where liberals have their own public
- > interest pollsters and conservatives have theirs (although I am afraid this
- > is happening already) If you want to send a message to Arizona, do what I am
- > doing, go to one of the May 1st rallies coming up in support of immigrant
- > rights, write a message to your congressman or the Arizona legislature, join
- > a Facebook page, Tell everyone you know that you think this law is wrong,
- > but moving the convention would be a quick decision, based on incomplete
- > information that would be perceived as political. Just my two cents.

I've already said that I don't support withdrawing from Phoenix in 2011

because of the financial burden it would impose. Doing so would add at least several hundred dollars per person to the cost of attendance, which is already too high for many.

I've also said that I think it is imperative that AAPOR make a strong public statement as an organization, and nothing I have read in this discussion so far has changed my opinion on that point.

Jan Werner

---

>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner  
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 8:08 PM  
> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
> Subject: Re: Arizona  
>  
> Given the financial burden it would impose on AAPOR, I can't endorse the  
> idea of relocating the 2011 conference.  
>  
> However, as another person wrote in opposing any action, perceptions DO  
> matter, and the Arizona law is clearly perceived as xenophobic in  
> intent, which is why AAPOR should not remain silent about it.  
>  
> I should also say that I don't see much substance in the notion that  
> taking a stand on the Arizona law would jeopardize the image of AAPOR  
> members as impartial researchers. The flip side of that argument is why  
> should one trust people who put profits before ethical considerations.  
>  
> The fact is that whoever's ox is gored by the results of a survey will  
> often look for some angle to disparage the research organization, so you  
> might as well go with what you believe to be the right thing.  
>  
> Perhaps a resolution could be submitted instructing Council to not  
> consider Arizona for any future conferences unless the legislature there  
> explicitly reverses this law. There certainly are plenty of worthwhile  
> alternatives in the West.  
>  
> Jan Werner  
> \_\_\_\_\_  
>  
> Peter Miller wrote:  
>> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled  
>> for Phoenix.  
>>  
>> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
>> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
>> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the  
>> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law,

> a  
>> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.  
>>  
>> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
>> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according to  
>> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation  
>> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to the  
>> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
>> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.  
>>  
>> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
>> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations which  
>> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early next  
>> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council members  
>> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
> by  
>> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.  
>>  
>> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we have  
>> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes. Peter  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> --  
>> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
>> Department of Communication Studies  
>> Northwestern University  
>> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
>> p-miller@northwestern.edu  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>  
>>  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
> -----  
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> signoff aapornet



> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

>

>

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 15:33:23 +0000

Reply-To: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@COMCAST.NET](mailto:mkshares@COMCAST.NET)>

Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship

X-To: [hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)

X-cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

In-Reply-To:

<[353263406.1693261272641316731.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net](mailto:353263406.1693261272641316731.JavaMail.root@sz0107a.emeryville.ca.mail.comcast.net)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Correction: " Referendum could delay AZ immigration law to 2012 ."

Nick

----- Original Message -----

From: "nickp@marketsharescorp.com" <[mkshares@comcast.net](mailto:mkshares@comcast.net)>

To: [hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)

Cc: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 10:28:36 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship

Several states require citizenship to obtain a driver's license. It is not clear whether other states would accept such licenses as proof of citizenship, not clear whether other states determine whether or not an out-of-state driver has such a license.

Here is a story from the Arizona Republic. Three law suits against the state have been filed.

<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/29/20100429arizona-immigration-lawsuit29-ON.html>

Lot's of conflict between elected officials including State Attorney General

Terry Goddard who says he would likely refuse to defend the state in court. Goddard appears to be the Democratic challenger to Gov. Jan Brewer this November, ahead 47% to 28% in an ASU poll last November. (Source: Pollingreport.com) Any current polls available?

The side bar has lots of interesting stories including "Referendum could delay AZ immigration law to 2010."  
<http://www.azcentral.com/news/articles/2010/04/28/20100428arizona-immigration-law-referendum-could-delay.html>

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jennifer Hochschild" <hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU>

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 9:13:25 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship

RE one thread of this very interesting discussion: According to "US Immigration Support: your online guide to US visas, green cards, and citizenship" (<http://www.usimmigrationsupport.org/illegalimmigrant-driverslicense.html>):

"The requirements for obtaining a driver's license varies by state. Some states are proposing legislation to prohibit the issuance of state issued identification to individuals who are unable to prove legal immigration status. Conversely, in other states it is possible for an illegal immigrant to obtain a driver's license, as no proof of legal immigration status is required."

So apparently having a drivers license from some states would not be sufficient if one were stopped in AZ under the new law.

Note that I don't see a date on this webpage, but it does refer to "the Obama administration" in another location.

best, Jennifer

On 4/30/2010 9:04 AM, Donna Victoria wrote:

> @ Donatello: "there is not a single conference attendee who should be  
> negatively impacted by this law"

>

> Mike, I think having to worry about being stopped for "driving while brown"  
> with the threat of imminent arrest if you don't immediately present your  
> papers is in itself a negative impact, one that is hardly negated if we get  
> thru the conference without any members in shackles.

>

> If you were a Latino AAPOR member thinking of bringing along family, how  
> would you feel about an episode like this happening in front of your  
> children?

>

> I don't agree with a boycott for political reasons, I agree that is a  
> tangled web for us, but there are two very real concerns before Council:  
>  
> Financial -- do we lose more money by canceling and moving, or by staying in  
> AZ (i.e., how many members who would have gone will opt out)  
> Fraternal -- are we being sufficiently protective of all AAPOR members when  
> we know this law is not colorblind -- if members feel strongly that setting  
> foot in Arizona disrespects or threatens them, do they lose faith in AAPOR  
> as a membership organization if we go ahead anyway? At a minimum, we need  
> to carefully consider the views of all members, not send the message that  
> this is no big deal.  
>  
> Personally, I'm on the fence as to whether I'd attend in Arizona or not,  
> this needs to play out a little more but AAPOR does not have the time for  
> that...kinda hoping the Constitution rides to the rescue, here!  
>  
> So if we leave AZ, I think the "boycott" should be viewed as a financial  
> decision, and one of responsibility to members. It's just business -- and  
> AZ lawmakers probably should have thought of these impacts when they acted.

> DonnaV

> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 11:57 PM, Stuart Kasdin<skasdin1@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a violation of the Hatch  
>> Act if the organization cancels its location or makes a statement about  
>> immigration policy. AAPOR, the organization does not automatically become a  
>> different type of organization. In the Bush Administration they did try or  
>> discuss eliminating the tax exempt status of churches deemed political (in  
>> a  
>> liberal direction, or course). For the Hatch Act, the question would be  
>> whether on government time, employees are engaging in political behavior.  
>> However, since the purpose of the conference is still focused on survey  
>> research and related topics, it seems to me that the federal employee is  
>> safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as a different type of  
>> organization, that would be different. Still, I am just speculating, so  
>> grain of salt and all that...

>> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV> wrote:

>> From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>

>> Subject: Re: Arizona

>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM

>> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization to alter its practices  
>> to make a political statement will place all members who are federal  
>> employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not only would this preclude  
>> them from participating in the 2011 conference, it would require them to  
>> terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.

>> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err on the side of caution,

>> I suspect the content of these email threads would be enough to require  
>> the same, even if the conference location was moved "for the safety" of  
>> its attendees. I'm not sure if action could or would be taken to recoup  
>> membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal employees.

>>  
>> I would strongly recommend that federal employees seek the advice of  
>> their general council and monitor this situation closely.

>>  
>> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>

>> Penalties

>>  
>> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be removed from their  
>> position, and funds appropriated for the position from which removed  
>> thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or individual. However,  
>> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by unanimous vote that the  
>> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of not less than a 30-day  
>> suspension without pay shall be imposed by direction of the Board.

>>  
>> Sincerely,

>>  
>> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.

>>  
>> Survey Statistician  
>> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431  
>> Bureau of Transportation Statistics  
>> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.  
>> Washington, D. C. 20590  
>> P: (202) 366-4108  
>> F: (202) 366-3640  
>> [Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov](mailto:Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov)

>> -----Original Message-----  
>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)] On Behalf Of Peter Miller  
>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM  
>> To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
>> Subject: Arizona

>>  
>> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now  
>> scheduled  
>> for Phoenix.

>>  
>> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
>> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have  
>> been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling  
>> the  
>> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the  
>> law, a  
>> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

>>  
>> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation  
>> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is because, according  
>> to  
>> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago, the cost of cancellation

>> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum, the cancellation cost to  
>> the  
>> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of finding a new location.  
>> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.

>>  
>> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
>> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations  
>> which  
>> have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for early  
>> next  
>> week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming council  
>> members  
>> will participate in the meeting, but the matter will be formally decided  
>> by  
>> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>>  
>> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we  
>> have  
>> had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best wishes.  
>> Peter

>>  
>>  
>>  
>> --

>> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
>> Department of Communication Studies  
>> Northwestern University  
>> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
>> p-miller@northwestern.edu

>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>>  
>  
>  
>  
> --  
> -----  
> Donna Victoria  
> Victoria Research& Consulting  
> 301-565-9500  
>  
> -----  
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> signoff aapornet  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--  
Jennifer L. Hochschild  
Harvard University  
Henry LaBarre Jayne Professor of Government,  
Professor of African and African American Studies, and  
Harvard College Professor

Department of Government  
Harvard University  
CGIS -- 1737 Cambridge Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
Phone: 617-496-0181  
Fax: 617-495-0438  
[Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 11:44:10 -0400  
Reply-To: "Feinberg, Barry (GfK CR NA)" <[barry.feinberg@GFK.COM](mailto:barry.feinberg@GFK.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Feinberg, Barry (GfK CR NA)" <[barry.feinberg@GFK.COM](mailto:barry.feinberg@GFK.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona - A Unique opportunity for AAPOR

X-To: Ginger Blazier <gblazier@DIRESEARCH.COM>,  
"AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
In-Reply-To: <007f01cae872\$6ef55c90\$4ce015b0\$@com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I have read the postings on the Arizona situation and as always there are many reasoned expressions of opinion, positions, implications and suggestions for what we should do.

To my mind, we have a unique opportunity for AAPOR. What better place than our conference in Arizona - at the vortex of the debate on immigration reform, at a time in our nation when immigration reform hopefully will be addressed by the federal government, to set aside a portion of our conference to address the issue. The AAPOR conference would serve as a credible forum to present and educate on what is currently known about American's and Arizonan's attitudes on reform, invite speakers to present the various points of view on the issue, have roundtables and debates with public opinion as the informational foundation.

I don't know if there is any other place where such a forum could be reasonable take place. Why not AAPOR?

We have a contract that, if broken, will be very costly to the organization; but if we turn our conference into a positive, there is no reason to break it. For those who feel they could not attend because of the law, is it not compelling that our conference itself will shed light on the very issues associated with the law and the national debate?

For those who worry that conference attendees might be stopped by the police, what better way to test that assumption, than attending with their drivers licenses.

For those who believe economic harm might come to the very workers at risk, then not canceling the conference would help on that score.

For those who believe that AAPOR should not be making political statements, a neutral forum at the conference would serve to allow for all expressions of opinion.

I would be pleased to work with the program committee on putting such sessions together.

Barry

Barry M. Feinberg, Ph.D.  
Executive Vice President  
GfK Media & Communications  
GfK Roper Public Affairs &  
Corporate Communications

-----  
GfK Custom Research North America  
75 Ninth Avenue, 5th Floor  
New York, New York 10011, US  
Tel: +1 (212) 240-5398  
Fax: +1 (212) 240-5353

barry.feinberg@gfk.com  
www.gfkamerica.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Ginger Blazier  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 10:36 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

We all know that any decision will not satisfy everyone's feelings or convictions. I just wanted to say that the AAPOR Executive Council has a difficult decision and I will support their final outcome. I respect the individuals on the council and trust that they will make a decision that will uphold the credibility and integrity of our association and what it represents.

Ginger Blazier, PRC  
SVP  
Directions In Research  
9645 Granite Ridge Dr., Suite 330  
San Diego, CA 92123  
P: (619) 299-5883  
GBlazier@DIRresearch.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 6:09 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

I don't want to keep throwing water on the fire here because I am firmly against this law personally, however, I think we are making a few assumptions about the situation on the ground in AZ one year from now, such as.

1. That this law will even end up going into effect - there are constitutional challenges due and there is a lot of heat on the AZ legislature right now - some mightier players than us are putting major pressure to bear, including the Obama administration, the tourism industry, foreign countries and even Major League Baseball (AZ relies on Spring training for a lot their revenue and I hardly think a sport where Latinos make up a large amount of the players will be thrilled to go there)
  2. That this law will even be enforced. Police have a lot of discretion when it comes to enforcement in their localities. This law may end up being much ado about nothing -- a book law only. And as of now, I believe the Phoenix Police are thoroughly against this (I could be wrong here about Phoenix PD).
  3. That our members would be in any danger even if the law was enforced - Something makes me think police won't be running around Scottsdale and Tempe shining lights in the eyes of a bunch of folks wearing convention gear.
- NOTE - not that I think profiling by class is right, it is wrong, I'm just



saying that I highly doubt it is a realistic concern at this point.

Bottom line is that I think if we make a decision this early (as it appears that we would have to) it will be a decision based on incomplete and preliminary information, which is hardly something we would do in our research. I also think the risk of appearing to choose a political side is too great, especially when our members poll on this very subject (and keeping the convention in Phoenix does not constitute tacit approval of the law). I do not wish to live in a world where liberals have their own public interest pollsters and conservatives have theirs (although I am afraid this is happening already) If you want to send a message to Arizona, do what I am doing, go to one of the May 1st rallies coming up in support of immigrant rights, write a message to your congressman or the Arizona legislature, join a Facebook page, Tell everyone you know that you think this law is wrong, but moving the convention would be a quick decision, based on incomplete information that would be perceived as political. Just my two cents.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 8:08 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

Given the financial burden it would impose on AAPOR, I can't endorse the idea of relocating the 2011 conference.

However, as another person wrote in opposing any action, perceptions DO matter, and the Arizona law is clearly perceived as xenophobic in intent, which is why AAPOR should not remain silent about it.

I should also say that I don't see much substance in the notion that taking a stand on the Arizona law would jeopardize the image of AAPOR members as impartial researchers. The flip side of that argument is why should one trust people who put profits before ethical considerations.

The fact is that whoever's ox is gored by the results of a survey will often look for some angle to disparage the research organization, so you might as well go with what you believe to be the right thing.

Perhaps a resolution could be submitted instructing Council to not consider Arizona for any future conferences unless the legislature there explicitly reverses this law. There certainly are plenty of worthwhile alternatives in the West.

Jan Werner

---

Peter Miller wrote:

> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now  
> scheduled for Phoenix.  
>  
> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We  
> have been gathering information on the financial consequences of  
> canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of  
> responses to the law,  
a  
> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.  
>  
> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about  
> cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is  
> because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago,  
> the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum,  
> the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of  
> finding a new location.  
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.  
>  
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations  
> which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for  
> early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming  
> council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will  
> be formally decided  
by  
> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.  
>  
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we  
> have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best  
> wishes. Peter  
>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
> Department of Communication Studies  
> Northwestern University  
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
> p-miller@northwestern.edu  
>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before  
> quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
>

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

set aapornet nomail

On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/> <http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2843 - Release Date: 04/29/10

18:27:00

---

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/> <http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Before printing this e-mail, please consider environmental protection.

-----  
This e-mail (and any attachment/s) contains confidential and/or privileged information from GfK Custom Research, LLC (GfK). If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this e-mail in error) please notify the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail. Any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-mail is strictly forbidden. Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of GfK or any of its associated companies. Recipients are warned that although all GfK e-mail messages and any attachments are automatically virus scanned upon sending we assume no responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the receipt and/or use of these messages.

---

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 11:12:59 -0500

Reply-To: Woody Carter <[wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU](mailto:wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Woody Carter <[wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU](mailto:wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU)>

Subject: Re: Arizona - A Unique opportunity for AAPOR  
X-To: "Feinberg, Barry (GfK CR NA)" <barry.feinberg@GFK.COM>,  
AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <4BFEDE9946BE284EA80AEA899F09796C01EB4B7C6B@EUSSNT-EXCRMB-1.US.CRWW.GFK>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I propose that if the conference is held in Arizona the AAPOR t-shirt that year should say, in big letters, "I AM UNDOCUMENTED" and all wearers be sure to NOT have any ID on them when they wear it. I want to share a jail cell with Bob Groves.

----- Original message -----

>Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 11:44:10 -0400  
>From: "Feinberg, Barry (GfK CR NA)" <barry.feinberg@GFK.COM>  
>Subject: Re: Arizona - A Unique opportunity for AAPOR  
>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>  
>I have read the postings on the Arizona situation and as always there are many reasoned expressions of opinion, positions, implications and suggestions for what we should do.  
>  
>To my mind, we have a unique opportunity for AAPOR. What better place than our conference in Arizona - at the vortex of the debate on immigration reform, at a time in our nation when immigration reform hopefully will be addressed by the federal government, to set aside a portion of our conference to address the issue. The AAPOR conference would serve as a credible forum to present and educate on what is currently known about American's and Arizonan's attitudes on reform, invite speakers to present the various points of view on the issue, have roundtables and debates with public opinion as the informational foundation.  
>  
>I don't know if there is any other place where such a forum could be reasonable take place. Why not AAPOR?  
>  
>We have a contract that, if broken, will be very costly to the organization; but if we turn our conference into a positive, there is no reason to break it.  
>For those who feel they could not attend because of the law, is it not compelling that our conference itself will shed light on the very issues associated with the law and the national debate?  
>For those who worry that conference attendees might be stopped by the police, what better way to test that assumption, than attending with their drivers licenses.  
>For those who believe economic harm might come to the very workers at risk, then not canceling the conference would help on that score.

>For those who believe that AAPOR should not be making political statements, a neutral forum at the conference would serve to allow for all expressions of opinion.

>

>I would be pleased to work with the program committee on putting such sessions together.

>

>Barry

>

>Barry M. Feinberg, Ph.D.

>Executive Vice President

>GfK Media & Communications

>GfK Roper Public Affairs &

> Corporate Communications

>-----

>GfK Custom Research North America

>75 Ninth Avenue, 5th Floor

>New York, New York 10011, US

>Tel: +1 (212) 240-5398

>Fax: +1 (212) 240-5353

>barry.feinberg@gfk.com

>www.gfkamerica.com

>

>

>

>

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Ginger Blazier

>Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 10:36 AM

>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>Subject: Re: Arizona

>

>We all know that any decision will not satisfy everyone's feelings or convictions. I just wanted to say that the AAPOR Executive Council has a difficult decision and I will support their final outcome. I respect the individuals on the council and trust that they will make a decision that will uphold the credibility and integrity of our association and what it represents.

>

>Ginger Blazier, PRC

>SVP

>Directions In Research

>9645 Granite Ridge Dr., Suite 330

>San Diego, CA 92123

>P: (619) 299-5883

>GBlazier@DIRresearch.com

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon

>Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 6:09 AM

>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>Subject: Re: Arizona

>

>I don't want to keep throwing water on the fire here because I am firmly against this law personally, however, I think we are making a few assumptions about the situation on the ground in AZ one year from now, such as.

>

>1. That this law will even end up going into effect - there are constitutional challenges due and there is a lot of heat on the AZ legislature right now - some mightier players than us are putting major pressure to bear, including the Obama administration, the tourism industry, foreign countries and even Major League Baseball (AZ relies on Spring training for a lot their revenue and I hardly think a sport where Latinos make up a large amount of the players will be thrilled to go there)

>2. That this law will even be enforced. Police have a lot of discretion

>when it comes to enforcement in their localities. This law may end up being much ado about nothing -- a book law only. And as of now, I believe the Phoenix Police are thoroughly against this (I could be wrong here about Phoenix PD).

>3. That our members would be in any danger even if the law was enforced

>- Something makes me think police won't be running around Scottsdale and Tempe shining lights in the eyes of a bunch of folks wearing convention gear. NOTE - not that I think profiling by class is right, it is wrong, I'm just saying that I highly doubt it is a realistic concern at this point.

>

>Bottom line is that I think if we make a decision this early (as it appears that we would have to) it will be a decision based on incomplete and preliminary information, which is hardly something we would do in our research. I also think the risk of appearing to choose a political side is too great, especially when our members poll on this very subject (and keeping the convention in Phoenix does not constitute tacit approval of the law). I do not wish to live in a world where liberals have their own public interest pollsters and conservatives have theirs (although I am afraid this is happening already) If you want to send a message to Arizona, do what I am doing, go to one of the May 1st rallies coming up in support of immigrant rights, write a message to your congressman or the Arizona legislature, join a Facebook page, Tell everyone you know that you think this law is wrong, but moving the convention would be a quick decision, based on incomplete information that would b!

> e perceived as political. Just my two cents.

>

>

>

>

>

>

>  
>-----Original Message-----  
>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner  
>Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 8:08 PM  
>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>Subject: Re: Arizona

>  
>Given the financial burden it would impose on AAPOR, I can't endorse the idea of relocating the 2011 conference.

>  
>However, as another person wrote in opposing any action, perceptions DO matter, and the Arizona law is clearly perceived as xenophobic in intent, which is why AAPOR should not remain silent about it.

>  
>I should also say that I don't see much substance in the notion that taking a stand on the Arizona law would jeopardize the image of AAPOR members as impartial researchers. The flip side of that argument is why should one trust people who put profits before ethical considerations.

>  
>The fact is that whoever's ox is gored by the results of a survey will often look for some angle to disparage the research organization, so you might as well go with what you believe to be the right thing.

>  
>Perhaps a resolution could be submitted instructing Council to not consider Arizona for any future conferences unless the legislature there explicitly reverses this law. There certainly are plenty of worthwhile alternatives in the West.

>  
>Jan Werner

> \_\_\_\_\_  
>  
>Peter Miller wrote:

>> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now scheduled for Phoenix.

>>  
>> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We have been gathering information on the financial consequences of canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of responses to the law,

>a number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.

>>  
>> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago.

This is  
>> because, according to the hotel contract signed more than  
a year ago,  
>> the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12.  
At minimum,  
>> the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000  
plus the cost of finding a new location.  
>> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to  
\$300,000.  
>>  
>> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its  
fiduciary  
>> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious  
considerations  
>> which have been raised here. We are scheduling a  
teleconference for  
>> early next week to engage this discussion. Both current  
and incoming  
>> council members will participate in the meeting, but the  
matter will  
>> be formally decided  
>by  
>> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.  
>>  
>> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as  
soon as we  
>> have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All  
best  
>> wishes. Peter  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> --  
>> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
>> Department of Communication Studies  
>> Northwestern University  
>> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
>> p-miller@northwestern.edu  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this  
text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask  
authors before  
>> quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>  
>>  
>  
>-----  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>



>Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>set aapornet nomail  
>On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
>-----  
>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>signoff aapornet  
>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>  
>No virus found in this incoming message.  
>Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
>Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2843 - Release Date: 04/29/10 18:27:00  
>  
>-----  
>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>signoff aapornet  
>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>  
>-----  
>  
>Before printing this e-mail, please consider environmental protection.  
>  
>-----  
>  
>This e-mail (and any attachment/s) contains confidential and/or privileged information from GfK Custom Research, LLC (GfK). If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this e-mail in error) please notify the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail. Any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-mail is strictly forbidden. Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of GfK or any of its associated companies. Recipients are warned that although all GfK e-mail messages and any attachments are automatically virus scanned upon sending we assume no responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the receipt and/or use of these messages.  
>  
>-----  
>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

>signoff aapornet

>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 11:16:04 -0500

Reply-To: Veronica Inchauste <[survey@UTS.CC.UTEXAS.EDU](mailto:survey@UTS.CC.UTEXAS.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Veronica Inchauste <[survey@UTS.CC.UTEXAS.EDU](mailto:survey@UTS.CC.UTEXAS.EDU)>

Subject: Re: Personal choices could affect the professional choice

X-To: Woody Carter <[wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU](mailto:wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU)>, [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)

In-Reply-To: <[20100429202431.CDN48388@m4500-03.uchicago.edu](mailto:20100429202431.CDN48388@m4500-03.uchicago.edu)>

Mime-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

I second the idea of a poll to AAPOR members.

Veronica

Veronica Inchauste

Office of Survey Research at the

Annette Strauss Institute

3001 Lake Austin Boulevard

Suite 2.110

University of Texas

Austin, TX 78703

Ph. 471-2101

<http://www.utosr.org>

On 4/29/10 8:24 PM, "Woody Carter" <[wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU](mailto:wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU)> wrote:

> The AAPOR conference is far and away the best professional

> conference around. Can't be beat. That's why it will be a

> shame if I can't go next year because it's in Arizona.

> Admittedly, that's a personal choice.

>

> However, if a large number of members make that same personal

> choice, as I hope they will, then that might make the

> cancellation fee a bargain. It would certainly take AAPOR

> leadership off the hook -- it was just a business decision.

> Might be prudent to check (a poll?).

>

> Woody

>  
> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 09:18:20 -0700  
Reply-To: Michael Sullivan <[michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM](mailto:michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Michael Sullivan <[michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM](mailto:michaelsullivan@FSCGROUP.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona - A Unique opportunity for AAPOR  
X-To: "Feinberg, Barry (GfK CR NA)" <[barry.feinberg@GFK.COM](mailto:barry.feinberg@GFK.COM)>, <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
In-Reply-To: <[4BFEDE9946BE284EA80AEA899F09796C01EB4B7C6B@EUSSNT-EXCRMB-1.US.CRWW.GFK](mailto:4BFEDE9946BE284EA80AEA899F09796C01EB4B7C6B@EUSSNT-EXCRMB-1.US.CRWW.GFK)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Assuming, of course, that this hasn't all blown over by next year, I think Barry's suggestion has real merit. We don't have to stab ourselves in the neck to make a point and we might be able to focus the debate in ways that would benefit everybody in society and the reputation of the organization. I'm for it. We might even consider taking up a collection from the membership to support robust survey research concerning this issue to be presented at the conference. That way would could put our money where our mouth appears to be.

MS

Michael J. Sullivan, Ph.D.  
Chairman  
Freeman, Sullivan & Co.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Feinberg, Barry (GfK CR NA)  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 8:44 AM  
To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
Subject: Re: Arizona - A Unique opportunity for AAPOR

I have read the postings on the Arizona situation and as always there are many reasoned expressions of opinion, positions, implications and suggestions for what we should do.

To my mind, we have a unique opportunity for AAPOR. What better place than our conference in Arizona - at the vortex of the debate on immigration reform, at a time in our nation when immigration reform hopefully will be addressed by the federal government, to set aside a portion of our conference to address the issue. The AAPOR conference would serve as a credible forum to present and educate on what is currently known about American's and Arizonan's attitudes on reform, invite speakers to present the various points of view on the issue, have roundtables and debates with public opinion as the informational foundation.

I don't know if there is any other place where such a forum could be reasonable take place. Why not AAPOR?

We have a contract that, if broken, will be very costly to the organization; but if we turn our conference into a positive, there is no reason to break it.

For those who feel they could not attend because of the law, is it not compelling that our conference itself will shed light on the very issues associated with the law and the national debate?

For those who worry that conference attendees might be stopped by the police, what better way to test that assumption, than attending with their drivers licenses.

For those who believe economic harm might come to the very workers at risk, then not canceling the conference would help on that score.

For those who believe that AAPOR should not be making political statements, a neutral forum at the conference would serve to allow for all expressions of opinion.

I would be pleased to work with the program committee on putting such sessions together.

Barry

Barry M. Feinberg, Ph.D.  
Executive Vice President  
GfK Media & Communications  
GfK Roper Public Affairs &  
Corporate Communications

-----  
GfK Custom Research North America  
75 Ninth Avenue, 5th Floor  
New York, New York 10011, US  
Tel: +1 (212) 240-5398  
Fax: +1 (212) 240-5353  
barry.feinberg@gfk.com  
www.gfkamerica.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Ginger Blazier  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 10:36 AM

To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

We all know that any decision will not satisfy everyone's feelings or convictions. I just wanted to say that the AAPOR Executive Council has a difficult decision and I will support their final outcome. I respect the individuals on the council and trust that they will make a decision that will uphold the credibility and integrity of our association and what it represents.

Ginger Blazier, PRC  
SVP  
Directions In Research  
9645 Granite Ridge Dr., Suite 330  
San Diego, CA 92123  
P: (619) 299-5883  
GBlazier@DIRresearch.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 6:09 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

I don't want to keep throwing water on the fire here because I am firmly against this law personally, however, I think we are making a few assumptions about the situation on the ground in AZ one year from now, such as.

1. That this law will even end up going into effect - there are constitutional challenges due and there is a lot of heat on the AZ legislature right now - some mightier players than us are putting major pressure to bear, including the Obama administration, the tourism industry, foreign countries and even Major League Baseball (AZ relies on Spring training for a lot their revenue and I hardly think a sport where Latinos make up a large amount of the players will be thrilled to go there)
2. That this law will even be enforced. Police have a lot of discretion when it comes to enforcement in their localities. This law may end up being much ado about nothing -- a book law only. And as of now, I believe the Phoenix Police are thoroughly against this (I could be wrong here about Phoenix PD).
3. That our members would be in any danger even if the law was enforced - Something makes me think police won't be running around Scottsdale and Tempe shining lights in the eyes of a bunch of folks wearing convention gear. NOTE - not that I think profiling by class is right, it is wrong, I'm just saying that I highly doubt it is a realistic concern at this point.

Bottom line is that I think if we make a decision this early (as it appears that we would have to) it will be a decision based on incomplete and preliminary information, which is hardly something we would do in our research. I also think the risk of appearing to choose a political side is too great, especially when our members poll on this very subject (and keeping the convention in Phoenix does not constitute tacit approval of the law). I do not wish to live in a world where liberals have their own public interest pollsters and conservatives have theirs (although I am afraid this is

happening already) If you want to send a message to Arizona, do what I am doing, go to one of the May 1st rallies coming up in support of immigrant rights, write a message to your congressman or the Arizona legislature, join a Facebook page, Tell everyone you know that you think this law is wrong, but moving the convention would be a quick decision, based on incomplete information that would b!

e perceived as political. Just my two cents.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner  
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 8:08 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Arizona

Given the financial burden it would impose on AAPOR, I can't endorse the idea of relocating the 2011 conference.

However, as another person wrote in opposing any action, perceptions DO matter, and the Arizona law is clearly perceived as xenophobic in intent, which is why AAPOR should not remain silent about it.

I should also say that I don't see much substance in the notion that taking a stand on the Arizona law would jeopardize the image of AAPOR members as impartial researchers. The flip side of that argument is why should one trust people who put profits before ethical considerations.

The fact is that whoever's ox is gored by the results of a survey will often look for some angle to disparage the research organization, so you might as well go with what you believe to be the right thing.

Perhaps a resolution could be submitted instructing Council to not consider Arizona for any future conferences unless the legislature there explicitly reverses this law. There certainly are plenty of worthwhile alternatives in the West.

Jan Werner

---

Peter Miller wrote:

> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now  
> scheduled for Phoenix.

>

> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the  
> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We  
> have been gathering information on the financial consequences of  
> canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts of  
> responses to the law,

a

> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.  
>  
> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about  
> cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago. This is  
> because, according to the hotel contract signed more than a year ago,  
> the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum,  
> the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of  
> finding a new location.  
> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to \$300,000.  
>  
> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its fiduciary  
> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious considerations  
> which have been raised here. We are scheduling a teleconference for  
> early next week to engage this discussion. Both current and incoming  
> council members will participate in the meeting, but the matter will  
> be formally decided

by  
> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.

>  
> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as soon as we  
> have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All best  
> wishes. Peter

>  
>  
>  
> --  
> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
> Department of Communication Studies  
> Northwestern University  
> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
> p-miller@northwestern.edu

> -----  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
> set aapornet nomail  
> On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before  
> quoting outside AAPORNET.  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
set aapornet nomail  
On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask authors before quoting  
outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/> <http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2843 - Release Date: 04/29/10  
18:27:00

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/> <http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Before printing this e-mail, please consider environmental protection.

-----  
This e-mail (and any attachment/s) contains confidential and/or privileged information from GfK Custom Research, LLC (GfK). If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this e-mail in error) please notify the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail. Any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-mail is strictly forbidden. Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of GfK or any of its associated companies. Recipients are warned that although all GfK e-mail messages and any attachments are automatically virus scanned upon sending we assume no responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the receipt and/or use of these messages.

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 16:31:31 +0000  
Reply-To: [amyrsimon@aol.com](mailto:amyrsimon@aol.com)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Amy Simon <[amyrsimon@AOL.COM](mailto:amyrsimon@AOL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona - A Unique opportunity for AAPOR



X-To: Woody Carter <wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU>, AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
In-Reply-To: <20100430111259.CDO23176@m4500-03.uchicago.edu>  
Content-Type: text/plain  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I like that.

Amy  
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----

From: Woody Carter <wcarter@UCHICAGO.EDU>  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 11:12:59  
To: <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
Subject: Re: Arizona - A Unique opportunity for AAPOR

I propose that if the conference is held in Arizona the AAPOR t-shirt that year should say, in big letters, "I AM UNDOCUMENTED" and all wearers be sure to NOT have any ID on them when they wear it. I want to share a jail cell with Bob Groves.

---- Original message ----

>Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 11:44:10 -0400  
>From: "Feinberg, Barry (GfK CR NA)"  
<barry.feinberg@GFK.COM>  
>Subject: Re: Arizona - A Unique opportunity for AAPOR  
>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>  
>I have read the postings on the Arizona situation and as always there are many reasoned expressions of opinion, positions, implications and suggestions for what we should do.  
>  
>To my mind, we have a unique opportunity for AAPOR. What better place than our conference in Arizona - at the vortex of the debate on immigration reform, at a time in our nation when immigration reform hopefully will be addressed by the federal government, to set aside a portion of our conference to address the issue. The AAPOR conference would serve as a credible forum to present and educate on what is currently known about American's and Arizonan's attitudes on reform, invite speakers to present the various points of view on the issue, have roundtables and debates with public opinion as the informational foundation.  
>  
>I don't know if there is any other place where such a forum could be reasonable take place. Why not AAPOR?  
>  
>We have a contract that, if broken, will be very costly to the organization; but if we turn our conference into a positive, there is no reason to break it.  
>For those who feel they could not attend because of the law, is it not compelling that our conference itself will shed

light on the very issues associated with the law and the national debate?

>For those who worry that conference attendees might be stopped by the police, what better way to test that assumption, than attending with their drivers licenses.

>For those who believe economic harm might come to the very workers at risk, then not canceling the conference would help on that score.

>For those who believe that AAPOR should not be making political statements, a neutral forum at the conference would serve to allow for all expressions of opinion.

>

>I would be pleased to work with the program committee on putting such sessions together.

>

>Barry

>

>Barry M. Feinberg, Ph.D.

>Executive Vice President

>GfK Media & Communications

>GfK Roper Public Affairs &

> Corporate Communications

>

>GfK Custom Research North America

>75 Ninth Avenue, 5th Floor

>New York, New York 10011, US

>Tel: +1 (212) 240-5398

>Fax: +1 (212) 240-5353

>barry.feinberg@gfk.com

>www.gfkamerica.com

>

>

>

>

>

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Ginger Blazier

>Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 10:36 AM

>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>Subject: Re: Arizona

>

>We all know that any decision will not satisfy everyone's feelings or convictions. I just wanted to say that the AAPOR Executive Council has a difficult decision and I will support their final outcome. I respect the individuals on the council and trust that they will make a decision that will uphold the credibility and integrity of our association and what it represents.

>

>Ginger Blazier, PRC

>SVP

>Directions In Research

>9645 Granite Ridge Dr., Suite 330

>San Diego, CA 92123

>P: (619) 299-5883

>GBlazier@DIResearch.com

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Timothy D. MacKinnon

>Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 6:09 AM

>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>Subject: Re: Arizona

>

>I don't want to keep throwing water on the fire here because I am firmly against this law personally, however, I think we are making a few assumptions about the situation on the ground in AZ one year from now, such as.

>

>1. That this law will even end up going into effect - there are constitutional challenges due and there is a lot of heat on the AZ legislature right now - some mightier players than us are putting major pressure to bear, including the Obama administration, the tourism industry, foreign countries and even Major League Baseball (AZ relies on Spring training for a lot their revenue and I hardly think a sport where Latinos make up a large amount of the players will be thrilled to go there)

>2. That this law will even be enforced. Police have a lot of discretion

>when it comes to enforcement in their localities. This law may end up being much ado about nothing -- a book law only. And as of now, I believe the Phoenix Police are thoroughly against this (I could be wrong here about Phoenix PD).

>3. That our members would be in any danger even if the law was enforced

>- Something makes me think police won't be running around Scottsdale and Tempe shining lights in the eyes of a bunch of folks wearing convention gear. NOTE - not that I think profiling by class is right, it is wrong, I'm just saying that I highly doubt it is a realistic concern at this point.

>

>Bottom line is that I think if we make a decision this early (as it appears that we would have to) it will be a decision based on incomplete and preliminary information, which is hardly something we would do in our research. I also think the risk of appearing to choose a political side is too great, especially when our members poll on this very subject (and keeping the convention in Phoenix does not constitute tacit approval of the law). I do not wish to live in a world where liberals have their own public interest pollsters and conservatives have theirs (although I am afraid this is happening already) If you want to send a message to Arizona, do what I am doing, go to one of the May 1st rallies coming up in support of immigrant rights, write a message to your congressman or the Arizona legislature, join a Facebook page, Tell everyone you know that you think this law is wrong, but

moving the convention would be a quick decision, based on incomplete information that would b!

> e perceived as political. Just my two cents.

>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jan Werner

>Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 8:08 PM

>To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU

>Subject: Re: Arizona

>

>Given the financial burden it would impose on AAPOR, I can't endorse the idea of relocating the 2011 conference.

>

>However, as another person wrote in opposing any action, perceptions DO matter, and the Arizona law is clearly perceived as xenophobic in intent, which is why AAPOR should not remain silent about it.

>

>I should also say that I don't see much substance in the notion that taking a stand on the Arizona law would jeopardize the image of AAPOR members as impartial researchers. The flip side of that argument is why should one trust people who put profits before ethical considerations.

>

>The fact is that whoever's ox is gored by the results of a survey will often look for some angle to disparage the research organization, so you might as well go with what you believe to be the right thing.

>

>Perhaps a resolution could be submitted instructing Council to not consider Arizona for any future conferences unless the legislature there explicitly reverses this law. There certainly are plenty of worthwhile alternatives in the West.

>

>Jan Werner

> \_\_\_\_\_

>

>Peter Miller wrote:

>> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011 meeting, now

>> scheduled for Phoenix.

>>

>> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a discussion about the

>> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past couple days. We

>> have been gathering information on the financial consequences of

>> canceling the Phoenix booking and also considering other  
sorts of  
>> responses to the law,  
>a  
>> number of which have been echoed in recent posts here.  
>>  
>> And as luck would have it, we need to make a judgment about  
>> cancellation very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago.  
This is  
>> because, according to the hotel contract signed more than  
a year ago,  
>> the cost of cancellation increases by \$100,000 on May 12.  
At minimum,  
>> the cancellation cost to the Association would be \$200,000  
plus the cost of finding a new location.  
>> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up to  
\$300,000.  
>>  
>> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost and its  
fiduciary  
>> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other serious  
considerations  
>> which have been raised here. We are scheduling a  
teleconference for  
>> early next week to engage this discussion. Both current  
and incoming  
>> council members will participate in the meeting, but the  
matter will  
>> be formally decided  
>by  
>> the current council after the sharing of viewpoints.  
>>  
>> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be back to you as  
soon as we  
>> have had a chance to deliberate and decide next week. All  
best  
>> wishes. Peter  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> --  
>> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
>> Department of Communication Studies  
>> Northwestern University  
>> President, American Association for Public Opinion Research  
>> p-miller@northwestern.edu  
>>  
>> -----  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this  
text:  
>> set aapornet nomail  
>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask  
authors before

>> quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu  
>>  
>>  
>  
>-----  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>set aapornet nomail  
>On your return send this: set aapornet mail Please ask  
authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-  
request@asu.edu  
>  
>-----  
>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>signoff aapornet  
>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>  
>No virus found in this incoming message.  
>Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
>Version: 8.5.437 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2843 - Release  
Date: 04/29/10 18:27:00  
>  
>-----  
>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>signoff aapornet  
>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>  
>-----  
>  
>Before printing this e-mail, please consider environmental  
protection.  
>  
>-----  
>  
>This e-mail (and any attachment/s) contains confidential  
and/or privileged information from GfK Custom Research, LLC  
(GfK). If you are not the intended recipient (or have  
received this e-mail in error) please notify the sender  
immediately and destroy this e-mail. Any unauthorized  
copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-  
mail is strictly forbidden. Any views or opinions are solely  
those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of  
GfK or any of its associated companies. Recipients are warned  
that although all GfK e-mail messages and any attachments are  
automatically virus scanned upon sending we assume no

responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the receipt and/or use of these messages.

>

>-----

>Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
><http://www.aapor.org>  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
>signoff aapornet  
>Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----

Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 12:42:45 -0400  
Reply-To: Mike Mokrzycki <[mike@MIKEMOKR.COM](mailto:mike@MIKEMOKR.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mike Mokrzycki <[mike@MIKEMOKR.COM](mailto:mike@MIKEMOKR.COM)>  
Subject: anonymity on AAPORNET (was Re: [AAPORNET] 2011 AAPOR Meeting)  
X-To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Quick note - from AAPORNET's founding it has been the (perhaps unwritten) policy that there be no anonymous posts. Please make sure whatever email client you use to post here is configured to show your real name - or if that's not possible for some reason, at least sign your post (which is probably still a good practice for us all).

Not forgetting to sign my post this time,

Mike Mokrzycki  
AAPOR Communications Chair  
for a couple more weeks

On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 6:34 PM, Jan Werner <[jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com)> wrote:

> I don't think anonymous comments are appropriate on AAPORNET.

>

> If you don't want to identify yourself, don't join the conversation.

>

> Jan Werner

>

>

> -A wrote:

>

>>

>> [snip]

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>

<http://www.aapor.org>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:

signoff aapornet

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 12:15:04 -0700

Reply-To: Alexandra Filindra <[afilindra@YAHOO.COM](mailto:afilindra@YAHOO.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Alexandra Filindra <[afilindra@YAHOO.COM](mailto:afilindra@YAHOO.COM)>

Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship

X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU), [hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)

In-Reply-To: <[4BDAE585.2070907@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:4BDAE585.2070907@gov.harvard.edu)>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

There are only 3 states left that have not implemented the REAL ID criteria for driver's licensing which make it impossible for undocumented immigrants to get licenses. There is the additional question of "driving certificates" and how Arizona would deal with those. Tennessee issued those in 2005 which are meant to be driving documentation for people who can't provide an SSN, so that they can get insurance and drive legally. The idea has been all but abandoned because for many immigrant advocates the certificate was the equivalent of a signal to police that "I am not legally in the US" but it could be that other people who have legal status may seek to get a certificate of this type. How would the AZ law handle these?

"If we knew what we were doing, we wouldn't call it research, would we?"

Albert Einstein

Alexandra Filindra, Ph.D.  
Taubman Center for Public Policy  
Brown University

email: [afilindra@yahoo.com](mailto:afilindra@yahoo.com)

tel: 848-218-1943

--- On Fri, 4/30/10, Jennifer Hochschild <[hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU](mailto:hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU)> wrote:

> From: Jennifer Hochschild <[hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU](mailto:hochschild@GOV.HARVARD.EDU)>

> Subject: Re: Arizona -- re driver's license as proof of citizenship

> To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)



> Date: Friday, April 30, 2010, 10:13 AM  
> RE one thread of this very  
> interesting discussion: According to "US  
> Immigration Support: your online guide to US visas, green  
> cards, and  
> citizenship"  
> (<http://www.usimmigrationsupport.org/illegalimmigrant-driverslicense.html>):  
>  
> "The requirements for obtaining a driver's license varies  
> by state. Some  
> states are proposing legislation to prohibit the issuance  
> of state  
> issued identification to individuals who are unable to  
> prove legal  
> immigration status. Conversely, in other states it is  
> possible for an  
> illegal immigrant to obtain a driver's license, as no proof  
> of legal  
> immigration status is required."  
>  
> So apparently having a drivers license from some states  
> would not be  
> sufficient if one were stopped in AZ under the new law.  
>  
> Note that I don't see a date on this webpage, but it does  
> refer to "the  
> Obama administration" in another location.  
>  
> best, Jennifer  
>  
>  
>  
> On 4/30/2010 9:04 AM, Donna Victoria wrote:  
>> @ Donatello: "there is not a single conference  
> attendee who should be  
>> negatively impacted by this law"  
>>  
>> Mike, I think having to worry about being stopped for  
> "driving while brown"  
>> with the threat of imminent arrest if you don't  
> immediately present your  
>> papers is in itself a negative impact, one that is  
> hardly negated if we get  
>> thru the conference without any members in shackles.  
>>  
>> If you were a Latino AAPOR member thinking of bringing  
> along family, how  
>> would you feel about an episode like this happening in  
> front of your  
>> children?  
>>  
>> I don't agree with a boycott for political reasons, I  
> agree that is a  
>> tangled web for us, but there are two very real

> concerns before Council:  
>>  
>> Financial -- do we lose more money by canceling and  
> moving, or by staying in  
>> AZ (i.e., how many members who would have gone will  
> opt out)  
>> Fraternal -- are we being sufficiently protective of  
> all AAPOR members when  
>> we know this law is not colorblind -- if members feel  
> strongly that setting  
>> foot in Arizona disrespects or threatens them, do they  
> lose faith in AAPOR  
>> as a membership organization if we go ahead  
> anyway? At a minimum, we need  
>> to carefully consider the views of all members, not  
> send the message that  
>> this is no big deal.  
>>  
>> Personally, I'm on the fence as to whether I'd attend  
> in Arizona or not,  
>> this needs to play out a little more but AAPOR does  
> not have the time for  
>> that...kinda hoping the Constitution rides to the  
> rescue, here!  
>>  
>> So if we leave AZ, I think the "boycott" should be  
> viewed as a financial  
>> decision, and one of responsibility to members.  
> It's just business -- and  
>> AZ lawmakers probably should have thought of these  
> impacts when they acted.  
>>  
>> DonnaV  
>>  
>> On Thu, Apr 29, 2010 at 11:57 PM, Stuart Kasdin<skasdin1@yahoo.com>  
> wrote:  
>>  
>>> I am not a lawyer, but I would doubt that it is a  
> violation of the Hatch  
>>> Act if the organization cancels its location or  
> makes a statement about  
>>> immigration policy. AAPOR, the organization  
> does not automatically become a  
>>> different type of organization. In the Bush  
> Administration they did try or  
>>> discuss eliminating the tax exempt status of  
> churches deemed political (in a  
>>> liberal direction, or course). For the Hatch Act,  
> the question would be  
>>> whether on government time, employees are engaging  
> in political behavior.  
>>> However, since the purpose of the conference is  
> still focused on survey  
>>> research and related topics, it seems to me that

> the federal employee is  
>>> safe. If AAPOR were somehow reclassified as  
> a different type of  
>>> organization, that would be different. Still,  
> I am just speculating, so  
>>> grain of salt and all that...  
>>>  
>>> --- On Thu, 4/29/10, kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>  
> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> From: kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV<kenneth.steve@DOT.GOV>  
>>> Subject: Re: Arizona  
>>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>>> Date: Thursday, April 29, 2010, 11:11 PM  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> Any effort on the part of the AAPOR organization  
> to alter its practices  
>>> to make a political statement will place all  
> members who are federal  
>>> employees in violation of The Hatch Act. Not  
> only would this preclude  
>>> them from participating in the 2011 conference, it  
> would require them to  
>>> terminate their AAPOR membership immediately.  
>>>  
>>> Given the fact that General Councils tend to err  
> on the side of caution,  
>>> I suspect the content of these email threads would  
> be enough to require  
>>> the same, even if the conference location was  
> moved "for the safety" of  
>>> its attendees. I'm not sure if action could  
> or would be taken to recoup  
>>> membership dues on behalf of the displaced federal  
> employees.  
>>>  
>>> I would strongly recommend that federal employees  
> seek the advice of  
>>> their general council and monitor this situation  
> closely.  
>>>  
>>> <http://www.osc.gov/hatchact.htm>  
>>>  
>>> Penalties  
>>>  
>>> An employee who violates the Hatch Act shall be  
> removed from their  
>>> position, and funds appropriated for the position  
> from which removed  
>>> thereafter may not be used to pay the employee or  
> individual. However,  
>>> if the Merit Systems Protection Board finds by

> unanimous vote that the  
>>> violation does not warrant removal, a penalty of  
> not less than a 30-day  
>>> suspension without pay shall be imposed by  
> direction of the Board.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> Sincerely,  
>>>  
>>> Kenneth W. Steve, M.S.  
>>>  
>>> Survey Statistician  
>>> Office of Survey Programs, E34-431  
>>> Bureau of Transportation Statistics  
>>> 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.  
>>> Washington, D. C. 20590  
>>> P: (202) 366-4108  
>>> F: (202) 366-3640  
>>> Kenneth.Steve@dot.gov  
>>> -----Original Message-----  
>>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu]  
> On Behalf Of Peter Miller  
>>> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:15 PM  
>>> To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
>>> Subject: Arizona  
>>>  
>>> Thanks to all who have expressed views on the 2011  
> meeting, now  
>>> scheduled  
>>> for Phoenix.  
>>>  
>>> As it happens, the Council has been involved in a  
> discussion about the  
>>> Arizona law and how we should respond for the past  
> couple days. We have  
>>> been gathering information on the financial  
> consequences of canceling  
>>> the  
>>> Phoenix booking and also considering other sorts  
> of responses to the  
>>> law, a  
>>> number of which have been echoed in recent posts  
> here.  
>>>  
>>> And as luck would have it, we need to make a  
> judgment about cancellation  
>>> very soon, prior to our meeting in Chicago.  
> This is because, according  
>>> to  
>>> the hotel contract signed more than a year ago,  
> the cost of cancellation  
>>> increases by \$100,000 on May 12. At minimum,  
> the cancellation cost to  
>>> the

>>> Association would be \$200,000 plus the cost of  
> finding a new location.  
>>> After May 12, the cancellation price alone goes up  
> to \$300,000.  
>>>  
>>> The Council needs to weigh the cancellation cost  
> and its fiduciary  
>>> responsibility to AAPOR as well as the other  
> serious considerations  
>>> which  
>>> have been raised here. We are scheduling a  
> teleconference for early  
>>> next  
>>> week to engage this discussion. Both current  
> and incoming council  
>>> members  
>>> will participate in the meeting, but the matter  
> will be formally decided  
>>> by  
>>> the current council after the sharing of  
> viewpoints.  
>>>  
>>> Thanks again for your thoughts. I will be  
> back to you as soon as we  
>>> have  
>>> had a chance to deliberate and decide next  
> week. All best wishes.  
>>> Peter  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> --  
>>> Peter V. Miller, PhD.  
>>> Department of Communication Studies  
>>> Northwestern University  
>>> President, American Association for Public Opinion  
> Research  
>>> p-miller@northwestern.edu  
>>>  
>>>  
> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu)  
> with this text:  
>>> set aapornet nomail  
>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside  
> AAPORNET.  
>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
>>> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>>  
>>>  
> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

>>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu)  
> with this text:  
>>> set aapornet nomail  
>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside  
> AAPORNET.  
>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>>  
>>>

> -----  
>>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
>>> Vacation hold? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu)  
> with this text:  
>>> set aapornet nomail  
>>> On your return send this: set aapornet mail  
>>> Please ask authors before quoting outside  
> AAPORNET.  
>>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> --  
>>

> -----  
>> Donna Victoria  
>> Victoria Research& Consulting  
>> 301-565-9500  
>>  
>> -----

>> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
>> <http://www.aapor.org>  
>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu)  
> with this text:  
>> signoff aapornet  
>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>  
> --

> Jennifer L. Hochschild  
> Harvard University  
> Henry LaBarre Jayne Professor of Government,  
> Professor of African and African American Studies, and  
> Harvard College Professor  
>  
> Department of Government  
> Harvard University  
> CGIS -- 1737 Cambridge Street  
> Cambridge, MA 02138  
> Phone: 617-496-0181  
> Fax: 617-495-0438  
> [Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu](mailto:Hochschild@gov.harvard.edu)

>  
> -----  
> Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> <http://www.aapor.org>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu)  
> with this text:  
> signoff aapornet  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 30 Apr 2010 18:46:24 -0400  
Reply-To: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mike Donatello <[mike@DONATELLO.US](mailto:mike@DONATELLO.US)>  
Subject: Re: Arizona  
X-To: [AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)  
In-Reply-To: <[t2z432c36861004300604wdc7fc7d3v874d74dadf87a2e0@mail.gmail.com](mailto:t2z432c36861004300604wdc7fc7d3v874d74dadf87a2e0@mail.gmail.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="=====AVGMAIL-4A065D1B====="

--=====AVGMAIL-4A065D1B=====

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

While I certainly understand the concerns others are presenting, I don't believe that anyone will be "stopped for 'driving while brown.'" If you read the text of the law, you'll see that suspicion of being an illegal alien is itself not grounds for being stopped; documentation may be requested only as part of another, justifiable law enforcement action (e.g., traffic violation). We can speculate ad nauseum as to whether there will be abuse by individual officers or units based on suspects' physical characteristics, but that possibility is addressed specifically in the measure. At any rate, such speculation really won't serve any purpose other than perpetuating our own debate.

Having just been through a very contentious episode as a director of my homeowners' association, I really empathize with the AAPOR board's plight. My travel budget evaporated in the recession, but if the board decides to keep the conference in Phoenix next year, I'll do my best to show support for the decision by searching under more rocks for T&E money.

Regards,

MD

---

Mike Donatello  
Director, Research  
USA TODAY  
7950 Jones Branch Dr., McLean, VA 22108  
V 703.854.4572 F 703.854.2165  
mdonatello@usatoday.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Donna Victoria  
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 9:05 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: [AAPORNET] Arizona

@ Donatello: "there is not a single conference attendee who should be negatively impacted by this law"

Mike, I think having to worry about being stopped for "driving while brown" with the threat of imminent arrest if you don't immediately present your papers is in itself a negative impact, one that is hardly negated if we get thru the conference without any members in shackles.

If you were a Latino AAPOR member thinking of bringing along family, how would you feel about an episode like this happening in front of your children?

I don't agree with a boycott for political reasons, I agree that is a tangled web for us, but there are two very real concerns before Council:

Financial -- do we lose more money by canceling and moving, or by staying in AZ (i.e., how many members who would have gone will opt out)  
Fraternal -- are we being sufficiently protective of all AAPOR members when we know this law is not colorblind -- if members feel strongly that setting foot in Arizona disrespects or threatens them, do they lose faith in AAPOR as a membership organization if we go ahead anyway? At a minimum, we need to carefully consider the views of all members, not send the message that this is no big deal.

Personally, I'm on the fence as to whether I'd attend in Arizona or not, this needs to play out a little more but AAPOR does not have the time for that...kinda hoping the Constitution rides to the rescue, here!

So if we leave AZ, I think the "boycott" should be viewed as a financial decision, and one of responsibility to members. It's just business -- and AZ lawmakers probably should have thought of these impacts when they acted.

DonnaV

--

-----  
Donna Victoria



Victoria Research & Consulting  
301-565-9500

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2840 - Release Date: 04/30/10  
07:34:00

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2840 - Release Date: 04/30/10  
07:34:00

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--=====AVGMAIL-4A065D1B=====

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="=====AVGMAIL-1DFB046C====="

--=====AVGMAIL-1DFB046C=====

Content-Type: text/plain; x-avg=cert; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Disposition: inline  
Content-Description: "Certification"

No virus found in this outgoing message.  
Checked by AVG - [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)  
Version: 9.0.814 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2845 - Release Date: 04/30/10 07:34:00

-----  
Conference info and final program: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
<http://www.aapor.org>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Unsubscribe? Send email to [listserv@asu.edu](mailto:listserv@asu.edu) with this text:  
signoff aapornet  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

--=====AVGMAIL-1DFB046C=====

--=====AVGMAIL-4A065D1B=====