the polling company(tm), inc., a full-service market research firm headquartered in Washington DC, is looking to hire a project manager. The firm specializes in quantitative and qualitative research and analysis, and provides strategic counsel for a diverse portfolio of clients in the political, corporate, legal, public affairs, not-for-profit and media sectors. =20

Job Description: The employee will be involved in all stages of project development and execution, and the position entails the following components:=20

(1) management and oversight of current and future projects;=20

(2) development of original proposals, research program design, sample and questionnaire construction, data analysis, and report writing for quantitative and qualitative research;=20

(3) direction of focus groups and other qualitative research exercises

(4) communication with sample providers, field houses, and other vendors as needed to conduct quantitative research projects;=20

(4) supervision of 1-3 members of the research staff;

(5) maintenance of existing clients relations to ensure that current client's research objectives are being fully achieved and cultivating new clientele; and=20
Experience with federal government contracting a plus.

The position will be responsible for updating and taking direction from the President and CEO on the progress of all projects.

Qualifications: Applicants should have 3+ years experience in the survey research field, be able to manage several tasks at the same time, and willing to work in a small group environment. The applicant must have a strong methodological background and advanced knowledge of various research methods as well as extensive knowledge of SPSS, MS Word, Excel and Internet applications. Though the company has a wide range of clients, the candidate must have an interest in politics and must be comfortable working with ideologically-conservative clients. Candidate must be willing to work in a fast-paced office and willing to work nights and weekends when necessary. Strong writing skills, command of the English language, and statistical knowledge is a must. Candidate must have Bachelor's Degree and higher education a plus. Salary and benefits commensurate with experience.

Please send cover letter, resume, salary requirements, and references to Kellyanne Conway at info@pollingcompany.com or fax them to (202) 467-6551. No phone inquiries please. For more information about the polling company(tm), inc., please access our website at www.pollingcompany.com.
Social Science Research Solutions/SSRS has an immediate need for a project director. Appropriate experience (1-4 years in survey research) or an advanced degree in the social sciences is preferred; however, highly qualified college graduates will be considered. Project Directors work under the supervision of Research Directors and have responsibilities in all stages of project execution, including questionnaire design, review, and formatting, sampling oversight, testing instruments, managing the project during the field, and designing and providing final deliverables to clients. Complete attention to detail and the ability to generate mistake-free work is most critical. The ideal candidate is a self-starter who is computer savvy, detail oriented, and capable of working in a fast-paced environment. An interest in issues in survey research and the desire to publish within the survey research field is a plus. Candidate would be in a position for career advancement, based on merit.

SSRS conducts studies for a wide range of academic and non-profit partners, with foci on health and education research, Jewish, Hispanic, and other demographic research, and a long track record of producing high-quality survey research, typically of hard-to-reach populations and using dual-frame and other complex sampling designs.

Interested candidates should contact David Dutwin via e-mail at ddutwin@ssrs.com.

David Dutwin, PhD
Vice President
SSRS/Social Science Research Solutions
53 W. Baltimore Pike
Media, PA 19063
484-840-4406
www.ssrs.com
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Date:         Mon, 3 Jan 2011 19:49:44 +0000
Reply-To:     "Hamlin, Todd" <tHamlin@ICFI.COM>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         "Hamlin, Todd" <tHamlin@ICFI.COM>
Though the company has a wide range of clients, the candidate must have an interest in politics and must be comfortable working with ideologically-conservative clients.

Only sentence necessary.

---

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Analytics and Chief Methodologist
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209
I go away for two weeks and forget all about URLs that break funny, mutter, mutter . . . .

http://goo.gl/gjOmX

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Analytics and Chief Methodologist
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209
The internet is slowly closing in on television as Americans' main source of national and international news. Currently, 41% say they get most of their news about national and international news from the internet, which is little changed over the past two years but up 17 points since 2007. Television remains the most widely used source for national and international news -- 66% of Americans say it is their main source of news -- but that is down from 74% three years ago and 82% as recently as 2002.
Career opening at Knowledge Networks: Survey Research Methodologist

Knowledge Networks (KN) has reopened its search for a survey research methodologist. The survey research methodologist directs KN's survey methods group. The successful candidate will lead KN's efforts to advance the science and technology related to Web questionnaire design and online survey methods that enhance data quality. This is a research position that covers a broad range of methodological and sampling topics. Under the direction of KN's chief statistician, studies will be designed to advance the scientific quality and the operational efficiency of KN's KnowledgePanel(r). The survey research methodologist is expected to produce research reports, present findings at professional conferences and prepare papers for publication in peer-reviewed journals.

An advanced degree in survey research, psychology, sociology, behavioral sciences, or a closely related field is required. Also, the candidate will have relevant research experience and a track record of professional presentations and/or published research. Experience with an online research company is highly desirable. Analytical proficiency with SPSS and/or SAS is expected. The position is based in Menlo Park, CA.
For further information and instructions on how to apply, visit:

Charles DiSogra
Chief Statistician
Knowledge Networks

I would appreciate hearing from anyone who can report their experience with telephone matching/appending services.

I am looking for a vendor.

Mike O'Neil
www.mikeoneil.org
French saddest people in the world: survey

IANS

Posted on Jan 05, 2011


or

http://goo.gl/VlC4x

French are the saddest people in the world while Indians are optimists, a survey has revealed.

According to the BVA-Gallup poll of 53 countries, the French are the world champions of scepticism, with 61 per cent of respondents saying 2011 will be "a year of economic difficulty". Britons were not far behind on 52 per cent, Spaniards 48 per cent and Italians 41 per cent, the 'Daily Mail' said.

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director of Analytics and Chief Methodologist
Art & Science Group
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101
Baltimore, MD 21209

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
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Date: Wed, 5 Jan 2011 12:05:52 -0500
The Duke Initiative on Survey Methodology (DISM) is pleased to announce that it will be holding an NSF-funded conference entitled “Workshop on Questionnaire Design Issues in Longitudinal and Repeated Cross-Sectional Surveys: Balancing Continuity and Innovation.” The conference will be held on February 17-18, 2011, at the Social Science Research Institute on the campus of Duke University in Durham, North Carolina.

This conference deals with several key questions, including: When should survey investigators consider making changes to a longitudinal or repeated cross-sectional survey? How should potential changes be pretested and evaluated? How should survey user communities be integrated into the process of soliciting and evaluating survey changes? These are important questions for large scale academic, government, and commercial surveys, and this conference aims to start a serious conversation on these issues that will guide investigators in future iterations of their surveys. Presenters will include a number of noted scholars in survey methodology research, several lead investigators on academic surveys such as the American National Election Study and the General Social Survey, and representatives from the US Census and commercial survey vendors.

We are especially pleased that we will be able to fund travel and lodging for as many as 10 graduate students interested in attending the conference. These grants will be awarded on a competitive basis. We will consider applications from any interested graduate students from any discipline, though we particularly welcome applications from those who identify with minority groups traditionally underrepresented in the survey research field. Interested parties can find the application online at http://dukessri.qualtrics.com/SE/?SID=SV_55QtDMmOfRPQ2JS and are asked to submit a brief essay of 250-500 words describing why they would benefit
professionally from attending the conference. Applications are due by January 14, 2011. We encourage any faculty who know students who might benefit from attending this conference to urge them to apply.

There is no registration cost for this conference and anyone interested is welcome to attend. Due to limited meeting space, though, we ask that anyone desiring to attend the conference RSVP by January 31, 2011 Anyone who is not applying for the graduate student grant can register online at http://dukesssi.qualtrics.com/SE/?SID=SV_07HjnV8CvbSrAuo.

DISM was founded in July 2010 by Dr. D. Sunshine Hillygus of the Duke University Political Science Department. Part of its mission is to facilitate dialogue such as this conference between the academic, government, and commercial survey research communities. For more information about DISM, please visit our website: http://dism.sssi.duke.edu.

Please direct any questions or comments regarding the conference to Patrick R. Miller, Post-Doctoral Survey Research Associate at DISM (patrick.miller@duke.edu; 919-681-4981). We hope to see you in February in Durham!

Patrick R. Miller

--
Post-Doctoral Survey Research Associate
Duke Initiative on Survey Methodology
Box 90420
Durham, NC 27708-0420
Office: (919) 681-4981
Email: patrick.miller@duke.edu
Web: http://www.duke.edu/~prm6
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Date: Wed, 5 Jan 2011 19:46:47 EST
Reply-To: AmyRSimon@AOL.COM
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Amy Simon <AmyRSimon@AOL.COM>
Subject: Recommendation for Chinese-American moderator?
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <749b4.18a22569.3a566af7@aol.com>
Dear AAPORnet,

I would love any recommendations for experienced, professional Chinese-American moderators, preferably based in California.

I can use referrals for people who can do focus groups in-language (Cantonese or Mandarin) as well as Chinese-Americans who speak English only.

Thank you,

Amy Simon

----------------------------------------------------
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*BACKGROUND*

The Ethiopia Central Statistical Agency and the World Bank’s Living Standards Measurement Study-Integrated Surveys on Agriculture (LSMS-ISA) project are starting a new initiative to expand the scope of the Agricultural Sample Survey (AgSS). The Rural Socioeconomic Survey (RSES) will collect panel data on non-farm activities of AgSS households and expand the sample to include non-farm households in rural areas and households in small towns. The RSES will be a household panel survey with a strong focus on rural development, including detailed information on agricultural and non-farm income activities in rural areas and small towns nationwide, as well as a consumption-based welfare measure.

The sample for the RSES will be approximately 4,000 households, first visited in 2011/2012 and revisited in 2013/2014. In the first year, about one-third of the field interviews will be conducted by Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI) for paperless questionnaire interviews. This will be scaled up to all interviews in the second round. The second will also track migrants. The survey will consist of three questionnaires: (a) Household Questionnaire to collect information at the individual and household level on such topics as socio-demographic characteristics of the household, employment, housing, non-agricultural enterprises, consumption, etc.; (b) Agriculture/Livestock Questionnaire to collect information at the household level on agricultural and livestock inputs, production and income; (c) Community Questionnaire, mainly to collection information on access to services and other infrastructure at the local level, including local prices.

The RSES and other LSMS-ISA panel surveys will be conducted in seven countries in Sub-Saharan Africa through funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates foundation and will be modeled on the integrated household survey design of the Living Standards Measurement Study (LSMS). In addition to the goal of producing policy-relevant agricultural data and other income source=
for households, the LSMS-ISA project emphasizes the design and validation of innovative survey methods, the use of new technology for improving survey data quality, and the development of analytical tools to facilitate the use and analysis of the data collected. The data from these surveys will be used by governments, its development partners, and the research community at large, as a basis for economic analyses and policy research.

There will be a Technical Working Group (TWG) comprised of representatives from key ministries, donors and other stakeholders. These groups will advise the CSA and the World Bank on various aspects of the project.

*OBJECTIVES*

The objective of this consultancy is to provide technical assistance to CSA, which is responsible for the administration of the RSES, on all aspects of survey administration and implementation to successfully complete the RPHS. The consultant will work in close coordination with the CSA project management team responsible for administering and implementing the survey, as well as other technical experts (from the Ministry of Agricultural Development and the Ministry of Livestock and Animal Industries, the TWG, other key stakeholders, and the specialists of the World Bank).

Specific activities of this consultancy are listed below:

- Participate in the preparation, piloting, and revision of the questionnaires; report on results of piloting and ensure changes are incorporated into final version of the questionnaires. This includes

- Identify, in collaboration with the CSA counterparts, appropriate national stakeholders, including line ministries, researchable policy issues requiring data to be collected as part of the RSES;
Ensure that data entry systems are ready for survey implementation, including oversight of the CAPI consultant(s) and review of the CsPro program developed by the CSA;

Participate in the recruitment and selection of the personnel for the field work;

Participate in the preparation and implementation of the training of interviewers and supervisors, including development of manuals and supervision instruments;

Coordinate with the consultant hired for the work of sample design and selection, as well as with any other short-term consultants hired by the project;

Assist the CSA with establishing and coordinating the RSES Technical Working Group (including regular meetings);

Assist the CSA management in RSES procurement and financial management ensuring that the procurement is implemented in a timely and sustainable manner, according to the Public Procurement Act and World Bank procurement procedures;

Work closely with CSA staff in order to build capacity in the design, administration and implementation of household surveys;

Participate in the coordination of the daily activities of data collection and field supervision, address field logistical issues in a timely manner, in collaboration with the CSA management, and oversee data
processing and production;

- Support the team in carrying out pilot activities on selected methodological aspects of the surveys to be determined, e.g. conversion of local production units to standard units and sampling of pastoralist communities;

- Oversee data cleaning activities;

- Contribute to the analysis of the data, and formulate a research agenda with the CSA based on the data with input from the World Bank, the research community and policy makers;

- Foster a national and international research community, including graduate students, around the RPHS; and

*QUALIFICATIONS*

The consultant will have:

- Master’s degree or equivalent work experience in economics, agricultural economics, statistics, international development, or other relevant field. Experience working in East Africa preferred;

- Good management skills for dealing with diverse staff (senior staff in CSA, administrative personnel, field staff, data entry/IT staff).

- Previous experience working with international institutions (such as the World Bank, UNDP, etc.), government agencies, and statistical institutes preferred;
- Experience in analysis of household survey data.

- Ideally have experience in the design and implementation of at least one integrated household survey in a developing country context and analyzing household survey data;

- Strong oral and written communication skills

*DURATION OF THE CONTRACT*

This consultancy will begin in the February 2011. It will initially be for 12 months, with possibility of renewal. The renewal of the contract of the consultant will be based on a good performance in his/her work and his/her relations with the participants involved in the RSES and the World Bank. The World Bank reserves the right to shorten and/or terminate the contract and/or suspend payments if the consultant does not fill the commitments, or if the RSES is cancelled by either the Government of Ethiopia or the World Bank.

For more information on the LSMS-ISA, please visit www.worldbank.org/lsms or http://go.worldbank.org/TNOUO6ZE40

*CV *and *cover letter* should be sent to Kathleen Beegle at * kbeegle@worldbank.org*. 

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Martin Kaminer <martin.kaminer@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Jan 6, 2011 at 8:37 AM
Subject: World Bank -- Resident Advisor, Ethiopia Rural Socioeconomic survey
To: hizdamnuyot@googlegroups.com

From: Kathleen G. Beegle/Person/World Bank
Date: 12/28/2010 07:38PM
Subject: Res advisor for Ethiopia CSA new survey

Please send this to anyone who might be interested in applying. Thanks!

Cheers,
Kathleen

*(See attached file: ToR_Ethiopia ResAdv.docx)*

--
=3D=3D> Browse ~ALL~ the listings @
http://groups.google.com/group/hizdamnuyot/topics

=3D=3D> More employment resources @
http://groups.google.com/group/hizdamnuyot/web/links-to-employment-resources

=3D=3D> Interested in non-profit innovation & social entrepreneurship? Join
http://groups.google.com/group/abi-gezunt

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google
Groups "=D7=94=D7=96=D7=93=D7=9E=D7=A0=D7=95=D7=99=D7=95=D7=AA" group.

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to
hizdamnuyot+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com<hizdamnuyot%2Bunsubscribe@googlegroups.com>

To change your delivery options, visit this group at
http://groups.google.com/group/hizdamnuyot?hl=en?hl=en
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Date:         Thu, 6 Jan 2011 10:00:42 -0700
Reply-To:     Jenna Fulton <jannefulton@GMAIL.COM>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
I am compiling a list of surveys that request respondents' consent to access and append some additional data to their survey responses. This includes surveys that link survey responses to administrative records (Medicare, Social Security, etc.), information from doctors or health care providers, academic transcripts, or other types of record data. I am interested in relevant government surveys, academic surveys, and surveys conducted by other organizations.

Thank you,
Jenna Fulton
Joint Program in Survey Methodology, University of Maryland

A faculty colleague of mine submitted a grant proposal to conduct an internet survey. A reviewer raised the issue of authentication of respondent identity, to ensure that surveys really were being filled out by the people who were supposed to fill them out. If anyone knows of relevant literature on this topic, please respond either to the list or to me personally, as you see fit.

Thanks,
Alan Reifman (alan.reifman@ttu.edu)
Edith de Leeuw and I are organizing a session on "Mixed-Mode Surveys: A Total Survey Error perspective" for the European Survey Research Association meetings that will be held in Lausanne, Switzerland, on the shore of Lake Geneva, July 18-22, 2011.

A description of the proposed session(s) follows. We have also included some information about this conference, which attracts methodologists world-wide and more information is available from the website.

http://surveymethodology.eu/conferences/lausanne-info/
<http://surveymethodology.eu/conferences/lausanne-info/>
Mixed-mode surveys were already gaining popularity at the end of the 20th century, but have become a necessity in the second decade of the 21st century. Growing nonresponse in all survey modes is forcing researchers to use a combination of methods to achieve an acceptable response. Coverage issues both in Internet and telephone surveys make it necessary to adopt a mixed-mode approach when surveying the general population. Furthermore, in international and cross-cultural surveys, differential coverage patterns and survey traditions across countries make a mixed-mode design inevitable.

From a total survey error perspective a mixed-mode design is attractive, as it is offering reduced coverage error and nonresponse error at affordable costs. Still, there is a lack of empirical literature on the logistics for effective mixed-mode designs. Also, a theoretical foundation of the effectiveness of mixed-mode is lacking.

Furthermore, measurement error may be increased when using more than one mode. This could be caused by mode inherent effects (e.g., absence or presence of interviewer) or by question format effects, as often different questionnaires are used for different modes. Again, although literature on this topic exists, it is scarce.

For this session we invite papers that investigate the effect of mixed mode designs from a total survey error perspective. We encourage empirical studies based on mixed-mode experiments or pilots. We also encourage empirical papers that discuss logistics and questionnaire construction.

Deadlines

14 January 2011
Deadline for presentation proposals
18 July 2011
Opening of the conference
22 July 2011
Closing of the conference
Host institutions


FORS <http://www.fors.unil.ch/> is a national centre of expertise in the social sciences and was established in 2008. Its primary activities consist of:

* production of survey data, including national and international surveys;
* dissemination of data for use in secondary analysis;
* research in empirical social sciences, with focus on survey methodology;
* consulting services for researchers in Switzerland and abroad.

FORS collaborates with researchers and research institutes in the social sciences in Switzerland and internationally.

Founded in 1537, the University of Lausanne <http://www.unil.ch/central/page2192_en.html> is composed of seven faculties where approximately 11,500 students and 2,300 researchers work and study. Emphasis is placed on an interdisciplinary approach, with close cooperation between students, professors and teaching staff.

The University of Lausanne is situated at the heart of the French-speaking region of Switzerland, in the middle of Europe - close to France, Germany, Italy, and Austria.

The UNIL campus is spread across three locations, the largest of which is in Dorigny, on the shores of Lake Geneva. This picturesque landscape, with views of the Alps and the lake, provides the ideal setting for study and research.

Don A. Dillman, Ph.D.

Regents Professor and Deputy Director

The Social and Economic Sciences Research Center

Washington State University
Hi everybody,

I attended a really enjoyable and informative short course at PAPOR last month on doing surveys on smartphones given by Mario Callegaro of Google and formerly of Knowledge Networks. Here is a very brief summary of his presentation. Only 2-3% seem to be taking surveys on smartphones, but given the rise of smartphone use, it makes sense to be ready for it, especially since older cell phones are coming to their end of life and will have to be replaced. And how many just look at the invite and don't bother trying? I do now after having tried once. There is a way to detect whether one is using a smartphone (and which phone) using something called User agent strings. At that point the potential respondent can be directed to a survey that is designed for smartphones. You can go to http://browsersize.googlelabs.com to see how much of your survey page is viewed on a browser.

Design features for smart phones to think about appear to be to not have very long surveys, to not use grids, put nav buttons on top, use vertical not horizontal buttons, no more than 5-point scales, one question per page; Forget about the meters, help links, copyrights, on each page (too much footprint!), no logo, no video or author a/v clips, no java scripts (used for things like dropdowns and 'other please specify' type boxes). As smartphone capability advances, so will survey practices but at the moment...
Mario likened it to being more like the accommodation/ transformation of dial-ups to broad-bands.

One of the things that I like about smartphone surveys is that the surveys will have to be short. I think one of the real problems with response rates is that some web surveys are so demanding that they aren't worth while taking. You know the type: where you are supposed to fill out umpteen matrices about products you've already indicated you don't care about or know little about because marketing execs say "but we want to know how consumers feel about products they aren't going to buy!". Bah.

There is previous little research on this subject and this is a great time to do some experimental design research. I hope that by 2012, we'll hear much more about it at AAPOR.

-leora

Dr. Leora Lawton
TechSociety Research
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA  94704
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572
www.techsociety.com
Yahoo Messenger: leora_lawton
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Hi coffee drinkers!

I was asked to post the results of our research and so I am doing so. I received 16 replies to my coffee query, 15 positive and one negative. So for the negatives out there who think that there is no important connection between survey research and coffee, I posted that last post about the mobile
survey short course.

Number of responses in parentheses.

1. Use any good coffee maker and transfer to a high quality thermal pot (2): NewcoR 1.9 Liter Thermal Carafe, available at Staples for $39.99 (1 person)
2. Braun has a 10 cup model with a thermal carafe that has worked well (2)
3. Keurig: good coffee (3) but environmentally wasteful (2) and one thought that the machine wasn't made for heavy use.
4. Look at consumerresearch.com under coffee makers for reviews (2).

So I did:
http://www.consumersearch.com/coffee-maker-reviews/thermal-carafe-coffee-makers. We've been considering a grind&brew combo but this review and consumer reports both nixed the idea.
5. New York times had a review:
6. No recommendations but what a great topic (2)
7. Cone filters make better coffee than basket (2)
8. Pre-heat thermal pot with hot water before making the coffee (many online reviews)
9. Zojirushi Fresh Brew (several published reviews)
10. Google has many many dozens of images of thermal coffee makers. Who knew?
11. Cuisinart Just need to keep it cleaned, and buy water filters (2; and also rated highly on Amazon).
12. Don't get Geneva or Mr. Coffee (1). Note: We tried an experiment on our Mr. Coffees with very freshly roasted and ground Peet's coffee and while really fresh coffee correctly ground helps, it still wasn't good.
13. A couple people mentioned Keurig but the environmental issues are annoying and it was panned by our internal review team.

I had already looked at Amazon, so I knew about the Cuisinart. Plus Consumer Reports Dec issue reviews coffee makers, but the reviews didn't jump out. My conclusion could be a Cuisinart or Braun. I still am wondering about the Bunn machines that brew directly into an air-push pot.

Anyhow, now you know :)

-Leora

>>> <lawton@TECHSOCIETY.COM> 12/7/2010 3:14 PM >>>
Hi aapornetters,

I know we all have weighty issues to deal with, but I'm sure many of you agree with me that it's easier to tackle these issues with good coffee. That's as important as good software, isn't it? We're looking to replace
our Mr. Coffee makers and wonder if anyone here has recommendations to send me for a good coffee machine with a thermal carafe for our office. We just want to make some good coffee, and being in Berkeley - home of Peets - we like it strong.

So I'll be grateful if you could respond offline with recommendations,
-Leora Lawton
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Date: Fri, 7 Jan 2011 11:24:21 -0700
Reply-To: Shapard Wolf <shapwolf@MSN.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Shapard Wolf <shapwolf@MSN.COM>
Subject: AAPORnet outage 9PM-midnight Jan 7 2010
X-To: aapornet@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <bay125-ds900BF29621DB197165E01DD0B0@phx.gbl>

The server that hosts AAPORnet at Arizona State University will be upgraded tonight, Friday 1/7, between 9PM and midnight, MST, requiring an outage.

No mail will be lost, but messages sent to AAPORnet during this period will be held in a queue and delivered after the outage.

There will be no access to the AAPORnet archives at http://lists.asu.edu/aapornet/archives.html during this period.

No changes are being made in the Listserv software that powers AAPORnet.
This move will be transparent to you unless you try to send messages or read the archives during the hours above.

Best,

Shap Wolf

AAPORnet volunteer administrator

http://www.aapor.org
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text: signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

or
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ScienceDaily (Jan. 6, 2011) - Young people may crave boosts to their self-esteem a little too much, new research suggests.

Researchers found that college students valued boosts to their self-esteem more than any other pleasant activity they were asked about, including sex, favorite foods, drinking alcohol, seeing a best friend or receiving a paycheck.
Below is an abstract for a roundtable Frauke and I are planning for the IFDTC conference this spring (May 15-18 in Scottsdale, AZ). We are looking for participants to join the roundtable and help foster discussion. Please contact me (Stephanie Eckman) by Wednesday 1/12 at the address below if you would like to take part in this roundtable as a participant/discussant.

For more information on the IFDTC conference, see http://ifdtc.org/. (Note that one must be affiliated with an academic, governmental or nonprofit organization to be eligible to attend this conference.)

At U.S. and Canadian data collection firms, it is common to pay interviewers by the hour. In some European countries, pay by the case is more common. Each approach has its advantages and disadvantages. Paying by the hour may encourage slower paced interviews and thus encourage data quality, but may also lead to inefficiencies. Paying by the case may make interviewers more efficient, but can also encourage falsification of interviews. Discussion topics may include:

-- Does paying by the case encourage interviewer cheating? If so, what strategies can we use to offset this effect?
-- Does paying by the hour encourage inefficiency? If so, what strategies can we use to get interviewers to keep their hours per
completed case low?
-- Can hourly pay plus completion bonuses combine the best of both payment schemes?
-- What are the implications of each payment method for data quality?
-- Results from experimental manipulation of interviewer payment

Thank you,
Frauke Kreuter, Joint Program in Survey Methodology and Institute for Employment Research
Stephanie Eckman, Institute for Employment Research
steph.eckman@gmail.com

Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to: aapornet-request@asu.edu

An extract from Jean Twenge on young voters:

"More recent generations score significantly higher in individualism, assertiveness, self-esteem and even narcissism, and lower on caring about other people's impressions. In other words, it's all about us â€“ or rather, me, because we favor the individual over the group. This self-centeredness isn't always beneficial â€“ just ask exasperated teachers and managers â€“ but GenMe's individualistic focus has an enormous upside clearly in view during this election season"

http://www.signonsandiego.com/uniontrib/20080111/news_lz1e11twenge.html
If characteristics of the cohort who grew up with the internet is of interest I recommend Jean Twenge's work on generation ME—a terms coined for the so-called "Millennial Generation." She reports on responses to items by different cohorts of University students (Baby Boomers, GenX and Generation ME)—showing differences in the ME generation's response to items such as "If I ruled the world it would be a better place."

Being one of the cohort under study, she (of course?) has a blog which cites and discusses her research and responses in the media, etc:

http://genme.livejournal.com/

Cynthia Nelson

>>> Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM> 1/7/2011 12:24 PM >>>

or

http://goo.gl/McOS5

ScienceDaily (Jan. 6, 2011) - Young people may crave boosts to their self-esteem a little too much, new research suggests.

Researchers found that college students valued boosts to their self-esteem more than any other pleasant activity they were asked about, including sex, favorite foods, drinking alcohol, seeing a best friend or receiving a paycheck.

SNIP

--
Leo "Kids, these days" Simonetta
Art & Science Group
There is an excellent (arguably groundbreaking) article in the current issue of POQ on how to unscramble the effects of a person's age (in years), cohort (his or her generation) and the period (era, what is happening in the world at a point in time) on public opinion. The model is applied to data on attitudes toward spending for education, healthcare and Social Security. It debunks some commonly held beliefs -- e.g. that seniors are against spending on education.

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
J.P. MURPHY & COMPANY
609 921 2432 Princeton, N.J.
772 219 7671 Stuart, Fla.
610 408 8800 Mobile
www.jpmurphy.com
-----Original Message-----
From: Cynthia Nelson [mailto:cnelson@NIU.EDU]
Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2011 08:34 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Young people say sex, paychecks come in second to self-esteem - Science Daily
An extract from Jean Twenge on young voters: "More recent generations score significantly higher in individualism, assertiveness, self-esteem and even narcissism, and lower on caring about other people's impressions. In other words, it's all about us — or rather, me, because we favor the individual over the group. This self-centeredness isn't always beneficial — just ask exasperated teachers and managers — but GenMe's individualistic focus has an enormous upside clearly in view during this election season." [Link to article]

If characteristics of the cohort who grew up with the internet is of interest I recommend Jean Twenge's work on generation ME—a terms coined for the so-called "Millennial Generation." She reports on responses to items by different cohorts of University students (Baby Boomers, GenX and Generation ME)—showing differences in the ME generation's response to items such as "If I ruled the world it would be a better place." Being one of the cohort under study, she (of course?) has a blog which cites and discusses her research and responses in the media, etc: [Link to blog]

Being one of the cohort under study, she (of course?) has a blog which cites and discusses her research and responses in the media, etc: [Link to blog]

---

Cynthia Nelson >>> Leo Simonetta 1/7/2011 1:24 PM >>> [Link to article]

ScienceDaily (Jan. 6, 2011) - Young people may crave boosts to their self-esteem a little too much, new research suggests. Researchers found that college students valued boosts to their self-esteem more than any other pleasant activity they were asked about, including sex, favorite foods, drinking alcohol, seeing a best friend or receiving a paycheck. SNIP -- Leo "Kids, these days" Simonetta Art & Science Group As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author. - Sent using Google Toolbar

---
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Date: Sun, 9 Jan 2011 18:45:56 +0100
Reply-To: Edith de Leeuw <edithl@XS4ALL.NL>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Edith de Leeuw <edithl@XS4ALL.NL>
Subject: Call for papers: Internet panels and the general population ESRA conference
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU, SRMSNET@LISTSERV.UMD.EDU, list@nosmo.nl
Mime-Version: 1.0
Dear Colleagues,

We would like to invite you to submit a presentation abstract for our session entitled: Internet panels for the general population: Fact or fiction at the 4th European Survey Research Association (ESRA) conference in Lausanne, Switzerland, July 18-22, 2011.

Internet has caused a revolution in data collection and web panels have become one of the major data collection tools in market research. But also in governmental and scientific studies Internet panels are increasingly used. One of the key issues in Internet panels concerns self-selection of respondents. Probability based Internet panels are scarce, although such panels do exist in both the United States and Europe. Little is still known about the best ways to form such probability based panels and how to maintain them. Selective non response and panel attrition may threaten the generalizability to the general population, while learning and panel effects may threaten data quality. Also attempts to keep respondents in the panel and attempts to make questions as attractive as possible can influence data quality.

For this session we invite papers that study probability based on-line panels. We especially encourage papers on non response, questionnaire design, attrition, and data quality. Please forward this email to others who may be interested in submitting an abstract to this session.

The deadline for abstracts (250 words or less) is January 14, 2011.

Abstracts should be submitted via the ESRA web site (which also contains more information on the conference): http://surveymethodology.eu/conferences/

Edith de Leeuw & Annette Scherpenzeel

Professor Dr. Edith D. de Leeuw
Plantage Doklaan 40, NL-1018 CN  Amsterdam
tel + 31 20 622 34 38  fax + 31 20 330 25 97
e-mail edithl@xs4all.nl

Klaag niet dat de rozen doornen hebben,
Maar verheug u dat doornen rozen dragen

Do not moan about roses having thorns
Rejoice at thorns bearing roses

http://www.aapor.org
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text: signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
or
http://goo.gl/Fflb0

Former Arkansas Gov. Mike Huckabee has the highest net favorable score among Republicans nationwide in a field of potential GOP candidates for 2012, while former Alaska Gov. Sarah Palin is the most recognized. Former Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich and former Massachusetts Gov. Mitt Romney are also widely recognized by Republicans, and have favorability numbers similar to Palin's.

SNIP

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

- Sent using Google Toolbar
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Date: Mon, 10 Jan 2011 09:25:51 -0700
Reply-To: "Stephen J. Blumberg" <swb5@CDC.GOV>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: "Stephen J. Blumberg" <swb5@CDC.GOV>
Subject: NCHS Fellowship Announcement
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Message-ID: <2716374662737953.WA.swb5cdc.gov@lists.asu.edu>
Dear AAPOR Colleagues,

We are excited to announce openings for two Fellow positions here at the National Center for Health Statistics in Hyattsville, Maryland. The Division of Health Interview Statistics, Data Analysis and Quality Assurance Branch seeks qualified candidates to contribute to all aspects of preparing, analyzing, and disseminating the annual National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) microdata. Please click on the link below for more information about the position and the application process.

http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/fellowship_nhis.htm

Applications will be accepted through February 1, 2011. If you have applied for NCHS positions before, you will note that the application procedures have changed recently. Please feel free to forward this announcement to anyone you feel may be interested.

http://www.aapor.org

Applications will be accepted through February 1, 2011. If you have applied for NCHS positions before, you will note that the application procedures have changed recently. Please feel free to forward this announcement to anyone you feel may be interested.

http://www.aapor.org

Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News poll.
I'd like to invite my fellow AAPOR members to join me in congratulating Jon Krosnick of Stanford University on his election as a fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. Jon, a longtime AAPOR member and past conference chair, was selected for this honor on the basis of his "outstanding research in political psychology, leadership of the American National Election Studies, and innovative contributions to survey methodology, including assessment of alternative modes of survey administration."

See the announcements today at Stanford and on the AAAS website.

Way to go, Jon!

- 

Gary Langer
Langer Research Associates <http://langerresearch.com/>
We have an upcoming need to mail out several hundred checks as incentives for survey participation. Names and addresses will already be in a database from CATI.

I wonder if anyone has experience with services that do this?

Our alternative (that doesn't seem all that bad) is to buy laser checks (like the ones we used before we went to online banking) and do a mailmerge onto those checks.

(Our bank, Bank of America, which does our online banking unfortunately cannot accept our database and issue checks based on this...though it seems they should be able to do so).

Please send suggestions to oneil@oneilresearch.com

-----

And, thanks for all the suggestions regarding phone matching. I got a lot of responses and looked for consensus. Interestingly, the consensus led us to a firm we had used before for this purpose. But it is nice to have confirmation.

Mike O'Neil
www.mikeoneil.org
I am certainly not an expert in question wording in English but...

Would it have made a difference if the wording had been
Did harsh political tone have "something" to do with Arizona shootings?
instead of Have "anything" to do with...

Best,

Le 2011-01-11 15:38, Leo Simonetta a écrit :
> http://goo.gl/C0u5A
> Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric
> is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and
> critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News
> poll.
> ...
> --
> Leo G. Simonetta
> Art& Science Group
> As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.
> - Sent using Google Toolbar
>
Dear colleagues,

We are considering a project in which we would conduct face-to-face household interviews of parents and children. Our intention is to (1) determine if there are children/young adults in the household; (2) among those households with children or young adults, enumerate all household members; (3) randomly select one eligible child/young adult; (4) interview the adult most knowledgeable if randomly selected child is less than 18 or interview the young adult if that individual is randomly selected. We have a few logistical issues for which we would appreciate feedback:

1. Does anyone have an opening script that they would be willing to share (or direct us to a publicly available one) that increases the likelihood of someone in the household over age 18 being willing to speak to an
interviewer team? Is there anything that seems to particularly increase success?

2. We have considered the utility of asking a few ice breaker questions (such as a few questions about perceptions of the neighborhood) during the screening/enumeration. Are there data available indicating that asking a few ice breaker questions reduces refusals for screening and enumeration. If yes, at what point should these ice breaker questions be asked? (1) Prior to asking if anyone in the household is age eligible (i.e., up to 25) or (2) Prior to enumerating all household members.

3. What is currently recommended regarding the number of household follow-up attempts for completion of (1) screening and enumeration and (2) conduct of the actual interviews? Does anyone have cost-benefit data for follow-up attempts in recent household data collection activities that they would be willing to share?

4. For the young adults (18 and over), we have considered interviewing all available individuals at the time of enumeration (in addition to the randomly selected one). We have reviewed the literature on differences in convenience vs. randomly selected individuals in household surveys and found data on biases in such samples for adults, but have been unable to locate similar data for youth and young adults. Do these data exist?

Thank you for any advice you are willing to share. I can be reached at Melissa_Clark@brown.edu.

Melissa

Melissa A. Clark, PhD
Associate Professor of Community Health
and Obstetrics and Gynecology
Associate Director, Center for Population Health and Clinical Epidemiology
Brown University
121 S. Main Street, 6th Floor
Providence, RI 02912
Phone: (401) 863-3170
Fax: (401) 863-7912
E-mail: Melissa_Clark@brown.edu
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Date: Wed, 12 Jan 2011 16:30:11 -0500
Reply-To: howard schuman <hschuman@UMICH.EDU>
Seems doubtful, but another CBS question registers a plurality (45%; or 58% if DKs dropped) saying "Probably" when asked: "Were Loughner's political views a factor in Arizona shootings?"

This is a quite different reading of the political aspect of the event than the harsh political tone question (only 32% yes).
A different framing of the issue with different implications. hs

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Americans Feel Rhetoric, Tucson Shooting
Unrelated - Political Hotsheet - CBS News
Date: Wed, 12 Jan 2011 14:00:12 -0500
From: Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca>
Reply-To: Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca
Organization: Université de Montréal
To: AAPORNET@asu.edu

I am certainly not an expert in question wording in English but...

Would it have made a difference if the wording had been
Did harsh political tone have "something" to do with Arizona shootings?
instead of Have "anything" to do with...

Best,

Le 2011-01-11 15:38, Leo Simonetta a écrit :
> http://goo.gl/C0u5A
> Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric
> is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and
> critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News
> poll.
> 
> --
> Leo G. Simonetta
> Art& Science Group
> As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.
Good morning all:

--
Claire Durand
professeur titulaire
département de sociologie
Université de Montréal
Secrétaire-trésorière World Association of Public Opinion Research (WAPOR)
Vice-présidente, (ISA - RC33) Association internationale de méthodologie sociologique
http://www.mapageweb.umontreal.ca/durandc
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I was investigating new laws in Louisiana restricting survey research calling efforts in that state. One of our Project Managers brought this to my attention this week and I contacted MRA-CMOR and CASRO for their opinion and for additional information. I heard from LaToya R. Lang, General Counsel for MRA-CMOR and she indicated the following law is in effect:

From LaToya:

Prohibited under Louisiana law when:

1. Prior consent is not received to conduct a call using an automated dialing announcing device.

2. The call is made other than between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday.

3. Calls are made on state holidays and Sundays.

4. Any automatic dialing announcing device equipment operated without a live operator.

5. It involves the use of random or sequential dialing of telephone numbers.

6. The automatic dialing and recording device does not automatically terminate within ten seconds after a person fails to give consent for the playing of a recorded message.

7. And involves calls to customers who are omitted at their request from telephone directories published by the telephone company, hospitals, nursing homes, fire protection, law enforcement agencies, and the person who was called is not authorized to provide consent to accept the recorded call.

An automatic dialing announcing device is defined as "any device whether
alone or in conjunction with other equipment for the purpose of automatically selecting or dialing telephone numbers and disseminating recorded messages."

Therefore, the law applies essentially to all calls in the state of Louisiana. Calls made for survey research purposes are limited to the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Monday-Saturday and are prohibited on state holidays and Sundays.

At first glance it appeared the only problem was the use of automated dialing announcing devices, but at the bottom she notes that ALL calls (including those for survey research) are prohibited after 8pm on weeknights, on Sunday (all day) and on State Holidays which include MLK Day, Christmas, New Years, Mardi Gras, and others.

I have yet to hear from CASRO, but if I do I will ask to pass this along as well.

LaToya went on to state:

For your reference, RS 45:811 provides:

It shall be unlawful for any person to use, to employ or direct another person to use, or to contract for the use of ADAD equipment or to use a live operator to make calls for the purpose of advertising or offering for sale, lease, rental, or as a gift any goods, services, or property, either real or personal, primarily for personal, family, or household use or for the purpose of conducting polls or soliciting information when:

(1) Consent is not received prior to the invitation of the calls as specified in the provisions of R.S. 45:812.
(2) Such use is other than between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday.

(3) Such use is on days declared to be a state holiday, or Sundays.

(4) The ADAD equipment will operate unattended, or is not so designed and equipped with an automatic clock and calendar device that it will not operate unattended, even in the event of power failures.

(5) Such use involves the use of random or sequential dialing of telephone numbers.

(6) The automatic dialing and recorded message player does not automatically terminate its connection with any telephone call within ten seconds after the person called fails to give consent for the playing of a recorded message or hangs up.

(7) The recorded message fails to state clearly the name and telephone number of the person or organization initiating the call within the first twenty-five seconds of the call and at the conclusion of the call.

(8) Such use involves calls to:

(a) Telephone numbers which, at the request of the customer, have been omitted from the telephone directory published by the telephone company or cooperative serving the customer.

(b) Hospitals, nursing homes, fire protection agencies, or law enforcement agencies.

(9) The telephone number required to be stated in the provision of Paragraph (7) is not, during normal business hours, promptly, and personally answered by someone who is an agent of the person or organization in whose behalf the automatic calls are made and is able to provide information concerning the automatic calls.

Acts 1991, No. 917, s71.20
Therefore, it appears that it is treating the context of conducting polls as completely separate from using automatic dialing announcing devices. Therefore, it is your latter interpretation, every survey researcher is not supposed to call Louisiana on state holidays or Sundays for the purpose of conducting polls, regardless of how they are conducted or for what purpose.

Does anyone else know about this?

Regards,

Paul A. Braun
Braun Research Inc.
271 Wall Street
Princeton, NJ 08540

Office: (609) 279-1600
Fax: (609) 279-0381
Cell: (609) 658-1434
<mailto:pbraun@braunresearch.com> pbraun@braunresearch.com

http://www.aapor.org
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text: signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
I'm only a computer programmer. Perhaps lawyers can tell which of those clauses are related conjunctively and which disjunctively.

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Paul Braun
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2011 11:58 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Louisiana Call Restrictions

Good morning all:

I was investigating new laws in Louisiana restricting survey research calling efforts in that state. One of our Project Managers brought this to my attention this week and I contacted MRA-CMOR and CASRO for their opinion and for additional information. I heard from LaToya R. Lang, General Counsel for MRA-CMOR and she indicated the following law is in effect:

From LaToya:

Prohibited under Louisiana law when:

1. Prior consent is not received to conduct a call using an automated dialing announcing device.

2. The call is made other than between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday.

3. Calls are made on state holidays and Sundays.
4. Any automatic dialing announcing device equipment operated without a live operator.

5. It involves the use of random or sequential dialing of telephone numbers.

6. The automatic dialing and recording device does not automatically terminate within ten seconds after a person fails to give consent for the playing of a recorded message.

7. And involves calls to customers who are omitted at their request from telephone directors published by the telephone company, hospitals, nursing homes, fire protection, law enforcement agencies, and the person who was called is not authorized to provide consent to accept the recorded call.

An automatic dialing announcing device is defined as "any device whether alone or in conjunction with other equipment for the purpose of automatically selecting or dialing telephone numbers and disseminating recorded messages."

Therefore, the law applies essentially to all calls in the state of Louisiana. Calls made for survey research purposes are limited to the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Monday-Saturday and are prohibited on state holidays and Sundays.

At first glance it appeared the only problem was the use of automated dialing announcing devices, but at the bottom she notes that ALL calls (including those for survey research) are prohibited after 8pm on weeknights, on Sunday (all day) and on State Holidays which include MLK Day, Christmas, New Years, Mardi Gras, and others.

I have yet to hear from CASRO, but if I do I will ask to pass this along as well.

LaToya went on to state:

For your reference, RS 45:811 provides:
It shall be unlawful for any person to use, to employ or direct another person to use, or to contract for the use of ADAD equipment or to use a live operator to make calls for the purpose of advertising or offering for sale, lease, rental, or as a gift any goods, services, or property, either real or personal, primarily for personal, family, or household use or for the purpose of conducting polls or soliciting information when:

(1) Consent is not received prior to the invitation of the calls as specified in the provisions of R.S. 45:812.

(2) Such use is other than between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday.

(3) Such use is on days declared to be a state holiday, or Sundays.

(4) The ADAD equipment will operate unattended, or is not so designed and equipped with an automatic clock and calendar device that it will not operate unattended, even in the event of power failures.

(5) Such use involves the use of random or sequential dialing of telephone numbers.

(6) The automatic dialing and recorded message player does not automatically terminate its connection with any telephone call within ten seconds after the person called fails to give consent for the playing of a recorded message or hangs up.

(7) The recorded message fails to state clearly the name and telephone number of the person or organization initiating the call within the first twenty-five seconds of the call and at the conclusion of the call.

(8) Such use involves calls to:

(a) Telephone numbers which, at the request of the customer, have been omitted from the telephone directory published by the telephone company or cooperative serving the customer.

(b) Hospitals, nursing homes, fire protection agencies, or law enforcement agencies.

(9) The telephone number required to be stated in the provision of Paragraph (7) is not, during normal business hours, promptly, and personally answered by someone who is an agent of the person or organization in whose behalf the automatic calls are made and is able to provide information concerning the automatic calls.

Therefore, it appears that it is treating the context of conducting polls as completely separate from using automatic dialing announcing devices. Therefore, it is your latter interpretation, every survey researcher is not supposed to call Louisiana on state holidays or Sundays for the purpose of conducting polls, regardless of how they are conducted or for what purpose.

Does anyone else know about this?

Regards,

Paul A. Braun
Braun Research Inc.
271 Wall Street
Princeton, NJ 08540

Office: (609) 279-1600
Fax: (609) 279-0381
Cell: (609) 658-1434
mailto:pbraun@braunresearch.com pbraun@braunresearch.com
Greetings:
The Public Policy Institute of California is looking for someone to join the Statewide Survey team. Below is the position description and desired qualifications. Please visit our website for more information about the institute: http://www.ppic.org.
You can find the position description and application instructions here: http://www.ppic.org/main/opportunities.asp

Thank you,
Sonja Petek

Description
The Survey Research Associate position supports PPIC’s ongoing large-scale public opinion survey series about Californians’ attitudes toward political, social, and economic issues. The position reports to the survey director and is supervised by the two survey managers. This is a temporary position, funded through December 31, 2011; hours can range from twenty to forty per week. The position is located in PPIC’s San Francisco office.

Responsibilities

* Assist in the design, implementation, and presentation of statewide surveys
* Assist in preparing fact sheets on elections, voters, and survey findings
* Track state and national survey questions, topics, and trends
* Track news about California public policy and politics
* Follow state legislation and proposed initiatives
* Respond to inquiries about the survey and survey data
* Contact agencies and organizations to request data and information
* Perform administrative responsibilities as required

Qualifications
* B.A./B.S. or Master's degree in social science (economics, environmental studies, political science, public policy, sociology, urban planning, or a related field), or equivalent experience and related coursework in survey research and advanced statistics
* At least one to two years of public opinion or survey research experience
* Quantitative and qualitative analytical ability
* Experience with statistical package SPSS
* Strong written and verbal communication skills
* Proven ability to show exacting attention to detail
* Strong interest in politics, government, and public opinion research
* High proficiency in Excel, Word, PowerPoint, Access and Internet
* Ability to meet tight deadlines
* Ability to work independently while functioning effectively as part of a team
* Proficiency in Spanish is a plus, as is familiarity with policy issues of importance to California

PPIC values the wide variety of backgrounds and experiences of our research staff, and key elements in the consideration of qualified candidates include excellence; diversity of talents, backgrounds, and viewpoints; and a strong fit with our mission of informing and improving public policy through independent, nonpartisan research.

As an Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action employer, PPIC is committed to excellence through diversity. We encourage women and members of minority groups to apply.
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Obama Ratings Remain Rock Steady
Moderate Republicans Less Happy with Party Leaders


http://goo.gl/G5YKa

Neither the trouncing of the Democratic Party in the midterm elections nor the surprising legislative accomplishments of the "lame duck" Congress has had a measurable effect on opinions of Barack Obama. The president's approval ratings have been rock steady since late August and his personal image has changed very little since the middle of last year.

SNIP

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.
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Date:         Thu, 13 Jan 2011 20:00:18 -0800
Reply-To:     Diane ORourke <dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         Diane ORourke <dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM>
Subject:      Re: Poll: Most Americans Feel Rhetoric, Tucson Shooting Unrelated - Political Hotsheet - CBS News
X-To:         AAPORNET@ASU.EDU, Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Message-ID:   <756786.72838.qm@web57615.mail.re1.yahoo.com>

Absolutely! Question wording is everything. As a winter resident of Tucson, I know that many people here feel that it contributed to an environment that made a paranoid schizophrenic (according to a PhD clinical psychologist friend of mine) feel more justified in his negative obsession with Congresswoman Giffords since a 2007 encounter at another community meeting. While a million people hear the rhetoric, it takes only one to take it too far.

Had the meeting been on the NE side rather than the NW side, I might have b= 
een at that gathering. Although I am not a voter here, I am a contributor and an admirer.

We are proud of the heroes who helped keep others alive last Saturday. (Although as some have pointed out, the sample of persons there represent supporters of Gabrielle Giffords and not all Arizonans.)

Diane O'Rourke
resident of two different but troubled states: IL & AZ

--- On Wed, 1/12/11, Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA> wrote:

From: Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA>
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Americans Feel Rhetoric, Tucson Shooting Unrelated - Political Hotsheet - CBS News
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2011, 1:00 PM

I am certainly not an expert in question wording in English but...

Would it have made a difference if the wording had been
Did harsh political tone have "something" to do with Arizona shootings?
instead of Have "anything" to do with...

Best,

Le 2011-01-11 15:38, Leo Simonetta a écrit :
> http://goo.gl/C0u5A
> Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric
> is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and
> critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News
> poll.
> 
> --
> Leo G. Simonetta
> Art & Science Group
> As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.
> 
> - Sent using Google Toolbar
> ------------------------------
I take offense to your insinuation. After over a week of totally unproven theories it is clear that right/left rhetoric had absolutely nothing to do with what happened. As former roommates, friends and girlfriends of his sa
I wasn't political, didn't watch TV, listen to news or talk radio. DHS has come out and said politics had nothing to do with his motivation. President Obama made that clear as well and scolded those jumping to that conclusion. I find your comments to be irresponsible and reprehensible and actually help to fuel the continued negative political discourse surrounding this tragic event.

Andy

In a message dated 1/13/2011 11:00:22 P.M. Eastern Standard Time, dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM writes:

Absolutely! Question wording is everything. As a winter resident of Tucson, I know that many people here feel that it contributed to an environment that made a paranoid schizophrenic (according to a PhD clinical psychologist friend of mine) feel more justified in his negative obsession with Congresswoman Giffords since a 2007 encounter at another community meeting. While a million people hear the rhetoric, it takes only one to take it too far.

Had the meeting been on the NE side rather than the NW side, I might have been at that gathering. Although I am not a voter here, I am a contributor and an admirer.

We are proud of the heros who helped keep others alive last Saturday. (Although as some have pointed out, the sample of persons there represents supporters of Gabrielle Giffords and not all Arizonans.)

Diane O'Rourke
resident of two different but troubled states: IL & AZ

--- On Wed, 1/12/11, Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA> wrote:

From: Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA>
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Americans Feel Rhetoric, Tucson Shooting Unrelated - Political Hotsheet - CBS News
I am certainly not an expert in question wording in English but...

Would it have made a difference if the wording had been Did harsh political tone have "something" to do with Arizona shootings? instead of Have "anything" to do with...

Best,

Le 2011-01-11 15:38, Leo Simonetta a écrit :
> http://goo.gl/C0u5A
> Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News poll.
> --
> Leo G. Simonetta
> Art & Science Group
> As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.
> - Sent using Google Toolbar

--
Claire Durand  
professeur titulaire  
d=E9partement de sociologie  
Universit=E9 de Montr=E9al  
Secr=E9taire-tr=E9sori=E8re World Association of Public Opinion Research (=WAPOR)  
Vice-pr=E9sidente, (ISA - RC33) Association internationale de m=E9thodologie sociologique
http://www.mapageweb.umontreal.ca/durandc
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OUPS!

I know that the situation is sometimes difficult in the U.S. but my question was purely methodological. Let's keep it that way on this group.

Is asking "anything to do" instead of "something to do" a bit biased in the direction of a no answer? This is the question.

Best,
Le 2011-01-14 08:43, Andrew Gage a écrit :
> I take offense to your insinuation. After over a week of totally unproven
> theories it is clear that right/left rhetoric had absolutely nothing to do
> with what happened. As former roommates, friends and girlfriends of his
> said
> he wasn't political, didn't watch TV, listen to news or talk radio. DHS
> has come out and said politics had nothing to do with his motivation.
> President Obama made that clear as well and scolded those jumping to that
> conclusion.
> I find your comments to be irresponsible and reprehensible and actually
> help to fuel the continued negative political discourse surrounding this
> tragic event.
> Andy
>
> In a message dated 1/13/2011 11:00:22 P.M. Eastern Standard Time,
> dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM writes:
> Absolutely! Question wording is everything. As a winter resident of
> Tucson, I know that many people here feel that it contributed to an
> environment
> that made a paranoid schizophrenic (according to a PhD clinical
> psychologist friend of mine) feel more justified in his negative obsession
> with
> Congresswoman Giffords since a 2007 encounter at another community
> meeting.
> While
> a million people hear the rhetoric, it takes only one to take it too far.
> Had the meeting been on the NE side rather than the NW side, I might have
> been at that gathering. Althought I am not a voter here, I am a
> contributor
> and an admirer.
> We are proud of the heros who helped keep others alive last Saturday.
> (Although as some have pointed out, the sample of persons there represent
> supporters of Gabrielle Giffords and not all Arizonans.)
> Diane O'Rourke
> resident of two different but troubled states: IL & AZ
>
> --- On Wed, 1/12/11, Claire Durand<Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA> wrote:
> From: Claire Durand<Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA>
I am certainly not an expert in question wording in English but...

Would it have made a difference if the wording had been Did harsh political tone have "something" to do with Arizona shootings? instead of Have "anything" to do with...

Best,

Le 2011-01-11 15:38, Leo Simonetta a écrit :

>> http://goo.gl/C0u5A

>> Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News poll.

>>

>> --
>> Leo G. Simonetta
>> Art & Science Group
>> As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

>>

>>

>> - Sent using Google Toolbar

>> -------------------------------

>> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>> Unsubscribe?-don't reply to this message, write to:
>> aapornet-request@asu.edu

>> --
>> Claire Durand
>> professeur titulaire
>> département de sociologie
>> Université de Montréal
>> Secrétaire-trésorière World Association of Public Opinion Research (WAPOR)
>> Vice-présidente, (ISA - RC33) Association internationale de méthodologie sociologique
>> http://www.mapageweb.umontreal.ca/durandc

>
Andy,

Perhaps we need to take things less personally. I doubt Diane meant to offend you, and her comments are hardly =93reprehensible.=94 All she said was that 1) question wording can affect the responses, and 2) that many people she knows in Tucson feel that rhetoric did influence the gunman. Those two statements appear to be facts, as far as we can tell.

As for question wording, raised by Claire Durand (see below), she appears to be right on the mark. Gallup=92s poll on the issue <http://www.gallup.com/poll/145556/Doubt-Political-Rhetoric-Major-Factor-Ariz-Shootings.aspx> , which did not use the word =93any=94 (a problematic word for polling questions more generally), found substantially different results from the CBS poll. Gallup asked, =93Just your best guess, do you think the heated language used in politics today was or was not a factor influencing the Arizona shooter to commit the attack?=94 With that wording (similar to what Claire suggested), Gallup found 42% who said yes, 42% who said no. Gallup then asked if it was a =93major=94 or =93minor=94 factor (among those who said yes), and found 20% saying major and 22% minor.

The point Claire and Diane make is clear =96 question wording can change the results significantly. While CBS reported a public with a substantial majority saying rhetoric had nothing (the opposite of =93any=94) to do with the shooting, Gallup found a public that was evenly divided over whether rhetoric had at least some influence.
I take offense to your insinuation. After over a week of totally unproven theories it is clear that right/left rhetoric had absolutely nothing to do with what happened. As former roommates, friends and girlfriends of his said he wasn't political, didn't watch TV, listen to news or talk radio. DHS has come out and said politics had nothing to do with his motivation. President Obama made that clear as well and scolded those jumping to that.
I find your comments to be irresponsible and reprehensible and actually help to fuel the continued negative political discourse surrounding this tragic event.

Andy

In a message dated 1/13/2011 11:00:22 P.M. Eastern Standard Time, dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM writes:

Absolutely! Question wording is everything. As a winter resident of Tucson, I know that many people here feel that it contributed to an environment that made a paranoid schizophrenic (according to a PhD clinical psychologist friend of mine) feel more justified in his negative obsession with Congresswoman Giffords since a 2007 encounter at another community meeting. While a million people hear the rhetoric, it takes only one to take it too far.

Had the meeting been on the NE side rather than the NW side, I might have been at that gathering. Although I am not a voter here, I am a contributor.
and an admirer.

We are proud of the heros who helped keep others alive last Saturday. (Although as some have pointed out, the sample of persons there represent supporters of Gabrielle Giffords and not all Arizonans.)

Diane O'Rourke
Resident of two different but troubled states: IL & AZ

--- On Wed, 1/12/11, Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA> wrote:

I am certainly not an expert in question wording in English but...
Did harsh political tone have "something" to do with Arizona shootings?

instead of Have "anything" to do with...

Best,

Le 2011-01-11 15:38, Leo Simonetta a écrit :

http://goo.gl/C0u5A

Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News poll.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art& Science Group

As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.
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> ----------------------------------------------------
Archives:  http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html
Vacation hold? Send email to  listserv@asu.edu with this text:
set aapornet nomail
On your return send  this: set aapornet mail
As former roommates, friends and girlfriends of his said

That doesn't necessarily mean he wasn't influenced at all by the political debate -- he might have received his news on the Internet. According to recent Pew Research poll, the Internet has been gaining heavily on TV as a news source, particularly among young people. See http://people-press.org/report/689/ (a main news source for 65% of voters 18-29, with TV second at 52%).

On Fri, Jan 14, 2011 at 8:43 AM, Andrew Gage <AGage95526@aol.com> wrote:

> I take offense to your insinuation. After over a week of totally unproven theories it is clear that right/left rhetoric had absolutely nothing to do with what happened. As former roommates, friends and girlfriends of his said
> he wasn't political, didn't watch TV, listen to news or talk radio. DHS has come out and said politics had nothing to do with his motivation. President Obama made that clear as well and scolded those jumping to that conclusion.
> I find your comments to be irresponsible and reprehensible and actually help to fuel the continued negative political discourse surrounding this tragic event.
>
> Andy
>
> In a message dated 1/13/2011 11:00:22 P.M. Eastern Standard Time, dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM writes:
>
> Absolutely! Question wording is everything. As a winter resident of Tucson, I know that many people here feel that it contributed to an environment that made a paranoid schizophrenic (according to a PhD clinical psychologist friend of mine) feel more justified in his negative obsession with Congresswoman Giffords since a 2007 encounter at another community meeting. While a million people hear the rhetoric, it takes only one to take it too far.
>
> Had the meeting been on the NE side rather than the NW side, I might have
been at that gathering. Although I am not a voter here, I am a contributor and an admirer.

We are proud of the heros who helped keep others alive last Saturday. (Although as some have pointed out, the sample of persons there represent supporters of Gabrielle Giffords and not all Arizonans.)

Diane O'Rourke
resident of two different but troubled states: IL & AZ

--- On Wed, 1/12/11, Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA> wrote:

From: Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA>
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Americans Feel Rhetoric, Tucson Shooting Unrelated
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2011, 1:00 PM

I am certainly not an expert in question wording in English but...

Would it have made a difference if the wording had been Did harsh political tone have "something" to do with Arizona shootings? instead of Have "anything" to do with...

Best,

Le 2011-01-11 15:38, Leo Simonetta a écrit :
http://goo.gl/C0u5A

Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News poll.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art& Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

- Sent using Google Toolbar
In no way, shape or form did I intend to disparage Diane or any other AAPOR member who is grieving as am I. My family knows the Green family so I fully understand the gravity of the situation at hand. I just think and it has been proven that politics had no bearing on his behavior and we should leave it at that.

Again my apologies to anyone offended.

Andy

In a message dated 1/14/2011 9:02:25 A.M. Eastern Standard Time, RSantos@urban.org writes:

Hi Andy,

Happy New year!

You made some great points in your email. But I had to read & re-read the email to conclude this. Unfortunately all I could focus on was the disparaging remarks at the end that essentially impugned the character of a fellow AAPOR member.

I'm all for voicing disagreements (even emphatically). But the merits in the message content evaporate when personal attacks are made (which is how I interpreted your final remarks). Please note that Diane is mourning (as a Tucson resident who almost attended the event) and going through a grieving process. You may be doing the same (and to some extent I am as well). So let's try to be a little tolerant and follow the suggestion that such discourse should be done in a way that 'heals' rather than 'wounds'. I suspect Diane won't be feeling too good after reading your email. I hope that wasn't the intended effect.

Sorry for butting in, but I just couldn't let this pass
Take care

Robert Santos
Senior Institute Methodologist
The Urban Institute
2100 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
OFF: 202 261-5904
FAX: 202 429-0687
CELL: 512 619-5667

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Andrew Gage
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2011 8:44 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Americans Feel Rhetoric, Tucson Shooting Unrelated - Political...

I take offense to your insinuation. After over a week of totally unproven theories it is clear that right/left rhetoric had absolutely nothing to do with what happened. As former roommates, friends and girlfriends of his said he wasn't political, didn't watch TV, listen to news or talk radio. DHS has come out and said politics had nothing to do with his motivation. President Obama made that clear as well and scolded those jumping to that conclusion.

I find your comments to be irresponsible and reprehensible and actually help to fuel the continued negative political discourse surrounding this tragic event.

Andy

In a message dated 1/13/2011 11:00:22 P.M. Eastern Standard Time, dizdiz333@YAHOO.COM writes:

Absolutely! Question wording is everything. As a winter resident of Tucson, I know that many people here feel that it contributed to an environment that made a paranoid schizophrenic (according to a PhD clinical psychologist friend of mine) feel more justified in his negative obsession with Congresswoman Giffords since a 2007 encounter at another community meeting. While a million people hear the rhetoric, it takes only one to take it too far.
Had the meeting been on the NE side rather than the NW side, I might have been at that gathering. Although I am not a voter here, I am a contributor and an admirer.

We are proud of the heros who helped keep others alive last Saturday. (Although as some have pointed out, the sample of persons there represent supporters of Gabrielle Giffords and not all Arizonans.)

Diane O'Rourke
resident of two different but troubled states: IL & AZ

---

On Wed, 1/12/11, Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA> wrote:

From: Claire Durand <Claire.Durand@UMONTREAL.CA>
Subject: Re: Poll: Most Americans Feel Rhetoric, Tucson Shooting Unrelated to Political Hotsheet - CBS News
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2011, 1:00 PM

I am certainly not an expert in question wording in English but...

Would it have made a difference if the wording had been Did harsh political tone have "something" to do with Arizona shootings? instead of Have "anything" to do with...

Best,

Le 2011-01-11 15:38, Leo Simonetta àcrit:
> http://goo.gl/C0u5A
> Nearly six in 10 Americans say the country's heated political rhetoric is not to blame for the Tucson shooting rampage that left six dead and critically wounded U.S. Rep. Gabrielle Giffords, according to a CBS News poll.
> --
> Leo G. Simonetta
> Art& Science Group
> As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.
> - Sent using Google Toolbar
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