Academics and researchers are now invited to submit proposals for methodological studies to be incorporated on the /Understanding Society/ Innovation Panel wave 5.

Studies could relate to the design of survey instruments (e.g. question wording, scale format, item order, etc) or to survey design features (e.g. procedures intended to reduce non-response or to improve fieldwork efficiency). Data collection for wave 5 will take place in early spring 2012. The deadline for receipt of proposals is June 2nd 2011.

This initiative supports a major purpose of the Innovation Panel, which is to develop and evaluate methodologies for longitudinal survey research. This is achieved primarily by incorporating experiments of various kinds into the design of the Panel.

Further information on submitting proposals can be found at http://www.understandingsociety.org.uk/design/content/ip.aspx

Annette

--
Annette Jäckle
Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER)
University of Essex
Colchester CO4 3SQ, U.K.
tel: 0044 1206 873896
http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/people/aejack
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http://mashable.com/2011/03/30/women-facebook-survey/

"Daily deals site Eversave talked to 400 women about their Facebook relationships. The company originally conducted the survey as market research on the social network's influence on the daily deals ecosystem, but Eversave was surprised to uncover the love/hate relationship between women and their online friends."

"Most women - 83% of respondents in this survey - are annoyed at one time or another by the posts from their Facebook connections. For these respondents, the most off-putting post was some kind of whine; a full 63% said complaining from Facebook friends was their number one pet peeve, with political chatter and bragging coming in a distant second and third."

<No sign of methodology that I could find>

--
Leo "Just 83%?" Simonetta
Art & Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

- Sent using Google Toolbar
I was wondering if anyone had any sample survey project timelines to share (how long for survey development, programming, fielding, analysis, report, etc.)... also timelines for any qualitative research (focus groups, in-depth interviews).

Thanks!

~Marisa

---
Marisa Dolan
Market Research Analyst
Carnegie Communications, LLC
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Here are some thoughts about the current debate:

1. Tea Party movement favorite Sen. Marco Rubio (R-FL) said he will vote to defeat an increase in the debt limit unless it is the last one we ever authorize. The last one? Ever? Regardless of the percentage of GDP standard? Who are these guys?

2. The last time there was a government shutdown was the last time there was a Democratic president, 1995. Double standard? Seems odd.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Increases</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June, 2002</td>
<td>$6,400</td>
<td>+$450</td>
<td>GOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May, 2003</td>
<td>$7,384</td>
<td>+$984</td>
<td>GOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November, 2004</td>
<td>$8,184</td>
<td>+$800</td>
<td>GOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March, 2006</td>
<td>$8,965</td>
<td>+$781</td>
<td>GOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September, 2007</td>
<td>$9,815</td>
<td>+$850</td>
<td>Dem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 5, 2008</td>
<td>$10,615</td>
<td>+$800</td>
<td>Dem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October, 2008</td>
<td>$11,315</td>
<td>+$700</td>
<td>Dem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[* Bush years total ceiling increases: $5,365,000,000,000. Not part of the discussion.]

Recession:
- February 17, 2009. $12,104/+$789 Dem
- December 24, 2009. $12,394/+$290 Dem
- February 12, 2010. $14,294/+$1,900 Dem

* Historical note: You can't cut taxes (in 2001 & 2003), sustain th= 
em for years to come and wage two wars without fiscal consequences.

4. This just in from the IRS: Tax collections in 2010 were down $400 billion from 2008. So were 2009 collections. Revenue shortfalls do have something to do with budget deficits. Why isn’t this part of the discussion? It's all about "wasteful spending".

Nick Panagakis

----- Original Message ------
From: "Ryan Tandler" <ryan.tandler@YAHOO.COM>
To: AAPORN@ASU.EDU
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2011 1:52:41 PM
Subject: Re: Party Parity?

Welcome news for Democrats, but this is a misleading poll and a misleading story. The authors continually compare the Tea Party to the "the two major parties" when they are not at all comparable: in not a single state in the country can a person go to their DMV and register as a member of the Tea Party. It is a movement, not a party. Since Tea Partiers have decided to take over an existing party rather than start a third, the distinction matters tremendously. The false comparison irritates many involved in politics, and none more so than Republicans.

--- On Wed, 3/30/11, Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM> wrote:

From: Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject: Party Parity?
To: AAPORN@ASU.EDU
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2011, 2:24 PM

CNN Poll: Unfavorable view of tea party on the rise CNN Political Ticker - = CNN.com Blogs

or
http://goo.gl/fwDBI

SNIP

Forty-seven percent of people questioned say they have an unfavorable view of the tea party, up four points from December and an increase of 21 points from January 2010. That 47 percent is virtually identical to the 48 percent unfavorable ratings for both the Democratic party and the Republican part=
y in the same poll.

SNIP

<I for one would like to see the party breaks on that>

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

- Sent using Google Toolbar
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=========================================================================
A dark shadow looming over the upcoming conference is the possibility of diminished participation of our friends who work in the government sector. Census, NASS, BLS, GAO, NSF, and other feds have played a key role in past conferences, not to mention those who work for state and local government, including state universities in locales where those are considered state employees and tied closely to the fate of state government.

The combination of budget cuts in the current fiscal year, travel bans in some places, and the looming threat of a government shutdown and employee furloughs have all combined to create great unease and disappointment. The registration deadline which is usually so routine now seems like a huge question mark to many, and others have already cancelled plans.

I've heard from some folks who have already committed to take vacation leave and get there, whatever it takes. Of course not everyone can afford that. Although if there are children of an age to enjoy the onsite water park, it would be a great family vacation destination.

Getting support for conference travel can be such a challenge. I am getting some funding this year because I requested it as a requirement of taking on a project. Basically, I am getting a reputation as someone who carries a "will work for travel" sign around campus. For my trips to AAPOR conferences in Montreal and Los Angeles, I took leave from my regular job and had the trips paid for by someone else on campus for whom I was doing some questionnaire design and report writing.

Not everyone who so desires will be able to attend this year, but certainly those who can make it will not be disappointed. The plenary session this year looks amazing, and the issues being covered in sessions reflect an ever-evolving research agenda that pushes our field forward.

But on top of everything, I love Arizona. I draw energy from the mountains, enjoy the very different foliage, and appreciate the chance to climb mountains (here in Florida, we have some impressive sinkholes but climbing up out of them is not the same). Before Christmas, I started wearing my hiking boots around the house, just so they would be comfortable when I put them on again. Since going back down the hill is the hardest for me, I always make it a point to go down the steps at work, even if I took the elevator up. Next week I
will start doing the steps at the stadium. (Okay, about now Patty Gwartney and all the other hill-dwellers are laughing hysterically, but Florida is FLAT and I have to do what I can to get ready.) I am flying in on Tuesday morning, driving out toward the Superstition Mountains. Wednesday morning it is the Echo Canyon trail up Camelback. Thursday morning will be Piestawa Peak, but which trail depends on how I feel after Camelback.

And then there is the food. There is Southwestern food (Sam's Cafe!), AZ-Mex food (chocolate chimichangas!), and interior Mexican cuisine. Okay, I shouldn't do this before lunch.

Anyway, just to say that I look forward to seeing many of you, and understand why others will be missing this year.

Colleen Porter
Gainesville FL
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Date: Fri, 1 Apr 2011 11:41:13 -0400
Reply-To: Allan Rivlin <arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM>
Sender: AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From: Allan Rivlin <arivlin@HARTRESEARCH.COM>
Subject: Re: Conference thoughts (philosophical)
X-To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
In-Reply-To: <20110401111305.KOZ6J.271224.imail@eastrmwml32>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <15F68A30304D46B5B5A376AC2DAADEE7@Allan>

Thank you for this. I was probably going to show up for the conference without my hiking boots, but not anymore.

You are also right to highlight the added stress on Feds, and we should add state, county, and municipal government employees, in these challenging times.

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu]On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 11:13 AM
A dark shadow looming over the upcoming conference is the possibility of diminished participation of our friends who work in the government sector. Census, NASS, BLS, GAO, NSF, and other feds have played a key role in past conferences, not to mention those who work for state and local government, including state universities in locales where those are considered state employees and tied closely to the fate of state government.

The combination of budget cuts in the current fiscal year, travel bans in some places, and the looming threat of a government shutdown and employee furloughs have all combined to create great unease and disappointment. The registration deadline which is usually so routine now seems like a huge question mark to many, and others have already cancelled plans.

I've heard from some folks who have already committed to take vacation leave and get there, whatever it takes. Of course not everyone can afford that. Although if there are children of an age to enjoy the onsite water park, it would be a great family vacation destination.

Getting support for conference travel can be such a challenge. I am getting some funding this year because I requested it as a requirement of taking on a project. Basically, I am getting a reputation as someone who carries a "will work for travel" sign around campus. For my trips to AAPOR conferences in Montreal and Los Angeles, I took leave from my regular job and had the trips paid for by someone else on campus for whom I was doing some questionnaire design and report writing.

Not everyone who so desires will be able to attend this year, but certainly those who can make it will not be disappointed. The plenary session this year looks amazing, and the issues being covered in sessions reflect an ever-evolving research agenda that pushes our field forward.

But on top of everything, I love Arizona. I draw energy from the mountains, enjoy the very different foliage, and appreciate the chance to climb mountains (here in Florida, we have some impressive sinkholes but climbing up out of them is not the same). Before Christmas, I started wearing my hiking boots around the house, just so they would be comfortable when I put them on again. Since going back down the hill is the hardest for me, I always make it a point to go down the steps at work, even if I took the elevator up. Next week I will start doing the steps at the stadium. (Okay, about now Patty Gwartney
and all the other hill-dwellers are laughing hysterically, but Florida is FLAT and I have to do what I can to get ready.) I am flying in on Tuesday morning, driving out toward the Superstition Mountains. Wednesday morning it is the Echo Canyon trail up Camelback. Thursday morning will be Piestawa Peak, but which trail depends on how I feel after Camelback.

And then there is the food. There is Southwestern food (Sam's Cafe!), AZ-Mex food (chocolate chimichangas!), and interior Mexican cuisine. Okay, I shouldn't do this before lunch.

Anyway, just to say that I look forward to seeing many of you, and understand why others will be missing this year.

Colleen Porter
Gainesville FL
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Greetings, fellow AAPORnetters,

I just wanted to provide some information about conference registrations
with 6 weeks before the conference so that we have a better picture of how attendance is stacking up.

AAPOR has been monitoring conference registrations and the week-by-week numbers of online registrations are encouraging -- 2011 conference registrations essentially match or exceed the registation counts of last year in Chicago (whic was our most attended conference ever). Although I cannot predict what our final numbers will be, I can assure you that those of us who attend will have plenty of fellow attendees with whom to network and catch up. I remain very excited about how the program has shaped up and wish that all of our members could reap the benefits of attending.

I do feel for our colleagues in the public, private and academic sectors who are unable to attend due to funding issues. To them I can report that we do have fellow AAPOR members who have experience with strategies to reduce attendance expenses, So if you find yourself with insufficient funding to attend and are in need of some cost-cutting strategies, please contact me and I'll triage to someone who can advise...

Finally, I would be remiss if I did not point out one BIG cost cutting strategy: registering EARLY in order to capitalize on a sizeable registration discount. So please register by April 5 at www.aapor.org (The early bird discount deadline is just 5 days away.)

Looking forward to seeing everyone,

Rob Santos
2011 AAPOR Annual Conference Chair

From: AAPORNET on behalf of Allan Rivlin
Sent: Fri 4/1/2011 11:41 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Conference thoughts (philosophical)

Thank you for this. I was probably going to show up for the conference without my hiking boots, but not anymore.

You are also right to highlight the added stress on Feds, and we should = add
state, county, and municipal government employees, in these challenging times.

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu]On Behalf Of Colleen Porter
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 11:13 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Conference thoughts (philosophical)

A dark shadow looming over the upcoming conference is the possibility of diminished participation of our friends who work in the government sector. Census, NASS, BLS, GAO, NSF, and other feds have played a key role in past conferences, not to mention those who work for state and local government, including state universities in locales where those are considered state employees and tied closely to the fate of state government.

The combination of budget cuts in the current fiscal year, travel bans in some places, and the looming threat of a government shutdown and employee furloughs have all combined to create great unease and disappointment. The registration deadline which is usually so routine now seems like a huge question mark to many, and others have already cancelled plans.

I've heard from some folks who have already committed to take vacation leave and get there, whatever it takes. Of course not everyone can afford that. Although if there are children of an age to enjoy the onsite water park, it would be a great family vacation destination.

Getting support for conference travel can be such a challenge. I am getting some funding this year because I requested it as a requirement of taking on a project. Basically, I am getting a reputation as someone who carries a "will work for travel" sign around campus. For my trips to AAPOR conferences in Montreal and Los Angeles, I took leave from my regular job and had the trips paid for by someone else on campus for whom I was doing some questionnaire design and report writing.

Not everyone who so desires will be able to attend this year, but =
certainly
those who can make it will not be disappointed. The plenary session =
this
year looks amazing, and the issues being covered in sessions reflect an
ever-evolving research agenda that pushes our field forward.

But on top of everything, I love Arizona. I draw energy from the
mountains, enjoy the very different foliage, and appreciate the chance =
to
climb mountains (here in Florida, we have some impressive sinkholes but
climbing up out of them is not the same). Before Christmas, I started
wearing my hiking boots around the house, just so they would be =
comfortable
when I put them on again. Since going back down the hill is the hardest
for me, I always make it a point to go down the steps at work, even if I
took the elevator up. Next week I will start doing the steps at the
stadium. (Okay, about now Patty Gwartney and all the other =
hill-dwellers
are laughing hysterically, but Florida is FLAT and I have to do what I =
can
to get ready.) I am flying in on Tuesday morning, driving out toward =
the
Superstition Mountains. Wednesday morning it is the Echo Canyon trail =
up
Camelback. Thursday morning will be Piestawa Peak, but which trail =
depends
on how I feel after Camelback.

And then there is the food. There is Southwestern food (Sam's Cafe!),
AZ-Mex food (chocolate chimichangas!), and interior Mexican cuisine. =
Okay,
I shouldn't do this before lunch.

Anyway, just to say that I look forward to seeing many of you, and
understand why others will be missing this year.

Colleen Porter
Gainesville FL

ALERT! 2011 AAPOR Conference Advanced Registration discount ends April =
5.
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The information was originally posted by Alan Reifman. He kindly sent it to me again. A couple of list members asked for the information and someone suggest that I post it. So here it is!

http://archive.e-learningcentre.co.uk/eclipse/vendors/forms.htm

http://www.usask.ca/web_project/uwebd/links/web_applications/surveys/index.html

Kenneth A. Rasinski, PhD
Research Associate (Associate Professor)
The University of Chicago
Department of Medicine
5841 S. Maryland Avenue
Hi, I'm looking for recommendations on 'low priced'/ 'high value' software for generating stratified random samples.

LOWE'S Companies, Inc.

David J. Han
Manager, Workforce Analytics

http://www.aapor.org
http://lists.asu.edu/archives/aapornet.html
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
We're ready for ya. April 1 temperature= 99 degrees.

Mike O'Neil
www.mikeoneil.org

On Fri, Apr 1, 2011 at 8:13 AM, Colleen Porter <colleen_porter@cox.net> wrote:

> A dark shadow looming over the upcoming conference is the possibility of
diminished participation of our friends who work in the government sector.
> Census, NASS, BLS, GAO, NSF, and other feds have played a key role in past
conferences, not to mention those who work for state and local government,
including state universities in locales where those are considered state
employees and tied closely to the fate of state government.

> The combination of budget cuts in the current fiscal year, travel bans in
some places, and the looming threat of a government shutdown and employee
furloughs have all combined to create great unease and disappointment. The
registration deadline which is usually so routine now seems like a huge
question mark to many, and others have already cancelled plans.

> I've heard from some folks who have already committed to take vacation
leave and get there, whatever it takes. Of course not everyone can afford
that. Although if there are children of an age to enjoy the onsite water
park, it would be a great family vacation destination.

> Getting support for conference travel can be such a challenge. I am
getting some funding this year because I requested it as a requirement of
taking on a project. Basically, I am getting a reputation as someone who
carries a "will work for travel" sign around campus. For my trips to AAPOR
conferences in Montreal and Los Angeles, I took leave from my regular job
and had the trips paid for by someone else on campus for whom I was doing
some questionnaire design and report writing.

> Not everyone who so desires will be able to attend this year, but certainly
those who can make it will not be disappointed. The plenary session this
year looks amazing, and the issues being covered in sessions reflect an
ever-evolving research agenda that pushes our field forward.

> But on top of everything, I love Arizona. I draw energy from the
mountains, enjoy the very different foliage, and appreciate the chance to
climb mountains (here in Florida, we have some impressive sinkholes but
climbing up out of them is not the same). Before Christmas, I started
wearing my hiking boots around the house, just so they would be comfortable
when I put them on again. Since going back down the hill is the hardest for
me, I always make it a point to go down the steps at work, even if I took
the elevator up. Next week I will start doing the steps at the stadium.
(Okay, about now Patty Gwartney and all the other hill-dwellers are
laughing hysterically, but Florida is FLAT and I have to do what I can to
get ready.) I am flying in on Tuesday morning, driving out toward the
Superstition Mountains. Wednesday morning it is the Echo Canyon trail up
Camelback. Thursday morning will be Piestawa Peak, but which trail depends
on how I feel after Camelback.

And then there is the food. There is Southwestern food (Sam's Cafe!),
AZ-Mex food (chocolate chimichangas!), and interior Mexican cuisine. Okay,
I shouldn't do this before lunch.

Anyway, just to say that I look forward to seeing many of you, and
understand why others will be missing this year.

Colleen Porter
Gainesville FL

http://www.aapor.org
ALERT! 2011 AAPOR Conference Advanced Registration discount ends April 5.

http://www.aapor.org
Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
signoff aapornet
Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.

WebRep
Overall rating

http://www.aapor.org
ALERT! 2011 AAPOR Conference Advanced Registration discount ends April 5.
*Market/Opinion Research Firm =96 Partner Wanted*

Opportunity for an ambitious, highly skilled professional to assume ownership and/or management of a well-established, small, premier opinion research company with a superior reputation, a national clientele, a firm track record, and growth potential. We are an established (30 years) firm with full-service capabilities, with a focus on high-end consultative research in a wide range of industries.

Owner/CEO desire for an exit strategy has created this opportunity.

We seek a person or persons who can help us capitalize our existing market position, established client base, and reputation.

*Relevant Competencies*

While degrees and the specifics career path may vary, a viable candidate would have

- Demonstrated Sales Skills,
- Financial Stability,
- Management Competencies,
- A =93whatever it takes=94 attitude, and a
  - Willingness to make a commitment in exchange for earned ownership.
  - Priority to persons who can begin within 60 days (this is not a =93dow=
    n the road=94 opportunity).

The sales side is an essential component. Current owner has done this for 30 years and wants out of that part of the business. We want someone who can assume primary responsibility for new business, leveraging our existing clientele and relationships. Other components (analytical, management) are more negotiable.
For example, given our reputation as a high-end analytical firm, we expect to maintain the high level of research analytical skills for which we have become known. This requires that we maintain staff of highly trained analysts with no less than 10+ years private sector research industry experience and who are recognized experts in both quantitative and qualitative design and analysis. Given our current strength in this area, however, these are *not* absolute requirements for the partner(s) we seek. Should potential partners have these skills *in addition* to those listed above, however, it would certainly be a plus.

* *

*Who is this opportunity suited for?*

We want someone who has the *skills* to start their own firm from scratch. For the right person, this could jumpstart a process that could take many years on your own. You capitalize on our reputation, track record, repeat clientele, and highly developed operational procedures.

- You might be a sales manager with experience in high-end consultative selling who wants a new, challenging, and potentially rewarding opportunity.

- You might be an established researcher who has considered venturing out on their own and starting their own firm.

- You might be in a middle management position in a research firm but looking for something more entrepreneurial with a higher reward potential.

Obviously, there are all sorts of complexities in a commitment such as this that can only be addressed in the course of an exploratory conversation.
If you think you have the attributes and the interests and are willing to relocate, we invite such a discussion. We can, of course, offer all the usual perks. But the primary perk we offer is the autonomy and long-term potential accompanied by the track record to make those prospects realistic.

If this is not the primary appeal to you, you are probably not the person for this opportunity.

And take a look at www.oneilresearch.com for further information.

If what you see interests you, send an email to oneil@oneilresearch.com (not this email), tell me a something about yourself, and let’s talk.

Note: We are a just a couple of miles from the AAPOR Conference site. We could certainly talk then. But, if you are serious, you should initiate a conversation well in advance of the AAPOR Conference. We could then use the AAPOR meeting time for productive face-to-face follow-up conversations.

I did post a similar notice last year. Had some interesting conversations as a result. But I want to get this right. Success requires a skillset match and a very good fit between our expectations and yours. We are ready to act immediately. But we’ve got 30+ years invested and will take as long as it takes to get this right.

Mike O’Neil

Michael J. O'Neil, PhD

ONeil Associates, Inc.

Tempe (Phoenix) AZ (home of endless sunshine and *interesting* politics)

******************************************************

ALERT! 2011 AAPOR Conference Advanced Registration discount ends April 5.
Since I ruined everyone's day by showing you how the Arizona Grand discounts itself in nonconference weekends, I thought I might provide a little information.

The pictures on their website (http://www.arizonagrandresort.com/) are worth a look.

The resort was built as one of three Pointe resorts in three different locations in Phoenix. I live and work about 3 miles from this one. AAPOR has held conferences at the other two in the past. All very nice. And, though similar, this one is probably the nicest of the three. The waterpark (which I have seen but not used) looks particularly inviting.

(FYI: SB1070 has been virtually halted by a court order, zero arrests, zero deportations. Come to our session for more details. A package of even more noxious immigration bills were all voted down after the head of every major corporation in the state publicly objected. And this is a group that has been out of politics for 20 years. Maybe awakening a sleeping giant. And the chief purveyor of SB1070 Russell Pierce -- and a whole bunch of others -- is getting major flak for having accepted goodies from the Fiesta Bowl. Bread and circuses around here.)

Mike O'Neil
www.mikeoneil.org

---------------------------------------------------------------------
ALERT! 2011 AAPOR Conference Advanced Registration discount ends April 5.
There is less than a month to go before the deadline to apply for these exciting fully-funded opportunities to study at one of the world’s leading centres for socio-economic research and surveys. We would be very grateful if you could remind potential students of these opportunities.

Fully-funded studentships
Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER) University of Essex No 1 University in the UK for social science research

If you would like to be part of one of the world's most highly-rated interdisciplinary research institutes, then you should consider postgraduate study at ISER (University of Essex). The University is an accredited Doctoral Training Centre of the Economic and Social Research Council for the UK. We have a number of fully-funded studentships available for high quality students working in economics or quantitative methods in any social science discipline. You need to contact us NOW if you are interested in applying for any of the programmes below on a +3 or 1+3 basis.

Masters Degrees
MA Longitudinal Social Research
MA/MSc Research Methods in Health
MSc Applied Economics and Data Analysis
MSc Survey Methods for Social Research

PhDs
PhD in Health Research (NEW)
PhD in Economics
PhD in Applied Social and Economic Research=20
PhD in Survey Methodology (NEW)

We also have 5 additional studentships linked to our research and survey programmes and a special ISER scholarship for students who are not in receipt of an ESRC studentship.
Look NOW at the ISER website http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/study/postgraduate/funding for all the up-to-date information on available studentships and make contact with us as soon as possible to discuss your application.

Deadline to apply to ISER is April 28 and the deadline to apply to the ESRC for a studentship once you have been accepted by us is May 13. Don't delay though and get in touch now if you are interested.

Cheti Nicoletti
Graduate Director (ISER)
Email: nicolet@essex.ac.uk
Tel: 00 44 1206 873536

-----------------------------------------------

Cheti Nicoletti
ISER, University of Essex
Wivenhoe Park
Colchester CO4 3SQ
Tel 01206 873536
Fax 01206 873151
Email nicolet@essex.ac.uk
URL http://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/people/nicolet/

-----------------------------------------------
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Date:         Mon, 4 Apr 2011 19:41:51 -0400
Reply-To:     Brady West <bwest@UMICH.EDU>
Sender:       AAPORNENET <AAPORNENET@ASU.EDU>
From:         Brady West <bwest@UMICH.EDU>
Subject:      Re: Software for Stratified Random Samples
X-To:         David Han <han.j.david@GMAIL.COM>
X-cc:         AAPORNENET@asu.edu
In-Reply-To:  <0870436576599564.WA.han.j.davidgmail.com@lists.asu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed
Message-ID:   <Pine.LNX.4.64.1104041939020.14176@zaxxon gpcc.itd.umich.edu>

Hi David,

Others may have already replied, but the *sampling* package in the R
software provides users with a free tool for drawing stratified samples (along with many other types of samples).

For more information, visit www.r-project.org, select a CRAN mirror, select Packages, and click the link for the *sampling* package.

Best Regards,

-Brady West

--------------------------------------

Brady T. West, MA, BS
PhD Candidate
Michigan Program in Survey Methodology
http://www.isr.umich.edu/gradprogram/
http://www.umich.edu/~bwest
"Data R as data .do."

--------------------------------------

On Fri, 1 Apr 2011, David Han wrote:

> Hi, I'm looking for recommendations on 'low priced'/ 'high value' software
> for generating stratified random samples.
> 
> LOWE'S
> Companies, Inc.
> _______________________
> David J. Han
> Manager, Workforce Analytics
> 
> ALERT! 2011 AAPOR Conference Advanced Registration discount ends April 5.
>
> http://www.aapor.org
> Unsubscribe? Send email to listserv@asu.edu with this text:
> signoff aapornet
> Please ask authors before quoting outside AAPORNET.
>
>
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Dear all,

may I draw your attention to the following announcement?

Best regards,

Thomas

WAPOR and the International Journal of Public Opinion Research
Announcement and Call for Manuscripts
for the Special Edition
"40 Years of the Spiral of Silence"

Please click here for more information

PD Dr. Thomas Petersen
Institut für Demoskopie Allensbach
Radolfzeller Straße 8
78476 Allensbach

Telefon: +49 7533 805-0
Telefax: +49 7533 3048
http://www.ifd-allensbach.de
E-Mail: tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de

GmbH mit Sitz in 78476 Allensbach (HRB 380016 Amtsgericht Freiburg) 20
USt.-IdNr. DE 142312042.
Gr=Fonderin: Prof. Dr. Dr. h. c. Elisabeth Noelle-Neumann
Gesch=E4rtesf=FCherin Prof. Dr. Renate K=F6cher

*************************************************************************
Dear all,

since the link doesn't work, here is the URL:


I am looking forward to your proposals!

Best regards,

Thomas
WAPOR and the International Journal of Public Opinion Research
Announcement and Call for Manuscripts
for the Special Edition
"40 Years of the Spiral of Silence"

Please click here for more information

PD Dr. Thomas Petersen
Institut für Demoskopie Allensbach
Radolfzeller Straße 8
78476 Allensbach

Telefon: +49 7533 805-0
Telefax: +49 7533 3048
http://www.ifd-allensbach.de
E-Mail: tpetersen@ifd-allensbach.de

GmbH mit Sitz in 78476 Allensbach (HRB 380016 Amtsgericht Freiburg) =20
USt.-IdNr. DE 142312842.
Gründerin: Prof. Dr. Dr. h. c. Elisabeth Noelle-Neumann
Geschäftsführerin Prof. Dr. Renate Körcher

************************************************************************=20=
************
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The new National Health Interview Survey reveals that nearly 27% of US households are cell phone only— and about half of adults between 25 and 29 live in wireless homes. In addition, one in six American homes receives all or almost all calls on a mobile phone, even though they have a landline. And this is not just a US phenomenon. SSI research shows this same trend is happening all over the world. In fact, the International Telecommunications Union reports that, on a planet with
6.8 billion people, there are 5 billion cell phone subscriptions.

By not including the wireless population in your market research, you miss certain critical segments of the population--and risk skewed findings that can lead to wrong business decisions. This is particularly true among the more mobile demographics, including young, lower income and Hispanic households. But what trade-offs should you consider when deciding whether to include or exclude the wireless audience in your sample and research plan? How can you access and incorporate cell-phone households into your research?

Find out at NYAAPOR Workshop...The Changing Telephone Landscape: Accessing and Integrating Wireless Populations. Presented by Linda Piekarski, SSI's Vice President, Database and Research, the program will help you make sense of all the information out there about cell phone only households--and provide a clear guide to how you can most effectively bring them into your research.

You will learn:

* How to decide whether your telephone sampling plans should include wireless populations
* What other companies are doing to address the growing cell phone only audience
* How to integrate wireless and landline sample--and what the cost implications are
* What tips and techniques can optimize your access and integration of wireless households

About the Instructor

LINDA PIEKARSKI has been with Survey Sampling, International since 1980. She currently manages the Telephone Database Department and is a member of SSI's new Knowledge group where she is involved in a variety of projects related to both telephone and internet sampling methodology and data quality. Linda plays a key role in providing sample design support internally and to SSI's clients and has authored numerous papers on telephony and sampling topics presented at industry conferences or
published in industry journals

She has been deeply involved with AAPOR (American Association for Public Opinion Research) for many years where she has been a frequent presenter, session chair and discussant. She was a member and committee chair of the two AAPOR Cell Phone Task Forces and a member of the AAPOR Online Panel Task Force. Linda has served on several other AAPOR committees and is currently on the AAPOR Council as Councilor at Large.

Registration

$50 for members, $75 for non-members and $20 for students.

Sorry, no refunds - but you can send someone in your place!

Walk-in registration is $10 extra if space is available.

Refreshments will be served.

Please send a check (payable to NYAAPOR) to:

Rosemarie Sharpe, NYAAPOR Secretariat

152 Madison Avenue - Suite 801, NY, NY 10016

You may also register at (212) 684-0542, info@nyaapor.org or http://www.nyaapor.org <http://www.nyaapor.org/>
Gary Langer, President of Langer Research Associates, will look at the validity of the Bloomberg Consumer Comfort Index (CCI), considering whether or not the 25-year-old index is a leading indicator of several key economic measures: the Dow Jones Industrial Average, GDP, the unemployment rate and revolving credit. Gary pays special attention to
the challenges of assessing the relationships with data that contain a time-trend component.

Janet L. Streicher, Senior Vice-President of Market and Strategic Research at Citibank, will present findings from the latest Citibank Economic Pulse, a quarterly measure of Americans' attitudes toward the economy. The Pulse uses poll questions to create a single measure of overall economic status and future outlook. The first quarter data from this year focuses on Americans' comfort with savings and debt, behavioral changes since the economic downturn, priorities for 2011, and savings goals.

Donald P. Levy, Director of the Siena Research Institute at Siena College, will look at differences between consumer attitudes in New York State and the nation. The SRI Consumer Confidence Index for New York State is comparable to the national index from the University of Michigan. The SRI monthly survey also measures the effect of food and gas prices, and the real estate market. Don will examine the relationship among these measures, the recent recession, and what would be expected in a robust economy.

5:30-6:00pm Registration/Networking
To RSVP: info@nyaapor.org
6:00-8:00pm Program
or call (212) 684-0542
at The New York Times, 15th floor
Members - Free/$25 Non-Members
620 Eighth Avenue (40th and 41st Streets)
Refreshments will be served

You MUST RSVP to NYAAPOR so a list of attendees can be provided to The New York Times security. Only those on the security list can be admitted.

------------------------------------------------------------------------
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Colleagues,

I have a request for potential expertise or resources:

We have a list of self reported occupations (web data collection) and would like to code these into standard BLS occupational categories. Does anyone have any suggestions for resources? (Anything from pre-existing software routines to a contractor with trained and supervised humans with expertise in this would be useful at this point). As these things go, the data look reasonably clean, and the project will not be gigantic,

Thank you all,

Chase

Chase H. Harrison
Director, Research Computing Services
Principal Survey Methodologist

Harvard Business School
Baker Library | Bloomberg Center B-93
Soldiers Field Rd.
Boston, MA 02163

617.495.6100 (Main)
617.496.6252 (Direct)
617.495.5287 (FAX)
charrison@hbs.edu
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We've all seen the polls to this effect.
And found them hard to believe. In the sense of 50% believing this literally.

Perhaps the "yes" response means:

1. I hate Obama
2. saying I think he wasn't born in the US is one way of expressing this
3. then I MIGHT say he wasn't born here as a means of expressing my hatred.

This is speculation. Has anyone tested anything like this? The data would seem to scream for such a test. (Hear this Pew people???? This is your kind of question)

The only alternatives explanations are

1. over 50% of a major political party is completely misinformed
2. people lie to pollsters (yes, that old saw)
3. Obama was really born in Kenya (and we are all dupes)

Mike O'Neil
www.mikeoneil.org
WASHINGTON - America's population of white children, a majority now, will be
in the minority during this decade, sooner than previously expected, according
to a new report.

The Census Bureau had originally forecast that 2023 would be the tipping point
for the minority population under the age of 18. But rapid growth among
Latinos, Asians and people of more than one race has pushed it earlier, to
2019, according to William Frey, the senior demographer at the Brookings
Institution who wrote the report about the shift, which has far-reaching
political and policy implications.

SNIP

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

- Sent using Google Toolbar
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The Census Bureau is now testing Web-based questionnaires for the American Community Survey, which replaced the old long form and is conducted monthly now. And the 2020 Census should be accessible by laptop, smartphone or any other new devices that have yet to be introduced to the marketplace.

SNIP

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

- Sent using Google Toolbar
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Hi folks,

This might be of interest to someone here.

Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act National Longitudinal Study
Solicitation Number: N01DA-11-5568
Agency: Department of Health and Human Services
Office: National Institutes of Health
Location: National Institute on Drug Abuse

https://www.fbo.gov/index?tabmode=form&subtab=step1&tabid=f2ec309930750fc9569ec925e816e9eb

best,
Leora

Dr. Leora Lawton
TechSociety Research
"Custom Social Science and Consumer Behavior Research"
2342 Shattuck Avenue PMB 362, Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 548-6174; fax (510) 548-6175; cell (510) 928-7572
www.techsociety.com
Yahoo Messenger: leora_lawton
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From: Eric Plutzer <exp12@PSU.EDU>
Subject: Consistent references to "you" in questions and answers?
X-To: AAPORNET@asu.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Message-ID: <1302114394l.1048786l.0l@psu.edu>

Here's a question that probably reflects my ignorance, but I was reading through a questionnaire from the High School Longitudinal Study of 2009 and came across a pattern I have never noticed before.

Question stems present statements or questions using the pronoun "you." For example:
Q: "Have you taken or are you planning to take the PSAT?"

But then the responses also include "you" rather than "I"
For example, one answer to the above question is,
- "You haven't decided yet" (rather than "I haven't decided yet"

This is a pencil-paper questionnaire, so there is no chance that the respondent thinks the "you" refers to the interviewer. But it strikes me as quite awkward. I am guessing that there is some philosophy or research that might support such a departure from the normal "call-response" flow of a questionnaire - especially since this is done consistently in this survey. Maybe this avoids social desirability issues because the respondent avoids "I"?

I would welcome being enlightened if anyone can fill me in.

- Eric

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Eric Plutzer, Professor of Political Science
and Academic Director, Survey Research Center
The Pennsylvania State University
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Hi Eric,

Thanks for your query. I headed up the team of spec developers for the HSLS:09 instruments so I can answer your question. The student instrument was designed so that it could be self-administered via the Web during the in-school session and via CATI for those who were not able to participate in school. The use of "you" allowed for a single instrument to work in both modes of administration. Hope that helps.

Laura Burns
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presented by Don Dillman will close on Monday, April 11. If you would like to attend this webinar and have not already registered, please do so soon.

For more information and to register, visit the training series web page:

http://www.amstat.org/sections/SRMS/webinar.cfm

If you have any questions, please contact Rick Peterson at the ASA office:

rick@amstat.org

Rick Peterson
Continuing Education & Chapters and Sections Associate
American Statistical Association
Promoting the Practice and Profession of Statistics
732 North Washington Street
Alexandria, VA 22314-1943
(703) 684-1221 Ext. 1864
Fax: (703) 684-3768
www.amstat.org
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In U.S., 1 in 4 Adults Have Government Health Insurance

http://www.gallup.com/poll/146984/Adults-Government-Health-Insurance.aspx

In U.S., 1 in 4 Adults Have Government Health Insurance
At least 1 in 10 American adults across all age groups have government healthcare

by Elizabeth Mendes

WASHINGTON, D.C. -- As House Republicans put forth a budget proposal that seeks to reshape the government-run healthcare programs Medicare and Medicaid, Gallup finds that 1 in 4 U.S. adults, including at least 1 in 10 American adults across all age groups, benefit from one of these programs or military/veterans' benefits. Seniors and young adults are the most likely to report having government-based health insurance.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.
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Date:         Thu, 7 Apr 2011 18:19:14 +0000
Reply-To:     Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Sender:       AAPORNET <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
From:         Leo Simonetta <Simonetta@ARTSCI.COM>
Subject:      Plurality of Republician voters in Mississippi think interraical marriage should be illegal
X-To:         "AAPORNET@ASU.EDU" <AAPORNET@ASU.EDU>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID:   <1A99104F97AD1248B598355A7D264FC10C0775F7@EXCHANGE2010.local.artscience.com>

We asked voters on this poll whether they think interracial marriage should be legal or illegal- 46% of Mississippi Republicans said it should be illegal to just 40% who think it should be legal. For the most part there aren't any huge divides in how voters view the candidates or who they support for the nomination based on their attitudes about interracial marriage but there are a few exceptions.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

- Sent using Google Toolbar
Pardon the typos. Tiny screen.

--
Leo G. Simonetta  
Director of Analytics and Chief Methodologist  
Art & Science Group  
6115 Falls Road, Suite 101  
Baltimore, MD 21209

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Leo Simonetta  
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 2:20 PM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Plurality of Republilcan voters in Mississippi think interraical marriage should be illegal


SNIP

We asked voters on this poll whether they think interracial marriage should be legal or illegal- 46% of Mississippi Republicans said it should be illegal to just 40% who think it should be legal. For the most part there aren't any huge divides in how voters view the candidates or who they support for the nomination based on their attitudes about interracial marriage but there are a few exceptions.

SNIP

--
Leo G. Simonetta  
Art & Science Group  
As always opinions expressed (if any) are solely those of the author.

- Sent using Google Toolbar
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I am interested in understanding the range of uses made of the term "convenience data" (or "convenience sample, etc.) in statistical discussions.

Could people please email me:

1) explaining how you understand the term "convenience data"

2) directing me to literature you may be aware of in which people try to formally define the terms like "convenience data" or "convenience sample"?

I'm at m.spagat@rhul.ac.uk and would appreciate it if people could maintain the subject heading of "convenience data" for easy sorting.

Also, feel free to circulate your responses to the whole listserve if you think there will be general interest but I do plan to circulate a summary to the whole list if I get interesting responses.

Thank you very much.

Mike Spagat
Colleagues

I am interested in learning the names of commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) internet data collection software AAPOR members have used for establishment surveys. Similarly, if you are aware of a COTS solution that allows respondents to jump from section to section within a form (non-sequential navigation), run a final edits check at the end of the form so a respondent can validate their responses, and functionality that allows a respondent to print off their answers before submitting their data, please let me know.

Please reply directly to Kenneth.pick@yahoo.com.

Thanks,

Ken
I have a similar requirement for COTS for surveys of individuals. The software must run on our servers with no contact with a commercial service provider such as SurveyMonkey.

On Apr 8, 2011, at 9:00 AM, Ken Pick <kenneth.pick@YAHOO.COM> wrote:

> Colleagues -
>
> I'm interested in learning the names of commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) internet data collection software AAPOR members have used for establishment surveys. Similarly, if you are aware of a COTS solution that allows respondents to jump from section to section within a form (non-sequential navigation), run a final edits check at the end of the form so a respondent can validate their responses, and functionality that allows a respondent to print off their answers before submitting their data, please let me know. Please reply directly to Kenneth.pick@yahoo.com.
>
> Thanks,
> Ken
>
> ----------------------------------------------------
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Date:     Fri, 8 Apr 2011 13:18:14 +0000
Mike,

I think the concept is well recognized without any reference to the literature. A probability-based sample is one where every unit in the target population has a known and non-zero chance of selection. Any sample violating these sacred principles, be it for convenience or otherwise, will be void of inferential capacity. That is, it will not be possible to put a confidence interval around any point estimates generated from convenience samples. Virtually all introductory textbooks as well as the old and new sampling testaments (Kish and Cochran) have plenty to say about this topic.

_Mansour.

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Spagat M
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2011 6:38 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Convenience Data

I am interested in understanding the range of uses made of the term "convenience data" (or "convenience sample, etc.) in statistical discussions.

Could people please email me:
1) explaining how you understand the term "convenience data"

2) directing me to literature you may be aware of in which people try to formally define the terms like "convenience data" or "convenience sample"?

I'm at m.spagat@rhul.ac.uk and would appreciate it if people could maintain the subject heading of "convenience data" for easy sorting.

Also, feel free to circulate your responses to the whole listserv if you think there will be general interest but I do plan to circulate a summary to the whole list if I get interesting responses.

Thank you very much.

Mike Spagat

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
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If anyone could provide direction on resources (software, or perhaps vendors) regarding the following request, we'd greatly appreciate it:

"We're looking for survey scanning and processing software that will allow for scanning in and setting up a blank template, scan in filled out surveys, and process them into a csv or excel file with the assigned values. Optical character recognition is not necessary but would be nice--the majority of the information is in bubble or checkbox form. The few software packages we've tried (e.g., Snap Surveys or FormClIQ among others) have not produced reliable results. Significant modifications to our paper template is not an option at this point. Any suggestions on new software packages (Windows or OS X) to try or other solutions would be appreciated."
If more convenient, please feel free to respond directly to me at pmoynihan@iq.harvard.edu.

Thanks in advance.
Pat

--=20
Patrick J. Moynihan, Ph.D.
Assistant Director, Program on Survey Research, The Institute for
Quantitative Social Science
Preceptor, Department of Government
Harvard University
1737 Cambridge Street, CGIS Knafel-310
Cambridge, MA 02138
617-384-5663
pmoynihan@iq.harvard.edu
http://psr.iq.harvard.edu/
http://www.iq.harvard.edu/
http://www.gov.harvard.edu/
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SNAP Survey Software - That's what we use - http://www.snapsurveys.com/

Kelsey Cohen
Research Assistant
the polling company(tm), inc./ WomanTrend
1220 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036
202-667-6557 (p)
-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Patrick Moynihan
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2011 10:07 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Scanning/processing paper surveys

If anyone could provide direction on resources (software, or perhaps vendors) regarding the following request, we'd greatly appreciate it:

"We're looking for survey scanning and processing software that will allow for scanning in and setting up a blank template, scan in filled out surveys, and process them into a csv or excel file with the assigned values. Optical character recognition is not necessary but would be nice--the majority of the information is in bubble or checkbox form. The few software packages we've tried (e.g., Snap Surveys or FormCliQ among others) have not produced reliable results. Significant modifications to our paper template is not an option at this point. Any suggestions on new software packages (Windows or OS X) to try or other solutions would be appreciated."

If more convenient, please feel free to respond directly to me at pmoynihan@iq.harvard.edu.

Thanks in advance.
Pat

--
Patrick J. Moynihan, Ph.D.
Assistant Director, Program on Survey Research, The Institute for Quantitative Social Science
Preceptor, Department of Government
Harvard University
1737 Cambridge Street, CGIS Knafel-310
Cambridge, MA 02138
617-384-5663
pmoynihan@iq.harvard.edu
http://psr.iq.harvard.edu/
http://www.iq.harvard.edu/
http://www.gov.harvard.edu/
That's also what we use for paper surveys. Works pretty well.

Kathy Krey, Ph.D.
Institutional Research & Testing
Baylor University
One Bear Place #97032
Waco, TX 76798
254.710.8815

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Kelsey Cohen
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2011 11:01 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Re: Scanning/processing paper surveys

SNAP Survey Software - That's what we use - http://www.snapsurveys.com/

Kelsey Cohen
Research Assistant
-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Patrick Moynihan
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2011 10:07 AM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Scanning/processing paper surveys

If anyone could provide direction on resources (software, or perhaps vendors) regarding the following request, we'd greatly appreciate it:

"We're looking for survey scanning and processing software that will allow for scanning in and setting up a blank template, scan in filled out surveys, and process them into a csv or excel file with the assigned values. Optical character recognition is not necessary but would be nice--the majority of the information is in bubble or checkbox form. The few software packages we've tried (e.g., Snap Surveys or Form CliQ among others) have not produced reliable results. Significant modifications to our paper template is not an option at this point. Any suggestions on new software packages (Windows or OS X) to try or other solutions would be appreciated."

If more convenient, please feel free to respond directly to me at pmoynihan@iq.harvard.edu.

Thanks in advance.
Pat

--
Patrick J. Moynihan, Ph.D.
Assistant Director, Program on Survey Research, The Institute for Quantitative Social Science
Preceptor, Department of Government
Harvard University
1737 Cambridge Street, CGIS Knafel-310
Cambridge, MA 02138
617-384-5663
Two examples of general packages with good features for this are: Teleform (http://www.cardiff.com/products/teleform/) and the Remark suite of packages. http://www.gravic.com/remark/ The researchers should also insure that they have a good scanner that is suitable for their expectations. (In other words,
sometimes it isn't the software, it's the hardware).

If the issue is that the researchers aren't happy with the pre-packaged survey templates of the packages they have looked at, but the questionnaires are still in a place where the researchers are able to make some changes to their questionnaires (i.e. print guidelines or barcodes on the page for orientation, produce check-box's or bubble's using fonts that are recognizable to the scanner) packages such as Remark or Teleform would give them more flexibility than some of the more standardized packages.

If the issue is that the data has already been collected, or the forms have already been printed (i.e. that NO changes are possible), then the chances of getting something to work consistently, easily, and cheaply are much smaller. (In which case, a good vendor would probably be the best solution).

Chase H. Harrison
Director, Research Computing Services
Principal Survey Methodologist
Harvard Business School
Baker Library | Bloomberg Center B-93
Soldiers Field Rd.
Boston, MA 02163
617.495.6100 (Main)
617.496.6252 (Direct)
617.495.5287 (FAX)
charrison@hbs.edu

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNNET [mailto:AAPORNNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Patrick Moynihan
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2011 10:07 AM
To: AAPORNNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Scanning/processing paper surveys

If anyone could provide direction on resources (software, or perhaps vendors) regarding the following request, we'd greatly appreciate it:

"We're looking for survey scanning and processing software that will allow for scanning in and setting up a blank template, scan in filled out surveys, and process them into a csv or excel file with the assigned values. Optical character recognition is not necessary but would be nice--the majority of the information is in bubble or checkbox form. The few software packages we've tried (e.g., Snap Surveys or FormCliQ among others) have not produced reliable results. Significant modifications to our paper template is not an
option at this point. Any suggestions on new software packages (Windows or OS X) to try or other solutions would be appreciated.

If more convenient, please feel free to respond directly to me at pmoynihan@iq.harvard.edu.

Thanks in advance.

Pat

--
Patrick J. Moynihan, Ph.D.
Assistant Director, Program on Survey Research, The Institute for Quantitative Social Science
Preceptor, Department of Government
Harvard University
1737 Cambridge Street, CGIS Knafel-310
Cambridge, MA 02138
617-384-5663
pmoynihan@iq.harvard.edu
http://psr.iq.harvard.edu/
http://www.iq.harvard.edu/
http://www.gov.harvard.edu/
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New York Chapter - American Association for Public Opinion Research

Workshop Event

The Social Explorer Research Tool

Thursday, April 21, 2011

6:00pm - 8:00pm

at

The New York Times - 12th Floor

620 Eighth Avenue (40th and 41st Streets)

Social Explorer.com <http://explorer.com/> is an online research tool designed to provide quick and easy access to demographic information from 1790 to the present. The easy-to-use web interface helps users create maps and reports to illustrate and analyze demography and social change. From libraries to classrooms to the front page of the New York Times, Social Explorer is helping advance social research and understanding.

The site currently includes data from the entire US Census from 1790 to 2010 (with the entire nation available in April), all annual updates from the American Community Survey (ACS) through 2010, and the new ACS five-year file (2005-2009), which includes more than 11.2 billion data items down to the Census Block Group, and (for the the Religious Congregations and Membership Study from 1980 to 2000, and 2002 Carbon Emissions Data from the Vulcan Project, and the religious membership as of 2009 from InfoGroup.

The Reference and User Services Association (RUSA) named Social Explorer as an Outstanding Reference Source for 2010. (RUSA is a division of the American Library Association <http://www.ala.org/> .) Funded by the National Science Foundation, a professional edition is now distributed by Oxford University Press, and a student edition by Pearson.
Participants will learn how to use Social Explorer for their own purposes, and receive a free trial.

About the Instructor:

Andrew A. Beveridge, Ph.D., is Professor of Sociology at Queens College and the Graduate School and University Center of the City University of New York.

Since 1993, Dr. Beveridge has been a consultant to the New York Times, which has published numerous news reports and maps based upon his analysis of the Census data. He writes the demographic topic column for the Gotham Gazette (www.gothamgazette.com <http://www.gothamgazette.com/archive/demographics> ) an on-line publication of the Citizens Union. He is working on three major projects involving urban and neighborhood change.

He received his Ph.D. and M.Phil <http://m.phil/> . in sociology from Yale University and his B.A with honors in economics from Yale College. He has received grant and fellowship support from the American Council of Learned Societies, the National Science Foundation, the National Endowment for the Humanities, and other agencies. He also has been involved with numerous consulting engagements many related to Civil Rights litigation.

Registration Fee:

$50 for members
$75 for non-members
$20 for students

Sorry, no refunds - but you can send someone in your place!

Walk-in registration is $10 extra if space is available.
Please send a check (payable to NYAAPOR) to:

Rosemarie Sharpe, NYAAPOR Secretariat
152 Madison Avenue - Suite 801, NY, NY 10016

You may also register at (212) 684-0542, info@nyaapor.org or http://www.nyaapor.org
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A. Statistics Canada has a nice discussion of different non-probability sampling methods.
http://www.statcan.gc.ca/edu/power-pouvoir/ch13/nonprob/5214898-eng.htm#a1

[Convenience, haphazard, and accidental samples are lumped together. They are distinguished, at least somewhat, from volunteer sampling, judgment sampling,
and quota sampling.]

Chase H. Harrison  
Director, Research Computing Services  
Principal Survey Methodologist  
Harvard Business School  
Baker Library | Bloomberg Center B-93  
Soldiers Field Rd.  
Boston, MA 02163  
617.495.6100 (Main)  
617.496.6252 (Direct)  
617.495.5287 (FAX)  
charrison@hbs.edu

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Fahimi, Mansour  
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2011 9:18 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Re: Convenience Data

Mike,

I think the concept is well recognized without any reference to the literature. A probability-based sample is one where every unit in the target population has a known and non-zero chance of selection. Any sample violating these sacred principles, be it for convenience or otherwise, will be void of inferential capacity. That is, it will not be possible to put a confidence interval around any point estimates generated from convenient samples. Virtually all introductory textbooks as well as the old and new sampling testaments (Kish and Cochran) have plenty to say about this topic.

_Mansour.

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Spagat M  
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2011 6:38 AM  
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU  
Subject: Convenience Data
I am interested in understanding the range of uses made of the term "convenience data" (or "convenience sample, etc.) in statistical discussions.

Could people please email me:

1) explaining how you understand the term "convenience data"

2) directing me to literature you may be aware of in which people try to formally define the terms like "convenience data" or "convenience sample"?

I'm at m.spagat@rhul.ac.uk and would appreciate it if people could maintain the subject heading of "convenience data" for easy sorting.

Also, feel free to circulate your responses to the whole listserve if you think there will be general interest but I do plan to circulate a summary to the whole list if I get interesting responses.

Thank you very much.

Mike Spagat

-----------------------------------------------
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GAB is sending staff to Waukesha County to review vote totals for the Wisconsin Supreme Court Race after Clerk Kathy Nickolaus' announced yesterday that she left the city of Brookfield off of the totals she initially reported. She explained that she uses Microsoft Access and that she forgot to hit "save" after manually inputting the numbers. She "found" 14,000 votes yesterday, which led to a net 7,500 votes in favor of Prosser, who now leads at 7,319. This total gets Prosser just over the 5%, under which the state will pay for a recount. However, there's a problem with these found votes; Nickolaus' math doesn't add up.

It's my understanding that Microsoft Access does an automatic save while it's being used, but I have to question why any clerk is being allowed to keep votes only on her computer, refuses to share them on the county computers, and trusts her own inputting of numbers that she doesn't save, especially with her track record of criminal investigations and reprimands from county officials regarding the failure of security in her procedures. Kathy goes way back with the Republican Party, and this isn't her first go at electioneering, if you will, on behalf of the party. Kathy seems at best incompetent, but since all of her errors benefit only one party, it begs the question of whether or not she is engaging in fraudulent activities. In either case, I'm unclear as to why she has been entrusted with the votes of taxpaying citizens.

To add to all of that, a friend of mine who happens to be an attorney did some math on the Wisconsin situation, and Nickolaus' numbers don't add up. He sent me the following breakdown, to which I've added editorial information. According to the 2010 census, there are 38,649 living in Brookfield. Of these Male 48.4% Female 51.6%, Median Age 42.

Most of the media has been focusing on the 38,649 number, which -- given a 33% turnout over all -- would yield about the 14,000 votes reported. This makes sense, and certainly Kathy Nickolaus knows how to break up demographics having made the computer program that breaks apart voting trends in different
counties for the Republican Party.

But the voting age and over population for Brookfield is 73.2%. This yields a possible voting base of 28,291. Registered voters? Doesn't matter. Wisconsin allows folks to register on the day of the elections.

So, let's assume all of the 28,291 were registered. The figures don't add up: Statewide turnout --and in the rest of Waukesha county -- was 33%. Even assuming that all 28,291 over the age of 18 were registered voters, that 33% turnout -- which was reported as very high -- would have yielded no more than 9,430 votes.

But Kathy Nickalous reported 14,315 votes, a surprising 53% increase of a voting surge, for that one city -- over the rest of Wisconsin and from Waukesha County -- from 33% to 50.5%

And in Brookfield, at least 75% of that total had to choose incumbent David Prosser against 25% for challenger Joan Kloppenburg to reach just beyond the .5% margin to avoid a mandatory recount.

I'm sorry, but the places we were likely to see the most motivated voters were not in conservative areas; this is proven in the recall efforts, for example, as well as by the shifts in rural areas that went for Walker in 2010. Emily Mills reported for the Daily Isthmus:

In fact, some of the strongest support for Kloppenburg came from places like rural Ashland, Bayfield, Douglas, and Iron Counties up north. In total, 32 counties straight-up went to Kloppenburg, and even in counties that favored Prosser, several did so in much smaller numbers than they'd done in the primaries, indicating a serious shift away from the incumbent in the wake of Walker's union-busting and the wave of protests.

So, areas that went for Walker flipped to Kloppenburg and areas that went for Prosser had lower numbers than they did in the primaries. Voter enthusiasm on the conservative side was down. The most motivated voters are the people who are the most threatened by the anti-union bill, and those voters turned out to vote for Kloppenburg. So I can't buy this surge in voter turnout that is higher than any other county in Wisconsin.

Doug Henwood
Producer, "Behind the News"
KPFA, 94.1 FM Berkeley, Saturdays 10-11 AM

242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
+1-347-599-2211
On Apr 11, 2011, at 12:15 PM, Alex Lundry wrote:

> This has been pretty thoroughly debunked. See any of the three articles below:

Thanks. One less thing to worry about.

Doug
This has been pretty thoroughly debunked. See any of the three articles below:


- Alex Lundry

-----Original Message-----
From: AAPORNET [mailto:AAPORNET@asu.edu] On Behalf Of Doug Henwood
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 12:00 PM
To: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
Subject: Wisconsin

[I'm forwarding this for comments without any endorsement, expressed or implied. - Doug Henwood]


April 8, 2011
PoliticusUSA

Clerk Kathy Nickolaus' Fuzzy Math Doesn't Add Up in Wisconsin Race By Sarah Jones
GAB is sending staff to Waukesha County to review vote totals for the Wisconsin Supreme Court Race after Clerk Kathy Nickolaus' announced yesterday that she left the city of Brookfield off of the totals she initially reported.

She explained that she uses Microsoft Access and that she forgot to hit "save" after manually inputting the numbers. She "found" 14,000 votes yesterday, which led to a net 7,500 votes in favor of Prosser, who now leads at 7,319. This total gets Prosser just over the 5%, under which the state will pay for a recount. However, there's a problem with these found votes; Nickolaus' math doesn't add up.

It's my understanding that Microsoft Access does an automatic save while it's being used, but I have to question why any clerk is being allowed to keep votes only on her computer, refuses to share them on the county computers, and trusts her own inputting of numbers that she doesn't save, especially with her track record of criminal investigations and reprimands from county officials regarding the failure of security in her procedures. Kathy goes way back with the Republican Party, and this isn't her first go at electioneering, if you will, on behalf of the party. Kathy seems at best incompetent, but since all of her errors benefit only one party, it begs the question of whether or not she is engaging in fraudulent activities. In either case, I'm unclear as to why she has been entrusted with the votes of taxpaying citizens.

To add to all of that, a friend of mine who happens to be an attorney did some math on the Wisconsin situation, and Nickolaus' numbers don't add up. He sent me the following breakdown, to which I've added editorial information. According to the 2010 census, there are 38,649 living in Brookfield. Of these Male 48.4% Female 51.6%, Median Age 42.

Most of the media has been focusing on the 38,649 number, which -- given a 33% turnout over all -- would yield about the 14,000 votes reported. This makes sense, and certainly Kathy Nickolaus knows how to break up demographics having made the computer program that breaks apart voting trends in different counties for the Republican Party.

But the voting age and over population for Brookfield is 73.2%. This yields a possible voting base of 28,291. Registered voters? Doesn't matter. Wisconsin allows folks to register on the day of the elections.

So, let's assume all of the 28,291 were registered. The figures don't add up: Statewide turnout --and in the rest of Waukesha county -- was 33%. Even assuming that all 28,291 over the age of 18 were registered voters, that 33%
But Kathy Nickalous reported 14,315 votes, a surprising 53% increase of a voting surge, for that one city -- over the rest of Wisconsin and from Wuukesha County -- from 33% to 50.5%

And in Brookfield, at least 75% of that total had to choose incumbent David Prosser against 25% for challenger Joan Kloppenberg to reach just beyond the .5% margin to avoid a mandatory recount.

I'm sorry, but the places we were likely to see the most motivated voters were not in conservative areas; this is proven in the recall efforts, for example, as well as by the shifts in rural areas that went for Walker in 2010. Emily Mills reported for the Daily Isthmus:

In fact, some of the strongest support for Kloppenburg came from places like rural Ashland, Bayfield, Douglas, and Iron Counties up north. In total, 32 counties straight-up went to Kloppenburg, and even in counties that favored Prosser, several did so in much smaller numbers than they'd done in the primaries, indicating a serious shift away from the incumbent in the wake of Walker's union-busting and the wave of protests.

So, areas that went for Walker flipped to Kloppenburg and areas that went for Prosser had lower numbers than they did in the primaries. Voter enthusiasm on the conservative side was down. The most motivated voters are the people who are the most threatened by the anti-union bill, and those voters turned out to vote for Kloppenburg. So I can't buy this surge in voter turnout that is higher than any other county in Wisconsin.

Doug Henwood
Producer, "Behind the News"
KPFA, 94.1 FM Berkeley, Saturdays 10-11 AM

242 Greene Ave - #1C
Brooklyn, NY 11238-1398 USA
+1-347-599-2211

or <http://tinyurl.com/3bsaqb>
Greetings! I wonder whether you know of existing literature on what to do when a database of survey respondent contact information is not perfect. Specifically, have you bumped into any web survey studies that have used telephone and/or mail methods for respondents for whom no email address exists but for whom a telephone number and/or a snail mail address is available? Or have you bumped into any telephone survey studies that have used snail mail methods for respondents for whom no telephone existed but for whom a snail mail address was available?

The reason I ask is because I could not find any such articles via Sociological Abstracts and Psychinfo, yet I find it odd that these types of articles would not have already been published.

Your help pointing me toward these types of articles/papers would be greatly appreciated! Thanks in advance.

Michael
Don Dillman's book on the Tailored Design Method is a very good resource for this type of situation. Basically, you have to use whatever information you have (telephone, address, email) to reach the respondent. The typical method is to start with the least expensive, which would be emailed invitations. If there is an address but no email, you would send a letter with the Internet link. If no response, you would mail a questionnaire. The next step is to telematch addresses to phone numbers and contact them for an interview if there is no response to the email or snail mail invitation.
Greetings! I wonder whether you know of existing literature on what to do when a database of survey respondent contact information is not perfect. Specifically, have you bumped into any web survey studies that have used telephone and/or mail methods for respondents for whom no email address exists but for whom a telephone number and/or a snail mail address is available? Or have you bumped into any telephone survey studies that have used snail mail methods for respondents for whom no telephone existed but for whom a snail mail address was available?

The reason I ask is because I could not find any such articles via Sociological Abstracts and Psychinfo, yet I find it odd that these types of articles would not have already been published.

Your help pointing me toward these types of articles/papers would be greatly appreciated! Thanks in advance.

Michael

Michael G. Elasmar, Ph.D.
Associate Professor and Director
Communication Research Center
Boston University
704 Commonwealth Avenue
Boston, MA 02215
Voice: 617-358-1299
www.bu.edu/com/crc

Editor,
International Journal for Public Opinion Research
http://www.oxfordjournals.org/our_journals/intpor/editorial_board.html

"We must measure what is measurable and make measurable what cannot be measured" - Galileo

-----------------------------
The Joint Program in Survey Methodology (JPSM) seeks applications for an open rank faculty appointment from persons with research interests in survey statistics or survey methodology to start in Fall 2011. The JPSM is a graduate degree program teaching state-of-the-art principles and practices in the design, conduct, analysis and evaluation of sample surveys. The JPSM is a consortium of the University of Maryland, University of Michigan, Westat and federal statistical agencies.

Responsibilities include graduate teaching, research and supervising graduate students. Research interests could include areas such as sample design, variance estimation with complex sample designs, weighting and imputation, model-based vs. design based inference, measurement error in surveys, small area estimation, mode effects, interviewer-respondent interaction, cognitive aspects of survey methodology, question wording or context effects.

Doctorate in statistics, biostatistics, psychology, sociology, or a related field is required. Applicants for a tenured appointment should have a strong public record in sampling, survey statistics, or survey methodology commensurate rank sought. A strong record in funded research is also desirable.

Please apply on The University of Maryland job website: https://jobs.umd.edu/applicants/jsp/shared/Welcome_css.jsp
Please include CV and names of three references. Applications are now being considered and will continue to be until the position is filled. For best consideration, please apply by April 29, 2011. For more information email Dr. Stanley Presser at stanleyp@umd.edu.

The University of Maryland is an EEO/AA Employer. Women and minorities encouraged to apply. Detailed information concerning JPSM and it's programs can be found on the web at www.jpsm.org.
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