From: LISTS.ASU.EDU LISTSERV Server (16.0) [LISTSERV@asu.edu]
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2011 6:10 PM
To: Shapard Wolf
Subject: File: "AAPORNET LOG0512"

Date:Thu, 1 Dec 2005 10:38:35 -0500Reply-To:Donna Eisenhower <deisenho@HEALTH.NYC.GOV>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Donna Eisenhower <deisenho@HEALTH.NYC.GOV>Subject:Re: AAPOR submission title?!?Comments:To: AAPORNET@asu.edu, cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDUMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=us-asciiContent-transfer-encoding:quoted-printableContent-disposition:inline

Yes, it happened to me as well even when I cut and pasted the title.

Donna Eisenhower, Ph.D. Director of Surveys NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene 125 Worth St, Room 315 New York, NY 10013 Tele 212 788-4637 Fax 212 788-4473

>>> Colleen Porter <cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDU> 11/30/2005 7:53:19 PM >>> Is it just me, or did everyone find that the "title" block only allowed 48 = characters? That's like 6 or 7 words. =20

My title came out pretty dorky sounding, and I felt badly that I couldn't r= un it by my co-authors, since there was no advance warning in the call for = papers or instructions.=20

I actually ended up with characters to spare on the abstract, but the title= thing was a real challenge. You'd think that my training in newspaper hea= dline-writing would be a help--but some of the conceptualizations that we d= eal with in research don't fit neatly into such an abbreviated space. (Hec= k, "health insurance" is 16 characters, which is 1/3 of the total allowed.)

Of course our friends who work for government agencies have practice with a= cronymns and abbreviations--maybe if I had abbreviated everything it might = have fit:) =20

Other than that, though, it was a wonderful experience to flex mental muscl= es that aren't usually used. I am not one of those who does methodological= research on an everyday basis, so to have the opportunity to explore a que= stion that I'd been wondering about and crunch some numbers was such a keen= pleasure. =20

Colleen K. Porter Research Program Manager Public Health Services and Research College of Dentistry, D8-51 PO Box 100404 Gainesville, Florida 32610-0404 (352) 846-0169, phone (352) 392-2672, fax cporter@dental.ufl.edu=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/=20 Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html=20 Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

The New York City Department of Health & Mental Hygiene is now offering inf= ormation important for the health of all New Yorkers. To sign up for these= new and valuable updates, log-on to our website at http://www.nyc.gov/health/email and select the NYC DOHMH updates you'd like= to receive.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This email is meant only for the use of the intended rec= ipient. It may contain confidential information that is legally privileged= or otherwise protected by law. If you have received this communication in= error, please notify me immediately by replying to this message and please= delete it from your computer. Thank you for your cooperation.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 1 Dec 2005 10:57:40 -0600 Reply-To: "Moore, David" <David_Moore@GALLUP.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Moore, David" <David_Moore@GALLUP.COM> Subject: Re: AAPOR submission title?!? Comments: To: Donna Eisenhower <deisenho@HEALTH.NYC.GOV>, AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Donna, Colleen and other AAPOR members:

We thought the limit was 50 words, not 50 characters. Next year we'll get it fixed! I'm sorry for the inconvenience.

If your title is too long, just put the whole title in the special request section, and we will take care of the matter that way.

Thanks!

David

David W. Moore Senior Editor, The Gallup Organization 501 Carnegie Center, Suite 300 Princeton, NJ 08540 =20

2006 AAPOR Program Chair

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Donna Eisenhower Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2005 10:39 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: AAPOR submission title?!?

Yes, it happened to me as well even when I cut and pasted the title.

Donna Eisenhower, Ph.D. Director of Surveys NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene 125 Worth St, Room 315 New York, NY 10013 Tele 212 788-4637 Fax 212 788-4473

>>> Colleen Porter <cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDU> 11/30/2005 7:53:19 PM >>> Is it just me, or did everyone find that the "title" block only allowed 48 characters? That's like 6 or 7 words. =20

My title came out pretty dorky sounding, and I felt badly that I couldn't run it by my co-authors, since there was no advance warning in the call for papers or instructions.=20

I actually ended up with characters to spare on the abstract, but the title thing was a real challenge. You'd think that my training in newspaper headline-writing would be a help--but some of the conceptualizations that we deal with in research don't fit neatly into such an abbreviated space. (Heck, "health insurance" is 16 characters, which is 1/3 of the total allowed.)

Of course our friends who work for government agencies have practice with acronymns and abbreviations--maybe if I had abbreviated everything it might have fit:) =20

Other than that, though, it was a wonderful experience to flex mental muscles that aren't usually used. I am not one of those who does methodological research on an everyday basis, so to have the opportunity to explore a question that I'd been wondering about and crunch some numbers was such a keen pleasure. =20

Colleen K. Porter Research Program Manager Public Health Services and Research College of Dentistry, D8-51 PO Box 100404 Gainesville, Florida 32610-0404 (352) 846-0169, phone (352) 392-2672, fax cporter@dental.ufl.edu=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

The New York City Department of Health & Mental Hygiene is now offering information important for the health of all New Yorkers. To sign up for these new and valuable updates, log-on to our website at http://www.nyc.gov/health/email and select the NYC DOHMH updates you'd like to receive.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This email is meant only for the use of the intended recipient. It may contain confidential information that is legally privileged or otherwise protected by law. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by replying to this message and please delete it from your computer. Thank you for your cooperation.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 1 Dec 2005 16:44:51 -0500 Reply-To: "Safir, Adam" <asafir@RTI.ORG> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Safir, Adam" <asafir@RTI.ORG> Subject: Reminder: 2005 DC-AAPOR Student Paper Competition Comments: To: SRMSNET@LISTSERV.UMD.EDU, AAPORNET@asu.edu, EVALTALK@BAMA.UA.EDU MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

The December 16, 2005 deadline for submitting a paper to this year's DC-AAPOR Student Paper Competition is fast approaching.=20

The author(s) of the winning paper will receive (1) their choice of full lodging expenses paid at AAPOR's Annual Conference in Montreal OR a cash

prize of \$300, AND (2) a guaranteed presentation slot at the Annual Conference.=20

More information about eligibility and entry is copied below.=20

Best,=20

Adam=20

DC-AAPOR STUDENT PAPER COMPETITION

The Washington-Baltimore Chapter of the American Association for Public Opinion Research (DC-AAPOR) invites entries to its second annual Student Paper Competition. The competition is intended to recognize excellence in scholarly research by area students, and to encourage active student participation in the chapter.=20

CRITERIA

DC-AAPOR welcomes papers in any field related to the study of public opinion, broadly defined, including research on (a) theoretical issues in the formation and change of public opinion, (b) the theory and methods of survey or market research, and (c) the use of statistical techniques in the design, adjustment, or analysis of survey data. Papers should be approximately 15 to 25 pages in length, and will be judged based on the quality of research design, originality, significance, organization, and presentation. Last year's winning paper and honorable mentions are available at http://www.dc-aapor.org/studentpaper.php.=20

ELIGIBILITY

The competition is open to all current graduate and undergraduate students, and to those who have received their degree within the last calendar year. Faculty co-authors are acceptable, with the stipulation that an eligible student must be first author. Eligibility is limited to students attending or graduated from an accredited college or university in Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, or Washington, DC, OR to students whose primary residence is in one of those areas. Previous membership in DC-AAPOR is not required, but non-members must become members in order to be eligible (the student membership fee is \$6). Submitted papers may not have been published or presented elsewhere.=20

AWARD

The author(s) of the winning paper will be awarded the choice of full lodging expenses paid at AAPOR's Annual Conference in Montreal, Quebec (May 18-21, 2006), or a cash prize of \$300. For multiple student authors on a winning paper, the award will be divided among the eligible authors. Additionally, the author(s) of the winning paper will be awarded a guaranteed spot on the Conference Program to present their paper at the Annual Conference in Montreal.

REVIEW COMMITTEE

The winning paper and honorable mentions will be selected by a review committee composed of survey and public opinion researchers drawn from the commercial, government, and nonprofit sectors. See http://www.dc-aapor.org/studentpaper.php for a complete list of review committee members.

PAPER SUBMISSION

To be considered for the award, please send an electronic copy of your paper to Adam Safir <mailto:asafir@rti.org> by December 16, 2005. Include your name, academic affiliation, mailing address, telephone number, and e-mail address. You will receive confirmation that your paper has been received. The winning paper will be announced on or before January 23, 2006.=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:	Mon, 5 Dec 2005 08:14:01 -0700
Reply-To:	Kriby Goidel <kgoidel@lsu.edu></kgoidel@lsu.edu>
Sender:	AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>
From:	Kriby Goidel <kgoidel@lsu.edu></kgoidel@lsu.edu>
Subject:	Surveying in Louisiana

We have recently completed a post-hurricane statewide telephone RDD survey in Louisiana and have received repeated questions about the representativeness of the sample. My response has been that we can't be sure about the representativeness since we have no post-Katrina benchmarks to use for weighting. As the most obvious (and noted) example, we do not have particularly good idea how many people are actually in the New Orleans area, and we are even more limited in knowing how many people there might be in the New Orleans area without phone service. New Orleans is not the only concern, however, as we are likely to have seen other population shifts (e.g., Baton Rouge is likely to have grown considerably, though estimates of the growth vary widely), most people think Louisiana may have lost a substantial percent of minority and poorer residents through the diaspora, etc.

Does anyone have any thoughts on how the data (or sampling strategies for future surveys) might be adjusted to account for large (but unknown) population shifts, as well as for limits in phone service?

We have compared the results by region, standard demographic variables, and partisan affiliation, and believe the results are fairly representative (as best as we can evaluate them).

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Mon, 5 Dec 2005 10:43:48 -0500Reply-To:Diane Bowers <dbowers@CASRO.ORG>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Diane Bowers <dbowers@CASRO.ORG>Subject:Research & RegulationComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1Content-transfer-encoding:7BIT

Dear AAPORnetters: Just a note to let you know that the latest issue of Research & Regulation, the CASRO Government & Public Affairs newsletter, will be up on our website -- www.casro.org -- Tuesday, December 6th. Please let me know if you have any follow up questions. Thanks, Diane

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Mon, 5 Dec 2005 09:51:04 -0600Reply-To:Mike Flanagan Sender:AAPORNET AAPORNET AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Mike Flanagan Mike Flanagan MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>Subject:Job OpportunityComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;charset="US-ASCII"Content-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

Assistant Director for Survey Research and Development

=20

==

The Odum Institute has an immediate opening for Assistant Director of Survey Research and Development. This is a permanent, full-time position with competitive salary and fairly flexible work hours. The primary responsibility of this position is to manage all operations associated with the Odum Institute Telephone Survey Call Center (TSCC). Other responsibilities also include consulting with students, staff and faculty of the University on topics in general survey methodology related to their research.

=20

The Odum Institute TSCC, which consists of 12 stations running the Blaise survey system, currently conducts about 3 or 4 relatively small surveys (approximately 2000 cases or less) per year. The Institute has expertise in all aspects of survey research including data collection capabilities in telephone and mail survey modes. Capabilities in Web survey design are being developed. The incumbent would participate and contribute to all these areas but have primary expertise in and responsibility for telephone survey methodology. Job duties include=20

(a) telephone survey design and specification,=20

(b) cost estimation and management,=20

(c) recruitment, training and supervision of call center staff and monitoring data collection progress and quality,

(d) processing and delivery of the survey data files.

=20

Qualified applicants should have an advanced degree, preferably in survey methodology. However, candidates with a bachelor's degree and a certificate in survey methodology will also be considered. At least 5 years experience directing and conducting telephone surveys is also required. =20

=20

Review of applications will begin immediately, but applications will be accepted until position is filled.

=20

Submit letter of intention, complete CV, and the names and titles of 3 references to:

Search Committee

Assistant Director Opening

Odum Institute, Manning Hall, CB#3355

University of North Carolina

Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3355.

www.odum.unc.edu <http://www.odum.unc.edu/>=20

=20

=20

The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill is an Equal Opportunity Employer. Women and minorities are encouraged to apply.

=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Dec 2005 11:29:44 -0600 Reply-To: Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM> Subject: Re: Surveying in Louisiana Comments: To: Kriby Goidel <kgoidel@LSU.EDU> Comments: cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <LISTSERV%200512050814015091.029A@LISTS.ASU.EDU> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

This link at louisiana.gov may be of help.

http://www.louisiana.gov/wps/portal/.cmd/cs/.ce/155/.s/3329/_s.155/3313/_me/11 33

Nick

Kriby Goidel wrote:

>We have recently completed a post-hurricane statewide telephone RDD survey >in Louisiana and have received repeated questions about the >representativeness of the sample. My response has been that we can't be sure >about the representativeness since we have no post-Katrina benchmarks to use >for weighting. As the most obvious (and noted) example, we do not have >particularly good idea how many people are actually in the New Orleans area, >and we are even more limited in knowing how many people there might be in >the New Orleans area without phone service. New Orleans is not the only >concern, however, as we are likely to have seen other population shifts >(e.g., Baton Rouge is likely to have grown considerably, though estimates of >the growth vary widely), most people think Louisiana may have lost a >substantial percent of minority and poorer residents through the diaspora, etc.

>

>Does anyone have any thoughts on how the data (or sampling strategies for >future surveys) might be adjusted to account for large (but unknown) >population shifts, as well as for limits in phone service?

>

>We have compared the results by region, standard demographic variables, and >partisan affiliation, and believe the results are fairly representative (as >best as we can evaluate them).

>

>-----

>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

 $> Archives: \ http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html \ .$

>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

> >

>

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Mon, 5 Dec 2005 11:28:53 -0800Reply-To:rick@ALOHALEE.COMSender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Rick Brady <rick@ALOHALEE.COM>Subject:Re: Surveying in LouisianaComments:To: aapornet@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<43947908.8080704@marketsharescorp.com>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1Content-transfer-encoding:8bit

I'm in the New Orleans metro area working with FEMA's infrastructure group. Couple anecdotal points that may be of interest:

1) Drive by any cell phone store and you will see very very long lines.

2) Bell South (local telephone co) is meeting regularly with FEMA to try and decide where to prioritize their restoration of services. That is, they are trying to find out where FEMA is placing trailers so they can coordinate their efforts.

Large sections of Plaquemines Parish (southern parts), Orleans Parish (eastern part), and ALL of St. Bernard Parish is without regular telephone service. Of course, these areas aren't really populated right now, but pre-Katrina these areas represented a couple hundred thousand people.

Someone might want to contact Bell South to see just how many of their regular customers are still without service and if they have this information by zip code. I'm guessing that a significant number of residents are still without landline service and that these people differ in key demographics from the rest of LA's population.

Questions of the day: How many displaced residents got their first cell phones after Katrina? How many displaced residents will restore their landline service after getting along for months without it?

Rick Brady

> This link at louisiana.gov may be of help.

> >

```
http://www.louisiana.gov/wps/portal/.cmd/cs/.ce/155/.s/3329/_s.155/3313/_me/11
```

33 >

>> Kriby Goidel wrote: >>>We have recently completed a post-hurricane statewide telephone RDD >> survey >>in Louisiana and have received repeated questions about the >>representativeness of the sample. My response has been that we can't be >> sure >>about the representativeness since we have no post-Katrina benchmarks to >> use >>for weighting. As the most obvious (and noted) example, we do not have >>particularly good idea how many people are actually in the New Orleans >> area. >>and we are even more limited in knowing how many people there might be in >>the New Orleans area without phone service. New Orleans is not the only >>concern, however, as we are likely to have seen other population shifts >>(e.g., Baton Rouge is likely to have grown considerably, though estimates >> of>>the growth vary widely), most people think Louisiana may have lost a >>substantial percent of minority and poorer residents through the >> diaspora, etc. >> >>Does anyone have any thoughts on how the data (or sampling strategies for >>future surveys) might be adjusted to account for large (but unknown) >>population shifts, as well as for limits in phone service? >>>>We have compared the results by region, standard demographic variables, >> and >>partisan affiliation, and believe the results are fairly representative >> (as >>best as we can evaluate them). >>>>----->>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >>Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . >>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >> >> >> >> -----> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Dec 2005 14:19:00 -0600

> Nick

Reply-To:Barbara Burrell <TI0BCB1@WPO.CSO.NIU.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Barbara Burrell <TI0BCB1@WPO.CSO.NIU.EDU>Subject:Job opportunityComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;charset=US-ASCIIContent-transfer-encoding:7bitContent-disposition:inline

Northern Illinois Public Opinion Laboratory

JOB DESCRIPTION

Job Title: Research Associate

Department: Public Opinion Lab

Summary

Conducts sponsored survey research projects by telephone, mail, and/or internet, utilizing POL facilities. Coordinates with client sponsors to

establish and comply with project requirements following professionally

accepted standards. May serve as study director. May work alone or as

part of an ad hoc project team. Provides team and back-up support for other POL staff as requested in order to fulfill departmental commitments.

Duties and Responsibilities

1. Works with clients to develop questionnaire instruments; adapts instruments to mode of survey data collection (e.g., telephone), including conducting interviewer training.

2. Works with clients on survey design and sampling strategies to meet

project requirements.

3. Works with lab manager on matters of resource allocation and scheduling needs, special fielding protocols, and case file management.

4. Trains and monitors interviewers and lab staff during data collection field period; ensures timeliness and integrity of data collection.

5. Performs data manipulations, statistical analyses, and summaries of

data using SPSS; occasionally uses SAS or other data analysis applications.

6. Prepares and formats written research reports in accordance with client requirements.

7. Prepares and delivers PowerPoint presentations to client sponsors.

8. Coordinates project work and documentation with POL leadership and other NIU units with oversight responsibility for research and contracts.

9. Assists in the conduct of focus groups.

10. Engages in professional development activities such as attending conferences and workshops.

11. Performs additional duties as assigned by the POL Director or Associate Director.

Relationships

- 1. Supervision received: POL Director (formal reporting); Associate Director (informal).
- Supervision given: None (formal); GA's and other staff assigned on a project basis.
- 3. Clients, principal investigators, and prospective client-sponsors.
- 4. Coordination with NIU administrative offices.

Minimum Qualifications

1. Bachelor's degree in a social science discipline.

2. Working knowledge of SPSS and/or SAS as well as Microsoft office software.

3. Demonstrated knowledge of survey research methods and data analysis.

4. Actual experience conducting surveys and writing technical reports.

5. Sufficient interpersonal and communication skills to make presentations to professional and public audiences as well as to sustain

effective work relationships among POL staff and clients.

Applications should be sent to:

Robert Ard, Director Public Opinion Laboratory Northern Illinois University 148 No. 3rd Street DeKalb, Illinois 60115 815-753-0039 rard@niu.edu

Barbara Burrell Associate Director Public Opinion Laboratory and Associate Professor Political Science Northern Illinois University DeKalb, Illinois 60115 815-753-9657

Barbara Burrell Associate Director Public Opinion Laboratory and Associate Professor Political Science Northern Illinois University DeKalb, Illinois 60115 815-753-9657

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Mon, 5 Dec 2005 15:36:11 -0500 Reply-To: Lisa Moses <lisamoses@GMAIL.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Lisa Moses <lisamoses@GMAIL.COM> Subject: Re: Surveying in Louisiana Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <49573.209.3.152.35.1133810933.squirrel@www.alohalee.com> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable Content-disposition: inline

To address point 2: Although Bell South still has a lot of landline outages=

Cox Cable is doing it's part to pick up their slack by trying to get Bell South's customers to switch over to their digital phone service, and it seems to be working. A relative of mine living in an apartment complex in New Orleans said a Cox representative spoke to the entire building, enticin=

them with quicker service restoration than Bell South, so I imagine this is happening throughout many if not all of these areas.

In addition, I can anecdotally answer the "questions of the day": Consider senior citizens as potentially new cell phone customers--my grandmother jus=t

got her first one because her land line is still down.

Two of my friends will not be maintaining landline service. One will not have it restored and the other one said she will be cancelling service once it's been restored, specifically because of how long it's taking to restore service.

Although I realize it may not be the exact info people are interested in, a= s

a New Orleans native, currently living in DC, yet highly connected to home, I have access to info like this on many individuals, should anyone need it.

Lisa Moses

On 12/5/05, Rick Brady <rick@alohalee.com> wrote:

>

>

> I'm in the New Orleans metro area working with FEMA's infrastructure

> group. Couple anecdotal points that may be of interest:

> 1) Drive by any cell phone store and you will see very very long lines.

> 2) Bell South (local telephone co) is meeting regularly with FEMA to try

> and decide where to prioritize their restoration of services. That is,

> they are trying to find out where FEMA is placing trailers so they can> coordinate their efforts.

>

> Large sections of Plaquemines Parish (southern parts), Orleans Parish
 > (eastern part), and ALL of St. Bernard Parish is without regular telephon=

> service. Of course, these areas aren't really populated right now, but
> pre-Katrina these areas represented a couple hundred thousand people.

> Someone might want to contact Bell South to see just how many of their

> regular customers are still without service and if they have this

> information by zip code. I'm guessing that a significant number of

> residents are still without landline service and that these people differ

> in key demographics from the rest of LA's population.

>

> Questions of the day: How many displaced residents got their first cell > phones after Katrina? How many displaced residents will restore their > landline service after getting along for months without it?

>

> Rick Brady

>

>
>> This link at louisiana.gov may be of help.
>>
>>
> http://www.louisiana.gov/wps/portal/.cmd/cs/.ce/155/.s/3329/_s.155/3313/_= me/1133
>>
>>Nick
>>
>> Kriby Goidel wrote:
>>We have recently completed a post-hurricane statewide telephone RDD >> survey
>>>in Louisiana and have received repeated questions about the
>>>representativeness of the sample. My response has been that we can't be
>>> sure
>>>about the representativeness since we have no post-Katrina benchmarks t=
0
>>> use
>>>for weighting. As the most obvious (and noted) example, we do not have
>>>particularly good idea how many people are actually in the New Orleans
>>> area,
>>>and we are even more limited in knowing how many people there might be
> in
>>>the New Orleans area without phone service. New Orleans is not the only
>>>concern, however, as we are likely to have seen other population shifts
>>>(e.g., Baton Rouge is likely to have grown considerably, though
> estimates
>>> of
>>>the growth vary widely), most people think Louisiana may have lost a
>>>substantial percent of minority and poorer residents through the
>>> diaspora, etc.
>>>Does anyone have any thoughts on how the data (or sampling strategies > for
>>>future surveys) might be adjusted to account for large (but unknown)
>>population shifts, as well as for limits in phone service?
>>>
>>>We have compared the results by region, standard demographic variables,
>>> and
>>>partisan affiliation, and believe the results are fairly representative
>>> (as
>>>best as we can evaluate them).
>>>
>>>
>>>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
>>>Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
>>>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
> aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>-----

- >> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
- >> Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
- >> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
- > aapornet-request@asu.edu
- >>
- >>
- >
- > _____

> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

- > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
- > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
- >

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 6 Dec 2005 15:38:53 -0500 Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV> Subject: TSMII Exhibit Space Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

> The Conference Organizing Committee for the Second International

- > Conference on Telephone Survey Methodology (TSM II) to be held at the
- > Miami Hyatt July 11-15, 2006 invites organizations interested in
- > exhibiting at the conference to contact Clyde Tucker at
- > tucker_c@bls.gov. Exhibit space, including a 6 by 2 foot skirted
- > table, chairs and electrical connections, can be rented for \$500. The
- > conference has space for an additional 15 to 20 exhibitors.
- > Instructions for shipping exhibit materials will be supplied upon

> request.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 6 Dec 2005 16:15:12 -0500		
Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" < Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV>		
Sender: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>		
From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <meekins.brian@bls.gov></meekins.brian@bls.gov>		
Subject: TSMII Exhibit Space (correction)		
Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu		
MIME-version: 1.0		
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"		
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable		

Correction: TSM II is to be held at the Miami Hyatt January 11-15, 2006. We apologize for the error and any inconvenience.

> The Conference Organizing Committee for the Second International

- > Conference on Telephone Survey Methodology (TSM II) to be held at the
- > Miami Hyatt January 11-15, 2006 invites organizations interested in
- > exhibiting at the conference to contact Clyde Tucker at
- > tucker_c@bls.gov. Exhibit space, including a 6 by 2 foot skirted
- > table, chairs and electrical connections, can be rented for \$500. The
- > conference has space for an additional 15 to 20 exhibitors.
- > Instructions for shipping exhibit materials will be supplied upon
- > request.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 7 Dec 2005 09:40:39 -0500 Reply-To: pd@kerr-downs.com Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Phillip Downs <pd@KERR-DOWNS.COM> Subject: Open ends Comments: To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Appreciate it if list members would share their pricing policies/experiences in adding open ended questions to a structured questionnaire. for example, what do you charge for each additional open-ended question on a sample of 1,000? Thanks

Phillip E. Downs, PhD Kerr & Downs Research 2992 Habersham Drive Tallahassee, FL 32309 Phone: 850.906.3111 Fax: 850.906.3112 www.kerr-downs.com

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Wed, 7 Dec 2005 09:26:43 -0600Reply-To:Mike Flanagan Sender:AAPORNET AAPORNET AAPORNET @ASU.EDU>From:Mike Flanagan Subject:Public Opinion ProsComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Dear AAPOR members -

=20

For those of you who are interested, the December issue of Public Opinion Pros is now available at our website. Articles this month include two different perspectives on the public's capacity and will to participate in the political process, and a comparison between Spain's reactions to terrorist attacks on trains in Madrid with those of Americans to 9/11. Our "From the Field" offering presents findings from experiments comparing online and in-person modes of interviewing.=20

=20

The editor's introduction to the issue is accessible to nonsubscribers at=20

=20

http://www.publicopinionpros.com/from_editor/2005/dec/from_editor.asp

=20

Author guidelines can also be freely accessed from our homepage at

=20

www.PublicOpinionPros.com

=20

We are presently scheduling content for our February, March, and April issues. As always, we are seeking manuscripts and proposals for magazine-style articles on subjects relating to public opinion and polling. Commentary pieces of 500-800 words that will not become dated by the time of publication are particularly welcome. Please send your queries directly to me at editor@PublicOpinionPros.com.=20

=20

Thank you for your interest in POP. I look forward to hearing from you.

=20

Best wishes -

=20

Lisa

Lisa Ferraro Parmelee, Ph.D.

Manager, LFP Editorial Enterprises, LLC

Editor, Public Opinion Pros

www.PublicOpinionPros.com

=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Wed, 7 Dec 2005 08:35:53 -0700 Reply-To: Mariah Jean Storey <Riah@UWYO.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Mariah Jean Storey <Riah@UWYO.EDU> Subject: Job Opportunity Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Hello everyone,

I wanted to post a job opportunity.

University of Wyoming College of Arts & Sciences RESEARCH SCIENTIST - #4633/4709/4738/4840/4899 Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) =20

Essential Duties:

Conduct social policy research for statewide and community programs. Job duties may include program evaluation, technical assistance and consultation with state funding agencies and grant funded community program managers, outcome assessment (designing, gathering, analyzing, preparing and presenting data for various projects), survey design and oversight, statistical analysis, report writing, occasional public presentations, coordination of and participation in community-based field research, and supervision of, assistance to, and cooperation with other WYSAC research staff. In addition, the successful applicant will have responsibilities to prepare grant and contract applications, provide consultation or training to the public or University community, conduct workshops, travel to state, regional and national conferences. Responsibilities may also include literature searches to identify additional research and to assist in preparation of conference presentations and manuscripts for publication. Due to a large number of new research contracts and overall growth of the organization, WYSAC expects to be adding five or more full-time research scientists in the

coming months.

Minimum Qualifications:

A master's degree in a social science, applied statistics, or a related discipline is required, along with strong research skills, statistical competence, and methodological knowledge applicable to large-scale research projects. Specific technical experience needed includes data analysis, project direction, time management, and supervisory skills. In addition, applicants should have demonstrated excellent writing, oral communication, and organizational skills in prior work settings. Ability to work collaboratively with colleagues and clients in an entrepreneurial environment is essential. =20

Desired Qualifications:

A doctoral degree with a strong background in research design and policy research (knowledge of measurement and outcome research) is preferred. An understanding of issues and best practices in criminal justice, public health, education, survey research, and/or substance abuse prevention would be beneficial. Ability to create new survey and evaluation instruments as needed, a thorough understanding of data analysis and data management, and familiarity with Wyoming governmental agencies are all highly desirable. Successful experience in securing contracts and grants for funded research is also desired.=20

Application Process:

Applicants should submit a letter of application that details academic and research experience related to this position, a resume, names and contact information for three references, and a copy of graduate transcript(s) if available to:

Mariah Storey, Search Committee Chair Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center University of Wyoming 710 Garfield, Suite 320 Laramie, WY 82070

> PHONE: (307) 745-4561 FAX: (307) 742-3058 EMAIL: riah@uwyo.edu

Application Deadline:=20 Review of applications will begin immediately and will remain open until a suitable candidate is hired. =20

Salary:

Salary and rank are competitive and will be commensurate with experience and qualifications. Research Scientists include three ranks (Assistant, Associate, and Senior), are considered to be Academic Professionals in the University's personnel system, and receive salaries and full benefits consistent with those provided to other faculty at the University. =20

Start and End Dates: Anticipated start date is ASAP. Ending date is contingent upon external funding; currently, project funding is anticipated at least through June 30, 2006, but projects are expected to continue through 2008 or longer. Renewal in the position is likely, on a year-by-year basis and dependent on job performance and the availability of continuing funding for related projects. =20

The University of Wyoming is an equal opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer. =20

About WYSAC:

The Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC), a unit of the University of Wyoming, conducts evaluation research, policy studies, and polling under contract to governmental and private entities in Wyoming and throughout the Rocky Mountain region. Areas of particular research strength include criminal justice, substance abuse, education, and public opinion. The staff consists of more than 20 professional researchers with degrees in statistics, political science, psychology, sociology, economics, information technology, business, and other policy-relevant disciplines. Housed in the Laramie Plains Civic Center in downtown Laramie, Wyoming, WYSAC's facilities have recently been expanded to include a fully equipped call center for computer-aided telephone interviewing. The University of Wyoming, the only four-year and graduate institution in the state, is a research-oriented, land-grant university with an enrollment of about 10,000 students on the Laramie campus. With a population of nearly 30,000 residents, Laramie is 45 minutes from the state capital of Cheyenne, and less than 3 hours north of Denver, Colorado. The Snowy Range ski area, Medicine Bow National Forest, and other venues for outdoor recreation are even closer. =20

Mariah Storey=20 Assistant Research Scientist Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center University of Wyoming=20 710 Garfield St., Suite 320 =20 Laramie, WY 82070 (307) 745-4561 * fax (307) 742-3058 * e-mail: riah@uwyo.edu WYSAC website: http://www.uwyo.edu/wysac/

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Wed, 7 Dec 2005 10:34:33 -0500Reply-To:Keith Neuman <Keith.Neuman@ENVIRONICS.CA>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Keith Neuman <Keith.Neuman@ENVIRONICS.CA>Subject:Re: Open endsComments:To: pd@kerr-downs.com, AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=us-asciiContent-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

We run a quarterly survey in Canada with an N of 2,000. We normally charge a premium of \$1,100 to \$1,400 (CDN) for processing a fully open-ended question (on top of the standard question fee).

Keith Neuman, Ph.D. Group Vice President - Public Affairs Environics Research Group Ltd. Ottawa, Ontario

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Phillip Downs Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2005 9:41 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Open ends

Appreciate it if list members would share their pricing policies/experiences in adding open ended questions to a structured questionnaire. for example, what do you charge for each additional open-ended question on a sample of 1,000? Thanks

Phillip E. Downs, PhD Kerr & Downs Research 2992 Habersham Drive Tallahassee, FL 32309 Phone: 850.906.3111 Fax: 850.906.3112 www.kerr-downs.com

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Wed, 7 Dec 2005 12:40:26 -0600 Reply-To: armso001 <armso001@UMN.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: armso001 <armso001@UMN.EDU> Subject: Re: Open ends Comments: To: Keith Neuman <Keith.Neuman@ENVIRONICS.CA>, AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: TEXT/plain; CHARSET=US-ASCII

We conduct an annual statewide survey with 800 completed interviews. An open-ended question typically costs about \$1,500, while a fixed response

question usually costs \$720 - \$960.

Rossana Armson, Director Minnesota Center for Survey Research University of Minnesota 2331 University Avenue SE, Suite 141 Minneapolis, MN 55414 612-627-4282 voice 612-627-4288 FAX armso001@umn.edu On 7 Dec 2005, Keith Neuman wrote: > We run a quarterly survey in Canada with an N of 2,000. We normally > charge a premium of \$1,100 to \$1,400 (CDN) for processing a fully > open-ended question (on top of the standard question fee). >> Keith Neuman, Ph.D. > Group Vice President - Public Affairs > Environics Research Group Ltd. > Ottawa, Ontario >>>> ----- Original Message-----> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Phillip Downs > Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2005 9:41 AM > To: AAPORNET@asu.edu > Subject: Open ends >> Appreciate it if list members would share their pricing > policies/experiences in adding open ended questions to a structured > questionnaire. for example, what do you charge for each additional > open-ended question on a sample of 1,000? Thanks >> Phillip E. Downs, PhD > Kerr & Downs Research > 2992 Habersham Drive > Tallahassee, FL 32309 > Phone: 850.906.3111 > Fax: 850.906.3112 > www.kerr-downs.com >> -----> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. > >-----> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. >

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Wed, 7 Dec 2005 12:59:54 -0600 Reply-To: Robert Godfrey <rgodfrey@FACSTAFF.WISC.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Robert Godfrey <rgodfrey@FACSTAFF.WISC.EDU> Subject: FYI: New web-based survey software Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <CF12F1D0B1A80547AF653ACE38229C4F4FB5A8@DCWEXC01.RCC_NT.RTI.ORG> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format="flowed"

I've not used this software myself, perhaps others could share their experiences with various other software tools.

http://www.bebosoft.com/products/prontosurvey/

Pronto Survey 1.1 is a tool for creating web-based surveys without programming. It creates surveys in PHP and stores results in MySQL, with support for multi-page surveys, multiple question types, rules for creating dynamic surveys based on responses, charts and tables for data analysis, filtering, export to CSV files, and more. This release adds support for PostreSQL, support for multiple rules per page in survey branching, custom validation messages in each question, more validation options, cross tabulation results, export to text files, and other changes. Pronto Survey is \$60 for Mac OS X or Windows.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Wed, 7 Dec 2005 15:04:18 -0800Reply-To:"Langer, Gary E" <Gary.E.Langer@ABC.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Langer, Gary E" <Gary.E.Langer@ABC.COM>Subject:ABC News poll: AfghanistanComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset="us-ascii"Content-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

ABC News has sponsored the first news survey conducted in Afghanistan. Its release today coincides with the fourth anniversary of the fall of Kandahar.=20

For details please see http://abcnews.go.com/International/PollVault/story?id=3D1363276. -----

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Wed, 7 Dec 2005 21:27:58 -0500 Reply-To: Nancy Belden <nancybelden@BRSPOLL.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Nancy Belden <nancybelden@BRSPOLL.COM> Subject: Council nominations Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

AAPOR Members -

A reminder: Tomorrow is the deadline for you to submit nominations for the Council for the Nominations Committee and the current Council to consider in their deliberations. Please send any names and statements in support of their nomination to me. And thank you (including thanks to those who have already submitted names).

Nancy Belden, Past President, AAPOR

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Wed, 7 Dec 2005 14:32:14 -0500		
Reply-To: Karl Feld <kfeld@jzm.com></kfeld@jzm.com>		
Sender: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>		
From: Karl Feld <kfeld@jzm.com></kfeld@jzm.com>		
Subject: Re: Open ends		
Comments: To: armso001 <armso001@umn.edu>, AAPORNET@asu.edu</armso001@umn.edu>		
MIME-version: 1.0		
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii		
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable		

As a general rule, my experience across multiple vendors and companies has been each OE adds one minute of survey time. You can use this to calculate;

Increased programming expense (add another minute of survey length to the calculation)

Increased per complete expense (add another minute to survey length calculation)

Coding expense, cost to code each OE, if coding.

Cleaning/editing, cost to prepare each for review by external eyes if

necessary.

This should allow you to incrementally calculate increased costs for any survey length and n size.

Hope this helps.

Karl G. Feld, Vice President Primary Data Division Johnston, Zabor, McManus, Inc. =20 =20 =20

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of armso001 Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2005 1:40 PM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: Open ends

We conduct an annual statewide survey with 800 completed interviews. An open-ended question typically costs about \$1,500, while a fixed response question usually costs \$720 - \$960.

Rossana Armson, Director Minnesota Center for Survey Research University of Minnesota 2331 University Avenue SE, Suite 141 Minneapolis, MN 55414 612-627-4282 voice 612-627-4288 FAX armso001@umn.edu

On 7 Dec 2005, Keith Neuman wrote:

- > We run a quarterly survey in Canada with an N of 2,000. We normally=20
- > charge a premium of \$1,100 to \$1,400 (CDN) for processing a fully=20
- > open-ended question (on top of the standard question fee).
- >=20
- > Keith Neuman, Ph.D.
- > Group Vice President Public Affairs
- > Environics Research Group Ltd.
- > Ottawa, Ontario
- >=20
- >=20
- >=20
- > -----Original Message-----
- > From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Phillip Downs
- > Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2005 9:41 AM
- > To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
- > Subject: Open ends
- >=20
- > Appreciate it if list members would share their pricing=20

> policies/experiences in adding open ended questions to a structured=20 > questionnaire. for example, what do you charge for each additional=20 > open-ended question on a sample of 1,000? Thanks >=20 > Phillip E. Downs, PhD > Kerr & Downs Research > 2992 Habersham Drive > Tallahassee, FL 32309 > Phone: 850.906.3111 > Fax: 850.906.3112 > www.kerr-downs.com >=20 > -----> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. >=20 > _____ > Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. >=20 Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 08:25:42 -0600 Reply-To: Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM> The News Subject: Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed Content-transfer-encoding: 8BIT Here is a disturbing trend in the news industry. The conventional media - newspapapers and TV who do the gathering and reporting of news - are losing ground in terms of readers, viewers and advertising dollars to

the Internet, a medium that simply relays the news.

As this freebie component of our free market system expands, who is going to pay for the news?

Comments?

Nick

http://www.chicagotribune.com/services/newspaper/premium/printedition/Sunday/business/chi-0512040378dec04,2,3769350.story?coll=chi-printbusinesssunday-hed

> All told, Internet advertising revenues for the first nine months of

> 2005 totaled \$8.9 billion, a new record and a 22.5 percent increase

> over the same period a year ago, reported the Interactive Advertising

> Bureau and PricewaterhouseCoopers. Internet's growth, meanwhile, comes

> as broadcast TV viewership has turned flat; cable TV viewership,

> divided among numerous channels, is growing by about 15 percent, said

> Nielsen Media Research.

>

> While television is thus still king, the Internet is quickly becoming

> a major force and competitor for ad dollars. This makes for some

> trying days for network television.

>

> In the first eight months of the year, ad spending on network TV fell

> 2.5 percent to \$14.3 billion, according to TNS Media Intelligence. By

> comparison, spending on cable TV grew 13 percent to \$10.4 billion.

Copyright © 2005, Chicago Tribune < http://www.chicagotribune.com/>

http://www.boston.com/news/local/massachusetts/articles/2005/12/08/newspapers_ predict_modest_growth_in_2006 Newspapers predict modest growth in 2006

By Seth Sutel, AP Business Writer | December 8, 2005

NEW YORK --Newspaper publishers predicted modest growth for next year at a pair of investor conferences Wednesday, as rising costs and a volatile advertising environment continue to cloud their prospects.

However, companies like Gannett Co. and Belo Corp. that also own television stations said they expected to see benefits from the Winter Olympics and the upcoming elections next fall.

Tribune Co. CEO Dennis FitzSimons told investors that the company cut a total of 900 jobs in 2005, or about 4 percent of its work force, mainly in its publishing business.

"Clearly the year hasn't been what we hoped it would be," he said, noting that publishing revenues have been flat in the year to date, while broadcasting revenues were down 5.5 percent.

Tribune has cut jobs this year at Newsday, the Los Angeles Times, the Chicago Tribune and other papers in an effort to preserve profitability amid faltering revenues and rising costs including newsprint and employee benefits.

Other newspaper publishers including Knight Ridder Inc. and The New York Times Co. have also been cutting jobs this year amid faltering revenue growth and rising costs as more advertisers and readers move to the Internet and other media like cable TV.

SNIP

The New York Times Co., which has already announced 700 job cuts of its own this year, described the media marketplace as "challenging," a trend it expects to see continue through next year. The company said the outlook remained too uncertain to issue forecasts for revenues or earnings in 2006.

© Copyright 2005 The New York Times Company

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Thu, 8 Dec 2005 09:55:42 -0500Reply-To:"Wolf, James G" <jamwolf@IUPUI.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Wolf, James G" <jamwolf@IUPUI.EDU>Subject:Re: The NewsComments:To: Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM>, AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=US-ASCIIContent-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

I try not to be too cynical about such things, but I fear the current trend toward "infotainment" will continue. Advertisers will continue to pay for much of what appears in the print and broadcast media, and market share will dictate how it is presented. =20

I abandoned Headline News when I began having trouble distinguishing it from "Entertainment Tonight" and now rely mostly on NPR, the NYT and BBC to provide me with information that passes my "real news" test: will this issue be important 5 years from now?

The sooner MBA's stop running the newsroom to please shareholders, the sooner reporters can get back to doing investigative journalism. I don't know how that can happen while news divisions are held to the same measure of productivity as entertainment divisions.

Here is a disturbing trend in the news industry. The conventional media - newspapapers and TV who do the gathering and reporting of news - are losing ground in terms of readers, viewers and advertising dollars to the Internet, a medium that simply relays the news.

As this freebie component of our free market system expands, who is going to pay for the news?

Comments?

Nick

http://www.chicagotribune.com/services/newspaper/premium/printedition/Sunday/business/chi-0512040378dec04,2,3769350.story?coll=3Dchi-printbusines=

S

sunday-hed

> All told, Internet advertising revenues for the first nine months of

> 2005 totaled \$8.9 billion, a new record and a 22.5 percent increase

> over the same period a year ago, reported the Interactive Advertising

> Bureau and PricewaterhouseCoopers. Internet's growth, meanwhile, comes

> as broadcast TV viewership has turned flat; cable TV viewership,

> divided among numerous channels, is growing by about 15 percent, said

> Nielsen Media Research.

>

> While television is thus still king, the Internet is quickly becoming

> a major force and competitor for ad dollars. This makes for some

> trying days for network television.

>

> In the first eight months of the year, ad spending on network TV fell

> 2.5 percent to \$14.3 billion, according to TNS Media Intelligence. By

> comparison, spending on cable TV grew 13 percent to \$10.4 billion.

Copyright (c) 2005, Chicago Tribune http://www.chicagotribune.com/

http://www.boston.com/news/local/massachusetts/articles/2005/12/08/newsp apers_predict_modest_growth_in_2006 Newspapers predict modest growth in 2006

By Seth Sutel, AP Business Writer | December 8, 2005

NEW YORK --Newspaper publishers predicted modest growth for next year at a pair of investor conferences Wednesday, as rising costs and a volatile advertising environment continue to cloud their prospects.

However, companies like Gannett Co. and Belo Corp. that also own television stations said they expected to see benefits from the Winter Olympics and the upcoming elections next fall.

Tribune Co. CEO Dennis FitzSimons told investors that the company cut a total of 900 jobs in 2005, or about 4 percent of its work force, mainly in its publishing business.

"Clearly the year hasn't been what we hoped it would be," he said, noting that publishing revenues have been flat in the year to date, while broadcasting revenues were down 5.5 percent.

Tribune has cut jobs this year at Newsday, the Los Angeles Times, the Chicago Tribune and other papers in an effort to preserve profitability amid faltering revenues and rising costs including newsprint and employee benefits.

Other newspaper publishers including Knight Ridder Inc. and The New York Times Co. have also been cutting jobs this year amid faltering revenue growth and rising costs as more advertisers and readers move to the Internet and other media like cable TV.

SNIP

The New York Times Co., which has already announced 700 job cuts of its own this year, described the media marketplace as "challenging," a trend it expects to see continue through next year. The company said the outlook remained too uncertain to issue forecasts for revenues or earnings in 2006.

(c) Copyright 2005 The New York Times Company

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 10:12:43 -0500 Reply-To: Mike Donatello
MDonatello @COX.NET>
Sender: AAPORNET
AAPORNET
AAPORNET @ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Donatello
MDonatello @COX.NET>
Subject: Re: The News
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
Comments: cc: online-news@poynter.org
In-Reply-To:
43984266.8080605@marketsharescorp.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="windows-1250"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Nick,

Without commenting on the survey specifically, I think that you're proceeding from a few questionable assumptions:

=20

First, that Internet-based media "simply relay the news." Some of the = most

widely visited news sites -- nytimes.com, washingtonpost.com, cnn.com -- devote substantial resources to online-exclusive content and reportorial activities. They don=92t just "relay" information that was out there = already.

=20

Second, that online news sources are independent from traditional = sources,

and thus are siphoning revenue out of the parent companies. With the exception of pure-play sites like Yahoo and Google, most major news = outlets

online are extensions of traditional offline news providers. Revenue = and

expenses, as well as content, are shared at the corporate (and sometimes operating unit) level. Although some on the traditional side might = lament

the passing of their media (should that ever happen), I'm pretty sure = that

most boards and shareholders are really concerned with how much money is = in

the bucket at the end of the day, rather than how the bucket was filled.

=20

Lastly, you seem to assume that online content can never generate enough revenue to pay for itself. This is plainly incorrect. As you pointed = out

in your post, advertising revenue at online media is growing = substantially,

even for the online arms of "traditional" media companies. (Check out = some

of the presentations at this week's Global Media Conference for clear evidence of that.) Additionally, just because only a few online = publishers

so far have cracked the code for generating subscription-based revenue, = you

can=92t assume that, as a rule, fee-for-content models will not be = successful,

even in the very near future. The market is dynamic, and a lot of = bright

minds are working on ways to get online audiences to part with their = dollars

in exchange for content.

Not an attack or anything -- you just hit a topic of interest for me.

Regards, =20 Mike Donatello =20 =20 P.S. Cross-posted to online-news for obvious reasons. =20 =20 =20 =20 =20 ----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Nick Panagakis

Sent: Thursday, 08 December, 2005 9:26 To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: The News

=20

Here is a disturbing trend in the news industry. The conventional media=20

- newspapapers and TV who do the gathering and reporting of news - are=20

losing ground in terms of readers, viewers and advertising dollars to=20

the Internet, a medium that simply relays the news.

=20

As this freebie component of our free market system expands, who is=20

going to pay for the news?

=20

Comments?

=20

Nick

=20

http://www.chicagotribune.com/services/newspaper/premium/printedition/Sun= day /business/chi-0512040378dec04,2,3769350.story?coll=3Dchi-printbusinesssun= day-h ed

=20

> All told, Internet advertising revenues for the first nine months of=20

> 2005 totaled \$8.9 billion, a new record and a 22.5 percent increase=20

> over the same period a year ago, reported the Interactive Advertising=20

> Bureau and PricewaterhouseCoopers. Internet's growth, meanwhile, comes =

> as broadcast TV viewership has turned flat; cable TV viewership,=20

> divided among numerous channels, is growing by about 15 percent, said=20

> Nielsen Media Research.

>=20

> While television is thus still king, the Internet is quickly becoming=20

> a major force and competitor for ad dollars. This makes for some=20

> trying days for network television.

>=20

> In the first eight months of the year, ad spending on network TV fell=20

> 2.5 percent to \$14.3 billion, according to TNS Media Intelligence. By=20

> comparison, spending on cable TV grew 13 percent to \$10.4 billion.

=20

Copyright =A9 2005, Chicago Tribune http://www.chicagotribune.com/

=20

=20

--=20 No virus found in this outgoing message. Checked by AVG Free Edition. Version: 7.1.362 / Virus Database: 267.13.11/191 - Release Date: = Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Thu, 8 Dec 2005 10:22:39 -0500Reply-To:Philip Meyer <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Philip Meyer <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>Subject:Re: The NewsComments:To: Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM>Comments:cc: AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<43984266.8080605@marketsharescorp.com>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed

Bless your heart, Nick, the question is tackled in my latest book, "The Vanishing Newspaper: Saving Journalism in the Information Age." (University of Missouri Press) It shows how Wall Street's short-term thinking is hastening the demise of newspapers and suggests that non-profit newsgathering organizations will have to fill the gap in public service journalism.

Here's a link to the Spring 2005 issue of Nieman Reports with Lou Ureneck's review. Click forward in the pdf file to page 83.

http://www.nieman.harvard.edu/reports/05-1NRspring/V59N1.pdf

Regards, P.

Philip Meyer, Knight Chair in Journalism University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Voice: 919 962-4085 Fax: 919 962-1549 Cell: 919 906-3425 URL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Thu, 8 Dec 2005 10:04:44 -0600Reply-To:Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM>Subject:Re: The NewsComments:cc: AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<004601c5fc09\$d839d0a0\$0301a8c0@reactor>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;charset=windows-1250;format=flowedContent-transfer-encoding:8BIT

Mike Donatello wrote:

CNN and the NBC owned cable outlets are exceptions. I agree. The others are trying to compete but, apparently, without success. Family owned newspapers are not held to the same standard as (more) publicly held newspapers. It is baffling but the figure I've always heard is that newspapers are expected to deliver 20% operating margins by investors. (Can anyone confirm this?)

>First, that Internet-based media "simply relay the news." Some of the most >widely visited news sites -- nytimes.com, washingtonpost.com, cnn.com -->devote substantial resources to online-exclusive content and reportorial >activities. They don't just "relay" information that was out there already. >

Why are there so many print newsroom layoffs? In November, Editor & Publisher projected 1900 newspaper layoffs for 2005 and that figure now seems too low. Of course the revenue is shared - but the newsrooms are still getting smaller. Apparently, newspaper on-line ad revenue does not offset their print ad losses.

>Second, that online news sources are independent from traditional sources,
>and thus are siphoning revenue out of the parent companies. With the
>exception of pure-play sites like Yahoo and Google, most major news outlets
>online are extensions of traditional offline news providers. Revenue and
>expenses, as well as content, are shared at the corporate (and sometimes
>operating unit) level. Although some on the traditional side might lament
>the passing of their media (should that ever happen), I'm pretty sure that
>most boards and shareholders are really concerned with how much money is in
>the bucket at the end of the day, rather than how the bucket was filled.

If you click on the link I sent re: network TV ads, competition is coming from Yahoo and Google - news "relayers". These are the kinds of Internet players that are the major competitors. Again, newspaper on-line ad revenue does not appear to be offseting print ad losses.

>Lastly, you seem to assume that online content can never generate enough >revenue to pay for itself. This is plainly incorrect. As you pointed out >in your post, advertising revenue at online media is growing substantially, >even for the online arms of "traditional" media companies. (Check out some >of the presentations at this week's Global Media Conference for clear >evidence of that.) Additi

>

>

>

The only successful total fee-for-content internet publisher is the WSJ. Those bright minds have a lot of work left to do.

>nally, just because only a few online publishers

>so far have cracked the code for generating subscription-based revenue, you
>can't assume that, as a rule, fee-for-content models will not be successful,
>even in the very near future. The market is dynamic, and a lot of bright
>minds are working on ways to get online audiences to part with their dollars
>in exchange for content.

```
>
>
>
>Not an attack or anything -- you just hit a topic of interest for me.
>
>
>Regards,
>
>
>
>Mike Donatello
>
>
>
>
>
>P.S. Cross-posted to online-news for obvious reasons.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Nick Panagakis
>Sent: Thursday, 08 December, 2005 9:26
>To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
>Subject: The News
>
>
>
>Here is a disturbing trend in the news industry. The conventional media
>
>- newspapapers and TV who do the gathering and reporting of news - are
>
>losing ground in terms of readers, viewers and advertising dollars to
>
>the Internet, a medium that simply relays the news.
>
>
>
>As this freebie component of our free market system expands, who is
>
>going to pay for the news?
>
>
>
>Comments?
>
>
>
>Nick
>
```

>
>
>
>
>http://www.chicagotribune.com/services/newspaper/premium/printedition/Sunday >/business/chi-0512040378dec04,2,3769350.story?coll=chi-printbusinesssunday-h >ed
>
>
>
>>All told, Internet advertising revenues for the first nine months of
>> >>
>> >
>
>>2005 totaled \$8.9 billion, a new record and a 22.5 percent increase
>>
>
>
>
>>over the same period a year ago, reported the Interactive Advertising
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>Bureau and PricewaterhouseCoopers. Internet's growth, meanwhile, comes
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>as broadcast TV viewership has turned flat; cable TV viewership,
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>divided among numerous channels, is growing by about 15 percent, said
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>Nielsen Media Research.
>>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>>While television is thus still king, the Internet is quickly becoming
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>a major force and competitor for ad dollars. This makes for some
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>trying days for network television.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>In the first eight months of the year, ad spending on network TV fell
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>2.5 percent to \$14.3 billion, according to TNS Media Intelligence. By
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>comparison, spending on cable TV grew 13 percent to \$10.4 billion.
>> comparison, spending on cubic 1 v grew 15 percent to \$10.4 official.
>>
>
>
>
>Copyright © 2005, Chicago Tribune < http://www.chicagotribune.com/>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:	Thu, 8 Dec 2005 11:26:22 -0500	
Reply-To:	Colleen Porter <cporter@phhp.ufl.edu></cporter@phhp.ufl.edu>	
Sender:	AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>	
From:	Colleen Porter <cporter@phhp.ufl.edu></cporter@phhp.ufl.edu>	
Subject:	Re: Open ends	
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu		
MIME-version: 1.0		
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII		
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable		
Content-disposition: inline		

I have enjoyed reading this thread and appreciated those who have been = willing to share their approach to pricing.

When I hire someone to do my data collection, I often do the coding of = open-ended questions myself. I prefer to have control over the coding = scheme, we have grad students who can do the work, and it is a good = experience for them to read through the responses (which address issues = related to their field of study).

So of the helpful list of tasks that Karl mentions, what percentage is the = data collection stuff (programming, extra interview time and preparation = of data output), and what percentage is the coding process itself? =20

Colleen

Colleen K. Porter Research Program Manager Public Health Services and Research College of Dentistry, D8-51 PO Box 100404 Gainesville, Florida 32610-0404 (352) 846-0169, phone (352) 392-2672, fax cporter@dental.ufl.edu=20

>>> Karl Feld <KFeld@JZM.COM> 12/07/05 2:32 PM >>> As a general rule, my experience across multiple vendors and companies has been each OE adds one minute of survey time. You can use this to calculate;

Increased programming expense (add another minute of survey length to the calculation)

Increased per complete expense (add another minute to survey length calculation)

Coding expense, cost to code each OE, if coding.

Cleaning/editing, cost to prepare each for review by external eyes if necessary.

This should allow you to incrementally calculate increased costs for any survey length and n size.

Hope this helps.

Karl G. Feld, Vice President **Primary Data Division** Johnston, Zabor, McManus, Inc. =20=20=20-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of armso001 Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2005 1:40 PM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: Open ends We conduct an annual statewide survey with 800 completed interviews. An open-ended question typically costs about \$1,500, while a fixed response question usually costs \$720 - \$960. Rossana Armson, Director Minnesota Center for Survey Research University of Minnesota 2331 University Avenue SE, Suite 141 Minneapolis, MN 55414 612-627-4282 voice 612-627-4288 FAX armso001@umn.edu On 7 Dec 2005, Keith Neuman wrote: > We run a quarterly survey in Canada with an N of 2,000. We normally=20 > charge a premium of \$1,100 to \$1,400 (CDN) for processing a fully=20 > open-ended question (on top of the standard question fee). >=20> Keith Neuman, Ph.D. > Group Vice President - Public Affairs > Environics Research Group Ltd. > Ottawa, Ontario >=20 >=20 >=20 > ----- Original Message-----> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Phillip Downs > Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2005 9:41 AM > To: AAPORNET@asu.edu > Subject: Open ends >=20 > Appreciate it if list members would share their pricing=20 > policies/experiences in adding open ended questions to a structured=20

```
file:///C/...POR\%20STAFF/Marketing\%20 and\%20 Communications/Website/2022\%20 Redesign/aapornet\%20 history/2005/LOG_2005_12.txt [12/8/2023 9:06:45 AM]
```

> questionnaire. for example, what do you charge for each additional=20

> open-ended question on a sample of 1,000? Thanks

>=20

> Phillip E. Downs, PhD

> Kerr & Downs Research

> 2992 Habersham Drive

> Tallahassee, FL 32309

> Phone: 850.906.3111

> Fax: 850.906.3112

> www.kerr-downs.com

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Thu, 8 Dec 2005 11:56:42 -0500Reply-To:Philip Meyer <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Philip Meyer <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>Subject:Re: The NewsComments:To: Nick Panagakis <mail@MARKETSHARESCORP.COM>Comments:cc: AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<4398599C.3000503@marketsharescorp.com>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed

Monopoly newspapers in medium-size markets have traditionally enjoyed margins of 20-40 percent. They could do this because they owned the toll road along which information passed between local retailers and their customers.

New technology has created other routes for information, and the monopoly is gone forever. Newspaper companies are trying to maintain their historic profitability by reducing quality and raising prices -strategies that work for a monopoly but are self-destructive in the present competitive environment.

Yes, newspaper companies can go into the online classified business, and many are, but they can't charge monopoly prices for it. Their historic advantage, being in a business with a very high entry cost, is gone forever. What is taking place is a chaotic and painful transformation. Something good will arise from the ashes, but nobody knows what it will be or who will create it.

Philip Meyer, Knight Chair in Journalism University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Voice: 919 962-4085 Fax: 919 962-1549 Cell: 919 906-3425 URL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:	Thu, 8 Dec 2005 12:17:01 -0500
Reply-To:	Leo Simonetta <simonetta@artsci.com></simonetta@artsci.com>
Sender:	AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>
From:	Leo Simonetta <simonetta@artsci.com></simonetta@artsci.com>
Subject:	Three Quarters of Market Researchers Expect to Increase Use of
C	online Research in Next Six Months
Comments	s: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0	
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii	
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable	

This missed my most recent Vox Box Submission and I thought it might be of interest.

Full release at http://www.prnewswire.com/cgi-bin/stories.pl?ACCT=3D104&STORY=3D/www/stor= y/1 2-08-2005/0004230097&EDATE=3D =20 or http://tinyurl.com/8zptt

Three Quarters of Market Researchers Expect to Increase Use of Online Research in Next Six Months According to Greenfield / Ciao Survey=20

50% of European Market Researchers Reveal They Have Replaced Face-to-Face

Studies with Online During the Last Twelve Months.

WILTON, Conn. and MUNICH, Germany, Dec. 8 /PRNewswire-FirstCall/ --

Market

researchers worldwide are expecting their company's use of online and online

access panels to increase in the next 6 months according to a survey conducted

by Greenfield Online Inc (Nasdaq: SRVY), and its European subsidiary Ciao,

leading providers of Internet survey solutions to marketing research and consulting companies worldwide. Study results indicate that 76% of market

researchers surveyed in North America and 85% in Europe are predicting their

use of online to increase.

SNIP

SOURCE Greenfield Online Web Site: http://www.greenfield.com http://www.ciao-group.com=20 =20

Issuers of news releases and not PR Newswire are solely responsible for the accuracy of the content. Terms and conditions, including restrictions on redistribution, apply. Copyright (c) 1996-2005 PR Newswire Association LLC. All Rights Reserved.

A United Business Media company.=20

--=20 Leo G. Simonetta Research Director Art & Science Group, LLC 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101 Baltimore MD 21209

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 13:12:47 -0500 Reply-To: Frank Rusciano <rusciano@RIDER.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Frank Rusciano <rusciano@RIDER.EDU> Subject: Re: The News Comments: To: Mike Donatello <MDonatello@COX.NET> Comments: cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <004601c5fc09\$d839d0a0\$0301a8c0@reactor> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=windows-1250; format=flowed Content-transfer-encoding: 8BIT

The whole issue of news sources raises issues besides the financial future of newspapers and network news. I would argue that it also affects the nature of news reporting. I believe that news reporting has taken on certain "tabloid ethics" that redefine objectivity in order to compete with the speed of other news outlets that do not necessarily have the news standards for objectivity that the traditional outlets have. (I must confess I'm doing some work on this right now; forgive the shameless plug). Anyway, like bad money chases out good, bad news ethics changes out good also.

Frank Rusciano

Mike Donatello wrote:

>Nick,

>

>Without commenting on the survey specifically, I think that you're >proceeding from a few questionable assumptions:

>

> >

>

>

>First, that Internet-based media "simply relay the news." Some of the most >widely visited news sites -- nytimes.com, washingtonpost.com, cnn.com -->devote substantial resources to online-exclusive content and reportorial >activities. They don't just "relay" information that was out there already.

- >
- >
- >

>

>Second, that online news sources are independent from traditional sources,
>and thus are siphoning revenue out of the parent companies. With the
>exception of pure-play sites like Yahoo and Google, most major news outlets
>online are extensions of traditional offline news providers. Revenue and
>expenses, as well as content, are shared at the corporate (and sometimes
>operating unit) level. Although some on the traditional side might lament
>the passing of their media (should that ever happen), I'm pretty sure that
>most boards and shareholders are really concerned with how much money is in
>the bucket at the end of the day, rather than how the bucket was filled.

> >

>Lastly, you seem to assume that online content can never generate enough >revenue to pay for itself. This is plainly incorrect. As you pointed out >in your post, advertising revenue at online media is growing substantially, >even for the online arms of "traditional" media companies. (Check out some >of the presentations at this week's Global Media Conference for clear >evidence of that.) Additionally, just because only a few online publishers >so far have cracked the code for generating subscription-based revenue, you >can't assume that, as a rule, fee-for-content models will not be successful, >even in the very near future. The market is dynamic, and a lot of bright >minds are working on ways to get online audiences to part with their dollars >in exchange for content.

> >

>

>Not an attack or anything -- you just hit a topic of interest for me.

- >
- >
- >Regards,
- >
- > >

>Mike Donatello

- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >

```
>P.S. Cross-posted to online-news for obvious reasons.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Nick Panagakis
>Sent: Thursday, 08 December, 2005 9:26
>To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
>Subject: The News
>
>
>
>Here is a disturbing trend in the news industry. The conventional media
>
>- newspapapers and TV who do the gathering and reporting of news - are
>
>losing ground in terms of readers, viewers and advertising dollars to
>
>the Internet, a medium that simply relays the news.
>
>
>
>As this freebie component of our free market system expands, who is
>
>going to pay for the news?
>
>
>
>Comments?
>
>
>
>Nick
>
>
>
>
>
>http://www.chicagotribune.com/services/newspaper/premium/printedition/Sunday
>/business/chi-0512040378dec04,2,3769350.story?coll=chi-printbusinesssunday-h
>ed
>
>
>
>
>
>>All told, Internet advertising revenues for the first nine months of
>>
>>
>
```

```
>
>
>>2005 totaled $8.9 billion, a new record and a 22.5 percent increase
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>over the same period a year ago, reported the Interactive Advertising
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>Bureau and PricewaterhouseCoopers. Internet's growth, meanwhile, comes
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>as broadcast TV viewership has turned flat; cable TV viewership,
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>divided among numerous channels, is growing by about 15 percent, said
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>Nielsen Media Research.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>While television is thus still king, the Internet is quickly becoming
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>a major force and competitor for ad dollars. This makes for some
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>trying days for network television.
```

>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>In the first eight months of the year, ad spending on network TV fell
>>
>>
>
>
> \sim TNC Matic Intelligence Dec
>>2.5 percent to \$14.3 billion, according to TNS Media Intelligence. By
>>
>>
>
>
>>comparison, spending on cable TV grew 13 percent to \$10.4 billion.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>Copyright © 2005, Chicago Tribune < http://www.chicagotribune.com/>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 12:45:21 -0800
Reply-To: Jerold Pearson < jpearson@STANFORD.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>
From: Jerold Pearson < jpearson@STANFORD.EDU>
Subject: Re: The News
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <43984266.8080605@marketsharescorp.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
γr····································
>Here is a disturbing trend in the news industry. The conventional media -

>newspapapers and TV who do the gathering and reporting of news - are >losing ground in terms of readers, viewers and advertising dollars to the

>Internet,

This is a BAD thing? If you want to see some in-depth reporting, visit blogs such as Talking Point Memo: http://www.talkingpointsmemo.com/

The sooner TV dies, and journalism has more than a handful of real journalists, the better.

Jerold Pearson, '75 Director of Market Research Stanford Alumni Association 650-723-9186 http://www.stanford.edu/~jpearson/

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 16:57:30 -0500

Reply-To: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" <Nathaniel.Ehrlich@SSC.MSU.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" <Nathaniel.Ehrlich@SSC.MSU.EDU>

Subject: Re: The News

Comments: To: Philip Meyer cpmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>, AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain

Something good will rise from the ashes...or, there must be a pony in there somewhere...

As others have said, this is Gresham's law once again, bad money driving out good. Given the choice between price and quality, human society invariably opts to lower the price and sacrifice the quality. And it's not just perceived quality.

When USA Today became a force, the editors of the paper I worked on called it McPaper, the equivalent of fast food. Well, fast food consumption continues to rise, and the number of quality restaurants shrinks. When you can open your browser, go to Drudge, and link onto 20 newspapers and unlimited blogs, you're looking at McNews...

Damn, that ole Mr. Depression is sitting down beside me...better pop McPill!

Nat Ehrlich, Ph.D. Research Specialist Michigan State University Institute for Public Policy and Social Research Office for Social Research 321 Berkey Hall East Lansing, MI 48824 517-355-6672

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Philip Meyer Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 11:57 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: The News

Monopoly newspapers in medium-size markets have traditionally enjoyed margins of 20-40 percent. They could do this because they owned the toll road along which information passed between local retailers and their customers.

New technology has created other routes for information, and the monopoly is gone forever. Newspaper companies are trying to maintain their historic profitability by reducing quality and raising prices -- strategies that work for a monopoly but are self-destructive in the present competitive environment.

Yes, newspaper companies can go into the online classified business, and many are, but they can't charge monopoly prices for it. Their historic advantage, being in a business with a very high entry cost, is gone forever. What is taking place is a chaotic and painful transformation. Something good will arise from the ashes, but nobody knows what it will be or who will create it.

Philip Meyer, Knight Chair in Journalism University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Voice: 919 962-4085 Fax: 919 962-1549 Cell: 919 906-3425 URL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 16:19:16 -0600 Reply-To: Jesus Marquez <jmarquez@SERVICEMANAGEMENT.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Jesus Marquez <jmarquez@SERVICEMANAGEMENT.COM> Subject: Re: The News Comments: To: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" <Nathaniel.Ehrlich@SSC.MSU.EDU>, AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

There is a beautiful "Mafalda" strip from Quino, the Argentinian cartoonist, probably not well know in the US. The cartoon is a little cynical, but at times true. It goes something like this: "Half of what newspapers say is an invention, the other half are lies; therefore, newspapers don't exist."

Chucho Marquez

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Ehrlich, Nathaniel Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 3:58 PM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: The News Something good will rise from the ashes...or, there must be a pony in there somewhere... As others have said, this is Gresham's law once again, bad money driving out good. Given the choice between price and quality, human society invariably opts to lower the price and sacrifice the quality. And it's not just perceived quality.=20 When USA Today became a force, the editors of the paper I worked on called it McPaper, the equivalent of fast food. Well, fast food consumption continues to rise, and the number of quality restaurants shrinks. When you can open your browser, go to Drudge, and link onto 20 newspapers and unlimited blogs, you're looking at McNews... Damn, that ole Mr. Depression is sitting down beside me...better pop McPill! Nat Ehrlich, Ph.D.

Research Specialist Michigan State University=20 Institute for Public Policy and Social Research Office for Social Research 321 Berkey Hall East Lansing, MI 48824 517-355-6672 =20

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Philip Meyer Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 11:57 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: The News

=20 Monopoly newspapers in medium-size markets have traditionally enjoyed=20 margins of 20-40 percent. They could do this because they owned the toll

road along which information passed between local retailers and their=20 customers.

=20 New technology has created other routes for information, and the=20 monopoly is gone forever. Newspaper companies are trying to maintain their=20

historic profitability by reducing quality and raising prices --=20 strategies that work for a monopoly but are self-destructive in the=20 present competitive environment.

=20 Yes, newspaper companies can go into the online classified business,=

and=20

many are, but they can't charge monopoly prices for it. Their historic=20 advantage, being in a business with a very high entry cost, is gone=20 forever. What is taking place is a chaotic and painful transformation.=20 Something good will arise from the ashes, but nobody knows what it will be=20

or who will create it.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

aapornet-request@asu.edu

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential,= =20and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. An= y review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments ther= eto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipi= ent, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the ori= ginal and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu Date:Thu, 8 Dec 2005 17:32:59 -0500Reply-To:Philip Meyer <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Philip Meyer <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>Subject:Re: The NewsComments:To: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" <Nathaniel.Ehrlich@SSC.MSU.EDU>Comments:cc: AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<35FCEB3EFC8BD911B31900805FF5603A1C9C05@ssc.msu.edu>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed

The number of quality restaurants shrinks? I doubt that there is any empirical support for that. Restaurants have become more specialized, filling many more niches than were served before, both high and low.

It's the same with information. Richard Maisel published a seminal piece in the summer 1973 POQ showing how more specialized media in all forms, from theater to newspapers, were doing better than more general media. The Internet is accelerating that process, but it started with a wide range of 20th-century technical advances in communication.

But before you cheer up, you might think about what this great diversity in communication does to national unity and democracy. If we are all absorbed in our tiny market segments, who will run the country?

Philip Meyer, Knight Chair in Journalism University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Voice: 919 962-4085 Fax: 919 962-1549 Cell: 919 906-3425 URL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer

On Thu, 8 Dec 2005, Ehrlich, Nathaniel wrote:

- > Date: Thu, 08 Dec 2005 16:57:30 -0500
- > From: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" <Nathaniel.Ehrlich@SSC.MSU.EDU>
- > To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
- > Subject: Re: The News
- >
- > Something good will rise from the ashes...or, there must be a pony in there > somewhere...
- > As others have said, this is Gresham's law once again, bad money driving out
- > good. Given the choice between price and quality, human society invariably
- > opts to lower the price and sacrifice the quality. And it's not just
- > perceived quality.
- > When USA Today became a force, the editors of the paper I worked on called
- > it McPaper, the equivalent of fast food. Well, fast food consumption
- > continues to rise, and the number of quality restaurants shrinks. When you
- > can open your browser, go to Drudge, and link onto 20 newspapers and
- > unlimited blogs, you're looking at McNews...
- > Damn, that ole Mr. Depression is sitting down beside me...better pop McPill!
- >

Nat Ehrlich, Ph.D.Research Specialist	
 > Michigan State University 	ity
> Institute for Public Poli	•
 > Office for Social Resea 	
> 321 Berkey Hall	
> East Lansing, MI 48824	L
> 517-355-6672	
>	
>	
>Original Message	
	ailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Philip Meyer
> Sent: Thursday, Decem	
> To: AAPORNET@asu	
> Subject: Re: The News	
>	
> Monopoly newspaper	s in medium-size markets have traditionally enjoyed
	nt. They could do this because they owned the toll
	nation passed between local retailers and their
> customers.	F
>	
> New technology has	preated other routes for information, and the
	er. Newspaper companies are trying to maintain their
	reducing quality and raising prices
	a monopoly but are self-destructive in the
> present competitive env	1 2
>	
> Yes, newspaper comp	anies can go into the online classified business, and
> many are, but they can'	charge monopoly prices for it. Their historic
> advantage, being in a b	usiness with a very high entry cost, is gone
> forever. What is taking	place is a chaotic and painful transformation.
> Something good will an	ise from the ashes, but nobody knows what it will be
> or who will create it.	
>	
>======================================	
> Philip Meyer, Knight C	
> University of North Ca	
> Voice: 919 962-4085	
	JRL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer
>======================================	
>	
>	
	ll for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
	edu/archives/aapornet.html .
	o this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
> >	
	ll for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
	u.edu/archives/aapornet.html . o this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
	Juns message, while to apprinci-request@asu.edu
>	

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 15:21:28 -0800 Reply-To: Kris Juffer <drkjuffer@COMCAST.NET> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Kris Juffer <drkjuffer@COMCAST.NET> Subject: Re: The News Comments: To: Philip Meyer <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>, AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset=iso-8859-1; replytype=response Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Precisely! Great point, Philip.

Meanwhile the masses still get fed the info-tainment fluff or what passes for television "news." Don't forget they can out-vote those who regularly follow even the biggest blogs. If we just say that the blogs do the job, it's letting the broadcast mass media off the hook for providing low-content fluff and opinion instead of independent investigative journalism into issues that effect every day America's pockbook, democracy, etc. It's NOT a positive sign, in my opinion.

Only people with interest, motivation and time go to the blogs -- political junkies -- get their fill of "content." -- like us. But the masses who still watch television are still left in the dark and subject to manipulation against their -- and our -- best interests. Kris

----- Original Message -----From: "Philip Meyer" <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU> To: <AAPORNET@asu.edu> Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 2:32 PM Subject: Re: The News

The number of quality restaurants shrinks? I doubt that there is any
 empirical support for that. Restaurants have become more specialized,
 filling many more niches than were served before, both high and low.

> It's the same with information. Richard Maisel published a seminal
> piece in the summer 1973 POQ showing how more specialized media in all
> forms, from theater to newspapers, were doing better than more general
> media. The Internet is accelerating that process, but it started with a
> wide range of 20th-century technical advances in communication.
>
> But before you cheer up, you might think about what this great

- But before you cheer up, you might think about what this great
 > diversity in communication does to national unity and democracy. If we are
 > all absorbed in our tiny market segments, who will run the country?
- >

 Philip Meyer, Knight Chair in Journalism University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Voice: 919 962-4085 Fax: 919 962-1549 Cell: 919 906-3425 URL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer ====================================
>
>
> On Thu, 8 Dec 2005, Ehrlich, Nathaniel wrote:
<pre>> Date: Thu, 08 Dec 2005 16:57:30 -0500 >> From: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" <nathaniel.ehrlich@ssc.msu.edu> >> To: AAPORNET@asu.edu >> Subject: Re: The News >> >> Something good will rise from the ashesor, there must be a pony in</nathaniel.ehrlich@ssc.msu.edu></pre>
>> there
>> somewhere
>> As others have said, this is Gresham's law once again, bad money driving
>> out
>> good. Given the choice between price and quality, human society >> invariably
>> opts to lower the price and sacrifice the quality. And it's not just
>> perceived quality.
>> When USA Today became a force, the editors of the paper I worked on
>> called
>> it McPaper, the equivalent of fast food. Well, fast food consumption >> continues to rise, and the number of quality restaurants shrinks. When
>> you
>> can open your browser, go to Drudge, and link onto 20 newspapers and >> unlimited blogs, you're looking at McNews >> Damn, that ole Mr. Depression is sitting down beside mebetter pop
>> McPill!
>>
>> Nat Ehrlich, Ph.D.
>> Research Specialist
>> Michigan State University
>> Institute for Public Policy and Social Research
>> Office for Social Research
>> 321 Berkey Hall
>> East Lansing, MI 48824
>> 517-355-6672
>>
>>Original Message
>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Philip Meyer >> Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 11:57 AM >> To: AAPORNET@asu.edu >> Subject: Re: The News >>
Monopoly newspapers in medium-size markets have traditionally enjoyed margins of 20-40 percent. They could do this because they owned the toll road along which information passed between local retailers and their

>> customers.
>>
>> New technology has created other routes for information, and the
>> monopoly is gone forever. Newspaper companies are trying to maintain
>> their
>> historic profitability by reducing quality and raising prices
>> strategies that work for a monopoly but are self-destructive in the
>> present competitive environment.
>>
>> Yes, newspaper companies can go into the online classified business,
>> and
>> many are, but they can't charge monopoly prices for it. Their historic
>> advantage, being in a business with a very high entry cost, is gone
>> forever. What is taking place is a chaotic and painful transformation.
>> Something good will arise from the ashes, but nobody knows what it will
>> be
>> or who will create it.
>>
>> ====================================
>> Philip Meyer, Knight Chair in Journalism
>> University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
>> Voice: 919 962-4085 Fax: 919 962-1549
>> Cell: 919 906-3425 URL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer
>> ===================================
>>
>>
>> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
>> Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>
>>
>> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
>> Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>>
>
>
> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
> Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>
Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 19:09:18 -0500
Reply-To: "Rockwell, Richard" <richard.rockwell@uconn.edu></richard.rockwell@uconn.edu>
Sender: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>
ě
From: "Rockwell, Richard" <richard.rockwell@uconn.edu></richard.rockwell@uconn.edu>
Subject: Re: The News
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=utf-8 Content-transfer-encoding: base64

QXJIIHdIIG9ic2VydmluZyBvbmUgb2YgU2NodW1wZXRlcidzICJnYWxlcyBvZiBjcmVhdGl2ZSBk ZXN0cnVjdGlvbj8iICBUaGUgb2xkIGlzIGluZGVlZCBiZWluZyByZXBsYWNlZCAob3IgYXQgbGVh c3QgY29tcGxlbWVudGVkIGFuZCBkaW1pbmlzaGVkKSBieSB0aGUgbmV3LiAgQXMgaXMgYWx3YXlz IHRoZSBjYXNILCBzb211IG9mIHRoZSBuZXcgaXMgb2YgcG9vciBxdWFsaXR5LCBidXQgc28gYWxz byBpcyBzb211IG9mIHRoZSBvbGQuICANCiANCkxpa2UgbWFueSBvdGhlcnMsIEkgYW0gZmluZGlu ZyB0aGUgcHJpbnQgbmV3c3BhcGVycyBsZXNzIGFuZCBsZXNzIGludGVyZXN0aW5nIGFuZCB1c2Vm dWw7IEkgbW9zdGx5IHJIYWQgdGhlIGxvY2FsIG5ld3NwYXBlciBmb3IgY29tbXVuaXR5IG5ld3Ms IHN1Y2ggYXMgdGhlIGVuYWN0bWVudCBvZiBuZXcgb3JkaW5hbmNlcyBhYm91dCBwZXRzLCBhbmQg dXNIIHRoZSBXZWIgZm9yIG5hdGlvbmFsIGFuZCBpbnRlcm5hdGlvbmFsIG5ld3MuICBJIGhhZCBn b3R0ZW4gdG8gdGhlIHBvaW50IHRoYXQgSSBjb3VsZCBwcmV0dHkgbXVjaCBoYXZlIHdyaXR0ZW4g bW9zdCBvZiB0aGUgVGltZXMnIGVkaXRvcmlhbHMsIGJ1dCB0aGUgV2ViIG5ldmVyIGJvcmVzIG11 IG9yIG1zIHBvbXBvdXMuICBUaGUgb25jZS1zdGVsbGFyIG5ld3NwYXBlciBpbiBteSBhcmVhLCB0 aGUgSGFydGZvcmQgQ291cmFudCAgKHRoZSBvbGRlc3QgY29udGludW91c2x5IHB1Ymxpc2hlZCBu ZXdzcGFwZXIgaW4gdGhlIGNvdW50cnkpLCBub3cgb2ZmZXJzIG11IGxpdHRsZSBiZX1vbmQgdGhl IFdlYiBleGNlcHQgdm9sdW1pbm91cyBhZHZlcnRpc2luZywgc28gSSBkcm9wcGVkIG15IHN1YnNj cmlwdGlvbiBzZXZlcmFsIHllYXJzIGFnby4gSSBidXkgaXQgd2hlbiBJIHdhbnQgdGhlIGFkcy4g IA0KIA0KSSBoYXZlIHJlcGxhY2VkIHRoZSBuZXdzcGFwZXIgd2l0aCBXZWIgcHVibGljYXRpb25z IHRoYXQgb3JpZ2luYXRlIGZyb20gYWxsIG92ZXIgdGhlIHdvcmxkIGFuZCB0aGF0IGFvZSB3cml0 dGVuIGZyb20gZGl2ZXJzZSBwb2ludHMgb2Ygdmlldy4gIE9mdGVuLCB0aGV5IGRpZmZlciBhcyB0 byB0aGUgZmFjdHMsIG5vdCBqdXN0IHRoZWlyIGludGVycHJldGF0aW9uLiAgVGhleSBjb3ZlciBl dmVudHMgYW5kIGlkZWFzIHRoYXQgbmV2ZXIgbWFrZSBpdCBpbnRvIEFtZXJpY2FuIG5ld3NwYXB1 cnMuICBFdmVuIEFtZXJpY2FuIGJsb2dzIGNvdmVyIHRoaW5ncyB0aGF0IGFyZSBub3QgY292ZXJI ZCBpbiB0aGUgTVNNIChNYWluIFN0cmVhbSBNZWRpYSkuICBUaGVyZSBpcyBmYXIgbW9yZSBpbnRl bGxlY3R1YWwgZGl2ZXJzaXR5IG9uIG15IHNjcmVlbiBvbiB0aGUgYXZlcmFnZSBtb3JuaW5nIHRo YW4gSSBjb3VsZCBwb3NzaWJseSBmaW5kIGluIHRoZSBwYWdlcyBvZiB0aGUgVGltZXMgb3IgdGhl IFBvc3QuICBNdWNoIG9mIGl0IGlzIG9mIHZlcnkgaGlnaCBxdWFsaXR5LiAgU29tZSBvZiBpdCBp cyBqdW5rLiAgT25lIG9mIHRoZSBuZXcgam9icyBvZiBvdXIgdW5pdmVyc2l0aWVzIGlzIHRvIHR1 YWNoIGluZm9ybWF0aW9uIGxpdGVyYWN5IHRvIG91ciBzdHVkZW50cy4gIA0KIA0KT25IIG9mIHRo ZSBhbWF6aW5nIHRoaW5ncyBpcyB0aGF0IHBlb3BsZSBhbGwgYXJvdW5kIHRoZSB3b3JsZCBub3cg aGF2ZSBhY2Nlc3MgdG8gdGhlIHNhbWUgaW5mb3JtYXRpb24gYW5kIGlkZWFzLCBzb21ldGhpbmcg dGhhdCBjb3VsZCBuZXZlciBoYXZlIGJlZW4gYWNjb21wbGlzaGVkIHdpdGggbmV3c3BhcGVycy4g IFRoZSBudW1iZXIgb2YgSW50ZXJuZXQgdXNlcnMgaW4gdGhlIGRldmVsb3Bpbmcgd29ybGQgaXMg Z3Jvd2luZyBkYWlseS4NCiANCkhhZCB0aGUgSW50ZXJuZXQgYmVlbiBhcm91bmQgYXQgdGhlIGFk dmVudCBvZiByYWRpbywgSSB3b25kZXIgaWYgaXRzIHdpcmVzIHdvdWxkIGhhdmUgYmVlbiBidXJu aW5nIHdpdGggb2JzZXJ2YXRpb25zIGFib3V0IHRoZSBpbW1pbmVudCBkZWNsaW5lIGluIHF1YWxp dHkgb2YgbmV3cyByZXBvcnRpbmcuICBTYW11IGZvciB0aGUgYWR2ZW50IG9mIHR1bGV2aXNpb24u ICBJbiBmYWN0LCBJIHN1c3BIY3QgdGhhdCB0aGVyZSB3ZXJIIHRob3NIIHdobyBzdHJvbmdseSBv cHBvc2VkIE1yLiBHdXRlbmJlcmcncyBpbnZlbnRpb24sIG9uIHRoZSBncm91bmRzIHRoYXQgdGhl IG1hc3NlcyB3b3VsZCBiZSBnZXR0aW5nIHNlbnNhdGlvbmFsaXplZCBuZXdzIHJhdGhlciB0aGFu IGdvdmVybm1lbnQtYXBwcm92ZWQgbm90aWNlcyAodGhhdCBkaWQgaGFwcGVuKSBvciB0aGF0IHRo ZSBtYXNzZXMgd291bGQgc3RhcnQgcmVhZGluZywgIGdldHRpbmcgbmV3IGlkZWFzLCBhbmQgdGhl biBkZW1hbmRpbmcgY2hhbmdlICh0aGF0IGRpZCBoYXBwZW4pIG9yIHRoYXQgZ29vZCBjb3B5aXN0 cyB3b3VsZCBiZSBwdXQgb3V0IG9mIGJ1c2luZXNzICh0aGF0IHRvb2sgYSB3aGlsZSB0byBoYXBw ZW4pIG9yIHRoYXQgcGVvcGxlIHdvdWxkIHN0YXJ0IGludGVycHJldGluZyBzdWNoIHRoaW5ncyBh cyB0aGUgQmlibGUgZm9yIHRoZW1zZWx2ZXMsIHJhdGhlciB0aGFuIHRydXN0aW5nIGluIHRyYWlu ZWQgYW5kIHdpc2VyIG1lbiAodGhhdCBhbHNvIGhhcHBlbmVkKS4gIEl0IGlzIGEgY2hhcmFjdGVy aXN0aWMgb2YgZWxpdGVzIHRvIHJlc2lzdCBjaGFuZ2UsIHBhcnRpY3VsYXJseSB3aGVuIHRoYXQg Y2hhbmdlIGNvbnNpc3RzIG9mIGJyb2FkZW5pbmcgYW5kIGRlZXBlbmluZyBhY2Nlc3MgdG8gaW5m b3JtYXRpb24uICBFbGl0ZXMgYXJIIGVsaXRlcyBiZWNhdXNIIG9mIHRoZWlyIGNvbnRyb2wgb2Yg aW5mb3JtYXRpb24uDQogDQpJIGFtIG5vdCBhdCBhbGwgc3VyZSB0aGF0IGh1bWFuIHNvY2lldHkg aW52YXJpYWJseSBvcHRzIHRvIGxvd2VyIHRoZSBwcmljZSBhbmQgc2FjcmlmaWNlIHRoZSBxdWFs

aXR5LiAgVGhlIG51bWJlciAocGVyIGNhcGl0YSkgb2YgZ29vZCBvciBleGNlbGxlbnQgcmVzdGF1 cmFudHMgaXMgZmFyIGdyZWF0ZXIgdG9kYXkgdGhhbiBpdCB3YXMgaW4gdGhlIDE5NTBzLiAgRXZl biBEZW5ueSdzIGlzIHN1cGVyaW9yIHRvIHRoZSAiYmV0dGVyIiByZXN0YXVyYW50cyBvZiB0aGF0 IGVyYS4gIFRoZSBxdWFsaXR5IG9mIG15IHJIZnJpZ2VyYXRvciBpcyBncmVhdGx5IHN1cGVyaW9y IHRvIHdoYXQgSSBjb3VsZCBoYXZlIGJvdWdodCwgZm9yIGFzIG11Y2ggb3IgbW9yZSBtb25leSwg ZmlmdGVlbiB5ZWFycyBhZ28uICBBdXRvbW9iaWxlcyBhcmUgbW9yZSByZWxpYWJsZSwgbGFzdCBs b25nZXIsIGFuZCBhcmUgc2FmZXIgdGhhbiBpbiB0aGUgeWVhcnMgb2YgdGFpbGZpbnMgYW5kIHN0 cmVldCB5YWNodHMuICBFdmVuIHRvb3RocGFzdGUgaGFzIGltcHJvdmVkOyBjaGVjayBvdXQgd2hh dCBwZW9wbGUgdXNlZCB0byBicnVzaCB3aXRoIGF0IHRoZSB0dXJuIG9mIHRoZSAxOXRoIGNlbnR1 cnkgKGlmIHRoZXkgYnJ1c2hlZCBhdCBhbGwpLiAgDQogDQpJbmRlZWQsIHRoaXMgY29tcHV0ZXIg aXMgYW4gZXhjZWxsZW50IGV4YW1wbGUgb2YgcGVvcGxlIGNob29zaW5nIHF1YWxpdHkgb3ZlciBw cmljZSAtLSB0aGV5IGRlbWFuZGVkIGJldHRlciBxdWFsaXR5LCBhbmQgdGhlIG1hbnVmYWN0dXJl cnMgKGZyb20gSW50ZWwgdG8gc2NyZWVuIHByb2R1Y2VycykgcmVzcG9uZGVkLiAgSSBoYXZIIGdv bmUgZnJvbSBhbiAkOCwwMDAgOCBtSHogbWFjaGluZSB3aXRoIDI1NksgbWVtb3J5LCBhIDVNQiBo YXJkIGRpc2sgYW5kIGFuIG9yYW5nZS9ibGFjayBzY3JlZW4sIHRvIGEgJDEsMTAwIG1hY2hpbmUg dGhhdCBkd2FyZnMgdGhlIGNvbXB1dGluZyBjYXBhY2l0eSB0aGF0IEhhcnZhcmQgVW5pdmVyc2l0 eSBoYWQgaW4gdGhlIDE5NjBzIGFuZCBpcyBlYXNpZXIgdG8gdXNlIHRvIGJvb3QuICBUaGlzIHdh cyBub3QgYSBjYXNIIG9mIHByaWNIIHRydW1waW5nIHF1YWxpdHk7IGl0IHdhcyBhIGNhc2Ugb2Yg cXVhbGl0eSBicm9hZGVuaW5nIHRoZSBjb25zdW1lciBiYXNlLCBsb3dlcmluZyBwcmljZSBhbmQg aW1wcm92aW5nIHF1YWxpdHkgYWdhaW4uICBJbiB0aGUgY291cnNIIG9mIHRoaXMgY2hhbmdlLCB3 aG9sZSBpbmR1c3RyaWVzIGFuZCBvY2N1cGF0aW9ucyB3ZXJIIGR1c3Ryb31lZC4gICAgDQogDQpJ IHZpZXcgdGhpcyB0cmFuc2l0aW9uIGFzIGFub3RoZXIgc3RhZ2UgaW4gdGhlIGV2b2x1dGlvbiBv ZiBjb21tdW5pY2F0aW9ucy4gIEl0IHdvbid0IGJIIHRoZSBsYXN0LiAgU29tZWRheSwgc29tZW9u ZSB3aWxsIGJIIHJlcG9ydGluZyBvbiBob3cgdGhlIHJlcHV0YWJsZSBXZWIgc2l0ZXMgYXJIIGdy YXZlbHkgdGhyZWF0ZW5lZCBieSBhbiB1cHN0YXJ0IHRIY2hub2xvZ3kgLS0gcGVyaGFwcyBkaXJl Y3QgY29tbXVuaWNhdGlvbiB0byBteSB2aXN1YWwgY29ydGV4LiAgQ2hhbmdlIGFsd2F5cyB1cHNl dHMgYXBwbGVjYXJ0cywgYW5kIHNvbWV0aW1lcyBnb29kIGFwcGxlcyBhcmUgbG9zdCBpbiB0aGUg Y2hhb3MuICBUaGVuIHdlIGRpc2NvdmVyIHRoYXQgd2UgZG9uJ3QgbmVlZCBhcHBsZWNhcnRzIGFy b3VuZCBhbnltb3JlOyB3aGF0IHdlIG5lZWQgYXJlIGRlbGl2ZXJ5IHZhbnMgb3IgY29udmV5b3Ig YmVsdHMuDQogDQpUaGUgcHJvYmxlbSB3aXRoIGNyZWF0aXZlIGRlc3RydWN0aW9uIGlzIHRoYXQg aXQgY2FuIGJIIG1hbmFnZWQgd2VsbCBvciBiYWRseS4gIElmIHRoZSBwZW9wbGUgd2l0aCB0aGUg a25vd2xlZGdlLCBza2lsbHMsIGFuZCB3aXNkb20gbmVlZGVkIHRvIHByb2R1Y2UgcXVhbGl0eSBy ZXNpc3QgY2hhbmdlLCB0aGVuIGEgcGVyaW9kIG9mIGRlZ3JhZGF0aW9uIGlzIGluZXZpdGFibGUg LS0gdW50aWwgdGhlIGdhbGVzIHN3ZWVwIHRoZW0gYXNpZGUgYW5kIGludHJvZHVjZSBhIG5ldyBn ZW5lcmF0aW9uLg0KIA0KUGVyaGFwcyBhbiBoaXN0b3JpY2FsIHBlcnNwZWN0aXZIIG9uIG5ld3Nw YXBlcnMgbWlnaHQgYmUgaW50ZXJlc3RpbmcuICBUaGUgZm9sbG93aW5nIGlzIGZyb20gdGhlIFdv cmxkIEFzc29jaWF0aW9uIG9mIE5ld3NwYXBlcnM6DQpodHRwOi8vd3d3Lndhbi1wcmVzcy5vcmcv YXJ0aWNsZS5waHAzP2lkX2FydGljbGU9MjgyMQ0KIA0KUmljaGFyZCBSb2Nrd2VsbA0KUHJvZmVz c29yIG9mIFNvY2lvbG9neQ0KVW5pdmVyc2l0eSBvZiBDb25uZWN0aWN1dA0KDQoJLS0tLS1Pcmln aW5hbCBNZXNzYWdlLS0tLS0gDQoJRnJvbTogQUFQT1JORVQgb24gYmVoYWxmIG9mIEVocmxpY2gs IE5hdGhhbmllbCANCgITZW50OiBUaHUgMTIvOC8yMDA1IDQ6NTcgUE0gDQoJVG86IEFBUE9STkVU QGFzdS5lZHUgDQoJQ2M6IA0KCVN1YmplY3Q6IFJlOiBUaGUgTmV3cw0KCQ0KCQ0KDQoJU29tZXRo aW5nIGdvb2Qgd2lsbCByaXNlIGZyb20gdGhlIGFzaGVzLi4ub3IsIHRoZXJlIG11c3QgYmUgYSBw b255IGluIHRoZXJIDQoJc29tZXdoZXJILi4uDQoJQXMgb3RoZXJzIGhhdmUgc2FpZCwgdGhpcyBp cyBHcmVzaGFtJ3MgbGF3IG9uY2UgYWdhaW4sIGJhZCBtb25leSBkcml2aW5nIG91dA0KCWdvb2Qu IEdpdmVuIHRoZSBjaG9pY2UgYmV0d2VlbiBwcmljZSBhbmQgcXVhbGl0eSwgaHVtYW4gc29jaWV0 eSBpbnZhcmlhYmx5DQoJb3B0cyB0byBsb3dlciB0aGUgcHJpY2UgYW5kIHNhY3JpZmljZSB0aGUg cXVhbGl0eS4gQW5kIGl0J3Mgbm90IGp1c3QNCglwZXJjZWl2ZWQgcXVhbGl0eS4NCglXaGVuIFVT QSBUb2RheSBiZWNhbWUgYSBmb3JjZSwgdGhlIGVkaXRvcnMgb2YgdGhlIHBhcGVyIEkgd29ya2Vk IG9uIGNhbGxlZA0KCWl0IE1jUGFwZXIsIHRoZSBlcXVpdmFsZW50IG9mIGZhc3QgZm9vZC4gV2Vs bCwgZmFzdCBmb29kIGNvbnN1bXB0aW9uDQoJY29udGludWVzIHRvIHJpc2UsIGFuZCB0aGUgbnVt YmVyIG9mIHF1YWxpdHkgcmVzdGF1cmFudHMgc2hyaW5rcy4gV2hlbiB5b3UNCgljYW4gb3BlbiB5 b3VyIGJyb3dzZXIsIGdvIHRvIERydWRnZSwgYW5kIGxpbmsgb250byAyMCBuZXdzcGFwZXJzIGFu ZA0KCXVubGltaXRIZCBibG9ncywgeW91J3JIIGxvb2tpbmcgYXQgTWNOZXdzLi4uDQoJRGFtbiwg

dGhhdCBvbGUgTXIuIERlcHJlc3Npb24gaXMgc2l0dGluZyBkb3duIGJlc2lkZSBtZS4uLmJldHRl ciBwb3AgTWNQaWxsIQ0KCQ0KCQ0KCU5hdCBFaHJsaWNoLCBQaC5ELg0KCVJlc2VhcmNoIFNwZWNp YWxpc3QNCglNaWNoaWdhbiBTdGF0ZSBVbml2ZXJzaXR5DQoJSW5zdGl0dXRlIGZvciBQdWJsaWMg UG9saWN5IGFuZCBTb2NpYWwgUmVzZWFyY2gNCglPZmZpY2UgZm9yIFNvY2lhbCBSZXNIYXJjaA0K CTMyMSBCZXJrZXkgSGFsbA0KCUVhc3QgTGFuc2luZywgTUkgNDg4MjQNCgk1MTctMzU1LTY2NzIN CgkNCgkNCgktLS1zbmlwLS0tDQoNCg==

Date: Thu, 8 Dec 2005 20:05:44 -0500 Reply-To: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" <Nathaniel.Ehrlich@SSC.MSU.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" <Nathaniel.Ehrlich@SSC.MSU.EDU> Subject: Re: The News Comments: To: Kris Juffer <drkjuffer@COMCAST.NET>, AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain

I do believe you're short-sighted as to who reads blogs. The GUM watch TeeVee as they sit at their computers, reading RealClearPolitics

Nat Ehrlich, Ph.D. Research Specialist Michigan State University Institute for Public Policy and Social Research Office for Social Research 321 Berkey Hall East Lansing, MI 48824 517-355-6672

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kris Juffer Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 6:21 PM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: The News

Precisely! Great point, Philip.

Meanwhile the masses still get fed the info-tainment fluff or what passes for television "news." Don't forget they can out-vote those who regularly follow even the biggest blogs. If we just say that the blogs do the job, it's letting the broadcast mass media off the hook for providing low-content

fluff and opinion instead of independent investigative journalism into issues that effect every day America's pockbook, democracy, etc. It's NOT a positive sign, in my opinion.

Only people with interest, motivation and time go to the blogs -- political junkies -- get their fill of "content." -- like us. But the masses who still watch television are still left in the dark and subject to manipulation against their -- and our -- best interests. Kris

----- Original Message -----From: "Philip Meyer" cpmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU> To: <AAPORNET@asu.edu> Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 2:32 PM Subject: Re: The News

>The number of quality restaurants shrinks? I doubt that there is any > empirical support for that. Restaurants have become more specialized, > filling many more niches than were served before, both high and low. >> It's the same with information. Richard Maisel published a seminal > piece in the summer 1973 POQ showing how more specialized media in all > forms, from theater to newspapers, were doing better than more general > media. The Internet is accelerating that process, but it started with a > wide range of 20th-century technical advances in communication. >>But before you cheer up, you might think about what this great > diversity in communication does to national unity and democracy. If we are > all absorbed in our tiny market segments, who will run the country? >> == > Philip Meyer, Knight Chair in Journalism > University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill > Voice: 919 962-4085 Fax: 919 962-1549 > Cell: 919 906-3425 URL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer > == >>> On Thu, 8 Dec 2005, Ehrlich, Nathaniel wrote: >>> Date: Thu, 08 Dec 2005 16:57:30 -0500 >> From: "Ehrlich, Nathaniel" </ Nathaniel.Ehrlich@SSC.MSU.EDU> >> To: AAPORNET@asu.edu >> Subject: Re: The News >> >> Something good will rise from the ashes...or, there must be a pony in >> there >> somewhere... >> As others have said, this is Gresham's law once again, bad money driving >> out >> good. Given the choice between price and quality, human society >> invariably >> opts to lower the price and sacrifice the quality. And it's not just >> perceived quality. >> When USA Today became a force, the editors of the paper I worked on >> called >> it McPaper, the equivalent of fast food. Well, fast food consumption >> continues to rise, and the number of quality restaurants shrinks. When >> you >> can open your browser, go to Drudge, and link onto 20 newspapers and >> unlimited blogs, you're looking at McNews... >> Damn, that ole Mr. Depression is sitting down beside me...better pop

```
file:///C/...POR%20STAFF/Marketing%20and%20Communications/Website/2022%20Redesign/aapornet%20history/2005/LOG_2005_12.txt[12/8/2023 9:06:45 AM]
```

>> McPill! >>>>>> Nat Ehrlich, Ph.D. >> Research Specialist >> Michigan State University >> Institute for Public Policy and Social Research >> Office for Social Research >> 321 Berkey Hall >> East Lansing, MI 48824 >> 517-355-6672 >> >>>> -----Original Message----->> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Philip Meyer >> Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2005 11:57 AM >> To: AAPORNET@asu.edu >> Subject: Re: The News >> >> Monopoly newspapers in medium-size markets have traditionally enjoyed >> margins of 20-40 percent. They could do this because they owned the toll >> road along which information passed between local retailers and their >> customers. >>New technology has created other routes for information, and the >>>> monopoly is gone forever. Newspaper companies are trying to maintain >> their >> historic profitability by reducing quality and raising prices -->> strategies that work for a monopoly but are self-destructive in the >> present competitive environment. >>>> Yes, newspaper companies can go into the online classified business, >> and >> many are, but they can't charge monopoly prices for it. Their historic >> advantage, being in a business with a very high entry cost, is gone >> forever. What is taking place is a chaotic and painful transformation. >> Something good will arise from the ashes, but nobody knows what it will >> be >> or who will create it. >> >> = >> Philip Meyer, Knight Chair in Journalism >> University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill >> Voice: 919 962-4085 Fax: 919 962-1549 >> Cell: 919 906-3425 URL: www.unc.edu/~pmeyer >> >> ----->> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >> Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . >> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >> >>

>> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

>> Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>>

>

- > -----
- > Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
- > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
- > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

>

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Fri, 9 Dec 2005 08:48:17 -0500Reply-To:"Jergens, Shannon" <SJergens@US.LOREAL.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Jergens, Shannon" <SJergens@US.LOREAL.COM>Subject:new e-mail addressComments:To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;charset=iso-8859-1Content-transfer-encoding:8BIT

Hello,

Can someone please take me off the distribution list at this e-mail address? Today is my last day at this job. My other e-mail address is shannonjer@yahoo.com. Thanks!

Shannon Jergens

This message and any attachments (hereunder the « message ») are confidential and intended solely for the addressees. If you receive this message in error, please delete it and immediately notify the sender. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized use, copying or dissemination is prohibited. E-mails are susceptible to alteration. Neither L'OREAL nor any of its subsidiaries or affiliates shall be liable for the message if altered, changed or falsified.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 9 Dec 2005 11:45:38 -0500

Reply-To:Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>Subject:Baby Boomers Approach 60 - Pew Research CenterComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;charset=us-asciiContent-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

Social Trends Reports

Baby Boomers Approach 60 From the Age of Aquarius to the Age of Responsibility

http://pewresearch.org/socialtrends/

--=20 Leo G. Simonetta Research Director Art & Science Group, LLC 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101 Baltimore MD 21209

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Fri, 9 Dec 2005 12:50:43 -0500Reply-To:Yasamin Miller <yd17@CORNELL.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Yasamin Miller <yd17@CORNELL.EDU>Subject:community satisfaction questionsComments:To: aapornet@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Would any one be aware of any surveys that address issues around communities and community satisfaction?

Thanks, Yasamin

Yasamin Miller, Director Survey Research Institute - SRI 168 Ives Hall Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853 * yd17@cornell.edu (607-255-0148 fax: 607-255-7118 www.sri.cornell.edu -----

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Fri, 9 Dec 2005 10:14:29 -0800Reply-To:Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>Subject:networkingComments:To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Hi,

I'm seeking a contact person with IPSOS. If anyone can help me out here, I'd be most grateful. thanks, Leora

Dr. Leora Lawton TechSociety Research "Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research" 2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704 (510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572 www.techsociety.com

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 9 Dec 2005 13:18:11 -0500 Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM> Subject: Polling a pollster Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Polling a pollster TODAY'S COLUMNIST By Joel Mowbray December 9, 2005=20 http://www.washingtontimes.com/op-ed/20051208-092510-1537r.htm When the national press devoured a new union-sponsored poll released last week by uber-pollster John Zogby claiming that a majority of Americans believe that "Wal-Mart is bad for America," not reported were serious ethical issues which call into question the integrity of the much-ballyhooed survey.=20

SNIP

In recent years, Mr. Zogby has pocketed roughly \$90,000 to serve as an expert witness for individuals suing Wal-Mart, according to testimony he gave in a deposition last year in an Arizona case. Nowhere is Mr. Zogby's prior work on behalf of plaintiffs mentioned in the press release announcing the poll results.=20

During a 45-minute phone interview for this column, Mr. Zogby willingly acknowledged when asked about his work on behalf of the various plaintiffs. He repeatedly requested that the column reflect his honesty, which shows that he understands the relevance of his past work.

SNIP

As any pollster can attest, trust is the key issue, as polling -- no matter how transparent or scientific it purports to be -- hinges on the credibility of the wizard behind the curtain. Pollsters are masters of subtle manipulation, and small changes in wording can -- and often do -- yield substantially different results. Or questions can be asked in such a way that produce ambiguous results that can be interpreted in many different fashions.=20

Precisely because trust is so important, WakeUpWalMart.com hired Mr. Zogby to give its poll bashing Wal-Mart extra panache and an air of instant credibility. In a phone interview, WakeUpWalMart.com spokesman Chris Kofinis adamantly maintained that the poll was beyond reproach because Mr. Zogby is "an independent." Which, not coincidentally, is exactly how the pollster described himself -- again and again and again.

SNIP

The Wal-Mart poll is not the first time Mr. Zogby has taken money from one party and conducted polling where his objectivity ostensibly would be compromised. According to a November 2000 Village Voice article, the pollster collected "\$54,000 in payments from the 1997 Giuliani campaign after polling the race for the [New York] Post, and picking up another \$5000 this year from the State Republican Committee while polling the Senate race."=20

Of course it's entirely possible that Mr. Zogby could take significant cash from people suing Wal-Mart and then turn around and conduct an objective poll. There's no reason to believe that was the case, however, based on anything other than faith. And such faith is diminished when the potential bias is conveniently clipped from the press release.=20

SNIP

==

Joel Mowbray occasionally writes for The Washington Times.=20

--=20 Leo G. Simonetta Research Director Art & Science Group, LLC 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101 Baltimore MD 21209

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 9 Dec 2005 14:59:44 -0500 Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM> Subject: Zogby replies to Polling a pollster Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

http://www.zogby.com/news/ReadNews.dbm?ID=3D1047

ZOGBY: Wal-Mart survey was fair, balanced.

Joel Mowbray's opinion piece in today's (12/9/2005) editions of the Washington Times charging that I crossed some ethical boundary in conducting a poll for a client is just that - his opinion - but he ignores important facts.

His insinuation that, because Zogby International conducted a survey for a client that opposes Wal-Mart, and because I have provided expert testimony in lawsuits against Wal-Mart, I must certainly have an anti-Wal-Mart agenda and surely "cooked" the poll against the retailer, is as far off the mark as one can be.

SNIP

Mowbray's assertion that our poll for WakeUpWal-Mart.com was slanted because I have testified as an expert witness in cases against Wal-Mart is ludicrous. In his opinion piece, he boasts of his extensive research, but he fails to tell readers that my testimony had nothing to do with Wal-Mart's business practices, but rather was focused only on the efficacy of polling - which is my expertise.

SNIP

In short, Zogby International is not an advocate for any of its clients. Our point of view is that we believe in good polling, and in this case, WakeUpWal-Mart.com got good polling. Nothing more.

John Zogby, President Zogby International Utica, New York

(12/9/2005)=20

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta Research Director Art & Science Group, LLC 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101 Baltimore MD 21209

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Fri, 9 Dec 2005 14:05:56 -0600Reply-To:"Joshua M. Whitman" <jwhitman@IPCG.BIZ>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Joshua M. Whitman" <jwhitman@IPCG.BIZ>Subject:Phone Bank MachineComments:To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;Content-transfer-encoding:7bit

Hello everyone,

I have sort of an unusual request and don't really know who else to ask but the brains in this group. I have a friend that runs a very small grassroots organization that wants to keep in touch with his members using a phone bank type system (in other words the organization has very little money). Essentially, he would like to have a computer that he can sit down and record a 30 second message and have the computer call his members and play the message either on their answering machines or to the live person.

He has come to me for assistance in finding such a machine or computer since I have helped him in the past with a few other research projects.

Does anyone know of a system that would allow him to do this? His organization has about 100 members in the local area who he would like to communicate with once a week if possible. I suggested an email system, but the group membership has a much lower computer ownership percentage than does the general population.

Any input would be appreciated and thanks very much for your time.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sat, 10 Dec 2005 12:25:19 -0500 Reply-To: Frank Rusciano <rusciano@RIDER.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Frank Rusciano <rusciano@RIDER.EDU> Subject: Does anyone have data on International Studies Majors? Comments: cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <4398779F.6040705@rider.edu> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset=windows-1250 Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

I was wondering if anyone had trend data on whether students interested in International Studies has changed over the past 5-10 years. Anecdotal evidence suggests that 9/11 created a greater interest in this area, but I've seen no actual data. Also, if anyone knows of where this data can be found, I'd appreciate it. You can reply directly to me, as I don't think the rest of the NET would be interested, unless you think it might be useful to others.

Thanks,

Frank Rusciano

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 12 Dec 2005 06:51:43 -0500 Reply-To: "Langer, Gary E" <Gary.E.Langer@ABC.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Langer, Gary E" <Gary.E.Langer@ABC.COM> Subject: ABC News poll: Iraq Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

ABC News has sponsored its second national public opinion survey in Iraq, with Time magazine, the BBC, NHK and Der Spiegel. Our analysis, timed to this week's national elections, can be seen at http://abcnews.go.com/International/PollVault/story?id=3D1389228.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:	Mon, 12 Dec 2005 12:11:04 -0500
Reply-To:	Leo Simonetta <simonetta@artsci.com></simonetta@artsci.com>
Sender:	AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>
From:	Leo Simonetta <simonetta@artsci.com></simonetta@artsci.com>
Subject:	ABC Poll: Broad Optimism in Iraq,
В	ut Also Deep Divisions Among Groups
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu	
MIME-version: 1.0	
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii	
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable	

Poll: Broad Optimism in Iraq, But Also Deep Divisions Among Groups

On the Eve of the Elections, Most Iraqis Want Iraq To Stay Unified Analysis By GARY LANGER and JON COHEN=20

http://abcnews.go.com/International/PollVault/story?id=3D1389228 or http://tinyurl.com/deqpv

Includes links to the questionnaire and the methodology.

--=20 Leo G. Simonetta Research Director Art & Science Group, LLC 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101 Baltimore MD 21209

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Mon, 12 Dec 2005 10:47:11 -0700Reply-To:Leo Simonetta <simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Leo Simonetta <simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>Subject:Re: ABC News poll: Iraq

Shoot - our email must be acting up again - I didn't see Gary's posting until I went to the Archives to check something.

Sorry for the duplication.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu Date:Tue, 13 Dec 2005 10:13:38 -0500Reply-To:agreenberg@greenbergresearch.comSender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Anna Greenberg <agreenberg@GREENBERGRESEARCH.COM>Subject:job opportunityComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;charset=iso-8859-1Content-transfer-encoding:7bit

Senior Associate

Greenberg Quinlan Rosner has an immediate opening for an SENIOR ASSOCIATE in their Washington, DC office. Greenberg Quinlan Rosner is an internationally recognized survey research firm specializing in polls and focus groups across the globe for political campaigns and parties, public interest organizations and foundations as well as corporate crisis management and positioning. You can learn more about the company at www.greenbergresearch.com

Responsibilities include: Act as lead analyst and coordinator for high profile client involving national Democratic message strategy. Assist company Principal in all aspects of survey research process including: drafting questionnaires and focus group guidelines, writing reports/ memos, presentation of findings, coordinate internal research needs with field and programming departments, candidate and issue research, and proofing of documents and graphic presentations.

Candidate profile: Problem solver with a career interest in public opinion research or campaign management. Detail oriented person with excellent oral and communications skills, Ability to work fast, accurately and creatively under tight timelines and high pressure is essential. Position requires strong writing and management skills. Individual should be a team player and motivated, self-starter. Ideal candidate will have four or more years with quantitative/ qualitative political/issue driven research skills.

Competitive salary and excellent benefits. Submit cover letter, resume and salary requirements to jobs@greenbergresearch.com or fax to 202-289-8648.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:	Tue, 13 Dec 2005 10:18:25 -0700
Reply-To:	TimothyJames Beebe <beebe.timothy@mayo.edu></beebe.timothy@mayo.edu>
Sender:	AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>
From:	TimothyJames Beebe <beebe.timothy@mayo.edu></beebe.timothy@mayo.edu>
Subject:	Active Consent and Survey Response

Hi,

I was wondering if anyone knows of good research looking at the effect of

active informed consent on survey response rates and/or bias. I'm particularly interested in work looking at the effects of including a HIPAA authorization form but anything that evaluates the impact of any type of signed consent form on survey participation would suffice. There is a reasonable literature on that subject focusing on adolescents/students but little on adult, general population samples.

Thanks in advance for any leads on this topic that you can provide.

Regards,

Tim

Timothy J. Beebe, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of Health Services Research Mayo Clinic College of Medicine

Director, Survey Research Center Department of Health Sciences Research

Mayo Clinic 200 First Street SW Rochester, MN 55905 Tel: (507) 538-4606 Fax: (507) 284-1180 E-mail: beebe.timothy@mayo.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Tue, 13 Dec 2005 11:30:06 -0800 Reply-To: ellis.godard@csun.edu Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Ellis Godard <ellis.godard@CSUN.EDU> Subject: Ohio, Georgia Redux Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <LISTSERV%200512131018257907.A7F1@LISTS.ASU.EDU> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Apologies for sharing a source for which I can't vouch, but I thought = the story would nonetheless interest others here...

http://rawstory.com/news/2005/Diebold_CEO_resigns_after_reports_of_1212.h=tml

-eg

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Tue, 13 Dec 2005 14:38:35 -0500Reply-To:Susan Carol Losh <slosh@GARNET.ACNS.FSU.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Susan Carol Losh <slosh@GARNET.ACNS.FSU.EDU>Subject:Re: Active Consent and Survey ResponseComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<LISTSERV%200512131018257907.A7F1@LISTS.ASU.EDU>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Dear Colleagues,

Please add me to the list on this one. I just had an interesting experience with our IRB about a questionnaire to be given to students which asked for basic demographics in addition to attitude and knowledge measures. There are a few hundred planned respondents, their instructors will neither pass out nor collect the survey, and the original surveys will be kept locked up until they are up in datafile, and then will be destroyed. I had to explain all this because our IRB head was concerned the students could be identified (by whom??) from the demographics and therefore the surveys would require active consent. Well, putting their names on certainly would be an easy way to identify each student! Once I had explained this, the project received approval with implicit consent.

In a related event, our lab school, which was supposed to have faculty research as an initial raison d'etre, became a charter school. Now, to do any research at the "FSU" school, we must all be fingerprinted, pass a criminal background check, go through TWO IRB reviews, and placate the Research Director. I certainly understand the need to protect the students but let's face it, this isn't exactly a research-friendly environment any more.

It all makes me happy that for the past few years I have been doing secondary analyses for the major part of my research. Although our IRB wants to assign approval for those, too.

Happy holidays, everyone, Susan

```
At 12:18 PM 12/13/05, you wrote: >Hi, >
```

>I was wondering if anyone knows of good research looking at the effect of >active informed consent on survey response rates and/or bias. I'm >particularly interested in work looking at the effects of including a HIPAA >authorization form but anything that evaluates the impact of any type of >signed consent form on survey participation would suffice. There is a >reasonable literature on that subject focusing on adolescents/students but >little on adult, general population samples. >Thanks in advance for any leads on this topic that you can provide. >>Regards, >>Tim > >Timothy J. Beebe, Ph.D. >>Associate Professor of Health Services Research >Mayo Clinic College of Medicine >>Director, Survey Research Center >Department of Health Sciences Research >Mayo Clinic >200 First Street SW >Rochester, MN 55905 >Tel: (507) 538-4606 >Fax: (507) 284-1180 >E-mail: beebe.timothy@mayo.edu >>---->Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . >Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Susan Carol Losh, PhD American Statistical Association-NSF Research Fellow Program Leader, Learning and Cognition Department of Educational Psychology and Learning Systems Florida State University Tallahassee FL 32306-4453 slosh@garnet.acns.fsu.edu 850-644-8778 VOICE 850-644-8776 FAX visit the site: http://garnet.acns.fsu.edu/~slosh/Index.htm

>

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Tue, 13 Dec 2005 12:14:56 -0800Reply-To:Matthew Courser <mattcourser@YAHOO.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Matthew Courser <mattcourser@YAHOO.COM>Subject:Re: Active Consent and Survey ResponseComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<6.2.5.6.2.20051213142814.03c223a0@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>MIME-version:1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

Colleagues,

I've done some research on active consent as it relates to response rates/bias on adolescent surveys of drug use. Citations for a few good recent works follow below:

Baker, J., Yardley, J., & McCaul, K. (2001). Characteristics of responding, non-responding, and refusing parents in an adolescent lifestyle choice study. Evaluation Review, 25, 605-618.

Pokorny, S. B., Jason, L. A., Schoeny, M. E., Townsend, S. M., & Curie, C. J. (2001). Do participation rates change when active consent procedures replace passive consent? Evaluation Review, 25, 567-580.

White, V., Hill, D., & Effendi, Y. (2004). How does active parental consent influence the findings of drug-use surveys in schools? Evaluation Review, 28, 246-260.

Unger, et al. (2004). No News is Bad News--Characteristics of Adolescents who provide neither Parental Consent nor Refusal. Evaluation Review, 28, 52-63.

Hope this helps! --Matt

Yahoo! Shopping Find Great Deals on Holiday Gifts at Yahoo! Shopping

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Tue, 13 Dec 2005 15:36:16 -0500 Reply-To: Nancy Belden <nancybelden@BRSPOLL.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Nancy Belden <nancybelden@BRSPOLL.COM> Subject: interviewing teens and parents Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

I would appreciate the opportunity to talk with or hear from anyone who has interviewed parents of teens and then asked to interview the teens. Thanks! Nancy Belden Belden Russonello & Stewart 1320 19th Street NW Washington, DC 20036 202 822 6090

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Wed, 14 Dec 2005 11:08:44 -0500 Reply-To: Richard Clark <clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Richard Clark <clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU> Subject: Coordinating state-wide polling on policy issues Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252; format=flowed Content-transfer-encoding: 8BIT

I am interested in convening an informal group of public opinion researchers who do state-wide polling in order to coordinate some of our instruments for comparative research. We regularly conduct general population polls in the state of Georgia, and I'd like to be able to compare Georgians' level of trust in government, attitudes about the state legislature, and opinions on some policy issues with findings from other states. If others conducting similar state-wide polls would be willing to coordinate on instrument development and to share data, we can produce a broad and comparative assessment of public opinion prior to the 2006 elections. By working together, we can all gather data on the residents of our respective states and be able to put that data into a broader context.

If this idea interests anyone on this list, and you'd like to discuss this further, please email me off the list. Perhaps interested parties can gather for deeper discussion in Montreal this coming May.

--Rich Clark

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D. Director of Peach State Poll Manager of Survey Research Unit Carl Vinson Institute of Government University of Georgia 201 N. Milledge Avenue Athens, GA 30602 (706) 542-2736

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu Date: Wed, 14 Dec 2005 12:17:31 -0600 Reply-To: Mario Callegaro <mca@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Mario Callegaro <mca@UNLSERVE.UNL.EDU> Subject: Seam effect in online panels Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Dear Colleagues,

I was trying to find references about seam effect in online (web) panels but could not find anything. I tried also Websm but with no success. Has anybody done any work on this topic e.g. Knowledge Network panel, Harris Interactive...

Sincerely

Mario Callegaro Doctoral program in Survey Research and Methodology (SRAM) http://sram.unl.edu University of Nebraska, Lincoln 200 North 11th Street, 4th floor, Lincoln NE 68508 Personal web page: http://sram.unl.edu/people/showprofile2.asp?pid=2006

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Dec 2005 13:47:23 -0500 Reply-To: LinChiat Chang <LinChiat.Chang@OPINIONRESEARCH.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: LinChiat Chang <LinChiat.Chang@OPINIONRESEARCH.COM> Subject: 10-point vs. 5-point scales Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

=20

Does anyone on AAPOR-Net know of research comparing 10-point vs. 5-point scales measuring quality (poor - excellent), and recommendations on how data collected using these 2 scales can be merged? Or perhaps any relevant work that speaks to this issue in general, e.g. how longer scales should be mapped onto shorter scales? Any suggestion from you would be much appreciated. Thank you.

=20

LinChiat Chang

Opinion Research Corporation

(609) 452-5468

=20

=20

Be sure to visit our new website at www.opinionresearch.com

Notice: This communication, including attachments, may contain information= =20

that is confidential and/or copyrighted, and is the property of=20 Opinion Research Corporation. It constitutes non-public information=20 intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). =20 If the reader or recipient of this communication is not the=20 intended recipient or an employee or agent of the intended recipient=20 who is responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient,=20 or if you believe that you have received this communication in error,=20 please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and promptly=20 delete this e-mail, including attachments without reading or saving=20 them in any manner. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution,=20 or reproduction of this e-mail, including attachments, is prohibited=20 and may be unlawful.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:	Wed, 14 Dec 2005 11:20:39 -0800		
Reply-To:	"Fullmer, Pat" <pat.fullmer@metrokc.gov></pat.fullmer@metrokc.gov>		
Sender:	AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>		
From:	"Fullmer, Pat" <pat.fullmer@metrokc.gov></pat.fullmer@metrokc.gov>		
Subject:	Re: 10-point vs. 5-point scales		
Comments: To: LinChiat Chang <linchiat.chang@opinionresearch.com>,</linchiat.chang@opinionresearch.com>			
AAPORNET@asu.edu			
MIME-version: 1.0			
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"			

I would like to be copied on any responses to this e-mail, please.

Thank you.

-----Original Message-----From: LinChiat Chang [mailto:LinChiat.Chang@OPINIONRESEARCH.COM] Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2005 10:47 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Does anyone on AAPOR-Net know of research comparing 10-point vs. 5-point scales measuring quality (poor - excellent), and recommendations on how data collected using these 2 scales can be merged? Or perhaps any relevant work that speaks to this issue in general, e.g. how longer scales should be mapped onto shorter scales? Any suggestion from you would be much appreciated. Thank you.

LinChiat Chang

Opinion Research Corporation

(609) 452-5468

Be sure to visit our new website at www.opinionresearch.com

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:	Wed, 14 Dec 2005 13:15:39 -0700
Reply-To:	Steven Pennell <spennell@umich.edu></spennell@umich.edu>
Sender:	AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>
From:	Steven Pennell <spennell@umich.edu></spennell@umich.edu>
Subject:	Re: Active Consent and Survey Response

You might want to review an article by Eleanor Singer published in the Journal of Official Statistics (Vol.19, No.3, 2003. pp. 273-285) entitled "Exploring the Meaning of Consent: Participation in Research and Beliefs about Risks and Benefits."

The article notes that at least 13 percent of those who expressed willingness to participate in research said they would be unwilling to sign a form indicating their consent.

Some IRBs have shown an appreciation for this finding and a willingness to invoke flexibility in the Common Rule to waive documentation of informed consent when respondents, who are otherwise willing to participate, don't want to sign a consent form.

Regards,

Steve Pennell Survey Research Center University of Michigan

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Wed, 14 Dec 2005 17:50:08 -0500Reply-To:"Dr. Gerald R. Patnode" <gpatnode@YCP.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Dr. Gerald R. Patnode" <gpatnode@YCP.EDU>Subject:Mailing listComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;Content-transfer-encoding:7bitContent-disposition:inline

Please withdraw my e-mail address from the general "daily" mailings Thank you.

Dr Gerry Patnode York College of Pennsylvania

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu Date:Wed, 14 Dec 2005 20:29:54 -0500Reply-To:"Schuetze, Hans-Joachim" <Hans.Schuetze@MBNA.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Schuetze, Hans-Joachim" <Hans.Schuetze@MBNA.COM>Subject:Re: 10-point vs. 5-point scalesComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1Content-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

I am not aware of any research, but would love to hear more.=20

Here's my guess what the answer will be: It depends...

A couple of thoughts though, from recent experience. We transitioned = from a 4-point satisfaction scale to a scale with an odd number of = levels recently. We ran two versions of the same study in parallel for 4 = months, providing us with some (proprietary) data for estimates of = differences between, let's say, a top-1 box on one scale vs. a top-2 box = score on the other scale. In my limited experience, the best, maybe the = only way is to parallel test in your population, sample frame, with your = questioannaire design and essentially everything else held constant. You = may not be able to replicate a previous frequency distribution = point-by-point, but you may be able to come up with a reliable estimate = to map relative frequencies to one another, + or - a (hopefully) = constant scale effect.=20

As for your particular items, there are a couple of obvious challenges. = A 10-point scale has an even, a 5-point scale has a odd number of = levels. In the best case scenario, neutral answers end up as 3s on the = 5-point scale and split up between 5 and 6 on the 10-point scale.=20

However, if the 10-point scale is used over the phone or other verbal = modes, I would expect that middle-of-the-road respondents end up = answering "5," never "6," though neither is the mid-point of a 1-10 = point scale. Trying to decide if to consider respondents answering with = "5" as neutral or as assessing quality as barely sub-par ultimately = amounts to trying to estimate the proportion of respondents who a) = answer "5" and b) know that 5 is not the mid-point. However, I have seen = studies where 5 on a 1-10 point scale is interpreted as the mid-point, = your comparison may start with who's a 5 on the 10-point scale and who's = a 3 on the 5-point scale.=20

As should be obvious by now -- If you have thoughts on this topic, I'd = greatly appreciate it. I need help. :-) Hans

> ----- Original Message-----

- > From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu]On Behalf Of Fullmer, Pat
- > Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2005 14:21 PM
- > To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

> Subject: Re: 10-point vs. 5-point scales >=20 >=20 > I would like to be copied on any responses to this e-mail, please. >=20 > Thank you. >=20> ----- Original Message-----> From: LinChiat Chang [mailto:LinChiat.Chang@OPINIONRESEARCH.COM] > Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2005 10:47 AM > To: AAPORNET@asu.edu > Subject: 10-point vs. 5-point scales >=20 >=20 > = 20>=20 > Does anyone on AAPOR-Net know of research comparing 10-point=20 > vs. 5-point > scales measuring quality (poor - excellent), and=20 > recommendations on how > data collected using these 2 scales can be merged? Or perhaps any > relevant work that speaks to this issue in general, e.g. how longer > scales should be mapped onto shorter scales? Any suggestion from you > would be much appreciated. Thank you. >=20 > = 20>=20 > LinChiat Chang >=20 > Opinion Research Corporation >=20> (609) 452-5468 >=20 > = 20>=20 > = 20>=20 >=20>=20 > Be sure to visit our new website at www.opinionresearch.com >=20 >=20> ********* >=20> Notice: This communication, including attachments, may=20 > contain information=20 > that is confidential and/or copyrighted, and is the property of=20 > Opinion Research Corporation. It constitutes non-public information=20 > intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). =20 > If the reader or recipient of this communication is not the=20> intended recipient or an employee or agent of the intended recipient=20 > who is responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient,=20 > or if you believe that you have received this communication in error,=20

> please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and promptly=20 > delete this e-mail, including attachments without reading or saving=20 > them in any manner. The unauthorized use, dissemination,=20 > distribution,=20 > or reproduction of this e-mail, including attachments, is prohibited=20 > and may be unlawful. >=20 >=20 > _____ > Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:=20 > aapornet-request@asu.edu >=20 >-----> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:=20 > aapornet-request@asu.edu >=20

>=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 14 Dec 2005 21:14:10 -0800 Reply-To: stevej@NSDSSURVEY.ORG Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Stephen M. Johnson" <stevej@NSDSSURVEY.ORG> Re: 10-point vs. 5-point scales Subject: Comments: To: LinChiat Chang <LinChiat.Chang@OPINIONRESEARCH.COM> Comments: cc: aapornet@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <9D425F61700D5B4CAB831967CAFAE5B801B5BFDE@Plaus03.plainsboro.orc.wan> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

About 10 years ago a colleague of mine and I did some experiments on this for our own use when constructing a national survey on risk perception. What we found was that people mapped the top two (and sometimes 3) points of 10 point scales to the top point on a 5 point scale. The same thing at the bottom of the scale. Most interesting was the finding that 4 point verbal scales that used terms like "somewhat agree" were mapped on both sides of agree/disagree scales when the term "somewhat" was not used. Unfortunately, we did not try and publish any of this since our interest at that time was the results of the survey and not survey research itself. In general I do not trust the 2,3,8,9 points of 10 point scales at all and today like to use 4 and 5 point scales with labels if at all possible. Best Steve Johnson, PhD President, Northwest Survey & Data Services

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .	
>	
>	
> and may be unlawful.	
 > them in any manner. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution, > or reproduction of this e-mail, including attachments, is prohibited 	
 > please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and promptly > delete this e-mail, including attachments without reading or saving 	
> or if you believe that you have received this communication in error,	
 > intended recipient or an employee or agent of the intended recipient > who is responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, 	
> If the reader or recipient of this communication is not the	
 > intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). 	
 > that is confidential and/or copyrighted, and is the property of > Opinion Research Corporation. It constitutes non-public information 	
> Notice: This communication, including attachments, may contain information	
>	
> > >	* * * * * * * * *
>	
> Be sure to visit our new website at www.opinionresearch.com	
>	
>	
>	
>	
>	
> (609) 452-5468	
> Opinion Research Corporation	
>	
> LinChiat Chang	
>	
>	
 > would be much appreciated. Thank you. 	
> relevant work that speaks to this issue in general, e.g. how longer> scales should be mapped onto shorter scales? Any suggestion from you	
> data collected using these 2 scales can be merged? Or perhaps any	
> scales measuring quality (poor - excellent), and recommendations on how	
> Does anyone on AAPOR-Net know of research comparing 10-point vs. 5-point	

Date: Thu, 15 Dec 2005 06:54:07 -0500

Reply-To:Jonathan Schnyer <schnyer@VIRGINIA.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Jonathan Schnyer <schnyer@VIRGINIA.EDU>Subject:Re: 10-point vs. 5-point scalesComments:To: stevej@NSDSSURVEY.ORGComments:cc: AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<1064.64.75.147.3.1134623650.squirrel@apache.willamette.net>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowedContent-transfer-encoding:7bit

My 2 cents is that 5 point scales are generally preferable, for these reasons: 1) they have a true and easily intuited midpoint and 2) you can attach meaningful labels to each point on the scale--done well, always a good practice. I have only my experience to go by, however. It would be nice to have some evidence.

Stephen M. Johnson wrote:

>>

>About 10 years ago a colleague of mine and I did some experiments on this >for our own use when constructing a national survey on risk perception. >What we found was that people mapped the top two (and sometimes 3) points >of 10 point scales to the top point on a 5 point scale. The same thing at >the bottom of the scale. Most interesting was the finding that 4 point >verbal scales that used terms like "somewhat agree" were mapped on both >sides of agree/disagree scales when the term "somewhat" was not used. >Unfortunately, we did not try and publish any of this since our interest >at that time was the results of the survey and not survey research itself. > In general I do not trust the 2,3,8,9 points of 10 point scales at all >and today like to use 4 and 5 point scales with labels if at all possible. >Best >Steve Johnson, PhD >President, Northwest Survey & Data Services >>>>Does anyone on AAPOR-Net know of research comparing 10-point vs. 5-point >>scales measuring quality (poor - excellent), and recommendations on how >>data collected using these 2 scales can be merged? Or perhaps any >>relevant work that speaks to this issue in general, e.g. how longer >>scales should be mapped onto shorter scales? Any suggestion from you >>would be much appreciated. Thank you. >> >> >>>>LinChiat Chang >>>>Opinion Research Corporation >>>>(609) 452-5468 >>>> >>>>

>> >> >>Be sure to visit our new website at www.opinionresearch.com >> >> >>***** ****** >> >>Notice: This communication, including attachments, may contain information >>that is confidential and/or copyrighted, and is the property of >>Opinion Research Corporation. It constitutes non-public information >>intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). >>If the reader or recipient of this communication is not the >>intended recipient or an employee or agent of the intended recipient >>who is responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, >>or if you believe that you have received this communication in error, >>please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and promptly >>delete this e-mail, including attachments without reading or saving >>them in any manner. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution, >>or reproduction of this e-mail, including attachments, is prohibited >>and may be unlawful. >> >> >>----->>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >>Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . >>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >> >> >>> >----->Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . >Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >>_____ Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu Date: Thu, 15 Dec 2005 07:30:40 -0500 Reply-To: RobFarbman@AOL.COM Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> "Robert A. Farbman" < RobFarbman@AOL.COM> From: Subject: UK Phone Rooms? Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII" Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Does anyone have a UK phone room to recommend?

Just looking to expand our roster of bidders and I am specifically looking for vendors who are not themselves full-service research firms (which we usually subcontract our calls to) but are straight call centers.

If you have any thoughts you can reply directly to me at ______rfarbman@edisonresearch.com_ (mailto:rfarbman@edisonresearch.com).

Thanks

Rob Farbman Edison Media Research

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Thu, 15 Dec 2005 07:36:23 -0500Reply-To:Jonathan Schnyer <schnyer@VIRGINIA.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Jonathan Schnyer <schnyer@VIRGINIA.EDU>Subject:Re: 10-point vs. 5-point scalesComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<1064.64.75.147.3.1134623650.squirrel@apache.willamette.net>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowedContent-transfer-encoding:7bit

My 2 cents is that 5 point scales are generally preferable, for these reasons: 1) they have a true and easily intuited midpoint and 2) you can attach meaningful labels to each point on the scale--done well, always a good practice. I have only my experience to go by, however. It would be nice to have some evidence.

Jonathan Schnyer Assistant Director Office of Institutional Assessment and Studies University of Virginia

Stephen M. Johnson wrote:

>About 10 years ago a colleague of mine and I did some experiments on this
>for our own use when constructing a national survey on risk perception.
>What we found was that people mapped the top two (and sometimes 3) points
>of 10 point scales to the top point on a 5 point scale. The same thing at
>the bottom of the scale. Most interesting was the finding that 4 point
>verbal scales that used terms like "somewhat agree" were mapped on both
>sides of agree/disagree scales when the term "somewhat" was not used.
>Unfortunately, we did not try and publish any of this since our interest
>at that time was the results of the survey and not survey research itself.
> In general I do not trust the 2,3,8,9 points of 10 point scales at all
>and today like to use 4 and 5 point scales with labels if at all possible.

>Best >Steve Johnson, PhD >President, Northwest Survey & Data Services >>>>Does anyone on AAPOR-Net know of research comparing 10-point vs. 5-point >>scales measuring quality (poor - excellent), and recommendations on how >>data collected using these 2 scales can be merged? Or perhaps any >>relevant work that speaks to this issue in general, e.g. how longer >>scales should be mapped onto shorter scales? Any suggestion from you >>would be much appreciated. Thank you. >> >>>> >>LinChiat Chang >> >>Opinion Research Corporation >> >>(609) 452-5468 >>>>>>>> >>>> >>>>Be sure to visit our new website at www.opinionresearch.com >> >> >>>>Notice: This communication, including attachments, may contain information >>that is confidential and/or copyrighted, and is the property of >>Opinion Research Corporation. It constitutes non-public information >>intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). >>If the reader or recipient of this communication is not the >>intended recipient or an employee or agent of the intended recipient >>who is responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, >>or if you believe that you have received this communication in error, >>please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and promptly >>delete this e-mail, including attachments without reading or saving >>them in any manner. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution, >>or reproduction of this e-mail, including attachments, is prohibited >>and may be unlawful. >> >> >>_____ >>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >>Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . >>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >> >> >>

>

>-----

>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

>Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

> >

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Thu, 15 Dec 2005 09:23:09 -0600Reply-To:Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>Subject:Holiday/Vacation Hold for AAPORNetComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset="us-ascii"Content-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

Good Morning,=20

=20

If you're going to be away from your e-mail over the holidays and would like to temporarily suspend your AAPORNET subscription, here's how:=20

Send an email to listserv@asu.edu with the following one line of text:=20

set aapornet nomail=20

You don't need a subject, and don't include any signature text, etc. You will receive a confirmation e-mail from Listserv.=20

To restart mail delivery, send another e-mail to listserv@asu.edu with this one line:

set aapornet mail=20

Note to send the e-mails to listserv@asu.edu, and not to aapornet.=20

And remember that you can easily check the archives (address below) on your return for any messages you may have missed.=20 If you haven't visited the archives before, you'll need to create a password--this is not related to your AAPOR web site user id or password--you just make it up.

Happy Holiday Season to everyone,=20

=20

Michael P. Flanagan, CAE=20

Executive Coordinator=20

American Association for Public Opinion Research =20

P. O. Box 14263=20

Lenexa, KS 66285-4263=20

(913) 495-4470=20

FAX: (913) 599-5340 = 20

www.aapor.org =20

=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 15 Dec 2005 15:25:33 -0500 Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV> Subject: TSMII Update Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

=20 TSM II UPDATE =20

The plans are proceeding well for the conference. A final program should be on the website in the next few days. Please remember that your conference registration is due by December 21st. After that date, you will have to register on site and pay an additional \$100. Don't forget the short courses when registering. They are on Wednesday, 1/11, and a bargain at \$120. I hope you have made your reservations with the hotel. Only a handful of rooms remain. We will send information on an overflow hotel shortly. =20

=20

Presenters, please get your papers to your discussant by December 21st. Also, send a short bio to your chair. You should have already received the email addresses for these two people. An LCD, screen and microphone will be in every session. No laptops are provide, so bring your own or coordinate with others in your session. Currently, there are no plans to have overhead projectors.

=20

We still have space for organizations wanting to exhibit at the conference. The fee is \$500, and you will have a 6 by 2 foot skirted table, chairs, and electrical connections. Please contact Clyde Tucker for details at tucker.clyde@bls.gov. =20

Note that Ken Prewitt, Carnegie Professor of Public Affairs at Columbia and former Census Bureau Director, will give the keynote address at lunch on Thursday. =20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 15 Dec 2005 15:54:36 -0800 Reply-To: "Patricia A. Gwartney" <pgwartney@GMAIL.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Patricia A. Gwartney" <pgwartney@GMAIL.COM> Subject: Coordinating state-wide polling on policy issues MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable Content-disposition: inline

Rich,

You need to become a member of the National Network of State Polls (NNSP); see http://survey.rgs.uky.edu/NNSP/. The group is devoted to precisely the issues you mention. It meets annually for breakfast at AAPOR with the informal ASRO group - the directors of academic survey research organizations. Ron Langley at the University of Kentucky is NNSP's chair. John Kennedy at Indiana University is the ASRO convenor. Patty

Date: Wed, 14 Dec 2005 11:08:44 -0500 From: Richard Clark <clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU> Subject: Coordinating state-wide polling on policy issues

I am interested in convening an informal group of public opinion researchers who do state-wide polling in order to coordinate some of our instruments for comparative research. We regularly conduct general population polls in the state of Georgia, and I'd like to be able to compare Georgians' level of trust in government, attitudes about the state legislature, and opinions on some policy issues with findings from other states. If others conducting similar state-wide polls would be willing to coordinate on instrument development and to share data, we can produce a broad and comparative assessment of public opinion prior to the 2006 elections. By working together, we can all gather data on the residents of our respective states and be able to put that data into a broader context. <>If this idea interests anyone on this list, and you'd like to discuss this further, please email me off the list. Perhaps interested parties can gather for deeper discussion in Montreal this coming May.

--Rich Clark

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D. Director of Peach State Poll Manager of Survey Research Unit Carl Vinson Institute of Government University of Georgia 201 N. Milledge Avenue Athens, GA 30602 (706) 542-2736

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 15 Dec 2005 20:25:55 -0800 Reply-To: stevej@NSDSSURVEY.ORG Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Stephen M. Johnson" <stevej@NSDSSURVEY.ORG> Re: 10-point vs. 5-point scales Subject: Comments: To: Jonathan Schnyer <schnyer@VIRGINIA.EDU> Comments: cc: aapornet@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <43A1595F.9030205@virginia.edu> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

I generally agree, although the issue of the midpoint is interesting as well. I like to use it if the goal is to measure the real range of possible responses, if it is logical, and if it fan be given a label. However, I do not like a midpoint if the goal is to have respondents make a choice. I feel too many respondents will take the easy way out of such a decision if they can hook on a midpoint. By the way, when we use a 4 point scale we always record "no opinion" of " no answer" if volunteered. > My 2 cents is that 5 point scales are generally preferable, for these > reasons: 1) they have a true and easily intuited midpoint and 2) you can > attach meaningful labels to each point on the scale--done well, always a > good practice. I have only my experience to go by, however. It would be > nice to have some evidence. >> Stephen M. Johnson wrote: >>>About 10 years ago a colleague of mine and I did some experiments on this >> for our own use when constructing a national survey on risk perception. >>What we found was that people mapped the top two (and sometimes 3) points >>of 10 point scales to the top point on a 5 point scale. The same thing >> at >>the bottom of the scale. Most interesting was the finding that 4 point >>verbal scales that used terms like "somewhat agree" were mapped on both >>sides of agree/disagree scales when the term "somewhat" was not used. >>Unfortunately, we did not try and publish any of this since our interest >>at that time was the results of the survey and not survey research >> itself. >> In general I do not trust the 2,3,8,9 points of 10 point scales at all >>and today like to use 4 and 5 point scales with labels if at all >> possible. >>Best >>Steve Johnson, PhD >>President, Northwest Survey & Data Services >> >> >>>Does anyone on AAPOR-Net know of research comparing 10-point vs. 5-point >>>scales measuring quality (poor - excellent), and recommendations on how >>>data collected using these 2 scales can be merged? Or perhaps any >>>relevant work that speaks to this issue in general, e.g. how longer >>>scales should be mapped onto shorter scales? Any suggestion from you >>>would be much appreciated. Thank you. >>> >>> >>> >>>LinChiat Chang >>> >>>Opinion Research Corporation >>> >>>(609) 452-5468 >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>>Be sure to visit our new website at www.opinionresearch.com

>>> >>> >>>******************* >>> >>>Notice: This communication, including attachments, may contain >>> information >>>that is confidential and/or copyrighted, and is the property of >>>Opinion Research Corporation. It constitutes non-public information >>>intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). >>>If the reader or recipient of this communication is not the >>>intended recipient or an employee or agent of the intended recipient >>>who is responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, >>>or if you believe that you have received this communication in error, >>>please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and promptly >>>delete this e-mail, including attachments without reading or saving >>>them in any manner. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution, >>>or reproduction of this e-mail, including attachments, is prohibited >>>and may be unlawful. >>> >>> >>> >>>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >>>Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . >>>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: >>> aapornet-request@asu.edu >>> >>> >>> >>>>----->>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >>Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . >>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >> >> > > -----> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html > Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu Date: Fri. 16 Dec 2005 12:19:26 -0600 Reply-To: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>

Special Issue of POQ Now Online

Subject:

Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable Content-disposition: inline

Season's Greetings.

I am pleased to announce that a special issue of Public Opinion Quarterly (= the 5th issue of 2005) is now available at:

http://www.oxfordjournals.org/poq/special.html. Printed copies will be mailed to AAPOR members and to other POQ subscribers in the next few days.

The issue is entitled, "Polling Politics, Media and Election Campaigns." I= t

was orchestrated by guest editors Lawrence Jacobs of the University of Minnesota and Robert Shapiro of Columbia University. It contains sections on "the methods and accuracy of polling," "polling and the media" and "polls in American politics." The contributors to the issue include a number of prominent polling practitioners, journalists and academics, offering new data and reflecting upon the polling enterprise and its role i=

American democracy.

This special issue of *POQ* appears on the 25th anniversary of the journal'= s

publication of an influential symposium on "The Polls and the News Media," edited by Albert Gollin (Volume 44, Number 4, 1980). We trust that this ne= w

effort will have a similar impact. The issue was made possible by the 2004-5 AAPOR Council, who decided to plow some of the royalty funds generated by POQ back into the journal for the benefit of members and subscribers.

I would like to extend my personal thanks and congratulations to the Council, to Larry Jacobs and Bob Shapiro and to the special issue contributors.

Peter V. Miller Editor, Public Opinion Quarterly p-miller@northwestern.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Fri, 16 Dec 2005 12:35:42 -0600Reply-To:Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Peter Miller <p-miller@NORTHWESTERN.EDU>Subject:POQ Special Issue Conference, February 27Comments:To: aapornet@asu.edu

MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable Content-disposition: inline

Interested POQ special issue readers may want to circle this date:

The Center for the Study of Politics and Governance in the Humphrey Institute at the University of Minnesota will host a conference based on the forthcoming special issue of Public Opinion Quarterly on polling and campaigns. The conference, "The Crisis of Polling: Accuracy, Reporting, an= d

Campaign Uses of Public Opinion Surveys," will be held February 27th at the Humphrey Institute at the University of Minnesota and will feature original research and commentary by Don Green, Lawrence Jacobs, Frank Newport, Tom Rosenstiel, Steve Schier, Robert Shapiro, and Michael Traugott. More detailed information will follow.

Peter V. Miller Editor, Public Opinion Quarterly p-miller@northwestern.edu 847 491 5835

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Sat, 17 Dec 2005 07:31:38 -0600 Reply-To: Sarah Butler <sarahmbn@GMAIL.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Sarah Butler <sarahmbn@GMAIL.COM> Subject: Risk perception Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable Content-disposition: inline

Hello,

has anyone done research or know of research that exmaines how individuals perceive risk? In particular, I am interested in how respondents interpret the increase in side effects as expressed in percentage terms (eg if you take X your risk of Z side effect will increase by 20 percent). My fear is that no respondent really has a way to quickly figure out just how likely the risk is.

Any thoughts would be appreciated.

Sarah Butler

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Sat, 17 Dec 2005 08:42:30 -0500Reply-To:"Lavrakas, Paul" <Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Lavrakas, Paul" <Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM>Subject:Re: Risk perceptionComments:To: Sarah Butler <sarahmbn@GMAIL.COM>Comments:cc: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=us-asciiContent-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

There's extensive literature from Paul Erich and his colleagues on the perception of risk, which includes the likelihood of something bad happening and its severity were it to happen.

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Sarah Butler Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 8:32 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Risk perception

Hello,

has anyone done research or know of research that exmaines how individuals perceive risk? In particular, I am interested in how respondents interpret the increase in side effects as expressed in percentage terms (eg if you take X your risk of Z side effect will increase by 20 percent). My fear is that no respondent really has a way to quickly figure out just how likely the risk is.

Any thoughts would be appreciated.

Sarah Butler

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sat, 17 Dec 2005 08:46:04 -0500 Reply-To: "Lavrakas, Paul" <Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Lavrakas, Paul" <Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM> Subject: Re: Risk perception Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Erlich -- sorry my spell checker did me in.=20

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Lavrakas, Paul Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 8:43 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: Risk perception

There's extensive literature from Paul Erich and his colleagues on the perception of risk, which includes the likelihood of something bad happening and its severity were it to happen.

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Sarah Butler Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 8:32 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Risk perception

Hello,

has anyone done research or know of research that exmaines how individuals perceive risk? In particular, I am interested in how respondents interpret the increase in side effects as expressed in percentage terms (eg if you take X your risk of Z side effect will increase by 20 percent). My fear is that no respondent really has a way to quickly figure out just how likely the risk is.

Any thoughts would be appreciated.

Sarah Butler

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sat, 17 Dec 2005 09:08:55 -0500 Reply-To: Keith Neuman <Keith.Neuman@ENVIRONICS.CA> Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Keith Neuman <Keith.Neuman@ENVIRONICS.CA>Subject:Re: Risk perceptionComments:To: Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM, AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=us-asciiContent-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

I would also recommend the seminal work of Paul Slovic and Baruch = Fischoff, who pioneered the work in this field. I believe Paul is still = active in this area through his company Decision Research, based in = Oregon.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu> To: AAPORNET@asu.edu <AAPORNET@asu.edu> Sent: Sat Dec 17 08:46:04 2005 Subject: Re: Risk perception

Erlich -- sorry my spell checker did me in.=20

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Lavrakas, Paul Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 8:43 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: Risk perception

There's extensive literature from Paul Erich and his colleagues on the perception of risk, which includes the likelihood of something bad happening and its severity were it to happen.

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Sarah Butler Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 8:32 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Risk perception

Hello,

has anyone done research or know of research that exmaines how individuals perceive risk? In particular, I am interested in how respondents interpret the increase in side effects as expressed in percentage terms (eg if you take X your risk of Z side effect will increase by 20 percent). My fear is that no respondent really has a way to quickly figure out just how likely the risk is.

Any thoughts would be appreciated.

Sarah Butler

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: = aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Sat, 17 Dec 2005 10:03:42 -0500Reply-To:Mike Donatello <MDonatello@COX.NET>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Mike Donatello <MDonatello@COX.NET>Subject:Judging improvement in fit for CART-based modelsComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset="windows-1250"Content-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

Can anyone point me to a test statistic for judging the improvement in = fit

between two CART-derived models? For instance, if Model 1 gives me 65% correct classification and Model 2 gives me 72% correct classification, = how

do I judge whether the increase in classification accuracy is = significant?

And, how is that significance affected by the number of predictors = included?

=20

If there is no established fit statistic, would testing for the = significance

in proportion of correct classifications be appropriate?

=20

I have several texts which discuss CART details, including the original Breiman et al. work, but I can=92t find an answer in any of them. =20

=20

Thanks.

=20

Mike Donatello 703.582.5680 HYPERLINK "mailto:MDonatello@cox.net"MDonatello@cox.net

=20

--=20 No virus found in this outgoing message. Checked by AVG Free Edition. Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.1/206 - Release Date: = 12/16/2005 =20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sat. 17 Dec 2005 10:59:02 -0500 Reply-To: jwerner@jwdp.com Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Jan Werner <jwerner@JWDP.COM> Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing Subject: Re: Risk perception Comments: To: Sarah Butler <sarahmbn@GMAIL.COM> Comments: cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <525e3aff0512170531u76fd805btab48f4ed344be629@mail.gmail.com> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

There is a vast literature on this topic in Economics, Finance and the Cognitive Sciences. Amos Tversky and Daniel Kahneman became famous for their experimental work on risk perception and Kahneman shared the 2002 Nobel prize in Economics in 2002 (Tversky had died in 1996). Kahneman's Nobel lecture is certainly well worth reading by survey researchers: http://nobelprize.org/economics/laureates/2002/kahnemann-lecture.pdf

For a general discussion, read Robyn Dawes' "Rational Choice in an Uncertain World" (1988). Good collections of papers may be found in "Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases" (1982, eds. Kahneman, Slovic & Tversky) and "Heuristics and Biases" (2002, eds. Gilovich, Griffin & Kahneman).

For a more mathematical approach, start with Herbert Simon's paper "A Behavioral Model of Rational Choice" (Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 69, Feb. 1955, reprinted in his 1957 collection "Models of Man"). Jan Werner

Sarah Butler wrote: > Hello, >> has anyone done research or know of research that exmaines how individuals > perceive risk? In particular, I am interested in how respondents interpret > the increase in side effects as expressed in percentage terms (eg if you > take X your risk of Z side effect will increase by 20 percent). My fear is > that no respondent really has a way to quickly figure out just how likely > the risk is. > > Any thoughts would be appreciated. >> Sarah Butler >> --> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu >>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu Date: Sat, 17 Dec 2005 10:46:57 -0500 Reply-To: Ann Bostrom <ann.bostrom@PUBPOLICY.GATECH.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Ann Bostrom <ann.bostrom@PUBPOLICY.GATECH.EDU> Subject: Re: Risk perception Comments: To: Sarah Butler <sarahmbn@GMAIL.COM> Comments: cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <525e3aff0512170531u76fd805btab48f4ed344be629@mail.gmail.com> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable Hi Sarah. You're right to have questions. As someone mentioned - there is a lot of research on risk perception. You= might start with Paul Slovic's 2000 edited volume, The Perception of Risk, publ= ished by Earthscan. You can find recent papers by Baruch Fischhoff on his web= page, at http://www.hss.cmu.edu/departments/sds/faculty/fischhoff.html.

There are also many detailed studies on perceptions and communication of probabilities, in medical and other contexts. You might take a look in th= e Journal of Risk and Uncertainty, Risk Analysis, or the journal Medical De= cision Making (e.g., - not right on target but related: The effect of numerical statements of risk on trust and comfort with hypothetical physician risk,= AD Gurmankin, JB MBe, K Armstrong, Medical Decision Making, Vol. 24, No. 3, 265-271, 2004).

Good luck - Ann

Ann Bostrom Associate Professor School Of Public Policy Georgia Institute of Technology Office: 404-894-9629

```
Quoting Sarah Butler <sarahmbn@GMAIL.COM>:
```

```
> Hello,
>
> has anyone done research or know of research that exmaines how individu=
als
> perceive risk? In particular, I am interested in how respondents interp=
ret
> the increase in side effects as expressed in percentage terms (eg if yo=
u
> take X your risk of Z side effect will increase by 20 percent). My fear=
is
> that no respondent really has a way to quickly figure out just how like=
ly
> the risk is.
>
> Any thoughts would be appreciated.
>
> Sarah Butler
>
> -----
> Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
> Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.e=
du
>
Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
```

Date: Sat, 17 Dec 2005 22:34:39 -0800 Reply-To: Marc Sapir <marcsapir@COMCAST.NET> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Marc Sapir <marcsapir@COMCAST.NET> Subject: U.S. Congress U.S openly attacks democracy Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: multipart/mixed; boundary="Boundary (ID G5K0XjxAd9pY4hIhY3TZsA)"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

```
--Boundary (ID G5K0XjxAd9pY4hIhY3TZsA)
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable
```

Why bother with polls? Anyone who thinks the U.S. is going to let the Iraqis determine their own future through elections had best look at this vote by the House of Representatives regarding Palestine elections. This was yesterday, December 16, 05. If the U.S. doesn=92t like the outcome your elections aren=92t valid. =20 =20 Marc Sapir MD, MPH **Executive Director** Retro Poll www.retropoll.org =20-----Original Message-----From: Jeff Blankfort [mailto:jblankfort@earthlink.net]=20 Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 6:19 PM To: undisclosed-recipients: Subject: U.S threatens to cut aid to P.A if Hamas runs elections =20

=20

=20=20

*	Home
*	About
*	Contact
*	Archive
*	Audio
*	Donate

One resident killed, two injured, in Rafah shelling 19:04 || Army shells Rafah, in the southern part of the Gaza Strip 19:02 || Resident injured in Kafer Al Lubbad village, east of Tulkarem 19:00 || Six residents

arrested in Hebron 13:35 || Al Quds brigades shells Sderot 13:29 || Tanks shell Gaza 13:26 || Closure on Tulkarem resumed for the third day 13:15 || ||Nine residents arrested in the West Bank 10:43 ||=20 More Flashes

<http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> Features

```
<a href="http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/CheckpointContainer.jpg">http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/CheckpointContainer.jpg</a>=20
Qalqilya crossing new international border
```

```
<a href="http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/RafahCrossing1.jpg">http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/RafahCrossing1.jpg</a>>=20 The Rafah terminal; hopes and desperations
```

```
<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/QalandiaCheckpoint.jpg>=20
Commentary: West Bank landscaping
=20
<http://www.imemc.org/index.php?option=3Dcom_content&task=3Dblogcategory&=
id=3D
90&Itemid=3D116> more...
```

```
<http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> Opinion
```

```
<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/eastjerusalemSJ.jpg>=20
Enough is Enough
```

```
<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/Uri.jpg>=20
The Curse of the Gods
```

```
<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/christianembassy.gif>=20
Christian Zionists
=20
<http://www.imemc.org/index.php?option=3Dcom_content&task=3Dblogcategory&=
id=3D
86&Itemid=3D117> more...
<http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> Other Headlines
```

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/FatehL.gif>=20 Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/RananGissin.bmp>=20 Gissin: =93Israel feels free to conduct any needed action=94

```
<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/prisonermother.jpg>=20
Plea for life of prisoner with cancer
```

http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/netanyabombing.jpg=20 Six killed, 50 injured in Netanya bombing

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/elections1.jpg>=20 Islamic movement in Qalqilia chooses its candidates <http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> IMEMC Categories

Disengagement=20 Palestine=20 Israel=20 Jerusalem=20 Separation Wall=20 Settlements=20 World=20 Prisoners Issues=20 Refugees=20 <http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> IMEMC Specials

Advertisement <http://www.imemc.org/images/banners/pcr-logo.jpg>=20 =20

We have 197 guests online=20

```
U.S threatens to cut aid to P.A if Hamas runs elections=20
=20
<javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D=
с
om content&do pdf=3D1&id=3D15522',%20'win2',%20'status=3Dno,toolbar=3Dno,=
scrollb
ars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable=3Dyes,width=3D640,height=3D=
480.direct
ories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> PDF
=20
<javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D=
с
om content&task=3Dview&id=3D15522&Itemid=3D1&pop=3D1&page=3D0',%20'win2',=
%20'statu
s=3Dno,toolbar=3Dno,scrollbars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable=
=3Dves.widt
h=3D640,height=3D480,directories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> Print
=20
<javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D=
с
om content&task=3Demailform&id=3D15522',%20'win2',%20'status=3Dno,toolbar=
=3Dno.s
crollbars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable=3Dyes,width=3D400,he=
ight=3D250,
directories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> E-mail
=20
```

Saed Bannoura-IMEMC & Agencies - Saturday, 17 December 2005, 11:24=20

=20

Image <http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/HouseofRepresentatives.jpg> The United States House of Representatives said that Palestinian Authority (P.A) risks losing the U.S support if it allows Hamas to participate in the January 2006 Legislative elections.=20 The House also urged the Palestinian President, Mahmoud Abbas, to dismantle all armed resistance factions.=20 =20

The resolution passed Friday on 397-17 vote; it also urged Abbas to declare that he intends to dismantle all resistance factions before the vote.=20

=20

Also, the House added that the participation of Hamas in the upcoming Palestinian government will undermine the ability of the U.S to have a =93constructive relation=94 or provide future assistance to the P.A.=20 =20

Tom Lantos, a representative of the top democrat on the House International Relations Committee, said that Hamas, which is listed by the U.S state department as a terrorist organization, might win more than a quarter of the 132 seats of the Palestinian Legislative Council.

=20

On the ground, Hamas is achieving big victories in the local councils elections; it managed recently to defeat Fateh in Jenin, Nablus, and Al Biereh, while the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine won the elections in Ramallah.=20

=20

The most noticeable victory of Hamas is in Nablus, a city which was considered for a long period as the stronghold of the ruling Fateh party. =20

=20

Sean McCormack, US State Department spokesperson, said on Thursday that there is a fundamental contradiction in the participation of Hamas, =93a movement which is involved in terrorism, and wants to participate in the political life=94, according to McCormack.

=20

He added that the U.S policy on Hamas did not change, it considers the movement as a terrorist organization, and will not deal with it.=20=20

```
Meanwhile, Lantos said that Hamas is not qualified to run the election since =93the movement is considered a terrorist organization, and it denies Israel=92s rights to exist=94, according to Lantos.=20 =20
```

He also charged that there is a third reason barring the movement from participating in the upcoming elections, saying that Hamas is a =93fundamentalist and a jihadist organization=94.=20

=20

```
Also, Rep Ileanna Ros-Lehtinen, chairman of the House International
Relations subcommittee on the Middle East and Central Asia, claimed that
Hamas is trying to =93hijack the elections=94, and added that this
resolution stands against the attempts of what she described as =93the
murderous Islamic extremist organizations=94
=20
```

The U.S President George W. Bush claimed that Hamas is a terrorist organization which is =93preparing for a future of oppression and = misery.=94

Related...

- * Peres: =93Jerusalem will remain the united capital of Israel=94
- * Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party
- * Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party
- * Tr=F8ndelag County decides to boycott Israeli products
- * Hamas gains unprecedented victory in Nablus
- * Yedioth Ahronoth poll: =9349% of Israelis support dividing Jerusalem=94

* Western diplomats fear Israel will partially implement convoys deal

=20

```
<http://www.imemc.org>=20
Copyright =A9 2003 - 2005 Middle East Media Center=20
Our Staff | About | Link Us=20
=20
=20
```

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

--Boundary_(ID_G5K0XjxAd9pY4hIhY3TZsA) Content-type: text/plain; name="ATT00058.txt" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable Content-disposition: attachment; filename="ATT00058.txt"

So much for democratic voting. In Iraq it's okay, but in Palestine,=20 Lantos and the Israeli occupied Congress don't approve.

http://www.imemc.org/index.php?option=3Dcom_content&task=3Dview&id=3D1552= 2&Itemid=3D1=20 <http://www.imemc.org/index.php?option=3Dcom_content&task=3Dview&id=3D155= 22&Itemid=3D1>

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

--Boundary_(ID_G5K0XjxAd9pY4hIhY3TZsA)--

Date: Sun, 18 Dec 2005 05:33:24 -0700 Reply-To: Jason Boxt <jboxt@GLOBALSTRATEGYGROUP.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Jason Boxt <jboxt@GLOBALSTRATEGYGROUP.COM> Subject: Re: U.S. Congress U.S openly attacks democracy Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

To whoever runs this listserve:

=20

I would kindly ask that you please enforce a stronger policy of not = allowing political diatribes and ideological discourse. We have = repeatedly had to deal with certain people expressing political views = when passing around news articles, particularly when they have NOTHING = to do with public opinion research. I go to several newssites when I = want "news." I don't belong to aapornet to be exposed to dialogue about = whatever political injustices some people believe are being committed - = regardless of whether I agree with them. =20

If you continue to allow these emails to be circulated by certain = people, I would kindly ask you to remove my name from this list. I'll = just spend more time on Mystery Pollster and reading Public Opinion = Pros. =20 Regards,

=20

Jason Boxt

From: AAPORNET on behalf of Marc Sapir Sent: Sun 12/18/2005 1:34 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: U.S. Congress U.S openly attacks democracy

Why bother with polls? Anyone who thinks the U.S. is going to let the Iraqis determine their own future through elections had best look at this vote by the House of Representatives regarding Palestine elections. This was yesterday, December 16, 05. If the U.S. doesn't like the outcome your elections aren't valid.=20

Marc Sapir MD, MPH Executive Director Retro Poll www.retropoll.org

-----Original Message-----From: Jeff Blankfort [mailto:jblankfort@earthlink.net] Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 6:19 PM To: undisclosed-recipients: Subject: U.S threatens to cut aid to P.A if Hamas runs elections

*	Home
*	About
*	Contact

- * Archive * Audio
- * Donate

One resident killed, two injured, in Rafah shelling 19:04 || Army shells Rafah, in the southern part of the Gaza Strip 19:02 || Resident injured in Kafer Al Lubbad village, east of Tulkarem 19:00 || Six residents arrested in Hebron 13:35 || Al Quds brigades shells Sderot 13:29 || Tanks shell Gaza 13:26 || Closure on Tulkarem resumed for the third day 13:15 || ||Nine residents arrested in the West Bank 10:43 || More Flashes

<http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> Features

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/CheckpointContainer.jpg> Qalqilya crossing new international border

http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/RafahCrossing1.jpg
The Rafah terminal; hopes and desperations

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/QalandiaCheckpoint.jpg> Commentary: West Bank landscaping

<http://www.imemc.org/index.php?option=3Dcom_content&task=3Dblogcategory&= id=3D

90&Itemid=3D116> more...

<http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> Opinion

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/eastjerusalemSJ.jpg> Enough is Enough

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/Uri.jpg> The Curse of the Gods <http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/christianembassy.gif> Christian Zionists

<http://www.imemc.org/index.php?option=3Dcom_content&task=3Dblogcategory&= id=3D 86&Itemid=3D117> more...

<http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> Other Headlines

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/FatehL.gif> Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party

http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/RananGissin.bmp Gissin: "Israel feels free to conduct any needed action"

http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/prisonermother.jpg

Plea for life of prisoner with cancer

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/netanyabombing.jpg> Six killed, 50 injured in Netanya bombing

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/elections1.jpg> Islamic movement in Qalqilia chooses its candidates <http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> IMEMC Categories

Disengagement Palestine Israel Jerusalem Separation Wall Settlements World Prisoners Issues Refugees <http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> IMEMC Specials

Advertisement http://www.imemc.org/images/banners/pcr-logo.jpg

We have 197 guests online

U.S threatens to cut aid to P.A if Hamas runs elections

<javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D= c om_content&do_pdf=3D1&id=3D15522',%20'win2',%20'status=3Dno,toolbar=3Dno,= scrollb ars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable=3Dyes,width=3D640,height=3D= 480,direct ories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> PDF

<javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D= c om_content&task=3Dview&id=3D15522&Itemid=3D1&pop=3D1&page=3D0',%20'win2',= %20'statu s=3Dno,toolbar=3Dno,scrollbars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable= =3Dyes,widt h=3D640,height=3D480,directories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> Print <javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D= c om_content&task=3Demailform&id=3D15522',%20'win2',%20'status=3Dno,toolbar= =3Dno,s crollbars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable=3Dyes,width=3D400,he= ight=3D250, directories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> E-mail

Saed Bannoura-IMEMC & Agencies - Saturday, 17 December 2005, 11:24 =20

Image <http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/HouseofRepresentatives.jpg> The United States House of Representatives said that Palestinian Authority (P.A) risks losing the U.S support if it allows Hamas to participate in the January 2006 Legislative elections. The House also urged the Palestinian President, Mahmoud Abbas, to dismantle all armed resistance factions.

The resolution passed Friday on 397-17 vote; it also urged Abbas to declare that he intends to dismantle all resistance factions before the vote.

Also, the House added that the participation of Hamas in the upcoming Palestinian government will undermine the ability of the U.S to have a "constructive relation" or provide future assistance to the P.A.

Tom Lantos, a representative of the top democrat on the House International Relations Committee, said that Hamas, which is listed by the U.S state department as a terrorist organization, might win more than a quarter of the 132 seats of the Palestinian Legislative Council.

On the ground, Hamas is achieving big victories in the local councils elections; it managed recently to defeat Fateh in Jenin, Nablus, and Al Biereh, while the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine won the elections in Ramallah.

The most noticeable victory of Hamas is in Nablus, a city which was considered for a long period as the stronghold of the ruling Fateh party. =20

Sean McCormack, US State Department spokesperson, said on Thursday that there is a fundamental contradiction in the participation of Hamas, "a movement which is involved in terrorism, and wants to participate in the political life", according to McCormack.

He added that the U.S policy on Hamas did not change, it considers the movement as a terrorist organization, and will not deal with it.

Meanwhile, Lantos said that Hamas is not qualified to run the election since "the movement is considered a terrorist organization, and it denies Israel's rights to exist", according to Lantos.

He also charged that there is a third reason barring the movement from participating in the upcoming elections, saying that Hamas is a "fundamentalist and a jihadist organization".

Also, Rep Ileanna Ros-Lehtinen, chairman of the House International Relations subcommittee on the Middle East and Central Asia, claimed that Hamas is trying to "hijack the elections", and added that this resolution stands against the attempts of what she described as "the murderous Islamic extremist organizations"

The U.S President George W. Bush claimed that Hamas is a terrorist organization which is "preparing for a future of oppression and misery." Related...

- * Peres: "Jerusalem will remain the united capital of Israel"
- * Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party
- * Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party
- * Tr=F8ndelag County decides to boycott Israeli products
- * Hamas gains unprecedented victory in Nablus
- * Yedioth Ahronoth poll: "49% of Israelis support dividing Jerusalem"
- * Western diplomats fear Israel will partially implement convoys deal

<http://www.imemc.org> Copyright =A9 2003 - 2005 Middle East Media Center Our Staff | About | Link Us =20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: = aapornet-request@asu.edu

file:///C/...POR%20STAFF/Marketing%20and%20Communications/Website/2022%20Redesign/aapornet%20history/2005/LOG_2005_12.txt[12/8/2023 9:06:45 AM]

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Sun, 18 Dec 2005 20:59:10 -0800 Reply-To: stevej@NSDSSURVEY.ORG Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: "Stephen M. Johnson" <stevej@NSDSSURVEY.ORG> Subject: Re: Risk perception Comments: To: Sarah Butler <sarahmbn@GMAIL.COM> Comments: cc: aapornet@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <525e3aff0512170531u76fd805btab48f4ed344be629@mail.gmail.com> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

Once again the collective wisdom on this site came through very well. Paul Slovic is still very much active. In fact, he and I are in the middle of some focus group work on this exact question. Paul and Baruch Fischhoff did great work on this subject in the 80's and both continue to be active. Decision Research has had several million dollars in funding for work that bears directly on this question and a quick search under Paul's name would yield hundreds of citations. By the way, the quick answer to the original intent of the question is that people do not do a good job of calculating risk, but instead operate through rules (heuristics) and a set of pretty well understood biases. The perceptions of risk and benefit can be manipulated in any direction you wish by the type of information given (framing effect, however, you can not easily make both risk and benefit perceptions both go up or down at the same time. I should also mention that Paul and his colleagues have done quite a large number of surveys attempting to measure risk perception along many domains.

Best

Steve Johnson, PhD President, Northwest Survey & Data Services & Research Associate, Decision Research

- > Hello,
- >

> has anyone done research or know of research that exmaines how individuals

> perceive risk? In particular, I am interested in how respondents interpret

> the increase in side effects as expressed in percentage terms (eg if you

> take X your risk of Z side effect will increase by 20 percent). My fear is

> that no respondent really has a way to quickly figure out just how likely

> the risk is.

>

> Any thoughts would be appreciated.

> > Sarah Butler

>

> -----

- > Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/
- > Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .
- > Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
- >

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Mon, 19 Dec 2005 10:29:09 -0500Reply-To:Mick Couper Sender:AAPORNET AAPORNET AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Mick Couper Mick Couper MCouper@UMICH.EDU>Subject:Re: Risk perceptionComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.edu, Sarah Butler Sarahmbn@GMAIL.COM>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=us-asciiContent-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

For examples of research addressed at helping individuals make the kinds of decisions you are talking about, take a look at the Center for Behavioral and Decision Sciences in Medicine: http://cbdsm.org/

Their work focuses in part on how to present the information in such a way to reduce the kinds of judgmental biases identified in the literature already cited in response to your query. =20 Mick Couper

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Sarah Butler Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 8:32 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Risk perception

Hello,

has anyone done research or know of research that exmaines how individuals perceive risk? In particular, I am interested in how respondents interpret the increase in side effects as expressed in percentage terms (eg if you take X your risk of Z side effect will increase by 20 percent). My fear is that no respondent really has a way to quickly figure out just how likely the risk is.

Any thoughts would be appreciated.

Sarah Butler

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 19 Dec 2005 11:07:57 -0500 Reply-To: Jonathan Trichter <jtrichter@PACE.EDU> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Jonathan Trichter <jtrichter@PACE.EDU> Organization: Pace Poll, Pace University Re: U.S. Congress U.S openly attacks democracy Subject: Comments: To: Jason Boxt <jboxt@GLOBALSTRATEGYGROUP.COM>, AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <5EF7AFEEC23CA144A46795AD608DB7A67CC686@VS5.EXCHPROD.USA.NET> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable I couldn=92t agree more with Mr. Boxt. Putting aside the character of = the content in the original posting at issue, one would hope that = good-thinking people can agree that there is a place for such discussions, and that = this is not that place. My e-mail in-box is full enough. So enough. Jonathan Trichter Director, Pace Poll 212-346-1141 -----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Jason Boxt Sent: Sunday, December 18, 2005 7:33 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: U.S. Congress U.S openly attacks democracy To whoever runs this listserve: =20I would kindly ask that you please enforce a stronger policy of not = allowing political diatribes and ideological discourse. We have repeatedly had = to deal with certain people expressing political views when passing around = news articles, particularly when they have NOTHING to do with public opinion research. I go to several newssites when I want "news." I don't belong = to aapornet to be exposed to dialogue about whatever political injustices = some people believe are being committed - regardless of whether I agree with

them. =20 If you continue to allow these emails to be circulated by certain = people, I would kindly ask you to remove my name from this list. I'll just spend = more time on Mystery Pollster and reading Public Opinion Pros. =20 Regards, =20 Jason Boxt

From: AAPORNET on behalf of Marc Sapir Sent: Sun 12/18/2005 1:34 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: U.S. Congress U.S openly attacks democracy

Why bother with polls? Anyone who thinks the U.S. is going to let the Iraqis determine their own future through elections had best look at this vote by the House of Representatives regarding Palestine elections. This was yesterday, December 16, 05. If the U.S. doesn't like the outcome your elections aren't valid.=20

Marc Sapir MD, MPH Executive Director Retro Poll www.retropoll.org

-----Original Message-----From: Jeff Blankfort [mailto:jblankfort@earthlink.net] Sent: Saturday, December 17, 2005 6:19 PM To: undisclosed-recipients: Subject: U.S threatens to cut aid to P.A if Hamas runs elections

- * Home
- * About * Contac
- * Contact * Archive
- * Audio
- * Donate

One resident killed, two injured, in Rafah shelling 19:04 || Army shells Rafah, in the southern part of the Gaza Strip 19:02 || Resident injured in Kafer Al Lubbad village, east of Tulkarem 19:00 || Six residents arrested in Hebron 13:35 || Al Quds brigades shells Sderot 13:29 || Tanks shell Gaza 13:26 || Closure on Tulkarem resumed for the third day 13:15 || ||Nine residents arrested in the West Bank 10:43 || More Flashes

<http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> Features

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/CheckpointContainer.jpg> Qalqilya crossing new international border

http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/RafahCrossing1.jpg
The Rafah terminal; hopes and desperations

http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/QalandiaCheckpoint.jpgCommentary: West Bank landscaping

<http://www.imemc.org/index.php?option=3Dcom_content&task=3Dblogcategory&= id=3D 90&Itemid=3D116> more...

<http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> Opinion

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/eastjerusalemSJ.jpg> Enough is Enough

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/Uri.jpg> The Curse of the Gods

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/christianembassy.gif> Christian Zionists

<http://www.imemc.org/index.php?option=3Dcom_content&task=3Dblogcategory&= id=3D 86&Itemid=3D117> more...

 $<\!\!http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif\!\!>\!Other Headlines$

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/FatehL.gif> Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/RananGissin.bmp>

Gissin: "Israel feels free to conduct any needed action"

http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/prisonermother.jpg

Plea for life of prisoner with cancer

http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/netanyabombing.jpg> Six killed, 50 injured in Netanya bombing

<http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/elections1.jpg> Islamic movement in Qalqilia chooses its candidates <http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> IMEMC Categories

Disengagement Palestine Israel Jerusalem Separation Wall Settlements World Prisoners Issues Refugees <http://www.imemc.org/templates/imemc/images/blob.gif> IMEMC Specials

Advertisement http://www.imemc.org/images/banners/pcr-logo.jpg

We have 197 guests online

U.S threatens to cut aid to P.A if Hamas runs elections

```
<javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D=
om content&do pdf=3D1&id=3D15522',%20'win2',%20'status=3Dno,toolbar=3Dno,=
scrollb
ars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable=3Dyes,width=3D640,height=3D=
480, direct
ories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> PDF
<javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D=
с
om content&task=3Dview&id=3D15522&Itemid=3D1&pop=3D1&page=3D0',%20'win2',=
%20'statu
s=3Dno,toolbar=3Dno,scrollbars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable=
=3Dyes,widt
h=3D640,height=3D480,directories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> Print
<javascript:void%20window.open('http://www.imemc.org/index2.php?option=3D=
с
om content&task=3Demailform&id=3D15522',%20'win2',%20'status=3Dno,toolbar=
=3Dno,s
```

crollbars=3Dyes,titlebar=3Dno,menubar=3Dno,resizable=3Dyes,width=3D400,he= ight=3D250, directories=3Dno,location=3Dno');> E-mail

Saed Bannoura-IMEMC & Agencies - Saturday, 17 December 2005, 11:24 =20

Image <http://www.imemc.org/images/stories/HouseofRepresentatives.jpg> The United States House of Representatives said that Palestinian Authority (P.A) risks losing the U.S support if it allows Hamas to participate in the January 2006 Legislative elections. The House also urged the Palestinian President, Mahmoud Abbas, to dismantle all armed resistance factions.

The resolution passed Friday on 397-17 vote; it also urged Abbas to declare that he intends to dismantle all resistance factions before the vote.

Also, the House added that the participation of Hamas in the upcoming Palestinian government will undermine the ability of the U.S to have a "constructive relation" or provide future assistance to the P.A.

Tom Lantos, a representative of the top democrat on the House International Relations Committee, said that Hamas, which is listed by the U.S state department as a terrorist organization, might win more than a quarter of the 132 seats of the Palestinian Legislative Council.

On the ground, Hamas is achieving big victories in the local councils elections; it managed recently to defeat Fateh in Jenin, Nablus, and Al Biereh, while the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine won the elections in Ramallah.

The most noticeable victory of Hamas is in Nablus, a city which was considered for a long period as the stronghold of the ruling Fateh party. =20

Sean McCormack, US State Department spokesperson, said on Thursday that there is a fundamental contradiction in the participation of Hamas, "a movement which is involved in terrorism, and wants to participate in the political life", according to McCormack.

He added that the U.S policy on Hamas did not change, it considers the movement as a terrorist organization, and will not deal with it.

Meanwhile, Lantos said that Hamas is not qualified to run the election since "the movement is considered a terrorist organization, and it denies Israel's rights to exist", according to Lantos.

He also charged that there is a third reason barring the movement from participating in the upcoming elections, saying that Hamas is a "fundamentalist and a jihadist organization".

Also, Rep Ileanna Ros-Lehtinen, chairman of the House International Relations subcommittee on the Middle East and Central Asia, claimed that Hamas is trying to "hijack the elections", and added that this resolution stands against the attempts of what she described as "the murderous Islamic extremist organizations"

The U.S President George W. Bush claimed that Hamas is a terrorist organization which is "preparing for a future of oppression and misery." Related...

- * Peres: "Jerusalem will remain the united capital of Israel"
- * Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party
- * Fateh movement to discuss unity with the offshoot party
- * Tr=F8ndelag County decides to boycott Israeli products
- * Hamas gains unprecedented victory in Nablus
- * Yedioth Ahronoth poll: "49% of Israelis support dividing Jerusalem"

* Western diplomats fear Israel will partially implement convoys deal

<http://www.imemc.org> Copyright =A9 2003 - 2005 Middle East Media Center Our Staff | About | Link Us =20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: = aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: = aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Mon, 19 Dec 2005 14:20:11 -0700Reply-To:TimothyJames Beebe <beebe.timothy@MAYO.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:TimothyJames Beebe <beebe.timothy@MAYO.EDU>Subject:Request for Assistance

MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Hello,

My apologies for this long-winded message but I have a couple of polling=20 questions for you all. Please be good respondents.

I=92ve been asked to pull together a workshop on survey methods for the=20 Annual Research Meeting of AcademyHealth, the major society for health=20 services researchers, policy analysts, and practitioners. Its membership=20 is composed of public policymakers, business decision makers, health=20 services researchers, policy analysts, economists, sociologists, political=20 scientists, consultants, clinicians, and students. For those of you that=20 don=92t know about the meeting, it attracts over 2000 members, including=20 representatives of funding agencies like NIH, AHRQ, and RWJF. Next year=92s= =20

meeting is to be held in Seattle on June 25-27, 2006. I=92ve tentatively=20 titled the workshop, =93Issues and Advances in Health Survey Research=20 Methods.=94

With that context, the purpose of this message is to ask you for your input =

on two questions provided below. I=92m mainly interested in issues germane =

to health-related surveys but I welcome all opinions.

What are the most pressing issues facing survey research in your view?
 What are the most promising advances and/or innovations in survey=20 research either currently being employed or just on the horizon?

I will use your input to guide the development of the workshop. I may even =

follow up with an invitation to act as a workshop panelist. Remember,=20 workshop attendees will have a general interest in survey methods but will=20 likely not have a depth of expertise in any one area. As such, they may=20 not be all that enamored with super technical matters. It is also a good=20 opportunity to convey potential lines of inquiry to prospective funders.

Thanks in advance for your input. Let me know if you have questions.

Regards,

Tim

Timothy J. Beebe, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of Health Services Research Mayo Clinic College of Medicine

Director, Survey Research Center Department of Health Sciences Research Mayo Clinic 200 First Street SW Rochester, MN 55905 Tel: (507) 538-4606 Fax: (507) 284-1180 E-mail: beebe.timothy@mayo.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Mon, 19 Dec 2005 16:47:03 -0500 Reply-To: Carl M Ramirez <RamirezC@GAO.GOV> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Carl M Ramirez <RamirezC@GAO.GOV> Subject: Vacancy Announcement MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable Content-disposition: inline

U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) Senior Research Programmer/Analyst Announcement Number: N-06-ARM-2210-24=20 Open period: 12/16/2005 - 01/17/2006 Salary: \$69,800.00 TO \$101,600.00 Location: Washington, DC

For the full announcement for this position and details on how to apply, = see:

http://www.gao.gov/jobopp.htm=20

DESCRIPTION:

Senior Research Programmer/Analysts work in a multidisciplinary environment= in support of policy research on a wide range of issues, including, but = not limited to, education, military manpower, homeland security and = justice, international affairs and trade, and natural/environmental = resources. Senior Research Programmer/Analysts work closely with research = staff in creating, managing, and analyzing large and complex data files. = Candidates will be expected to acquire a basic understanding of the = research topic, have a thorough knowledge of the data involved, possess = effective interpersonal skills, and have the ability to meet deadlines = while working concurrently on multiple projects. Individuals will also be = expected to provide advice and guidance in determining reliability of = data, report on the analysis and interpretation of results, fully document = results of analyses, and brief officials within and outside of GAO.

QUALIFICATIONS:

Candidates must have at least one year of specialized experience that = demonstrates the ability to design & conduct complex analyses of computeriz=

ed information; provide advice & guidance to other staff in reporting, = interpreting, & documenting results of computerized data analyses; brief = top-level officials on the results of computerized data analyses and = perform major segments of the work with minimal supervision to meet = overall objectives.

Successful candidates will have at least three years of experience in = database and statistical programming, strong analytical and communication = skills, and exceptional problem-solving abilities. Degree in quantitative = social sciences, public policy, statistics, or related field recommended. = Knowledge of SAS, SPSS, or other statistical analysis software packages is = strongly preferred. Extensive experience in large administrative = databases such as census or survey data, military personnel, or federal = funding database desired.

To qualify applicants MUST meet the requirement(s) of the selective = placement factor: Demonstrated knowledge and experience in the use of = programming languages in executing moderately complex analyses of primary = and or secondary data.

Experience outside of GAO should be comparable to typical GAO analyst = assignments, which include performing the following with minimal supervisio= n:

-researching and developing plans that comprise a research design and a = project plan;=20

-data-gathering activities such as reviewing documents, conducting = interviews, and summarizing facts in writing;

-applying analytical methods to data, such as determining patterns, = trends, or irregularities and drawing conclusions;

-maintaining proper documentation in an appropriate file management = system;=20

-writing report segments; and

-presenting results, methods, and findings orally.

EMPLOYER INFORMATION:

The U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) performs studies for the = Congress that evaluate federal programs for the purpose of improving = government operations and assisting the Congress in its oversight of the = executive branch. GAO is at the epicenter of government decision-making, = helping Congress make informed decisions by providing it with information = on policy issues and constructive recommendations to improve government = operations. We testify before the Congress several hundred times a year, = are cited regularly in the national news media, and are the second most = referenced organization in the world. When we talk, others listen! GAO = headquarters is located at 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C., near the = Judiciary Square metro station. GAO was rated one of "The Best Places to = Work in the Federal Government 2005." This position is located in GAO's Applied Research and Methods (ARM) Team. = Please visit our website at http://www.gao.gov for additional information = on GAO and its teams.

For more information about this position, contact:

Madelyn Daniels

202-512-3429

DanielsM@gao.gov=20

Carl Ramirez Sr. Design Methodologist Center for Design, Methods & Analysis U.S. Government Accountability Office Phone: (202) 512-3721 Fax: (202) 512-3938 Email: ramirezc@gao.gov

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 12:03:21 +0000Reply-To:Iain.NOBLE@DFES.GSI.GOV.UKSender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Iain Noble <Iain.NOBLE@DFES.GSI.GOV.UK>Subject:Renewing membership onlineComments:To:AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=us-asciiContent-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

I've=20been=20trying=20to=20do=20this=20for=20a=20while=20now=20and=20have= =20been=20having problems.=20Basically=20when=20I=20try=20to=20use=20the=20'No=20changes'=20= route=20(Option=201) I=20keep=20getting=20404=20error=20messages=20when=20I=20try=20to=20log=20= in.=20

 $\label{eq:In=20the=20end=20I=20had=20to=20use=20Option=202=20and=20put=20in=20all=20=my=20details=20again.$

Anybody=20else=20got=20this=20problem?=20Or=20are=20you=20just=20picking=20= on=20us=20Brits? Look,=20we've=20forgotten=20all=20about=20that=20business=20with=20the=20t= ea=20these=20days and=20we=20don't=20hold=20it=20against=20you=20any=20longer.=20Trying=20to= =20get=20us=20to=20believe that=20Bud=20is=20actually=20a=20beer=20is=20another=20matter=20though.

Iain=20Noble=20 Department=20for=20Education=20and=20Skills=20 Creating=20opportunity,=20releasing=20potential,=20achieving=20excellence=20=

Strategic=20Analysis:=20RMI=201=20(YCS=20and=20Next=20Steps=20Study),=20 W606,=20Moorfoot,=20Sheffield,=20S1=204PQ.=20 0114=20259=201180=20 For=20information=20about=20the=20Next=20Steps=20Study=20go=20to www.dfes.gov.uk/research=20

This=20footnote=20also=20confirms=20that=20this=20email=20message=20has=20= been=20swept=20by MIMEsweeper=20for=20the=20presence=20of=20computer=20viruses.

The=20original=20of=20this=20email=20was=20scanned=20for=20viruses=20by=20= the=20Government=20Secure=20Intranet=20(GSi)=20virus=20scanning=20service=20= supplied=20exclusively=20by=20Cable=20&=20Wireless=20in=20partnership=20wi= th=20MessageLabs.

On=20leaving=20the=20GSi=20this=20email=20was=20certified=20virus-free

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 10:11:18 -0500Reply-To:Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>Subject:Post stratification weightingComments:To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>In-Reply-To:<AAPORNET%200512162100016762.E81F@LISTS.ASU.EDU>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;charset=us-ascii;format=flowed

Colleagues,

I am preparing a new course and I am looking for one or more concise treatments of post stratification weighting -- written at the level that first or second year graduate students would find accessible. I am especially interested in:

(a) readings that offer practical guidance for how to deal with unrepresentative samples, ideally providing step by step instructions.

(b) readings that explain the implications for statistical inference.

Any other tips or suggestions for the most effective way to help students learn about weighting issues would be most welcome.

Thanks! Eric

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 08:45:59 -0700Reply-To:"Margaret R. Roller" <rmr@ROLLERRESEARCH.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Margaret R. Roller" <rmr@ROLLERRESEARCH.COM>Subject:Re: community satisfaction questions

We conduct a great deal of research for the American Cancer Society with its community-level volunteers. I am not sure exactly what you are after, but looking at other/multiple types of community-level volunteers may be a way to get at a sense of community satisfaction.

Margret R. Roller Roller Marketing Research rmr@rollerresearch.com www.rollerresearch.com

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 10:33:37 -0600Reply-To:Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>Subject:Re: Renewing membership onlineComments:To: Iain.NOBLE@DFES.GSI.GOV.UK, AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Good Morning,=20

We have checked the AAPOR on-line membership renewal system in the AAPOR Executive Offices and everything appears to be in good working order. We specifically checked Mr. Noble's information and were able to enter with out any problems. It may have been a temporary situation. =20

As a reminder, when renewing your AAPOR membership, you list your initials and your AAPOR Membership Number. Mine would be MF12345. Then the password is always AAPOR1. =20

If anyone else is experiencing problems with the on-line renew process, please feel free to contact me directly. =20

Thank you and Happy Holidays. Merry Christmas even! =20

Michael P. Flanagan, CAE=20 Executive Coordinator=20 American Association for Public Opinion Research =20 P. O. Box 14263=20 Lenexa, KS 66285-4263=20 (913) 495-4470=20 FAX: (913) 599-5340 =20 E-Mail: Mflanagan@goAMP.com =20 www.aapor.org <http://www.aapor.org> =20

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Iain Noble Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2005 6:03 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Renewing membership online

I've been trying to do this for a while now and have been having problems. Basically when I try to use the 'No changes' route (Option 1) I keep getting 404 error messages when I try to log in.=20

In the end I had to use Option 2 and put in all my details again.

Anybody else got this problem? Or are you just picking on us Brits? Look, we've forgotten all about that business with the tea these days and we don't hold it against you any longer. Trying to get us to believe that Bud is actually a beer is another matter though.

Iain Noble=20 Department for Education and Skills=20 Creating opportunity, releasing potential, achieving excellence=20 Strategic Analysis: RMI 1 (YCS and Next Steps Study),=20 W606, Moorfoot, Sheffield, S1 4PQ.=20 0114 259 1180=20 For information about the Next Steps Study go to www.dfes.gov.uk/research=20

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by MIMEsweeper for the presence of computer viruses.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSi) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs.

On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus-free

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 11:22:06 -0500Reply-To:"Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV>Subject:TSMII Early Registration & AccommodationsComments:To: aapornet@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset="us-ascii"Content-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

> Early registration for the Second International Conference on

> Telephone Survey Methodology (TSMII) closes tomorrow. You can

> register online at:http://www.amstat.org/meetings/tsmii/2006 (Under

> Conference Information - Registration).

>=20

> At this time the block of rooms reserved at the conference rate at the

> Hyatt Regency Miami is full. Arrangements are being made to secure

- > rooms at an overflow hotel. Our records show that a number of people
- > have reservations at the hotel but have not registered for the

> conference. If you do not plan to attend the conference, but have a

> room reserved at the Hyatt Regency, please cancel that reservation.

> Thank you.

>=20

>=20

>=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:	Tue, 20 Dec 2005 12:00:21 -0500
Reply-To:	Warren Mitofsky <mitofsky@mindspring.com></mitofsky@mindspring.com>
Sender:	AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>
From:	Warren Mitofsky <mitofsky@mindspring.com></mitofsky@mindspring.com>
Subject:	Re: Post stratification weighting
Comments: To: Eric Plutzer <exp12@psu.edu>, AAPORNET@asu.edu</exp12@psu.edu>	
In-Reply-To: <5.1.0.14.2.20051220100120.035172a0@mail.psu.edu>	
MIME-version: 1.0	
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed	

There is literature on unrepresentative samples because interviewing was omitted on some days of the week. The articles are old, but they do get to your question (a). It was done by Politz and Simon. JASA 44 (1949) 9-31 and 45 (1950) 136-137 discuss how to deal with these sampling biases. These articles also are discussed in Cochran's Sampling Techniques. warren mitofsky

At 10:11 AM 12/20/2005, Eric Plutzer wrote: >Colleagues,

>

>I am preparing a new course and I am looking for one or > more concise treatments of post stratification weighting -- written > at the level that first or second year graduate students would find > accessible. I am especially interested in: >>(a) readings that offer practical guidance for how to deal with >unrepresentative samples, ideally providing step by step instructions. >>(b) readings that explain the implications for statistical inference. >>Any other tips or suggestions for the most effective way to help >students learn about weighting issues would be most welcome. >>>Thanks! >Eric > >Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ >Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

 $file: ///C/...POR\% 20STAFF/Marketing\% 20 and\% 20 Communications/Website/2022\% 20 Redesign/aapornet\% 20 history/2005/LOG_2005_12.txt [12/8/2023 9:06:45 AM]$

>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 11:29:38 -0700Reply-To:Mark Blumenthal <mmblum@AOL.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Mark Blumenthal <mmblum@AOL.COM>Subject:Response rate seasonality?

My colleagues have often recommended that clients avoid fielding surveys in the latter half of December out of concern that with shopping, holiday parties and vacations, potential respondents will be harder to reach. I am not sure, however, that I have ever seen hard evidence on this point.

Can anyone point me to papers, articles assessing whether seasonality exists in response and contact rates, and specifically whether contact or response rates tend to decline at this time of year?

Thank you! Mark Blumenthal www.mysterypollster.com

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 13:42:48 -0600Reply-To:Rob Santos <rsantos@NUSTATS.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Rob Santos <rsantos@NUSTATS.COM>Subject:Re: Post stratification weightingComments:To: Warren Mitofsky <mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM>, AAPORNET@asu.eduIn-Reply-To:<7.0.0.16.2.20051220115238.04388cb8@mindspring.com>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset=us-asciiContent-transfer-encoding:7bit

Fritz Scheuren wrote a terrific dissertation on weighting as I recall... (GWU, in the 80s, I think) (Fritz, you out there?)

Rob

-----Original Message-----From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Warren Mitofsky Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2005 11:00 AM To: AAPORNET@asu.edu Subject: Re: Post stratification weighting There is literature on unrepresentative samples because interviewing was omitted on some days of the week. The articles are old, but they do get to your question (a). It was done by Politz and Simon. JASA 44 (1949) 9-31 and 45 (1950) 136-137 discuss how to deal with these sampling biases. These articles also are discussed in Cochran's Sampling Techniques. warren mitofsky

At 10:11 AM 12/20/2005, Eric Plutzer wrote: >Colleagues,

> I am preparing a new course and I am looking for one or
> more concise treatments of post stratification weighting -- written
> at the level that first or second year graduate students would find
> accessible. I am especially interested in:
> >(a) readings that offer practical guidance for how to deal with
> unrepresentative samples, ideally providing step by step instructions.
> (b) readings that explain the implications for statistical inference.

>Any other tips or suggestions for the most effective way to help >students learn about weighting issues would be most welcome.

> >

>Thanks!

>Eric

>____

>-----

>Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/

>Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:30:54 -0500Reply-To:"Lavrakas, Paul" <Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Lavrakas, Paul" <Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM>Subject:Statistical opening at Nielsen Media ResearchComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.edu, SRMSNET@LISTSERV.UMD.EDUComments:cc: "Feeney, Kelly" <Kelly.Feeney@nielsenmedia.com>MIME-version:1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Please pass this along to any qualified person who might be interested.

=20

=20

ASSOCIATE RESEARCH STATISTICIAN

=20

A full time position based in the Schaumburg IL (Chicago) area:

=20

This position is responsible for producing local estimates for various media-related characteristics including cable, satellite, DVD and VCR.

=20

The main objectives of this position are:

=20

* Employ established methodologies for producing estimates using Nielsen samples

* Develop and/or update documentation for procedures

* Support research on estimates, sources and methods

* Contribute to research projects relating to local cable provider estimates and local cable network coverage estimates

=20

=20

Required qualifications:

=20

- * BS degree in Statistics or Mathematics
- * Experience with statistical research, sampling and weighting
- * Computer experience including PC spreadsheets and statistical packages
- * Analytic and numerical skills with great emphasis on accuracy
- * Good communication and time management skills

=20

=20

Nielsen Media Research is an equal opportunity employer.

=20

For more information or to apply to this position, please contact www.nielsenmedia.com http://www.nielsenmedia.com/ , go to Employment Opportunities (Non-Field jobs) and reference requisition # 200502907.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:10:42 -0600Reply-To:Mike Flanagan Sender:AAPORNET AAPORNET AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Mike Flanagan Subject:Herriot Award NominationsComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain;Content-transfer-encoding:quoted-printable

HERRIOT AWARD NOMINATIONS SOUGHT

=20

Nominations are sought for the 2006 Roger Herriot Award for Innovation in Federal Statistics. The award is intended to reflect the special characteristics that marked Roger Herriot's career:

=20

- * Dedication to the issues of measurement;
- * Improvements in the efficiency of data collection programs; and
- * Improvements and use of statistical data for policy analysis.

=20

The award is not limited to senior members of an organization, nor is it to be considered as a culmination of a long period of service. Individuals at all levels within Federal agencies, other government organizations, nonprofits, the private sector, and the academic community may be nominated on the basis of their contributions.

=20

The recipient of the 2006 Roger Herriot Award will be chosen by a

committee comprising representatives of the Social Statistics Section and Government Statistics Section of the American Statistical Association and the Washington Statistical Society. Roger Herriot was associated with and strongly supportive of these organizations during his career. The award consists of an honorarium and a framed citation.

=20

Joseph Waksberg (Westat), Monroe Sirken (National Center for Health Statistics), Constance Citro (National Academy of Sciences), Roderick Harrison (U.S. Census Bureau), Clyde Tucker (Bureau of Labor Statistics), Thomas Jabine (SSA, EIA, CNSTAT), Donald Dillman (Washington State University), Jeanne Griffith (OMB, NCES, NSF), Daniel Weinberg (U. S. Census Bureau), David Banks (FDA, BTS, NIST), Paula Schneider (U.S. Census Bureau), and Robert E. Fay III (U.S. Census Bureau) are previous recipients of the Roger Herriot Award.

=20

For more information, contact Lawrence Cox, Chair, Roger Herriot Award Committee, 301 458-4631 or LCox@CDC.Gov. There are no fixed requirements for the contents or format of nomination packages, but completed packages must be received by May 1, 2006. Electronic submissions to Dr. Cox in MS-Word or PDF format are encouraged.

=20

Alternately, nominations may be mailed to:

Lawrence H. Cox

National Center for Health Statistics

3311 Toledo Road, Room 3211

Hyattsville, MD 20782

=20

=20

- =20
- =20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Tue, 20 Dec 2005 16:35:12 -0500

Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" < Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV> Subject: **TSMII** Exhibit Space Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

> Organizations wishing to reserve exhibit space at TSM II for \$500.00

> should refer to the TSM II website homepage. Click on the Exhibitor

> Application Form and Instructions. There is still plenty of exhibit

> space available. The homepage is:

> http://www.amstat.org/meetings/tsmii/2006

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu _____

Date: Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:57 -0800 Reply-To: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM> Subject: banner tab house Comments: To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

say, does anyone want a client of mine for tabs? I'm using wincross. I'd like someone else to work with them. we don't have compatible styles. Let me know, leora

Dr. Leora Lawton TechSociety Research "Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research" 2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704 (510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572 www.techsociety.com

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 21 Dec 2005 11:42:31 -0500 Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM> Poll: 69 percent favor 'Merry Christmas' Subject: Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Poll: 69 percent favor 'Merry Christmas'

NEW YORK, Dec. 21 (UPI) -- The "Merry Christmas" greeting has regained popularity as the favorite seasonal greeting for 69 percent of people in a USA Today/CNN/Gallup poll.=20

A year ago, the greeting was favored by 56 percent of those asked.=20

The "Happy Holidays" greeting fell in favor from 41 percent of respondents last year to 29 percent this year, the poll said.=20

http://www.upi.com/NewsTrack/view.php?StoryID=3D20051221-104045-2989r

The telephone survey of 1,000 adults between Friday and Sunday has an error margin of plus or minus 3 percentage points.=20

--=20 Leo G. Simonetta Research Director Art & Science Group, LLC 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101 Baltimore MD 21209

_

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Wed, 21 Dec 2005 10:36:01 -0700Reply-To:Harry Heller <hheller@RCASITE.COM>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Harry Heller <hheller@RCASITE.COM>Subject:Re: Response rate seasonality?Comments:To: Mark Blumenthal <mmblum@AOL.COM>

CMOR, The Council for Marketing and Opinion Research, has been conducting a cooperation tracking study, for which companies submit their contact record results, along with other information about the study to track cooperation across many variables.

The study, which will be restarted in 2006, did track the cooperation rates of various studies by seasonality. Unfortunately, the level of aggregation could only be by quarter year. But the findings may generalize to the Holiday Season.

The results through 2003 do not show any systematic variation by seasonality. Indeed, there is greater variation by type of survey than by time of year. Some years cooperation in the 4th quarter are up, other years, down.

Of course with more surveys reported into our database we could eventually break the data down by month -- so if you have an organization or call

center and you would like to contribute contact data to us please email me at hheller@cmor.org and I'll let you know what the requirements are.

Harry E. Heller, Ph.D. President, Research Consulting Agency Director of Respondent Cooperation, CMOR 40 Long Woods Lane East Hampton, NY 11937 Voice:(631) 329-7004 FAX: (631) 614-4371

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET. Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 22 Dec 2005 07:54:48 -0600 Reply-To: Mary.Losch@uni.edu Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Mary Losch <mary.losch@UNI.EDU> Subject: Re: Recommendations for Municipal Utility Feasibility Consultants Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Colleagues,

Our Center has been approached about assisting with the community residential research that is part of feasibility studies that take place when a

locale is considering municipal utility development. We have no problem with the data collection for such studies but do not have expertise in municipal utility development per se.

If you are aware of non-profit groups (small communities have little budget for such work) with expertise in this area, please contact me.

Thanks. Mary Losch

Mary Losch, Ph.D. Chair, Human Participants Review Committee UNI IRB

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date:Thu, 22 Dec 2005 08:12:59 -0600Reply-To:Mary.Losch@uni.eduSender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Mary Losch <mary.losch@UNI.EDU> Subject: Re: Recommendations for Municipal Utility Feasibility Consultants Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Sorry to clog the lines but I put the wrong signature info on my earlier email -- please use the contact info below if you have suggestions --Thanks. Mary

mary.losch@uni.edu

Mary E. Losch, Ph.D. Center for Social & Behavioral Research 221 Sabin Hall Cedar Falls, IA 50614 319.273.2105

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Thu, 22 Dec 2005 09:27:05 -0500		
Reply-To: Andrew A Beveridge <andy@troll.soc.qc.edu></andy@troll.soc.qc.edu>		
Sender: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu></aapornet@asu.edu>		
From: Andrew A Beveridge <andy@troll.soc.qc.edu></andy@troll.soc.qc.edu>		
Subject: Ferrer and the Hispanics		
Comments: To: AAPORNET <aapornet@asu.edu>,</aapornet@asu.edu>		
CUNY UFS Discussion Forum <senate-forum@listserv.cuny.edu></senate-forum@listserv.cuny.edu>		
Comments: cc: COMURB_R21@EMAIL.RUTGERS.EDU, qcsoclis@qc.edu		
MIME-version: 1.0		
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii		
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT		

Below is the first part of a column I just did on Fernando Ferrer and the Hispanics

http://www.gothamgazette.com/article/demographics/20051222/5/1688

Hispanics and the Ferrer Candidacy

by Andrew Beveridge December, 2005

Fernando Ferrer was the first candidate of Hispanic descent to run with major party backing for the office of mayor of New York City, and when he lost by a large margin, there was a general consensus among journalists: Ferrer, they said, did poorly among every ethnic group, even -- relatively speaking -- his own.

Some commentators sought to explain this by noting that "Hispanic" no longer

means just Puerto Ricans, or even just Puerto Ricans and Dominicans. Hispanic New Yorkers come from many different countries now. Others pointed out that Bloomberg's polling of voters was very vigorous and very specific, but that it was not based on their ethnic origins. Thus, it was possible to ask seriously whether the mayoral election signaled a new phase in New York City politics -- the beginning of the end of ethnic politics.

There was just one problem with all these explanations and conclusions -they were based on an inaccurate consensus.

No major news organizations did their own polling; their conclusions about Ferrer's support was based on an unconventional exit poll.

Now more data are available, including complete voting returns for all 6,000 of New York City's election district, as well as a recent exit poll among immigrants. It turns out that the vast majority of Hispanic voters did in fact choose Ferrer -- at least 80 percent. This demonstrates not just that ethnic politics is alive and well in New York City, but that, as diverse as they are, Hispanics in New York share much in common.

http://www.gothamgazette.com/article/demographics/20051222/5/1688

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Fri, 23 Dec 2005 16:13:34 -0500 Reply-To: Milt Goldsamt <miltrgold@COMCAST.NET> Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU> From: Milt Goldsamt <miltrgold@COMCAST.NET> Subject: Re: 10-point vs. 5-point scales Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu In-Reply-To: <1166.64.75.157.38.1134707155.squirrel@apache.willamette.net> MIME-version: 1.0 Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

on 12/15/2005 11:25 PM, Stephen M. Johnson at stevej@NSDSSURVEY.ORG wrote:

> I generally agree, although the issue of the midpoint is interesting as

> well. I like to use it if the goal is to measure the real range of

> possible responses, if it is logical, and if it fan be given a label.

> However, I do not like a midpoint if the goal is to have respondents make

> a choice. I feel too many respondents will take the easy way out of such

> a decision if they can hook on a midpoint. By the way, when we use a 4

> point scale we always record "no opinion" of " no answer" if volunteered.

> Best

> Steve Johnson

>

>

Overall, there's nothing magical about 10-point scales, other than they

sound easy to use because they reflect our numbering system of 0-10. The question really is: what topic dimension is being measured, and can the raters easily diffentiate--reliably-- levels of that topic dimension. Related to this, are the labels unambiguous and non-overlapping? Usually 5-7 points are sufficient, and even four. (The idea of a midpoint is another issue; sometimes it's meaningful for the topic being measured and sometimes not. And has been pointed out, those on the fence usually can decide if asked to do so.) George Miller's article in the 1957 American Psychologist, "The Magic Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two" (or very close to that title and year) is the foundation for this research and issue. My own dissertation found that 6- and 12-point scales correlated fairly highly.

I've generally used and very satisfactorily, three to five point Likert scales. Respondents understand what the labels refer to, and recently an AAPORNET commenter pointed out that either four or five point scales (I can't remember now) are very easy to administer in telephone interviews.

Regarding collapsing of 10-point to five-point scales, there is some within-person unreliability so that sometimes the same person might select the 9th or 10th scale position interchangeably and similarly the 1st or 2nd scale positions. I believe you need to see the distribution on a 10-point scale before you immediately map it out to a five-point scale, and collapse responses.

Milton R. Goldsamt, Ph.D.

(Former member of the USDA/NASS Questionnaire Design Section) Research Statistician, U. S. Dept. of Justice miltrgold@comcast.net

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Fri, 23 Dec 2005 13:22:52 -0800Reply-To:Jerold Pearson <jpearson@STANFORD.EDU>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:Jerold Pearson <jpearson@STANFORD.EDU>Subject:Research Job Opening, Univ of California Office of the PresidentComments:To: por@vance.irss.unc.edu, aapornet@asu.eduMIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I am posting this for a colleague. Please do NOT respond to me. Respond to James Stofan at: James.Stofan@ucop.edu

Job Opening -- Coordinator, Alumni Research, University of California Office of the President

SALARY: \$46,680 - 65,364 (Midpoint of Range)

This is a one year contract position.

RESPONSIBILITIES: Working with the Executive Director, campus alumni directors and annual giving staffs, the Coordinator of Alumni Research proposes research objectives, gathers and analyzes data on alumni from all UC campuses and presents the results to key individuals identified with a specific research project. Proposes strategies on how to best use the information obtained to support the mission of the Office, which is to increase the involvement of alumni in supporting the University of California, through support of UC alumni associations and their missions, the stewardship of the AAUC and the sponsorship of all UC alumni programs and services for all the UC alumni associations and their members.

REQUIRED QUALIFICATIONS: Academic preparation from college with a major in public relations, marketing communications or an allied field and four to five years progressively responsible data collection, analysis, and reporting experience (at least half of this time performing professional market research); or an equivalent combination of education and experience.

Research and critical thinking skills to successfully: Identify and assess patterns and trends (e.g., membership and benefits, alumni giving patterns, market conditions) suggesting the need for specific alumni research. Develop and implement tools and methodologies for comprehensive data collection (e.g., using existing methods such as on-line searches, focus groups, one-on-one in-depth interviews, and/or developing new tools as needed such as self-administered surveys, interview questions, and databases) to obtain the most complete results. Apply appropriate qualitative/quantitative analysis skills (e.g., basic statistic such as correlations and regressions analysis) to evaluate data and reach a conclusion.

Report key findings and conclusions in a variety of formats for easy interpretation and use for a range of audiences. Strong project management (i.e. large-scale research projects) and organizational skills to manage, monitor, and successfully complete multiple projects in the face of competing demands and deadlines. Excellent oral, written, and presentation skills to effectively obtain relevant data and information, and summarize analyses, key findings and conclusions. Ability to articulate a specific position and negotiate/persuade as necessary. Broad knowledge of alumni programs and development offices and how they function in a higher education environment and experience working with alumni and on alumni-related activities. Experience organizing paid and/or volunteer staff preferred. Ability to work effectively in a dynamic, team-oriented environment, collaborating with multiple individuals and groups to achieve an accurate and comprehensive perspective; political acumen with demonstrated experience applying judgment and discretion when working with various stakeholders and constituencies both within and outside the organization. Computer literacy in a PC environment; proficiency with MS Office Suite, electronic mail, and web based applications. Ability to navigate and manipulate complex databases to extract and analyze pertinent information. General knowledge of the University's mission, its organization, and policies.

DESIRED QUALIFICATIONS: Spanish language proficiency preferred. Experience in higher education, particularly in the field of advancement preferred.

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date:Wed, 28 Dec 2005 10:08:40 -0800Reply-To:"Mann, Sue L" <smann@FHCRC.ORG>Sender:AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>From:"Mann, Sue L" <smann@FHCRC.ORG>Subject:interviewing teens and parentsComments:To: AAPORNET@asu.eduComments:cc: "Lynn, Diana J" <dlynn@fhcrc.org>MIME-version:1.0Content-type:text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"Content-transfer-encoding:guoted-printable

I am replying to the 12/13/05 request from Nancy Belden. Please forward this note to her.

=20

Our youth smoking studies research group (1) obtains parental consent and contact information from parents of teens/young adults (via mail and phone), (2) conducts smoking cessation telephone counseling with consenting teens (with parental consent for those under age 18), and (3) surveys teens via mail and/or phone. If our experience could help you, I'd be happy to share information.

=20 Sue L. Mann, M.P.H.=20 Data Operations Manager=20 Youth Smoking Studies=20 Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center=20 1100 Fairview Ave. E., M2-C826=20 P.O. Box 19024=20 Seattle, WA 98109=20 Office Phone: 206-667-2869=20 Office Fax: 206-667-6184=20

Conference info and call for papers: http://www.aapor.org/ Archives: http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html . Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.