

From: LISTS.ASU.EDU LISTSERV Server (16.0) [LISTSERV@asu.edu]
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2011 6:10 PM
To: Shapard Wolf
Subject: File: "AAPORNET LOG0511"

Date: Tue, 1 Nov 2005 16:03:41 -0800
Reply-To: Amy Hald <amyhald@MAC.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Amy Hald <amyhald@MAC.COM>
Subject: recommendations of good translators
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v623)
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Could anyone recommend a good translator for translation of questionnaires into Canadian French and Portuguese? Thanks.

Amy Hald

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2005 09:14:32 -0500
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Remembering Leo Bogart
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Remembering Leo Bogart

by Rebecca Ross Albers=20

Renowned media researcher Leo Bogart always believed in the power of newspapers.=20

"Each day's paper is a distinctive and irreplaceable record of that place and date. It embodies the collective memory without which no society can exist," Bogart wrote in *Preserving the Press: How Daily Newspapers Mobilized to Keep Their Readers* (Columbia University Press, New York City).=20

SNIP

<http://www.naa.org/Presstime/PTArtPage.cfm?AID=3D7277>

or

<http://tinyurl.com/adybe>

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta

Research Director

Art & Science Group, LLC

6115 Falls Road, Suite 101

Baltimore MD 21209

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2005 11:20:20 -0500

Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV>

Subject: TSMII hotel reservations

Comments: To: "aapornet@asu.edu" <aapornet@asu.edu>

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain

TSM II HOTEL RESERVATIONS

Several individuals have found they could not book conference rate rooms at the Miami Hyatt for January 10 and 11. This was a hotel error. There should still be rooms available for all nights from the 9th to the 15th. We apologize for the inconvenience, but please try to make reservations again. For those who have not made reservations, we suggest you do so as soon as possible. If you have any problems, e-mail Clyde Tucker immediately at tucker.clyde@bls.gov. A conference brochure and preliminary program will be up on the website in the next few days.

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2005 10:05:12 -0800

Reply-To: Sunghee Lee <slee9@UCLA.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Sunghee Lee <slee9@UCLA.EDU>

Subject: Job announcement

Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Sorry for cross-posting!

UCLA Center for Health Policy Research has two openings at the Staff Research Associate level for California Health Interview Survey. See below for job description.

Please submit letter of application and resume to Karen Markus, Personnel Manager, UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, 10911 Weyburn Avenue, Suite 300, Los Angeles, CA 90024 (Campus Mail Code 714346). Resumes may be faxed to (310) 794-2686 or emailed to kmarkus@ucla.edu.

Thank you!

STAFF RESEARCH ASSOCIATE II
(Job Requisition #5911)

Job Description:

The Staff Research Associate (SRA) will be working with program/project directors, managers and administrators to assist with administrative and research functions of the California Health Interview Survey (CHIS). Main duties involve assisting in the documentation of CHIS data processing and products. The SRA will work under the supervision of the CHIS Senior Research Associate, the data production team, the AskCHIS team, and other research staff to document the data processing details, create and manage data bases by ensuring the nature of variables across multiple cycles of CHIS, create explanatory notes of constructed data items and data files, compile data dictionaries, and contribute to data dissemination and data usage tracking. The SRA will also assist in the analysis of project related data and findings and drafting and editing of summary reports and papers. Other duties include assisting with research and survey activities on a variety of issues, specifically supporting the survey research team by developing literature searches and reviews, creating tables and graphs, and assisting in developing presentation materials (Powerpoint and Word documents). The SRA will work across multiple CHIS-related projects of varying complexity.

Qualifications:

Familiarity with public health and access issues, research methods, and statistics. Experience working with standard surveys and data sets. Excellent grasp of the English language with strong oral communication, writing and editing skills. Strong organizational skills to manage competing priorities and deadlines without compromising quality of work and with minimal supervision. Resourcefulness, creativity and self-initiative in problem solving. Ability to identify and collect information needed from numerous sources, and be able to manage and organize details in an efficient and timely fashion. Proficient in MS Word, Excel, and the Internet. Computer skills including spreadsheet and word-processing. Working knowledge of SAS programming is desirable. Familiarity with Medline, Orion, and Internet searching of databases is preferred. Graduate degree in Health Services, Public Health, Public Policy, Sociology or a related field strongly preferred.

Compensation:

Full-time, career position. \$2876 - \$4628 monthly, depending on qualifications and experience. Excellent benefits. Equal Opportunity Employer.

STAFF RESEARCH ASSOCIATE II
(Job Requisition #5717)

Job Description:

The Staff Research Associate (SRA), working with the Technical Survey Manager, will assist with the technical administrative and research functions of the California Health Interview Survey (CHIS), the largest telephone health survey in the country. CHIS, which is in its third cycle of data collection, is conducted with adults, parents of young children and with adolescents to learn about the health of the people in California, and their access to and use of health services. The SRA will work on CHIS data production, technical support for CHIS users, and various methodological research projects. Specific duties include data base construction and management, data file preparation and documentation for dissemination to funding agencies and the public, consultation with external data users regarding technical aspects of CHIS, data estimate services and program updates at bi-weekly staff meetings. Other responsibilities involve assisting with methodological research by developing literature reviews, conducting data analysis, assisting in the drafting of summary reports and papers, and keeping projects on target in terms of timeline and deliverables.

Qualifications:

Demonstrated experience working on a survey project with responsibility for documenting data preparation and electronic products, and compiling data dictionaries. Experience working with standard surveys and data sets and familiarity with health policy research. Familiarity with survey data structures and working knowledge of survey data analysis. Computer skills including SAS, SPSS or STATA programming. Excellent grasp of the English language with strong writing, editing and oral communication skills. Strong organizational skills and the ability to manage competing priorities and deadlines. The ability to work under minimum supervision. Resourcefulness and creativity to solve problems that may arise when gathering data or answering research questions. Ability to identify and collect information needed from numerous sources. Prior telephone survey data collection and reporting experience preferred. Graduate degree in Public Health, Biostatistics, Survey Methodology or a related field strongly preferred.

Compensation:

Full-time, career position. \$2876 - \$4628 monthly, depending on qualifications and experience. Excellent benefits. Equal Opportunity Employer.

Sunghee Lee

UCLA Center for Health Policy Research
10911 Weyburn Avenue, Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Phone: 310-794-2399
FAX: 310-794-2686
slee9@ucla.edu

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 10:09:58 -0500
Reply-To: Cliff Zukin <zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Cliff Zukin <zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU>
Subject: Census support
Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

AAPOR Colleagues:

I am writing to ask your assistance on an urgent matter.

A Senate and House conference committee is taking up the matter of resolving their

differences on the portion of the FY06 budget that covers the Census, probably today or tomorrow. AAPOR has been working with CMOR and MRA, among others, to support the House budget, which allocates greater funding for the Census and offers better protection of Census programs and activities that benefit the survey research community.

I have copied below the names of Senators and Representatives on the conference committee. If any are from your state or house district, yours is an especially important voice. I am also copying below some discussion material that AAPOR and CMOR have developed, that you should feel free to use in talking points. If you would like to support the census please consider contacting key decision makers. Please forgive this long posting; AAPORNET does not take attachments.

Thanks.

Cliff Zukin

Professor of Public Policy and Political Science.

Edward J. Bloustein School, Rutgers University

President,

American Association for Public Opinion Research

732 932 2499 x712 zukin@rci.rutgers.edu

Public Policy, 2nd Floor, Bloustein School

33 Livingston Ave, New Brunswick, NJ 08901

Senators - Appropriations Committee, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, and
Science and Related Agencies, Conference Committee for H.R. 2862:

Richard C. Shelby (R-AL)
A. Mikulski (D-MD)

Barbara

Chairman, Subcommittee
Member, Subcommittee

Ranking

Thad Cochran (R-Miss.)
C. Byrd (D-WV)

Robert

Chairman, Appropriations Committee
Appropriations Committee

Ranking Member,

Ted Stevens (R-AK)
K. Inouye (D-HI)

Daniel

Pete V. Domenici (R-NM)
Leahy (D-VT)

Patrick J.

Christopher S. Bond (R-MO)
(D-IA)

Tom Harkin

Mitch McConnell (R-KY)

Herb Kohl

(D-WI)

Judd Gregg (R-NH)
Murray (D-WA)

Patty

Kay Bailey Hutchinson (R-TX)

Byron L. Dorgan (D-ND)

Sam Brownback (R-KS)

House Members - Appropriations Committee, Subcommittee on Science, the
Departments of State, Justice, and Commerce, and Related Agencies:

Frank R. Wolf (R-VA)
(D-WV)

Alan B. Mollohan

Chairman, Subcommittee
Member, Subcommittee

Ranking

Dave Weldon (R-FL)
Serrano (D-NY)

Jose E.

Vice Chair, Subcommittee

Charles H. Taylor (R-NC)
E. "Bud" Cramer, JR. (D-AL)

Robert

Mark Steven Kirk (R-IL)
L. Kennedy (D-RI)

Patrick

Virgil Goode (R-VA)
Fattah (D-PA)

Chaka

John Abney Culberson (R-TX)

Ray LaHood (R-IL)

Rodney Alexander (R-LA)

AAPOR/CMOR Talking Points

A Fully Funded FY2006 Census: How The Benefits Vastly Outweigh The Costs

The U.S. Census serves a wide range of purposes to many groups and industries throughout the nation. Those who benefit from Census data include government (local, state, and federal), diverse commercial interests, broad reaches of academia, and several non-profit organizations. Reduced Census funding would have many severe consequences, as listed below. CMOR and AAPOR represent all of these interests, each of whom find the Census invaluable and need the maximum amount of accurate data it can possibly yield.

An FY2006 Census funded at the House-authorized of at least \$812.237 million, would:

--Establish the baseline sample units that underlie virtually every survey conducted in the United States by both private and public sectors.

--Develop new and more accurate methods of estimation, provide improved sources of data, increase access to real-time data, and generate more timely and useful measurements. Such information is vital not only to survey researchers, but also to the nation's business leaders, urban planners, and policymakers.

--Support innovation, promote data use, minimize respondent burden, respect individual privacy, and ensure confidentiality. These are all major principles of marketing and opinion research, which would also yield a better, more effective Census for all parties involved.

--Begin the process of meeting constitutional and legislative mandates with a re-engineered 2010 Census. This new, more advanced Census would be cost-effective, provide more timely data, improve the accuracy of its coverage, and reduce operational risk.

--Improve operations of current surveys through testing and implementing alternative methods of data collection (such as Internet reporting), implementing new automated tools (such as graphically based software) to create state-of-the-art collection instruments, and training field representatives in new methods. All of this leads to better research data for business and government to benefit from.

--Provide significant measures and evaluations of e-commerce activity, an economic centerpiece of the 21st century. Without such data, it would be difficult for business and academia to gauge just how strong an impact e-commerce has on the overall U.S. and global economy. Similarly, a well-funded census will yield a better, more accurate gauge of such factors as unemployment, income, health insurance coverage, international trade, corporate profits, and manufacturers' shipments, orders and inventories.

--Supply Congress and federal agencies with essential information for planning and evaluating programs that involve intergovernmental relationships, thereby increasing overall government efficiency. This includes benchmark measures of the economy and population for equitable funding of federal, state, and local programs, which saves time and money by reducing waste and redundancy in data requests.

The impact of failing to reach at least House-level Census funding would lead to the reduction or elimination of all these benefits, and leave research, industry, and the government with an insurmountable gap in data, information, and records.

Considering the overarching value of these aims, not just to marketing and opinion research but to the government, economy, and overall well-being of our nation, we sincerely hope the Senate Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice,

and Science, as well as the full Senate Committee on Appropriations, will see fit to authorize the United States Census at a minimum of the House-authorized level, \$812.237 million.

This amount would allow the Census to work for America not just today, but well into the future.

CMOR

Funding of The U.S. Census Bureau: CALL TO ACTION!

Each year, Congress decides how much money needs to be allocated to the United States Census Bureau.

The Bureau, as some of you may already know, provides crucial data, records, and other information to a wide array of research-related interests.

This year, the House of Representatives has passed a bill that would allocate roughly \$812 million to the Bureau for 2006. This amount would allow the Census Bureau to continue collecting its impressive volume and breadth of data, as well as to development new information gathering techniques that could lead to even more useful information in the future.

The Senate, however, has threatened to authorize a lower amount. Such budget cuts could severely hamper the Bureau's capacity to collect and report all the information we've come to count on them for. Also, their ability to innovate newer, better, and more efficient ways to collect data could be sharply curtailed by a reduction in funding.

CMOR has long supported the Census and its vital impact on the marketing and opinion research profession and was an official partner of the Census Bureau during the 2000 Census, to actively educate respondents and encourage participation.

In Washington, we are working in conjunction with AAPOR, the American Association for Public Opinion Research, to achieve the greatest amount of funding we possibly can for the Census Bureau. We are actively seeking the involvement of other associations as well.

Our strategy is to work with leaders of the Committee in charge of deciding how much is to be spent on the Census Bureau (the Senate Appropriations Committee). More specifically, we will work with the Commerce-Justice-Science Subcommittee, a small part of the Appropriations Committee that considers Census-specific issues, to explain the value of the Census Bureau and all the data and information it produces.

In addition to CMOR's own lobbying on the issue, we are asking our membership to fill out the attached model letter to the Senate. Congress is often responsive to the concerns of a given profession. IF they hear from enough people who work in that profession!

So please, take a moment to customize the letter below. CMOR, its members, and the market and survey research profession as a whole need your help.

Yours,

Brian Dauch

Director of Government Affairs

P.S.-To figure out who your Senators are, please visit www.senate.gov <<http://www.senate.gov/>> and scroll down to your state on the "Find Your Senators" pulldown menu.

The Honorable <Full Name>

United States Senate

Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator <Last Name>:

I am writing to support funding for the U.S. Census Bureau of at least \$812.237 million, the amount authorized by the House of Representatives. As a member of the market and opinion research community, I urge you to carefully consider the many benefits that can be achieved by adequately funding the Census Bureau.

At our company, <name>, we use Census data to achieve the following goals <list>, all of which are essential to our business. Without these needed resources, the Bureau would be unable to provide enough vitally important census data to our business, and by extension to our customers, and the public at large.

With a minimum of the House-authorized funding level, the Census Bureau would be able to accomplish a number of important objectives. Such benefits go far beyond the realm of survey research and include industry, non-profit sectors, and every level of government.

This would allow the Census Bureau to gather and process enough data to:

--Establish the baseline sample units that underlie virtually every survey conducted in the United States by both private and public sectors. Without this information, many American businesses and industries would be unable to gather enough survey or market research to meet their needs.

--Develop new and more accurate methods of estimation, providing improved sources of data, increase access to real-time data, and generating more timely and useful measurements. Such information is vital not only to survey researchers, but also to the nation's business leaders, urban planners, and policymakers.

--Support innovation, promote data use, minimize respondent burden, respect individual privacy, and ensure confidentiality. These are all major principles of market and opinion research, which would also yield a better, more effective Census for all parties involved.

--Begin the process of meeting constitutional and legislative mandates with a re-engineered 2010 Census. This new, more advanced Census would be cost-effective, provide more timely data, improve the accuracy of its coverage, and reduce operational risk. Otherwise, the 2010 Census project will be in jeopardy of yielding inaccurate, incomplete, or ineffective information.

The impact of failing to reach at least House-level Census funding would lead to the reduction or elimination of all these benefits, and leave research, industry, and the government with an insurmountable gap in data, information, and records.

Considering the overarching value of these aims, not just to market and opinion research but to the government, economy, and overall well-being of our nation, we sincerely hope the Senate Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, and Science, as well as the full Senate Committee on Appropriations, will see fit to authorize the United States Census at a minimum of the House-authorized level, \$812.237 million.

This amount would allow the Census to work for America not just today, but well into the future.

Yours truly,

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 10:39:58 -0500
Reply-To: Roger Tourangeau <rtourangeau@SURVEY.UMD.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Roger Tourangeau <rtourangeau@SURVEY.UMD.EDU>
Subject: Distinguished Lecture Series at the University of Maryland
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit
Content-disposition: inline

The Joint Program in Survey Methodology and the University of Maryland Statistics Consortium are co-sponsoring two Distinguished Lectures on Friday, November 18. The first is by Alastair Scott; its title is "The Analysis of Population-based Case Control Studies." (Note that the title of Dr. Scott's talk has changed.) The second, titled the "Interplay Between Sample Survey Theory and Practice: An Appraisal," is by J. N. K. Rao. Dr. Scott's talk will begin at 1:00 pm and will be discussed by Barry Graubard from the National Cancer Institute and Graham Kalton from Westat and JPSM. Dr. Rao's talk will begin at 3:00 pm and will be discussed by Phil Kott from the National Agricultural Statistical Service and Mike Brick from Westat and JPSM. Both talks will be held in 2205 LeFrak Hall on the University of Maryland, College Park Campus. There will be a reception immediately afterwards at 4:45.

Dr. Scott's lecture concerns the use of complex sampling designs in population-based case control studies, particularly for obtaining the controls. Although most statistical packages now have special survey modules that can be used to carry out weighted analyses of the data from such studies, weighting tends to be inefficient in situations like this where large differences among the weights is typical. Fully efficient likelihood methods can be developed for some special designs but, apart from the case of simple stratified sampling, these methods require special software and are difficult to implement. Moreover, there are questions about their robustness to model breakdown. The lecture will examine the question of robustness in more detail and suggest alternative procedures that are reasonably efficient and can be implemented using standard survey software.

Alastair Scott has an MSc in Mathematics from Auckland and a PhD in Statistics from the University of Chicago. He lectured at the London School of Economics for a number of years before joining the University of Auckland in 1972. He has been here ever since, apart from a number of visiting appointments at universities in North America and the U.K.

Dr. Rao's lecture will discuss the impact of the practical problems encountered in the design and analysis of sample surveys on the theory of survey sampling. In addition, he will discuss how sample survey theory has influenced practice, often leading to significant improvements. The lecture will examine this interplay over the past 60 years or so. Examples where new theory is needed or where theory exists

but is not used will also be presented.

Professor Rao has been at the forefront of research in sampling theory and methods since 1960. He has made fundamental contributions to the so-called classical theory of sampling, to the foundations of sampling during the debates of the 1960s and 70s, to a variety of aspects of variance estimation, to the analysis of complex survey data and to small area estimation. Over this forty-year period his work has been, and continues to be, at the cutting edge of research

The talks are open to the public, but please let us know you are coming by sending a note to Rupa Jethwa Eapen at RJEapen@survey.umd.edu. The talks will not be taped or broadcast.

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 10:58:23 -0500
Reply-To: Diane Bowers <dbowers@CASRO.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Diane Bowers <dbowers@CASRO.ORG>
Subject: Re: Census support
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset=iso-8859-1; reply-type=original
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Thanks Cliff, we'll follow through with alerting our members as well. Diane

Diane Bowers
President
CASRO
170 North Country Road, Suite 4
Port Jefferson, NY 11777
631.928.6954
fax 631.928.6041

----- Original Message -----

From: "Cliff Zukin" <zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU>
To: <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2005 10:09 AM
Subject: Census support

> AAPOR Colleagues:
> I am writing to ask your assistance on an urgent matter.
>
> A Senate and House conference committee is taking up the matter of
> resolving
> their

>
> differences on the portion of the FY06 budget that covers the Census,
> probably today or tomorrow. AAPOR has been working with CMOR and MRA,
> among
> others, to support the House budget, which allocates greater funding for
> the
> Census and offers better protection of Census programs and activities that
> benefit the survey research community.

>
>
>
> I have copied below the names of Senators and Representatives on the
> conference committee. If any are from your state or house district, yours
> is an especially important voice. I am also copying below some discussion
> material that AAPOR and CMOR have developed, that you should feel free to
> use in talking points. If you would like to support the census please
> consider contacting key decision makers. Please forgive this long
> posting;
> AAPORNET does not take attachments.

>
>
>
> Thanks.
>
> Cliff Zukin
>
> Professor of Public Policy and Political Science.
>
> Edward J. Bloustein School, Rutgers University
>
> President,
>
> American Association for Public Opinion Research
>
> 732 932 2499 x712 zukin@rci.rutgers.edu
>
> Public Policy, 2nd Floor, Bloustein School
>
> 33 Livingston Ave, New Brunswick, NJ 08901

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Senators - Appropriations Committee, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice,
> and
> Science and Related Agencies, Conference Committee for H.R. 2862:

>
>
>
> Richard C. Shelby (R-AL)
> Barbara

> A. Mikulski (D-MD)
>
> Chairman, Subcommittee Ranking
> Member, Subcommittee
>
>
>
> Thad Cochran (R-Miss.)
> Robert
> C. Byrd (D-WV)
>
> Chairman, Appropriations Committee Ranking Member,
> Appropriations Committee
>
>
>
> Ted Stevens (R-AK)
> Daniel
> K. Inouye (D-HI)
>
>
>
> Pete V. Domenici (R-NM) Patrick
> J.
> Leahy (D-VT)
>
>
>
> Christopher S. Bond (R-MO) Tom
> Harkin
> (D-IA)
>
>
>
> Mitch McConnell (R-KY) Herb
> Kohl
> (D-WI)
>
>
>
>
> Judd Gregg (R-NH)
> Patty
> Murray (D-WA)
>
>
>
> Kay Bailey Hutchinson (R-TX) Byron L. Dorgan
> (D-ND)
>
>
>
> Sam Brownback (R-KS)
>

- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- > AAPOR/CMOR Talking Points
- >
- >
- >
- > A Fully Funded FY2006 Census: How The Benefits Vastly Outweigh The Costs
- >
- >
- >
- > The U.S. Census serves a wide range of purposes to many groups and
- > industries throughout the nation. Those who benefit from Census data
- > include government (local, state, and federal), diverse commercial
- > interests, broad reaches of academia, and several non-profit
- > organizations.
- > Reduced Census funding would have many severe consequences, as listed
- > below.
- > CMOR and AAPOR represent all of these interests, each of whom find the
- > Census invaluable and need the maximum amount of accurate data it can
- > possibly yield.
- >
- >
- >
- > An FY2006 Census funded at the House-authorized of at least \$812.237
- > million, would:
- >
- >
- >
- > --Establish the baseline sample units that underlie virtually every survey
- > conducted in the United States by both private and public sectors.
- >
- >
- >
- > --Develop new and more accurate methods of estimation, provide improved
- > sources of data, increase access to real-time data, and generate more
- > timely
- > and useful measurements. Such information is vital not only to survey
- > researchers, but also to the nation's business leaders, urban planners,
- > and
- > policymakers.
- >
- >
- >
- > --Support innovation, promote data use, minimize respondent burden,
- > respect
- > individual privacy, and ensure confidentiality. These are all major
- > principles of marketing and opinion research, which would also yield a
- > better, more effective Census for all parties involved.
- >
- >

- >
- > --Begin the process of meeting constitutional and legislative mandates
- > with
- > a re-engineered 2010 Census. This new, more advanced Census would be
- > cost-effective, provide more timely data, improve the accuracy of its
- > coverage, and reduce operational risk.
- >
- >
- >
- > --Improve operations of current surveys through testing and implementing
- > alternative methods of data collection (such as Internet reporting),
- > implementing new automated tools (such as graphically based software) to
- > create state-of-the-art collection instruments, and training field
- > representatives in new methods. All of this leads to better research data
- > for business and government to benefit from.
- >
- >
- >
- > --Provide significant measures and evaluations of e-commerce activity, an
- > economic centerpiece of the 21st century. Without such data, it would be
- > difficult for business and academia to gauge just how strong an impact
- > e-commerce has on the overall U.S. and global economy. Similarly, a
- > well-funded census will yield a better, more accurate gauge of such
- > factors
- > as unemployment, income, health insurance coverage, international trade,
- > corporate profits, and manufacturers' shipments, orders and inventories.
- >
- >
- >
- > --Supply Congress and federal agencies with essential information for
- > planning and evaluating programs that involve intergovernmental
- > relationships, thereby increasing overall government efficiency. This
- > includes benchmark measures of the economy and population for equitable
- > funding of federal, state, and local programs, which saves time and money
- > by
- > reducing waste and redundancy in data requests.
- >
- >
- >
- > The impact of failing to reach at least House-level Census funding would
- > lead to the reduction or elimination of all these benefits, and leave
- > research, industry, and the government with an insurmountable gap in data,
- > information, and records.
- >
- >
- >
- > Considering the overarching value of these aims, not just to marketing and
- > opinion research but to the government, economy, and overall well-being of
- > our nation, we sincerely hope the Senate Subcommittee on Commerce,
- > Justice,
- > and Science, as well as the full Senate Committee on Appropriations, will
- > see fit to authorize the United States Census at a minimum of the
- > House-authorized level, \$812.237 million.
- >

>
>
> This amount would allow the Census to work for America not just today, but
> well into the future.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> CMOR

>
> Funding of The U.S. Census Bureau: CALL TO ACTION!

>
>
>
> Each year, Congress decides how much money needs to be
> allocated
> to the United States Census Bureau.

>
>
>
> The Bureau, as some of you may already know, provides crucial data,
> records,
> and other information to a wide array of research-related interests.

>
>
>
> This year, the House of Representatives has passed a bill that would
> allocate roughly \$812 million to the Bureau for 2006. This amount would
> allow the Census Bureau to continue collecting its impressive volume and
> breadth of data, as well as to development new information gathering
> techniques that could lead to even more useful information in the future.

>
>
>
> The Senate, however, has threatened to authorize a lower amount. Such
> budget cuts could severely hamper the Bureau's capacity to collect and
> report all the information we've come to count on them for. Also, their
> ability to innovate newer, better, and more efficient ways to collect data
> could be sharply curtailed by a reduction in funding.

>
>
>
> CMOR has long supported the Census and its vital impact on the marketing
> and
> opinion research profession and was an official partner of the Census
> Bureau
> during the 2000 Census, to actively educate respondents and encourage
> participation.

>
>
>

> In Washington, we are working in conjunction with AAPOR, the American
> Association for Public Opinion Research, to achieve the greatest amount of
> funding we possibly can for the Census Bureau. We are actively seeking
> the
> involvement of other associations as well.

>
>
>
> Our strategy is to work with leaders of the Committee in charge of
> deciding
> how much is to be spent on the Census Bureau (the Senate Appropriations
> Committee). More specifically, we will work with the
> Commerce-Justice-Science Subcommittee, a small part of the Appropriations
> Committee that considers Census-specific issues, to explain the value of
> the
> Census Bureau and all the data and information it produces.

>
>
>
> In addition to CMOR's own lobbying on the issue, we are asking our
> membership to fill out the attached model letter to the Senate. Congress
> is
> often responsive to the concerns of a given profession. IF they hear from
> enough people who work in that profession!

>
>
>
> So please, take a moment to customize the letter below. CMOR, its
> members,
> and the market and survey research profession as a whole need your help.

> Yours,
>
>
>
> Brian Dautch

> Director of Government Affairs

>
>
>
> P.S.-To figure out who your Senators are, please visit www.senate.gov
> <<http://www.senate.gov/>> and scroll down to your state on the "Find Your
> Senators" pulldown menu.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> gather enough survey or market research to meet their needs.
>
>
>
> --Develop new and more accurate methods of estimation, providing improved
> sources of data, increase access to real-time data, and generating more
> timely and useful measurements. Such information is vital not only to
> survey researchers, but also to the nation's business leaders, urban
> planners, and policymakers.
>
>
>
> --Support innovation, promote data use, minimize respondent burden,
> respect
> individual privacy, and ensure confidentiality. These are all major
> principles of market and opinion research, which would also yield a
> better,
> more effective Census for all parties involved.
>
>
>
> --Begin the process of meeting constitutional and legislative mandates
> with
> a re-engineered 2010 Census. This new, more advanced Census would be
> cost-effective, provide more timely data, improve the accuracy of its
> coverage, and reduce operational risk. Otherwise, the 2010 Census project
> will be in jeopardy of yielding inaccurate, incomplete, or ineffective
> information.
>
>
>
> The impact of failing to reach at least House-level Census funding would
> lead to the reduction or elimination of all these benefits, and leave
> research, industry, and the government with an insurmountable gap in data,
> information, and records.
>
>
>
> Considering the overarching value of these aims, not just to market and
> opinion research but to the government, economy, and overall well-being of
> our nation, we sincerely hope the Senate Subcommittee on Commerce,
> Justice,
> and Science, as well as the full Senate Committee on Appropriations, will
> see fit to authorize the United States Census at a minimum of the
> House-authorized level, \$812.237 million.
>
>
>
> This amount would allow the Census to work for America not just today, but
> well into the future.
>
>
>
> Yours truly,

http://www.mysterypollster.com/main/2005/11/polling_the_pro.html

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta
Research Director
Art & Science Group, LLC
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore MD 21209

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 11:02:07 -0800
Reply-To: Doug Rivers <doug@POLIMETRIX.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Doug Rivers <doug@POLIMETRIX.COM>
Subject: California polls
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

For those interested, here is the distribution of the most recent polls on the California special election. In my class at Stanford on Wednesday, I used this as an example of sampling distributions--this being one of the few cases where we really do have "repeated sampling" from the same population. However, it's really more of a demonstration of non-sampling errors. The distributions are much to large to be accounted by sampling variation, so it must be a combination of different frames, different wordings, and other variations in survey procedures. KN, for example, uses a format to mimic the ballot and reports no undecideds.

=20

Prop. 73 (Parental notification)

=20

Field 41-49-10
PPIC 42-48-10
Polimetrix 43-53-5
LA Times 51-39-10
SurveyUSA 55-44-2
KN 58-42-0

=20

Prop. 74 (Teachers' tenure)

=20

Polimetrix 42-53-5
Field 44-50-6
LA Times 45-47-8
PPIC 46-48-10
SurveyUSA 49-50-1
KN 53-47-0

=20

Prop. 75 (Union dues)

=20

Field 40-50-10

LA Times 40-51-9

PPIC 46-46-8

Polimetrix 50-45-5

SurveyUSA 50-49-2

KN 64-36-0

=20

Prop. 76 (Spending limits)

=20

PPIC 30-62-8

LA Times 31-60-9 =20

Field 32-60-8

Polimetrix 36-59-5

PPIC 36-50-14

KN 45-55-0

=20

Prop. 77 (Redistricting)

=20

LA Times 34-56-10

Field 35-51-14

PPIC 36-50-14

Polimetrix 42-46-12

SurveyUSA 44-53-3

KN 55-45-0

=20

Prop. 78 (Prescription drugs - industry-backed)

=20

Field 36-45-19

LA Times 38-43-19

Polimetrix 39-45-16

KN 51-49-0

=20

Prop. 79 (Prescription drugs - consumer-backed)

=20

LA Times 30-47-23

Field 37-43-20

Polimetrix 43-40-17

KN 50-50-0

=20

Polimetrix n=3D924 likely voters, fielded 10/21-28

LA Times n=3D940 likely voters, fielded 10/26-31

Field Poll n=3D300 likely voters, fielded 10/25-30

Knowledge Networks n=3D378 likely voters, fielded 10/24-28

PPIC n=3D1,079 likely voters, fielded 10/16-23

SurveyUSA n=3D1,957 likely voters, fielded 10/29-31

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 16:17:20 -0500
Reply-To: Dave Howell <dahowell@ISR.UMICH.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Dave Howell <dahowell@ISR.UMICH.EDU>
Subject: American National Election Studies (ANES) Announcement
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Dear AAPOR Members,

I write on behalf of the new Principal Investigators of the American National Election Studies to convey exciting news about the project (see below press release).=20

Sincerely,
-Dave
David Howell
Director of Studies, ANES

October 26, 2005

NSF awards \$7.6 million for a more multi-faceted, broadly collaborative, and interdisciplinary American National Election Studies

The National Science Foundation (NSF) has awarded \$7.6 million to fund the American National Election Studies (ANES) to study the causes of voter participation and candidate choice in the 2008 U.S. presidential election. This award represents a dramatic increase in NSF's funding for the project, more than doubling the financial support it received during 2002-2005.

The substantial increase in funding for the project is the result of two years of advisory workshops held by NSF to evaluate the study's scientific value and innovative directions for its future. "This award allows us to conduct the project in much bigger and better ways than has ever been possible," said Arthur Lupia, one of two principal investigators of the project. "NSF's ringing endorsement of the project is a wonderful recognition of 50 years of important scholarship by hundreds of social scientists studying elections and will equip them superbly to continue this important work," said Jon Krosnick, also a principal investigator.

The centerpiece of the 2005-2009 study will be state-of-the-art hour-long interviews with thousands of Americans face-to-face in their homes both before and again after the November, 2008, election. The questionnaires will ask hundreds of questions of respondents, measuring their opinions on a wide array of political issues, their assessments of the health of the nation, their hopes for government action in the future, their perceptions of the candidates and their platforms, their

behavioral participation in the campaign and in politics more generally, and much more. Many of these questions have been asked identically every two years since the 1950s, allowing scholars to track changes in the American electorate over time.

In addition, a nationally representative sample of American adults will be recruited during 2007 and will answer questions once a month for 21 consecutive months, continuing well after the presidential inauguration in 2009. This will allow researchers to study which citizens change their candidate preferences when and why during the primaries and general election campaigns and how citizens react to the election outcome after the nation's new leader begins to govern.

A third component of the new project will be collaboration with another long-term national survey project, the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, run by the Ohio State University's Center for Human Resource Research. As a result, questions measuring political opinions and behavior will be asked of a representative sample of thousands of young adults every two years, illuminating patterns of long-term change of individuals across elections.

The November, 2008, pre-election and post-election face-to-face interviews will employ innovative new measurement techniques for the first time in the ANES, such as using laptop computers to display questions and answer choices confidentially to respondents and allowing them to answer secretly. In addition, for the first time, the computers will show respondents election-related photographs and videos to enhance measurement of what voters learn during the campaign.

The computers will also measure the speed with which respondents make judgments, using the latest techniques from social and cognitive psychology. This will entail the use of measurement tools that have been used extensively in laboratories around the world but have rarely been administered in surveys of representative national samples of adults.

Response speed measurement is one way to elucidate automatic processes that occur unconsciously in the brain and guide political thinking and action. "By combining self-reports that measure opinions and measurements of response speed, we can better understand the impact of sensitive attitudes, including prejudice and stereotyping." explained Lupia. =20

2006 will mark a substantial expansion of the number of academic disciplines that will influence and be served by the ANES. The Board of Overseers will double in size to include 20 world-renowned professors from political science, psychology, sociology, economics, and communication. =20

During the coming years, substantial efforts will be mounted to encourage scholars from all of these disciplines and others as well to submit proposals about how the study should be designed and what questions should be asked of the survey respondents.

The ANES has conducted gold-standard national surveys every two years since then to equip scholars around the world to study American voting behavior and election outcomes. Thousands of books, journal articles, book chapters, and conference presentations have been based upon ANES data during the last five decades. It was created by the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research (ISR) in 1952 and is now administered jointly by ISR and Stanford University's Institute for Research in the Social Sciences.=20

* * * * *

Related Links:

ANES Homepage: <http://www.electionstudies.org>
IRiSS: <http://www.stanford.edu/group/iriss/index.html>=20
ISR: <http://www.isr.umich.edu/>
Krosnick Homepage:
<http://communication.stanford.edu/faculty/krosnick.html>
Lupia Homepage: <http://www.umich.edu/~lupia>

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 16:20:44 -0500
Reply-To: MKlette@AOL.COM
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mary Klette <MKlette@AOL.COM>
Subject: e-mail use
Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Does anyone have any information on percentage of Americans who regularly use e-mail (home and work). Failing that, numbers of people who have computers at home vs. those who only have use at work.

Need information for a grant I am writing.

Thanks.

Mary Klette

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 16:46:35 -0500
Reply-To: Ken Sherrill <ken@KENSHERILL.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Ken Sherrill <ken@KENSHERILL.COM>
Subject: Re: American National Election Studies (ANES) Announcement
Comments: To: Dave Howell <dahowell@ISR.UMICH.EDU>, AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <57FB79AC7A8713428736C8FA2BD20C64AAE451@isr-mail1.ad.isr.umich.edu>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

I strongly suggest that you include a measure of sexual orientation in NES. Leaving sexual orientation out of the study deprives us of critical information about a minority whose rights are intensely contested in American elections. Currently, NES data tell us what people think about LGBT people and LGBT rights but the data tell us nothing about the characteristics, attitudes, or behaviors of LGBT people.

Ken Sherrill

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Dave Howell
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2005 4:17 PM
To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
Subject: American National Election Studies (ANES) Announcement

Dear AAPOR Members,

I write on behalf of the new Principal Investigators of the American National Election Studies to convey exciting news about the project (see below press release).

Sincerely,
-Dave
David Howell
Director of Studies, ANES

October 26, 2005

NSF awards \$7.6 million for a more multi-faceted, broadly collaborative, and interdisciplinary American National Election Studies

The National Science Foundation (NSF) has awarded \$7.6 million to fund the American National Election Studies (ANES) to study the causes of voter participation and candidate choice in the 2008 U.S. presidential election. This award represents a dramatic increase in NSF's funding for the project, more than doubling the financial support it received during 2002-2005.

The substantial increase in funding for the project is the result of two years of advisory workshops held by NSF to evaluate the study's scientific value and innovative directions for its future. "This award allows us to conduct the project in much bigger and better ways than has ever been possible," said Arthur Lupia, one of two principal investigators of the

project. "NSF's ringing endorsement of the project is a wonderful recognition of 50 years of important scholarship by hundreds of social scientists studying elections and will equip them superbly to continue this important work," said Jon Krosnick, also a principal investigator.

The centerpiece of the 2005-2009 study will be state-of-the-art hour-long interviews with thousands of Americans face-to-face in their homes both before and again after the November, 2008, election. The questionnaires will ask hundreds of questions of respondents, measuring their opinions on a wide array of political issues, their assessments of the health of the nation, their hopes for government action in the future, their perceptions of the candidates and their platforms, their behavioral participation in the campaign and in politics more generally, and much more. Many of these questions have been asked identically every two years since the 1950s, allowing scholars to track changes in the American electorate over time.

In addition, a nationally representative sample of American adults will be recruited during 2007 and will answer questions once a month for 21 consecutive months, continuing well after the presidential inauguration in 2009. This will allow researchers to study which citizens change their candidate preferences when and why during the primaries and general election campaigns and how citizens react to the election outcome after the nation's new leader begins to govern.

A third component of the new project will be collaboration with another long-term national survey project, the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, run by the Ohio State University's Center for Human Resource Research. As a result, questions measuring political opinions and behavior will be asked of a representative sample of thousands of young adults every two years, illuminating patterns of long-term change of individuals across elections.

The November, 2008, pre-election and post-election face-to-face interviews will employ innovative new measurement techniques for the first time in the ANES, such as using laptop computers to display questions and answer choices confidentially to respondents and allowing them to answer secretly. In addition, for the first time, the computers will show respondents election-related photographs and videos to enhance measurement of what voters learn during the campaign.

The computers will also measure the speed with which respondents make judgments, using the latest techniques from social and cognitive psychology. This will entail the use of measurement tools that have been used extensively in laboratories around the world but have rarely been administered in surveys of representative national samples of adults.

Response speed measurement is one way to elucidate automatic processes that occur unconsciously in the brain and guide political thinking and action. "By combining self-reports that measure opinions and measurements of response speed, we can better understand the impact of sensitive attitudes, including prejudice and stereotyping." explained Lupia.

2006 will mark a substantial expansion of the number of academic disciplines that will influence and be served by the ANES. The Board of Overseers will

double in size to include 20 world-renowned professors from political science, psychology, sociology, economics, and communication.

During the coming years, substantial efforts will be mounted to encourage scholars from all of these disciplines and others as well to submit proposals about how the study should be designed and what questions should be asked of the survey respondents.

The ANES has conducted gold-standard national surveys every two years since then to equip scholars around the world to study American voting behavior and election outcomes. Thousands of books, journal articles, book chapters, and conference presentations have been based upon ANES data during the last five decades. It was created by the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research (ISR) in 1952 and is now administered jointly by ISR and Stanford University's Institute for Research in the Social Sciences.

* * * * *

Related Links:

ANES Homepage: <http://www.electionstudies.org>

IRiSS: <http://www.stanford.edu/group/iriss/index.html>

ISR: <http://www.isr.umich.edu/>

Krosnick Homepage:

<http://communication.stanford.edu/faculty/krosnick.html>

Lupia Homepage: <http://www.umich.edu/~lupia>

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 16:53:30 -0500

Reply-To: wcarter@mcic.org

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: "wcarter@mcic.org" <wcarter@MCIC.ORG>

Subject: Employee Attitude Survey questions

Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

We are doing an employee attitude survey for a bank and I have two questions:

1=2E Does anyone have any similar data we could benchmark against for fre=
e=3F=20

I know where to get some at cost, but maybe we can share data to mutual

benefit=2E

2=2E Any advice on presentation of data=3F The reports I have seen don't= seem

very digestable=2E Too many numbers, too many graphs, few differences between years, so it is hard to tell much of a story=2E Has anyone develo= ped

ways to present this data that guides the client to actionable issues=3F

Thanks,

Woody

Woody Carter

Director of Research

Metro Chicago Information Center

mail2web - Check your email from the web at
<http://mail2web=2Ecom/> =2E

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2005 16:55:57 -0500
Reply-To: Alice Robbin <arobbin@INDIANA.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Alice Robbin <arobbin@INDIANA.EDU>
Subject: Re: e-mail use
Comments: To: Mary Klette <MKlette@AOL.COM>
Comments: cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To: <25b.1ac805.309bd92c@aol.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed

See the Pew Internet surveys. I've been looking at these data over time. More than 90% of Internet users surveyed since 2000 are email users. You can also obtain information from the Pew surveys on computer ownership and use (home/work).

On Thu, 3 Nov 2005, Mary Klette wrote:

> Does anyone have any information on percentage of Americans who regularly
> use e-mail (home and work). Failing that, numbers of people who have
> computers
> at home vs. those who only have use at work.
>
> Need information for a grant I am writing.
>
> Thanks.

>
> Mary Klette
>
> -----
> Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
>

Alice Robbin, Associate Professor
School of Library & Information Science
Indiana University
021 Main Library
1320 East 10th Street
Bloomington, IN 47405-3907
Office: (812) 855-5389 Fax: (812) 855-6166
Email: arobbin@indiana.edu
Web Page: <http://ella.slis.indiana.edu/~arobbin/>

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Fri, 4 Nov 2005 08:48:51 -0500
Reply-To: "Lavrakas, Paul" <Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Lavrakas, Paul" <Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM>
Subject: Methodological research position opening at Nielsen Media Research
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu, SRMSNET@LISTSERV.UMD.EDU
Comments: cc: "Feeney, Kelly" <Kelly.Feeney@nielsenmedia.com>,
"Holden, Rosemary" <Rosemary.Holden@nielsenmedia.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Please pass this posting along to anyone you know who might be interested. Thanks, PJJ
=20

=20
METHODOLOGICAL RESEARCH ANALYST
=20

A fulltime position based in the Oldsmar FL (Tampa area):
=20

This position is responsible for helping to prepare and conduct moderately to highly complex research projects, many of which address survey nonresponse. =20

=20

The main objectives of this position are: =20

=20

- * Contribute to the initiation of research ideas.
- * Assist in the design and planning of research projects.
- * Execute data collection and data analysis activities for research projects.
- * Provide cost detail on projects.
- * Train associates in procedures used to conduct research projects

=20

Required Qualifications:

=20

* B.S. / B.A in Social Sciences, Marketing Research, or equivalent. 2 years experience directly related to research

* Solid knowledge of:

- Mechanics of research design for telephone, mail, in-person and web surveys including nonresponse issue and use of incentives
- Questionnaire construction and flowcharting
- Sampling methods for various data collection approaches
- Data analysis (SPSS and/or SAS) including coding and editing of raw data, tabulation and summary measures for research data

=20

Desired Qualifications:

=20

- *General media industry knowledge
- *Experience conducting Focus Groups
- *Knowledge of project costing procedures
- *Spanish Fluency (written and spoken)

=20

Nielsen Media Research is an equal opportunity employer. =20

=20

For more information or to apply to the position, please contact
www.NielsenMedia.com <<http://www.nielsenmedia.com/>> , go to Employment
Opportunities (Non-Field Jobs) and reference Job 200502679-KF.=20

=20

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Fri, 4 Nov 2005 08:06:02 -0600
Reply-To: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>
Subject: Job opportunities with NORC at the University of Chicago
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Professional Opportunities at NORC

**** Please forward this to any graduate students you know of who might =
be interested in this career-launching opportunity.****

NORC at the University of Chicago has two openings for Assistant =
Production Manager in their Telephone Survey Operations (TSO)

NORC's TSO is a substantial operation with over 350 booths, usually =
operating at between 75% and 100% capacity. The TSO management =
structure has 2 Directors, 4 Production Managers, 8 Assistant Production =
Managers, and 40 Supervisors. =20

The Assistant Production Manager positions are suitable for recent =
survey methodology graduate students and those who have been working in =
the survey industry in a survey specialist, IT, or telephone supervisor =
position for up to four years. =20

The position is carefully structured to provide relevant training and =
broad career development, and past incumbents have been offered =
positions in more senior roles in Operations, Survey Research and =
Methodology, Human Resources, and Information Technology.

The Assistant Production Manager has core responsibilities as well as =
rotating specialist assignments. The core responsibilities include:
=B7 Being the administrative manager and mentor for up to six =
supervisors
=B7 Being the floor manager overseeing up to 80 interviewers and up to =
10 supervisors for at least 15 hours a week, including some evening and =
weekend work, and monitoring the progress of active projects during that =
time.

=B7 Collating performance data for interviewers, and participating in =
feedback and performance counseling
=B7 Support to a Production Manager for a specific project. This will =
include testing of questionnaires (as the advocate for the interviewers =
and expert user of the CATI system) and, once wording and structure is =
agreed, testing the CATI program for adherence to both questionnaire and =
scheduling rule specifications. It will also include sample management; =
monitoring and analysis of key indicators such as resolution rate, =
screener rate, and completion rate; scheduling and analysis of quality =
control interview monitoring sessions; report preparation; and general =
troubleshooting. =20

Specialist assignments include:

=B7 Supervisor and interviewer scheduling

=B7 Coordination of resource deployment (booths, training rooms, =
trainings, etc)

=B7 Input into, and delivery of, training programs, of both supervisors =
and interviewers, for both general skills and project briefings. =20

=B7 Interviewer recruitment campaigns

=B7 Computer system support and troubleshooting=20

=B7 Sample frame compilation and/or cleaning, using both external =
vendors such as Targus, and running internal locating operations with =
clerks doing web searches.

The salary range is \$43,000 to \$54,000, with health and other benefits. =

For immediate consideration, send a brief letter of interest and =
electronic r=E9sum=E9 to: norc-recruiter@norc.org.

For more information, please visit www.norc.org. =20

NORC is an affirmative action, equal opportunity employer (M/F/V/D) that
values & actively seeks diversity in the workforce.

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Fri, 4 Nov 2005 10:19:07 -0600
Reply-To: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>
Subject: November issue of Public Opinion Pros is up
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Dear AAPOR members -

For those of you who are interested, the November issue of Public
Opinion Pros is now available at our website. Articles this month

include an analysis of self-identified conservatives and how conservative they really are; a fascinating exploration of how events might affect an election forecasting tool; and a look at the career of retiring Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor in the court of public opinion. We also have a short but telling data feature on President George W. Bush's approval ratings among his faithful, and an excerpt from a new book of essays on the meaning of American democracy, edited by Robert Y. Shapiro.=20

An overview of the full contents of the issue is accessible to nonsubscribers at=20

http://www.publicopinionpros.com/from_editor/2005/nov/editor.asp =20

Author guidelines can also be freely accessed from our homepage at

www.PublicOpinionPros.com

We are presently scheduling content for our January and February issues. As always, we are seeking manuscripts and proposals for magazine-style articles on subjects relating to public opinion and polling. Commentary pieces of 500-800 words that will not become dated by the time of publication are particularly welcome. Please send your queries directly to me at editor@PublicOpinionPros.com. =20

Thank you for your interest in POP. I look forward to hearing from you.

Best wishes -

Lisa

Lisa Ferraro Parmelee, Ph.D.
Manager, LFP Editorial Enterprises, LLC
Editor, Public Opinion Pros
www.PublicOpinionPros.com

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Fri, 4 Nov 2005 11:46:01 -0500
Reply-To: bdautch@CMOR.ORG
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Brian Dautch <bdautch@CMOR.ORG>
Subject: Quick Census Update
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

Dear AAPORNetters,

While I was on Capitol Hill late this week on several issues, I was sure

to include face to face visits to the offices of every conferrees who is weighing the Census funding issue. I stopped in on both the House and Senate sides.

I couldn't get a clear picture of exactly where in the process the bill was yesterday afternoon, but I reiterated the support of the full survey, market, and opinion research profession for as much Census funding as possible.

If timing allows, we are open to more Hill visits in the near future, depending on the progress made by the Commerce, Justice, Science subcommittee on this bill.

On behalf of CMOR, I'd like to thank any and all organizations, associations, and individuals who have contacted Member of Congress on this highly important issue. I'd say this is a fine example of all concerned parties working as hard as possible to achieve a universally beneficial result.

All best,
Brian

Brian Dautch
Director of Government Affairs

CMOR
Promoting and Advocating Survey Research
7475 Wisconsin Ave., Suite 300
Bethesda, MD 20814
ph: (301) 654-6601
fax: (208) 693-0564
bdautch@cmor.org

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Fri, 4 Nov 2005 15:34:58 -0500
Reply-To: bdautch@CMOR.ORG
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Brian Dautch <bdautch@CMOR.ORG>
Subject: Census funded at \$812 million
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

Dear AAPORNetters,

The Senate has agreed to fund the Census Bureau at \$812 million, which was the House-authorized figure we were hoping for.

Granted, that figure is still below the President's request by about \$75

million, but the Bureau has said that they would be able to work well with a figure of \$812.

This represents a tremendous victory for the research profession. Many thanks to all of you who played such important roles in this process!

All best,
Brian

Brian Dautch
Director of Government Affairs

CMOR
Promoting and Advocating Survey Research
7475 Wisconsin Ave., Suite 300
Bethesda, MD 20814
ph: (301) 654-6601
fax: (208) 693-0564
bdautch@cmor.org

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Fri, 4 Nov 2005 16:02:00 -0500
Reply-To: david_ginsburg@choic-hotels.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: David Ginsburg <david_ginsburg@CHOICEHOTELS.COM>
Subject: Senior Director of Research Job Posting
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8BIT

Following is the job posting for the open position: Senior Director, Consumer Information Systems, at Choice Hotels International. Please note, the 5+ years of travel industry experience is a requirement.

Manage \$3 million in research investments to provide all functions of the company with business intelligence about market opportunities/threats, consumer trends, and competitive intelligence. This position is responsible for establishing and monitor corporate performance metrics, including the Guest Insight System based on over 2 million customer surveys, US Franchisee Satisfaction Survey based on 1,000 franchisee and GM interviews annually (\$650,000 budget for internal, proprietary system is equivalent to \$3 million budget for similar competitor guest satisfaction systems), the US Guest Tracking Survey based on over 65,000 stays for Choice brands and competition each year.

Set performance goals for senior management, marketing management and brand management to support annual corporate strategic plan, brand plans and

marketing plans. Present implications and recommendations based on research findings. Develop and execute annual research plan to support key company initiatives.

Responsibilities

Manage research initiatives to support corporate strategic plan. Set and monitor yearly performance goals for senior management and middle management used to set compensation, based on survey results among licensees, consumers and other key constituencies. Write reports, as necessary, for Board of Directors.

Interact directly with senior management, IOC representatives, fair franchising, franchise services, brand management, marketing management, partner services, legal department, HR and organizational development to provide business intelligence based on research.

Determine if business needs require sophisticated data analysis (modeling, regression analysis, etc.). Implement analysis internally or manage outside vendors to obtain necessary information. Translate statistical data into business intelligence for company clients.

Measure performance of the CHI national marketing efforts, including national advertising, rewards programs and national promotions. Utilize diagnostic information to recommend enhancements to national marketing activity.

Develop and maintain statistical models to assess performance of U.S. properties from guest feedback to directly impact each property's Quality Assurance Review (QAR) and potential Corrective Action Plan (CAP).

Present implications and recommendations for all studies based on research findings. Educate managers and staff on appropriate use and interpretation of research information.

Develop annual and long-term research plans to ensure comprehensive

measurement of the marketplace, consumer dynamics and competition over time.

Work with all functional areas of the company to develop and annual research plan for the company. Ensure measurement for all key planks of the Choice strategic pyramid.

Regularly monitor key aspects of consumer attitudes/behavior to ensure research address all relevant dimensions (e.g., brand awareness, brand consideration, imagery, hotel selection process, etc.)

Develop and execute annual communications plan to support research initiatives, including writing senior management briefing presentations

Develop, execute and implement major research projects for the company, such as industry positioning studies, brand re-positioning, marketing modeling, etc.

Ensure process leverages prior learning and provides the organization with new learning about its customers and market.

Qualifications

Eight or more years of experience in marketing research including design, analysis and presentation of results. At least 5 years of experience in the travel industry. At least 3+ years of managerial experience.

Proven ability to interact effectively at all levels within the organization, including senior management.

Strong leadership skills

Both supplier and client side marketing research experience a plus.

Familiarity with a full range of qualitative and quantitative research methods.

Proven ability to negotiate with and motivate outside vendors successfully.

Demonstrated ability to report research results in written reports and oral presentations.

Working knowledge of statistics and databases.

An advanced degree in marketing, marketing research, social sciences, business management or equivalent work experience preferred.

With Choice, you can enjoy attractive compensation and excellent benefits including 401(k), Employee Stock Purchase Plan and more. Please apply for Job Req. #290903 at:
http://erecruit.choicehotels.com/index.html?&JOB_NBR1=290903

e-mail wezi_kachipande@choicehotels.com EOE, we value diversity. All qualified candidates are encouraged to apply.

We'll see you there.

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====
Date: Fri, 4 Nov 2005 16:52:21 -0500
Reply-To: Dave Howell <dahowell@ISR.UMICH.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Dave Howell <dahowell@ISR.UMICH.EDU>
Subject: ANES: A Time-Sensitive Request For Advice From the American
National Election Studies. Deadline November 9.
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Dear AAPOR Members,

I am forwarding the below email on behalf of the Principal Investigators of the American National Election Studies.

Please email responses directly to Jon Krosnick (krosnick@stanford.edu) and Arthur Lupia (lupia@isr.umich.edu) off-list. The questionnaire will be made available on the ANES website (www.electionstudies.org) once it is finalized.

Thanks, and best wishes,
-Dave
David Howell
Director of Studies, ANES

Dear AAPOR Members,

We are writing to ask for your advice on a time-sensitive matter involving the ANES.

On October 17, Stanford and Michigan announced that NSF has funded a major expansion of the American National Election Studies, and we will serve as principal investigators. In addition to the traditional pre-election/post-election face-to-face interviews that will be conducted in 2008, we will be launching some exciting new data collection efforts, since the budget for the project has nearly tripled (you can learn more about this announcement at www.electionstudies.org).

One of these new efforts was required by NSF's call for proposals: to collaborate with a long-term panel study to permit tracking a large, nationally representative sample of respondents as far into the future as possible.

We have done so by joining forces with the National Longitudinal Surveys (<http://www.bls.gov/nls/home.htm>), paying the cost of including 4 minutes of political questions in their questionnaires for the first time in their history. This is the first step toward collecting data every two years on a very large cohort of young adults and their parents.

This is where you come in. Data collection for this survey will begin in just a few months, and NLS has given us until November 11 to finalize the questions we will include. We are writing to ask for your advice about which questions would best serve the ANES user community.

Here's some background on this study, called the CNLSY:

The CNLSY began in 1986, collecting data biennially on all children born to a large nationally representative cohort of American women (who are interviewed biennially as well), and as these women had more children, they have been added to the CNLSY sample. As of 2002, 7,467 were being studied (ranging in age from birth to 32 years). Children completed various cognitive, socio-emotional, and physiological assessment instruments, and information about the child has been obtained from the child's mother. Children who are at least 15 years old (4,238 in 2002) have been administered long questionnaires, documenting household structure, religious experiences, dating and marriage, schooling, military experience, employment, fertility, physical and mental health, income and financial assets, self-esteem, attitudes toward risk-taking, parent/child relations, computer use, substance use, criminal activity, sexual activity, participation in community activities, cognitive skills, and much, much more.

Since time is very short, we are jumpstarting the conversation with a list of questions for you to consider (see attached). We have selected these questions with the following principles in mind:

- 1) We can fill 4 minutes of survey time.
- 2) We have been prohibited from measuring evaluations of specific

politicians or asking respondents which candidates they voted for or would vote for.

3) We therefore see at least two primary values of this data collection effort (though there may be more):

- a. study the long-term dynamics and causes of voter turnout, and
- b. study inter-generational transmission and similarity/differences of political attitudes and behavior (since we will be asking questions of these respondents' parents as well).

4) Because we are beginning a new study, we are free to design the wording and formatting of questions in ways that are methodologically optimal for maximizing reliability and validity, according to the large accumulated literature on questionnaire design throughout the social sciences.

5) Because we intend for these questions to be repeatedly asked of these respondents every two years throughout their lives, the questions must be phrased in generic ways so that they retain their meaning over time and are understood as similarly as possible by all subgroups of the American public.

6) We have used the ANES time series study questionnaires as a starting point for generating the items we are proposing.

7) We would like the questions to be of use to as many scholars as possible.

You should not feel a need to privilege these proposed questions if you think that they are suboptimal. If you would like to propose other questions, please do.

This attached proposed question set includes much more than four minutes of questions, to give you an array of choices to consider. By the end of next week, we will have to cut this list down considerably.

Please tell us your thoughts about how best to use the four minutes. This may involve: rank ordering the questions we have submitted, offering different questions, some combination of the two activities, or some other form of feedback.

We are happy to receive your comments by e-mail (krosnick@stanford.edu, lupia@isr.umich.edu), telephone (Jon: 650-725-3031; Skip: 734-647-7549), or fax (Jon: 650-725-2472; Skip: 734-764-3341), though we prefer email. We need to hear from you by NOVEMBER 9 at the latest.

All future aspects of the new ANES will be designed with considerably more formal and slow-paced guidance from scholars around the world from multiple disciplines. But unfortunately, this first step of ours must be taken fast. We really appreciate your willingness to help us through this one.

Sincerely,

Jon Krosnick and Arthur Lupia
Principal Investigators
American National Election Studies

=20

Background Information from the Newly Funded ANES Proposal

"The innovation we propose is a partnership we have negotiated with the Center for Human Resource Research (CHRR) at the Ohio State University. Since the 1960s, CHRR has been conducting longitudinal surveys with nationally representative panels of adults and children, funded by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and other federal agencies. Our focus is on two of these surveys: The National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (known as the NLSY79) and the Children of the NLSY (CNLSY) Young Adult component."

"We have been invited to include up to four minutes of questions in each of the 2006 and 2008 Young Adult surveys. In 2006, these questions would be administered to respondents ages 21 or older. In 2008, they would be administered to all Young Adult respondents, subject to OMB approval for those aged 15-20. We will then have access to all of the data collected on those respondents in those years, plus all the data collected on them in all previous years. We have reached a tentative agreement that our four minutes of questions can include standard ANES measures of interest in politics, exposure to political news, behavioral participation in politics, voter registration status, voter turnout, liberal/conservative ideology, attitudes on government policy issues, political party identification, perceived parental political party identification, perceived parental interest in politics, and frequency of discussing politics with parents. This list of questions can be altered in response to feedback from the ANES community of scholars, with approval from the NLSY79 Young Adult principal investigators."

"We view these invitations as exciting opportunities for political scientists to vastly expand the palette of measures with which we can correlate important political attitudes and behaviors and to offer new opportunities to examine cross-generational correspondence, to complement the original Jennings work. In addition, because we can administer the same questions in both the 2006 and 2008 CNLSY Young Adult surveys, we can begin to conduct longitudinal analyses making the most of the panel data to test causal hypotheses. All this is possible at remarkably minimal cost, because the burden of the expenses of these surveys is being carried by other funding agencies. If all goes well with these collaborations, it may be possible for ANES to purchase even more interview time on later waves of these surveys, though competition for inclusion in their questionnaires is considerable. Nonetheless, the NLS PIs have terrific interest in exploring the political experiences of their respondents for the first time and appreciate doing it in partnership with political scientists and other social scientists with expertise in the topic. Because the NLS community of scholars is composed primarily of economists, sociologists, and psychologists, our involvement in these surveys will considerably expand the

interdisciplinary potential of the ANES. And like the ANES's own data collections, the NLSY79 and CNLSY datasets are public goods, available to all scholars."

=20

MENU OF QUESTIONS TO CHOOSE AMONG FOR THE YA 2006 SURVEY

* REGISTRATION AND TURNOUT *

ANES20.=20

Are you currently registered to vote, or are you not registered?

- 1. REGISTERED
- 3. NOT REGISTERED
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES21.

Were you registered to vote in the 2004 U.S. Presidential Election, or were you not registered?

- 1. REGISTERED
- 3. NOT REGISTERED
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES22.

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they were sick or they just didn't have time. How about you--did you vote in the 2004 U.S. presidential election, or did you not vote in that election?

- 1. VOTED
- 3. DID NOT VOTE
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

=20

* EFFICACY AND TRUST *

ANES17.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right - Always, most of the time, about half the time, rarely, or never?=20

- 1. ALWAYS
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME=20
- 3. ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4. RARELY
- 5. NEVER
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES18.

How much do you think government officials care what people like you think? A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all?

1. A GREAT DEAL
 2. A LOT
 3. A MODERATE AMOUNT
 4. A LITTLE
 5. NOT AT ALL
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES19.

How much attention do you feel the federal government pays to what the American people think when it decides what to do? A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all?

1. A GREAT DEAL
 2. A LOT
 3. A MODERATE AMOUNT
 4. A LITTLE
 5. NONE AT ALL
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

* IDEOLOGY AND PARTY IDENTIFICATION *

ANES23.

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as liberal, conservative, or neither liberal nor conservative?

1. LIBERAL
 3. CONSERVATIVE
 5. NEITHER
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES23a.

(ASK IF ANES23=3D1)

Extremely liberal or moderately liberal?

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
 2. MODERATELY LIBERAL
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES23b.

(ASK IF ANES23=3D3)

Extremely conservative or moderately conservative?

1. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
 2. MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES23c.

(ASK IF ANES23=3D5,D)

Do you lean toward thinking of yourself as liberal, lean toward thinking of yourself as conservative, or don't you lean either way?

1. LEAN TOWARD LIBERAL
2. LEAN TOWARD CONSERVATIVE
3. DON'T LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES24.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or what?

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. INDEPENDENT
4. OTHER PARTY
5. NO PREFERENCE
6. APOLITICAL
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES24a.

(ASK IF ANES25=3D4)

What party?

SPECIFY: _____

ANES24b.

(ASK IF ANES24=3D1)

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

1. STRONG=20
3. NOT VERY STRONG=20
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES24c.

(ASK IF ANES24=3D2)

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

1. STRONG=20
3. NOT VERY STRONG=20
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES24d.

(ASK IF ANES24=3D3,4,5,6,D)

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican party, closer to

the Democratic party, or equally close to both?

1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN PARTY
3. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC PARTY
5. EQUALLY CLOSE=20
7. NEITHER [VOLUNTEERED]
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

=20

* INTEREST IN POLITICS AND INFORMATION EXPOSURE *

ANES01.

How interested are you in politics? Extremely interested, very interested, moderately interested, slightly interested, or not interested at all?=20

1. EXTREMELY INTERESTED=20
2. VERY INTERESTED
3. MODERATELY INTERESTED=20
4. SLIGHTLY INTERESTED
5. NOT INTERESTED AT ALL
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES02.

How often do you follow what's going on in government and public affairs? All the time, most of the time, about half the time, once in a while, or never?

1. ALL THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. ABOUT HALF THE TIME
4. ONCE IN A WHILE
5. NEVER
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES03.

During a typical week, how many days do you watch the news on TV?

- 0-7. DAYS
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES04.

During a typical week, how many days do you read political news in a newspaper or on the Internet?

- 0-7. DAYS
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES05.

During a typical week, how many days do you listen to political news on the radio?

0-7. DAYS

D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]

R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]

N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

* POLICY PREFERENCES *

ANES06.

Do you think that the government should provide fewer services to people, should provide more services, or should provide about the same number of services as it provides now?

1. FEWER

3. MORE

5. ABOUT THE SAME

D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]

R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]

N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES06a.

(ASK IF ANES06=3D1)

A lot fewer or somewhat fewer?

1. A LOT FEWER=20

3. SOMEWHAT FEWER=20

D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]

R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]

N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES06b.

(ASK IF ANES06=3D3)

A lot more or somewhat more?

1. A LOT MORE=20

3. SOMEWHAT MORE=20

D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]

R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]

N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES06c.

(ASK IF ANES06=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward government providing fewer services, lean toward government providing more services, or don't you lean either way?

1. LEAN TOWARD FEWER

3. LEAN TOWARD MORE

5. DON'T LEAN

D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]

R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]

N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES07.

How much do you think the government should do to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed? A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or nothing at all?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. A LOT
3. A MODERATE AMOUNT
4. NOTHING AT ALL
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES08.

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose creating a government insurance plan to pay for all of the medical and hospital expenses for all Americans?

1. FAVOR
3. OPPOSE
5. NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES08a.

(ASK IF ANES08=3D1,3)

Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
3. NOT STRONGLY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES08b.

(ASK IF ANES08=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward favoring, lean toward opposing, or don't you lean either way?

1. LEAN TOWARD FAVORING
3. LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING
5. DO NOT LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES09.

How much do you think the government in Washington should do to see to it that no Americans live in poverty?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. A LOT
3. A MODERATE AMOUNT
4. A LITTLE
5. NOTHING AT ALL
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES10.

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the death penalty for people convicted of first degree murder?

1. FAVOR
3. OPPOSE
5. NEITHER FAVOR NOT OPPOSE
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES10a.

(ASK IF ANES10=3D1,3)

Strongly or only somewhat?

1. STRONGLY
3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES10b.

(ASK IF ANES10=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward favoring it, lean toward opposing it, or don't you lean either way?

1. LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT
3. LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT
5. DO NOT LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

* GOVERNMENT SPENDING *

ANES11.

Should the amount of money the government spends on social security be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES11a.

(ASK IF ANES11=3D1)

Increased a lot or only somewhat?

1. A LOT
3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES11b.

(ASK IF ANES11=3D3)

Decreased a lot or only somewhat?

1. A LOT
3. ONLY SOMEWHAT

- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES11c.

(ASK IF ANES11=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward increasing it, decreasing it, or don't you lean either way?

- 1. INCREASING IT
- 3. DECREASING IT
- 5. DO NOT LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES12.

Should the amount of money the government spends on fighting crime be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES12a.

(ASK IF ANES12=3D1)

Increased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES12b.

(ASK IF ANES12=3D3)

Decreased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES12c.

(ASK IF ANES12=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward increasing it, decreasing it, or don't you lean either way?

- 1. INCREASING IT
- 3. DECREASING IT
- 5. DO NOT LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES13.

Should the amount of money the government spends on protecting the natural environment be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES13a.

(ASK IF ANES13=3D1)

Increased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES13b.

(ASK IF ANES13=3D3)

Decreased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES13c.

(ASK IF ANES13=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward increasing it, decreasing it, or don't you lean either way?

- 1. INCREASING IT
- 3. DECREASING IT
- 5. DO NOT LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES14.

Should the amount of money the government spends on education be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES14a.

(ASK IF ANES14=3D1)

Increased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT

- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES14b.

(ASK IF ANES14=3D3)

Decreased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES14c.

(ASK IF ANES14=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward increasing it, decreasing it, or don't you lean either way?

- 1. INCREASING IT
- 3. DECREASING IT
- 5. DO NOT LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES15.

Should the amount of money the government spends on helping poor people be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES15a.

(ASK IF ANES15=3D1)

Increased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES15b.

(ASK IF ANES15=3D3)

Decreased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES15c.

(ASK IF ANES15=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward increasing it, decreasing it, or don't you lean either way?

- 1. INCREASING IT
- 3. DECREASING IT
- 5. DO NOT LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES16.

Should the amount of money the government spends on the military be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES16a.

(ASK IF ANES16=3D1)

Increased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES16b.

(ASK IF ANES16=3D3)

Decreased a lot or only somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. ONLY SOMEWHAT
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES16c.

(ASK IF ANES16=3D5,7,D)

Do you lean toward increasing it, decreasing it, or don't you lean either way?

- 1. INCREASING IT
- 3. DECREASING IT
- 5. DO NOT LEAN EITHER WAY
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

=20

* PARENTS *

ANES25.

When you were growing up, did your mother think of herself mostly as a Democrat, as a Republican, as an Independent or what?

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. INDEPENDENT
4. OTHER
8. DOESN'T KNOW MOTHER'S BELIEFS=20
9. R HAD NO MOTHER FIGURE (=3D>GO TO ANES27)
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES25a.

(ASK IF ANES25=3D4)

What party?

SPECIFY: _____

ANES26.

How often did she follow what was going on in government and public affairs? All the time, most of the time, about half the time, once in a while, or never?

1. ALL THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. ABOUT HALF THE TIME
4. ONCE IN A WHILE
5. NEVER
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES27.

Please think about your father, stepfather, or someone else who was most like a father to you when you were growing up. Did he think of himself mostly as a Democrat, as a Republican, as an Independent or what?

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. INDEPENDENT
4. OTHER
8. DOESN'T KNOW FATHER'S BELIEFS=20
9. R HAD NO FATHER FIGURE (=3D>GO TO END)
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
- R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
- N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

ANES27a.

(ASK IF ANES27=3D4)

What party?

SPECIFY: _____

ANES28.

How often did he follow what was going on in government and public affairs? All the time, most of the time, about half the time, once in a while, or never?

1. ALL THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME

3. ABOUT HALF THE TIME
 4. ONCE IN A WHILE
 5. NEVER
- D. DON'T KNOW [VOLUNTEERED]
R. REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]
N. NOT ASCERTAINED [VOLUNTEERED]

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Sat, 5 Nov 2005 19:04:15 -0500
Reply-To: bdautch@CMOR.ORG
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Brian Dautch <bdautch@CMOR.ORG>
Subject: Census Follow Up
Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

Dear AAPORNetters:

Regarding the Census funding

Just to follow-up. This battle is not yet over. Although the Senate Appropriations Commerce Justice Science Subcommittee has agreed to the \$812 FY2006 Census Budget - the amount previously approved by the House - our work is not yet done.

We will remain engaged in this process to ensure that the full Senate Appropriations Committee agrees to this \$812 million level. And further, as the review process continues through Congress, that the Census remains funded to at least the \$812 million previously approved by the House.

We will therefore continue to work together to ensure protection of the research profession, and alert you if and when another call to action is necessary.

Thanks,
Brian

Brian Dautch
Director of Government Affairs

CMOR
Promoting and Advocating Survey Research
7475 Wisconsin Ave., Suite 300
Bethesda, MD 20814
ph: (301) 654-6601
fax: (208) 693-0564
bdautch@cmor.org

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Sat, 5 Nov 2005 20:39:59 -0800
Reply-To: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>
Subject: Re: Survey Research Methods - Recommended Articles/Books
Comments: To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
In-Reply-To: <002801c5c814\$3827f110\$0701a8c0@Laptop>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Some time back Melissa Marcello compiled a list of books for survey research methods courses, which I've saved. Now I'm going to be teaching a graduate seminar in survey research next semester, and I was wondering if I could obtain some syllabi from those who feel that their courses went successfully. URLs are fine, you don't have to send the document itself if it's online. I'll also be happy to compile a list, so you can send it to me personally and not to the whole list.

thanks
Leora

Dr. Leora Lawton
TechSociety Research
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572
www.techsociety.com

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Sun, 6 Nov 2005 23:31:55 -0500
Reply-To: Cliff Zukin <zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Cliff Zukin <zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU>
Subject: census funding resolution
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Colleagues:

I'm delighted to report to you that it appears the House-Senate conference committee has resolved the difference in Congressional support of the Census in favor of the fuller-funding House appropriation level of 812 million dollars. This is good news for all of us in the public opinion and survey research communities. While one can never be sure whether AAPOR's efforts

had any influence in this, I'm sure our weighing in didn't hurt. So I want to bring you this good news and thank all of you who took the time and effort to voice your opinions. Thanks in particular to Nancy Belden and Bob Groves at AAPOR, Brian Dautch and our partners at CMOR, CASRO, and MRA among others.

Cliff Zukin

Professor of Public Policy and Political Science

Edward J. Bloustein School, Rutgers University

President

American Association for Public Opinion Research

zukin@rci.rutgers.edu 732 932 2499 x712

Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy

33 Livingston Ave., Suite 202

New Brunswick, NJ 08901

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 10:31:20 -0500
Reply-To: "Bryant, Barbara" <bryantb@BUS.UMICH.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Bryant, Barbara" <bryantb@BUS.UMICH.EDU>
Subject: Re: census funding resolution
Comments: To: Cliff Zukin <zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU>, AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

The AP already reported that we three most recent past Census Directors, Ken Prewitt, Martha Farnsworth Riche, and myself (Barbara Everitt Bryant) got in a letter lobbying for funding and stressing importance of American Community Survey, so we added another voice to CMOR, CASRO, and MRA.=20

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Cliff Zukin

Sent: Sunday, November 06, 2005 11:32 PM

To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
Subject: census funding resolution

Colleagues:

I'm delighted to report to you that it appears the House-Senate conference

committee has resolved the difference in Congressional support of the Census

in favor of the fuller-funding House appropriation level of 812 million dollars. This is good news for all of us in the public opinion and survey

research communities. While one can never be sure whether AAPOR's efforts

had any influence in this, I'm sure our weighing in didn't hurt. So I want

to bring you this good news and thank all of you who took the time and effort to voice your opinions. Thanks in particular to Nancy Belden and

Bob Groves at AAPOR, Brian Dautch and our partners at CMOR, CASRO, and MRA

among others. =20

=20

Cliff Zukin

Professor of Public Policy and Political Science

Edward J. Bloustein School, Rutgers University

President=20

American Association for Public Opinion Research

zukin@rci.rutgers.edu 732 932 2499 x712

Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy

33 Livingston Ave., Suite 202

New Brunswick, NJ 08901

=20

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2005 12:34:49 -0500
Reply-To: pd@kerr-downs.com
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Phillip Downs <pd@KERR-DOWNS.COM>
Subject: Ft. Myers
Comments: To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

can anyone recommend a focus group facility in Ft. Myers Florida - thanks

Phillip E. Downs, PhD
Kerr & Downs Research
2992 Habersham Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32309
Phone: 850.906.3111
Fax: 850.906.3112
www.kerr-downs.com

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 9 Nov 2005 06:32:38 -0500
Reply-To: Diane Bowers <dbowers@CASRO.ORG>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Diane Bowers <dbowers@CASRO.ORG>
Subject: Re: census funding resolution
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset=iso-8859-1; reply-type=original
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Barbara's letter and those from other past Census Directors no doubt helped persuade the Committee. Here's the Census News Brief report sent to me by COPAFS for those who are interested. Diane

A House and Senate conference committee agreed last Thursday to give the Census Bureau \$812.237 million in Fiscal Year 2006, rejecting a much lower funding level adopted by the U.S. Senate in September. The Census Bureau received enough money to continue fielding the American Community Survey (ACS) for a second year and to add group quarters to the survey for the first time.

The 2006 Census Field Test, scheduled for next year in Travis County, Texas, and on the Cheyenne River Sioux Indian Reservation, South Dakota, also is likely to proceed, although original plans could be scaled back. Congress cut roughly \$10 million from the amount requested for 2010 census redesign activities.

The conference version of H.R. 2862, the Fiscal Year 2006 Science, State, Justice, Commerce, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, adopts the funding level approved by the House of Representatives in June. That amount is still \$65 million less than President Bush requested for the fiscal year that started October 1, 2005. The House Appropriations Committee originally cut \$45 million from the President's budget, \$25 million of which was earmarked for expenses related to the Census Bureau's new headquarters building in Suitland, MD. The full House cut an additional \$10 million from 2010 census planning activities and \$10 million from the Salaries and Expenses account to pay for anti-drug programs in the Justice Department.

In the conference report (H.Rept. 109-272), appropriators instructed the bureau to proceed with plans for the ACS and for updating the master address list and digital mapping system (TIGER) as proposed in the President's original budget. Within 60 days, the agency must submit a financial operating plan outlining how it will spend its FY06 funds. Lawmakers urged the Census Bureau to take steps to reduce the number of personal visits in its surveys, noting the high cost associated with field follow-up activities. With regard to ongoing research into ACS methods, conferees told the bureau to streamline data collection as much as possible and to ensure that survey questions are easy to understand.

Conferees commended the bureau for working with stakeholders to ensure an accurate count of Hispanic subgroups and for considering ways to include the population of Puerto Rico when reporting data on the United States. The conference bill includes language, first adopted last year, barring the Census Bureau from dropping the "Some other race" option from the race question.

The Census Bureau must also submit a report to Congress within 90 days, on the possibility of counting prisoners at their "permanent homes of record," instead of at their place of incarceration. Under current census residence rules, inmates are counted at the prison or jail in which they are held.

The bureau's Salaries and Expenses account, which funds ongoing economic and demographic data collection activities, received about \$198 million, the amount approved by the House. Conferees highlighted their interest in several trade reports and requested a one-time report on 2005 domestic sock production.

The House and Senate will vote separately on the conference bill, which cannot be amended, before the current Continuing Funding Resolution expires on November 18. The measure will then be sent to the President for his signature (or veto).

In related news of interest to many census data users, appropriators allocated roughly \$76.3 million for the Commerce Department's Bureau of Economic Analysis, \$5 million less than the President requested. BEA, a part of the Economics and Statistics Administration, publishes key measures of the economy, including Gross Domestic Product.

Former Census directors weigh in: In a letter last week to conferees, three former Census Bureau directors hailed the ACS as "a cost-effective innovation that provides detailed and timely information relevant to effective government" and a program that "will benefit the country disproportionately to the costs involved." Barbara Everitt Bryant (1989-92), Martha Farnsworth Riche (1992-97), and Kenneth Prewitt (1998-2000) also warned that inadequate field testing would put the 2010 census "at risk." The directors urged Congress "to reach a clear understanding [with the Commerce Department] about what the nation needs and can afford for the 2010 decennial census."

The directors' letter was circulated to all House members by Federalism and the Census Subcommittee Chairman Michael Turner (R-OH), Ranking Minority Member Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO), and member Carolyn Maloney (D-NY).

Numbers in thousands of dollars.

FY05 Funding

President's

Request

(FY06)

House

Mark

Senate

Mark
Final

FY06

Funding

Salaries & Expenses

\$196,110

\$220,029

\$198,029

\$183,029

\$198,029

Periodic Censuses & Programs

\$548,688

\$657,356

\$614,208

\$544,356

\$614,208

2010 Census Redesign

\$163,073

\$214,479

\$203,849

\$162,990

\$203,849

American Community Survey

\$144,122

\$169,948

\$169,948

\$144,059

\$169,948

MAF/TIGER Enhancements

\$81,449

\$79,799

\$79,799

\$79,799

\$79,799

TOTAL

\$744,798

\$877,385

\$812,237

\$727,385

\$812,237

Note: Periodic Censuses & Programs includes several other functions in addition to the three components of the 2010 decennial census function listed.

Census News Briefs are prepared by Terri Ann Lowenthal, an independent consultant in Washington, DC, with support from The Annie E. Casey Foundation and other organizations. Ms. Lowenthal is also a consultant to The Census Project, sponsored by the Communications Consortium Media Center. All views expressed in the News Briefs are solely those of the author. Please direct questions about the information in this News Brief to Ms. Lowenthal at 202/484-3067 or by e-mail at TerriAnn2K@aol.com. Please feel free to circulate this document to other interested individuals and organizations.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Bryant, Barbara" <bryantb@BUS.UMICH.EDU>
To: <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2005 10:31 AM
Subject: Re: census funding resolution

The AP already reported that we three most recent past Census Directors, Ken Prewitt, Martha Farnsworth Riche, and myself (Barbara Everitt Bryant) got in a letter lobbying for funding and stressing importance of American Community Survey, so we added another voice to CMOR, CASRO, and MRA.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Cliff Zukin
Sent: Sunday, November 06, 2005 11:32 PM
To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
Subject: census funding resolution

Colleagues:

I'm delighted to report to you that it appears the House-Senate conference committee has resolved the difference in Congressional support of the Census in favor of the fuller-funding House appropriation level of 812 million dollars. This is good news for all of us in the public opinion and survey research communities. While one can never be sure whether AAPOR's efforts had any influence in this, I'm sure our weighing in didn't hurt. So I want to bring you this good news and thank all of you who took the time and effort to voice your opinions. Thanks in particular to Nancy Belden and Bob Groves at AAPOR, Brian Dautch and our partners at CMOR, CASRO, and MRA among others.

Cliff Zukin

Professor of Public Policy and Political Science

Edward J. Bloustein School, Rutgers University

President

American Association for Public Opinion Research

zukin@rci.rutgers.edu 732 932 2499 x712

Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy

33 Livingston Ave., Suite 202

New Brunswick, NJ 08901

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:
aapornet-request@asu.edu

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

=====
Date: Wed, 9 Nov 2005 08:52:04 -0600
Reply-To: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>
Subject: Second International Conference on e-Social Science June 2006
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Second International Conference on e-Social Science June 2006

It is with great pleasure that I announce the Second International
Conference on e-Social Science which will take place from the 28th -

30th June 2006. A call for papers has been released and further details are available from our website.

Proceedings of the First International Conference are available from our website.

=20

Fellowships

The next quarterly deadline for fellowship applications is the 31st of December 2005. Please see our website for details of how to apply. The fellowships are open internationally.=20

=20

Win a 1Gb memory stick in our "Insight" competition

The closing date to win a 1Gb memory stick is the 2nd of December so there is still time to enter. =20

Insight, the area of the NCeSS website dedicated to e-social science learning resources, is now live. It features online tutorials, guides and frequently asked questions on e-research topics, along with the opportunity to request the kinds of courses and materials you would like to see. More content is currently in the pipeline with exemplar case studies and demonstrator walk-throughs coming soon.

Details of all the above can be found on our website at <http://www.ncess.ac.uk/>

=20

Best wishes

Gillian Sinclair

=20

=20

Dr Gillian Sinclair

Programme Manager

ESRC National Centre for e-Social Science

University of Manchester
Dover Street Building

Dover Street
Manchester=20

M13 9PL

UK

Email: gillian.sinclair@ncess.ac.uk

Web: <http://www.ncess.ac.uk> <<http://www.ncess.ac.uk/>>=20

Tel: 0161-275-1380

Fax: 0161-275-1390

Join our monthly mailing list at: <http://www.ncess.ac.uk/newsletter>

=20

=20

=20

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

Date: Wed, 9 Nov 2005 12:26:13 -0500

Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>

Subject: Pollster John Zogby Discusses Interactive Polling

Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Pollster John Zogby Discusses Interactive Polling

Recently there have been a number of questions about Zogby Interactive polling; here is a statement from Pollster John Zogby addressing those questions:

Zogby International's groundbreaking new Zogby Interactive poll is the wave of the future. The strength of the results of the 2004 Presidential election has validated the new method. Zogby Interactive accurately predicted the winner in 85% of the states that it polled, while by state, the poll was within 4 points on average.=20

Zogby International began development of the Zogby Interactive poll 1998. After six years of research and development, Zogby Interactive was debuted for the 2004 election. Zogby Interactive joined Harris Interactive as a leader in the field of Online polling.

SNIP

<http://www.zogby.com/news/ReadNews.dbm?ID=3D1039>

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta
Research Director
Art & Science Group, LLC
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore MD 21209

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Wed, 9 Nov 2005 15:15:49 -0600
Reply-To: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>
Subject: Job Opportunity
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
Comments: cc: pdmurray@monmouth.edu
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Research Associate

Reports to Director of the Monmouth University Polling Institute.

=20

Primary Responsibilities: Assist in all aspects of conducting survey research. Prepare data for processing and create data analysis runs.

Draft, format, and proof all survey materials, including questionnaires and reports. Create tables, graphs, charts and PowerPoint presentations. Manage all stages of data collection and monitor external vendors. Perform background research and literature reviews, including national and state polling databases. Track local, state, and national issues and public opinion trends. Maintain electronic databases of survey results for internal use and public access.

=20

The successful candidate must have a Bachelor's Degree in Social Science (e.g. Survey Methodology, Public Policy, Economics, Sociology, Psychology, Political Science, Communications) or related field; Master's degree is strongly preferred. =20

Position requires 1 to 3 years related work experience in survey methodology and project management.=20

Must have quantitative and qualitative analytical ability. Must be

flexible, have strong attention to detail and be able to multi-task while meeting tight deadlines.

Experience with statistical packages is required (SPSS preferred).

GIS experience a plus. =20

Spanish proficiency a plus. =20

Excellent interpersonal, organizational and oral and written communication skills required.

=20

FRINGE BENEFITS INCLUDE:

- * Group Health, Life, Dental, Vision and Travel Accident Insurance
- * Tuition Remission including spouse and dependent children
- * 13 Holidays
- * 20 Vacation days after one year of employment
- * Choice of 3 pension plans with 8% University contribution after

one year of employment

- * Long Term Disability Insurance Plan
- * Flexible Spending Accounts: Medical/Dental and Dependent Care

=20

The Monmouth University Polling Institute is a full service research center that conducts survey and focus group research for federal, state, and local agencies, as well as for non-profit organizations covering social, political, and related issues of public policy. The Polling Institute also works with faculty and other institutes at Monmouth University to provide resources for integrated research, including opportunities for students to conduct and use original research. An ongoing activity of the institute is the Monmouth University/Gannett New Jersey Poll. These periodic polls measure the public's response to current issues in New Jersey and the region. This is an excellent opportunity for a well-trained candidate to enhance career skills within an environment that is both academically sound and innovatively entrepreneurial.

=20

Applicants should send two cover letters and resumes indicating Reference #1918 to reach us no later than 5:00 p.m. on November 30, 2005

to:

=20

Office of Human Resources

Monmouth University

West Long Branch, NJ 07764

E-mail: mujobs@monmouth.edu

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

=20

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu
=====

Date: Thu, 10 Nov 2005 03:37:44 -0700
Reply-To: Annette Jackle <aejack@ESSEX.AC.UK>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Annette Jackle <aejack@ESSEX.AC.UK>
Subject: Deadline Approaching: Methodology of Longitudinal Surveys
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Reminder: the deadline for submitting abstracts of contributed papers for MOLS2006 is just 3 weeks away.

Call for Contributed Papers

Methodology of Longitudinal Surveys

International Conference to be held at the University of Essex,
Colchester UK

12-14 July 2006

Conference website: <http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/ulsc/mols2006>

Submissions are invited for contributed papers to be presented at the conference, Methodology of Longitudinal Surveys (MOLS 2006). The conference is sponsored by the International Association of Survey Statisticians (IASS) and the UK Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC). The contributed papers programme will complement the programme of approximately 20 monograph papers, which have already been chosen following an earlier call for submissions. Preliminary titles of monograph papers are listed on the conference website.

Contributed papers should address important methodological topics in the design, implementation or analysis of longitudinal surveys. The focus is primarily on surveys that involve collecting data from subjects on multiple occasions. The subjects could be individuals, households, businesses or other establishments. Note that the conference is concerned with the methods used by such surveys, not with the substantive findings. Topics of interest include, but are not limited to, the following:

- =B7 Sampling for longitudinal surveys, including sample rotation, refreshment sampling and methods for dealing with population births and deaths;
- =B7 Sample management procedures and methods for tracing sample members over time and maintaining contact with sample members;
- =B7 Design methods for meeting dual requirements for longitudinal and cross-sectional estimates;
- =B7 Instrument design for longitudinal surveys, including the use of dependent interviewing;
- =B7 The effects of using different modes of data collection at different waves, multiple modes or changing modes;
- =B7 Issues in the collection of data from multiple members of a sampling unit (e.g. household, business), including the use of different respondents at different waves;
- =B7 Methods for minimising sample attrition;
- =B7 Assessment of non-response bias (unit and item) on longitudinal surveys;
- =B7 Adjustment methods for non-response and attrition, including weighting;
- =B7 Imputation and other methods for dealing with item missing data, especially wave non-response;
- =B7 Respondent conditioning, seam effects and other measurement error issues specific to longitudinal surveys;
- =B7 Methods for estimating measurement error that are unique to panel data;
- =B7 Use of administrative data to enhance longitudinal surveys;
- =B7 Methods for disclosure avoidance and privacy protection in the release of longitudinal data files;
- =B7 Ethical issues on longitudinal surveys, including confidentiality and consent;
- =B7 Variance estimation for panels, rotating panels and other complex designs;
- =B7 Analysis methods specific to repeated measures or duration and event data;
- =B7 Analysis of longitudinal survey data under complex designs and informative sampling;
- =B7 Nonsampling and sampling errors in panel data analysis;
- =B7 Other issues in the analysis of longitudinal survey data.

Contributed papers may provide a review of research and practice in a particular methodological area or present the findings of new methodological research. Submissions will be screened by the MOLS 2006 scientific committee, who will select papers that address relevant topics and collectively provide a balanced programme. There is also an opportunity for suitable contributed papers to be considered for

inclusion in a special issue of the Journal of Official Statistics (JOS). Details of the process for submission to JOS will be made available once the conference papers have been chosen.

Submissions should consist of an abstract of approximately 500 words, describing clearly the topic that will be addressed, the material that will be drawn upon and the key messages of the paper. Please include the names, affiliations and email addresses of all authors. Abstracts should be submitted to mols-abstracts@isemail.essex.ac.uk by 30 November 2005, preferably as a Word document. Informal enquiries regarding possible submissions can be made to plynn@essex.ac.uk.

Authors will be informed whether or not their submission has been accepted by 31 January 2006. Authors of accepted contributed papers will be required to register for the conference and to present their paper orally.

For further details of the conference, see the website:
<http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/ulsc/mols2006>.

Peter Lynn (plynn@essex.ac.uk)
Professor of Survey Methodology
Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER)
University of Essex, Colchester, UK CO4 3SQ
tel: +44 (0)1206 874809; fax: +44 (0)1206 873151
<http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/>

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Thu, 10 Nov 2005 13:01:06 -0500
Reply-To: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>
Subject: Pollster John Zogby Discusses Interactive Polling
Comments: To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>
In-Reply-To: <AAPORNET%200511092100006906.0A8A@LISTS.ASU.EDU>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

At 11:00 PM 11/9/2005, you wrote:
>The strength of the results of the 2004 Presidential
>election has validated the new method. Zogby Interactive accurately
>predicted the winner in 85% of the states that it polled,

Hmm. There were at most 14 battleground states. So calling the safe states (72%) could be done without polling at all. If you flipped a coin for the contested states and got half of them right, you would then have 41 called correctly (82%), still without polling.

~~~~~  
Eric Plutzer  
Department of Political Science  
Penn State University  
Voice: 814/865-6576  
<http://polisci.la.psu.edu/faculty/plutzer/>

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 09:54:22 -0500  
Reply-To: Roger Tourangeau <[rtourangeau@SURVEY.UMD.EDU](mailto:rtourangeau@SURVEY.UMD.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Roger Tourangeau <[rtourangeau@SURVEY.UMD.EDU](mailto:rtourangeau@SURVEY.UMD.EDU)>  
Subject: Reminder: Distinguished Lecture Series at the University of  
Maryland  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit  
Content-disposition: inline

The Joint Program in Survey Methodology and the University of Maryland  
Statistics Consortium are co-sponsoring two Distinguished Lectures on  
Friday, November 18. The first is by Alastair Scott; its title is "The  
Analysis of Population-based Case Control Studies." The second,  
titled the "Interplay Between Sample Survey Theory and Practice: An  
Appraisal," is by J. N. K. Rao. Dr. Scott's talk will begin at 1:00  
pm and will be discussed by Barry Graubard from the National Cancer  
Institute and Graham Kalton from Westat and JPSM. Dr. Rao's talk will  
begin at 3:00 pm and will be discussed by Phil Kott from the National  
Agricultural Statistical Service and Mike Brick from Westat and JPSM.  
Both talks will be held in 2205 LeFrak Hall on the University of  
Maryland, College Park Campus. There will be a reception immediately  
afterwards at 4:45.

The talks are open to the public, but please let us know you are coming  
by sending a note to Rupa Jethwa Eapen at [RJEapen@survey.umd.edu](mailto:RJEapen@survey.umd.edu). The  
talks will not be taped or broadcast.

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 11:08:08 -0500  
Reply-To: Richard Clark <[clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU](mailto:clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Richard Clark <[clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU](mailto:clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU)>

Subject: Mailing services for surveys  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Can anyone on this list recommend a company whose job it is to print, fold and mail surveys and cover letters for large survey projects? If there is a company that any of you use and could recommend, please let me know (off list, if you'd prefer).

Thanks,

Rich Clark

--

---

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D.  
Director of Peach State Poll  
Manager of Survey Research Unit  
Carl Vinson Institute of Government  
University of Georgia  
201 N. Milledge Avenue  
Athens, GA 30602  
(706) 542-2736

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 12:00:48 -0500  
Reply-To: MMichaels@MichaelsResearch.com  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Maureen Michaels <mmichaels@MICHAELSRESEARCH.COM>  
Organization: Michaels Opinion Research, Inc.  
Subject: Re: Mailing services for surveys  
Comments: To: Richard Clark <clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU>, AAPORNET@asu.edu  
In-Reply-To: <4374C1E8.7040103@cviog.uga.edu>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

We have used Almar Graphics for many years....with total satisfaction and they are nice guys to boot. They do all that you've asked for and for very fair prices. In fact, they are printing a job for us as I write.

Almar Graphics  
812 Jersey Avenue  
Jersey City, New Jersey 07310

Contact: Dom Durso  
Tel: 201-216-1660

--Maureen Michaels  
Michaels Opinion Research, Inc.  
73 Spring Street  
Suite 203  
New York, NY 10012

Tel: 212-226-6251  
mmichaels@michaelsresearch.com

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Richard Clark  
Sent: Friday, November 11, 2005 11:08 AM  
To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
Subject: Mailing services for surveys

Can anyone on this list recommend a company whose job it is to print, fold and mail surveys and cover letters for large survey projects? If there is a company that any of you use and could recommend, please let me know (off list, if you'd prefer).

Thanks,

Rich Clark

--

---

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D.  
Director of Peach State Poll  
Manager of Survey Research Unit  
Carl Vinson Institute of Government  
University of Georgia  
201 N. Milledge Avenue  
Athens, GA 30602  
(706) 542-2736

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> . Problems?-don't  
reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 12:02:46 -0500  
Reply-To: [jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU](mailto:jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Judith Tanur <[jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU](mailto:jtanur@NOTES.CC.SUNYSB.EDU)>  
Subject: Re: Mailing services for surveys  
Comments: To: Richard Clark <[clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU](mailto:clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU)>

Comments: cc: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
In-Reply-To: <4374C1E8.7040103@cviog.uga.edu>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

I'd also be interested in the information Rich requests -- so if you reply to him offline, I'd appreciate your cc-ing me. Thanks, Judy Tanur (jtanur@notes.cc.sunysb.edu)

Richard Clark  
<clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU>  
To  
Sent by: AAPORNET AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
<AAPORNET@ASU.EDU cc  
>

Subject  
Mailing services for surveys  
11/11/05 11:08 AM

Please respond to  
Richard Clark  
<clark@CVIOG.UGA.EDU>

Can anyone on this list recommend a company whose job it is to print, fold and mail surveys and cover letters for large survey projects? If there is a company that any of you use and could recommend, please let me know (off list, if you'd prefer).

Thanks,

Rich Clark

--

---

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D.  
Director of Peach State Poll  
Manager of Survey Research Unit  
Carl Vinson Institute of Government  
University of Georgia  
201 N. Milledge Avenue  
Athens, GA 30602  
(706) 542-2736

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>



Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 12:29:56 -0500

Reply-To: Gregory LaPointe <[gwapointe@HOTMAIL.COM](mailto:gwapointe@HOTMAIL.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Gregory LaPointe <[gwapointe@HOTMAIL.COM](mailto:gwapointe@HOTMAIL.COM)>

Subject: Re: Mailing services for surveys

Comments: To: [clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU](mailto:clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU), [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)

In-Reply-To: <[4374C1E8.7040103@cviog.uga.edu](mailto:4374C1E8.7040103@cviog.uga.edu)>

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; format=flowed

I would highly recommend Dimark Research. They have been conducting the paper administration of our course assessment survey for more than year which includes but is not limited to the printing, sorting, stuffing, and mailing surveys to our more than 30 academic centers throughout CA. Please find the contact information below:

Fabio Esposito

President

[fabio@dimark.ca](mailto:fabio@dimark.ca)

Dimark Research Inc.

665 Stafford Street

Winnipeg, Manitoba R3M 2X7

1-204-987-1950 (phone)

1-204-987-1928 (fax)

--

Gregory W. LaPointe

Research Analyst

Educational Effectiveness and Assessment

National University

(858) 642-8315 Phone

(858) 642-8759 Fax

[gwapoint@nu.edu](mailto:gwapoint@nu.edu)

From: Richard Clark <[clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU](mailto:clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU)>

Reply-To: Richard Clark <[clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU](mailto:clark@CUIOG.UGA.EDU)>

To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)

Subject: Mailing services for surveys

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 11:08:08 -0500

Can anyone on this list recommend a company whose job it is to print, fold and mail surveys and cover letters for large survey projects? If there is a company that any of you use and could recommend, please let me know (off

list, if you'd prefer).

Thanks,

Rich Clark

--

---

Richard L. Clark, Ph.D.  
Director of Peach State Poll  
Manager of Survey Research Unit  
Carl Vinson Institute of Government  
University of Georgia  
201 N. Milledge Avenue  
Athens, GA 30602  
(706) 542-2736

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 12:51:51 -0500  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: Did cell phones affect the polls in Detroit?  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Pollsters missed mayor's backers

Conventional telephone polling overlooks many voters in Detroit who only use cell phones.  
<http://www.detnews.com/2005/metro/0511/10/A10-377796.htm>

By Charlie Cain and Mark Hornbeck / The Detroit News

Two of Michigan's top pollsters arrived at the same conclusion Tuesday night: Freman Hendrix comfortably won the Detroit mayor's race and would soon be shipping his furniture to the Manoogian Mansion.=20

But when the votes were all counted, incumbent Kwame Kilpatrick, by 6 points, got a new four-year lease to live at the city-owned mayor's residence.=20

SNIP

Kiska, the University of Michigan-Dearborn instructor who got it correct, is more succinct.=20

"My best judgment is you can't do telephone surveys in the city of Detroit," Kiska said. He said that in addition to the growing number of cell phones, which pollsters can't call, there's a distrust of the establishment media. Pollsters are considered an extension of that media.=20

Kiska, a former Detroit News columnist and pollster who has been surveying voters since 1974, did his numbers counting the old-fashioned way: Precinct samples that take the actual numbers when polls closed. In this case, Kiska sampled 30 of the city's 720 precincts that he believed mirrored Detroit's electorate. He found Kilpatrick the winner in 26 of those precincts. He employed students from his college classes and people from the neighborhoods where the polling places were located.=20

SNIP

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta  
Research Director  
Art & Science Group, LLC  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore MD 21209

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 14:07:13 -0500  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: More Marketing in the guise of surveying  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Bogus survey designer admits intent to deceive=20  
<http://www.suntimes.com/output/feder/cst-fin-feder111.html>  
November 11, 2005

BY ROBERT FEDER SUN-TIMES COLUMNIST Advertisement  
=20

I'm not the only one who thinks that some Chicago radio stations are deliberately deceiving listeners with a direct-mail marketing piece. So does the guy who designed it.

SNIP

"Speaking as one of the architects of this piece, it's time for us to cut the crap," declared Mark Ramsey, founder and president of San Diego-based Mercury Radio Research.

SNIP

"There is no inherent reason why a direct-mail piece targeting radio fans and opinion givers needs necessarily to be deceptive in order to be effective. . . . Yet this fundamental deceit is a stain on our industry, a stain on research, and a stain on any station which buys it or any manager who rationalizes it.

"There are many legitimate and non-deceptive ways these targeting strategies can be developed into a direct-mail piece. . . . It's unfortunate that our industry would rather embarrass itself in the pages of the nation's largest newspapers and actively propagate the notion among listeners that we are cheats and liars."

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta  
Research Director  
Art & Science Group, LLC  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 12:35:28 -0700  
Reply-To: Ed Ledek <[eledek@WESTERNWATS.COM](mailto:eledek@WESTERNWATS.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Ed Ledek <[eledek@WESTERNWATS.COM](mailto:eledek@WESTERNWATS.COM)>  
Subject: PAPER Conference in San Francisco! Please read, register, forward to colleagues...

Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Dear Colleagues:

We are very excited to remind you about the upcoming conference! Some = of the sessions we have planned will address the California Elections = that were just held and project forward for 2006. In addition, we will = have sessions that address Western States Issues, Special Populations = and Survey Administration. =20

Rob Daves, our AAPOR National, VP/President-Elect will address us and = share experiences and insights regarding our profession, how it has come = under attack of late and where it is headed. In addition, panelists = such as Floyd Ciruli, Nathaniel Ehrlich, Mollyann Brodie and others will = address the listed subjects.

Finally, we are running a student paper competition (email submissions =  
to Doug Strand-- strand@ppic.org) and we'll have a short course, the =  
first day, "Quantitative Report Analysis & Writing: How To Create =  
Interest In The Data" by Kathy Dykeman.

Please register by cutting and pasting the form in this email, by going =  
to our website or by calling me.

We look forward to seeing you there!

PAPOR 2005 Conference Registration Form  
December 15-16, 2005

Hyatt Regency at Fisherman's Wharf, San Francisco, CA  
www.papor.org <http://www.papor.org>

Name:=09

Affiliation: =09

Address: =09

City, State, Zip Code:=09

Telephone Number:=09

Email Address:=09

I will be attending the:

=20  
Both Short course and Conference\* (\$200/\$65 students) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Conference\* ONLY (\$175/\$50 students) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Short course ONLY (\$50/\$25 students) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

I cannot attend the conference, but I would like to be a member of PAPOR =  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_  
(enclosed are my dues of \$20.00 or \$12.00/students)

Please email or FAX this form to Ed Ledek (eledek@westernwats.com =  
<mailto:eledek@westernwats.com>; FAX: 801.379.5073) and send check =  
payable to PAPOR to:=20

Ed Ledek, Western Wats  
701 East Timpanogos Parkway, Building M  
Orem, UT 84097

If you would like to advertise in the final program or sponsor a break =  
please contact Ed Ledek at eledek@westernwats.com =  
<mailto:eledek@westernwats.com> or jon.cohen@abc.com =  
<mailto:jon.cohen@abc.com>.=20

For reservations at the Hyatt at Fisherman's Wharf call (800) 233-1234. =

Please mention the PAPOR conference when you book your room for the =  
discounted price of \$139 for single or double occupancy (\$164 for triple =  
occupancy, and \$189 for quadruple occupancy). More information on the =  
Hyatt at Fisherman's Wharf can be found at =  
<<http://fishermanswharf.hyatt.com>>. =20

\*Conference registration includes annual membership fee

Thanks again!

Ed Ledek  
VP, Business Development  
Western Wats  
[www.westernwats.com](http://www.westernwats.com)  
801-379-4000 direct  
801-592-1943 cell

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 12:27:51 -0800  
Reply-To: Doug Strand <[strand@PPIC.ORG](mailto:strand@PPIC.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Doug Strand <[strand@PPIC.ORG](mailto:strand@PPIC.ORG)>  
Subject: PAPOR Student Paper Competition \$\$\$ -- Deadline Extended to Nov.

21

Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

2005 PAPOR Annual Conference

December 15 and 16, 2005 at Hyatt Fisherman's Wharf, San Francisco, CA=20

Visit our webpage at: <http://www.papor.org/>=20

Pacific Chapter of the American Association for Public Opinion Research=20

Fourth Annual Student Paper Competition=20

The Pacific Chapter of the American Association for Public Opinion  
Research will award its fourth Annual Student Paper Prize this year. All  
papers authored by undergraduate and/or graduate students attending  
Colleges and Universities in the Pacific Region are eligible for PAPOR's  
Student Paper Competition.=20

PAPOR will consider papers related to survey, public opinion, or market  
research. Topics might include methodological issues, statistical  
techniques, theoretical issues in the formation and change of public  
opinion, or substantive findings about public opinion. We encourage  
entries from many fields including political science, communication,

psychology, sociology, marketing and survey methods. Entries should not exceed 30 pages total.=20

Top prize for this competition will be a spot on the conference program, \*\*\*\$500 and travel\*\*\*. Second prize will be recognition at the conference, \$250 and travel. For any winning papers that are co-authored, travel fees will be paid for one author, but conference registration will be provided for all authors. Prizes and travel for the top two student papers are generously provided by Computers for Marketing Corporation (CfMC).

The entries will be judged by a panel of survey and public opinion researchers selected from PAPOR's membership.=20

If you would like your paper to be considered for the award, please email it by \*\*\* NOVEMBER 21, 2005\*\*\* to this year's Chair of the Student Paper Competition:=20

Dr. Douglas Strand 500 Washington St., Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94111  
strand@ppic.org=20

Please include your name, mailing address, telephone number, and an e-mail address.

For more information about the conference please contact Ed Ledek, PAPOR 2005  
Conference Chair at [eledek@westernwats.com](mailto:eledek@westernwats.com)

For more information about PAPOR or to join this active west coast chapter of AAPOR, please visit our website: <http://www.papor.org/>  
<<http://www.papor.org/>>=20

=20

=20

Douglas Strand, Ph.D.

Research Analyst

Public Policy Institute of California (PPIC)

500 Washington St., Suite 800

San Francisco, CA 94111

415-291-4437

[strand@ppic.org](mailto:strand@ppic.org)

[www.ppic.org](http://www.ppic.org)

=20

-----

-----  
Any opinions expressed in this message are those of the author alone and do not necessarily reflect any position of the Public Policy Institute of California.

=20

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 2005 16:24:08 -0500  
Reply-To: Warren Mitofsky <[mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM](mailto:mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Warren Mitofsky <[mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM](mailto:mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM)>  
Subject: NYAAPOR 2005 Election Review  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

NYAAPOR  
The New York Chapter of the  
American Association for Public Opinion Research Established 1979

2005 election REVIEW  
POLLING IN NEW YORK CITY AND NEW JERSEY  
Generously sponsored by Mitofsky International and Edison Media Research  
Wednesday, November 16, 2005  
6:00 - 8:00 PM (Refreshments at 5:30 PM)  
American Foundation for the Blind  
11 Penn Plaza, Suite 300  
(7th Avenue between 31st & 32nd Streets)

Come join us in discussing the outcomes of the races for New Jersey Governor and New York City Mayor with three of our most experienced survey researchers.

Our panelists will review the key factors in each race: How did voters view the big money being spent by several wealthy and self-financed candidates? How did the political scandals in NJ affect the vote for Governor? What leadership qualities were voters looking for in the post-9/11, post-Katrina world?

Doug Schwartz, Director, The Quinnipiac University Poll  
Doug Schwartz has been the Director of the Quinnipiac University Poll since 1995, directing all aspects of the survey process and serving as a press contact for the poll, doing newspaper, radio and television interviews regarding polls and politics in Connecticut.

Lee Miringoff, Director, Marist Institute for Public Opinion  
Lee Miringoff is the director of the Marist Institute for Public Opinion and



President of the National Council of Public Polls (NCPP). He is a frequent commentator on politics and polling as a polling consultant for WNBC-TV.

Patrick Murray, Director, Monmouth University Polling Institute  
Patrick Murray is the director of the Monmouth University Polling Institute. He has been a frequent commentator on New Jersey politics and public opinion, appearing on local television and radio as well as on national media outlets such as NPR's Talk of the Nation.

ATTENDANCE IS BY ADVANCE RESERVATION ONLY.

For security, please bring a government issued photo ID.

This meeting is FREE to current, student, HLM (Honorary Lifetime), and first-time NYAAPOR members who sign up for a new membership at the event. All other non-members: \$20. RSVP to Rosemarie Sharpe, NYAAPOR Secretariat by Tuesday, November 15 at [MGMTOFFICE@aol.com](mailto:MGMTOFFICE@aol.com) or (212) 684-0542.

MITOFSKY INTERNATIONAL

1776 Broadway, Suite 1708

New York, NY 10019

212 980-3031

212 980-3107 Fax

[www.mitofskyinternational.com](http://www.mitofskyinternational.com)

[mitofsky@mindspring.com](mailto:mitofsky@mindspring.com)

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Sun, 13 Nov 2005 09:06:04 -0500

Reply-To: "Andrew A. Beveridge" <[andy@TROLL.SOC.QC.EDU](mailto:andy@TROLL.SOC.QC.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Andrew A. Beveridge" <[andy@TROLL.SOC.QC.EDU](mailto:andy@TROLL.SOC.QC.EDU)>

Subject: Demography Cluster Initiative at CUNY

Comments: To: Seth Sanders <[sanders@econ.bsos.umd.edu](mailto:sanders@econ.bsos.umd.edu)>,

Mark Hayward <[mhayward@prc.utexas.edu](mailto:mhayward@prc.utexas.edu)>,

Steven Ruggles <[ruggles@hist.umn.edu](mailto:ruggles@hist.umn.edu)>,

Stewart E Tolnay <[tolnay@u.washington.edu](mailto:tolnay@u.washington.edu)>,

Dan Lichter <[dtl28@cornell.edu](mailto:dtl28@cornell.edu)>

Comments: cc: John Mollenkopf <[jmollenkopf@gc.cuny.edu](mailto:jmollenkopf@gc.cuny.edu)>,

[pkasinitz@gc.cuny.edu](mailto:pkasinitz@gc.cuny.edu), [qcsoclis@qc.edu](mailto:qcsoclis@qc.edu),

Neil\_Bennett@baruch.cuny.edu,

Dean Savage <[savage@troll.soc.qc.edu](mailto:savage@troll.soc.qc.edu)>,

[COMURB\\_R21@EMAIL.RUTGERS.EDU](mailto:COMURB_R21@EMAIL.RUTGERS.EDU), AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>,

H-Net Historical Demography List <[H-DEMOG@H-NET.MSU.EDU](mailto:H-DEMOG@H-NET.MSU.EDU)>

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Dear All:

Below is the add that appeared in the New York Times today, and will appear in other venues in the next few weeks. Please bring it to the attention of anyone interested and circulate it as widely as possible. Below that ad is the original draft, which is longer. The New York Times add was shrunk largely because of cost considerations. Anyone wanting further information should not hesitate to contact me (andy@troll.soc.qc.edu)(718-997-2837), Neil Bennett (Neil\_Bennett@baruch.cuny.edu)(646-660-6779) or John Mollenkopf (JMollenkopf@gc.cuny.edu) (212-817-2046). The search committees are by institution, but there will be coordination among them and they may share candidates. Hiring and recruitment will be approved centrally.

Andrew A. Beveridge

CUNY

The City University of New York  
Demography Cluster Initiative

The City University of New York is pleased to announce that as part of its Demography Cluster Initiative, it intends to make ten tenure-track appointments in demography over the next four years. Three appointments at the rank of associate or full professor will be made for the academic year commencing September 2006, one of whom may become director of a new CUNY demography institute. Candidates must have national reputations for excellence in scholarship. Salary offers will be commensurate with experience.

\* Baruch College's School of Public Affairs invites applications for a scholar whose work involves aging, health, or mortality issues.

\* The Graduate Center is seeking an individual interested in the demographic analysis of immigration, urban inequality, family dynamics, and community change (disciplinary affiliation in economics, political science, or sociology).

\* Queens College plans to add to their faculty someone whose expertise lies in fertility, children, mortality, health, or aging (disciplinary affiliation in economics or sociology).

By January 15th interested candidates should submit a CV, a description of their research accomplishments and goals, a description of teaching philosophy, two recent articles or manuscripts, and letters of reference to the Chair of the Demography Search Committee at the appropriate College:

Demography Search Committee, c/o Professor Neil G. Bennett  
Baruch College, One Bernard Baruch Way, Box D-901, New York, NY 10010

Demography Search Committee, c/o Professor John Mollenkopf  
Center for Urban Research, CUNY Graduate Center, 365 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10016

Demography Search Committee, c/o Dean of the Social Sciences  
Queens College, Powdermaker Hall, 65-30 Kissena Boulevard, Flushing, NY

CUNY is an EO/AA/IRCA/ADA EMPLOYER

(Original Draft Submitted to Executive Vice-Chancellor)

## DEMOGRAPHY CLUSTER ANNOUNCEMENT

The City University of New York anticipates ten faculty openings in demography over the next four years, which together with CUNY's current strengths will create a demographic research and training program of national reputation. The first three appointments will be made with a starting date of September 2006. Fields of research expertise sought include, but are not limited to, fertility, mortality, family dynamics, immigration, race and ethnicity, urban, health, and aging, both from national and international perspectives.

One of the three appointments will be based principally at each of the following locations, with preferred areas given (although other areas will be considered):

- . The Graduate Center of the City University of New York, where an emphasis on the demographic analysis of immigration, urban populations, or residential patterns will be preferred
- . The School of Public Affairs at Baruch College, where an interest in aging, health, or mortality issues will be preferred.
- . Queens College, where an emphasis on fertility, children, mortality, health, or aging will be preferred.

Appointments will be made at the Full or Associate Professor level. Candidates may come from disciplines including, but not limited to, sociology, economics, political science, public policy, and public health. They should have a record of excellence in research and teaching, at the undergraduate through Ph.D. levels, and of significant funding from public or private sources.

A primary goal of this initiative is to develop a CUNY Institute of Demographic Research, which we expect eventually to obtain NIH center support and take its place among nationally recognized population centers. This cross-campus independent institute will build on existing infrastructure and strengths, including the recently launched New York Census Research Data Center at Baruch College; the Center for Urban Research, the CUNY Data Center, and a strong research agenda focusing on immigrants and urban studies at the Graduate Center, and the research on health and sensitive behaviors, education, and urban change in the Queens College sociology department.

One of the initial three appointments will be made to an individual with a record of leadership in institution-building who can assume the directorship of the Institute and lead in the development of a program of the highest quality. Terms and conditions of offers will be competitive with those of research universities nationwide. Review of applicants will begin on October 15, 2005. The City University of New York is an Equal

Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer.

Interested candidates should submit a cover letter, curriculum vitae, two recent articles or manuscripts, and names and contact information for three references. They should send these materials to the chair of the search committee at the location they prefer. Candidate applications may be shared among the three units doing the search. For further information contact the chair of any search committee at any of the branches involved.

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Sun, 13 Nov 2005 20:07:58 -0800  
Reply-To: Leora Lawton <[lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM](mailto:lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leora Lawton <[lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM](mailto:lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM)>  
Subject: survey research resources  
Comments: To: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

hi everyone,

I compiled what I've collected about survey research thus far...syllabi, books, vendors...

If you have anything you'd like to add, just email me.

In the vendors dept, I'm looking for transcribers and translators.

thanks  
leora

Dr. Leora Lawton  
TechSociety Research  
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"  
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572  
[www.techsociety.com](http://www.techsociety.com)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Mon, 14 Nov 2005 09:29:11 -0500  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: American Community Survey  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

I am not sure the second is the ACS but the first one definitely was.

When I heard some rumbling on Usenet about an "intrusive" survey being done by the Census that threaten large fines for non-compliance I wrote it off to the culture of that particular branch of the internet. It tends to be iconoclastic and individualistic.

Then the local NBC affiliate here in Baltimore start running promos for their latest investigative report.

<http://www.thewbalchannel.com/video/5302433/detail.html>

They have been running that ad all weekend and the special report is supposed to run tonight.  
The promos (at least) seems irresponsible - I can only wonder what the actual report will be like. =20

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta  
Research Director  
Art & Science Group, LLC  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Mon, 14 Nov 2005 11:06:45 -0500  
Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <[Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV](mailto:Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <[Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV](mailto:Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV)>  
Subject: TSMII Preliminary Program  
Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

The Second International Conference on Telephone Survey Methodology (TSMII) preliminary conference program is now available on the website at the following address:

<http://www.amstat.org/meetings/tsmii/2006>

While this program is preliminary, session times and content are not expected to change.

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Mon, 14 Nov 2005 11:24:43 -0500  
Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV>  
Subject: Confusion on TSMII preliminary program  
Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

The TSMII preliminary program can be obtained from <http://www.amstat.org/meetings/tsmii/2006/> by clicking on "Programs & Papers" directly. Please, do NOT click on the submenu items "Monograph Chapters" or "Student Fellowships" - those links will take you elsewhere.

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Mon, 14 Nov 2005 10:00:59 -0800  
Reply-To: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Leora Lawton <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM>  
Subject: survey research resources  
Comments: To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Hi all,

I somehow forgot to put the URL for the resource page but that gave me the opportunity to add a few new entries. It's simple HTML coding, no bells and whistles. Suggestions welcome.

<http://www.techsociety.com/links/links.html>

be well,  
leora

Dr. Leora Lawton  
TechSociety Research  
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"  
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572  
[www.techsociety.com](http://www.techsociety.com)

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Mon, 14 Nov 2005 11:18:14 -0700  
Reply-To: Keith Fernsler <keith.fernslers@DSU.NODAK.EDU>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Keith Fernsler <keith.fernslers@DSU.NODAK.EDU>  
Organization: Dickinson State University  
Subject: Public Opinion & Physician Assisted Suicide  
Comments: To: AAPOR <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

I have a student who is researching and writing a paper on physician-assisted suicide. Specifically, he is interested in anything that points to why Oregon would be a leader among the states in this area: public opinion support combining with legislative leadership to produce the legal change?

If anyone has any suggestions, I'll pass them on to him.

Thanks for your help.

--Keith Fernsler  
Dickinson State University

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Mon, 14 Nov 2005 15:57:19 -0700  
Reply-To: Keith Fernsler <keith.fernslers@DSU.NODAK.EDU>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Keith Fernsler <keith.fernslers@DSU.NODAK.EDU>  
Organization: Dickinson State University  
Subject: Many Thanks  
Comments: To: AAPOR <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

I recently sent out a request for information on Oregon and physician-assisted suicide. Many on the list provided valuable pointers to information that would have been missed without their help. My sincere thanks. Both I and my student learned a lot. Also, my thanks to the rest of you for your patience with my inquiry.

I want to endorse this activity as a valuable use of this list.

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 05:40:23 -0700  
Reply-To: Annette Jackle <aejack@ESSEX.AC.UK>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Annette Jackle <aejack@ESSEX.AC.UK>  
Subject: Announcement: Student Paper Prize - Longitudinal Surveys  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

MOLS2006, the International Conference on the Methodology of Longitudinal Surveys, will be held in Essex, UK, in July 2006.

◇

The conference organisers are pleased to announce that a prize will be awarded for the best paper presented at the conference by a full-time student. A panel of judges will select the best paper based both on the written paper and the presentation, with approximately equal weight given to each. To be eligible, both the first author of the paper and the presenter must be a full-time student enrolled during 2005-06 in a recognised educational establishment. It is acceptable for the paper to be co-authored by the student's supervisor or other non-student.

The prize, sponsored by John Wiley and sons, will be a set of books from the Wiley Survey Methodology <<http://eu.wiley.com/WileyCDA/Section/id-2625.html>>series, with a list price of over =A3300 (over US\$500). The winner will also receive a certificate.

To be considered for the prize, the paper must be accepted as a contributed conference paper. An abstract must be submitted by 19 December 2005. Additionally, a full written paper must be submitted by 25 May 2006 for consideration by the judges. In both the paper and presentation, we are looking for clarity of thought and of presentation and originality and relevance of the contribution. We reserve the right to request proof of student status. The prize will be awarded during the final plenary session of the conference by the conference Chair.

For more information about the prize and the conference:  
<http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/ulsc/mols2006/>

\*\*\*\*\*

Peter Lynn (plynn@essex.ac.uk)  
Professor of Survey Methodology  
Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER)  
University of Essex, Colchester, UK CO4 3SQ  
tel: +44 (0)1206 874809; fax: +44 (0)1206 873151  
<http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/>=20

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)



---

Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 11:02:50 -0500  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>  
Subject: Re: American Community Survey  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Here is the report on that story:

New Census Survey Called 'Too Intrusive'

<http://www.thewbalchannel.com/news/5327941/detail.html?rss=3Dbal&psp=3Dne=ws>  
or  
<http://tinyurl.com/dcff2>

POSTED: 6:00 am EST November 15, 2005  
UPDATED: 8:14 am EST November 15, 2005

There is controversy surrounding some of the questions in the new United States census.

Is the federal government getting too personal and using heavy-handed tactics to make you fill it out?

It's like the federal government is snooping around your house -- searching for more of your personal information. That's exactly how some people feel about the American Community Survey sent out by the U.S. Census Bureau.

The government agency not only wants to know who lives in your home, but how much you earn, the amount of your mortgage or rent payment and even what time you leave for work.=20  
=20  
SNIP

You are legally obligated to respond. Anyone who refuses could be fined up to \$100 and the Census Bureau will follow up with a phone call to your home and may even knock on your door to get the answers.

Answer with false information and you could be fined up to \$500. People like Baldwin believe Big Brother is going to far. She considers the questions "too intrusive and too personal."

SNIP

I-Team reporter David Collins, "How do you respond to people who say these questions are simply too intrusive?"

"The Census has asked these questions for decades," said Kevin Byson, an analyst from the Census Bureau. "We do not provide anything to any agency like the IRS or private business for that matter."

"Why is this information needed?" Collins asked.

"The American Community survey helps fill in the information about the characterization of the population between the decennial census that counts the number of people every 10 years," Byson said.

SNIP

The U.S. Census Bureau estimated the federal government distributes \$200 billion every year based partly on information from the American Community survey. But as for those phone calls, home visits and fines if you don't cooperate, University of Maryland professor Mark Graber said it is very heavy handed.

Graber is a constitutional law expert.

SNIP

Another new government survey asked some consumers to keep a diary of their purchases, including toothpaste, bread and fast-food meals. The information will be provided to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Stay with TheWBALChannel.com and 11 News for the latest news updates.  
Copyright 2005 by TheWBALChannel.com.=20

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta  
Research Director  
Art & Science Group, LLC  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore MD 21209  
=20

> -----Original Message-----

> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta

> Sent: Monday, November 14, 2005 9:29 AM

> To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

> Subject: American Community Survey

>=20

> I am not sure the second is the ACS but the first one definitely was.

>=20

> When I heard some rumbling on Usenet about an "intrusive"=20

> survey being done by the Census that threaten large fines for=20

> non-compliance I wrote it off to the culture of that=20

> particular branch of the internet. It tends to be=20

> iconoclastic and individualistic.

>=20

> Then the local NBC affiliate here in Baltimore start running=20

> promos for their latest investigative report.  
>=20  
> <http://www.thewbalchannel.com/video/5302433/detail.html>  
>=20  
> They have been running that ad all weekend and the special=20  
> report is supposed to run tonight.  
> The promos (at least) seems irresponsible - I can only wonder=20  
> what the actual report will be like. =20  
>=20  
> --  
> Leo G. Simonetta  
> Research Director  
> Art & Science Group, LLC  
> 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
> Baltimore MD 21209  
>=20  
> -----  
> Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:=20  
> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>=20

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 08:11:20 -0800  
Reply-To: Leora Lawton <[lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM](mailto:lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leora Lawton <[lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM](mailto:lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM)>  
Subject: Re: American Community Survey  
Comments: To: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>  
In-Reply-To:  
<[3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E52168434D26E@exchange.local.artscience.com](mailto:3248A9B21DD5574785FE5E2C8E52168434D26E@exchange.local.artscience.com)>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Here's a link to the survey instrument:  
<http://www.census.gov/acs/www/Downloads/SQuest05.pdf>

-leora lawton

On Tue, 15 Nov 2005, Leo Simonetta wrote:

> Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 11:02:50 -0500  
> From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
> To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
> Subject: Re: [AAPORNET] American Community Survey  
>  
> Here is the report on that story:  
>

>  
> New Census Survey Called 'Too Intrusive'  
> <http://www.thewbalchannel.com/news/5327941/detail.html?rss=bal&psp=news>  
> or  
> <http://tinyurl.com/dcff2>  
>  
> POSTED: 6:00 am EST November 15, 2005  
> UPDATED: 8:14 am EST November 15, 2005  
>  
> There is controversy surrounding some of the questions in the new United  
> States census.  
>  
> Is the federal government getting too personal and using heavy-handed  
> tactics to make you fill it out?  
>  
> It's like the federal government is snooping around your house --  
> searching for more of your personal information. That's exactly how some  
> people feel about the American Community Survey sent out by the U.S.  
> Census Bureau.  
>  
> The government agency not only wants to know who lives in your home, but  
> how much you earn, the amount of your mortgage or rent payment and even  
> what time you leave for work.  
>  
> SNIP  
>  
> You are legally obligated to respond. Anyone who refuses could be fined  
> up to \$100 and the Census Bureau will follow up with a phone call to  
> your home and may even knock on your door to get the answers.  
>  
> Answer with false information and you could be fined up to \$500. People  
> like Baldwin believe Big Brother is going to far. She considers the  
> questions "too intrusive and too personal."  
>  
>  
> SNIP  
>  
> I-Team reporter David Collins, "How do you respond to people who say  
> these questions are simply too intrusive?"  
>  
> "The Census has asked these questions for decades," said Kevin Byson, an  
> analyst from the Census Bureau. "We do not provide anything to any  
> agency like the IRS or private business for that matter."  
>  
> "Why is this information needed?" Collins asked.  
>  
> "The American Community survey helps fill in the information about the  
> characterization of the population between the decennial census that  
> counts the number of people every 10 years," Byson said.  
>  
> SNIP  
>  
> The U.S. Census Bureau estimated the federal government distributes \$200  
> billion every year based partly on information from the American

> Community survey. But as for those phone calls, home visits and fines if  
> you don't cooperate, University of Maryland professor Mark Graber said  
> it is very heavy handed.

>  
> Graber is a constitutional law expert.

>  
> SNIP

>  
> Another new government survey asked some consumers to keep a diary of  
> their purchases, including toothpaste, bread and fast-food meals. The  
> information will be provided to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

>  
> Stay with TheWBALChannel.com and 11 News for the latest news updates.  
> Copyright 2005 by TheWBALChannel.com.

>  
>  
>  
> --

> Leo G. Simonetta  
> Research Director  
> Art & Science Group, LLC  
> 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
> Baltimore MD 21209

>  
>  
>> -----Original Message-----  
>> From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta  
>> Sent: Monday, November 14, 2005 9:29 AM  
>> To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
>> Subject: American Community Survey

>>  
>> I am not sure the second is the ACS but the first one definitely was.

>>  
>> When I heard some rumbling on Usenet about an "intrusive"  
>> survey being done by the Census that threaten large fines for  
>> non-compliance I wrote it off to the culture of that  
>> particular branch of the internet. It tends to be  
>> iconoclastic and individualistic.

>>  
>> Then the local NBC affiliate here in Baltimore start running  
>> promos for their latest investigative report.

>>  
>> <http://www.thewbalchannel.com/video/5302433/detail.html>

>>  
>> They have been running that ad all weekend and the special  
>> report is supposed to run tonight.  
>> The promos (at least) seems irresponsible - I can only wonder  
>> what the actual report will be like.

>>  
>> --  
>> Leo G. Simonetta  
>> Research Director  
>> Art & Science Group, LLC  
>> 6115 Falls Road, Suite 101

>> Baltimore MD 21209

>>

>> -----

>> Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

>> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

>> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to:

>> [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>>

>

> -----

> Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

>

>

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 11:41:05 -0500

Reply-To: Jack Bishop <[jbishop@HIGHLANDS.EDU](mailto:jbishop@HIGHLANDS.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Jack Bishop <[jbishop@HIGHLANDS.EDU](mailto:jbishop@HIGHLANDS.EDU)>

Subject: software

Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

I am interested in upgrading the software we use to design and print our own scannable bubble forms. I would like something with flexible data base capabilities.

=20

Can anyone make suggestions for what I might want to look at?

=20

Thanks

=20

Jack...

=20

=20

=20

=20

Jack Bishop

Director Office of Institutional Effectiveness,

Planning and Research

Georgia Highlands College

3175 Hwy 27 South

Rome, GA 30162

706-802-5403

=20

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 13:24:08 -0500  
Reply-To: "Sangster, Robie - BLS" <[Sangster.Robie@BLS.GOV](mailto:Sangster.Robie@BLS.GOV)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Sangster, Robie - BLS" <[Sangster.Robie@BLS.GOV](mailto:Sangster.Robie@BLS.GOV)>  
Subject: DC-AAPOR End-of-the-Year Celebration Dec. 15th 5:30 -7:30 PM  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

As DC-AAPOR Program Chair, I would like to extend an invitation to any AAPOR members who will be in the DC area on Dec. 15th to join us in our celebration of a very successful year. =20

We will hold the celebration at the Barking Dog, in Bethesda Maryland from 5:30 to 7:30PM, Dec. 15th. The bar will be cash, but the appetizers are on us. =20

We are looking forward to seeing you there! Please RSVP via our web site, <http://www.dc-aapor.org>, so that we can have an idea of how many people will be attending the event.

I am sending this early, in the hope that you can work us into your busy holiday schedule.=20

Best wishes,=20

Robie Sangster=20  
DC-AAPOR Program Chair

Directions:=20

From the Bethesda Metro station:

Go up two sets of escalators to Wisconsin Avenue Turn Rt (pass the Hotel) Walk two blocks to Elm Street and turn Rt at Elm Street to the Barking Dog at 4723.

For driving directions please visit <<http://www.thebarkingdogonline.com>> or call 301-654-0022. Parking is available in the lot across from the Barking Dog for a nominal fee.

Robie Sangster=20  
Bureau of Labor Statistics  
Office of Survey Methods Research  
2 Mass. Ave. NE, Rm 1950  
Washington DC 20212  
Phone 202-691-7517  
FAX 202-691-7426

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 11:23:40 -0800  
Reply-To: Paul Goodwin <[paulg@GOODWINSIMON.COM](mailto:paulg@GOODWINSIMON.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Paul Goodwin <[paulg@GOODWINSIMON.COM](mailto:paulg@GOODWINSIMON.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Public Opinion & Physician Assisted Suicide  
Comments: To: Keith Fernsler <[keith.fernslers@DSU.NODAK.EDU](mailto:keith.fernslers@DSU.NODAK.EDU)>  
Comments: cc: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <[4378D4E6.9080807@dsu.nodak.edu](mailto:4378D4E6.9080807@dsu.nodak.edu)>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

I did the polling for the two Oregon Right to Die measures (1994 and 1997). I no longer have access to most of the data, but I do have aggregate results and I can connect your student with my main contact from way back then...

Keith Fernsler wrote:

> I have a student who is researching and writing a paper on  
> physician-assisted suicide. Specifically, he is interested in anything



> that points to why Oregon would be a leader among the states in this  
> area: public opinion support combining with legislative leadership to  
> produce the legal change?  
>  
> If anyone has any suggestions, I'll pass them on to him.  
>  
> Thanks for your help.  
>  
> --Keith Fernsler  
> Dickinson State University  
>  
> -----  
> Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
>  
>

--  
Paul Goodwin  
Goodwin Simon Strategic Research  
P.O. Box 366  
Culver City, CA 90232  
310/558-4761 (phone)  
310/558-0539 (fax)  
310/210-8984 (cell)  
[paulg@goodwinsimon.com](mailto:paulg@goodwinsimon.com)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 16:21:23 -0500  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: Voter Profiles for Bloomberg Went Beyond Ethnic Labels  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Interesting.

November 15, 2005  
Voter Profiles for Bloomberg Went Beyond Ethnic Labels=20  
By JIM RUTENBERG  
(Requires registration)

<http://www.nytimes.com/2005/11/15/nyregion/metrocampaigns/15playbook.html?pagewanted=3Dprint>  
Or

<http://tinyurl.com/8h9g7>

Throughout this year's mayoral campaign, Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg's spending records included something called "voter list development." It looked ominous to Democrats - especially as Mr. Bloomberg poured millions into it.

Lists like this usually include voters' personal data - the magazines they buy, the cars they drive, their political affiliations. But as the cost of compiling Mr. Bloomberg's list inched up toward \$10 million, not even aides to President Bush, who perfected this sort of voter identification last year, could figure out where the money was going.

Now, speaking publicly for the first time about the behind-the-scenes details of their campaign - one of the most expensive in New York City history - Mr. Bloomberg's aides have explained the mystery: rather than trying to read the tea leaves of public records to figure out voters' tastes and leanings, they had the money to simply call and ask about them directly. They called hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers in what top strategists in both the Republican and Democratic Parties said was one of the most ambitious pollings of an electorate ever undertaken.

They stored the answers in a vast computerized database to develop sophisticated psychological portraits of city voters - identifying eight never-before-identified voting blocs based on people's shared everyday interests and concerns, not on their broader racial, cultural or ideological differences, aides said in interviews in the last few days.

## SNIP

Among the groups were these:

**B6FANS**, or "Fearful and Anxious New Yorkers": described as mostly lower- and lower-middle-income New Yorkers of all races whose lives are "utterly dependent on New York surviving." They rely heavily upon the city's social services, and, perhaps working as janitors or in the airports, they depend for their livelihoods on the city's remaining financially stable and free from attack. "They were motivated by what I call security, broadly speaking," said Douglas E. Schoen, who devised the database with his business partner, Mike Berland. "They do not just fear crime, they do not just fear another terror attack; it's, 'How do I keep my life secure in an uncertain time?'" These voters, many of them members of minorities, received messages that emphasized Mr. Bloomberg's record in fighting crime and combating terrorism, as well as his record on job creation and health care.

**B6"Middle Middles"**: Aides identified these voters as middle-class moderates of all races who said in interviews that they sought independence and honesty in their leaders. They said they admired that Mr. Bloomberg built his fortune on his own. This group, aides said, included people from all walks of life, and messages sent to them highlighted Mr. Bloomberg's "independent leadership" and his personal biography.

=B6"Cultural Liberals": These New Yorkers said in interviews that they = considered the financing of arts and social programs to be crucial, and = that they understood that the survival of such programs depends upon = careful fiscal management. Aides said it was with these voters in mind = that they produced commercials and mailings promoting the increase in = mammography screenings in public hospitals and the mayor's promise to do = "more with less."

SNIP

"I'm sure they were using some of the best techniques money can buy," = said Jef Pollock, the pollster for Mr. Ferrer. "It's kind of hard to = pinpoint things that did work in the face of millions of dollars of = broadcast advertising."

It is unclear whether another city candidate would ever be able to = afford to replicate Mr. Bloomberg's effort. And Matthew Dowd, a top = strategist for President Bush, said it was far from certain that a = presidential candidate would be able to afford the sort of polling Mr. = Bloomberg's campaign undertook on a national scale.

Mr. Sheekey, however, said the same aims could be achieved on a cheaper, = if smaller, scale, locally and nationally.

"Could this be replicated on a national basis?" he said. "Absolutely."

Asked whether he was perhaps imagining such an attempt on behalf of Mr. = Bloomberg, who has denied having any presidential aspirations, Mr. = Sheekey said, "I'll let the pundits speculate."

Copyright 2005 The New York Times Company=20

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta  
Research Director  
Art & Science Group, LLC  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2005 20:01:43 -0500  
Reply-To: Colleen Porter <[cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDU](mailto:cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Colleen Porter <[cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDU](mailto:cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDU)>  
Subject: disappointing lecture  
Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-disposition: inline

Today I went to a Public Health Colloquium, where a highly credentialed = senior health policy expert was talking about prevention. =20

The talk was interesting overall, with some thoughtful points, but I was = blown away when he complained about the lack of reliable data on childhood = immunizations. He said that the data came from parent reports, and since = he couldn't remember what shots his children had, the data must be = questionable. =20

I was stunned. I have been a big fan of the National Immunization Study = for years. The folks who work on the study from both Abt and the = sponsoring federal agency have presented numerous methodological studies = at AAPOR, that make it clear what a high-quality survey it is (and not = just the high response rate).=20

One of the key components is the followup with health care provider = records. So no, it is NOT just a matter of respondent recall. (I've been = jealous of that piece, because I would so like to follow up my health = insurance studies with an examination of the person's brochure, since = coverages vary so much in the private insurance market it would be the = best way to address issues of underinsurance). They also do an adjustment= for non-telephone households that I find interesting. =20

I also took issue with his notion that if he didn't know about his = children's immunizations, no parent would. My husband does not know about = our children's immunizations because we have divided the parenting = workload such that routine medical issues are my job. I don't know about = NIS in particular, but many health surveys have a respondent selection = procedure that asks for the person "most knowledgeable" about the child's = health care--so perhaps this guy wouldn't be answering the questions, = anyway. =20

Overall, it was disappointing to see a public health "expert" dissing a = survey that I consider excellent. If a public health expert doesn't = understand and value our work, who will? =20

Colleen K. Porter  
Research Program Manager  
Public Health Services and Research  
College of Dentistry, D8-51  
PO Box 100404  
Gainesville, Florida 32610-0404  
(352) 846-0169, phone  
(352) 392-2672, fax  
cporter@dental.ufl.edu

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

---

Date: Wed, 16 Nov 2005 12:58:45 +0000  
Reply-To: "Moon, Nick" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Moon, Nick" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>  
Subject: literacy and numeracy  
Comments: To: "AAPORNET (E-mail)" <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Is there anyone who had got any good questions for a general public sample that measure literacy and numeracy fairly simply?

Also, has anyone tried using interviews to assess respondent literacy based on their use of Showcards or other written material

Nick Moon  
Director

GfK NOP Social Research  
245 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 9UL  
tel 020 7890 9830 fax 020 7890 9589  
< <<http://www.gfknop.co.uk/>> <http://www.gfknop.co.uk/>>

\*\*\*\*\*  
Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of GfK NOP or any of its associated companies.  
\*\*\*\*\*

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication and notify the sender immediately. It should be noted that any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited.  
\*\*\*\*\*

Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee that attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses  
\*\*\*\*\*

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
=====

Date: Wed, 16 Nov 2005 10:42:45 -0500  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>  
Subject: I thought this new Washington Post feature would be of interest  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

=20

Posted at 08:20 AM ET, 11/16/2005

Parsing the Polls: A New Fix Feature

<http://blogs.washingtonpost.com/thefix/>

Beginning today, The Fix debuts a weekly feature -- Parsing the Polls -- aimed at highlighting a handful of important (or just plain interesting) political surveys over the last week and providing context and commentary about them.=20

With polling playing an ever-increasing role in the business of politics and policy, it's important to not only understand what the numbers say but also the story behind them. We'll look at national polling as well as surveys conducted in individual House, Senate and gubernatorial races each week in an attempt to give Fix readers a sense of what the mood is not only in your state but also across the country. As with all Fix features, we want your feedback on the polls mentioned (and those we didn't mention but should have). Feel free to either post in the comments section or drop me an e-mail.

To the data!

\* CNN/USA Today/Gallup (In the field from Nov. 11-13, testing 1,006 adults with a 3 percent margin of error)

SNIP

\* Newark Star-Ledger/Eagleton-Rutgers (Nov. 8-11, 444 voters, 4.69 percent margin of error):=20

SNIP

\* Siena Research Institute (Nov. 9-11, 622 registered voters, 4 percent margin of error):=20

SNIP

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta

Research Director

Art & Science Group, LLC

6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore MD 21209

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Wed, 16 Nov 2005 10:58:54 -0500  
Reply-To: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@JPMURPHY.COM>  
Subject: Re: literacy and numeracy  
Comments: To: "Moon, Nick" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>, AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Mathsemantics: Making Numbers Talk Sense by Edward MacNeal (Penquin =  
Books, 1994) covers a number of concepts (e.g. estimating, rounding, =  
percentages) and includes questions the author used in employment =  
screening. MacNeal reflects the viewpoint of General Semantics (Alfred =  
Korzybski); his and other works are advertised at =  
[www.general-semantics.org](http://www.general-semantics.org). Another Philadelphia-area contributor is John =  
Allen Paulos of Temple University (Innumeracy).

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.  
J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY  
Post Office Box 80484  
Valley Forge, PA 19484-0484  
(610) 408-8800  
[www.jpmurphy.com](http://www.jpmurphy.com)  
[jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com](mailto:jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com)

----- Original Message -----=20  
From: "Moon, Nick" <nick.moon@GFK.COM>  
To: <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2005 7:58 AM  
Subject: literacy and numeracy

> Is there anyone who had got any good questions for a general public =  
sample  
> that measure literacy and numeracy fairly simply?  
> =20  
> Also, has anyone tried using interviews to assess respondent literacy =  
based  
> on their use of Showcards or other written material  
> =20  
> =20  
> =20  
> Nick Moon=20  
> Director  
> =20

> GfK NOP Social Research=20  
> 245 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 9UL=20  
> tel 020 7890 9830 fax 020 7890 9589=20  
> <<http://www.gfknop.co.uk/>> <http://www.gfknop.co.uk/> =20  
>=20  
> =20  
>=20  
>=20

> \*\*\*\*\*

> Any views or opinions are solely those of the  
> author and do not necessarily represent those of  
> GfK NOP or any of its associated companies.

> \*\*\*\*\*

> The information transmitted is intended only for  
> the person or entity to which it is addressed=20  
> and may contain confidential and/or privileged=20  
> material. If you are not the intended recipient of  
> this message, please do not read, copy, use or  
> disclose this communication and notify the=20  
> sender immediately. It should be noted that=20  
> any review, retransmission, dissemination or  
> other use of, or taking action in reliance  
> upon, this information by persons or entities  
> other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

> \*\*\*\*\*

> Recipients are warned that GfK NOP cannot guarantee  
> that attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free  
> as information could be intercepted, corrupted,  
> or contain viruses

> \*\*\*\*\*

>=20

>=20

> -----

> Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

> =

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Wed, 16 Nov 2005 12:07:59 -0500

Reply-To: Doug Henwood <[dhenwood@PANIX.COM](mailto:dhenwood@PANIX.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Doug Henwood <[dhenwood@PANIX.COM](mailto:dhenwood@PANIX.COM)>

Subject: Ohio, again

Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Any thoughts on this? It's forwarded from The Progressive Review, an  
email newsletter produced by Sam Smith <<http://prorev.com>>.



Doug Henwood  
Left Business Observer  
38 Greene St - 4th fl.  
New York NY 10013-2505 USA  
<dhenwood@panix.com>  
<<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>

---

## WERE OHIO'S RESULTS RIGGED AGAIN

BRAD FRIEDMAN, HUFFINGTON POST - With so much going on, few have noticed the extraordinary outcome of last Tuesday's election in Ohio where the crooked state that brung you -- by hook and by crook -- a second term for George W. Bush may have turned in results so staggeringly impossible, that perhaps even the mainstream corporate media will have no choice but to look into it.

As usual, the Free Press' heroic Bob Fitrakis and Harvey Wasserman are on the case. I'll try to summarize here briefly. There were five initiatives on the ballot last week. Issue 1 was a controversial proposition for \$2 billion in new state spending. The Christian Right was opposed (because some of the new funds might go to stem cell research), but otherwise, the Republican Governor Taft's Administration (he recently plead guilty to several counts of corruption) was pushing it hard alongside progressives in the state.

The Columbus Dispatch's pre-election polling, which Fitrakis and Wasserman describe as "uncannily accurate for decades", called the race correctly within 1% of the final result. The margin of error for the poll was +/- 2.5% with a 95% confidence interval. On Issue 1, the Dispatch poll was right on the money. They predicted 53% in favor, the final result was 54% in favor.

But then came Issues 2 through 5 put forward by Reform Ohio Now -- a bi-partisan coalition pushing these four initiatives for electoral reform . . . On those four issues, which Blackwell and the Christian Right were against, the final results were impossibly different -- and we mean impossibly -- from both the Dispatch's final polling before the election and all reasoned common-sense. Take a look:

ISSUE 1 (\$2 Billion State Bond initiative)  
PRE-POLLING: 53% Yes, 27% No, 20% Undecided  
FINAL RESULT: 54% Yes, 45% No

ISSUE 2 (Allow easier absentee balloting)  
PRE-POLLING: 59% Yes, 33% No, 9% Undecided  
FINAL RESULT: 36% Yes, 63% No

ISSUE 3 (Revise campaign contribution limits)  
PRE-POLLING: 61% Yes, 25% No, 14% Undecided

FINAL RESULT: 33% Yes, 66% No

ISSUE 4 (Ind. Comm. to draw Congressional Districts)

PRE-POLLING: 31% Yes, 45% No, 25% Undecided

FINAL RESULT: 30% Yes, 69% No

ISSUE 5 (Ind. Board instead of Sec. of State to oversee elections)

PRE-POLLING: 41% Yes, 43% No, 16% Undecided

FINAL RESULT: 29% Yes, 70% No

. . . This was the year that Ohio, under the encouragement and mandates of Blackwell, rolled out new Electronic Touch-Screen Voting Machines in 44 of its 88 counties...41 of them employing the same Diebold Touch-Screen Machines that California's Republican Sec. of State decertified in this state when 20% of them failed this summer in the largest test of its kind ever held.

[http://www.huffingtonpost.com/brad-friedman/the-staggeringly-impossib\\_b\\_10589.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/brad-friedman/the-staggeringly-impossib_b_10589.html)

BOB FITRAKIS AND HARVEY WASSERMAN, FREE PRESS, OH - The Dispatch was somehow dead accurate on Issue One, and then staggeringly wrong on Issues Two through Five. Sadly, this impossible inconsistency between Ohio's most prestigious polling operation and these final official referendum vote counts have drawn virtually no public scrutiny. Though there were glitches, this year's voting lacked the massive irregularities and open manipulations that poisoned Ohio 2004. The only major difference would appear to be the new installation of touch screen machines in those additional 41 counties.

And thus the possible explanations for the staggering defeats of Issues Two through Five boil down to two: either the Dispatch polling---dead accurate for Issue One---was wildly wrong beyond all possible statistical margin of error for Issues 2-5, or the electronic machines on which Ohio and much of the nation conduct their elections were hacked by someone wanting to change the vote count.

If the latter is true, it can and will be done again, and we can forget forever about the state that has been essential to the election of every Republican presidential candidate since Lincoln.

And we can also, for all intents and purposes, forget about the future of American democracy.

<http://www.freepress.org/departments/display/19/2005/1559>

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====

Date: Wed, 16 Nov 2005 14:40:54 -0500

Reply-To: Mark Lindeman <lindeman@BARD.EDU>

Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>

From: Mark Lindeman <lindeman@BARD.EDU>  
Subject: Re: Ohio, again  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
In-Reply-To: <p06230920bfa117a47196@[10.0.1.2]>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Well, when the Columbus Free Press sees compelling evidence of election fraud in the defeat of the Reform Ohio Now (RON) issues, that is a dog-bites-man story, if that. If the Columbus Dispatch drew anything like a similar conclusion from its own poll, that would be more interesting.

Unfortunately, I haven't read the Dispatch story about the poll, and I don't know whether the poll used the entire question wording of the issues. I would like to know this, because anecdotally one reason for the issues' failure was people's shock when they saw the multiple-page explanations on the ballot. (That argument could also apply to Issue 1, the bond issue, which did pass.) The wording is one respect in which a mail poll could produce more accurate results than a telephone poll (few respondents would survive a reading of the ballot wording); of course it could not pick up late trends.

Note that the Dispatch poll was "right on the money" about Issue 1 only if one reckons all the 20% undecided as opposed, which seems like a rhetorical stretch at best. Strangely, Fittrakis and Wasserman don't apply the same criterion to conclude that the poll was "right on the money" about Issue 4! It would be more honest to concede that off-year props are hard to project (and that props tend to go down rather than up), although what happened with Prop 2 on absentee voting surprised me, from the distant vantage of the mid-Hudson. Presumably the anti-RON ads persuaded many voters to vote against the RON reforms en bloc.

In case someone wants to see some ballot language, here is a sample ballot from Brook Park, Cuyahoga County (see pages 8 through 17):  
<http://boe.cuyahogacounty.us/BOE/ballots/PDF/110805/BrookPark/BRPK01A.pdf>

As so often, Mark Blumenthal wrote some very interesting stuff in the run-up to the election (especially about California) for [mysterypollster.com](http://mysterypollster.com), although he hasn't ventured his promised post-mortem of Ohio yet.

For political as opposed to methodological insight, one might consult the thoughts of Mark Schmitt, [http://markschmitt.typepad.com/decembrist/2005/11/initiatives\\_los.html](http://markschmitt.typepad.com/decembrist/2005/11/initiatives_los.html), and (on Ohio in particular) Jim McNeill in The American Prospect Online, <http://www.prospect.org/web/page.ww?section=root&name=ViewWeb&articleId=10621>

Mark Lindeman  
Bard College

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
=====

Date: Wed, 16 Nov 2005 13:46:01 -0700  
Reply-To: Ed Ledek <eledek@WESTERNWATS.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Ed Ledek <eledek@WESTERNWATS.COM>  
Subject: PAPER Conference PROGRAM DETAILS  
Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Colleagues:

Here is both a detailed conference outline and a link to our upcoming =  
conference in San Francisco this coming 15-16 December. We hope to see =  
you there! Link for registration and further information: =  
<http://www.papor.org>.

Thursday, December 15th

=20  
1:00-4:00 SHORT COURSE: "Quantitative Report Analysis & Writing: =20  
(Kathy Dykeman) How To Create Interest In The Data!"

=20  
4:15-6:00 Session I: California Special Election 2005 Panel (Jon =  
Cohen, Doug Rivers, Mark Baldassare)=20  
6:15-7:30 Plenary: Rob Daves, Polling Controversies & The State of =  
Our Profession=20  
7:45-8:45 Dinner

=20  
Friday, December 16th

=20  
7:30-8:30 Breakfast  
8:45-10:15 Session II: Survey Administration (Deborah Jay, Mark =  
DiCamillo, Jon Rogers, Nat Ehrlich)=20  
10:15-10:30 Break  
10:30-12:00 Session III: Western Battleground States '05-'06 (Floyd =  
Ciruli, other invited panelists)  
12:00-1:00 Lunch=20  
1:30-3:00 Session IV: National Issues Panel (Mollyann Brodie, Janet =  
Rands)  
3:00-3:15 Student Paper Competition=20  
3:30-4:30 Chapter Meeting / Conference Wrap

Please call or write me with any further questions.

Cheers,

Ed

Ed Ledek

VP, Business Development  
Western Wats  
www.westernwats.com  
801-379-4000 direct  
801-592-1943 cell

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
=====

Date: Wed, 16 Nov 2005 17:03:43 -0500  
Reply-To: [jwerner@jwdp.com](mailto:jwerner@jwdp.com)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jan Werner <[jwerner@JWDP.COM](mailto:jwerner@JWDP.COM)>  
Organization: Jan Werner Data Processing  
Subject: Re: Ohio, again  
Comments: To: Doug Henwood <[dhenwood@PANIX.COM](mailto:dhenwood@PANIX.COM)>  
Comments: cc: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <[p06230920bfa117a47196@\[10.0.1.2\]](mailto:p06230920bfa117a47196@[10.0.1.2])>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Mark Blumenthal has had some comments on this and promises some analysis eventually at his Mystery Pollster site, but I really don't find these results all that surprising.

In general, people tend to vote against "reform" ballot measures unless they have a good grasp of what they mean and who is behind them. The Dispatch poll is conducted by mail, so respondents have plenty of time to examine the proposals, understand them and decide where they stand on them. Voters in the booth are in a hurry to mark the ballot and get out.

Under those circumstances, one should expect a much higher "No" vote in the election than in the poll on this kind of question.

On the other hand, bond initiatives are something that voters generally understand. Bond proposals (and in Colorado, a tax cap suspension, which is a somewhat similar beast) did well this year overall, while "reform" proposals did not (e.g., NY and CA).

On top of that, the turnout in Ohio this year was less than 40%, as compared to nearly 72% in 2004. That makes it much harder to compare poll results to election returns.

Note that the poll results for Issue 4 (redistricting) are as accurate as those for Issue 1 (bond initiative). This negates the claim that results for Issues 2-4 are "impossibly" wrong, but more important, it may actually indicate that voters really are opposed to changing the way Congressional districts are drawn. Addressing that should be something good government types need to think about.

Jan Werner

---

Doug Henwood wrote:

> Any thoughts on this? It's forwarded from The Progressive Review, an  
> email newsletter produced by Sam Smith <<http://prorev.com>>.  
>  
> Doug Henwood  
> Left Business Observer  
> 38 Greene St - 4th fl.  
> New York NY 10013-2505 USA  
> <[dhenwood@panix.com](mailto:dhenwood@panix.com)>  
> <<http://www.leftbusinessobserver.com>>  
>  
>  
> -----  
>  
>  
> WERE OHIO'S RESULTS RIGGED AGAIN  
>  
> BRAD FRIEDMAN, HUFFINGTON POST - With so much going on, few have  
> noticed the extraordinary outcome of last Tuesday's election in Ohio  
> where the crooked state that brung you -- by hook and by crook -- a  
> second term for George W. Bush may have turned in results so  
> staggeringly impossible, that perhaps even the mainstream corporate  
> media will have no choice but to look into it.  
>  
> As usual, the Free Press' heroic Bob Fitrakis and Harvey Wasserman are  
> on the case. I'll try to summarize here briefly. There were five  
> initiatives on the ballot last week. Issue 1 was a controversial  
> proposition for \$2 billion in new state spending. The Christian Right  
> was opposed (because some of the new funds might go to stem cell  
> research), but otherwise, the Republican Governor Taft's  
> Administration (he recently plead guilty to several counts of  
> corruption) was pushing it hard alongside progressives in the state.  
>  
> The Columbus Dispatch's pre-election polling, which Fitrakis and  
> Wasserman describe as "uncannily accurate for decades", called the  
> race correctly within 1% of the final result. The margin of error for  
> the poll was +/- 2.5% with a 95% confidence interval. On Issue 1, the  
> Dispatch poll was right on the money. They predicted 53% in favor, the  
> final result was 54% in favor.  
>  
> But then came Issues 2 through 5 put forward by Reform Ohio Now -- a  
> bi-partisan coalition pushing these four initiatives for electoral  
> reform . . . On those four issues, which Blackwell and the Christian  
> Right were against, the final results were impossibly different -- and  
> we mean impossibly -- from both the Dispatch's final polling before  
> the election and all reasoned common-sense. Take a look:  
>  
> ISSUE 1 (\$2 Billion State Bond initiative)  
> PRE-POLLING: 53% Yes, 27% No, 20% Undecided  
> FINAL RESULT: 54% Yes, 45% No  
>

> ISSUE 2 (Allow easier absentee balloting)  
> PRE-POLLING: 59% Yes, 33% No, 9% Undecided  
> FINAL RESULT: 36% Yes, 63% No

>  
> ISSUE 3 (Revise campaign contribution limits)  
> PRE-POLLING: 61% Yes, 25% No, 14% Undecided  
> FINAL RESULT: 33% Yes, 66% No

>  
> ISSUE 4 (Ind. Comm. to draw Congressional Districts)  
> PRE-POLLING: 31% Yes, 45% No, 25% Undecided  
> FINAL RESULT: 30% Yes, 69% No

>  
> ISSUE 5 (Ind. Board instead of Sec. of State to oversee elections)  
> PRE-POLLING: 41% Yes, 43% No, 16% Undecided  
> FINAL RESULT: 29% Yes, 70% No

>  
> . . . This was the year that Ohio, under the encouragement and  
> mandates of Blackwell, rolled out new Electronic Touch-Screen Voting  
> Machines in 44 of its 88 counties...41 of them employing the same  
> Diebold Touch-Screen Machines that California's Republican Sec. of  
> State decertified in this state when 20% of them failed this summer in  
> the largest test of its kind ever held.

>  
> [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/brad-friedman/the-staggeringly-impossib\\_](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/brad-friedman/the-staggeringly-impossib_b_10589.html)  
> [b\\_10589.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/brad-friedman/the-staggeringly-impossib_b_10589.html)

>  
> BOB FITRAKIS AND HARVEY WASSERMAN, FREE PRESS, OH - The Dispatch was  
> somehow dead accurate on Issue One, and then staggeringly wrong on  
> Issues Two through Five. Sadly, this impossible inconsistency between  
> Ohio's most prestigious polling operation and these final official  
> referendum vote counts have drawn virtually no public scrutiny.  
> Though there were glitches, this year's voting lacked the massive  
> irregularities and open manipulations that poisoned Ohio 2004. The  
> only major difference would appear to be the new installation of touch  
> screen machines in those additional 41 counties.

>  
> And thus the possible explanations for the staggering defeats of  
> Issues Two through Five boil down to two: either the Dispatch  
> polling---dead accurate for Issue One---was wildly wrong beyond all  
> possible statistical margin of error for Issues 2-5, or the electronic  
> machines on which Ohio and much of the nation conduct their elections  
> were hacked by someone wanting to change the vote count.

>  
> If the latter is true, it can and will be done again, and we can  
> forget forever about the state that has been essential to the election  
> of every Republican presidential candidate since Lincoln.

>  
> And we can also, for all intents and purposes, forget about the future  
> of American democracy.

>  
> <http://www.freepress.org/departments/display/19/2005/1559>

>  
> -----

> Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

> Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
>  
>

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Thu, 17 Nov 2005 01:05:02 -0500  
Reply-To: [lfppop@PUBLICOPINIONPROS.COM](mailto:lfppop@PUBLICOPINIONPROS.COM)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Lisa Parmelee <[lfppop@PUBLICOPINIONPROS.COM](mailto:lfppop@PUBLICOPINIONPROS.COM)>  
Subject: Mitofsky/Lenski report on Azerbaijan exit polls posted to POP  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 8BIT

Dear AAPOR members -

Some of you might be interested to know that Public Opinion Pros magazine has just posted a special, between-issues report to its website. "Adventure in Baku" is a firsthand, in-depth account of the recent and controversial exit polling in Azerbaijan, coauthored by Warren Mitofsky of Mitofsky International and Joe Lenski of Edison Media Research, who conducted one of the exit polls.

You do not need to be a subscriber to Public Opinion Pros to read this report. Please visit our homepage at [www.PublicOpinionPros.com](http://www.PublicOpinionPros.com) to access it.

Best wishes -

Lisa

Lisa Ferraro Parmelee, Ph.D.  
Editor, Public Opinion Pros  
[www.PublicOpinionPros.com](http://www.PublicOpinionPros.com)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Thu, 17 Nov 2005 13:01:15 -0500  
Reply-To: "Sene, Alexandre" <[alexandre.sene@UCONN.EDU](mailto:alexandre.sene@UCONN.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Sene, Alexandre" <[alexandre.sene@UCONN.EDU](mailto:alexandre.sene@UCONN.EDU)>  
Subject: Job Posting  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
Comments: cc: "Best, Samuel" <[sam.best@uconn.edu](mailto:sam.best@uconn.edu)>, "Sherman, Sandra" <[sandra.sherman@uconn.edu](mailto:sandra.sherman@uconn.edu)>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii



Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

## Job Posting

University of Connecticut

Center for Survey Research and Analysis

Research Assistant III

The Center for Survey Research and Analysis at the University of Connecticut, seeks applicants for a one year/renewable position, as a Research Assistant III. The successful candidate will manage research projects and provide statistical analysis. Requirements: Bachelor's degree in survey research and four to five years of related post-degree experience or Master's in survey research (preferred) with two years of survey experience; good program manager skills; background in statistical analysis and econometric/psychometric methods; demonstrated ability using CATI system/software; excellent writing and communication skills; effective interpersonal skills. =20

Salary: commensurate with experience. Start immediately.

Send letter of application, resume and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of three references to: Dr. Samuel Best, Research Assistant Search, University of Connecticut, CSRA, 341 Mansfield Road Unit 1032, Storrs, CT 06269-1032. Electronic submission of applications is preferred: forward to [katie.lafrance@uconn.edu](mailto:katie.lafrance@uconn.edu) with the search number in the subject area. Applications will be accepted until the position is filled. (Search #06A154)=20

The University of Connecticut encourages minorities, women, and people with disabilities to apply for this position.

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Thu, 17 Nov 2005 17:23:47 -0500

Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <[Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV](mailto:Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <[Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV](mailto:Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV)>

Subject: TSMII brochure

Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

> Second International Conference on Telephone Survey Methodology

> (TSMII)

>=20

> A brochure about the conference, including program details,

> registration, and information on travel and lodging is now available

> on the website: <http://www.amstat.org/meetings/tsmii/2006/> Follow the

> link from the conference home page (right hand side).

>=20

>=20

-----

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Fri, 18 Nov 2005 09:43:48 -0500  
Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>  
Subject: California - poll after action report  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Dan Walters: Opinion polls were vindicated in the only polling that counts  
<http://www.sacbee.com/content/politics/v-print/story/13874635p-14713948c.html>  
Or=20  
<http://tinyurl.com/b2hm5>

By Dan Walters -- Bee Columnist  
Published 2:15 am PST Friday, November 18, 2005

When politicians are losing an election, they often decry the public polls that chart their decline and proclaim that "the only poll that counts is the one on Election Day."

Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger said that as last week's special election neared, but whatever else it may have proved, or disproved, about California politics, the vote demonstrated that traditional methods of opinion polling are still valid, even in a campaign with the unusual dynamics of this clash.

SNIP

Mark DiCamillo, director of the Field Poll, and Mark Baldassare, who runs the Public Policy Institute poll, made a post-election appearance before the Sacramento Press Club last week, not only to regurgitate what happened but, at least inferentially, to drive home the point that their polls accurately charted what was happening.

DiCamillo said polling this year presented "a new set of challenges" because of the partisan sniping and the appearance of competitors using new and presumably more technologically advanced methods. But ultimately, the old methods "served us and our media clients well."

And what of those internal polls that the Schwarzenegger camp was always citing? Semiretired Republican pollster and political consultant Arnie Steinberg scornfully wrote in the Washington Times after the election: "Although published polls showed no progress, the campaign's 'internals' always seemed, we were told, to show movement. Conservative Web sites and right-wing radio were treated to leaked numbers that contrasted with the 'biased' liberal polls."

SNIP

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta  
Research Director  
Art & Science Group, LLC  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Fri, 18 Nov 2005 14:46:47 -0500  
Reply-To: Warren Mitofsky <[mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM](mailto:mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Warren Mitofsky <[mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM](mailto:mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM)>  
Subject: Job Opportunity NYC area  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Posted by request. Respond to the email address at the bottom, not to me.  
warren mitofsky

The following is a current job listing for a NY-based data collection center. Interested parties can reply to this email account, off the list. Please feel free to forward to anyone who you feel might be a good candidate for this position.

#### The Company

We are a market research data collection company located minutes from midtown Manhattan in Long Island City, Queens, NY and easily accessible by most public transportation. We maintain a 7 day-per-week, 200-seat call center run by well-trained and experienced personnel.

#### The Position

We are searching for a 'hands on' results oriented individual to manage one of several call centers. This particular division of our company maintains 72 predictive dialer stations and runs at 100% capacity 7 days per week, 52 weeks per year. Because this is a key division of our company, the successful candidate will possess a high degree of personal energy, initiative and drive. Must be a problem solver who can lead a team of 8 - 12 supervisors and managers and consistently exceed production and quality requirements.

#### The Requirements

\* 2 -5 years call center management experience in a fast paced

production oriented environment.

- \* Experience directing and managing the performance of 8+ supervisory and management level employees.
- \* Demonstrable success in achieving production and quality goals
- \* Experienced in leading, coaching and developing direct reports
- \* Ability to effectively formulate strategies and action plans to achieve results
- \* Excellent interpersonal and communication skills
- \* Must be available to work EVENINGS and WEEKENDS as well as be available to maintain remote contact with the call center when off site.
- \* Market research or data collection experience helpful, but not necessary
- \* Only local candidates will be considered

#### The Rewards

For this unique individual who wants to bring their knowledge, experience and positive energy to our team., we offer the following:

- \* Competitive salary commensurate with experience
- \* Performance-based bonus
- \* Group health benefits
- \* Fast paced environment in a stable, rapidly growing company

For additional information, please send resume to [OctLigity@aol.com](mailto:OctLigity@aol.com).

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Sat, 19 Nov 2005 09:21:15 -0700  
Reply-To: Mark Blumenthal <[mdblum@AOL.COM](mailto:mdblum@AOL.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mark Blumenthal <[mdblum@AOL.COM](mailto:mdblum@AOL.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Ohio, again

As promised, I posted a fairly in-depth analysis last night on the Columbus Dispatch poll snafu:  
[http://www.mysterypollster.com/main/2005/11/columbus\\_dispat.html](http://www.mysterypollster.com/main/2005/11/columbus_dispat.html)

Some information I haven't yet seen mentioned here:

- \* While the errors were obviously much bigger this year, the Dispatch poll has always been less accurate in forecasting statewide issues than candidate races.
- \* As far as I can tell, it had never before been used to forecast an off-year statewide election featuring ballot issues but not candidate races.
- \* The ballot language for the ballot issues was exceptionally long, ranging from 210 to 930 words for each issue, but the Dispatch sent out a "greatly condensed version of each issue," according to Darrel Rowland of the Dispatch.
- \* The Dispatch poll also departed from past practice this year by including an undecided option on the final poll. Visser, Krosnick, Marquette and

Curtin (1996) found that the the lack of an undecided option and the replication of exact ballot language helped increase the poll's accuracy in candidate races.

\* The reported response rate this year (12% on the final poll, 11% in late September) was roughly half of what it had been on past surveys. According to Visser, et. al. the response rate ranged from 21% to 25% between 1980 and 1994. Over the last three elections it varied between a high of 25% in 2004 and a low of 19% in 2000. In 2002, when the turnout was 47.8% of registered voters the response rate was 22%. This year, turnout was only slightly lower (43.8%).

\* A post-election survey sponsored by the "no" campaigns and conducted by Republican Neil Newhouse showed 44% of self-reported "no" voters made up their minds "in the closing days of the campaign," e.g. after the Dispatch poll came out of the field.

The full post has all the details and links and some thoughts about the Fitrakis-Wasserman article. Again:  
[http://www.mysterypollster.com/main/2005/11/columbus\\_dispat.html](http://www.mysterypollster.com/main/2005/11/columbus_dispat.html)

Best regards,  
Mark Blumenthal

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Sun, 20 Nov 2005 08:23:07 -0500  
Reply-To: MMBlum@AOL.COM  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Mark Blumenthal <MMBlum@AOL.COM>  
Subject: Re: Ohio, again  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

A correction to my previous message: The reported response rate on the final Dispatch survey this year was 16%, not 12%. The September response rate was 11, as I reported. Thus, the final rate was still lower than previous surveys, but not "roughly half."

>\* The reported response rate this year (12% on the final poll, 11% in late >September) was roughly half of what it had been on past surveys. According >to Visser, et. al. the response rate ranged from 21% to 25% between 1980 >and 1994. Over the last three elections it varied between a high of 25% >in 2004 and a low of 19% in 2000. In 2002, when the turnout was 47.8% >of registered voters the response rate was 22%. This year, turnout >was only slightly lower(43.8%).

Mark Blumenthal

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Mon, 21 Nov 2005 11:34:51 -0800  
Reply-To: "Che Green (HRC)" <[cgreen@HUMANERESearch.ORG](mailto:cgreen@HUMANERESearch.ORG)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Che Green (HRC)" <[cgreen@HUMANERESearch.ORG](mailto:cgreen@HUMANERESearch.ORG)>  
Subject: Job Opportunity (Non-Profit): Research Project Manager  
Comments: To: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

\*RESEARCH PROJECT MANAGER (NON-PROFIT)\*

The Humane Research Council (HRC) is a 501(c)3 non-profit organization dedicated to supporting the efforts of animal and environmental protection organizations through cost-effective and relevant research services. HRC is currently seeking an experienced research analyst and writer to work part-time for our organization. The position would require a commitment of 25-30 hours per week on average and candidates would determine their own schedules. HRC is based in Seattle, Washington, but we will consider candidates in any location if available by phone and internet.

The ideal candidate will have relevant experience with both marketing research (i.e., survey design, qualitative analysis, etc.) and animal protection issues (see qualifications below). The Project Manager position supports HRC's broader objectives by taking on important non-profit client projects and assisting with internal development. Following an orientation period, the Project Manager will have sole responsibility for ongoing research and writing tasks in support of client projects.

\*Responsibilities:\*

- . Assist with project management and general research planning.
- . Draft, edit, and proofread research reports, proposals, and related content.
- . Draft, edit, and proofread HRC newsletters and potentially client publications.
- . Design surveys and analyze quantitative results using statistical methods.
- . Design discussion guides and analyze qualitative results using interpretive methods.
- . Assist with data collection including personally conducting interviews and focus groups.
- . Help update and maintain HRC's research database and online report library.
- . Collect secondary data on public behavior and opinion regarding animal issues.

- . Assist with administrative responsibilities as needed.

\*Minimum Qualifications:\*

- . A bachelor's degree in the social sciences, communications, or a related field.
- . Quantitative and qualitative research design and analysis capabilities.
- . Strong written (including email) and verbal communication skills.
- . At least two years of project management experience (research-related preferred).
- . High proficiency in MS Excel, Word, and internet-based research and communications.
- . Experience with HTML and statistical software (i.e., SPSS, SAS, etc.) preferred.
- . Ability to work independently while functioning effectively as part of a team.
- . Ability to meet tight deadlines and maintain attention to detail while also being highly productive in a part-time work environment.

Salary depends on qualifications. HRC is an equal opportunity employer.

To apply for this position, please email your cover letter, resume, and a sample of your writing (PDFs preferred, Word or text files accepted) to [info@humanereseach.org](mailto:info@humanereseach.org). Please include the job title "Research Project Manager" in the email subject line.

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Mon, 21 Nov 2005 13:52:16 -0600  
Reply-To: Nancy Mathiowetz <[nancym2@UWM.EDU](mailto:nancym2@UWM.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Nancy Mathiowetz <[nancym2@UWM.EDU](mailto:nancym2@UWM.EDU)>  
Subject: Short course offerings since 1995  
Comments: To: [AAPORnet@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORnet@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

I've trying to pull together information on all of the short course offerings at the annual AAPOR conference between 1995 and 2005.

If you have copies of the conference program and could help identify the various offerings or if you were an instructor of a course or if you took a course and can remember the name of the course and the instructor (as well as the year in which you took the course), would you please send me an email at:

nancym2@uwm.edu

Thanks for your help.....

Nancy Mathiowetz

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Mon, 21 Nov 2005 16:20:04 -0500  
Reply-To: Cliff Zukin <[zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU](mailto:zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Cliff Zukin <[zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU](mailto:zukin@RCI.RUTGERS.EDU)>  
Subject: Happy anniversary to us  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Dear Colleagues:

I want to take a moment to acknowledge the 11th anniversary of AAPORNET. We started when the Internet was a cool idea and "web surveys" just a thought. On November 22 of 1994 we posted our first communication to the 260 members with email addresses. This posting is going to 1,648, and as of November 21, 2005, AAPOR has 1,928 members.

I want to complement you all on our growth and development over this time. We are a wonderfully eclectic mix, with about 40 percent of us in academics, 40 percent in the commercial sector, and the remaining 20 percent in the governmental and non-profit worlds. Despite this potentially volatile mix, we have always found a way for our diverse membership to be a source of strength and bridge-building between communities, buttressed by our common interest in sharing information and upholding the highest standards of data quality and public opinion/survey research. AAPORNET has become an invaluable vehicle for the dissemination of information and discussion of topical issues that arise in our profession. Our unmoderated listserv, governed only by the norms of our members, has been characterized by the relevancy, timeliness, and (for the most part), civility of discussion.

And so, on the crest of Thanksgiving, I want to give thanks to each of you as AAPOR members, and to the those who keep AAPORNET up and running, and servicing a very worthy association: Shap Wolf, Steve Everett and Mike Flanagan are due singling out for recognition, but so are each of you who have contributed your time, thoughts, or just patience in reading through the postings of your colleagues in the AAPOR.



I am very excited about the upcoming conference in Montreal this May, and hope you will make it a priority to attend.

Happy Thanksgiving to all.

Cliff Zukin

Professor of Public Policy and Political Science

Edward J. Bloustein School, Rutgers University

President

American Association for Public Opinion Research

zukin@rci.rutgers.edu 732 932 2499 x712

Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy

33 Livingston Ave., Suite 202

New Brunswick, NJ 08901

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Mon, 21 Nov 2005 17:02:44 -0500  
Reply-To: [skull@pipa.org](mailto:skull@pipa.org)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Steven Kull <[skull@HIS.COM](mailto:skull@HIS.COM)>  
Subject: Opening for Research Assistant  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Opening for Research Assistant to editor of new webzine/website on world public opinion.

Requirements:

- strong writing skills,
- background in public opinion research
- background in international relations and/or international journalism
- comfort working with numeric data, though advanced statistical knowledge is not a requisite
- ability to conduct web-based research and to organize and keep track of large amounts of data
- interest in learning a style of analyzing and writing about international public opinion data,

Send resume, writing sample, and letter describing your experience with and interest in public opinion research to Program on International Policy Attitudes at [info@pipa.org](mailto:info@pipa.org) or to 1779 Massachusetts Ave, #510, Washington DC 20036.

---

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Tue, 22 Nov 2005 07:35:36 -0500  
Reply-To: "Lavrakas, Paul" <[Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM](mailto:Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Lavrakas, Paul" <[Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM](mailto:Paul.Lavrakas@NIELSENMEDIA.COM)>  
Subject: Re: Happy anniversary to us  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

And hearty "Thanks" to Professor Jim Beniger for all he did to start AAPORnet and maintain it at USC for several years!=20

-----Original Message-----

From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Cliff Zukin  
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2005 4:20 PM  
To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
Subject: Happy anniversary to us

Dear Colleagues:

I want to take a moment to acknowledge the 11th anniversary of AAPORNET. We started when the Internet was a cool idea and "web surveys" just a thought.

On November 22 of 1994 we posted our first communication to the 260 members with email addresses. This posting is going to 1,648, and as of November 21, 2005, AAPOR has 1,928 members. =20

=20

I want to complement you all on our growth and development over this time.

We are a wonderfully eclectic mix, with about 40 percent of us in academics, 40 percent in the commercial sector, and the remaining 20 percent in the governmental and non-profit worlds. Despite this potentially volatile mix, we have always found a way for our diverse membership to be a source of strength and bridge-building between communities, buttressed by our common interest in sharing information and upholding the highest standards of data quality and public opinion/survey research. AAPORNET has become an invaluable vehicle for the dissemination of information and discussion of topical issues that arise in our profession. Our unmoderated listserv, governed only by the norms of our members, has been characterized by the relevancy, timeliness, and (for the most part), civility of discussion.

=20

And so, on the crest of Thanksgiving, I want to give thanks to each of you as AAPOR members, and to the those who keep AAPORNET up and running, and servicing a very worthy association: Shap Wolf, Steve Everett and Mike Flanagan are due singling out for recognition, but so are each of you who have contributed your time, thoughts, or just patience in reading through the postings of your colleagues in the AAPOR.=20

=20

I am very excited about the upcoming conference in Montreal this May, and hope you will make it a priority to attend.

=20

Happy Thanksgiving to all.=20

=20

=20

Cliff Zukin

Professor of Public Policy and Political Science

Edward J. Bloustein School, Rutgers University

President=20



Find the answers to these questions in the new study by the Geneva University=20  
eDemocracy Center on

[http://www.geneve.ch/evoting/english/doc/rapports/=20rapport\\_26sept\\_english\\_final.pdf](http://www.geneve.ch/evoting/english/doc/rapports/=20rapport_26sept_english_final.pdf)

By the way, the Geneva Internet voting project is among the eEurope Awards=20  
finalists. The awards will be announced on Tuesday November 24 in the=20  
afternoon. To know more, visit the [www.e-europeawards.org](http://www.e-europeawards.org) web site.

Michel Chevallier  
Secr=E9taire adjoint  
Chancellerie d'Etat  
Gen=E8ve  
T=E9l. 022 327 20 49  
Fax 022 327 04 11  
[www.geneve.ch/evoting](http://www.geneve.ch/evoting)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

--Boundary\_(ID\_JsipO+l6tSgrZyuCmMc7Cg)--

=====  
Date: Wed, 23 Nov 2005 17:15:11 +0000  
Reply-To: Iain.NOBLE@DFES.GSI.GOV.UK  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Iain Noble <Iain.NOBLE@DFES.GSI.GOV.UK>  
Subject: A small piece of pedantry  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

According=20to=20a=20recent=20AAPOR=20circular=20one=20of=20the=20T-shirt=20=  
entries=20is:=20"=20I  
am=20the=20master=20of=20all=20I=20survey."=20=20Alexander=20Selkirk.=20Th=  
is=20is=20both=20a  
misquotation=20and=20a=20misattribution.

=20

Selkirk=20was=20an=2018th=20century=20seaman=20whose=20adventures=20are=20=  
supposed=20to=20have  
inspired=20Robinson=20Crusoe,=20although=20it=20is=20likely=20that=20Defoe=  
=20actually  
stole=20the=20idea=20from=20another=20source.=20He=20never=20published=20a=  
nything=20himself  
but=20his=20rescuer=20published=20an=20account=20however.=20Defoe=20himself=  
f=20never=20uses  
the=20phrase=20(though=20it's=20a=20long=20time=20since=20I=20read=20RC)=20=

rather=20it=20actually  
originates=20with=20William=20Cowper=20who=20writes=20in=20'The=20Solitude=  
=20of=20Alexander  
Selkirk':

=20

I=20am=20monarch=20of=20all=20I=20survey;=20=20=20

My=20right=20there=20is=20none=20to=20dispute;=20=20=20

From=20the=20centre=20all=20round=20to=20the=20sea=20=20=20

I=20am=20lord=20of=20the=20fowl=20and=20the=20brute=20=20=20

O=20Solitude!=20where=20are=20the=20charms=20=20=20=20=20=20=20=20=20=20

That=20sages=20have=20seen=20in=20thy=20face?=20=20=20

Better=20dwell=20in=20the=20midst=20of=20alarms,=20=20=20

Than=20reign=20in=20this=20horrible=20place.=20

=20

It's=20often=20misquoted=20however=20and=20the=20first=20publication=20pur=  
ported=20to=20be  
written=20by=20Selkirk,=20Herman=20Melville=20misquoted=20it=20too.=20Cowp=  
er=20was=20the  
author=20of=20a=20number=20of=20other=20well=20known=20phrases,=20none=20o=  
f=20which=20are=20likely  
to=20feature=20on=20an=20AAPOR=20T-shirt,=20among=20them:=20'God=20moves=20=  
in=20a=20mysterious  
way,=20His=20wonders=20to=20perform',=20'Oh!=20for=20a=20closer=20walk=20w=  
ith=20God'=20and  
"Variety's=20the=20very=20spice=20of=20life".

=20

=20

Iain=20Noble=20  
Department=20for=20Education=20and=20Skills=20  
Creating=20opportunity,=20releasing=20potential,=20achieving=20excellence=20=

Strategic=20Analysis:=20RMI=201=20(YCS=20and=20Next=20Steps=20Study),=20  
W606,=20Moorfoot,=20Sheffield,=20S1=204PQ.=20  
0114=20259=201180=20

For=20information=20about=20the=20Next=20Steps=20Study=20go=20to  
www.dfes.gov.uk/research=20<http://www.dfes.gov.uk/research>=20=20

=20

\*\*\*\*\*

This=20email=20and=20any=20files=20transmitted=20with=20it=20are=20confide=ntial=20and intended=20solely=20for=20the=20use=20of=20the=20individual=20or=20entity=20=to=20whom=20they are=20addressed.=20If=20you=20have=20received=20this=20email=20in=20error=20=please=20notify the=20system=20manager.

This=20footnote=20also=20confirms=20that=20this=20email=20message=20has=20=been=20swept=20by MIMESweeper=20for=20the=20presence=20of=20computer=20viruses.

www.mimesweeper.com

\*\*\*\*\*

The=20original=20of=20this=20email=20was=20scanned=20for=20viruses=20by=20=the=20Government=20Secure=20Intranet=20(GSi)=20virus=20scanning=20service=20=supplied=20exclusively=20by=20Cable=20&=20Wireless=20in=20partnership=20wi=th=20MessageLabs.

On=20leaving=20the=20GSI=20this=20email=20was=20certified=20virus-free=

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 23 Nov 2005 18:10:20 +0000  
Reply-To: "Caplan, James R „DMDCEAST" <James.Caplan@OSD.PENTAGON.MIL>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Caplan, James R „DMDCEAST" <James.Caplan@OSD.PENTAGON.MIL>  
Subject: Re: A small piece of pedantry  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain

Perhaps were it: "Variance is the very spice of life," we could use it on a t-shirt.  
Jim Caplan

-----Original Message-----  
From: AAPORNET [<mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu>] On Behalf Of Iain Noble  
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2005 12:15 PM  
To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
Subject: A small piece of pedantry

According to a recent AAPOR circular one of the T-shirt entries is: "I am the master of all I survey." Alexander Selkirk. This is both a misquotation

and a misattribution.

Selkirk was an 18th century seaman whose adventures are supposed to have inspired Robinson Crusoe, although it is likely that Defoe actually stole the idea from another source. He never published anything himself but his rescuer published an account however. Defoe himself never uses the phrase (though it's a long time since I read RC) rather it actually originates with William Cowper who writes in 'The Solitude of Alexander Selkirk':

I am monarch of all I survey;

My right there is none to dispute;

From the centre all round to the sea

I am lord of the fowl and the brute

O Solitude! where are the charms

That sages have seen in thy face?

Better dwell in the midst of alarms,

Than reign in this horrible place.

It's often misquoted however and the first publication purported to be written by Selkirk, Herman Melville misquoted it too. Cowper was the author of a number of other well known phrases, none of which are likely to feature on an AAPOR T-shirt, among them: 'God moves in a mysterious way, His wonders to perform', 'Oh! for a closer walk with God' and "Variety's the very spice of life".

Iain Noble

Department for Education and Skills

Creating opportunity, releasing potential, achieving excellence

Strategic Analysis: RMI 1 (YCS and Next Steps Study),

W606, Moorfoot, Sheffield, S1 4PQ.

0114 259 1180

For information about the Next Steps Study go to [www.dfes.gov.uk/research](http://www.dfes.gov.uk/research)

<<http://www.dfes.gov.uk/research>>



\*\*\*\*\*

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by MIMESweeper for the presence of computer viruses.

www.mimesweeper.com

\*\*\*\*\*

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSi) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs.

On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus-free

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> . Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Wed, 23 Nov 2005 13:56:20 -0500  
Reply-To: Warren Mitofsky <[mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM](mailto:mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
Comments: RFC822 error: <W> MESSAGE-ID field duplicated. Last occurrence was retained.  
From: Warren Mitofsky <[mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM](mailto:mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM)>  
Subject: Re: A small piece of pedantry  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Thanks to Iain Noble for one of the more interesting posts I have read here in a while.

At 12:15 PM 11/23/2005, you wrote:

>According to a recent AAPOR circular one of the T-shirt entries is: "I  
>am the master of all I survey." Alexander Selkirk. This is both a  
>misquotation and a misattribution.

>  
>  
>

>Selkirk was an 18th century seaman whose adventures are supposed to have  
>inspired Robinson Crusoe, although it is likely that Defoe actually  
>stole the idea from another source. He never published anything himself

>but his rescuer published an account however. Defoe himself never uses  
>the phrase (though it's a long time since I read RC) rather it actually  
>originates with William Cowper who writes in 'The Solitude of Alexander  
>Selkirk':

>  
>  
>  
>I am monarch of all I survey;  
>  
>My right there is none to dispute;  
>  
> From the centre all round to the sea  
>  
>I am lord of the fowl and the brute  
>  
>O Solitude! where are the charms  
>  
>That sages have seen in thy face?  
>  
>Better dwell in the midst of alarms,  
>  
>Than reign in this horrible place.

>  
>  
>  
>It's often misquoted however and the first publication purported to be  
>written by Selkirk, Herman Melville misquoted it too. Cowper was the  
>author of a number of other well known phrases, none of which are likely  
>to feature on an AAPOR T-shirt, among them: 'God moves in a mysterious  
>way, His wonders to perform', 'Oh! for a closer walk with God' and  
>"Variety's the very spice of life".

>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>Iain Noble  
>Department for Education and Skills  
>Creating opportunity, releasing potential, achieving excellence  
>Strategic Analysis: RMI 1 (YCS and Next Steps Study),  
>W606, Moorfoot, Sheffield, S1 4PQ.  
>0114 259 1180  
>For information about the Next Steps Study go to  
>[www.dfes.gov.uk/research](http://www.dfes.gov.uk/research) <<http://www.dfes.gov.uk/research>>

>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>\*\*\*\*\*

>This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and  
>intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they  
>are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify  
>the system manager.

>  
>This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by  
>MIMEsweeper for the presence of computer viruses.  
>  
>www.mimesweeper.com  
>\*\*\*\*\*  
>  
>  
>The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government  
>Secure Intranet (GSI) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by  
>Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs.  
>  
>On leaving the GSI this email was certified virus-free  
>-----  
>Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
>Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
>Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)  
=====

Date: Sat, 26 Nov 2005 17:10:39 -0500  
Reply-To: [lfppop@PUBLICOPINIONPROS.COM](mailto:lfppop@PUBLICOPINIONPROS.COM)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Lisa Parmelee <[lfppop@PUBLICOPINIONPROS.COM](mailto:lfppop@PUBLICOPINIONPROS.COM)>  
Subject: Job Opportunity at KRC Research  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Dear AAPOR members -

KRC Research is looking for a new vice president. For a detailed position description and information about KRC Research, please visit Public Opinion Pros's job postings at

[http://www.publicopinionpros.com/job\\_postings/2005/nov/KRC\\_Research.asp](http://www.publicopinionpros.com/job_postings/2005/nov/KRC_Research.asp)

This page is accessible to nonsubscribers.

Thanks -

Lisa

Lisa Ferraro Parmelee, Ph.D.  
Editor, Public Opinion Pros  
[www.PublicOpinionPros.com](http://www.PublicOpinionPros.com)

-----

Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Sun, 27 Nov 2005 22:44:38 -0700  
Reply-To: Mike O'Neil <[mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU](mailto:mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Mike O'Neil <[mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU](mailto:mike.oneil@ALUMNI.BROWN.EDU)>  
Subject: Position Available: Experienced Analyst (and other positions)  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

O'Neil Associates, a 25 year old firm based in Tempe (metro Phoenix) is soliciting applications for experienced researchers to join our team. We are full-service and do phone, mail, intercept, and internet surveys, focus groups, and other social science research projects. Our clientele is diverse, national in scope, and includes significant public policy and nonprofit sector work as well as work for commercial clients.

ANALYST: Our greatest current need is for an experienced (2-5 years minimum) quantitative or qualitative analyst. Someone with legitimate experience in both surveys and focus groups would be ideal. Said experience should be evidenced by providing examples of written reports as well as guides or questionnaires. Detailed position descriptions as well as what we are looking for in the way of analytical writing samples are described at [www.oneilresearch.com/employment.htm](http://www.oneilresearch.com/employment.htm) Should be willing to start shortly after the first of the year, although this could be done remotely for a brief period.

Someone with less than the required skills and interests might pursue an INTERNSHIP (this option is also described in the website above). This is the appropriate option for students and recent graduates lacking the specific experience and skills required of an analyst.

We would also entertain inquires from experienced FIELD staff.

Please respond to me at my work email (not this address):  
[oneil@oneilresearch.com](mailto:oneil@oneilresearch.com)

Mike O'Neil

[www.oneilresearch.com](http://www.oneilresearch.com) <<http://www.oneilresearch.com/>>

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

---

Date: Sun, 27 Nov 2005 22:06:45 -0800  
Reply-To: Jon Krosnick <[krosnick@STANFORD.EDU](mailto:krosnick@STANFORD.EDU)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: Jon Krosnick <[krosnick@STANFORD.EDU](mailto:krosnick@STANFORD.EDU)>  
Subject: Job Opening - American National Election Studies - Director of  
Stanford Operations  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

To the AAPOR Community:

I am pleased to announce a new job opening at Stanford for a researcher/lead staff member at Stanford's Institute for Research in the Social Sciences, who would join us in running a series of major survey projects here, including the American National Elections Studies (ANES).

The ANES ([www.electionstudies.org](http://www.electionstudies.org)) is a complex, large-scale project that has conducted nationally representative surveys of American adults as a public good since 1948.

Responsibilities of the position will include: (1) manage all administrative tasks for the NSF-funded grant activities of the ANES at Stanford, (2) be the principal contact and supervisor of two sub-awards for

survey data collection, (3) work with the Principal Investigators to design and revise the questionnaires for a new panel study, (4) monitor the sampling process for the panel study, and track the panel composition across waves, (5) work with the Principal Investigators to implement pre-testing and pilot studies of measures for the panel study, (6) conduct statistical analyses of the panel data as they come in each month, to monitor and maintain measurement quality, comparing results to simultaneous studies by other organizations, (7) write reports describing those statistical analyses, (8) interface with the public about the panel study, (9) work with the Principal Investigators and staff at the University of Michigan to process, clean, and build the panel study datasets for distribution, (10) prepare the codebooks and other documentation for the panel study, (11) possibly seek funding for and organize one or more conferences to help get advice on design of the panel study measures and sample, (12) assist the Principal Investigators in fulfilling all requirements of the NSF grant, (13) collaborate to assist faculty and staff working on the collaborative grant at the University of Michigan.

The individual will also have similar principal responsibilities in running other large-scale survey projects and other research efforts to be conducted at Stanford.

The position is full-time, exempt, 1-year term appointment with full benefits, with the opportunity for extensions depending on renewal of the NSF grant across a period of at least 4 years. This position offers challenging work and terrific opportunities for professional and personal growth.

Qualifications: Ph.D. level training in social science research methods (with expertise/experience in survey research), or a Masters degree with equivalent educational experience. At least two years of professional experience in project and contract management would be desirable. High computer literacy is a must. Demonstrated experience using a statistical software package such as SPSS, STATA, or SAS for data analysis and management is required. We hope to bring on board a team player with excellent interpersonal, written, and oral communication skills. A history of being motivated, organized, resourceful, and attentive to detail are desirable.

To apply for this job, please go to:

[http://jobs.stanford.edu/openings/display.cgi?Job\\_Req=009272&JFam=NIL&JOBCODE=1442](http://jobs.stanford.edu/openings/display.cgi?Job_Req=009272&JFam=NIL&JOBCODE=1442)

Feel free to contact me with questions.

Sincerely,

Jon Krosnick  
Principal Investigator  
American National Election Studies

---

Jon A. Krosnick  
Frederic O. Glover Professor in Humanities and Social Sciences  
Professor of Communication  
Professor of Political Science  
Professor of Psychology (by courtesy)  
Director, Methods of Analysis Program in the Social Sciences  
Associate Director, Institute for Research in the Social Sciences  
Stanford University

434 McClatchy Hall  
Stanford, CA 94305

Phone: 650-725-3031

Fax: 650-725-2472

Web page: <http://communication.stanford.edu/faculty/krosnick.html>

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Date: Mon, 28 Nov 2005 12:38:26 -0600

Reply-To: Mike Flanagan <[MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM](mailto:MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Mike Flanagan <[MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM](mailto:MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM)>

Subject: Job Opportunity No. 1

Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)

Comments: cc: [jmbenson@hsph.harvard.edu](mailto:jmbenson@hsph.harvard.edu)

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Title

Research Associate

School / Unit

Harvard School of Public Health

Department

Health Policy and Management

Location

Boston

Full Or Part Time

Full-Time

## Salary Grade

56

## Date Posted

11/18/2005=20

---

## Duties And Responsibilities

Will be responsible for all phases of public opinion survey research projects, including design, instrument development, data collection, analysis, and reporting of findings in academic journals. Is critical member of the team of the Harvard Opinion Research Program, and works to promote and enhance the quality of the research activities in the program.

---

## Required Education, Experience and Skills

Doctoral degree or masters' level training in public health, health policy, survey research, or relevant social science preferred. Experience in directing public opinion survey projects and reporting research findings. Strong written and oral communication skills, computer literacy (word-processing, database, search engines, presentation/graphics, spreadsheets). Prior experience with SAS or SPSS or other statistical package. Must be well-organized with experience, willingness, and ability to manage multiple survey responsibilities with confidence.

---

## Additional Web Position Listing

This is an annual appointment, renewable upon mutual consent.

---

Harvard University is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer.=20

Contact: Kathleen Weldon

kweldon@hsph.harvard.edu

ph: 617-432-4502=20

fax: 617-432-0092

=20



=20

=20

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Mon, 28 Nov 2005 12:42:00 -0600  
Reply-To: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Mike Flanagan <MFlanagan@GOAMP.COM>  
Subject: Job Opportunity No. 2  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
Comments: cc: Esantiago@mednet.ucla.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

UCLA Survey Research Center seeks Assistant Director to provide

oversight in sample & statistical design, questionnaire development, data collection (including CASI/CAPI), and data reduction (coding/cleaning). Applicants should have background in health services and previous demonstrated experience in survey research. =20

Salary commensurate with experience. Please forward a CV to Dr. Ron D. Hays, UCLA Medicine/GIM, 911 Broxton Ave., 1st Fl., Los Angeles,

CA 90024, E-mail: [drhays@ucla.edu](mailto:drhays@ucla.edu) UCLA AA/EOE.

=20

=20

=20

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

---

Date: Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:45:51 -0500  
Reply-To: Warren Mitofsky <mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Warren Mitofsky <mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM>  
Subject: NYAAPOR Holiday Party  
Comments: To: AAPORNET@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

NYAAPOR

The New York Chapter of the  
American Association for Public Opinion Research  
Established 1979

NYAAPOR would like to invite you to our  
end-of-the-year holiday party!

Our guest speaker is Dotty Lynch.

Dotty is the Senior Political Editor of CBS News and their central  
resource for political information. Her weekly column, Political  
Points, can be found on [www.CBSNews.com](http://www.CBSNews.com).

Monday, December 5

6:00 to 8:00 PM

Park Avenue Country Club

381 Park Ave South, New York, NY

Please join your colleagues to toast another  
successful NYAAPOR year and the upcoming  
holiday season!

Please RSVP to Rosemarie Sharpe,

NYAAPOR Secretariat at

[MGMTOFFICE@AOL.COM](mailto:MGMTOFFICE@AOL.COM) or (212) 684-0542

\$40 members/\$30 student members

Appetizers, beer, wine and soda as well as cash  
bar

MITOFSKY INTERNATIONAL

1776 Broadway, Suite 1708

New York, NY 10019

212 980-3031

212 980-3107 Fax

[www.mitofskyinternational.com](http://www.mitofskyinternational.com)

[mitofsky@mindspring.com](mailto:mitofsky@mindspring.com)

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Mon, 28 Nov 2005 17:23:58 -0500

Reply-To: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Leo Simonetta <[Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM](mailto:Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM)>

Subject: Columnist and Editor Differ On Why 'Columbus (Ohio) Dispatch'  
Election Poll Was Wrong

Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Columnist and Editor Differ On Why 'Columbus (Ohio) Dispatch' Election  
Poll Was Wrong

Editor & Publisher

[http://www.editorandpublisher.com/eandp/news/article\\_display.jsp?vnu\\_content\\_id=3D1001571578](http://www.editorandpublisher.com/eandp/news/article_display.jsp?vnu_content_id=3D1001571578)

or

<http://tinyurl.com/8q7p3>

Robert Koehler wonders, in his current column, if electronic-voting-machine manipulation or malfunctions were among the reasons why most results of Ohio's Nov. 8 ballot issues were so different than predicted by a usually reliable Columbus Dispatch poll.

"There's always a chance [of electronic fraud] in this crazy day and age, but I don't see how it's realistically possible," responded Dispatch Public Affairs Editor Darrel Rowland, when reached by E&P. "There would have to be some super nano-technology."

Koehler, who's syndicated by Tribune Media Services, wrote in his "Poll Shock" column that the Dispatch "has no interest in raising doubts about the integrity of the U.S. electoral system, and so hasn't looked in that direction for an explanation of what voting-rights activist Bob Fittrakis called a polling error of 'Landon beats FDR' proportions." Koehler said the Ohio paper -- which he described as "a member in good standing of the mainstream media" -- instead blamed its inaccurate poll on "the notorious volatility of statewide referendum issues."=20

SNIP

--=20

Leo G. Simonetta  
Research Director  
Art & Science Group, LLC  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore MD 21209

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

-----  
Date: Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:58:40 -0700  
Reply-To: Ed Ledek <eledek@WESTERNWATS.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Ed Ledek <eledek@WESTERNWATS.COM>  
Subject: The Upcoming PAPOR Conference...  
Comments: To: aapornet@asu.edu  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Come one, come all!

We are excited to host you and your colleagues at the 2005 PAPOR = Conference. It is just around the corner on 15-16 December. The hotel = cutoff/deadline for preferred registration fee (\$139 single/double per =

night) is 2 December!

Please go to [www.papor.org](http://www.papor.org) in order to register for this exciting event =  
in San Francisco at the Wharf. At this website, you will find links for =  
registration, hotel, payment, etc.

Of course, you should feel free to call me or email me with any =  
questions or concerns.

Hope to see you there!!

Ed

Ed Ledek  
VP, Business Development  
Western Wats  
[www.westernwats.com](http://www.westernwats.com)  
801-379-4000 direct  
801-592-1943 cell

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Mon, 28 Nov 2005 20:43:25 -0500  
Reply-To: [Johnhuffmanjr@CS.COM](mailto:Johnhuffmanjr@CS.COM)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: [Johnhuffmanjr@CS.COM](mailto:Johnhuffmanjr@CS.COM)  
Subject: Pre-Election Polling of December Iraqi National Elections  
Comments: To: [mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM](mailto:mitofsky@MINDSPRING.COM), [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Is anyone conducting anything remotely like a pre-election public opinion  
poll in advance of this December's Iraqi National Elections?

John Huffman  
AAPOR-member, and currently deployed to Iraq as an Army Reservist

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html> .  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

=====  
Date: Wed, 30 Nov 2005 12:47:48 -0500  
Reply-To: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <[Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV](mailto:Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV)>  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Meekins, Brian - BLS" <[Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV](mailto:Meekins.Brian@BLS.GOV)>  
Subject: TSMII early registration closes Dec 21  
Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Early registration for the Second International Conference on Telephone Survey Methodology (TSMII) closes on December 21st. On-site registration will be an extra \$100. You can register online and find a printable registration form on the conference website (<http://www.amstat.org/meetings/tsmii/2006/>) under the "Conference Information" heading.

> The hotel is filling up fast, so please book your rooms as soon as  
> possible at the Hyatt Regency Miami. Hotel rooms at the Hyatt Regency  
> Miami are available at the special conference rates if booked by  
> Friday, December 23rd. To make reservations, please call the hotel  
> reservation line at (800) 233-1234 and ask for the group rate for  
> "Telephone Survey Methodology".

>=20

> In addition, an updated preliminary program is available on the  
> conference website (<http://www.amstat.org/meetings/tsmii/2006/>) under  
> the heading "Program and Papers."

>=20

>=20

>=20

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>

Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

-----  
Date: Wed, 30 Nov 2005 12:53:08 -0500

Reply-To: Eric Plutzer <[exp12@PSU.EDU](mailto:exp12@PSU.EDU)>

Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>

From: Eric Plutzer <[exp12@PSU.EDU](mailto:exp12@PSU.EDU)>

Subject: Seeking Applications and Nominations: Survey Center Director at  
Penn State

Comments: To: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)>

In-Reply-To: <[AAPORNET%200511262100014388.6DE3@LISTS.ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET%200511262100014388.6DE3@LISTS.ASU.EDU)>

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Dear AAPOR Colleagues (with apologies in advance for any multiple postings you may receive):

Please consider applying, or calling this position to the attention of others. The SRC at Penn State is a thriving operation currently serving faculty investigators from 31 different departments across eight colleges. Although we do plenty of traditional RDD, we also have a large number of innovative projects using diary methods, sample collection (e.g., DNA swabs), multi-mode projects, including the capacity for face-to-face, internet and mail surveys. Working with cutting edge faculty across the full range of health and social science fields makes this a fascinating and stimulating place to work.

We encourage applications from both university faculty (who will be considered for a tenured appointment in the appropriate academic unit) as

well as those seeking appointment on a professional administrator or research scientist track.

Preliminary inquiries are welcome and AAPOR members who would like more information, or who would like to nominate a colleague, can contact me directly (Plutzer@psu.edu or 814/865-6576).

-- Eric

Our brief listing (with link to the complete description) follows:

The Pennsylvania State University seeks applications and nominations for Director of the Survey Research Center. The SRC provides state-of-the-art survey research services to Penn State faculty and staff, contributes to education and training in survey research methodology, enhances collaborative survey research activities and promotes high-quality social science at Penn State. The SRC provides consultation and services in survey design, sampling, data collection, data management, and data analysis

A detailed description of the position can be found at <http://www.ssri.psu.edu/survey/dirsearch.htm>.

Qualifications include a doctoral degree in the social sciences, statistics, epidemiology or related fields with expertise in survey research methods.

Applicants should send a statement of interest and vita, and request three letters of reference be sent to Dr. Eric Plutzer, Search Committee Chair, 327 Pond Laboratory, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802. Review of applications will begin on January 10, and continue until the position is filled. AA/EOE. Women and minorities are encouraged to apply.

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 30 Nov 2005 19:53:19 -0500  
Reply-To: Colleen Porter <cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDU>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: Colleen Porter <cporter@PHHP.UFL.EDU>  
Subject: AAPOR submission title?!?  
Comments: To: [aapornet@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet@asu.edu)  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-disposition: inline

Is it just me, or did everyone find that the "title" block only allowed 48 = characters? That's like 6 or 7 words. =20

My title came out pretty dorky sounding, and I felt badly that I couldn't =

run it by my co-authors, since there was no advance warning in the call =  
for papers or instructions.=20

I actually ended up with characters to spare on the abstract, but the =  
title thing was a real challenge. You'd think that my training in =  
newspaper headline-writing would be a help--but some of the conceptualizati=  
ons that we deal with in research don't fit neatly into such an abbreviated=  
space. (Heck, "health insurance" is 16 characters, which is 1/3 of the =  
total allowed.)

Of course our friends who work for government agencies have practice with =  
acronymns and abbreviations--maybe if I had abbreviated everything it =  
might have fit:)=20

Other than that, though, it was a wonderful experience to flex mental =  
muscles that aren't usually used. I am not one of those who does =  
methodological research on an everyday basis, so to have the opportunity =  
to explore a question that I'd been wondering about and crunch some =  
numbers was such a keen pleasure. =20

Colleen K. Porter  
Research Program Manager  
Public Health Services and Research  
College of Dentistry, D8-51  
PO Box 100404  
Gainesville, Florida 32610-0404  
(352) 846-0169, phone  
(352) 392-2672, fax  
cporter@dental.ufl.edu

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====

Date: Wed, 30 Nov 2005 16:36:48 -0800  
Reply-To: "Cohen, Jon" <Jon.Cohen@ABC.COM>  
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>  
From: "Cohen, Jon" <Jon.Cohen@ABC.COM>  
Subject: PAPOR program  
Comments: To: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@asu.edu>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Here's an updated program for the upcoming PAPOR conference in San  
Francisco, Dec. 15-16. If you're joining us at this great event (and fun  
time), please register this week at [www.papor.org](http://www.papor.org). You also have until  
Friday to take advantage of the PAPOR hotel discount at the Hyatt  
Regency-Fisherman's Wharf (details below).=20  
=20

If you have any questions about the conference please contact Ed Ledek  
[eledek@westernwats.com](mailto:eledek@westernwats.com) or Jon Cohen [jon.cohen@abc.com](mailto:jon.cohen@abc.com). =20

=20

We hope to see you in SF!

=20

=20

PAPOR Annual Conference 2005

=20

Thursday, December 15

=20

1:00-4:00 SHORT COURSE: "Quantitative Report Analysis & Writing: =20

(Kathy Dykeman) How To Create Interest In The Data!"

=20

4:15-6:00 Session I: California Special Election 2005 Panel (Mark Baldassare, Mark DiCamillo, Susan Pinkus, Jill Richardson and Doug Rivers)=20

=20

6:15-7:30 Plenary: Rob Daves, Polling Controversies & The State of Our Profession=20

=20

7:45-9:00 Dinner

=20

=20

Friday, December 16

=20

7:30-8:30 Breakfast

=20

8:45-10:15 Session II: Survey Administration (Nat Ehrlich, Deborah Jay, Janet Rands and Jon Rogers)=20

=20

10:15-10:30 Break

=20

10:30-12:00 Session III: Western Battleground States '05-'06 (Floyd



Ciruli, Paul Goodwin, Jill Richardson, Brian Sanderoff and other invited guests)

=20

12:00-1:00 Lunch

=20

1:30-3:00 Session IV: National Issues Panel (Mollyann Brodie, Anthony Salvanto, Doug Strand and other invited guests)

=20

3:00-3:15 Student Paper Competition=20

=20

3:30-4:30 Chapter Meeting / Conference Wrap

=20

=20

Hotel information: For reservations at the Hyatt at Fisherman's Wharf call (800) 233-1234. Please mention the PAPOR conference when you book your room for the discounted price of \$139 for single or double occupancy (\$164 for triple occupancy, and \$189 for quadruple occupancy). More information on the Hyatt at Fisherman's Wharf can be found at <http://fishermanswharf.hyatt.com>.

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)

=====  
Date: Wed, 30 Nov 2005 21:53:38 -0500  
Reply-To: [JChandler@gc.cuny.edu](mailto:JChandler@gc.cuny.edu)  
Sender: AAPORNET <[AAPORNET@ASU.EDU](mailto:AAPORNET@ASU.EDU)>  
From: "Jamie P. Chandler" <[JChandler@GC.CUNY.EDU](mailto:JChandler@GC.CUNY.EDU)>  
Organization: graduate center  
Subject: Hudson Valley, New York Pollster - suggestions  
Comments: To: [AAPORNET@asu.edu](mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu)  
In-Reply-To: <[s38e0342.079@fuji.hp.ufl.edu](mailto:s38e0342.079@fuji.hp.ufl.edu)>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Attention:

I'm looking for suggestions for a reputable experienced Pollster to work with an openly gay, Republican congressional candidate running in the Hudson Valley. The candidate seeks someone who is gay-friendly pollster and familiar with polling upstate New York voters. Please email me =

privately  
with any suggestions,

Thank you in advance

Jamie Chandler  
CUNY Graduate Center  
jchandler@gc.cuny.edu  
917-334-5282

-----  
Conference info and call for papers: <http://www.aapor.org/>  
Archives: <http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html>  
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.  
Problems?-don't reply to this message, write to: [aapornet-request@asu.edu](mailto:aapornet-request@asu.edu)