Only five days remain (including today) until AAPOR's 50th Anniversary Conference deadlines, which all come at the end of this Friday, January 6.

FOR 50TH CONFERENCE PAPERS, POSTER SESSIONS, AND OTHER PROPOSALS: Submit three copies of a brief summary (not more than 3 pages).

FOR THE 29TH ANNUAL AAPOR STUDENT PAPER COMPETITION, CHAIRMED BY ELEANOR SINGER: Submit five copies of the complete paper (roughly 18-25 pages).

All of the above materials are to be postmarked or otherwise sent by Friday, January 6, 1995 (an absolute deadline, strictly enforced), to the 50th Conference Committee Chair:

Prof. James R. Beniger
Annenberg School for Communication
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0281

You will receive immediate confirmation of the receipt of your submission. Final decisions about acceptances of papers and the composition of paper sessions for the 50th Anniversary Conference Program will be made by February 15, 1995; final decisions about the AAPOR Student Paper Award(s) will be made on or about the same date. You will be notified about the decisions concerning your submission shortly thereafter.

Email copies of the Call for Papers, Call for Proposals for the Poster Session, and/or Student Paper Announcement may be requested from beniger@rcf.usc.edu
mail today (tomorrow at the latest because of the holidays).

Re. the NYT: I subscribe to it and read it every day. You're right; their coverage of Mexico has been very good. In spite of that, I'm surprised to see that in today's edition there's hardly any mention of President Zedillo's failure yesterday to deliver his promised address to the nation. As you probably know, he was supposed to give his address at 8:00 CST yesterday but kept postponing it while he and his team were continuing negotiations with various parties, especially the labor unions, regarding the new economic plan. Finally, by 11 p.m. they realized that they couldn't reach an agreement and had to go to an all-night negotiation session. He's supposed to give his speech tonight. The problem is that he failed to announce his economic plan before the markets opened again this morning. We'll see what happens today with the Mexican peso and with Mexican paper in general.

The country is in a real mess. Quite ominously, three "columnistas de Reforma" used the expression "civil war" the same day one day last week. Other references to that have appeared recently.

Do tell me about your meetings with Lajous. I take his appointment as a clear sign that Pemex will not be privatized. In fact, some of the "semi-privatizations" and joint ventures that seemed possible a few weeks ago, appear to be less likely now. He comes across as a more nationalistic person than either Rojas or Ruiz Sacristan.

Saludos.

JR

==========================================================================
Date:         Tue, 3 Jan 1995 17:28:38 EST
From:         Al Gollin <GOLQC@CUNYVM.BITNET>
Subject:      Re: List of Member Net Addresses

Al Gollin says: I'm organizing a session on Public Opinion at the next American Sociol. Assoc. meetings in August 1995 in Washington DC. The deadline for paper submissions has been extended to Jan. 14th; I must send final choices to ASA by Feb. 15th. Anyone who is interested should communicate with me offnet or by phone: GOLQC@cunyvm.cuny.edu or (212) 856-6324. DC's a great convention city!

==========================================================================
Date:         Wed, 4 Jan 1995 12:39:34 -0400
From:         RUSCIANO@ENIGMA.RIDER.EDU
Subject:      Reader profiles of major national and international newspapers

Dear colleagues:

I am doing a communications research project. Does anyone know of a source for obtaining reader profiles for major national and/or international newspapers (such as the New York Times, the London Times, the Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, etc.)? I am primarily interested in whatever demographic or marketing information may be available regarding the newspapers' readership or subscribers.
Thanks for the help!

Frank Louis Rusciano
Rider University
e-mail at rusciano@enigma.rider.edu

========================================================================
Date:         Wed, 4 Jan 1995 21:18:56 -0800
From:         James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU>
Subject:      Top 15 Lists for AAPOR Members

TOP 15 LISTS OF INTEREST TO AAPOR MEMBERS

Several AAPORNET members have asked me how to subscribe to various other lists on the Internet. One popular choice is POR, the list with the name most similar to AAPORNET. Devoted to the general topic of public opinion research, POR is particularly useful to those interested in polling and elections. Because many AAPOR members have professional interests that range far from these topics, however, some of you might be interested in how to join any one of the 15 lists (including POR) listed below. These are the 15 lists known to me that I personally consider of greatest interest to the various segments of the AAPOR membership (these 15 lists are all of those to which we posted the Call for Papers for our 50th Conference). Topics of these 15 lists include not only public opinion and polling, but research methods, statistics, survey and market research and marketing, new technologies, and social and behavioral science more generally.

TOP 15 LISTS OF INTEREST TO AAPOR MEMBERS
(listed alphabetically)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of List</th>
<th>Title of List</th>
<th>No. of Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMSERVE</td>
<td>Comserve News Service (communications fields)</td>
<td>3,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELMAR</td>
<td>List for Marketing Academic Research</td>
<td>908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-AMSTDY</td>
<td>American Studies Discussion List</td>
<td>1,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-POL</td>
<td>Political History Discussion List</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARKET-L</td>
<td>Discussion of Marketing</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METHODS</td>
<td>Social Science Research Methods</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRKT-PHD</td>
<td>Marketing Doctoral Students</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLI-SCI</td>
<td>Political Science Digest</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POR</td>
<td>Public Opinion Research</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSCIM</td>
<td>Political Sciences Mailing List</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSRT-L</td>
<td>Political Science Research and Teaching List</td>
<td>1,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RITIM-L</td>
<td>Telecommunications and Information Marketing</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCGRAD</td>
<td>Sociology Graduate Student Discussion</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS-DATA</td>
<td>Social Science Data List</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT-L</td>
<td>Statistical Consulting Discussion</td>
<td>1,004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOW TO JOIN ANY LIST ON THE INTERNET

To join any list on the Internet, you must program its list server with your address and name. Recall that a list server is a dumb machine for which only rigidly precise information will produce the desired effect. To join almost any list on the Internet, simply
send a three-part, one-line command (and absolutely nothing else) to the dumb machine (which usually has the address: listserv@etc.etc); be sure to leave the subject line blank. The three parts of the command are: the word "subscribe," the name of the list, and your name.

For example, although it is impossible for anyone to subscribe to AAPORNET (because it is a private list, for AAPOR members only), were this not the case, here—as a model for you to use—is how I would subscribe to AAPORNET:

To the address:  aapornet@vm.usc.edu
Leaving the subject line of my message completely blank
I would send the three-part message (leaving only one space between each part):

subscribe aapornet James R. Beniger

With this as your model, all you now lack are the list server addresses for each of the top 15 lists of interest to AAPOR members. They are listed immediately below (note the singular exception of ELMAR, the List for Marketing Academic Research, which is controlled not by a dumb machine but by actual human beings, who can read requests in actual prose):

LIST SERVER ADDRESSES: TOP 15 LISTS OF INTEREST TO AAPOR MEMBERS

COMSERVE   listserv@vm.its.rpi.edu
ELMAR   (request in English prose to elmar@columbia.edu)
H-AMSTDY   listserv@uicvm.uic.edu
H-POL   listserv@uicvm.uic.edu
MARKET-L   listserv@nervm.nerdc.ufl.edu
METHODS   listserv@unmvma.unm.edu
MRKT-PHD   listserv@uga.cc.uga.edu
POLI-SCI   listserv@rutvml.rutgers.edu
POR   listserv@gibbs.oit.unc.edu
POSCIM   listserv@vm.gmd.de
PSRT-L   listserv@mizzoul.missouri.edu
RITIM-L   listserv@uriacc.uri.edu
SOCGRAD   listserv@uscd.edu
SOS-DATA   listserv@gibbs.oit.unc.edu
STAT-L   listserv@vm1.mcgill.ca

REQUEST FOR HELP

Obviously I am not familiar with every list of potential interest to AAPOR members. I'd be very disappointed if I didn't learn from you all of at least a few additional lists deserving of inclusion in the list above. If you will please send me the names and list server addresses of your favorite professional lists (no sports or pornography, please), I'll post a summary of the best things I've missed in my Top 15 list here on AAPORNET in the next week or so.

As you get involved with the lists presented here, I hope you won't forget the list where you first learned about them and how to join: AAPORNET.
If you have problems, questions, or complaints concerning anything above, PLEASE DO NOT POST THEM TO AAPORNET. Instead, send them to beniger@rcf.usc.edu

---

**AAPORNET The Experiment: Weeks Five and Six**

AAPORNET first appeared on the screens of AAPOR members on the morning before Thanksgiving (Wednesday, November 23). Begun with 260 net addresses (the non-bouncing addresses in the 1993-94 Directory), AAPORNET has grown to 757 members in 13 countries (53.3 percent of the 1420 members listed in the 1994-95 Directory):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov 23</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>United States 721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 25</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>Germany 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 27</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>Great Britain 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 29</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>Canada 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 1</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>Sweden 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 3</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>Netherlands 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 5</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>Australia 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 7</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>Belgium 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 9</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>Hong Kong 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 11</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
<td>Italy 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 13</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>Mexico 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slovenia 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the same six-week period, 34 members removed themselves from the list. Of these 34 members, 3 have since asked to rejoin. The 31 members who remain off the list represent a dropout rate of 3.9 percent of those ever subscribed.

Thus far, 58 non-AAPOR members have requested admission to AAPORNET; all have been turned away with a friendly form message describing AAPOR and how to join. The AAPOR Call for Papers and/or Call for Student Award Papers has also been sent to these non-members as appropriate.

---

**Dear AAPOR members:**

A colleague and I are in the process of writing a paper on question wording regarding the inclusion of all third party candidates in pre-election vote intention questions. Does anyone know of some specific pieces of research that have addressed this issue in the past? To date we haven't found any but it seems as though there should be some. We have
found some of the literature on response categories to be useful. Are there some other bodies of literature that might inform our research? Thank you in advance!!

David G. Wegge
St. Norbert College Survey Center
100 Grant Street
De Pere, WI 54115
(414) 337-3247 Voice
(414) 337-4086 FAX

========================================================================
Date:         Thu, 5 Jan 1995 09:58:31 CST
Reply-To:     daves@startribune.com
From:         "Robert P. Daves" <daves@STARTRIBUNE.COM>
Subject:      Re: Third party questions

David and AAPOR folk:

The Minnesota Poll did a split-ballot experiment in a pre-election poll last year, testing how the inclusion of third-party candidates in the horserace question affects candidate choice and the number of "undecideds." On the way to writing the proposal abstract for this year's AAPOR conference, we too searched the public opinion and political science literature and found little about third-party candidates. A lot about split-ballot methods and how Gallup used them, but little about third-party candidates. My co-author and I would be interested in any cites that others turn up.

Rob Daves

On Thu, 5 Jan 1995 08:58:54 -0600,
David G. Wegge <AAPORNET@vm.usc.edu> wrote:

>Dear AAPOR members:
>
> A colleague and I are in the process of writing a paper on question wording
>regarding the inclusion of all third party candidates in pre-election vote
>intention questions. Does anyone know of some specific pieces of research that
>have addressed this issue in the past? To date we haven't found any but it
>seems as though there should be some. We have found some of the literature on
>response categories to be useful. Are there some other bodies of literature
>
>that might inform our research? Thank you in advance!!

>  
>    David G. Wegge
>    St. Norbert College Survey Center
>    100 Grant Street
>    De Pere, WI 54115
>    (414) 337-3247 Voice
>    (414) 337-4086 FAX
Recent survey research to study Internet topics, as conducted by organizations with AAPOR members and reported in the national media:

CYBERPHOBICS GALORE
A recent Gallup poll conducted for MCI shows almost half of the 600 white collar respondents admitting they are cyberphobic or resistant to new technology. More than a third do not use a computer at all, either at home or at work, and nearly 60% don't use voice mail. Two thirds do not use e-mail at work, and about the same number don't carry a pager. The most common reason cited for anti-technology attitudes was a fear of loss of privacy. Close runners up were worries over information overload and a fear of losing face-to-face contact with associates. (Miami Herald 1/2/95 p.22)

"GIVE US YOUR MONEY, BUT KEEP YOUR OPINIONS TO YOURSELF!"
A survey of small business executives conducted for IBM by Roper Starch Worldwide shows 65% of the respondents saying that building the information highway is a good use of government funds, but only 3% think the government should have "a lot" of influence on how the highway operates. Forty-four percent felt the government should have no influence, and 49% said they thought it should have "some" say in how things were run. (Inc. Technology Premiere Issue p.19)

---

Is anyone aware of the availability of any research on the effects of workplace quality programs on employment? In particular, someone asked of me, "during recessions, does the implementation of quality programs lead to job loss?" Any answers?
Thank you.

Date:    Mon, 9 Jan 1995 15:30:50 -0800
From:    James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU>
Subject: New List on Chechnya (fwd)

AAPORNET members with special interests in Chechnya might want to interact with those at or near the scene via this new emergency list run through the University of Warsaw (.pl is the Internet code for Poland):

Date: Mon, 9 Jan 1995 13:34:03 CST
From: Piotr Wiench <WIENCH@PLEARN.EDU.PL>
Subject: Discussion list on Chechnya

CHECHNYA on LISTSERV@PLEARN.EDU.PL

The CHECHNYA list is devoted to the current situation in Chechnya, particularly sharing the news about recent developments. The list will also be focused on the problem of human rights of the victims of invasion as well as humanitarian aid issues.

Please avoid emotional comments, the list is first of all for information purposes. No flames will be tolerated.

The list is based on a LISTSERV server at the University of Warsaw, Poland.

To subscribe to the CHECHNYA discussion list, send an e-mail message to LISTSERV@PLEARN.EDU.PL and in the body of the message type:

    SUB CHECHNYA firstname lastname

    Eg.  sub chechnya Jane Doe

Owner:  Piotr Wiench <wiench@plearn.edu.pl>

Date:    Tue, 10 Jan 1995 09:34:20 -0800
From:    James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU>
Subject: Receipt of Conference Proposals

>From Jim Beniger...

As of noon on Monday, January 9, the following names had been received for consideration for the 50th AAPOR Conference program as authors or coauthors of papers, poster session presenters, or panel members or presiders. Submissions posted late last week by snailmail will probably arrive sometime this week; these will be acknowledged next week, along with submissions for the Student Paper Award(s). AAPORNET members will receive no further acknowledgment of their submissions; nonmember first authors will be notified by telephone or snailmail. Final decisions about the acceptance of papers and the composition of paper sessions for the 50th Anniversary Conference program will be made by February 15; you will be notified of the accepted proposals shortly thereafter.
If you have questions or corrections to the list below, please send them to beniger@rcf.usc.edu

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT, 50TH ANNIVERSARY CONFERENCE PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abraham, Sameer Y.</td>
<td>Golob, Thomas F.</td>
<td>Olseth, Karen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abramson, David</td>
<td>Graber, Doris A.</td>
<td>Olson, Lorayn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrawal, Rakesh</td>
<td>Greenberg, Anna G.</td>
<td>Park, Eunkyung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aidala, Angela</td>
<td>Groves, Robert M.</td>
<td>Parker, Albert C.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Althaus, Scott</td>
<td>Hagan, Dan E.</td>
<td>Patchen, Robert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apodaca, Richard L.</td>
<td>Hall, John</td>
<td>Pavlov, Rosie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arce, Carlos</td>
<td>Hammer, Heather</td>
<td>Petrie, Renee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, Reginald</td>
<td>Han, Zixia</td>
<td>Phipps, Polly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldassare, Mark</td>
<td>Harkness, Janet A.</td>
<td>Porcellini, Lorraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin, Alison K.</td>
<td>Healton, Cheryl</td>
<td>Prisuta, Robert H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballou, Janice</td>
<td>Havener, Mary Jane</td>
<td>Puente, Manuel de la</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barker, Kristin</td>
<td>Herrmann, Douglas J.</td>
<td>Rademacher, Eric W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basanez, Miguel</td>
<td>Herrmann, Robert O.</td>
<td>Rahn, Wendy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battaglia, Mike</td>
<td>Heyman, Richard</td>
<td>Rasinski, Kenneth A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baxter, Rodney</td>
<td>Hickson, Rachel A.</td>
<td>Resnick, David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay, John W.</td>
<td>Hildreth, Anne</td>
<td>Riley, Sharon J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belden, Nancy</td>
<td>Hill, Craig A.</td>
<td>Roberts, Glenn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett, Stephen E.</td>
<td>Hoek, Janet</td>
<td>Robinson, John P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benson, John</td>
<td>Holp, Timothy J.</td>
<td>Rowe, Ben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop, George</td>
<td>Jackson, Carla</td>
<td>Rubin, Robbi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blendon, Robert J.</td>
<td>Jetter, Deisha</td>
<td>Rucinski, Dianne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyle, John M.</td>
<td>Jobe, Jared B.</td>
<td>Rudolph, Barbara A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradburn, Norman N.</td>
<td>Jordan-Jessop, D.</td>
<td>Rutz, Jack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brehm, John</td>
<td>Jory, Teri</td>
<td>Ryan, Marya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant, Barbara E.</td>
<td>Kanarek, Abby</td>
<td>Sanchez, Maria Elena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden, Barry</td>
<td>Kay, Alan F.</td>
<td>Schaeffer, Nora Cate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burg, Robert</td>
<td>Keeter, Scott</td>
<td>Schejbal, Judith A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bushnell, Diane</td>
<td>Kennedy, James</td>
<td>Schier, Steven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campanelli, Pamela</td>
<td>Kennedy, John</td>
<td>Schillmoeller, E.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantor, David</td>
<td>Klett, Bettina</td>
<td>Schlichting, Kurt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caplan, James R.</td>
<td>Krosnick, Jon A.</td>
<td>Schmidt, Marcus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carley, Lisa</td>
<td>Krotki, Karol</td>
<td>Schneiderman, Mindy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carr, Kathleen</td>
<td>Lang, Gladys</td>
<td>Schwarz, Norbert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciemnecki, Anne B.</td>
<td>Lang, Kurt</td>
<td>Selfa, Lance A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrin, Jack</td>
<td>Lavrakas, Paul J.</td>
<td>Sergeyev, Boris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coit, Mary V.</td>
<td>Law, Joan W.</td>
<td>Shrum, L.J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrad, Kristen L.</td>
<td>Lee, Barbara</td>
<td>Siegel, Gary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornett, Philip J.</td>
<td>Lee, Robert S.</td>
<td>Singer, Eleanor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costigan, Paddy</td>
<td>Leitman, Robert</td>
<td>Smith, Andrew E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotter, Patrick R.</td>
<td>Lengacher, Jennie E.</td>
<td>Smith, Keith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couper, Mick P.</td>
<td>Levin, Kerry</td>
<td>Smith, Tom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cralley, Marla D.</td>
<td>Levy, Mark</td>
<td>Soligaard, Hans S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummins, Rachelle</td>
<td>Maisel, Richard</td>
<td>Solop, Fred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daves, Robert P.</td>
<td>Malloy, Ruth T.</td>
<td>Sotirovic, Mira</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David, Mort</td>
<td>Margolis, Michael</td>
<td>Sprachman, Susan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Stuart A.</td>
<td>Marquette, Jesse F.</td>
<td>Stapulonis, Rita A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Wendy</td>
<td>Martin, Elizabeth A.</td>
<td>Starer, Amy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeMaio, Theresa</td>
<td>Martin, Jean</td>
<td>Sterngold, Arthur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denk, Charles E.</td>
<td>Massey, Jim</td>
<td>Stone, Deborah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dholakia, Ruby Roy</td>
<td>McConeghy, Gary L.</td>
<td>Stovall, James G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiCamillo, Mark</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stowell, Carol P.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An article by James Fallows in the Jan. 12 issue of New York Review of Books makes mention to the fact that article 4 of the Republican Contract with America (the "Family Reinforcement Act"), "requires parental consent before children participate in any federally funded survey of public opinion on sensitive subjects..." Recognizing that the issue is one of explicit vs. implied consent, such a regulation would have had an impact on several studies done by our organization during the past year or so. Does anyone have any additional details about this part of the Gingrich legislation?
Dear Dr. Pearson:

A couple of our social science departments at Roanoke College are initiating investigation of some CATI systems. We have some experience with Sawtooth and have recently received information and a demo disk from them. Were you impressed with any of the other systems that you reviewed? We would very much appreciate names/addresses/phone numbers/e-mail addresses/whatever that you might have for any of the other systems. We would like to investigate closely Sawtooth and its best competitors. Thank you very much for your help with this request.

Greg Weiss
Professor of Sociology

---

Dear AAPOR net addressees:

The war in Chechnya has been getting a lot of attention in the media around the world. Has anyone seen any polls gauging the effect of the war on public opinion (outside of Russia) regarding Russia? I would be interested in hearing about any polls. Please respond to DOBSON@USIA.GOV

Thanks! Richard Dobson

---

Before Newt imposes parental consent on minors taking surveys, it might be wise for someone to definitively establish when a survey becomes viable and takes on life. To my chagrin and everlasting shame, I must admit that I have had interviews terminated in the second trimester. To my horror, I have even witnessed respondents imploring -- nay, insisting -- that they terminate in the THIRD trimester!

Perhaps a waiting period of 48 hours would also be in order. Our youngsters are certainly the sweetest in the world, but few would argue that their innocence does not at times allow them to be cruelly victimized by unscrupulous social scientists and opinion-mongers (a very nasty lot indeed). A waiting period may be all it takes to prevent even the boldest, most opinionated youth from making an impetuous mistake and agreeing to be interviewed.

And, of course, neither a waiting period nor parental consent will
have the desired effect until the youth of the nation are more fully educated about the alternatives to opinion polls. It is much easier to "just say no" when one's resolve is strengthened by the knowledge that there are more acceptable ways (such as fisticuffs, terrorism, talk radio, and the Internet) to express oneself.

-- Jerold Pearson
Director of Opinion Research
Stanford University, Office of Development
cjep@forsythe.stanford.edu

To: AAPORNET@USCVM.BITNET
=========================================================================
Date:         Thu, 12 Jan 1995 00:09:00 -0800
From:         James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU>
Subject:      Receipt of Proposals--List 2

>From Jim Beniger...

Between noon on Monday, January 9, and the end of business on Wednesday, January 11, the following additional names or confirmations had been received for consideration for the 50th AAPOR Conference program as authors or coauthors of papers, poster session presenters, or panel members or presiders. Submissions posted late last week by snailmail may still arrive later this week; these will be acknowledged next week, along with submissions for the Student Paper Award(s). AAPORNET members will receive no further acknowledgment of their submissions; nonmember first authors will be notified by telephone or snailmail. Final decisions about the acceptance of papers and the composition of paper sessions for the 50th Anniversary Conference program will be made by February 15; you will be notified of the accepted proposals shortly thereafter. If you have questions or corrections to the list below, please send them to beniger@rcf.usc.edu

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT, 50TH ANNIVERSARY CONFERENCE PROGRAM

Abraham, Sameer Y.  Gaziano, Cecilie  Piazza, Thomas
Andreekov, Vladimir  Gendall, Philip  Pollock, John
Andsager, Julie L.  Gerber, Eleanor R.  Prisuta, Robert H.
Arce, Carlos  Goldstein, Kenneth  Puente, Manuel de la
Barker, Kristin  Guo, Zhongshi  Rademacher, Eric W.
Battaglia, Michael  Haley, Barbara  Robertson, Kenneth
Beach, Scott R.  Herrmann, Douglas  Robinson, John P.
Beatty, Paul  Herzog, A. Regula  Robinson, Katherine
Bell, Robert F.  Hess, Jennifer C.  Roden, Ann-Sofi
Billingsley, Keith  Hill, Daniel H.  Rusciano, Frank L.
Blair, Johnny  Hoek, Janet  Schaeffer, Nora Cate
Blixt, Steven  Holp, Timothy J.  Schuman, Howard
Bord, Richard J.  Horner, Lewis R.  Selfa, Lance A.
Burden, Barry  Jendrysik, Mark  Shanahan, James
Burge, Robert  Jordan, Brigitte  Shapiro, Gary
Camburn, Donald P.  Keer, David W.  Singer, Eleanor
Canache, Damarys  Keeter, Scott  Sniderman, Paul M.
Carr, Kathleen  Knauper, Barbel  Steeh, Charlotte
Chan, Sophia  Koehanek, Kymn M.  Tanur, Judith M.
Ching, Pamela L.Y.H.  Krysan, Maria  Tompkins, Linda I.
Chun, Young I.  Lavrakas, Paul J.  Tucker, Clyde
Ciochetto, Susan  Madsen, Mads N.  Von Thurn, Dawn R.
Conners, Joan  Maisel, Richard  Wall, Thomas P.
Cummins, Rachelle  Mason, Richard  Wanke, Michaela
Davis, Robert P.  Massey, James T.  Wegge, David G.
Davis, Wendy  McKay, Ruth B.  Weisberg, Herbert
DeMaio, Theresa  Merkle, Daniel M.  Wellens, Tracy R.
Dobrzynski, Lindsay  Minato, Hiroaki  Werner, Jan
Downing, Kimberly  Mondak, Jeffery J.  Wiggins, Beverly
Edelman, Murray  Montoya, Ramona  Wobus, Peter
Einwiller, Sabine  Moore, Jeffrey C.  Wolters, Charles D.
Everett, Stephen E.  Moore, R. Paul  Wright, Robert A.
Fitti, Joseph E.  Morgan, Michael  Zell, Elizabeth R.
Forsman, Gosta  Moy, Patricia  Zill, Nicholas
Fougnies, Karen  Mutz, Diana C.  Zmud, Johanna P.
Gallops, Mark  Neuman, W. Russell  Zukerberg, Andrew L.
Gantz, Walter  O'Connor, Robert E.  Zukin, Cliff

For questions or corrections, contact: beniger@rcf.usc.edu

Date:         Thu, 12 Jan 1995 09:54:48 EST
From:         Terry Madonna <TMADONNA@MU3.MILLERSV.EDU>
Subject:      Tom Ridge's gubernatorial victory

We have available an analysis we wrote of Tom Ridge's gubernatorial victory in Pennsylvania. The analysis uses pre-election Keystone Polls, A Keystone Poll Panel Survey, and most important VRS and Mitofsky International Exit Polls. If you want a hard copy, send us an E-mail note.

Send to:  tmadonna@mu3.millersv.edu

Cordially

Terry Madonna          Berwood Yost
Director                Director
Center for Politics & Public Affairs  Center for Opinion Research
Millersville University  Millersville University

Date:         Thu, 12 Jan 1995 10:33:56 PST
From:         BezillaR@EWORLD.COM
Subject:      Re: Tom Ridge's gubernatorial victory

Please send a copy to:

Robert Bezilla
191 Library Place
Princeton, NJ 08540

Thank you.
The Survey Section of Iowa State University's Statistical Laboratory is seeking an experienced Survey Projects Manager to oversee its operational survey unit. The Survey Projects Manager directs 10-20 survey projects per year conducted on a contract basis for on- and off-campus researchers; manages 20-25 staff and a $300,000 budget; and consults with researchers on survey research methodology, operational plans, and budget estimates for grant proposals as well as unfunded projects.

Minimum qualifications are a masters degree in sociology, statistics or related field with at least three years of survey project experience, or a bachelor's degree in these fields with at least five years experience. Experience must include supervisory and budgetary management, questionnaire design, consulting with survey researchers, and familiarity with using personal computers as an office tool. Preference will be given to candidates who have a strong understanding of academic and government research, in-depth knowledge of telephone and personal interviewing, and experience with computer-aided telephone interviewing (CATI) systems and/or CASES. Salary from $35,000 to $55,000, depending on the candidate's qualifications.

The Survey Section was organized during the 1930s to provide consulting and direct operational assistance in all aspects of sample surveys, and to conduct research and teach courses in survey sampling methods. The operational survey unit in the Survey Section is a small group of highly trained permanent staff and temporary employees. Survey Section staff work directly with principal investigators in academic departments at all three state universities, as well as researchers in state, federal and nonprofit agencies. At the present time, all survey projects are unsolicited, and cover a wide variety of subjects including medicine, economics, sociology, agriculture, and public policy. The majority of projects involve telephone interviewing, although studies that require personal interviews or mail-out questionnaires are conducted regularly. The survey group prides itself on conducting the highest quality work possible in a supportive and collegial atmosphere.

Applications are being accepted through January 31, 1995, or until the position is filled. To apply, send a letter explaining your interest in the position, a resume, and the names of three references to:

Dr. S. M. Nusser
220 Snedecor Hall
Statistical Laboratory
Iowa State University
Ames, IA  50011-1210
The International Social Survey Program

by

Tom W. Smith
National Opinion Research Center
University of Chicago

May, 1994

The International Social Survey Program (ISSP) is a continuing, annual program of crossnational collaboration. It brings together pre-existing, social science projects and coordinates research goals, thereby adding a crossnational perspective to the individual, national studies. Each research organization funds all of its own costs. There are no central funds.

Since 1984, ISSP has grown to 22 nations, the founding four--Germany, the United States, Great Britain, and Australia--plus Austria, Italy, Ireland, Hungary, the Netherlands, Israel, Norway, the Philippines, New Zealand, Russia, Japan, Bulgaria, Canada, and the Czech Republic, Slovenia, Poland, Sweden, and Spain. In addition, East Germany was added to the German sample upon reunification.

The first ISSP theme in 1985 was on the role of government. It covered attitudes towards a) civil liberties, b) education and parenting, c) welfare and social equality, and d) the economy. The second theme was on social networks and support system. It contained detailed behavioral reports on contacts with various friends and relatives and then a series of questions about where one would turn for help when faced with various situations such as financial need, minor illness, career advice, and emotional distress. The third module, on social equality, concerned beliefs about what factors effect one's chances for social mobility (e.g. parental status, education, contacts, race, etc.), explanations for inequality, assessments of social conflicts, and related questions. It also asked people to estimate the average earnings of various occupations (e.g. farm laborer and doctor) and what the average earnings of these occupations should be. The fourth module covered the impact on the family of the changing labor force participation of women. It included attitudes on marriage and cohabitation, divorce, children, and child care and special demographics on labor force status, child care, and earnings of husband and wife. The fifth module on orientations towards work dealt with motivations to work, desired characteristics of a job, problems relating to unemployment, satisfaction with one's own job (if employed), and working conditions (if employed).

The sixth module in 1990 repeats the role of government theme. By replicating substantial parts of earlier modules, ISSP will not
only have a crossnational perspective, but also an over time perspective. We will not only be able to compare nations and test whether similar social science models operate across societies, but also be able to see if there are similar international trends and whether parallel models of social change operate across nations. The seventh module covers the impact of religious beliefs and behaviors on social, political, and moral attitudes. It includes questions on religious upbringing, current religious activities, traditional Christian beliefs, and existential beliefs. The nonreligious items concern such topics as personal morality, sex roles, crime and punishment, and abortion. The eighth module in 1992 replicates and extends the 1987 social equality module. The ninth module in 1993 is on the environment. It includes an environmental knowledge scale along with attitudinal and behavioral measures. The tenth module in 1994 repeats the 1988 module on women, work, and the family. It also adds items on household division of labor, sexual harassment, and public policy regarding the family. The 1995 module will be on national identity. It will assess nationalism and patriotism, localism and globalism, and diversity and immigration. 1996 will be the second replication of role of government and 1997 will be the first replication of the 1989 module on work orientations.

ISSP data sets are available from ICPSR, University of Michigan, Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 313-764-2570. For further details contact the ISSP secretariat, Peter Mohler, ZUMA, B2,1, D-6800, Mannheim, GERMANY or Tom W. Smith, NORC, 1155 East 60th St., Chicago, IL 60637 312-753-7877 (NNRTWLS1@UCHIMVS1).

Date: Thu, 19 Jan 1995 06:57:48 -0800
From: James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU>
Subject: Receipt of Proposals--List 3

>From Jim Beniger...

Submissions for the 50th AAPOR Conference program posted before the January 6 deadline continue to straggle in to my snailmail box. Between the end of business on Wednesday, January 11, and noon on Wednesday, January 18, the following additional names or confirmations had been received for consideration as authors or coauthors of papers, poster session presenters, panel members, or presiders. AAPORNET members will receive no further acknowledgment of their submissions; nonmember first authors will be notified by telephone or snailmail. Final decisions about the acceptance of papers and the composition of paper sessions for the 50th Anniversary Conference program will be made by February 15; you will be notified of the accepted proposals shortly thereafter. If you have questions or corrections to the list below, please send them to beniger@rcf.usc.edu

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT, 50TH ANNIVERSARY CONFERENCE PROGRAM

Apodaca, Richard L.    Jensen, Beverly A.    Schneiderman, Minday
Batista, Leandro L.    Malloy, Ruth T.        Schwarz, Norbert
Chronister, Andrea     Martin, Elizabeth A.  Straughan, Dulcie M.
Evatt, Dixie           Moore, David W.        Straus, Murray
Funk, Carolyn L.       Olson, Lorayn          Sudman, Seymour
To answer several queries, here is a listing of the 40 most popular listserv lists on the Internet—as ranked by numbers of subscribers—as of January 12:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Subs</th>
<th>LISTNAME: Title and Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27,212</td>
<td>TOPTEN: David Letterman's Top-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25,820</td>
<td>MAP2: ROADMAP WORKSHOP DISTRIBUTION LIST (MAP2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22,452</td>
<td>ROADMAP: ROADMAP FOR THE INFORMATION SUPERHIGHWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22,336</td>
<td>MINI-AIR: The Mini-Annals of Improbable Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16,214</td>
<td>MAPTEST: ROADMAP WORKSHOP DISTRIBUTION LIST (MAPTEST)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15,794</td>
<td>TIDBITS: TidBITS - A newsletter for Mac users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12,717</td>
<td>NEW-LIST: NEW-LIST - New List Announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10,960</td>
<td>INDIA-D: The India News &amp; Discussion Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10,370</td>
<td>CNDPSU-L: China News Digest (Global Service)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,220</td>
<td>LOOKING: The Personals India Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9,862</td>
<td>OMRI-L: Open Media Research Institute Daily Digest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9,717</td>
<td>CCMAN-L: CND Chinese Magazine Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>9,050</td>
<td>PACS-L: Public-Access Computer Systems Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8,796</td>
<td>CHAN-MSG: Chancellor's Messages to the UCSF Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8,755</td>
<td>CHINA-ND: China News Digest (US News)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6,679</td>
<td>ISRAELINE: Israeline - Israeli Consulate, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>6,664</td>
<td>INDIA-L: The India News Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>6,157</td>
<td>CMPSU-L: CND Chinese Magazine Network (Service II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>6,094</td>
<td>PEDJOBS: Federal Job Bulletin Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>LINGUIST: The LINGUIST Discussion List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5,427</td>
<td>TESL-L: Teachers of English as a Second Language List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>5,405</td>
<td>NNEWS: Network-News</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>4,954</td>
<td>MACCHAT: The Mac*Chat Newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4,693</td>
<td>CHINA-NN: China News Digest (Global News)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4,693</td>
<td>GERMNEWS: German News</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4,496</td>
<td>LIBREF-L: Discussion of Library Reference Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>4,419</td>
<td>HUMOR: UGA Humor List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>4,388</td>
<td>ROOTS-L: ROOTS-L Genealogy List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>3,984</td>
<td>SCHOLAR: On SCHOLAR, for natural language processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3,939</td>
<td>ET-ANN: Announcements--Ecotechnology Conference 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3,700</td>
<td>APASD-L: APA Research Psychology Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3,692</td>
<td>FCSSCN: FCSSC News Releases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>3,628</td>
<td>CND-EP: China News Digest - Europe/Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>3,548</td>
<td>CHINA-NN: China News Digest (Global News)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3,519</td>
<td>MEDNEWS: MEDNEWS - Health Info-Com Network Newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3,510</td>
<td>WMST-L: Women's Studies List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>3,474</td>
<td>INFOSYS: INFOSYS - Newsletter for Information Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>3,419</td>
<td>GUTENBERG: Project Gutenberg Email List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>3,353</td>
<td>PSYC: PSYCOLOQUY: Refereed Journal of Peer Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3,333</td>
<td>DECNEWS: Digital Equipment Corporation EDU News List</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To join any list, send the one-line command "subscribe LISTNAME yourname" (without the quote marks, without a subject line, substituting the desired listname above for "LISTNAME," substituting your own name--first then last--for "yourname," and with absolutely nothing else in the body) to any listserv site; try: listserv@listserv.net

Do NOT post questions, comments or problems to AAPORNET; instead, send them to: beniger@rcf.usc.edu

Date: Mon, 23 Jan 1995 16:34:34 -0500
From: Philip Meyer <pmeyer@EMAIL.UNC.EDU>
Subject: IJPOR Spring '95 issue (fwd)

Contents of the forthcoming issue:

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH
Volume 7, number 1  SPRING 1995
ISSN 0954-2892

Editorial

Articles


Party Identification and Attitudes Towards Homosexuals in Britain. Bernadette C. Hayes and Jo Moran-Elllis


A New Look at Television Viewing and Adult Vocabulary. John Bare

Research Notes

Index of Partisanship: A Methodology for Determining Change in the Political Bias of Newspapers' Readers. Robert M. Worcester

The Numeric Values of Rating Scales: A Comparison of Their Impact in Mail Surveys and Telephone Interviews. Norbert Schwarz and Hans-J. Hippler

Reviews

John Mueller: Policy and Opinion in the Gulf War. William J. Gonzenbach


Recent Books in the Field of Public Opinion Research Compiled by William J. Gonzenbach

Recent Articles in the Field of Public Opinion Research Compiled by
CALL FOR PAPERS
The Belgian Linguistic Association
1995 CONFERENCE ON POLITICAL LINGUISTICS
ANTWERP (Belgium), 7-9 December 1995

This conference aims at convening scholars from a wide range of disciplines, interested in the intersection of language/discourse and the political sphere (i.e. politics both in its institutionalized and everyday dimensions). The general purpose is to explore and deepen ways of analyzing language as a political instrument, a political theme, and a political domain. In order to arrive at a coherent agenda, we organize four sections:

1. LANGUAGE AND IDEOLOGY: The domain of research related to language and processes of ideological symbolization. This includes the everyday political dimensions of discourse, folk linguistic ideologies, normative use of language, and language-based reproduction of ideologies.

2. POLITICAL LANGUAGE: This includes political rhetoric, advertising, mass-media, propaganda, etc.

3. LANGUAGE AND MINORITIES: This includes the question of societal multilingualism and linguistic pluralism, language teaching to minorities, the role of language in minority policies, etc.

4. LANGUAGE AND THE STATE: The domain of research related to language and state control. This includes language policies and language planning, language standardization, language in education, language and nation-building, etc.

We invite proposals for paper presentations (30' + 15' discussion) and/or for special events such as panels or round table discussions (3 hours). Note that all proposals should specify the section in which they fit.
ABSTRACTS (2 hard copies) should be sent to the organizers before March 15th, 1995, and should meet the following requirements:

1. PAPERS: 1-page abstracts, giving a clear and sufficient indication of theme, approach and type(s) of data.
2. SPECIAL EVENTS: a full and detailed proposal from the convenor(s), specifying (1) theme, (2) list of participants who have agreed to contribute, (3) format (discussion, paper presentation...) and (4) short abstracts of the various contributions.

ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: IPrA Research Center, UIA-GER, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk (Belgium). E-mail: ipra@reks.uia.ac.be.

Organizing committee: Jan Blommaert, Piet Van de Craen, Lieve Van de Walle, Jef Verschueren, Dominiek Sandra.

========================================================================
Date:         Tue, 24 Jan 1995 08:05:54 -0800
From:         James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU>
Subject:      Survey Research On Information Technology Topics

Recent survey research on information technology topics, as conducted by organizations with AAPOR members and reported in the national media:

PUBLIC LIKES PUBLIC BROADCASTING
A poll conducted by Opinion Research for PBS shows 84% of respondents want taxpayer support for public television maintained or increased. (Wall Street Journal 1/18/95 B4) Lost in the debate over the funding of public broadcasting is the fact that government limits commercial advertising. The only way it could really survive without federal funding would be to become exactly like every other network -- complete with Cap'n Crunch commercials. (Telecommunications Policy Review 1/15/95 p.1)

NICE COMPUTER BUT YOUR SERVICE IS TERRIBLE
A Louis Harris & Associates poll of 250 information managers at Fortune 1000 companies found that 80% of computer product customers are happy with the quality and reliability of products from the computer industry, but that 66% were frustrated by the failure of computer companies to stay in touch after the sale. (Atlanta Journal-Constitution 1/18/95 B2)

>From EDUPAGE (1/19); to subscribe, to listproc@educom.edu send the four-word message: subscribe edupage yourfirstname yourlastname

========================================================================
Date:         Tue, 24 Jan 1995 08:13:29 -0800
From:         James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU>
Subject:      ROADMAP WORKSHOP #4

Highly recommended for newcomers to the Internet:

From: Patrick Douglas Crispen <PCRISPE1@UA1VM.UA.EDU>
Subject: ROADMAP WORKSHOP #4

ROADMAP on LISTSERV@UA1VM.UA.EDU
The Roadmap workshop is back with a FOURTH session! Since the first three Roadmap workshop sessions were so popular -- over 62,000 people from 77 different countries participated -- I've decided to repeat the workshop one more time for those of you who missed it last fall.

Roadmap is a FREE Internet training workshop open to anyone who wishes to subscribe. The entire Roadmap workshop will take place on the ROADMAP list (ROADMAP is a distribution list, not a discussion list).

The Roadmap workshop sessions will cover: E-mail; Listservs, Majordomo, Listproc and other distribution systems; Usenet; FTP; Archie; Gopher; Veronica; Address Searches; WWW; and many other topics. As a number of ROADMAP subscribers only have e-mail access, the Roadmap workshop will also teach how to access many Internet tools (FTP, Archie, Gopher, etc.) using E-mail.

The fourth Roadmap for the Information Superhighway workshop will begin on February 9, 1995 and will end on March 17, 1995 (St. Patrick's Day) :) Workshop participants will be e-mailed one lesson each week-day during this period.

To subscribe to Roadmap, please send an e-mail letter to

LISTSERV@UA1VM.UA.EDU

which says

SUBSCRIBE ROADMAP Yourfirstname Yourlastname

in the body of your letter, replacing Yourfirstname and Yourlastname with your first and last names (*note: simply replying to this letter will *NOT* subscribe you to the ROADMAP list -- you must send a new letter to LISTSERV@UA1VM.UA.EDU to subscribe*)

For example, if you were multi-billionare software magnate Bill Gates, you would put

SUBSCRIBE ROADMAP Bill Gates

in the body of your letter to LISTSERV@UA1VM.UA.EDU

Owner: Patrick Douglas Crispen <PCRISPE1@UA1VM.UA.EDU>

========================================================================
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 1995 09:07:55 -0500
From: Cliff Zukin <CZUKIN@ZODIAC.RUTGERS.EDU>
Subject: "Quantity of Life" Survey

We are doing a survey on how people spend their time on a daily basis--commuting, family meals, media behavior, etc. Does anybody know of anything around on this we might steal from (other than John Robinson)? Thanks.

========================================================================
From: Ewa A Golebiowska <egolebio@MAGNUS.ACS.OHIO-STATE.EDU>
Subject: Re: "Quantity of Life" Survey

Is this really "quantity" of life rather than "quality"? I think Phil Converse has done some work on time use which might seem pertinent to your research question. I'm afraid I can't get any more specific than that, though.

--
Ewa A. Golebiowska
Department of Political Science, Ohio State University, 2140 Derby Hall
Columbus, OH 43210
Phone: 614-292-1681, Fax: 614-292-2407
========================================================================

Date: Wed, 25 Jan 1995 16:54:24 GMT+0100
From: Janet Harkness <HARKNESS@ZUMA-MANNHEIM.DE>
Organization: ZUMA
Subject: Re: "Quantity of Life" Survey

There are some questions on the amount spent on certain activities in the socio-economic panel - annual survey in Germany since 1984. The Institute fuer Demoskopie Allensbach (Noelle Numann) has, a colleague tells me, regular questions on time distribution. These ought to be available in the Allensbach Jahrbuch.

Janet Harkness
========================================================================

Date: Wed, 25 Jan 1995 10:05:47 CST
Reply-To: daves@startribune.com
From: "Robert P. Daves" <daves@STARTRIBUNE.COM>
Subject: Re: "Quantity of Life" Survey

Cliff,

At the Minnesota Poll, I've done a lot of stuff on leisure time activities and would be happy to share some questions with you if you'll send me your e-mail address (this came with your address stripped out of the header through AAPORNET).

Rob

Robert P. Daves                                   daves@startribune.com
Assistant Managing Editor/News Research
Director, The Minnesota Poll
Star Tribune
425 Portland Av. S.                              Fax: 612/673-4359
Minneapolis MN  55488  USA                      Voice: 612/673-7278

========================================================================

Date: Wed, 25 Jan 1995 08:42:36 -0800
From: Pama Mitchell <pama@CRL.COM>
Subject: Re: "Quantity of Life" Survey

A Times-Mirror study dated May 1994 focuses on new technology adaptation and use--it includes an oversample of modem users--but it also has some good media use and other daily habits kinds of questions. Also it has
quite a large sample (3,667 adults nationwide). We (Atlanta Journal-Constitution) just completed a survey in Georgia replicating some of those questions, in our own study of personal computer use (among other topics). The Times-Mirror poll was conducted by Princeton Survey Research.

We've also included some questions about commuting and how much time respondents have "for yourself--to read, watch TV, or spend however you want," for which we have trend measurements in Georgia. (That is, we asked those questions a couple if years ago.) Please e-mail me if you're interested in any of those items.

Pama Mitchell
Polling director, Atlanta Journal-Constitution

========================================================================
From:         FAISMAIL@VMS.CIS.PITT.EDU
Subject:      Re: "Quantity of Life" Survey

Yes! I spend a good bit of my day deleting the second (or first, or both) of each duplicate E-mail message I get from AAPOR and METHODS. Does anybody else get twooooo messages for every one from these sources (i.e., besides my Assistant Director)? Does anyone know how I stop this short of unsubscribing to these services? Laurie Fowler

========================================================================
Date:         Thu, 26 Jan 1995 10:32:26 -0800
From:         James Beniger <beniger@RCF.USC.EDU>
Subject:      Receipt of Proposals--FINAL

>From Jim Beniger...

This is the final consolidated acknowledgment of all submissions for the 50th Anniversary Conference program through the end of business Wednesday, January 25. Judging from the flow of mail, it seems that the 346 names listed below include all of the authors and coauthors of papers, poster session presenters, and panel members and presiders who are interested in participating in the 50th Conference. If your name, or that of someone you know, has been mistakenly excluded from this list, please contact beniger@rcf.usc.edu

AAPORNET members will receive no further acknowledgment of their submissions; nonmember first authors will be notified by telephone or snailmail. Final decisions about the acceptance of papers and the composition of paper sessions for the 50th Conference program will be made by February 15; you will be notified of the accepted proposals shortly thereafter.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT, 50TH ANNIVERSARY CONFERENCE PROGRAM
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>From Jim Beniger...

Listed below are the first authors and key-word titles of the 185 proposals submitted to the 50th Conference Committee for consideration for paper and/or poster sessions. NOT listed are papers submitted as part of panel proposals and AAPOR Student Paper Award submissions.

Although the 50th Conference Program might not be able to
accommodate all of these proposals, each one has considerable merit and is much appreciated by the Conference Committee. The listing here is intended not only to acknowledge receipt and to let authors check for Committee processing errors, but also to stimulate the exchange of ideas among AAPORNET members and, through you, other AAPOR members not yet online.

Key-word titles are a compromise between actual titles and the desire to communicate the essence of each paper in a single line of an Internet message. My apologies to authors who feel their own titles have suffered in this translation. The only defense is the convenience of 800-some AAPORNET members and the conservation of space in Internet traffic. It might help to think of the key-word title as a hybrid of tabloid headline, journal abstract, and classified ad prose, still today three of the more effective means of mass communication.

AAPORNET members will receive no further acknowledgment of their submissions; nonmember first authors will be notified by telephone or snailmail. Final decisions about the acceptance of papers and the composition of paper sessions will be made by February 15; you will be notified of the accepted proposals shortly thereafter. If you have questions or corrections to the list below, please send them to beniger@rcf.usc.edu

PAPER PROPOSALS RECEIVED, 50TH CONFERENCE PROGRAM (N=185)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Key-Word Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abraham, Sameer +2</td>
<td>Assessing Data Quality: Reliability &amp; Validity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Althaus, Scott</td>
<td>Collective Opinion: Test of Signal-Noise Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apodaca, Richard +2</td>
<td>Interviewer Effects &amp; Response Rates in CAPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldassare, Mark +1</td>
<td>Mail Survey Response by Local Govt Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballou, Janice</td>
<td>Identification &amp; Segmentatn, Pre-Electn Polls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batista, Leandro +1</td>
<td>Risk Beliefs: Distinguishing Community Matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batista, Leandro +1</td>
<td>Demographics &amp; Health Risk Perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battaglia, Mike +4</td>
<td>Pre-ID of Phone Nos in List-Asstd RDD Samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach, Scott R.</td>
<td>Recall Accuracy: Panel on Criminal Victimizatn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatty, Paul +1</td>
<td>Framework for Evaluating DK Survey Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belli, Robert +2</td>
<td>The Misremembering of Important Past Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop, George +1</td>
<td>Issue Voting in Exit Polls: How Much Artifact?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blendon, Robert +1</td>
<td>Health &amp; the Deficit: Attitudes in '94 Electn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blendon, Robert +4</td>
<td>Consumers Rate Health Care: 3-Nation Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botsko, Chris +1</td>
<td>Exploring the Past Using Survey Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyle, John M. +1</td>
<td>Impact of Sampling Frame on Sample Estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brehm, John +1</td>
<td>Pooled Cross-Sect Time Series, Interpers Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant, Barbara E.</td>
<td>Customer Satisfctn by Industry in Demo-Soc Grps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burge, John</td>
<td>Archiving Private Sector Pub Opin Databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canache, Damarys</td>
<td>Neighborhd Context &amp; Percptns of Rel Deprivatn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caplan, James R.</td>
<td>Borrowing for College: Perceived Value &amp; Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carr, Kathleen +2</td>
<td>Most Important Probim Q: '94 Post-Electn Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan, Sophia</td>
<td>Self-Interest &amp; Pub Op on Health Care Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronister, Andrea</td>
<td>Effects of Early Primes on Subseqnt Attitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chun, Young I. +1</td>
<td>Experiment, Response-Inducers in Establ Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciemnecki, Anne +1</td>
<td>800 Numbers in Mixed-Mode Survey of HS Studnts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciochetto, Susan +1</td>
<td>Measuring &quot;Awareness&quot;: Lead-Based Paint Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coit, Mary V. +1         Effecting Clarification in Telephone Surveys
Connors, Joan             Third-Person Effect Hypoth in Media Messages
Cotter, Patrick +1        Measuring Strength of Candidate Preferences
Couper, Mick P. +1        Evaluation of CASI Component of CAPI Survey
Cralley, Marla +1         Alternative Treatments to Raise Response Rates
Cummins, Rachelle          Experiment, Q-Order & Primacy, Mail Survey
Daves, Robert P. +1       Improving Pre-Electn Poll Measurement Accuracy
David, Mort +1            Negative Items as Better Measures of Feelings
Davis, Stuart A. +3       Power-Building Automated Survey Question Bank
Davis, Wendy +1           Cognitive Data in Written vs Verbal Formats
Denk, Charles +2          End-Of-Life Medcld Decisns, Factorial Vignette
Dholakia, Ruby +1         Concept Testing Hi-Tech Mkts: Structrl Models
Dillman, Don A. +5        Pre-Notice & Mail Survey Response by Salience
Dobrzynski, Lindsay       Tracking and Locating High-Risk Families
Donelan, Karen +2         What Does the Public Know About Entitlements?
Donsbach, W. +1           Media Thrust, '94 German Bundestag Election
Dowling, Kim +1           Media Reporting & Disclosure of Pub Opin Polls
Dran, Ellen M. +1         Popular Opinion About Public Opinion
Dykema, Jennifer +1       Child Support Reports: Events, Instrmnt, Error
Edelman, Murray           Interviewer Effects on Exit Poll Data Quality
Eisenhower, Donna         Interpersonal Style & Focus Group Participatn
Evans, Edward L. +1       Political Activists Among the Older Population
Everett, Stephen E. +1    Poll Report Components & Perceived Credibility
Fan, David P.             Transduction Paradigm for Public Opin Formatn
Foote, Mary +1            Gender Diffs in Drug Use & Its Perceived Risk
Forsman, Gosta            Substitution in Phone Surveys: Empirical Study
Freeland, Edward +1       Self-Administrd Questionnaires for HS Students
Funk, Carolyn L. +1       Sources of Public Perceptions of the Economy
Gallops, Mark             Popular Interest in Interactive Media
Gantz, Walter             Correlates of Attitudes toward TV Commercials
Gaziano, Cecilie          A 25-Year Review of Knowledge Gap Research
Geiger, Seth +2           Mass Media, Value Orientn of Chinese Immigrnts
Gendall, Philip           Cover Design Effects in Mail Surveys
Gerber, Eleanor +1        On Measuring the Dimensions of Public Opinion
Ghanem, Salma +1          Florida's Undecided Voters in '94 Elections
Gladwin, Hugh +1          Test of Krassa's Version of Spiral of Silence
Glynn, Carroll +1         Who Votes Early?—Their Profile & Influence
Goldstein, Kenneth        Media as Opinion Resources: 90s New Ball Game?
Graber, Doris A.          Newspapers Vs TV: Medium & Content Effects
Guo, Zhongshi +1          Improving Respondent Selection in RDD Surveys
Hagan, Dan E. +1          Sampling Hispanic Households for Phone Surveys
Hall, John                Gender Differences on Sensitive Survey Qs
Hammer, Heather           Textual, Discourse Analyses of Q-aire as Text
Harkness, Janet           Threats to Good Customer Satisfaction Surveys
Heavenor, Mary +1         Debriefing Qs in Questionnaire Development
Hess, Jennifer +1         Moral Barrier to Understanding Public Opinion
Heyman, Richard D.        On Identifying the Disabled Working for You
Hickson, Rachel +1        Undecided Respondents: Comparisons of Methods
Hoek, Janet +1            Willingness to Go Public With Opinion
Horner, Lewis +2          "Angry White Male" in the South: '94 Election
Jendrysik, Mark +1        Evaluatn of Targeted Oversamples of Black HHs
Jendrysik, Mark +1        Communicatn in NWs: Pub Opin in Islamic Mode
Jensen, Beverly A.        Cognitive Abilities in Info Gain for Politics
Jory, Teri                Crime Fear & Geog Mobilty, Policy Preferences
Katz, Cheryl +1           Strong Unknown Support of Americans for UN
Kay, Alan F.              Disability & Use of Drugs, 18-44 Year-Olds
Keer, David W.
Keeter, Scott        The Public Opinion Basis of the '94 Election
Kennedy, James        Respndnt Motivatn, Response Burden & Data Qual
Knauper, Barbel        Q Difficulty, Cognitive Capacity & Data Qualty
Kojetin, Brian        The Quality of Proxy Reports for the CPS
Kraus, Sidney         Presidential Debates Polling, 1960-92
Krosnick, Jon A.      Attitude Importance & Change Revisited
Krotki, Karol         Natl Area Probability Sample: Computer Innovatn
Krysan, Maria         Whites' Racial Attitudes By Mouth & By Mail
Lavrakas, Paul        Pre-Election Polls & Framing of News Coverage
Law, Joan W.          Childhood Immunizatn, Natl Survey of Mothers
Lee, Barbara          How & Why We Watch TV: Toward Interactive TV
Lee, Robert S.        The Ecology of Violence in the US
Lengacher, J.E.       Differentl Incentives, Longitudl Survey Partic
Levy, Mark            News Media Use & the Informed Public: 90s View
Maisel, Richard       Clinton Vs Congress: E-Mail Survey Experiment
Margolis, M.          Internet Democracy: Survey Research Lessons
Martin, Jean          Interviewer Vs Office Coding of Occupations
Mason, Robert         Adjusting for Diff Q Wording in Longitudl Survs
McConeghy, Janet      Call Scheduling for Household Phone Interviews
McConeghy, Janet      Study of Refusals Converted Using Letters
McDonald, Daniel G.   Affective Orientation in Item Nonresponse
McKay, Ruth B.        CPS Supplmnt on Race, Ethnicity: Social Context
Messeri, Peter        How Poll Consumers Evaluate Poll Accuracy
Miller, Leslie        Sampling Rare & Hard-To-Reach: HIV/AIDS NYers
Miller, Peter V.      Syntactic, Semantic Complexity of Q & A Quality
Miller, Peter V.      "Sex Survey" News: Media & PR Case Study
Miller, Stephen       Media Coverage of Survey Results: Experiments
Miller, Stephen       Attitudes on Domestic Violence: Gender Diffs
Mingay, David J.      Interviewer Gender & Domestic Violence Surveys
Mitra, Ananda         Improving Mortality Statistics By Design
Mohler, Peter Ph.     Multi-Media in Teaching: Student Opinions
Mondak, Jeffery J.    German Values, 1917-74: Exam Essay Evidence
Mooney, G.M.          Democratic Transition: A '94 Romanian Survey
Moore, David W.       Predictive Models in '94 Congressnl Elections
Moore, David W.       Tolerance of Slapping A Spouse: Q-Order Effect
Moore, R. Paul        Using Proxy Respondents in Natl Travel Survey
Mutz, Diana C.        Context Effects & Elite Leadership of Pub Opin
Narayan, Somwya       Education Moderates Survey Response Effects
Neuman, W. Russell    Toward a Unified Theory of Human Communication
Norpoth, Helmut       Forecast, '96 Election: Dems Hold White House
Norris, Dwayne        Methods to Evaluate New Tax Payment Procedure
O'Connor, Robert      Gender Differences in Environmental Attitudes
O'Guinn, Thomas       TV Role in Social Beliefs About Material World
O'Muircheartaigh     Relative Interviewer & Sample Design Effects
O'Rourke, Diane       Growth of Academic, Not-For-Profit Survey Orgs
O'Rourke, Diane       Cultrl, Gender Diffs in Health Response Editng
Olson, Lorayn         Physician Participation in Disk-By-Mail Survey
Patchen, Robert       Response to Mail, Phone Surveys: US Physicians
Piazza, Thomas        Outside-Box Thinking, Survey Mailing Packages
Price, Vincent        Incorporating Experiments into Surveys
Prisuta, Robert       3rd-Person Effect of News: Q-Order, Contrast
Puente, M. de la     Support for Clinton Health Care Among 50+ Yrs
Puente, M. de la     Reporting Race, Ethnic Origin in Govt Surveys
Rademacher, Eric      Results, Census Spanish Forms Availability Test
Rasinski, K.A.        Pressure to Answer: Fictit Votes,'94 Exit Poll Reporting
                       Sensitive Behav: Decisn-Theory Model
Riley, Sharon J. +1 Response Rate Effects of Less Time, Pre-Survey
Robinson, John P. Damned Lies, Lies & Time Estimates
Robinson, John P. +1 New Household Technologies & Consumer Time Use
Robinson, John P. +3 Percepts of Stress, Time Press: US, Japan, Rus
Rusciano, Frank +1 Is There a "Public" for World Opinion?
Sanchez, Maria E. Asking for Family Income: Response Effects
Schaeffer, Nora +1 Bipolar Resp Cats: Numeric Labels, Middle Cat
Schejbal, Judith +1 Panel Attritin, Dual-Frame Loc Area Phone Surv
Schlichting, K. +2 City Hypersegregatn & Voting: Spatial Analysis
Shanahan, James +2 TV & the Cultivation of Environmental Concern
Siegel, Gary Surv Res & Strategic Plan: Accounting Professn
Siegel, Gary +1 Triangulated Res Methods for Cross Perceptions
Singer, Eleanor +1 Attitudes on Data Sharing by Federal Agencies
Smith, Tom W. Holocaust Ignorance and Denial: 8 Surveys
Smith, Tom W. Trends in Non-Response: 65 series, 12+ nations
Smith, Tom W. Physical Layout Matters: 5 Cases from GSS
Solgaard, Hans +1 Double Jeopardy Patterns for Political Parties
Sotirovic, Mira Exposure to Diverse Views & Polit Participatin
Sprachman, Susan Methods: Illegal Drug Use, Rural Prenatal Pop
Steegh, Charlotte Toward a Typology of Education Effects
Sterngold, A. +2 General Attitts Vs Informed Opinion: DK Filters
Stowell, Carol P. Study of Media Behavior & Information Highway
Synodinos, N.E. +1 Japanese Public Opinion Surveys: Recent Trends
Tanur, Judith +1 Corporate Employee Satisfaction Survey
Tarnai, John +2 Phone Interviews, Adolescents: Substance Abuse
Torous, Jane G. +1 Clean Fuel Vehicles: Survey, Media Trends '93-
Tortorello, N.J. Survey Research for PR & Promotional Purposes
Tse, Sandy +1 Internet Signatures Collection: New Research
Tuchfarber, A.J. +3 '94 Elections: Test of Competing Explanations
Tuckel, Peter S. +1 Answering Machine Owners, Screeners: Natl Surv
Tucker, Clyde +1 Field Theory, Operant Conditning & Polit Behav
Uyeki, Eugene S. +1 Elite Views of US & Japan: Fulbright Scholars
Visser, Penny S. +2 Mail Surveys Win Again: Columbus Dispatch Poll
Walstad, William Opinion on US Entrepreneurship, Small Business
Wanke, Michaela +1 Presented Item Order in Ranking Tasks: Effects
Wege, David G. Q Wording Effects on Support for 3rd-Pty Cands
Wilimack, Diane +1 Videotape in Pre-Survey Notificatn: Experiment
Woodard, Diane New Media Diary Design Issues & Challenges
Woodard, Diane +2 Telephone Debit Cards as a Premium Alternative
Wyatt, Robert O. +1 Support for Free Expressn: Cross-Cultrl Scales
Zill, Nicholas +1 Radio & Generation Replacement: Classical Music
Zmud, Johanna P. +1 Data Imputatn: Non-Phone Bias, HH Travel Surv
Zuckerberg, A.L. +2 Behavior Coding Cases: Optimal Res Efficiency
Zukin, Cliff The Political Values of the American Public

For questions or corrections, contact:  beniger@rcf.usc.edu

Date:         Tue, 31 Jan 1995 17:00:13 EDT
Reply-To:     abelson@wws.Princeton.EDU
From:         Herb Abelson <ABELSON@WWS.PRINCETON.EDU>
Subject:      Web resource

The Princeton Survey Research Center has put a resource for students on the WWW. Try it. I would very much appreciate your suggestions at this early stage and you and your students are certainly welcome to use it.
The URL is http://www.princeton.edu/~abelson/index.html

A number of ideas from POR and AAPOR contributors are reflected in the page, especially Scott Althaus' gopher and postings by Tom Smith.

Herb Abelson