This is the USC listproc archive of aapornet messages for this entire month. It is one big message, just the way the USC archive stored it. You can search within this month with your browser's search function.

Turning this into individual messages that Listserv can index and sort means a lot of reformattting. We will do this as time permits. Meanwhile, the search function works, so we have as much functionality as before. New messages are of course automatically formatted correctly--See August & September 2002.

Some of the early months have been completed. Take a look at them for an idea of how AAPORNET got started. (Thanks, Jim!)

Shap Wolf
shap.wolf@asu.edu

Begin archive:

 Archive aapornet, file log0204.
 Part 1/1, total size 1270432 bytes:

> From cgarcia@unm.edu Mon Apr  1 07:42:18 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
  by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
  id g31FgHe19672 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002
  07:42:17 -0800 (PST)
Received: from kuma.unm.edu (kuma.unm.edu [129.24.9.36])
  by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with SMTP
  id HAA04739 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002 07:42:17 -0800
  (PST)
Received: (qmail 31466 invoked by uid 0); 1 Apr 2002 15:41:57 -0000
Received: from cgarcia@unm.edu by mail.unm.edu with qmail-scanner-0.96 (. Clean.
Processed in 0.127764 secs); 01 Apr 2002 15:41:57 -0000
Received: from dhcp-208-0723.unm.edu (129.24.214.213)
  by kuma.unm.edu with SMTP; 1 Apr 2002 15:41:56 -0000
Date: Mon, 01 Apr 2002 08:42:37 -0700
From: "F. Chris Garcia" <cgarcia@unm.edu>
To: mark@bisconti.com
cc: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: FW: Latino Networks Feel Underrated (M James LATimes)
Message-ID: <4038275654.1017650557@dhcp-208-0723.unm.edu>
In-Reply-To: <JAEPJNNBGDEENLCLII1IIBEEHFEBA@mark@bisconti.com>
X-Mailer: Mulberry (Win32) [1.4.5, s/n S-399010]
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline
Mark,

Excellent observations and questions. Living (and surveying) in a state that (1) is officially bilingual, (2) is 42% Hispanic, and (3) has 22 distinct Native American tribes speaking 5 major languages, I can tell you that virtually no surveys taken here adequately represent the opinions of all these language minorities.

Perhaps elsewhere...do other AAPORNeters have some valuable hints on how they effectively deal with the increasing linguistic diversity of the US multilingual population (or is this pretty much just ignored)?

Chris

~~~~~~~~~~

--On Sunday, March 31, 2002, 11:59 PM -0500 Mark David Richards <mark@bisconti.com> wrote:

> Thanks Jim,
> 
> Interesting topic ... we are now a bilingual society... my
> market-driven bank always gives me a choice of English or Spanish, so
> that about says it all.
> 
> ... but it would add a cost to data
> collection, I expect mainly related to having Spanish language
> interviewers for Puerto Rico... not sure how much. Some organizations
> obviously have the capability in place now. Perhaps because the
> populations of these areas are small the impact on the overall survey
> would be of marginal interest, I don't know ... One could argue that
> since the Territories don't have Federal voting rights they shouldn't
> be included. DC doesn't have Congressional voting rights, but DC is
> typically included in studies. And, are the Indian nations adequately
> represented in most studies?
> 
> mark
> 
> -----Original Message-----
> From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu]On Behalf
> Of James Beniger
> Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2002 6:53 PM
> To: AAPORNET
> Subject: Latino Networks Feel Underrated (M James LATimes)
> 
> 
> I found this surprisingly fascinating--I post it in the hope
> that at least a few of you will, as well. -- Jim
> 
> 
> -----------------------------------------------
> ---
Latino Networks Feel Underrated

Media: The broadcasters say Nielsen fails to include enough Spanish speakers in TV surveys.

By MEG JAMES, Times Staff Writer

How many Latinos are watching Spanish-language television?

About 30% more in Los Angeles than Nielsen's ratings show, according to Spanish-language broadcasters, who say the TV ratings firm is chronically underestimating Latino viewers.

To try to keep up with changing demographics, Nielsen Media Research in the last decade has spent millions of dollars to create separate Latino audience panels to measure their TV tastes across the country and sent legions of bilingual recruiters to find more Spanish-speaking families to participate in the surveys.

But Nielsen still is under attack for underestimating Latinos.

"We've been telling Nielsen forever, 'You need to fix it,' " said Michael Wortsman, president of Univision Communications Inc.'s television group.

Univision, the nation's largest Spanish-language broadcaster, has refused to sign a multiyear Nielsen contract for its flagship station, KMEX-TV Channel 34 in Los Angeles, because of what Univision says are inaccurate ratings. Nielsen's audience surveys for local stations are flawed, Univision says, because they contain too many English speakers. The broadcaster wants more Spanish speakers added.

"I can understand the frustration of some of our clients, but we're trying to move as quickly as possible," said Paul Donato, Nielsen senior vice president for research. "Everyone wants to do the right thing, but there's no consensus on how to get there."

At stake is about $100 million a year in extra advertising for Los Angeles-based Univision, its chief rival, Telemundo, and other niche networks, including Viacom Inc.'s Black Entertainment Television. Univision said the shortage of Spanish-speaking Nielsen families, particularly in Los Angeles, Phoenix and Sacramento, costs it at least $65 million a year in lost advertising revenue because of lower ratings.

Complicating this debate is that the major English-language TV networks and their affiliate stations have long resisted changes in audience surveys that might boost Univision's or Telemundo's
ratings—at the expense of English-language broadcasters. The tension illustrates the tug of war over Nielsen's ratings, which help steer an estimated $58 billion a year in national and local TV advertising.

"The English-language networks have more to lose than anyone else," said Paul Casanova, president of Irvine-based advertising firm Casanova Pendrill, which specializes in Spanish-language media.

Nationwide, Spanish-language programs garner just 5% of the overall TV audience, according to Nielsen, and that translates into about $1.8 billion a year in advertising, or 3% of the market.

Separate Survey Set Up for Latino Preferences

Developing an accurate picture of Latino TV viewing has been a sensitive issue since the late 1980s, when Univision and Telemundo first approached Nielsen because it didn't measure any Spanish-language TV viewers. At the time, Latinos made up less than 10% of the U.S. population, compared with about 14% today.

So a decade ago, Nielsen created a separate audience survey of Latino homes to rate national and local Spanish-language programming. This is in addition to Nielsen's national and local-market surveys that primarily track English-language programming, although some Latinos are included in these audience panels.

But determining TV viewership by language is no easy task, given that two-thirds of Latinos in the U.S. understand English and Spanish, according to market researcher Strategy Research Corp. in Miami.

As a result, not all Latinos are glued to Univision or Telemundo. Latinos who speak or understand English still are more likely to watch English-language shows. In November, "Friends" and "ER" on General Electric Co.'s NBC were the top-rated shows for adults in Los Angeles, while the highest-rated Spanish-language show was Univision's teenage soap opera "Amigas y Rivas" (Friends and Rivals), which ranked ninth. In this survey, of the 50 top-rated shows, only four were Spanish-language programs.

"We're the only people who are working on independent [TV] estimates based on language," said Nielsen's Donato. "There are no government statistics that the television community can use."

Increasing Spanish Speakers in Surveys

Facing pressure from Univision and Telemundo, Nielsen in its TV surveys has boosted the number of households where Spanish is the dominant language, particularly in Southern California. Today, 15.1% of Nielsen's local survey group is Spanish-language dominant, up from 10.7% five years ago. But Nielsen acknowledges that the numbers still fall short of its target of 17.5%.

Change comes slowly.

For more than two years, Nielsen has been debating whether its
fieldworkers trying to recruit families in Latino neighborhoods should
begin a conversation with "Hola" instead of "Hello." Some
English-language TV executives complain that using "Hola" could
unintentionally put off English speakers who then would be less
inclined to participate and thereby cut the ratings of
English-language shows.

Next week, Nielsen plans to unveil the results of its study that
should resolve the debate over which language should be used in
greetings. The study also will decide whether to include children in
TV ratings samples, a decision that could boost ratings for some
English-language shows because studies have shown that Latino children
watch more TV in English.

 Univision still is pushing Nielsen to give more weight to
Spanish speakers in the local-market ratings surveys.

But critics said weighting the TV viewership sample toward one
demographic group isn't the answer.

"We have clients in the English-language media who have some
legitimate questions on how we are developing those language- universe
estimates," said Doug Darfield, Nielsen's director of Hispanic
services.

One of Nielsen's problems, industry experts said, is that it
tries to measure the preferences of Spanish-language TV viewers with
a separate panel of about 800 households and relies on a decades-old
combination of handwritten diaries and TV set-top meters.

"It's really 1950s thinking--that this is a separate audience
that has to be treated separately," said Jack Myers, publisher of the
Jack Myers Report, a media newsletter in New York. "The Hispanic
audience is integrating into every aspect of American life, with the
exception of advertising buying."

 NBC research chief Alan Wurtzel said: "It's really a problem
when you wind up having lots of different sample panels. It would be
valuable in the long term to have [one] large-enough panel to measure
all TV viewed."

---
-- Copyright 2002 Los Angeles Times
--

From jwerner@jwdp.com Mon Apr  1 07:50:49 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
NPR reported this morning on the results of a poll taken on attitudes toward investing Social Security commissioned jointly from Stan Greenberg and Bill McInturff. A summary and selected results are available at:


Most polls conducted by a Democratic and a Republican pollster do little more than provide news media organizations with a spurious claim to "objectivity" but this one appears to be an intelligent attempt at a split questionnaire experiment.

Unfortunately, the results are posted in a manner better suited to a boardroom presentation than to serious analysis, both on NPR and as a Powerpoint slide show from Greenberg Quinlan Rosner.

The audio story reported by Mara Liasson is supposed to be available after noon, Eastern time. It is worth listening to.

Jan Werner
jwerner@jwdp.com
Don't mean to disagree with anything that has been said on this subject, but election polls generally appear to come up short on Hispanic voters when compared with decennial census statistics.

Here is a reply to a question I got about the apparent shortfall in Illinois. I am sure this applies to others states.

Illinois Hispanic Voting

Questions about the Hispanic component in our statewide voter samples have come up in the past. Here are some answers which may be helpful if you are getting questions.

We generally do voter samples because of the political nature of our questions. Even a job approval question is a reason to limit a sample to registered or likely voters.

The 2000 Census shows that 1,530,262 or 12.3% of Illinois' total 12,419,293 residents are Hispanic, a substantial percentage.

But as the elements of registered to vote or voted in the last election are introduced, the Hispanic percentage drops sharply.

The Census Bureau also conducts voting surveys after each presidential or congressional election as part of its Current Population Report series. The most recent data are for 1998 which was also the last off-year election.

1) In 1998, of 8,659,000 Illinois voting age residents 18 and over, 755,000 or 8.7%
were Hispanic, considerably below the 12.3% above which means that Hispanics are
disproportionately younger.

2) Of the 8,009,000 adult Illinois residents who were U.S. citizens, only
412,000 or
5.1% were Hispanic. Fewer voting age Hispanics are eligible to vote.

3) Of the 5,530,000 Illinois adults who said they were registered to vote in
1998,
only 229,000 or 4.1% were Hispanic.

4) Of the 3,857,000 Illinois adults who said they voted in the 1998 general
election,
only 156,000 or 4.0% were Hispanic.

Since this Census survey is based on a sample there is some potential for
error due
to sampling. Sample error is greater for smaller sub-sets such as Hispanics.

This Census survey is also known to *substantially over-estimate*
registration
and
voting based on official national election statistics. A 1990 analysis
(Current
Population Reports, Special Studies, P-23 No.
168) found socioeconomic measures correlate with over-estimation,
specifically, race
and income. Across the states, higher incidences of minority populations
(blacks) and
of low income families led to greater over-estimation of registration and of
voting;
e.g., I found that Census survey estimates of black Illinois voters in the
mid-1990s
could not be confirmed based on official Illinois election statistics.

All of the above means that even 4% may be too high an estimate of Hispanic
voters
and voting in Illinois. So getting 4% or even 3% Hispanic in a statewide poll
in
Illinois does not concern me.

"F. Chris Garcia" wrote:
>
> Mark,
>
> Excellent observations and questions. Living (and surveying) in a
> state that (1) is officially bilingual, (2) is 42% Hispanic, and (3)
> has 22 distinct Native American tribes speaking 5 major languages, I
> can tell you that virtually no surveys taken here adequately represent
> the opinions of all these language minorities.
>
> Perhaps elsewhere...do other AAPORNETers have some valuable hints on
> how they effectively deal with the increasing linguistic diversity of
> the US _multilingual_ population (or is this pretty much just
> Ignored?
> Chris
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> --On Sunday, March 31, 2002, 11:59 PM -0500 Mark David Richards
> <mark@bisconti.com> wrote:
> > Thanks Jim,
> >
> > Interesting topic ... we are now a bilingual society... my
> > market-driven bank always gives me a choice of English or Spanish,
> > so that about says it all.
> >
> > ... but it would add a cost to data
> > collection, I expect mainly related to having Spanish language
> > interviewers for Puerto Rico... not sure how much. Some
> > organizations obviously have the capability in place now. Perhaps
> > because the populations of these areas are small the impact on the
> > overall survey would be of marginal interest, I don't know ... One
> > could argue that since the Territories don't have Federal voting
> > rights they shouldn't be included. DC doesn't have Congressional
> > voting rights, but DC is typically included in studies. And, are
> > the Indian nations adequately represented in most studies?
> >
> > mark
> From mitofsky@mindspring.com Mon Apr  1 08:55:36 2002
> Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
>    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
>    id g31GtZe26008 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002
> 08:55:35 -0800
> (PST)
> Received: from smtp6.mindspring.com (smtp6.mindspring.com [207.69.200.110])
>    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
>    id IAA23779 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002 08:55:36 -0800
> (PST)
> Received: from user-2inig33.dialup.mindspring.com ([165.121.64.99]
> helo=x.mindspring.com)
>    by smtp6.mindspring.com with esmtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
>    id 16s55K-0002kv-00; Mon, 01 Apr 2002 11:55:11 -0500
> Message-Id: <5.1.0.1.4.2.20020401115311.03434080@pop.mindspring.com>
> X-Sender: mitofsky@pop.mindspring.com
> X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.1
> Date: Mon, 01 Apr 2002 11:55:30 -0500
> To: aapornet@usc.edu
> From: Warren Mitofsky <mitofsky@mindspring.com>
> Subject: racial privacy initiative
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
>    boundary="=====================_160307765==_.ALT"

--=====================_160307765==_.ALT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I am forwarding a message that should be of interest. Please respond to
gmklass@ilstu.edu
> Dear Race-polers:
>
> Ward Connerly is proposing a new California initiative that would
> prohibit the state from collecting information based on race.
>
> I don't know how far this will go, and I'm not writing to debate the
> thing.
>
> BUT, -- mostly for use in my statistics courses when I deal with
> problems in survey question wording -- I would be very interested if
> anyone comes across any California news stories on any polls that might
> be done on the initiative that break the respondents down by race.
>
> Logically, of course the responses should break down like this:
>
> Race:  % favoring the initiative:
>       whites:  0%
>       blacks:  0%
>       asian:   0%
>       no answer: 100%
>
> So if you happen to come across any such poll, please send me a
> citation
>
> ---
> Gary Klass
> gmklass@ilstu.edu
> Editor, PSRT-L
> 4600 Politics and Government
> Illinois State University
> Normal, Illinois 61790
> http://LILT.ILSTU.EDU/gmklass
>
> The Chart of the Week: http://LILT.ILSTU.EDU/gmklass/cow

Warren J. Mitofsky
2211 Broadway - Apt 6LN
New York, NY 10024

212 496-2945
212 496-0846 FAX

e-mail: mitofsky@mindspring.com http://www.mitofskyinternational.com
Date: Mon, 01 Apr 2002 11:08:52 -0500
From: Declan McCullagh <declan@well.com>
To: politech@politechbot.com
Subject: FC: Virtual fantasy game kingdom may be richer than Bulgaria

---

From: "paul music" <pmusic@mmcable.com>
To: "DeClan" <declan@well.com>
Subject: Virtual kingdom richer than Bulgaria
Date: Sun, 31 Mar 2002 21:52:28 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="----=_NextPart_000_0069_01C1D8FE.5A2D5E20"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

... Norrath, the setting for the online game Everquest, has been found to be the
77th richest country in the world, sandwiched between Russia and Bulgaria.

... Research carried out in the United States shows that virtual
internal markets, combined with illegal online trading on auction websites, mean that
Norrath has a gross national product per capita of $2,266, bigger than China and India.

... "You'll log onto the game world and meet them in a tavern or in a
town so
the virtual you will meet the virtual other player who will hand over the
gold to
you or they'll hand over the sword to you and the whole transaction actually
occurs
in virtual space."

... He said that people are putting hundreds of hours a year into these
characters and you can tell how valuable that is in terms of money by looking
at how
much these characters sell on open markets such as auction sites like ebay
where
they can fetch hundreds of US Dollars.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/sci/tech/newsid_1899000/1899420.stm>
http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/sci/tech/newsid_1899000/1899420.stm
Mexico's 'Wacky' Election
Election Highlighted the Ability of Election Polls to Detect Fraud

By Richard Morin and Claudia Deane
Washington Post Staff Writers
Monday, April 1, 2002; 1:02 PM

Globe-trotting pollster Warren Mitofsky has conducted election polls around the world. He's done election surveys in Russia since 1993 and in Mexico since 1994. He's directed political polls in the Philippines and in Sri Lanka.

So it's worth a listen when Mitofsky says he's never seen an election quite like the nationwide vote two weeks ago to elect leaders of Mexico's Democratic Revolution Party.


--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group, LLC
simonetta@artsci.com
You're right about the problems inherent in the SurveyUSA method. A recent SurveyUSA pre-primary poll in Texas was far off the mark. They had Ken Bentsen in a "commanding lead" (see story below), when in fact he came in third. Other methodologically-challenged TX polls were off as well--including one using a panel-back of listed voters showed a Bentsen lead & 63% of "likely voters" undecided 3 days before the primary.

For the record, Blum & Weprin's poll for the Dallas Morning News had Kirk & Morales tied for first, with Bentsen in third. When the media polls all came out over the final weekend, we were the only ones with that result--but also the only ones with sound methodology. I am delighted to report that the primary resulted in a Kirk & Morales tie with Bentsen in third. RDD samples, probability selection within the household, and other "best practices" work.

We all have times we're not that close, and I'm sure SurveyUSA sometimes gets it right, but even a stopped clock is right twice a day. It's important to have the methodology right. When news organizations use SurveyUSA polls, they need to understand what they are getting--and what they're not getting.

<http://www.mysanantonio.com/expressnews/story.cfm?xla=saen&xlb=400&xlc=633370> Poll says Bentsen, Sanchez pulling away

Summary:
Houston Congressman Ken Bentsen has jumped into a commanding lead in the race for the Democratic nomination for the U.S. Senate, according to a poll released Thursday night by KSAT-TV in San Antonio. Click <http://www.mysanantonio.com/expressnews/story.cfm?xla=saen&xlb=400&xlc=633370> here for the full story

Mickey Blum
President
Blum & Weprin Associates, Inc.
blumwep@aol.com
Today, I tried the reply versus reply to all. I got "AAPORNET" for both options. Is there a way to insert the sender's address into the body of the message? Many people fail to include their e-mail addresses in their signatures, and that might improve the ability to reply to the individual. I know that it is in the "from" box, but I'd like to be able to copy the address and paste it.

I did notice that if I reply to an AAPORNET message that the sender's e-mail address pops up, but I didn't realize that earlier today when I wanted to send an individual reply.

Meanwhile, please remember to include both your full name and e-mail address with your messages to the list.

Thanks,
(fran)
Fran Featherston
ffeather@nsf.gov
National Science Foundation
4201 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22230
Phone: 703-292-4221

-----Original Message-----
From: James Beniger [mailto:beniger@rcf-fs.usc.edu]
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2002 11:03 AM
Fellow AAPORNETters,

I think most of you will agree with me that Eric Plutzer has a very good idea here. It's one approach we might take to what I alluded to--in my message yesterday informing us all that we were converting from "reply to list" to "reply to all"--as "a new interface you will observe online."

For that reason, I have just passed Eric's suggestion along to the vast staff of experts in charge of running usc.edu. The only change I made in Eric's suggestion was to reverse the two lines of the sig-file, not only for aesthetic considerations (longer line below the shorter one), but also because my own heart leans not to point-to-point communication, on our humble list, but to person-to-list communication ("sharing"--in other words).

If any of you have any other suggestions for improving AAPORNET's interface between you yourself and the rest of our list, please post them to the entire list (as Eric did) for general information and possible online discussion. I think we might try just about anything that the usc.edu staff has not already learned--often from bitter experience--to be a bad idea.

In short, the internet remains in its pioneering stage, I know well its pioneers, and they are us--to steal a line from Pogo (one smart possum--ask your parents).

-- Jim

*******

On Fri, 29 Mar 2002, Eric Plutzer wrote:

> Jim and others involved or interested in list administration
> oversight:
> > Might it be possible to create a signature file for every aapornet
> message that includes embedded "mailto" links? I can easily imagine
> that every message could come with something like:
> > AAPORNET
> > Reply to contributor: Use you mailer's "reply" function.
> > Reply to entire list: aapornet@usc.edu
> >
> > Even if in plain text, for many/most mailers, this would be recognized
> as an email address, highlighted in blue (or whatever), and would
> invoke the "mailto" routine of one's default email program. If the
> sig file were exempt from the plain text requirement, then an HTML
> "mailto" command could
At 03:04 AM 3/29/2002, aapornet@usc.edu wrote:

   Fellow AAPORNETters,

   The AAPOR Council--at its most recent meeting--has decided that
   replies to messages posted to AAPORNET ought to go *only* to
   the sender, and *not* to our entire list, as is common on most
   lists, and has been the case on AAPORNET, since its inception.

   Because AAPORNET exists only to serve AAPOR and its membership,
   but of course, I have just now instituted this change in our
   humble list. This means, in essence, that our usual discussions
   online will not involve all members of our list, or at least not
   as the default. To share your own personal opinion with everyone
   else, and not just in response to the individual who prompted
   your response, you will have to take additional steps, which
   ought to be obvious from the new interface you will observe
   online.

   We might expect some difficulties as a result of this change,
   over the next few days, but I'm confident that we can get these
   behind us quite quickly.

   So, be alert, please...

   Jim

-- Eric

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Eric Plutzer
Associate Professor of Political Science & Sociology
Penn State University http://polisci.la.psu.edu/faculty/plutzer/

From teresa.hottle@wright.edu Mon Apr  1 13:57:22 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g31LvLe22313 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002
13:57:21 -0800
(PST)
Received: from mailserv.wright.edu (mailserv.wright.edu [130.108.128.60])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id NAA25917 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002 13:57:21 -0800
(PST)
Received: from CONVERSION-DAEMON.mailserv.wright.edu by mailserv.wright.edu
   (PMDF V6.1 #39146) id <OGTW0001S21RF@mailserv.wright.edu> for
   aapornet@usc.edu; Mon, 01 Apr 2002 16:57:01 -0500 (EST)
Received: from wright.edu (1131039.wright.edu [130.108.131.39])
   by mailserv.wright.edu (PMDF V6.1 #39146)
   with ESMTP id <OGTW000EGBSZ1Q8@mailserv.wright.edu> for aapornet@usc.edu; Mon,
Has anyone had experience teaming up with mortgage companies, asking them to give their clients a short survey asking why and where people are moving? Some cities are interested in why they lose or gain residents and we think this may give a good snapshot as to what is going on.

Thanks
Terrie

The race was close between #17 "We may not have all the answers, but we've got all the questions," #8 "Let's Poll!," and #18 "Your opinion counts if we count your opinion. The winner is:
MAY WE HAVE A DRUM ROLL

PLEASE..........................

THE WINNER OF THE 2002 T-SHIRT SLOGAN CONTEST IS:

    # 17 - WE MAY NOT HAVE ALL THE ANSWERS, BUT WE'VE GOT ALL THE QUESTIONS

The winning slogan was submitted by Tom Smith. CONGRATULATIONS!!!!

>From rasinski@norcmail.uchicago.edu Mon Apr  1 15:37:12 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g31NBeCe02267 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002
    15:37:12 -0800
(PST)
Received: from genesis1.norc.uchicago.edu (norcmx.uchicago.edu [128.135.209.78])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id PAA16148 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002 15:37:10 -0800
(PST)
From: rasinski@norcmail.uchicago.edu
Received: from norcmail.uchicago.edu (norcmail.uchicago.edu [128.135.45.4])
    by genesis1.norc.uchicago.edu (8.9.3/8.9.3) with SMTP id RAA00588
    for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002 17:50:18 -0600
Received: from ccMail by norcmail.uchicago.edu (ccMail Link to SMTP
R8.30.00.7)
    id A1017704188; Mon, 01 Apr 2002 17:36:30 -0600
Message-Id: <0204011017.AA1017704188@norcmail.uchicago.edu>
X-Mailer: ccMail Link to SMTP R8.30.00.7
Date: Mon, 01 Apr 2002 17:36:26 -0600
To: <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Responses to my request re sensitive topics using CATI
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Description: "cc:Mail Note Part"

A few days ago I posted the question "Can anyone suggest a vendor that manufactures a device that captures data from a respondent's keypad and that might interface with a CATI system? I was thinking in particular a device that would allow an interviewer to ask a sensitive question and the respondent to key the answer in on her/his phone touchpad. I received the following useful responses from the following helpful people. I post them here in case they are of use to other readers. Thanks to all. -- Ken Rasinski

**************************
Larry Malakhoff: Census Bureau
Normally, we would discourage use of CATI by using an automated IVR system where a caller can enter their data by touchtones.

You might try the 'big 3' IVR vendors for your question: Philips, Nuance, and Speechworks.

**************************

Stephen Blumberg: Census Bureau

If you can't find a CATI system that handles this, you may want to think about using a Digit Grabber from MetroTel Corporation. But the interviewer does know the answer using this device (because he or she still needs to enter the answer into CATI). I presented our results using this device at last year's AAPOR meeting.

**************************

From Hank Zucker,

Does your system have to be live interviewer and touch-tone recognition, or could it be fully automated - a recorded interviewer and touch-tone recognition?

Hank Zucker
Creative Research Systems
makers of The Survey System: Survey software that makes you look good. www.surveysystem.com
707-765-1001

**************************

From Karl Feld, VP, R&D, humanvoice, inc
Our parent company Western Wats has such a system. It integrates IVR/keypad dat capture with CATI and web survey modes over a single database structure and web- sed reporting tool. It requires elaborate software and hardware capabilities, which we have. Our CATI system has been built with this exact functionality.

Karl G. Feld
Vice President, Research Development humanvoice, inc.
http://www.humanvoice.com
2155 North Freedom Blvd.
Provo, Utah 84601
p: +1 801 344 5500
f: +1 801 370 1008
e: kfeld@humanvoice.com

**************************

From Ellis Godard

I seem to recall survey software that can receive keypad tones, for help desks. You might even find a free/shareware tool or plugin that can assess those tones; hacking software comes to mind, though is probably not written for CATI.
Dear Colleagues,

Thank you for your comments to my request about exit-poll and election difference. We (my firm KIIS, SOCIS and Social monitoring) succeed to conduct exit-poll during yesterday elections to the Parliament (Verhovna Rada). Below is the comparison of exit-poll data and preliminary election results (96% of ballots were developed) for parties which received more, than 1% (4% was threshold, 6 parties from 33 will be in our parliament):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exit-poll Elections</th>
<th>Dif.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The party of V. Ushchenko &quot;Our Ukraine&quot;</td>
<td>25.0 23.5 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communists (P. Simonenko)</td>
<td>20.5 20.0 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ruling party &quot;For United Ukraine!&quot;</td>
<td>10.6 12.1 -1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The party of Yulia Timoshenko</td>
<td>7.9 7.2 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socialists (Moros)</td>
<td>6.1 7.0 -0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social-Democrats (V. Medvedchuk)</td>
<td>7.1 6.2 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The party of Natalia Vitrenko</td>
<td>3.3 3.2 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Women for the Future&quot; party</td>
<td>2.5 2.1 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team of OZIM generation (Horoshkovsky)</td>
<td>2.4 2.0 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPU (O)</td>
<td>1.4 1.4 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Green Party</td>
<td>1.6 1.3 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Apple&quot; party</td>
<td>1.6 1.2 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party &quot;Against all&quot;</td>
<td>2.1 1.1 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Still politics have discussion about that difference in 1.5% for ruling party "For United Ukraine" and opposition party of Victor Uschenko. I personally believe that there is possibility exists that a few percent to ruling party may be were added during calculations, but it seems to me, that our data doesn't permit to say it. What do you think?

Regards

Volodimir

******************************************************************************
Volodimir Paniotto, Director of KIIS
(Kiev International Institute of Sociology)
Milchakova 1/18, kv.11, Kiev-02002, UKRAINE
Phone (380-44)-463-5868,238-2567,238-2568 (office)
Phone (380-44)-517-3949 (home)
Fax (380-44)-263-3458, phone-fax 463-5868
E-mail: paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua
http://www.kiis.com.ua
******************************************************************************

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Mon Apr 1 16:35:02 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g32021eh07015 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002
    16:35:01 -0800
16:35:01 -0800
(PST)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id QAA00376 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002 16:35:00 -0800
(PST)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g320Xgl24816 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002 16:33:42 -0800
(PST)
Date: Mon, 1 Apr 2002 16:33:42 -0800 (PST)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Proof "Bias" Author Has It Backward?
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204011631591.22739-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Proof "Bias" Author Has It Backward

By: Mike Hersh - 03/24/02

Bernard Goldberg writes in his best-seller, Bias, (pp. 57-59):

[When I came to CBS in New York in 1981], I noticed that we pointedly identified conservatives as conservatives, for example, but for some crazy reason we didn't bother to identify liberals as liberals. ...
This blindness, this failure to see liberals as anything but middle-of-the-road moderates, happens all the time on network television.

...In the world of the Jennings and Brokaws and Rathers, conservatives are out of the mainstream and have to be identified. Liberals, on the other hand, are the mainstream and don't have to be identified.

Geoff Nunberg of "Fresh Air" researched and debunked these claims, revealing Goldberg's own bias. Nunberg ran "a search done on the words 'liberal' and 'conservative' within seven words of the names of prominent politicians, public figures, and organizations -- a method that picks out the labeling of political views with better than 85% accuracy."

The database Nunberg used "includes the texts of about thirty newspapers, including including The New York Times, the Los Angeles Times, the Washington Post, The Boston Globe, the Miami Herald, the San Francisco Chronicle, the Chicago Tribune, and numerous others." He describes his methods and results in this article:

Use of Political Labels in Major Newspapers
http://www-csli.stanford.edu/~nunberg/table.html

Mike Hersh is a contributing writer for Liberal Slant
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Political_Sanity_Views
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Political_Sanity_Main

******

>From hstuart@elwayresearch.com Mon Apr  1 17:50:30 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g32loTel4683 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002
17:50:29 -0800 (PST)
Received: from sttlpop3.sttl.uswest.net (sttlpop3.sttl.uswest.net
[206.81.192.3])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with SMTP
   id RAA10241 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 1 Apr 2002 17:50:28 -0800
(PST)
Received: (qmail 17739 invoked by alias); 2 Apr 2002 01:50:10 -0000
Received: (qmail 17733 invoked by uid 0); 2 Apr 2002 01:50:09 -0000
Received: from sttldslgw22poolb212.sttl.uswest.net (HELO mars)
(65.101.141.212)
   by sttlpop3.sttl.uswest.net with SMTP; 2 Apr 2002 01:50:09 -0000
From: "H. Stuart Elway" <hstuart@elwayresearch.com>
To: "'AAPORNET'" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Date: Mon, 1 Apr 2002 17:53:19 -0800
Message-ID: <NGBBJPLFN6P1HCJGJNAEBELICGAA.hstuart@elwayresearch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
   boundary="-----=_NextPart_000_0026_01C1D9A6.1BA6CF80"
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook IMO, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Can anyone point me to existing data on public attitudes on issues surrounding children's health? The issues could be health, nutrition or fitness or related topics. Both personal and policy concerns: the lack of phys ed in schools, vending machines in schools, as well as parent concerns about children's health, diet, obesity, fitness. We are starting with the Roper Center (of course). Any other suggestions? Thank you.

H. Stuart Elway
Elway Research, Inc.
206/264-1500
At 05:53 PM 4/1/2002 -0800, H. Stuart Elway wrote:
> Can anyone point me to existing data on public attitudes on issues
> surrounding children's health? The issues could be health, nutrition or
> fitness or related topics. Both personal and policy concerns: the lack of
> phys ed in schools, vending machines in schools, as well as parent concerns
> about children's health, diet, obesity, fitness.
> We are starting with the Roper Center (of course). Any other suggestions?
> Thank you.
> H.Stuart Elway
> Elway Research, Inc.
> 206/264-1500

"Its name is Public Opinion. It is held in reverence. It settles
everything. Some think it is the voice of God." — Mark Twain
Please ignore my comment yesterday on replying to messages. I think I tried to reply to an earlier message before we "fixed" AAPORNET to respond to individuals. It seems to work now. "Reply all" gets the entire AAPORNET and "Reply" gets the individual only. I did notice that "reply all" shows both the sender and the entire list. Does this mean replies are going to the sender twice?

(fran)

Fran Featherston
ffeather@nsf.gov
National Science Foundation
4201 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22230
Phone: 703-292-4221

-----Original Message-----
From: James Beniger [mailto:beniger@rcf-fs.usc.edu]
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2002 7:34 PM
To: AAPORNET
Subject: Proof "Bias" Author Has It Backward?

Proof "Bias" Author Has It Backward

By: Mike Hersh - 03/24/02

Bernard Goldberg writes in his best-seller, Bias, (pp. 57-59):

[When I came to CBS in New York in 1981], I noticed that we pointedly identified conservatives as conservatives, for example, but for some crazy reason we didn't bother to identify liberals as liberals. ...

This blindness, this failure to see liberals as anything but middle-of-the-road moderates, happens all the time on network television.

...In the world of the Jennings and Brokaws and Rathers, conservatives are out of the mainstream and have to be identified. Liberals, on the other hand, are the mainstream and don't have to be identified.

Geoff Nunberg of "Fresh Air" researched and debunked these claims, revealing Goldberg's own bias. Nunberg ran "a search done on the words 'liberal' and 'conservative' within seven words of the names of prominent politicians, public figures, and organizations -- a method that picks out the labeling of political views with better than 85% accuracy."

The database Nunberg used "includes the texts of about thirty newspapers, including including The New York Times, the Los Angeles Times, the Washington Post, The Boston Globe, the Miami Herald, the San Francisco Chronicle, the Chicago Tribune, and numerous others." He describes his
Survey: Silicon Valley 'Most Wired'

By Justin Pope
AP Business Writer

BOSTON -- Silicon Valley still rules the wired world, but Boston and Salt Lake City made huge strides in an annual survey of America's most Internet-savvy cities.

Boston jumped 12 places to No. 4 on this year's list, published in the May edition of Yahoo! Internet Life magazine. Salt Lake City jumped 23 places to sixth, though the magazine said it could be a one-time spike caused by the recent Winter Olympics.
San Francisco, San Jose, Calif., and Austin, Texas, maintained their grip on the top three spots, which they've held in all but one of the five surveys. The issue hits newsstands April 16.

Don Willmott, the magazine's technology editor, said the biggest news may be the fact that numbers were up almost everywhere, despite the recession. It took a score of 36 out of 40 to win this year, up from 33.3.

"Everyone's getting better," Willmott said.

The magazine uses a formula that measures more than just Internet use and high-tech jobs to get a sense of which communities make the most of the Web. Willmott said that analysis includes basic stats, the extent to which businesses are online and how sophisticated the users are.

"We measure that by how often they shop and how many have gotten fast access," he said.

The formula also includes an evaluation of content available in the area, including a ranking of how well local government uses the Net. That helped give Boston a boost.

"It really is one of the best city Web sites," said Willmott of cityofboston.gov. "This year, we're really emphasizing the idea that city government needs to start moving itself online and letting people know that as a way to make people more wired."

This is the first time the magazine hasn't tinkered with the formula from one year to the next, allowing a true comparison.

Top-ranked San Francisco has the highest percentage of households using the Net (78.8), is No. 2 in online spending per user ($356) and in domains per 1,000 firms (4,163), and sixth in broadband use and interest (54.9 percent). The data is compiled from Forrester Research and Matthew Zook of the Internet Geography Project.

The magazine ranks 86 metropolitan areas. Other big movers included Los Angeles-Long Beach, Calif., which jumped from 18th to 10th, though nearby Orange County, Calif., fell from fifth to 13th. Seven of the top 21 areas are in California.

The bottom three this year were Tulsa, Okla., Scranton, Pa. and Gary, Ind.

-------


(C) Copyright 2002 The Associated Press

******
CBS (4/1, story 9, Schieffer) reports, "It is one of life's great annoyances: The unwanted telephone call from someone who wants to sell you something. Well, there is a way to stop those calls."

CBS (Axelrod) adds, "Perhaps New York State's Consumer Protection Board got a little carried away celebrating its strike against telemarketers, but if you've ever had your dinner time disturbed with a call -- and who hasn't? -- you can understand." New York CPB official May Chao was shown saying, "New Yorkers have a recourse now for unwanted and illegal telemarketing calls if they sign up for our registry." CBS adds, "It's been one year since New York established its 'do-not-call' list. Two million people are now signed up, making it the largest in the country. ... New York is one of 20 states that have do-not-call laws, another half dozen are working on one. ... A public nuisance? The fact is, these calls don't annoy everyone. Some 24 billion calls are made each year nationally. 180 million of those calls hit pay dirt, leading to sales of $661 billion in goods and services. ... What bothers Matt Mattingly, a telemarketing trade group spokesman, is that politicians have exempted one group from the 'do-not-call' laws."

Mattingly was shown saying, "They have exempted themselves from all of these laws. So they are perfectly free to call you at any time and solicit money." CBS adds, "He could soon be a lot more bothered. The Federal Trade Commission is now considering taking the do-not-call idea to the rest of the country."

_____________
Howard Fienberg
Senior Analyst
The Statistical Assessment Service (STATS)
2100 L. St., NW Suite 300
Washington, DC 20037
(ph) 202-223-3193
(fax) 202-872-4014
Information From CMOR Re: FTC Plans to Establish a National Do-Not-Call Registry Law

The FTC recently announced that it would seek to amend the federal Telemarketing Sales Rule. Under the Telemarketing Sales Rule, telemarketers are currently required to comply with an individual's do-not-call request. Calls for research purposes are outside the scope of the law and are therefore implicitly exempt. CMOR was involved with amending the original bill before its enactment and was successfully able to include language to prohibit selling under the guise of research or so-called "sugging" via telephone in the law.

Since that time, CMOR has attended FTC workshops and forums on the law and has met with FTC staff regarding the clear distinction between sales calls and calls for research purposes. Most recently, CMOR reviewed all of the accompanying materials regarding the proposed changes to the Rule and concluded that research activities would not be included in any of the proposed changes. In addition, we have continuously reviewed the public comments for any reference to research activities.

CMOR will be attending the FTC public forum on the proposed changes, and are arranging to meet with the FTC to discuss privacy-related issues.
important federal law. For further information regarding CMOR's effectors regarding the law, as well as the law itself, please visit the following sections of the CMOR website http://www.cmor.org/whatsnewarch.htm, http://www.cmor.org/govtarticles/082000.htm, http://www.cmor.org/whatall.htm, or contact CMOR's Director of Government Affairs, Donna Gillin, at dgillin@cmor.org. For a copy of the FTC announcement visit the FTC website at http://www.ftc.gov/opa/2002/01/donotcall.htm.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Howard Fienberg" <HFienberg@stats.org> 
To: "'AAPORNET (E-mail)'" <aapornet@usc.edu> 
Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 12:14 PM 
Subject: FTC Considering National No-Call List. 

> CBS (4/1, story 9, Schieffer) reports, "It is one of life's great 
> annoyances: The unwanted telephone call from someone who wants to sell you 
> something. Well, there is a way to stop those calls." CBS (Axelrod) adds, 
> "Perhaps New York State's Consumer Protection Board got a little carried 
> away celebrating its strike against telemarketers, but if you've ever had 
> your dinner time disturbed with a call -- and who hasn't? -- you can 
> understand." New York CPB official May Chao was shown saying, "New Yorkers 
> have a recourse now for unwanted and illegal telemarketing calls if they 
> sign up for our registry." CBS adds, "It's been one year since New York 
> established its 'do-not-call' list. Two million people are now signed up, 
> making it the largest in the country. ... New York is one of 20 states 
> that 
> have do-not-call laws, another half dozen are working on one. ... A public 
> nuisance? The fact is, these calls don't annoy everyone. Some 24 billion 
> calls are made each year nationally. 180 million of those calls hit pay 
> dirt, leading to sales of $661 billion in goods and services. ... What 
> bothers Matt Mattingly, a telemarketing trade group spokesman, is that 
> politicians have exempted one group from the 'do-not-call' laws." 
Mattingly 
> was shown saying, "They have exempted themselves from all of these laws. 
So 
> they are perfectly free to call you at any time and solicit money." CBS 
> adds, "He could soon be a lot more bothered. The Federal Trade Commission is 
> now considering taking the do-not-call idea to the rest of the country."
> 
> 
> Howard Fienberg 
> Senior Analyst 
> The Statistical Assessment Service (STATS) 
> 2100 L. St., NW Suite 300 
> Washington, DC 20037 
> (ph) 202-223-3193 
> (fax) 202-872-4014 
> (e-mail) hfienberg@stats.org 
> (website) http://www.stats.org 
> 
>
Interesting...the use of Spanish when there is any doubt as how to begin is a good idea, as it shows respect for the language and culture regardless of language dominance or fluency.

Our experience in NM has been otherwise...we have a higher completion rate with Hispanics than with other ethnic groups. In our Latino National Political Survey (in-person interviews), our overall response rate for Latinos was 73.9% compared to 55.3% for non-Latinos. The rate varied slightly among our three national origin Latino groups.

Chris Garcia
cgarcia@unm.edu

--On Tuesday, April 02, 2002, 12:03 PM -0600 Martha Van Haitsma <mvhanhai@midway.uchicago.edu> wrote:

> In local Chicago studies we find that even bilingual Hispanics who speak good English are more likely to be cooperative in surveys if we speak to them in Spanish when we recruit. We also find it harder (regardless of language used) to get Hispanics to participate than non-Hispanic whites or African-Americans.
>
> Martha Van Haitsma
>
> At 08:42 AM 4/1/2002 -0700, F. Chris Garcia wrote:
>> Mark,
>>
>> Excellent observations and questions. Living (and surveying) in a state
>> that (1) is officially bilingual, (2) is 42% Hispanic, and (3) has 22
>> distinct Native American tribes speaking 5 major languages, I can tell
>> you that virtually no surveys taken here adequately represent the
>> opinions of all these language minorities.
>>
>> Perhaps elsewhere...do other AAPORNETers have some valuable hints on how
>> they effectively deal with the increasing linguistic diversity of the US
>> _multilingual_ population (or is this pretty much just ignored)?
>>
>> Chris
>> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>>
>> --On Sunday, March 31, 2002, 11:59 PM -0500 Mark David Richards
>> <mark@bisconti.com> wrote:
>>
>> > Thanks Jim,
>> >
>> > Interesting topic ... we are now a bilingual society... my
>> > market-driven bank always gives me a choice of English or Spanish, so
>> > that about says it all.
>> >
>> > ... but it would add a cost to data
>> > collection, I expect mainly related to having Spanish language
>> > interviewers for Puerto Rico... not sure how much. Some organizations
>> > obviously have the capability in place now. Perhaps because the
>> > populations of these areas are small the impact on the overall survey
>> > would be of marginal interest, I don't know ... One could argue that
>> > since the Territories don't have Federal voting rights they shouldn't
>> > be included. DC doesn't have Congressional voting rights, but DC is
>> > typically included in studies. And, are the Indian nations adequately
>> > represented in most studies?
>> >
>> > mark
>> >
>> > -----Original Message-----
>> > From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu]On Behalf
>> > Of James Beniger
>> > Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2002 6:53 PM
>> > To: AAPORNET
>> > Subject: Latino Networks Feel Underrated (M James LATimes)
>> >
>> > I found this surprisingly fascinating--I post it in the hope
>> > that at least a few of you will, as well.
>> >
>> > -- Jim
>> >
>> > -- Copyright 2002 Los Angeles Times
>> >
>> > http://www.calendarlive.com/top/1,1419,
>>>
>
Latino Networks Feel Underrated

Media: The broadcasters say Nielsen fails to include enough Spanish speakers in TV surveys.

By MEG JAMES, Times Staff Writer

How many Latinos are watching Spanish-language television?

About 30% more in Los Angeles than Nielsen's ratings show, according to Spanish-language broadcasters, who say the TV ratings firm is chronically underestimating Latino viewers.

To try to keep up with changing demographics, Nielsen Media Research in the last decade has spent millions of dollars to create separate Latino audience panels to measure their TV tastes across the country and sent legions of bilingual recruiters to find more Spanish-speaking families to participate in the surveys.

But Nielsen still is under attack for underestimating Latinos.

"We've been telling Nielsen forever, 'You need to fix it,' " said Michael Wortsman, president of Univision Communications Inc.'s television group.

Univision, the nation's largest Spanish-language broadcaster, has refused to sign a multiyear Nielsen contract for its flagship station, KMEX-TV Channel 34 in Los Angeles, because of what Univision says are inaccurate ratings. Nielsen's audience surveys for local stations are flawed, Univision says, because they contain too many English speakers. The broadcaster wants more Spanish speakers added.

"I can understand the frustration of some of our clients, but we're trying to move as quickly as possible," said Paul Donato, Nielsen senior vice president for research. "Everyone wants to do the right thing, but there's no consensus on how to get there."

At stake is about $100 million a year in extra advertising for Los Angeles-based Univision, its chief rival, Telemundo, and other niche networks, including Viacom Inc.'s Black Entertainment Television. Univision said the shortage of Spanish-speaking Nielsen families, particularly in Los Angeles, Phoenix and Sacramento, costs it at least $65 million a year in lost advertising revenue because of lower ratings.

Complicating this debate is that the major English-language TV networks and their affiliate stations have long resisted changes in audience surveys that might boost Univision's or Telemundo's ratings—at the expense of English-language broadcasters. The tension illustrates the tug of war over Nielsen's ratings, which help steer an estimated $58 billion a year in national and local TV advertising.

"The English-language networks have more to lose than anyone
else," said Paul Casanova, president of Irvine-based advertising firm Casanova Pendrill, which specializes in Spanish-language media.

Nationwide, Spanish-language programs garner just 5% of the overall TV audience, according to Nielsen, and that translates into about $1.8 billion a year in advertising, or 3% of the market.

Separate Survey Set Up for Latino Preferences

Developing an accurate picture of Latino TV viewing has been a sensitive issue since the late 1980s, when Univision and Telemundo first approached Nielsen because it didn't measure any Spanish-language TV viewers. At the time, Latinos made up less than 10% of the U.S. population, compared with about 14% today.

So a decade ago, Nielsen created a separate audience survey of Latino homes to rate national and local Spanish-language programming. This is in addition to Nielsen's national and local-market surveys that primarily track English-language programming, although some Latinos are included in these audience panels.

But determining TV viewership by language is no easy task, given that two-thirds of Latinos in the U.S. understand English and Spanish, according to market researcher Strategy Research Corp. in Miami.

As a result, not all Latinos are glued to Univision or Telemundo. Latinos who speak or understand English still are more likely to watch English-language shows. In November, "Friends" and "ER" on General Electric Co.'s NBC were the top-rated shows for adults in Los Angeles, while the highest-rated Spanish-language show was Univision's teenage soap opera "Amigas y Rivales" (Friends and Rivals), which ranked ninth. In this survey, of the 50 top-rated shows, only four were Spanish-language programs.

"We're the only people who are working on independent [TV] estimates based on language," said Nielsen's Donato. "There are no government statistics that the television community can use."

Increasing Spanish Speakers in Surveys

Facing pressure from Univision and Telemundo, Nielsen in its TV surveys has boosted the number of households where Spanish is the dominant language, particularly in Southern California. Today, 15.1% of Nielsen's local survey group is Spanish-language dominant, up from 10.7% five years ago. But Nielsen acknowledges that the numbers still fall short of its target of 17.5%.

Change comes slowly.

For more than two years, Nielsen has been debating whether its fieldworkers trying to recruit families in Latino neighborhoods should begin a conversation with "Hola" instead of "Hello." Some English-language TV executives complain that using "Hola" could unintentionally put off English speakers who then would be less inclined to participate and thereby cut the ratings of
Next week, Nielsen plans to unveil the results of its study that should resolve the debate over which language should be used in greetings. The study also will decide whether to include children in TV ratings samples, a decision that could boost ratings for some English-language shows because studies have shown that Latino children watch more TV in English.

Univision still is pushing Nielsen to give more weight to Spanish speakers in the local-market ratings surveys.

But critics said weighting the TV viewership sample toward one demographic group isn't the answer.

"We have clients in the English-language media who have some legitimate questions on how we are developing those language-universe estimates," said Doug Darfield, Nielsen's director of Hispanic services.

One of Nielsen's problems, industry experts said, is that it tries to measure the preferences of Spanish-language TV viewers with a separate panel of about 800 households and relies on a decades-old combination of handwritten diaries and TV set-top meters.

"It's really 1950s thinking--that this is a separate audience that has to be treated separately," said Jack Myers, publisher of the Jack Myers Report, a media newsletter in New York. "The Hispanic audience is integrating into every aspect of American life, with the exception of advertising buying."

NBC research chief Alan Wurtzel said: "It's really a problem when you wind up having lots of different sample panels. It would be valuable in the long term to have [one] large-enough panel to measure all TV viewed."

--- -- Copyright 2002 Los Angeles Times
--- --

********

Martha Van Haitsma, Ph.D.
University of Chicago Survey Lab
5835 S. Kimbark Ave. Room 9
Chicago IL 60637

Phone: (773) 834-3674
FAX: (773) 834-7412
e-mail: mvh@uchicago.edu
A public nuisance? The fact is, these calls don't annoy everyone. Some 24 billion calls are made each year nationally. 180 million of those calls hit pay dirt, leading to sales of $661 billion in goods and services. ...  

If my arithmetic is correct, this means...

\[
\frac{180,000,000 \text{ calls that hit pay dirt}}{24,000,000,000 \text{ calls made nationally}} = .75 \text{ of one percent success rate}
\]

and, if my arithmetic still serves me...

\[
\frac{661,000,000,000 \text{ dollars of sales}}{180,000,000 \text{ calls that hit pay dirt}} = $3,672.22 \text{ average sale}
\]

To sum up...

99.25 percent of all calls are nothing but a distraction, if not an annoyance, or at least for those not longing to hear another human voice--any human voice...
$ 3,672.22 is the average (mean) total sale per successful call--including all those with sales of multiple goods and/or services in the same call--which at least suggests that the more typical calls are targeted at an upscale phone list (I don't myself know if this is true or not--sensible as it would seem).

$ 27.54 is the average (mean) sale per call--which makes me wonder what the callers must be paid, in both wages and benefits...

My two questions for all who know something about this (as I do not):

Has anyone thought to calculate the overall, mounting and negative effect of a .75 of one percent success rate (and a 99.25 percent hangup or refusal rate--I don't know just what statistics might be kept) on the industry overall?

To that same point, what do the public relations, marketing, brand-building, and image management types have to say about the 99.25 percent disturbance rate in mostly unsuspecting and entirely innocent households? Isn't such a rate likely to erode--however gradually--the entire operation? And aren't such complaints from citizens, private home owners and consumers precisely what the FTC is supposed to be listening to--not to mention the Congress?

-- Jim

********
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For the first time since CMOR has been fielding the Respondent Cooperation & Industry Image Study, in the 2001 study, the sample of Hispanics was large enough to support some comparisons with to the non-Hispanic population. We conducted the survey via telephone and Internet, but in English only. I'm not surprised that you found response rates higher among Hispanics. The Hispanics in our survey showed a more positive attitude toward surveys and polls. They seemed to understand the value of research and the benefit to them and to manufacturers. This group appreciates the opportunity to provide feedback, as evidenced in the fact that fewer Hispanics refused a survey in the past year than non-Hispanics. Next year, we are considering adding the opportunity for a respondent to participate in English or Spanish, and then it will really be interesting to compare results.

The rest of the article is available at http://www.cmor.org/resparch/respnews1201.htm

Jane Sheppard
CMOR

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "F. Chris Garcia" <cgarcia@unm.edu>
To: "Martha Van Haitsma" <mvanhai@midway.uchicago.edu>
Cc: <cgarcia@unm.edu>; <mark@bisconti.com>; <aapornet@usc.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 1:23 PM
Subject: Re: FW: Latino Networks Feel Underrated (M James LATimes)

> Interesting...the use of Spanish when there is any doubt as how to begin is
> a good idea, as it shows respect for the language and culture regardless of
> language dominance or fluency.
> 
> Our experience in NM has been otherwise...we have a higher completion rate
> with Hispanics than with other ethnic groups. In our Latino National
> Political Survey (in-person interviews), our overall response rate for
> Latinos was 73.9% compared to 55.3% for non-Latinos. The rate varied
> slightly among our three national origin Latino groups.
> 
> Chris Garcia
> cgarcia@unm.edu
>
> --On Tuesday, April 02, 2002, 12:03 PM -0600 Martha Van Haitsma
> <mvanhai@midway.uchicago.edu> wrote:
> 
> > In local Chicago studies we find that even bilingual Hispanics who speak
> > good English are more likely to be cooperative in surveys if we speak to
> > them in Spanish when we recruit. We also find it harder (regardless of
> > language used) to get Hispanics to participate than non-Hispanic whites
> > or African-Americans.
> > 
> > Martha Van Haitsma
> > 
> > At 08:42 AM 4/1/2002 -0700, F. Chris Garcia wrote:
Mark,

Excellent observations and questions. Living (and surveying) in a state that (1) is officially bilingual, (2) is 42% Hispanic, and (3) has 22 distinct Native American tribes speaking 5 major languages, I can tell you that virtually no surveys taken here adequately represent the opinions of all these language minorities.

Perhaps elsewhere...do other AAPORNETers have some valuable hints on how they effectively deal with the increasing linguistic diversity of the US multilingual population (or is this pretty much just ignored)?

Chris

--- Original Message-----
From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu]On Behalf Of James Beniger
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2002 6:53 PM
To: AAPORNET
Subject: Latino Networks Feel Underrated (M James LATimes)

I found this surprisingly fascinating--I post it in the hope that at least a few of you will, as well. -- Jim

-------- -- Copyright 2002 Los Angeles Times
Latino Networks Feel Underrated

Media: The broadcasters say Nielsen fails to include enough Spanish speakers in TV surveys.

By MEG JAMES, Times Staff Writer

How many Latinos are watching Spanish-language television?

About 30% more in Los Angeles than Nielsen's ratings show, according to Spanish-language broadcasters, who say the TV ratings firm is chronically underestimating Latino viewers.

To try to keep up with changing demographics, Nielsen Media Research in the last decade has spent millions of dollars to create separate Latino audience panels to measure their TV tastes across the country and sent legions of bilingual recruiters to find more Spanish-speaking families to participate in the surveys.

But Nielsen still is under attack for underestimating Latinos. "We've been telling Nielsen forever, 'You need to fix it,' " said Michael Wortsman, president of Univision Communications Inc.'s television group.

Univision, the nation's largest Spanish-language broadcaster, has refused to sign a multiyear Nielsen contract for its flagship station, KMEX-TV Channel 34 in Los Angeles, because of what Univision says are inaccurate ratings. Nielsen's audience surveys for local stations are flawed, Univision says, because they contain too many English speakers. The broadcaster wants more Spanish speakers added.

"I can understand the frustration of some of our clients, but we're trying to move as quickly as possible," said Paul Donato, Nielsen senior vice president for research. "Everyone wants to do the right thing, but there's no consensus on how to get there."

At stake is about $100 million a year in extra advertising for Los Angeles-based Univision, its chief rival, Telemundo, and other niche networks, including Viacom Inc.'s Black Entertainment
Television. Univision said the shortage of Spanish-speaking Nielsen families, particularly in Los Angeles, Phoenix and Sacramento, costs it at least $65 million a year in lost advertising revenue because of lower ratings.

Complicating this debate is that the major English-language TV networks and their affiliate stations have long resisted changes in audience surveys that might boost Univision's or Telemundo's ratings—at the expense of English-language broadcasters. The tension illustrates the tug of war over Nielsen's ratings, which help steer an estimated $58 billion a year in national and local TV advertising.

"The English-language networks have more to lose than anyone else," said Paul Casanova, president of Irvine-based advertising firm Casanova Pendrill, which specializes in Spanish-language media.

Nationwide, Spanish-language programs garner just 5% of the overall TV audience, according to Nielsen, and that translates into about $1.8 billion a year in advertising, or 3% of the market.

Separate Survey Set Up for Latino Preferences

Developing an accurate picture of Latino TV viewing has been a sensitive issue since the late 1980s, when Univision and Telemundo first approached Nielsen because it didn't measure any Spanish-language TV viewers. At the time, Latinos made up less than 10% of the U.S. population, compared with about 14% today.

So a decade ago, Nielsen created a separate audience survey of Latino homes to rate national and local Spanish-language programming. This is in addition to Nielsen's national and local-market surveys that primarily track English-language programming, although some Latinos are included in these audience panels.

But determining TV viewership by language is no easy task, given that two-thirds of Latinos in the U.S. understand English and Spanish, according to market researcher Strategy Research Corp. in Miami.

As a result, not all Latinos are glued to Univision or Telemundo.

Latinos who speak or understand English still are more likely to watch English-language shows. In November, "Friends" and "ER" on General Electric Co.'s NBC were the top-rated shows for adults in Los Angeles, while the highest-rated Spanish-language show was Univision's teenage soap opera "Amigas y Rivals" (Friends and Rivals), which ranked ninth. In this survey, of the 50 top-rated shows, only four were
Spanish-language programs.

"We're the only people who are working on independent [TV] estimates based on language," said Nielsen's Donato. "There are no government statistics that the television community can use."

Increasing Spanish Speakers in Surveys

Facing pressure from Univision and Telemundo, Nielsen in its TV surveys has boosted the number of households where Spanish is the dominant language, particularly in Southern California. Today, 15.1% of Nielsen's local survey group is Spanish-language dominant, up from 10.7% five years ago. But Nielsen acknowledges that the numbers still fall short of its target of 17.5%.

Change comes slowly.

For more than two years, Nielsen has been debating whether its fieldworkers trying to recruit families in Latino neighborhoods should begin a conversation with "Hola" instead of "Hello." Some English-language TV executives complain that using "Hola" could unintentionally put off English speakers who then would be less inclined to participate and thereby cut the ratings of English-language shows.

Next week, Nielsen plans to unveil the results of its study that should resolve the debate over which language should be used in greetings. The study also will decide whether to include children in TV ratings samples, a decision that could boost ratings for some English-language shows because studies have shown that Latino children watch more TV in English.

Univision still is pushing Nielsen to give more weight to Spanish speakers in the local-market ratings surveys.

But critics said weighting the TV viewership sample toward one demographic group isn't the answer.

"We have clients in the English-language media who have some legitimate questions on how we are developing those language-universe estimates," said Doug Darfield, Nielsen's director of Hispanic services.

One of Nielsen's problems, industry experts said, is that it tries to measure the preferences of Spanish-language TV viewers with a separate panel of about 800 households and relies on a decades-old combination of handwritten diaries and TV set-top meters.
"It's really 1950s thinking—that this is a separate audience that has to be treated separately," said Jack Myers, publisher of the Jack Myers Report, a media newsletter in New York. "The Hispanic audience is integrating into every aspect of American life, with the exception of advertising buying."

NBC research chief Alan Wurtzel said: "It's really a problem when you wind up having lots of different sample panels. It would be valuable in the long term to have [one] large-enough panel to measure all TV viewed."

--- -- Copyright 2002 Los Angeles Times
--- --
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I am posting this to aapornet, because it seems only fair. Jay Leve, of SurveyUSA responded to me personally about my posting of the Texas results to aapornet. In his e-mail, Jay rightly stated that:

"Any singling out to the AAPOR list of our work in Texas, perhaps in fairness should be accompanied by a mention of our work in the Illinois Primary two weeks ago, where we outperformed other working pollsters in 6 separate races; by our work in the California Primary two weeks before that, where we outperformed the two other working pollsters; by our work in the NJ Governor's election last November, where we were the most accurate of five pollsters; by our work in the Virginia Governor's election last fall, where no pollster was more accurate (Mason-Dixon was as accurate); and by our day-to-day non-election work, on the Middle East, on Afghanistan, on figure skating, and on pedophilia, where our results mirror the contemporaneous work done by other firms."

I agree that it is fair to point out the successes as well as the failures. For the record, SurveyUSA was also accurate in New York City last November.

Nevertheless, I am still concerned about the methodology underlying the results. I have suggested to Jay that it might help me and others in the AAPOR community to know more about SurveyUSA’s sampling, household selection, weighting, response rates, etc. Perhaps it would be possible to have a discussion of the methodology at the AAPOR conference for those who are interested. I would certainly welcome it.

I would also like to thank Jay for responding to my posting.

Sincerely,

Mickey Blum
President
Blum & Weprin Associates, Inc.
blumwep@aol.com
Maureen Dowd comments about the use of polls by Bush and Clinton. Despite Bush's public disdain for polling she wonders whether, all things considered, whether "every time they reiterate that the president is "focused," whether the word was focus-grouped". Interesting and funny.

Dick

New York Times, April 3, 2002

Addiction to Addition

By MAUREEN DOWD

WASHINGTON =97 One of the things I liked about George W. Bush when he started running was his scorn for polling.

He expressed, again and again, his contempt for the way the Clinton White House went dialing for opinions before deciding what to do.
"I think you got to look at . . . whether or not one makes decisions based onsound principles," Mr. Bush said, debating Al Gore. "Or whether or not you rely upon polls and focus groups on how to decide what the course of action is. We've got too much polling and focus groups going on in Washington today."

Mr. Bush proudly toted his disgust with polls into the Oval Office.

"We don't stick our finger in the air trying to figure out which way the wind is blowing," the president told steelworkers last August. "I do what I think is right for the American people. And we'll let the political chips fall where they may."

As it turns out, the chips are not falling quite so randomly.

The Bush White House, mirabile dictu, is giving the Clinton White House a run for its polling money. Karl Rove, a master of nasty push-polling for tobacco companies and politicians (the kind used to destroy John McCain in the South Carolina primary), devours polls as rapaciously as Dick Morris.

As George Stephanopoulos wrote in his memoir, Mr. Morris lived by a "60 percent" rule: If 6 out of 10 Americans were in favor of something, Bill Clinton had to be, too.

In the new Washington Monthly, Joshua Green reveals the extent of Bush polling: Republican records show that "Bush's principal pollsters received $346,000 in direct payments in 2001. Add to that the multiple boutique polling firms the administration regularly employs for specialized and targeted polls and the figure is closer to $1 million."

(That's about half as much as Mr. Clinton spent his first year, but about $1 million more than Mr. Bush led us to expect he'd spend.)

"But while Clinton used polling to craft popular policies," Mr. Green points out, "Bush uses polling to spin unpopular ones arguably a much more cynical undertaking."

The nadir of Bill Clinton's presidency was when he asked Dick Morris to poll on whether he should tell the truth about Monica Lewinsky. But by that point he had already turned the ideal of the presidency upside down, letting arithmetic trump integrity as he painted his policies, principles and even his family vacations by the numbers. With the mathematical monkey on his back, he had to sell the Lincoln Bedroom to pay Mr. Morris to keep massaging the lead over Bob Dole.

The former president is still a courtesan to public opinion. Asked by Newsweek if he regretted the Marc Rich pardon, he says he wouldn't have done it if he had foreseen the damage to his reputation.

But the cheesy Clinton obsession with polling seems positively uplifting
compared with the black arts of the Bush polling operation.

At least Mr. Clinton's impulse was democratic. He yearned to do what we wanted him to do =97 he was Sally-Field-desperate for us to really, really like him. Mr. Bush's impulse is autocratic. He wants to do what he (or Cheney & Rove) wants to do =97 and is desperate only to find a way to shove it down our throats.

Mr. Rove polls for the magic-button phrases and rationales that will persuade the middle class to help the rich get richer and build a mandate for smog from sea to oil-slicked sea.

Mr. Bush used poll-dictated phrases to reduce alarm about his Social Security plan, talking about "retirement security" and "choice," as opposed to the Democrats' "bankrupt" and "risky."

It seemed risible when pollsters were tripping over each other in the Clinton White House. Mark Penn set up an office in the closet of another aide's West Wing office.

But at least the Clintonites were upfront about their addiction to addition. The Bush method is all denial and secrecy, just like its energy plan. The president's pollsters, Jan van Lohuizen and Fred Steeper, are kept in a secure location =97 the very distant background.

Aides to Mr. Bush have spent the seven months since the terrorist attacks telling us about his "resolute" grit as a leader. Now we must wonder, every time they reiterate that the president is "focused," whether the word was focus-grouped.
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Many Americans Believe Country Is Becoming Less Courteous.

USA Today [http://www.usatoday.com/life/2002/2002-04-03-rudeness.htm] (4/3, Barker) reports, "The level of rudeness across the USA has been ratcheted up in recent years, says a study out today from Public Agenda, a non-profit, non-partisan research group. In fact, more than three-quarters (79%) of Americans agree that the lack of courtesy careening along the country's highways and ringing in its collective ear is a 'serious national problem,' according to the survey, 'Aggravating Circumstances: A Status Report on Rudeness in America.'"

The AP [http://www.dallasnews.com/nation/stories/040302dnnatrude.44227.html] (4/3) reports, "Nearly 80 percent of the 2,013 adults surveyed by telephone in January by the research group Public Agenda said a lack of respect and courtesy in American society is a serious problem. Sixty-one percent think things have gotten worse in recent years." Poor customer service "has become so rampant that nearly half of those surveyed said they have walked out of a store in the last year because of it. Half said they often see people talking on cellular telephones in a loud or annoying manner." And six drivers in 10 "said they regularly see other people driving aggressively or recklessly." Many people "admitted behaving rudely. More than a third said they use foul language in public. About the same percentage confessed to occasional bad driving."

---

Howard Fienberg
Senior Analyst
The Statistical Assessment Service (STATS)
2100 L. St., NW Suite 300
Washington, DC 20037
(ph) 202-223-3193
(fax) 202-872-4014
(e-mail) hfienberg@stats.org
(website) http://www.stats.org
Poor customer service "has become so rampant that nearly half of those surveyed said they have walked out of a store in the last year because of it.

Good Heavens! They ought to try living over here. Service in the US (restaurants, stores) is, on average, wonderful compared to the UK, in terms of both civility and efficiency. Or maybe you're only polite to foreigners.

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609
Is anyone aware of opinion studies that have examined American knowledge of the Israeli/Palestinian conflict (basic facts), and compared attitudes of those who are informed to those who are not?

CBS News conducted a study of 616 U.S. adults April 1-2, 2002 ... 70% said they have been following news about the current fighting between Israel and the Palestinians-23% very closely, 47% somewhat closely. I have summarized some findings here:

--47% approve of the recent UN Security Council resolution calling on Israel to withdraw its troops from certain occupied territories; 28% disapprove; 25% don't know.

--50% think the American government can do something about establishing peace in the Middle East (57% Democrats).

--46% say it is not U.S. business to try to resolve the conflict; 44% think U.S. has a responsibility to try (52% of Democrats say U.S. has a responsibility).

--49% would favor sending U.S. troops as part of a peacekeeping force (56% Democrats);

--43% think U.S. troops in Israel would make a difference in resolving the
conflict (51% Democrats); 48% do not think U.S. troops would make a difference.

--65% think the Israelis are justified in taking military action in response to recent suicide bombing attacks (75% Republican, 65% Democrat, 55% Independent); 23% said not justified, 12% don't know.
--52% sympathize with Israel more than the Palestinians; 10% sympathize with the Palestinians more than Israel; 6% said both, 15% neither, and 17% don't know.
--51% think the Israelis have been too quick to get military forces involved (58% Democrats); 30% think they tried hard enough to reach a diplomatic solution to the Israeli/Palestinian conflict; 19% don't know.
--63% think the current fighting will make terrorist attacks against Israel more likely.

--34% think Israel has too much influence on American foreign policy, 30% say right amount, 12% too little; 3% no influence, and 21% don't know.
--59% approve of George W. Bush's handling of the situation (73% Republican, 56% Independent, 47% Democrat).
--56% think Bush's involvement is about right; 25% say he should be more involved, 12% less.
--46% do not think President Bush has the experience a President would need to try to negotiate a peace settlement in the Middle East (59% Democrat, 53% Independent); 68% of Republicans think Bush has enough experience.

--37% think the U.S. should publicly support Israel (43% Republican); 36% think the U.S. should say nothing, 10% think the U.S. should criticize Israel, 17% don't know.
--Only 18% have a favorable opinion of Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon. 16% do not have a favorable opinion; 28% are undecided, 37% haven't heard enough.
--47% do not think the Israeli government wants peace in the Middle East; 33% think it does; 20% don't know.

--8% think the U.S. should publicly support Arafat and the Palestinians; 40% think the U.S. should say nothing, 32% think the U.S. should criticize Arafat and the Palestinians, 20% don't know.
-- Only 2% have a favorable opinion of Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat. 53% do not have a favorable opinion; 23% are undecided, 20% haven't heard enough.
--70% do not think Arafat wants peace in the Middle East; 14% think he does; 16% don't know.

--53% think only a few Palestinians hold the views of the suicide bombers; 33% say most Palestinians hold their view.
--82% think Yasser Arafat could have done more to stop the recent suicide bombings in Israel.
--60% think Arafat is unable to prevent more suicide bombing attacks on Israel; 28% think he can control the situation.

--77% think it is very (34%) or somewhat (43%) likely that fighting in Afghanistan will spread to a larger war between Western countries and Muslim countries.
--74% think it is very (28%) or somewhat (46%) likely that there will be another terrorist attack in the U.S. within the next few months, up from 62% (18% very, 44% somewhat) in Feb. 2002.
--46% think the current fighting between Israel and the Palestinians will make a terrorist attack against the U.S. more likely; 40% say no difference; 9% less likely.

----------------------------------------
Mark Richards
mark@bisconti.com
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Here's some related work:


At 12:50 PM 4/3/2002 -0500, Mark David Richards wrote:
Is anyone aware of opinion studies that have examined American knowledge of the Israeli/Palestinian conflict (basic facts), and compared attitudes of those who are informed to those who are not?

CBS News conducted a study of 616 U.S. adults April 1-2, 2002 ... 70% said they have been following news about the current fighting between Israel and the Palestinians-23% very closely, 47% somewhat closely. I have summarized some findings here:

---47% approve of the recent UN Security Council resolution calling on Israel to withdraw its troops from certain occupied territories; 28% disapprove; 25% don't know.

---50% think the American government can do something about establishing peace in the Middle East (57% Democrats).

---46% say it is not U.S. business to try to resolve the conflict; 44% think U.S. has a responsibility to try (52% of Democrats say U.S. has a responsibility).

---49% would favor sending U.S. troops as part of a peacekeeping force (56% Democrats);

---43% think U.S. troops in Israel would make a difference in resolving the conflict (51% Democrats); 48% do not think U.S. troops would make a difference.

---65% think the Israelis are justified in taking military action in response to recent suicide bombing attacks (75% Republican, 65% Democrat, 55% Independent); 23% said not justified, 12% don't know.

---52% sympathize with Israel more than the Palestinians; 10% sympathize with the Palestinians more than Israel; 6% said both, 15% neither, and 17% don't know.

---51% think the Israelis have been too quick to get military forces involved (58% Democrats); 30% think they tried hard enough to reach a diplomatic solution to the Israeli/Palestinian conflict; 19% don't know.

---63% think the current fighting will make terrorist attacks against Israel more likely.

---34% think Israel has too much influence on American foreign policy, 30% say right amount, 12% too little; 3% no influence, and 21% don't know.

---59% approve of George W. Bush's handling of the situation (73% Republican, 56% Independent, 47% Democrat).

---56% think Bush's involvement is about right; 25% say he should be more involved, 12% less.

---46% do not think President Bush has the experience a President would need to try to negotiate a peace settlement in the Middle East (59% Democrat, 53% Independent); 68% of Republicans think Bush has enough experience.

---37% think the U.S. should publicly support Israel (43% Republican); 36% think the U.S. should say nothing, 10% think the U.S. should criticize Israel, 17% don't know.

---Only 18% have a favorable opinion of Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon. 16% do not have a favorable opinion; 28% are undecided, 37% haven't heard enough.

---47% do not think the Israeli government wants peace in the Middle East; 33% think it does; 20% don't know.
---8% think the U.S. should publicly support Arafat and the Palestinians; 40% think the U.S. should say nothing, 32% think the U.S. should criticize Arafat and the Palestinians, 20% don't know.
--- Only 2% have a favorable opinion of Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat. 53% do not have a favorable opinion; 23% are undecided, 20% haven't heard enough.
---70% do not think Arafat wants peace in the Middle East; 14% think he does; 16% don't know.
---53% think only a few Palestinians hold the views of the suicide bombers; 33% say most Palestinians hold their view.
---82% think Yasser Arafat could have done more to stop the recent suicide bombings in Israel.
---60% think Arafat is unable to prevent more suicide bombing attacks on Israel; 28% think he can control the situation.
---77% think it is very (34%) or somewhat (43%) likely that fighting in Afghanistan will spread to a larger war between Western countries and Muslim countries.
---74% think it is very (28%) or somewhat (46%) likely that there will be another terrorist attack in the U.S. within the next few months, up from 62% (18% very, 44% somewhat) in Feb. 2002.
---46% think the current fighting between Israel and the Palestinians will make a terrorist attack against the U.S. more likely; 40% say no difference; 9% less likely.

Mark Richards
mark@bisconti.com

Jon A. Krosnick
Professor of Psychology and Political Science
Ohio State University
1885 Neil Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43210

Phone: 614-292-3496
Fax: 614-292-5601

http://www.psy.ohio-state.edu/social/krosnick.htm
Associate Director in Charge of Data Archiving
Odum Institute for Research in Social Science
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Review of applications will begin May 15, 2002
Full description: www.odum.unc.edu
Apologies for crossposting.

Dear colleagues:

I am conducting a review of the literature on advance notification to potential respondents.

I would appreciate any references on research conducted to measure the effect of advance notification on response rates to self-administered questionnaires.

Please contact me directly at: dominic@farwestresearch.com. I will compile a list of the references and anybody interested can contact me. Thank you in advance for your help.

Best regards,
Dominic

*********************************************************
Dominic Lusinchi
Statistical Consultant
Business: 415-664-3032
Fax: 415-664-4459
Home: 415-664-3422
Email (Business): dominic@farwestresearch.com
Email (Personal): unovic@aol.com
*********************************************************

>From amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu Thu Apr  4 23:02:50 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usd) with ESMTP
   id g3572oe05596 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Thu, 4 Apr 2002
23:02:50 -0800 (PST)
Received: from unlserve.unl.edu (unlserve.unl.edu [129.93.1.130])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usd) with ESMTP
   id XAA00879 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 4 Apr 2002 23:02:51 -0800
   (PST)
Received: from localhost (amccutch@localhost)
   by unlserve.unl.edu (AIX4.3/8.9.3/usd) with SMTP id BAA33026
   for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 5 Apr 2002 01:02:33 -0600
Date: Fri, 5 Apr 2002 01:02:32 -0600 (CST)
From: ALLAN L MCCUTCHEON <amccutch@unlservel.unl.edu>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Symposium on Survey Research
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.02.10204050101320.112212-100000@unlserve.unl.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> http://www.unl.edu/unl-grc/ <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Nebraska Symposium on Survey Research

************************************************
The Science of Pre-Election Polling
Pre-election polls play an expanding role in news media coverage of political campaigns. While concern over the role of pre-election polls in political campaigns is not new, there is a growing concern over the accuracy of poll results. A number of innovations have been suggested that may lead to increased pre-election poll accuracy. In light of the role that pre-election polls play in the political process and the news media, it is important that the potential improvements be discussed and evaluated.

The 2002 Nebraska Symposium on Survey Research brings together leading professional and academic researchers to discuss recent pre-election poll performance, and some recently proposed innovations that may potentially improve pre-election polling and forecasting.

Speakers include:

Frank Newport
The Gallup Organization

Donald Green
Yale University

Harold Clark
University of Texas-Dallas

Charles H. Franklin
University of Wisconsin

Kathleen Frankovic
CBS News Poll

Edward H. Kaplan
Yale University

Simon Jackman
Stanford University

George Terhanian
Harris Interactive

Christopher Wlezien
Oxford University

Early registration (before April 24) for the symposium is $125 ($50 for
students, photocopy of current student ID must accompany payment); late registration is $175. This includes two and one-half days of paper presentations, coffee break refreshments, conference packet and lunches (Friday and Saturday).

For more information, contact:

Allan L. McCutcheon, Director
Gallup Research Center
University of Nebraska-Lincoln
200 North 11th Street
Lincoln, NE  68588-0241

FAX: (402)458-2038
Phone: (402) 458-2035
e-mail: amccutcheon1@unl.edu

or visit our web page: http://www.unl.edu/unl-grc/

--

>From Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk Mon Apr  8 01:59:45 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g388xie20606 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002
01:59:44 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from mail1.gsi.gov.uk (gateway1.gsi.gov.uk [194.6.79.172])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id BAA25122 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 01:59:42 -0700
(PDT)
From: Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk
Received: from mail1.dfee.gov.uk (mail1.dfee.gov.uk [51.64.32.66])
    by maill.gsi.gov.uk (BLOBBY/BLOBBY) with SMTP id g388wu14728
    for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 09:58:56 +0100 (BST)
Received: from 192.168.2.24 by gatekeeper.dfee.gov.uk
Mon, 08 Apr 2002 09:45:33 -0000
Received: from lonmsw01.dfee.gov.uk ([192.168.2.27])
    by mail.dfee.gov.uk (8.9.3/BISCUIT) with ESMTP id KAA30277
    for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 10:40:18 +0100
(UTC)
Received: from lonexc02.dfee.gov.uk (lonexc02.dfee.gov.uk [192.168.2.27])
    by lonmsw01.dfee.gov.uk ([192.168.2.27])
    (Content Technologies SMTPRS 4.1.2) with ESMTP id
    <Bc0a8021b5a21731b8581onmsw01.dfee.gov.uk> for <aapornet@usc.edu>;
    Mon, 8 Apr 2002 10:04:08 +0100
Received: by LONEXC02 with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)
    id <2Q4S4SV0>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 09:59:37 +0100
Message-ID: <AE1F316B44D2D211A64800902728A789086540278SHEEXC01>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Is the list working?
Date: Mon, 8 Apr 2002 09:59:43 +0100
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)

This is by way of a test. I've received no mail on this list for several
days now.

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609

0114 259 1180

>From cporter@hp.ufl.edu Mon Apr 8 05:27:16 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g38CRPe24400 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002
05:27:15 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from fuji.hp.ufl.edu (fuji.hp.ufl.edu [159.178.42.124])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id FAA24032 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 05:27:15 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from HPDom-MTA by fuji.hp.ufl.edu
    with Novell GroupWise; Mon, 08 Apr 2002 08:26:50 -0400
Message-Id: <scb1544a.034@fuji.hp.ufl.edu>
X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise Internet Agent 6.0.1
Date: Mon, 08 Apr 2002 08:26:38 -0400
From: "Colleen Porter" <cporter@hp.ufl.edu>
To: <Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk>, <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Re: Is the list working?
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="=_F3AE739A.DFBEC2F1"

This is a MIME message. If you are reading this text, you may want to consider changing to a mail reader or gateway that understands how to properly handle MIME multipart messages.

--=_F3AE739A.DFBEC2F1
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Iain,

Well, in the U.S. it is getting to be the end of the semester for the academics among us, who are no doubt busy writing exams and grading term papers.

Also (and I don't know if there are some other procrastinators out there as well?) I am furiously trying to tie together things for my AAPOR paper, so that I can get it to the discussant in time.

Colleen

Colleen K. Porter
Project Coordinator
cporter@hp.ufl.edu
phone: 352/392-6919, fax: 352/392-7109
University of Florida,
Department of Health Services Administration
Location: 1600 SW SW Archer Road, Rm. G1-015
This is by way of a test. I've received no mail on this list for several days now.

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609
0114 259 1180

--_F3AE739A.DFBEC2F1
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
*         ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---            *
*  This post contains a forbidden message format         *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*  This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT     *
*  If your postings display this message your mail program *
*  is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

--_F3AE739A.DFBEC2F1--
From slosh@garnet.acns.fsu.edu Mon Apr  8 08:06:15 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g38F6Ee28325 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002
08:06:14 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from mailer.fsu.edu (mailer.fsu.edu [128.186.6.122])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id IAA19254 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 08:06:14 -0700
(PDT)
From: slosh@garnet.acns.fsu.edu
Received: from fire4.fsu.edu (fire4.fsu.edu [128.186.6.154])
    by mailer.fsu.edu (8.11.6/8.11.1) with ESMTP id g38F5sN22009
    for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 11:05:55 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from fire4.ldap1.fsu.edu (localhost [127.0.0.1])
    by fire4.fsu.edu (8.10.2+Sun/8.10.2) with ESMTP id g38F5sc09877
    for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 11:05:54 -0400 (EDT)
Message-Id: <200204081505.g38F5sc09877@fire4.fsu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Transfer-Encoding: binary
To: aapornet@usc.edu
X-Originating-Ip: 146.201.38.63
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: slosh@garnet.acns.fsu.edu
Date: Mon, 08 Apr 2002 10:05:54 EST
X-Mailer: EMUmail 4.5
Subject: Re: Is the list working?
X-Webmail-User: slosh@garnet.acns.fsu.edu
I believe everyone is trying to figure out "reply to all."

Susan

On Mon, 8 Apr 2002 09:59:43 +0100 Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk wrote:

> This is by way of a test. I've received no mail on this list for several
> days now.
> Iain Noble
> DfES - AS: YFE5
> Moorfoot W609
> 0114 259 1180
>

Susan Carol Losh, Ph.D.
Department of Educational Research
Florida State University
Tallahassee FL 32306-4453

VOICE: 850-644-8778
FAX: 850-644-8776
slosh@garnet.acns.fsu.edu
http://garnet.acns.fsu.edu/~slosh//Index.htm

--=_366BB66B.AFCEA799
Hello Dr. Fleury--

Has the position of Research Associate already been filled? If not, I'd like to apply. Please let me know if the position is still open and I'll send you my resume.

Thanks,

Monique Ardell Goodger
Graduate Research Assistant
Joint Program in Survey Methodology
University of Maryland
(301) 314-6570
mardell@survey.umd.edu

>>> cfleury@cssresearch.org 03/21/02 08:43AM >>>

Research Associate -- Nonprofit consumer research organization seeks 20 full-time Research Associates to help design and manage studies, analyze survey results, and prepare reports on satisfaction with health services. Requires strong analytical, interpersonal and project management skills, attention to detail, expertise with database applications and Excel. Survey research experience, statistics skills a plus; 1-3 years experience desired. Competitive compensation. Send letter and resume (including salary history) to researchjobs@cssresearch.org or CSS, Attn: CF-HRG, 733 15th Street, N.W., Suite 820, Washington, DC 20005.

Christopher J. Fleury, Ph.D.
Survey Director
Center for the Study of Services
733 15th Street N.W., Suite 820
Washington, DC  20005

Voice: 202-454-3031
Fax:   202-347-4000

E-mail: cfleury@cssresearch.org
1. New Census Chief Faces Old Disputes, Fresh Tests
Top Task Is Persuading Congress to Invest in New Methods

2. Palestinian Center for Policy and Survey Research (PSR)
http://www.pcpsr.org/index.html
Links to several polls of Palestinians and Israelis; Last updated 31 December 2001
Index of polls: http://www.pcpsr.org/survey/cprspolls/index.html
**update on faculty**

**Subject:** Update on BZU Faculty

>From: bzu information officer
>To: allusers
>Sent: 03/04/02 11:16
>Subject: Update on BZU Faculty
>
>Update on faculty, staff and students at Birzeit University
>
>April 3, 2002
>
>Dear Birzeit University Community:
>
>We are writing this e-mail in the hope that you have electricity and phone lines and computers to check your e-mails from home.
>
>As you have already heard and seen on television, scores of people have been arrested over the past few days, and many homes were invaded. This catastrophe did not spare the Birzeit University community, be it the students, the faculty or the staff.
>
>Due to the curfew and the disconnection of phone lines and electricity, the below information is all we were able to get concerning our staff, faculty and students. If you know anything or anyone we haven't mentioned below, please contact us at webinfo@birzeit.edu, and supply us with your full name and phone number in order to get in touch with you.
>
>Mohammad Ya'coub: Staff member at the National Conservatory of Music.
>Mohammad was arrested on Friday, March 29, along with others living in the Jabal Taweel neighborhood in Al-Bireh. His whereabouts are unknown.
>
>Dr. Majdi El-Malki: Head of Sociology Department: The Israeli army took over his house on Friday, March 29. The army used it as a military base for three days, causing a lot of damage to the equipment, furniture and other personal belongings. As a result, Dr. Malki and his wife and two daughters had to stay with relatives.
>
Mirabo Shammas - Chief Financial Officer - he, his wife and two daughters were evacuated from their home on Saturday at 5.00 a.m., were left standing in the cold for a few hours, and were finally told that they can't go back inside. They were evacuated, and had to go and stay with his uncle's house, and have been staying there since. They were able to go back on April 2 when the curfew was lifted for a couple of hours - the soldiers had left, but they had done a lot of damage while searching the house.

Ali Taher - Faculty member in the Physical Education Department - he and his family were forced by the Israeli army to stay in a small apartment along with other families for the past four days.

Jane Lindsey - Irish Consultant from England sent by Friends of Birzeit University (FoBZU). Lindsey came here to work on a project with the Center for Continuing Education and the Mental Health Program, and was supposed to leave on Friday, March 29, but has been stuck in Ramallah. The Irish Representative Office has been unsuccessful in reaching an agreement with the Israeli occupation forces to guarantee her safe departure from Ramallah.

Qasr El Hamra - the 12 students at Qasr El Hamra student hostel received medicine on Tuesday, April 2 from the Palestinian Red Crescent Society. During the two-hours when the curfew was lifted, they were able to go and get some food, but were unable to go to a safer place, as nowhere in Ramallah can be declared safe these days. They are still living without electricity and water.

Board of Trustees Building - the building housing the Board of Trustees, the Center for Continuing Education, the National Conservatory of Music and Friends of Birzeit Association was invaded on Saturday. The side door leading to all these offices was forced open using explosives, and the damages as far as we know, are minimal.

Six students arrested - We know that they were arrested as they were shown on Television. Their books were torn and their computers smashed, but we don't have their names. If anybody knows who they are, please contact us at webinfo@birzeit.edu

------=_NextPart_000_0019_01C1DEF0.5A7997C0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
I would say 75% of the messages I have received recently from the list have been empty except for the "text only" warning.

Is there something I need to do on my end, as the receiver?

Kristin Stettler
US Census Bureau
To:  aapornet@usc.edu

Sent by:  

cc:  

Subject:  Re: Is the list working?

usc.edu

04/08/02 11:05
AM

Please respond to slosh

I believe everyone is trying to figure out "reply to all."

Susan

On Mon, 8 Apr 2002 09:59:43 +0100 Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk wrote:

> This is by way of a test. I've received no mail on this list for several
> days now.
> > Iain Noble
> > DfES - AS: YFE5
> > Moorfoot W609
> > > 0114 259 1180
> >>

Susan Carol Losh, Ph.D.
same here.

Pamela A. Ficca  
Westat Survey Operations, 301-738-3622
I would say 75% of the messages I have received recently from the list have been empty except for the "text only" warning.

Is there something I need to do on my end, as the receiver?

Kristin Stettler
US Census Bureau
301-457-8426
kristin.j.stettler@census.gov

---

I believe everyone is trying to figure out "reply to all."

Susan

On Mon, 8 Apr 2002 09:59:43 +0100 Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk wrote:

> This is by way of a test. I've received no mail on this list for several days now.
Susan Carol Losh, Ph.D.
Department of Educational Research
Florida State University
Tallahassee FL 32306-4453

VOICE: 850-644-8778
FAX: 850-644-8776
slosh@garnet.acns.fsu.edu
http://garnet.acns.fsu.edu/~slosh//Index.htm
Fellow AAPORNETters,

The notice you see below has been appearing on AAPORNET for several weeks now. It appears whenever our virus protection suspects that there might be a virus in a message someone has posted to AAPORNET (that is, something that is not obviously text). It's irritating, but at least it's a continual reminder to us AAPORNETters that we can no longer simply post things taken from other sources without first passing them through some form of virus protection on our own computing systems. In short, if you don't care enough about AAPORNET to cleanse your messages of viruses, we will cleanse them for you. And you cannot "fix" this--everyone on AAPORNET receives it, if it is part of any message sent to AAPORNET, and seeing it means nothing more nor less than that it has already saved your own system, if indeed it really needed saving.

-- Jim

*******

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

>From jfleishm@AHRQ.gov Mon Apr  8 12:35:53 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g38J2re02610 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002
   12:35:53 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from ahrq.gov (ahrqdns1.ahrq.gov [156.40.116.2])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with SMTP
I recently tried posting a message to AAPORNET by simply (and naively) typing it in Outlook and then sending. I received the "message truncated" notice, although my posting contained no HTML, no attached file, nor any other elaboration.

I think that the default format in Outlook (at least in my installation) is "rich text," and the AAPORNET system doesn't accept this, either. Outlook does have a setting that enables one to send the message in "plain text," but one may have to choose this option explicitly when sending a message.

John A. Fleishman, Ph.D.
Senior Social Scientist
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

-----Original Message-----
From: James Beniger [mailto:beniger@rcf.usc.edu]
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2002 3:15 PM
To: AAPORNET
Subject: Re: error messages (fwd)

Fellow AAPORNETters,

The notice you see below has been appearing on AAPORNET for several weeks now. It appears whenever our virus protection suspects that there might be a virus in a message someone has posted to AAPORNET (that is, something that is not obviously text). It's irritating, but at least it's a continual reminder
to us AAPORNETters that we can no longer simply post things taken from other sources without first passing them through some form of virus protection on our own computing systems. In short, if you don't care enough about AAPORNET to cleanse your messages of viruses, we will cleanse them for you. And you cannot "fix" this--everyone on AAPORNET receives it, if it is part of any message sent to AAPORNET, and seeing it means nothing more nor less than that it has already saved your own system, if indeed it really needed saving.

-- Jim

*******

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

------- =_=_NextPart_001_01C1DF34.5D0EA9A0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

------- =_=_NextPart_001_01C1DF34.5D0EA9A0--
>From jfleishm@AHRQ.gov Mon Apr  8 13:48:14 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
  by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g38KmDe16592 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002
  13:48:13 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from ahrq.gov (ahrqdns1.ahrq.gov [156.40.116.2])
  by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with SMTP
    id NAA00719 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 13:48:12 -0700
(PDT)
From: jfleishm@AHRQ.gov
Received: from exchange1.ahrq.gov by ahrq.gov
  via smtpd (for usc.edu [128.125.253.136]) with SMTP; 8 Apr 2002
  20:52:10 UT
Received: by exchange1.ahrq.gov with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2655.55)
  id <G5BHRVLX>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 16:46:40 -0400
Message-ID: <3598558ad728d41183350008c7cf291cc49536@exchangel.ahrq.gov>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Mail Settings in Outlook
To those of you on AAPORNET who use Outlook,

I recently tried posting a message to AAPORNET by simply (and naively) typing it in Outlook and then sending. My posting contained no HTML, no attachment, nor any other elaboration. Nevertheless, I received the "message truncated" notice.

Based on information I received from our computer support staff, the default format in Outlook may be "rich text," and apparently the AAPORNET system does not accept this. One can change the default in Outlook by clicking on "tools," then "options," and then click the "Mail Format" tab. This will show if Outlook is using rich text format, and you can change the setting to "plain text."

I apologize to those of you who have received this message twice. I sent out the first message without following my own advice, and the rich text message was bounced back!

John A. Fleishman, Ph.D.
Senior Social Scientist
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Study of French public opinion by Groupe BVA... 968 adults interviewed by telephone 5-6 April 2002.

Go to: http://www.bva.fr/
Scroll to NOS DERNIERS SONDAGES PUBLIES
And click on: L'impact du conflit israëlo-palestinien sur l'opinion franëaise
Enquëte publiée dans la Revue d'Etudes Palestiniennes n° 84 ëtë 2002

For a couple of questions, the report shows trends from 2000 to 2002, as well as opinions by political ideology.

Here is my rough translation from French to English:

In general, without thinking about most recent events, do you tend to side more with the positions of Israelis or with the positions of Palestinians?

Israel-16%
Palestine-30%
Both-10%
Neither-28%
Don't know-16%

If a big military conflict breaks out in the Middle East, to which group would you assign the blame/responsibility?

Israel authorities-31% (up from 21% in Dec. 2001 and 20% in Oct. 2000)
Palestinian authorities-12%
Both-23%
Neither-11%
Don't know -23%

Overall, do you think that the media—that is, the written press, the radio,
and television-present information on the Israeli/Palestinian conflict...

In an objective manner-47%
In a manner too favorable to Israeli positions-16%
In a manner too favorable to Palestinian positions-14%
Don't know -23%

In your opinion, who, or Yasser Arafat and Ariel Sharon, carry the principle responsibility in the escalation of violence in the Middle East?

Yasser Arafat-20%
Ariel Sharon-32%
Both-25%
Neither-5
Don't know -18%

Based on what you know, which is the essential cause of the violence in Israel and in Palestine?

The occupation by the Israeli army of Palestinian territories since 1967-33%
Religious fanaticism and extremist Muslims-13%
The personality of the leaders of the two sides-11%
The refusal of certain Arab countries to recognize Israel-11%
Religious fanaticism and Israeli colonialism-6%
Palestinian refugees-2%
Don't know-24%

>From the following list of countries and organizations, in which do you have the most confidence to play a positive role in the resolution of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict?

United Nations-40%
European Union-22%
United States-18%
Arab League-6%
Don't know-14%

---

Mark David RICHARDS, Ph.D., Sociologist
Senior Associate, Bisconti Research, Inc.
2610 Woodley Place NW
Washington, District of Columbia 20008
202/ 347-8822
202/ 347-8825 FAX
mark@bisconti.com

>From mark@bisconti.com Mon Apr  8 15:53:45 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g38Mrie07239 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002 15:53:44 -0700
I am sending this message again—apparently, it was truncated. [Jan Werner explained that the problem was that the message was not sent as a plain text email, but as a text attachment to an email delimited with a MIME boundary. My MS Outlook 2000 (US version) was set on Plain Text, but I looked under Tools, Options, Mail Format, SETTINGS, and found that it was also set on MIME ... there is a choice of MIME vs. Uuencode (whatever that means). I switched to Uuencode... the last message went through ... so maybe that was the problem...???] mark

-----Original Message-----
From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu]On Behalf Of Mark David Richards
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2002 11:27 AM
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Census; PSR; Update on BZU Faculty

1.
New Census Chief Faces Old Disputes, Fresh Tests
Top Task Is Persuading Congress to Invest in New Methods

---------------------------------------------------

2.
Palestinian Center for Policy and Survey Research (PSR)
http://www.pcpsr.org/./index.html
Links to several polls of Palestinians and Israelis; Last updated 31 December 2001
Index of polls: http://www.pcpsr.org/survey/cprspolls/index.html

---------------------------------------------------

3.
Current information from Birzeit University in Birzeit, Palestine:
http://www.birzeit.edu

-----Original Message-----
Subject: Update on BZU Faculty

>From: bzu information officer  
>To: allusers  
>Sent: 03/04/02 11:16 ?  
>Subject: Update on BZU Faculty  
>
>Update on faculty, staff and students at Birzeit University
>
>April 3, 2002
>
>Dear Birzeit University Community:
>
> We are writing this e-mail in the hope that you have electricity and 
>phone lines and computers to check your e-mails from home.
>
> As you have already heard and seen on television, scores of people 
>have 
>been arrested over the past few days, and many homes were invaded. 
>This  
catastrophe did not spare the Birzeit University community, be it the 
>students, the faculty or the staff.
>
>Due to the curfew and the disconnection of phone lines and 
electricity, 
>the below information is all we were able to get concerning our 
>staff, 
>faculty and students. If you know anything or anyone we haven't 
>mentioned below, please contact us at webinfo@birzeit.edu, and supply 
>us 
>with your full name and phone number in order to get in touch with 
you.
>
>
>Mohammad Ya'coub: Staff member at the National Conservatory of Music. 
>Mohammad was arrested on Friday, March 29, along with others living 
in 
>the Jabal Taweel neighborhood in Al-Bireh. His whereabouts are 
>unknown.
>
>Dr. Majdi El-Malki: Head of Sociology Department: The Israeli army 
>took over his house on Friday, March 29. The army used it as a 
>military 
>base for three days, causing a lot of damage to the equipment, 
>furniture 
>and other personal belongings. As a result, Dr. Malki and his wife 
>and 
>two daughters had to stay with relatives.
>
>Mirabo Shammas - Chief Financial Officer - he, his wife and two 
daughters were evacuated from their home on Saturday at 5.00 a.m., 
>were 
>left standing in the cold for a few hours, and were finally told that
they can't go back inside. They were evacuated, and had to go and stay
with his uncle's house, and have been staying there since. They were
able to go back on April 2 when the curfew was lifted for a couple of
hours - the soldiers had left, but they had done a lot of damage while
searching the house.
>
Ali Taher - Faculty member in the Physical Education Department - he
and
his family were forced by the Israeli army to stay in a small
apartment
along with other families for the past four days.
>
Jane Lindsey - Irish Consultant from England sent by Friends of
Birzeit
University (FoBZU). Lindsey came here to work on a project with the
Center for Continuing Education and the Mental Health Program, and
was
supposed to leave on Friday, March 29, but has been stuck in
Ramallah.
The Irish Representative Office has been unsuccessful in reaching an
agreement with the Israeli occupation forces to guarantee her safe
departure from Ramallah.
>
Qasr El Hamra - the 12 students at Qasr El Hamra student hostel received
medicine on Tuesday, April 2 from the Palestinian Red Crescent
Society.
During the two-hours when the curfew was lifted, they were able to go
and get some food, but were unable to go to a safer place, as no
where
in Ramallah can be declared safe these days. They are still living
without electricity and water.
>
Board of Trustees Building - the building housing the Board of
Trustees,
the Center for Continuing Education, the National Conservatory of
Music
and Friends of Birzeit Association was invaded on Saturday. The side
doors leading to all these offices was forced open using explosives,
and
the damages as far as we know, are minimal.
>
Six students arrested - We know that they were arrested as they were
shown on Television. Their books were torn and their computers
smashed,
but we don't have their names. If anybody knows who they are, please
contact us at webinfo@birzeit.edu

From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Mon Apr  8 22:03:12 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3953C0e07393 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 8 Apr 2002
22:03:12 -0700
(PDT)
If you like social statistics, you'll love this...

China condemns US as terrible example for human rights

Whoever wrote this I think deserves the thanks of all of us Americans. Here we are both shown how very much humanity we share with the rest of the world, and also how silly we Americans can look, in the eyes of that very same world.

For this, Xinhuanet, thank you! -- Jim

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
XINHUA NEWS AGENCY <news.xinhuanet.com> Copyright 2000 Xinhua News Agency
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

BEIJING, March 11 (Xinhuanet) -- Following is the full text of the "Human Rights Record of the United States in 2001," published by the Information Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China Monday:

Human Rights Record of the United States in 2001

By Information Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China

I. Lack of Safeguard for Life, Freedom and Personal Safety
II. Serious Rights Violations by Law Enforcement Departments
III. Plight of the Poor, Hungry and Homeless
IV. Worrying Conditions for Women and Children
V. Deep-Rooted Racial Discrimination
VI. Wantonly Infringing upon Human Rights of Other Countries

On March 4, 2002, the U.S. State Department published "Country Reports on Human Rights Practices -- 2001." Once again the United States, assuming the role of "world judge of human rights," has distorted human rights conditions in many countries and regions in the world, including China, and accused them of human rights violations, all the while turning a blind eye to its own human rights-related problems. In fact, it is right in the United States where serious human rights violations exist.

I. Lack of Safeguard for Life, Freedom and Personal Safety

Violence and crimes are a daily occurrence in the U.S. society, where people's life, freedom and personal safety are under serious threat. According to the 2001 fourth issue of Dialogue published by the U.S. Embassy in China, in 1998, the number of criminal cases in the United States reached 12.476 million, including 1.531 million violent crime cases and 17,000 murder cases; and for every 100,000 people, there were 4,616 criminal cases, including 566 involving violent crimes. From 1977 to 1996, more than 400,000 Americans were murdered, almost seven times the number of Americans killed in the Vietnam War. During the years since 1997, another 480,000 people have been murdered in the country.

According to a report carried by the Christian Science Monitor in its January 22, 2002 issue, the murder rate in the United States at present stands at 5.5 persons per 100,000 people. According to data provided by police stations in 18 major U.S. cities, the number of murder cases in many big cities in 2001 increased drastically, with those in Boston and Phoenix City increasing the fastest. In the year to December 18, 2001, the number of murder cases in the two cities increased by more than 60 percent over the same period of the previous year. The number of murder cases increased by 22 percent in St. Louis, 17.5 percent in Houston, 15 percent in St. Antonio, 11.6 percent in Atlanta, 9.2 percent in Los Angeles and 5.2 percent in Chicago.

According to the same report of the Christian Science Monitor, on campuses of colleges and universities in the United States in 2001, the number of murder cases increased by almost 100 percent over 2000, that of arson cases by about 9 percent, that of break-ins by 3 percent.

The United States is the country with the biggest number of private guns. On the one hand, worries about the threat of violence have led to rush buying of guns for self-protection; on the other hand, the flooding of guns is an important factor contributing to high violence and crime rates. Statistics of the FBI show that sales of weapons and ammunition in the United States in the three months of September through November of 2001 grew anywhere from 9 percent to 22 percent. October witnessed a record 1,029,691 guns registered. Statistics also show that shooting is the second major cause of non-normal deaths after traffic accidents in the United States, averaging 15,000 deaths annually. Over the history of more than 200 years, three U.S. presidents were shot, with two dead and one wounded seriously. There
is much less personal safety for common people in the United States. Since 1972, more than 80 people have been shot dead every day on average in the United States, including about 12 children.

On March 5, 2001, a 15-year-old student killed two and wounded 13 fellow students at Santana High School in California. This is the deadliest school shooting following one in a high school in the state of Colorado in April 1999, in which 13 were killed. Two days later, that is, on March 7, a 14-year-old girl student shot dead a schoolmate of hers in the cafeteria of a Roman Catholic school in Pennsylvania. On the same day, police overpowered a gunman who was about to shoot on the campus of the University of Albertus. On April 14, a 43-year-old man with two rifles and two short guns fired madly at a bar and its car park, killing two and wounding 20. On September 7, a gunman burst into a family on the outskirts of Simi Valley of Los Angeles and shot three people dead and wounded two. Earlier on August 31, a demobilized policeman shot dead another and set fire on himself. FBI called Los Angeles "the freest city for crimes." On December 7, a worker at a woodworking factory shot one fellow worker dead and wounded six others in Indiana.

On January 15, 2002, a teenage student fired at fellow students at Martin Luther King High School, seriously wounding two. This coincided with the 73rd anniversary of Martin Luther King, leader of the human rights movement in the United States and an advocate of non-violence. More ironically, on March 4, 2002, the very day when the U.S. State Department published its annual report, accusing other countries of "human rights violations," another shooting took place: in New Mexico, a four-year-old boy, while watching TV in his bedroom, shot dead an 18-month-old baby girl with his father's gun. The U.S. media are inundated with violent contents, contributing to a high crime rate in the United States, especially among young people. Young people in the country get used to violence and crimes from an early age. With the extensive use of cable TV, video tapes and computers, children have more opportunities to see bloody violent scenes. A culture beautifying violence has made young people believe that the gun can "solve" all problems. An investigative report issued on August 1, 2001 by a U.S. non-governmental watchdog group -- Parents Television Council (PTC) -- says that violence in television programs from 8 to 9 p.m. in the recent one-year period was up by 78 percent and abusive language up by 71 percent. Even CBS, regarded as the "cleanest" TV network, had 3.2 scenes of violence and abusive language per hour. After the September 11 terrorist attacks, TV stations and movie houses in the United States exercised some restraint on the broadcasting and screening of programs and films of violence. But it was hardly two months before violence films, which have top box-office value, staged a comeback. International Herald Tribune reported that one American youth could see 40,000 murder cases and 200,000 other violent acts from the media before the age of 18. A survey by California-based Ethical Code Institute shows that over the past year, most American youth had the experience of using violence, including 21 percent of the boys in high schools and 15 percent of the boys in junior middle schools who had the experience of taking arms to school for at least once. The U.S. National Association of Education estimates that about 100,000 students in the United States take arms to school every day.

In recent years, voices for controlling guns and eliminating the
culture of violence have been running high. On Mother's Day on May 14, 2000, women from nearly 70 cities in the United States staged a "Million Moms Mother's Day March," demanding that the U.S. Congress enact a strict gun control law. However, voices of the common people can hardly produce any results.

II. Serious Rights Violations by Law Enforcement Departments

Police brutality and unfair adjudication are intrinsic stubborn diseases of the United States. In March 2001, the family of a French victim brought a lawsuit against the police and prison guards of the state of Nevada. Nine prison guards were accused of beating the victim, Phillippe Leman, to death. Forensic examinations identified the cause of death as suffocation due to fracture of the throat bone. Yet, a local court pardoned the nine prison guards and acquitted them of responsibilities for the death of the French man. Torture and forced confession are common in the United States, with the number of convicts on the death row that are misjudged or wronged remaining high. In December 2001, a man on the death row, Alon Patterson, claimed that his confession was forced due to torture by Chicago police, who used a plastic typewriter cover to suffocate him. The case aroused extensive attention. As Chicago is under the jurisdiction of Cook County, Chicago Herald Tribune sent reporters to investigate the archives of several thousand murder cases in Cook since 1991. They found that verdicts were determined in at least 247 cases without witness or evidence and that judgment was based on confessions of the accused only. The credibility of such "confessions" is subject to doubt.

U.S. federal laws and 38 states allow the death penalty. Since the 1990s, crimes punishable by death and the annual number of executions in the United States have been on the increase. Annual executions increased from 23 in 1990 to 98 in 1999. In the last 20 years, the United States has extended the death penalty to more than 60 crimes and speeded up executions by restricting the right of the convicted to appeal. Since 1976 when the U.S. Supreme Court restored the death penalty, about 600 persons have been executed in the United States. According to a February 11, 2002 Reuters report, from 1973 to 1995, the verdicts of 68 percent of convicts on the death row were overturned owing to misjudgment by the court. In the cases with overturned verdicts, 82 percent of the convicts were sentenced to lesser penalties and 9 percent were set free. Since 1973, a total of 99 convicts on the death row have been proven innocent. These people spent an average of eight years of terror in death confines, sustaining tremendous mental trauma. According to an analysis, main reasons for misjudgment were failure to get legal counsel on the part of the accused, confession forcing by the police and prosecutors, and misdirection of the jury by judges.

The United States has the biggest prison population in the world. Prisons there are overcrowded, and inmates ill-treated. A study by the Judicial Policy Institute under the Juvenile and Criminal Hearing Center shows that during the 1992-2000 period, 673,000 people were sent to state or federal prisons and detention centers, and 476 out of every 100,000 people were detained. With prisons burdened with too many inmates, violent conflicts keep occurring. In December 2001,
about 300 inmates in a California prison staged a riot, which was put down by prison guards, using tear gas and wooden bullets. Seven prisoners were seriously wounded. The prison in question incarcerated more than 4,000 inmates though it was designed to keep no more than 2,200. Overcrowding often leads to violent clashes among prisoners. In 2000 alone, more than 120 prisoners staged riots, in which ten people were wounded. Drug taking is prevalent in U.S. prisons. In the last ten years, at least 188 inmates died of drug abuse.

Punishment for sex offenders in the United States has become more and more severe. Many phased-out cruel punishments have been reinstated. Some criminals would select the extreme penalty of castration in exchange for a penalty reduction. Castration had been removed as a penalty scores of years before. According to the Los Angeles Times, in California in the last three years, two sex offenders received castration in return for release.

In February 2002, the world was shocked to learn of a scandal involving a crematorium in the United States. Tri-State Crematory in the state of Georgia, instead of cremating human bodies after receiving money for the service, threw the corpses in the woods or stacked them in wooden sheds like cordwood, leaving them to rot there. The shocking practice is said to have lasted 15 years. More than 300 bodies have been found on the grounds of the crematorium so far. The crime is shocking enough, but the state of Georgia does not have a law that is applicable for the crime. What verdict to pass on the suspect remains a legal difficulty.

III. Plight of the Poor, Hungry and Homeless

While the best-developed country in the world, the United States confronts a serious problem of polarization between the rich and the poor. Never has a fundamental change been possible in conditions of the poor, who constitute the forgotten "third world" within this superpower.

The gap between high-income and low-income families in terms of the wealth owned by either group has further widened over the past two decades. In 1979, the average income of the families with the highest incomes, who account for 5 percent of the total in the United States, was about ten times as great as that of the families with the lowest incomes, who account for 20 percent of the total. By 1999, the figure had grown to 19 times. According to a New York Times analysis of a U.S. Census Bureau survey in August 2001, the economic boom the United States experienced in the 1990s failed to make the American middle class richer than in the previous decade. The true fact is that the poor became even poorer and the rich, even wealthier. For most of those in between the two opposite groups, life was worse at the end of the 1990s than at the beginning of the decade. Right now, the richest 1 percent of the Americans own 40 percent of the national wealth. In contrast, the share is a mere 16 percent for 80 percent of the American population. The richest 20 percent of the families in Washington D.C. are 24 times as rich as the poorest 20 percent, up from 18 times a decade ago.

Problems facing the poor, hungry and homeless have become
increasingly conspicuous. According to a 2002 report of the American Food Research and Action Center on its website, 10 percent of the American families, in other words 19 million adults and 12 million children, suffered from food insecurity in 1999. In a national survey of emergency feeding program (Hunger in America 2001), America's Second Harvest emergency food providers served 23 million people in the year, 9 percent more than in 1997. The figure included nine million children. Nearly two-thirds of the adult emergency food recipients were women, and more than one in five were elderly.

In its annual report published in December 2001, the United States Conference of Mayors reported a sharp increase in the number of the hungry and homeless in major cities. In the 27 cities covered by a USCM survey, the number of people asking for emergency food increased by an average of 23 percent, and the increase averaged 13 percent for those asking for emergency housing relief. Demand for emergency food supplies grew in 93 percent of the cities covered by the survey. Of those who asked for emergency food, many -- 19 percent more than in the previous year -- had children to support. Of the adults who asked for emergency relief, 37 percent were employed. Hunger in these cities was attributed to low incomes, unemployment, high housing rent, economic recession, welfare reforms, high medical bills and mental disorders. According to a report issued by the U.S. Department of Labor on November 29, 2001, 4.02 million Americans -- the highest number in 19 years -- were living on relief. The National Alliance to End Homelessness has reported that 750,000 Americans are in a permanent state of homelessness, and that up to two million have had experiences of having no shelter for themselves. People without a roof over themselves have to spend the night in places like street corners, abandoned cars, refuges and parks, where their personal safety cannot be guaranteed.

Lives of the rich seem more valued than lives of the poor. According to La Liberation on January 9, 2002, the federal fund set up by the American government would compensate victims of the September 11, 2001 attacks according to their ages, salaries and the number of people in their families, plus a sum in compensation for the mental trauma the family members suffered. This way of fixing the compensations produced shocking results. If a housewife was killed, her husband and two children would be entitled to 500,000 U.S. dollars in compensation from the fund. If the victim happened to be a Wall Street broker, the compensation would be as much as 4.3 million U.S. dollars for his widow and two children. Families of many victims protested against this inequality, compelling the American government to commit itself to revising the method.

IV. Worrying Conditions for Women and Children

Gender discrimination is an important aspect of social inequality in the United States. Until this day, there has been no constitutional provision on equality between men and women. On September 18, 2000, with support of some NGOs, a dozen surviving "comfort women" brought a class action with a federal court in Washington D.C., demanding public apology and compensation from the Japanese government. The U.S. government, however, issued a statement of interest in July 2001, calling for dismissal of the lawsuit on the ground that recruiting of
"comfort women" by the Japanese army during the Second World War was a "sovereign act." The statement aroused protests from the U.S. National Organization for Women, the Truth Council for World War II in Asia and other NGOs. This incident, in its own way, reflects current conditions in protection of women's human rights in the United States and America's official attitude towards women's rights demand.

Violence against women is a serious social problem in the United States. According to U.S. official statistics, one American woman is beaten in every 15 seconds on average and some 700,000 cases of rape occur every year. According to the 121st edition of the American Census published on January 24, 2002, in 1998 about one million people were suspected of involvement in violence between spouses and between men and women as friends. In March 2001, Amnesty International USA issued a report after two years' investigation, saying that the human rights of female prison inmates in the United States are often fringed upon and that they often fall victim to sexual harassment or rape by prison guards. Seven states even do not have laws or legal provisions banning sexual relations between prison officials and female inmates.

Protection of American children's rights is far from being adequate. The United States is one of the only two countries that have not acceded to Convention on the Rights of the Child. It is one of the only five countries that execute juvenile offenders in violation of relevant international conventions. More juvenile offenders are executed in the United States than in any of the other four. In 25 states, the youngest age eligible for death sentence is set at 17; and 21 states set that age at 16 or do not impose an age limit at all. Besides, the United States is among the few countries where psychiatric and mentally retarded offenders could be executed. According to the Human Rights Watch, in the 1990s, nine juveniles were sentenced to death in the United States, and the number was greater than that reported by any of the other countries.

American children are susceptible to violence and poverty. According to a report published on November 28, 2001 by the U.S.Violent Policy Center, analysis of the murder data released by FBI shows that from 1995 to 1999, 3,971 infants and juveniles aged one to 17 years were murdered in handgun homicides. The firearm homicide rate for American children was 16 times the figure for children in 25 other industrialized countries. Black children have the highest rate of handgun homicide victimization, seven times higher than that for white children. In April 2000, the U.S. Fund for the Protection of the Child published a green paper on conditions of American children. It quotes the poverty statistics of the American government for 1999 as saying that more than 12 million children were living below the poverty line set by the federal government, accounting for one-sixth of the total number of children in the country. A report by the U.S. Health and Public Service Department released at the beginning of 2001 says that 10 percent of the American children have mental health problems and that one out of every ten children and children in adolescence suffered from mental illnesses that are serious enough to hurt. Nevertheless, those able to receive treatment could not exceed one-fifth.

The problem of missing children is serious. Figures published by FBI in 2001 showed that in 1999, 750,000 children went missing, accounting for 90 percent of the total number of people who went missing in the
American children often fall prey to sexual abuse. According to a report published in September 2001 by a group of researchers at the University of Pennsylvania after three years' investigation, about 400,000 American children are streetwalkers or engage in various obscene activities for money near their schools. Children who have fled their homes or are homeless suffer most severely from sexual abuse. Sexual harassment against children by clergymen in the United States is serious. According to Newsweek published on February 26, 2002, the Boston archdiocese of the U.S. Roman Catholic Church has over the past decade paid 1 billion U.S. dollars in compensation in lawsuits of sexual harassment by its clergymen against children. About 80 Boston clergymen are suspected of having molested children sexually. One has been accused of sexually molested more than 100 children. This, the greatest scandal in the United States following the Enron case, has aroused nationwide attention to the problem that is also common among clergymen elsewhere and, as a result, a string of similar cases have been brought to light.

V. Deep-Rooted Racial Discrimination

Racial discrimination is the most serious human rights problem in the United States, a problem that the United States has never resolved since its founding. The United States, as a matter of fact, was notorious for genocide against aboriginal Indians, trade of African blacks and black slavery. In recent years, scandals of racial discrimination have occurred, one after another.

On April 7, 2001, a white police officer shot to death an unarmed black youth in Cincinnati, Ohio, as he was trying to run away after breaking traffic rules. Black people in the city staged mass protests following the death of Timothy Thomas, which culminated in a racial conflict. The incident once again aroused worldwide attention to the problem of racial discrimination in the United States. According to the Observer of Britain published on April 15, 2001, Cincinnati is one of the eight large cities in the United States where the problem of racial discrimination is most serious. Even though the world is already in the 21st century, racial segregation is still practiced by virtually all schools in the city. Timothy Thomas was the fourth black person killed by white police in succession from November 2000 to April 2001, and the 15th black suspect killed by white police in the same city since 1995. It is beyond people's comprehension that during the same period, killing of white suspects by the police never occurred. According to the Associated Press, the mass protests in Cincinnati matched those that broke out after the killing of Martin Luther King.

Racial discrimination is discernible everywhere in the United States. The proportion of federal government posts taken by ethnic minority Americans is much smaller than the proportion of their population in the national total. According to an article in the July-August issue of the bimonthly World Economic Review, of the 535 senators and Congress men and women, those of Latin-American origin
with voting rights number only 19, or 3.5 percent of the total, even though ethnic Latin-Americans account for 12.5 percent of the country's total population. Blacks account for 13 percent of the American population, but are able to win only 5 percent of the public posts through election. There are legal provisions to the effect that colored people must account for a certain percentage in the police force. The true fact, however, is that few black people are able to join the police force and even fewer serve as senior police officers. Take for example Cincinnati. Black people account for 43 percent of the local population but, of the 1,000 members of the local police force, only 250 are blacks. None of the CEOs and presidents of the top 500 companies in the United States are blacks. Blacks holding senior posts at Wall Street investment companies are rare, if any.

Social conditions are bad for ethnic minority Americans. According to the 2000 population census, blacks unable to enjoy medical insurance are twice as many as whites. Only 17 percent of the black population are able to finish higher education, in contrast to 28 percent for whites. The unemployment rate was twice as high for blacks as for whites. Meanwhile, blacks employed for menial service jobs are more than twice as many. Incomes for the average white family averaged 44,366 U.S. dollars in 1999. For an average black family, however, the figure was 25,000 U.S. dollars. According to statistics provided by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Committee, the number of employed ethnic minority Americans has increased by 36 percent since 1990, but the number of charges against racial or ethnical harassment at work-sites has doubled, averaging 9,000 a year. Of the five largest dumps of harmful wastes, three are in residential areas inhabited mainly by blacks and other ethnic minority Americans. Up to 60 percent of the blacks and ethnic Latin-Americans are living in places where harmful wastes are dumped.

Racial discrimination is frequently seen in America's judicature. Half of the 2 million prison inmates are blacks, and ethnic Latin-Americans account for 16 percent of the total. According to an investigative report published by the United Nations, for the same crime the penalty meted out against the colored can be twice or even thrice as severe as against the white. Blacks sentenced to death for killing whites are four times as many as whites given death penalty for killing blacks. The U.S. Department of Justice reported on March 12, 2001 that threats by the police with force against blacks and ethnic Latin-Americans are twice as possible as against whites.

VI. Wantonly Infringing upon Human Rights of Other Countries

The United States ranks first in the world in terms of military spending and arms export. Its military expenditure accounts for nearly 40 percent of the world total, more than the combined military expenditure of the nine countries ranking next to it. Its arms exports account for 36 percent of the world total. U.S. defense budget for the 2003 fiscal year announced by the U.S. Defense Department on February 4, 2002 totaled 379 billion U.S. dollars, up 48 billion U.S. dollars, or 15 percent, over the previous year and representing the highest growth rate in the past two decades.

The United States ranks first in the world in wantonly infringing
upon the sovereignty of, and human rights in, other countries. Since the 1990s, the United States has used force overseas on more than 40 occasions. On April 1, 2001, a U.S. military reconnaissance plane flew above waters off China's coast in violation of flight rules, causing the crash of a Chinese aircraft and the death of its pilot. It presumptuously entered China's territorial airspace without permission from the Chinese side and landed on a Chinese military airfield, seriously encroaching upon China's sovereignty and human rights. After the incident, the United States made all sorts of excuses to defend itself, refusing to make a public apology for the serious consequences of its intruding aircraft and trying to shirk its responsibilities. This aroused great indignation and strong protests from the Chinese people.

The United States has built many military bases all over the world, where it has stationed hundreds of thousands of troops, violating human rights everywhere in the world. Before the September 11 incident, the United States had stationed its troops in more than 140 countries. Today, the United States has expanded its so-called security interests to almost every corner of the world. In recent years, U.S. troops stationed in Japan have frequently committed crimes. In 1995, three American soldiers raped a Japanese schoolgirl in Okinawa, sparking massive protests by the Japanese people and arousing the alert of world public opinion. In fact, scandals like this happen almost every year. On January 11, 2001, an American soldier was arrested for molesting a local schoolgirl in Okinawa. On January 19, the Okinawa parliament adopted a resolution of protest against frequent criminal activities by American soldiers, calling for reduction of U.S. troops in Japan. However, in an e-mail message to his subordinates, the U.S. commander in Okinawa insulted the Okinawa magistrate and parliament. On June 29, another soldier of the U.S. air force sexually assaulted a Japanese girl in Kyatan of Okinawa.

The NATO headed by the United States dropped a large number of depleted uranium bombs during the Kosovo war, subjecting peace-keeping soldiers as well as the local people to serious danger. The U.S. side claimed that one of the reasons for the withdrawal of U.S. troops from Kosovo is that "it would not let radiation hurt our boys." Latest reports say that the United States knew the dangers of depleted uranium bombs and where they were dropped, and that, when dividing up peacekeeping zones, it allocated the most seriously contaminated areas to allied forces. After the U.S. army entered Bosnia-Herzegovina and Kosovo, it gave a boost to the sex industry in the two places. Over the past year, Bosnia-Herzegovina uncovered dozens of women trafficking cases, many of which were associated with the U.S. army. Most of the U.S. soldiers were involved in prostitution and some of them were even involved in selling women. In September 2000, the U.S. Army published a report of more than 600 pages, detailing all kinds of bad behaviors committed by the No.82 air-borne division of its First Army during their peace-keeping mission in Kosovo, admitting that the general atmosphere of the U.S. army in Kosovo is very inhumane.

Available data indicate that in the Gulf War the United States dropped more than 940,000 depleted uranium bombs with a total weight of 320 tons onto Iraqi land, causing serious destruction to the environment of Iraq and the health of its people. The Ministry of Health of Iraq
pointed out in a report that the number of cancer patients in Iraq increased dramatically after the Gulf War, from 6,555 in 1989 and 4,341 in 1991 to 10,931 in 1997. In the ten years since the end of the Gulf War, the incidence rate of leukemia, malicious tumors and other difficult and complicated cases in areas hit by depleted uranium bombs in southern Iraq was 3.6 times higher than the national average and the proportion of women with miscarriage was ten times as high as in the past. On February 22, 2002, Emad Sa'doon, a medical expert with Basra University in southern Iraq, disclosed to the media that after many years of research the medical group led by him found that in the 1989-1999 period, the number of patients with blood cancer doubled and the number of women with breast cancer increased 102 percent.

The United States always flaunts the banner of "freedom of the press". Yet according to an Agence France-Presse report on February 21, 2002, the annual report of International Journalism Institute published on the same day pointed out that the way in which the U.S. government dealt with the media during the Afghan War and its attempt at suppressing freedom of speech by independent media were "the most amazing in 2001."

In the United States, close to 100 companies manufacture and export considerable quantities of instruments of torture that are banned in international trade. They have set up sales networks overseas. In its February 26, 2001 report, Amnesty International said some 80 American companies were involved in the manufacture, marketing and export of instruments of torture, including electric-shock tools, shackles and handcuffs with saw-teeth. Many instruments of torture and police tools are high-tech products, which can cause serious harms to the human body. For instance, handcuffs, which would tear apart the flesh of the tortured if the victim slightly exerts himself, are very cruel, and so is a high-pressure rope for tying up a person. Although categorically prohibited by U.S. law, the Commerce Department of the United States has given official export licenses for exporting such tools. According to statistics, American companies have secured export licenses and sold tools of torture overseas valued at 97 million U.S. dollars since 1997 under the category of "crime control equipment." It is inconceivable that, while the U.S. State Department is talking about human rights, the U.S. Department of Commerce has given export licenses for products determined as instruments of torture in statutes of the U.S. government, said Dr. William Schulz, who conducted the investigation.

The United States has also conducted irradiation experiments with the dead bodies of babies from overseas. The Daily Telegraph and the Observer of the United Kingdom disclosed in June of 2001 that the United States has recently declassified some top-secret documents, which indicate that in the 1950s the United States carried out what was called "Project Sunshine" experiments. For these experiments, about 6,000 dead babies were obtained from overseas and cremated without permission of their parents. The ashes were sent to laboratories for irradiation studies.

The U.S. government has until this day refused to sign the Basel Convention, which restricts the transfer of waste materials. It often transfers dangerous waste materials by different methods to developing
countries, damaging the health of the people of other countries. The Associated Press reported on February 25, 2002 that, according to an estimate by environmental protection organizations, as much as 50 percent to 80 percent of the electronic wastes collected by the United States in the name of recycling have been shipped to a number of countries in Asia for waste treatment, causing serious environmental and health problems to the local people.

The United States has announced its withdrawal from the Kyoto Protocol, refusing to bear the responsibilities of improving the environment for human survival and bringing about negative impacts on environmental protection efforts in the world.

The Third UN Conference Against Racism held in Durban of South African in September 2001 was an important gathering in the area of international human rights at the beginning of the new century. It attracted representatives from more than 190 countries, which reflected the burning desire of the international community to eliminate hatred accumulated over time and eradicate the remnants of racism through dialogue and cooperation. The United States, however, turned a deaf ear to the voices of the international community. Ignoring its international obligations, it asserted openly to boycott the conference before it was opened. Although the United States sent a low-level delegation to the conference as a result of prompting and persuasion by the United Nations, it took the lead in opposing discussing slave trade and colonial compensation, expressed opposition to putting Zionism on a par with racism, and walked out of the conference midway. Behaviors of the United States at the conference revealed its hypocrisy when it professes itself as "a world judge of human rights" and show how arrogant and isolated the hegemonic acts of the U.S. government are.

For many years, the U.S. government has year after year published reports on human rights conditions in other countries in disregard of the opposition of many countries in the world, cooking up charges, twisting facts and censoring all countries except itself. It also publishes a report every year to make a so-called appraisal of anti-drug trafficking campaigns of 24 countries including all Latin American countries. The United States deals with any country it deems "inefficient in cracking down on drug trafficking" with condemnation, sanctions, interference in the latter's internal affairs, or outright invasion.

In 2001, without support from the majority of member countries, the United States was voted out of the United Nations Human Rights Commission and the International Narcotics Committee. This shows, from one aspect, that it is extremely unpopular for the United States to push double standards and unilateralism on such issues as human rights, crackdowns on drug trafficking, arms control and environmental protection. We urge the United States to change its ways, give up its hegemonic practice of creating confrontation and interfering in the internal affairs of others by exploiting the human rights issue, go with the tide of the times characterized by cooperation and dialogue in the area of human rights, and do more useful things for the progress and development of the human society.
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Gretchen Straw
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State Member Research
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-----Original Message-----
From: Mark David Richards [mailto:mark@bisconti.com]
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2002 6:46 PM
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: FW: Census; PSR; Update on BZU Faculty

I am sending this message again-apparently, it was truncated. [Jan Werner explained that the problem was that the message was not sent as a plain text
email, but as a text attachment to an email delimited with a MIME boundary. My MS Outlook 2000 (US version) was set on Plain Text, but I looked under Tools, Options, Mail Format, SETTINGS, and found that it was also set on MIME... there is a choice of MIME vs. Uuencode (whatever that means). I switched to Uuencode... the last message went through... so maybe that was the problem...??] mark

-----Original Message-----
From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu]On Behalf Of Mark David Richards
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2002 11:27 AM
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Census; PSR; Update on BZU Faculty

1.
New Census Chief Faces Old Disputes, Fresh Tests
Top Task Is Persuading Congress to Invest in New Methods

---------------------------------------------------
2.
Palestinian Center for Policy and Survey Research (PSR)
Links to several polls of Palestinians and Israelis; Last updated 31 December 2001
Index of polls: http://www.pcpsr.org/survey/cprspolls/index.html
<http://www.pcpsr.org/survey/cprspolls/index.html>

---------------------------------------------------
3.
Current information from Birzeit University in Birzeit, Palestine:

-----Original Message-----
Subject: Update on BZU Faculty

>From: bzu information officer
>To: allusers
>Sent: 03/04/02 11:16 ?
>Subject: Update on BZU Faculty
>
>Update on faculty, staff and students at Birzeit University
>
>April 3, 2002
>
>Dear Birzeit University Community:
>
>We are writing this e-mail in the hope that you have electricity and
>phone lines and computers to check your e-mails from home.
>
>As you have already heard and seen on television, scores of people have
>been arrested over the past few days, and many homes were invaded. This
>catastrophe did not spare the Birzeit University community, be it the
Due to the curfew and the disconnection of phone lines and electricity, the below information is all we were able to get concerning our staff, faculty and students. If you know anything or anyone we haven't mentioned below, please contact us at webinfo@birzeit.edu, and supply us with your full name and phone number in order to get in touch with you.

Mohammad Ya'coub: Staff member at the National Conservatory of Music. Mohammad was arrested on Friday, March 29, along with others living in the Jabal Taweel neighborhood in Al-Bireh. His whereabouts are unknown.

Dr. Majdi El-Malki: Head of Sociology Department: The Israeli army took over his house on Friday, March 29. The army used it as a military base for three days, causing a lot of damage to the equipment, furniture and other personal belongings. As a result, Dr. Malki and his wife and two daughters had to stay with relatives.

Mirabo Shammas - Chief Financial Officer - he, his wife and two daughters were evacuated from their home on Saturday at 5.00 a.m., were left standing in the cold for a few hours, and were finally told that they can't go back inside. They were evacuated, and had to go and stay with his uncle's house, and have been staying there since. They were able to go back on April 2 when the curfew was lifted for a couple of hours - the soldiers had left, but they had done a lot of damage while searching the house.

Ali Taher - Faculty member in the Physical Education Department - he and his family were forced by the Israeli army to stay in a small apartment along with other families for the past four days.

Jane Lindsey - Irish Consultant from England sent by Friends of Birzeit University (FoBZU). Lindsey came here to work on a project with the Center for Continuing Education and the Mental Health Program, and was supposed to leave on Friday, March 29, but has been stuck in Ramallah.

The Irish Representative Office has been unsuccessful in reaching an agreement with the Israeli occupation forces to guarantee her safe departure from Ramallah.
Qasr El Hamra - the 12 students at Qasr El Hamra student hostel received medicine on Tuesday, April 2 from the Palestinian Red Crescent Society.

During the two-hours when the curfew was lifted, they were able to go and get some food, but were unable to go to a safer place, as nowhere in Ramallah can be declared safe these days. They are still living without electricity and water.

Board of Trustees Building - the building housing the Board of Trustees, the Center for Continuing Education, the National Conservatory of Music and Friends of Birzeit Association was invaded on Saturday. The side door leading to all these offices was forced open using explosives, and the damages as far as we know, are minimal.

Six students arrested - We know that they were arrested as they were shown on Television. Their books were torn and their computers smashed, but we don't have their names. If anybody knows who they are, please contact us at webinfo@birzeit.edu
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We are starting a search for a new head of our polling operations. The job description follows. Apologies in advance for its length.
The Director of the Center for Public Interest Polling (CPIP) directs a survey research organization that is part of the Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.

The Director will be responsible for leading and managing the Center's current programs while also developing and implementing a new plan for its future. His/her duties will include mid- and long-term planning as well as day-to-day administration and oversight. Among his/her specific tasks are seeking research opportunities, initiating contacts with potential funders, responding to requests for proposals, and overseeing all phases of the Center's work.

The Center's work is expected to fall within the five following major areas with the Director taking lead responsibility for some and delegating others:

1. contracts for major survey research projects;
2. a steady stream of contracts for shorter-term survey research projects including a regularly scheduled omnibus poll available for multiple clients;
3. continuation of The Star-Ledger/Eagleton-Rutgers Poll (SLERP);
4. a new educational program to provide courses, training, internships, and a certificate program in survey research; and
5. furthering the field of survey research through contributions to national projects, organizations and publications.

The Director of the Center for Public Interest Polling may be hired as a senior staff member or a research professor. All applicants should have extensive experience in survey research and policy analysis, as well as a background that includes managerial and fund-raising experience. An understanding of New Jersey politics is desirable. Applicants with a Ph.D in a relevant discipline are strongly preferred. Candidates with a
Master's Degree in a relevant discipline and unusually extensive and relevant experience also will be considered.

Letters of interest and resumes as well as questions should be submitted to:

Chris Lenart, Administrative Assistant to the Director
Eagleton Institute of Politics
191 Ryders Lane
New Brunswick, NJ 08901
Or: CLenart@rci.rutgers.edu

Additional information about the Eagleton Institute of Politics and its Center for Public Interest Polling is available at:
www.eagleton.rutgers.edu.

--
Cliff Zukin     zukin@rci.rutgers.edu   Rutgers University
732 932 9384 x247 o   732 932-1551 fx

Acting Director, The Center for Public Interest Polling
and Professor of Public Policy
Rutgers University
185 Ryders Lane
New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8557

http://slerp.rutgers.edu
http://eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu
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Fascinating piece, Thanks for posting.

Forgetting for a moment about the article's accuracy -- I just find it a bit ironic that China, of all countries, should be condemning others for human rights violations. But, before we rush to judgement, consider that it could be a symptom of a voice from within -- very fact that they would raise the issue at all could be politically significant because it alerts their own citizenry to the idea that maybe human rights is something to be valued and concerned about after all. If their citizenry takes each of the points raised in the article and asks about their own country, Chinese authorities might begin to worry. Happily.

Dick Halpern

At 01:01 AM 4/9/02, you wrote:

> If you like social statistics, you'll love this...
> 
> China condemns US as terrible example for human rights
> 
> Whoever wrote this I think deserves the thanks of all of us Americans. Here we are both shown how very much humanity we share with the rest of the world, and also how silly we Americans can look, in the eyes of that very same world.
> 
> For this, Xinhuanet, thank you! -- Jim
> 
> XINHUA NEWS AGENCY <news.xinhuanet.com> Copyright 2000 Xinhua News Agency
> 
April 8, 2002 8:30 a.m.
Polling Israel Out of Existence
By Ronni Gordon Stillman & Alexander T. Stillman

Nothing is lacking for the making of peace but the Arab persistence in denying Israel's very right to exist. Nothing can wrench out of our hearts or out of our policy this wish for peace, this hope of peace - not even our indignation over the killing of our loved ones, not even the enmity of the rulers of the Arab world.

Golda Meir

As the Israeli incursions aimed at rooting out the Palestinian terrorist infrastructure in the West Bank and Gaza enter their second week, the United States and other nations have urged Israel to wrap up its military maneuvers quickly and seek a political and diplomatic solution to the escalating crisis. The establishment of a Palestinian state as endgame is a concept endorsed and supported by the Bush administration and Israel. Yet a poll taken in mid-February 2002 by the Development Studies Programme (DSP), an institute affiliated with Birzeit University in the West Bank, reveals that Palestinian statehood would probably not end the hostilities between Israelis and Palestinians. Of 1,198 Palestinians polled <http://home.birzeit.edu/dsp/polls/p6/results.html> in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, 49.5 percent said that a future Palestinian state and Israel could not coexist peacefully.

The results of two other public-opinion surveys taken since Yasser Arafat unleashed his al-Aqsa intifada in September 2000 indicate that a large percentage of the Palestinian population does not want to make peace
with Israel. The polls, sponsored by the DSP and the Palestinian Center for Policy and Survey Research (PCPSR), surveyed Palestinians living in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip on their attitude toward the peace process, terrorism, coexistence with Israel and relations with Israelis. The polls were published in November 2000 <http://home.birzeit.edu/dsp/surv2/results.html> (1,234 Palestinians polled) and December 2001 <http://www.pcpsr.org/survey/polls/2001/p3b.html> (1,357 Palestinians polled).

THE PEACE PROCESS
The public-opinion surveys on the peace process target issues such as the elimination of anti-Israel references in the official Palestinian Ministry of Education school curriculum, the partition of Jerusalem and the right of refugees to return. When respondents were asked in the December 2001 survey if a future Palestinian state should adopt a school curriculum that recognizes Israel and teach schoolchildren not to demand the return of all Palestine to the Palestinians, 90.7 percent of the respondents opposed or strongly opposed such a change in curriculum. In the November 2000 poll, a whopping 92 percent said that peace is not possible between Palestinians and Israelis if East Jerusalem is not the capital of a Palestinian state. Yasser Arafat has consistently called on Palestinians to wage jihad for Jerusalem and the responses reflect this. 74.3 percent of those surveyed answered that even if East Jerusalem were to come under Palestinian sovereignty, they still would not accept Israeli sovereignty over West Jerusalem. As for the question of refugees, 91.5 percent of Palestinians polled in November 2000 believe that peace is not possible if Israel does not recognize the right of Palestinian refugees to return.

TERRORISM
When surveyed in December 2001, 81.8 percent of the Palestinian respondents supported or strongly supported armed attacks against Israeli targets, and 92.3 percent supported or strongly supported armed attacks against Israeli soldiers in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip. In the same poll, 82.3 percent disagreed or strongly disagreed with defining the suicide bombing at the Dolphinarium discotheque that murdered 23 mostly teenage Israelis (and wounded 100 more) as a terrorist attack. 69.4 percent of the respondents questioned would not consider the use of chemical or biological weapons against Israel an act of terror. Thus, a significant number of Palestinians not only favors violent attacks on Israelis, but would support the use of weapons of mass destruction against Israeli civilians.

NORMALIZATION
The Palestinian view of Israelis is not conducive to normalization of relations. In polls taken since November 2000, between 50 percent and 60 percent of Palestinian respondents asked if Palestinians and Israelis could coexist after an independent Palestinian state had been established next to the Israeli state answered that there is no chance for peaceful coexistence between the two peoples. Furthermore, even if a Palestinian state were established, 64.8 percent of the respondents in the November 2000 survey would not view a friendship between a Palestinian and an Israeli positively. And 62.3 percent of Palestinians surveyed in December 2001 would not invite an Israeli colleague to their home even if a peace agreement had been implemented and a Palestinian state were recognized by Israel.

CULTURE OF ANTI-JEWISH HATRED
The results of these public opinion surveys should not be surprising. After all, terrorist dictator Yasser Arafat has indoctrinated a generation of Palestinians with his vile culture of anti-Jewish hatred, so virulent it rivals that of Nazi Germany in the 1930s. Having agreed to abstain from incitement and hostile propaganda, he has violated the letter and the spirit of the Oslo accords with Israel. Sen. Connie Mack told Congress after a trip
to Israel in 1999, "peace is a matter of the heart. How can peace be obtained when Palestinian children are being taught hatred?"

Arafat has made no attempt to change the hearts and minds of his people, but rather harden and poison them with his praise of jihad against Israel and his glorification of young suicide bombers as martyrs. And he certainly has not prepared the Palestinians for peaceful coexistence with Israel. What emerges from a reading of the polls is that the conflict is not about land or settlements or holy sites or water. The plain truth is that Yasser Arafat's war, instigated and funded by the Arab world, is about Israel's very right to exist.


Howard Fienberg
Senior Analyst
The Statistical Assessment Service (STATS)
2100 L. St., NW Suite 300
Washington, DC 20037
(ph) 202-223-3193
(fax) 202-872-4014
(e-mail) hfienberg@stats.org
(website) http://www.stats.org

I'm in general agreement with what Dick Halpern says here. Because many of my top students these days come from the PRC, I tend to follow
news from there quite closely, whether I wish to or not (and I do, but of course). I also find that much of the rest of the developed world finds the U.S. to be arrogant, pompous, and self-important (probably including more than a few people here on AAPORNET--including a few Americans). In the piece I posted, I see the writer for Xinhuanet as something of a modern Chinese Mark Twain--gently, sympathetically and humorously poking fun at us Americans, eventually simply "exposing" us as just as human as everyone else. You'll never get me to argue with that. Along with Dick, I do find this a most encouraging sign. 

-- Jim

On Tue, 9 Apr 2002, dick halpern wrote:

> Jim,
> > Fascinating piece, Thanks for posting.
> >
> > Forgetting for a moment about the article's accuracy -- I just find it a bit ironic that China, of all countries, should be condemning others for human rights violations. But, before we rush to judgement, consider that it could be a symptom of a voice from within -- very fact that they would raise the issue at all could be politically significant because it alerts their own citizenry to the idea that maybe human rights is something to be valued and concerned about after all. If their citizenry takes each of the points raised in the article and asks about their own country, Chinese authorities might begin to worry. Happily.
> >
> > Dick Halpern
> >
> > At 01:01 AM 4/9/02, you wrote:
> >
> > > If you like social statistics, you'll love this...
> > >
> > > China condemns US as terrible example for human rights
> > >
> > > Whoever wrote this I think deserves the thanks of all of us Americans. Here we are both shown how very much humanity we share with the rest of the world, and also how silly we Americans can look, in the eyes of that very same world.
> > >
> > > For this, Xinhuanet, thank you! -- Jim
> >
> >
> > XINHUA NEWS AGENCY <news.xinhuanet.com> Copyright 2000 Xinhua News Agency
Jim,

Fascinating piece, Thanks for posting.

Forgetting for a moment about the article's accuracy -- I just find it a bit ironic that China, of all countries, should be condemning others for human rights violations. But, before we rush to judgement, consider that it could be a symptom of a voice from within -- very fact that they would raise the issue at all could be politically significant because it alerts their own citizenry to the idea that maybe human rights is something to be valued and concerned about after all. If their citizenry takes each of the points raised in the article and asks about their own country, Chinese authorities might begin to worry. Happily.

Dick Halpern

At 01:01 AM 4/9/02, you wrote:

> If you like social statistics, you'll love this...
China condemns US as terrible example for human rights

Whoever wrote this I think deserves the thanks of all of us Americans. Here we are both shown how very much humanity we share with the rest of the world, and also how silly we Americans can look, in the eyes of that very same world.

For this, Xinhuanet, thank you!

-- Jim
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  for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 9 Apr 2002 18:06:10 +0100 (BST)
Received: from 192.168.2.24 by gatekeeper.dfee.gov.uk
  Tue, 09 Apr 2002 17:52:42 -0000
Received: from lonexc02.dfee.gov.uk (unverified) by lonmsw01.dfee.gov.uk
  (Content Technologies SMTPRS 4.1.2) with ESMTP id
  <Bc0a8021b5a28579218@lonmsw01.dfee.gov.uk>;
  Tue, 9 Apr 2002 18:11:24 +0100
Received: by LONEXC02 with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)
  id <2QS44MTC>; Tue, 9 Apr 2002 18:07:04 +0100
Message-ID: <AE1F316B44D2D211A64800902728A78908654032@SHEEXC01>
To: beniger@rcf-fs.usc.edu, dhalpern@bellsouth.net, aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: RE: China condemns US as terrible example for human rights
Date: Tue, 9 Apr 2002 18:06:54 +0100
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)

-----Original Message-----
From: James Beniger [mailto:beniger@rcf-fs.usc.edu]
Sent: 09 April 2002 16:07
I'm in general agreement with what Dick Halpern says here. Because many of my top students these days come from the PRC, I tend to follow news from there quite closely, whether I wish to or not (and I do, but of course). I also find that much of the rest of the developed world finds the U.S. to be arrogant, pompous, and self-important (probably including more than a few people here on AAPORNET--including a few Americans). In the piece I posted, I see the writer for Xinhuanet as something of a modern Chinese Mark Twain--gently, sympathetically and humorously poking fun at us Americans, eventually simply "exposing" us as just as human as everyone else. You'll never get me to argue with that. Along with Dick, I do find this a most encouraging sign.

-- Jim

Hmmm. Mark Twain? - I have my doubts. As someone who before my current existence as a survey guy was a student of Soviet and Eastern European affairs this seems rather more reminiscent of the traditions of Stalinist propaganda. People like us, and the people this piece was aimed at, tend to be in favour of ethical consistency - that e.g. you can't simultaneously criticise countries for repressive internal or aggressive foreign policies and at the same time export to them the means and material they use to carry these out. But I think the author of this piece was more concerned about politics than subtle questions of morality.

As to perceptions of the US abroad, speaking as someone who first met Americans at anti-war demonstrations in London in the 60s, you have to distinguish between how Europeans feel about: what your government does (either in specific or general), how we perceive your society (radically more open than ours in many ways but also much more unequal) and how we feel about you yourselves.

And, of course, there's always the poor demented folk over here like me who are country fans and would give our eye teeth for a Green Card so we can live in the land of Hank, Haggard, Buck and George Jones.

See you in Nashville folks!

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609
0114 259 1180
Folks:

Does anyone have any suggestions for who monitors the broad range of things that are the subject of poll questions by legitimate pollsters?

w-

--From dbowers@casro.org Tue Apr  9 12:14:22 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g39JEKe01826 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Tue, 9 Apr 2002
12:14:21 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from mail.saturn5.net (mail.intraclub.net [207.122.105.6])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id MAA24260 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 9 Apr 2002 12:14:19 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from dianepc ([66.200.141.3]) by mail.saturn5.net (Post.Office MTA v3.5.3 release 223 ID# 0-68437U1600L100S0V35)
    with SMTP id net for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 9 Apr 2002 15:24:41 -0400
Message-ID: <001d01c1dffb$a98d9c60$9701a8c0@casro.org>
Reply-To: "Diane Bowers" <dbowers@casro.org>
From: dbowers@casro.org ((CASRO) Diane Bowers)
To: <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Honesty . . . is such a lonely word
Date: Tue, 9 Apr 2002 15:20:47 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
    boundary="----=_NextPart_000_0015_01C1DFDA.1FDC9960"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

----=_NextPart_000_0015_01C1DFDA.1FDC9960
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
I am searching for data/studies that answer (support) the question =
The data do not support his claim that the Ivy League in particular and academia in general are all lefty.

http://www.stats.org/newsletters/0203/horowitz.htm
Hi all-

Have there been any national polls on this aspect of Enron situation, that the Justice Department charged the entire Andersen firm with obstruction-of-justice, not just some individual employees?

Please respond to me directly.

Nick
I know that the Telezapper issue was discussed extensively a few weeks back, but I thought that the fact that a prominent techkie recently discovered it would be interesting to the list.

Benoît Gauthier
gauthier@circum.com

http://www.zdnet.com/anchordesk/stories/story/0,10738,2860124,00.html

Hang up on telemarketers--automatically! Here's how
By David Coursey, AnchorDesk
April 9, 2002 9:00 PM PT
URL:

Lately, I've been enjoying a new--and extremely pleasant--experience: The telephone rings, I answer it, there's a little beep, and the caller immediately disconnects. I hang up the phone with the smug satisfaction that comes from winning another battle with a telemarketer.

This is all made possible thanks to a little gizmo called the TeleZapper. I bought mine at RadioShack, but you can find it at other stores and online. I paid $49.95, and I'm sure it's discounted someplace. But if you get enough annoying marketing calls, it's worth at least twice the price.

HERE'S HOW it works. You connect the TeleZapper between your telephone wall outlet and a telephone. You can plug it into any empty phone jack in the house, as long as it's close enough to an electrical outlet to plug in the power supply.
Now, big telemarketing organizations use computerized dialing systems. You can recognize these computer-generated calls by the slight delay between the time you pick up the phone and the telemarketer appears on the line. (Pre-TeleZapper, I had already learned to hang up on these calls before the telemarketers started talking.)

The TeleZapper emits a tone that these dialing systems recognize as an indication that the line has been disconnected. When the dialer hears the TeleZapper tone, it immediately hangs up and (this is the best part) removes your number from its database.

AT FIRST, I was concerned that the device was connected to only one of my phones. I thought I'd have to answer all calls from that phone if I wanted to nuke the marketing calls. I'd even planned to use a cordless phone for the purpose. But not to worry: The TeleZapper people engineered the device so it responds to a phone pick-up anywhere in the house. So no matter where I am—or what phone I answer--TeleZapper emits its little beep.

I'd expected some of my "real" callers to notice the beep and comment on it, but so far that hasn't happened. What I have experienced, repeatedly, is the pleasure of hearing the compu-dialer click off the line when I answer.

Besides making me happier, it also prevents me from occasionally erupting at the humanoid on the other end. I'm sure my karma has also improved as a result of not visiting my disgust on some poor kid sitting in Colorado Springs or someplace, avoiding a career in fast food.

AS MUCH AS I LIKE IT, TeleZapper has a few limitations:

First, it's a single-line device. Two lines? Buy two TeleZappers.

Second, if you use an answering service provided by your local telco, the TeleZapper won't work on calls the answering service picks up. Someone (or something) has to actually pick up the telephone in your house for TeleZapper to work its magic.

Finally, and more seriously, the TeleZapper could prevent you from getting calls you want. Why? Because some computer-generated calls come from public safety agencies, blood banks, and other organizations you might actually want to hear from. If it weren't rude to shout, I'd put the following in all caps: If your community uses an automated calling system to warn residents about weather or other emergencies, think twice about installing the TeleZapper.

NONE OF THESE exceptions apply to me, so they aren't a cause of concern. If the local Red Cross chapter I work with ever automates its volunteer call-out system, I could be in trouble. The only limitation I've found is that not all telemarketers use computerized dialing systems. If a real human being dials the number, the TeleZapper won't work.

Whatever their limits, such devices may be superfluous in the
future: The Federal Trade Commission has proposed the creation of a national database of people who don't want to receive telemarketing calls, with serious penalties for violators. And I'm sure that the big call centers are working on countermeasures against devices like the TeleZapper.

But in the meantime, after two weeks with the TeleZapper, I'm incredibly pleased with its positive impact on my ongoing battle with telemarketers. Now if they could just build something to attach to my mail server that would eliminate junk mail--call it the SpamZapper.

>From simonetta@artsci.com Wed Apr 10 06:44:26 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3ADiPe21164 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Wed, 10 Apr 2002
06:44:25 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from chimta03.algx.net (chimta03.algx.net [216.99.233.78])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id GAA16946 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 10 Apr 2002 06:44:24 -0700
   (PDT)
Received: from leo (66-106-48-75.customer.algx.net [66.106.48.75])
   by chimmx03.algx.net (iPlanet Messaging Server 5.1 (built May 7 2001))
   with SMTP id <0GUC0025UU5780@chimmx03.algx.net> for aapornet@usc.edu; Wed,
   10 Apr 2002 08:43:56 -0500 (CDT)
Date: Wed, 10 Apr 2002 09:43:01 -0400
From: Leo Simonetta <simonetta@artsci.com>
Subject: RE: Telezapper
In-reply-to: <68143333131.20020410063605@circum.com>
To: "'AAPORNET'" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Message-id: <006101c1e095$a2b4ee80$0d0a010a@leo>
MIME-version: 1.0
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook CWS, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2910.0)
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8BIT
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
X-MSMail-priority: Normal

A columnist in the Baltimore Sun featured the Tele-Zapper prominently in one of his columns this week

It is available at http://www.sunspot.net/news/bal-to.cowherd08apr08.story
but requires free registration.

Some highlights;

But according to the TeleZapper brochure, people using it "typically see an 80 percent or higher reduction in calls, with many reporting close to a 100 percent reduction of telemarketing calls since you are being taken off the telemarketers' lists."

That's a lofty claim, obviously. And we'll see how true it is in the next few weeks as I continue to test my TeleZapper, after which I will report
back in another column, either praising or ripping it.

Oh, sure, I guess there could be some middle ground there. I guess we could take a careful, balanced look at the TeleZapper's good points and bad points.

But where's the fun in that?

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group, LLC
simonetta@artsci.com

> -----Original Message-----
> From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu
> [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu]On Behalf Of
> Benoît Gauthier
> Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2002 6:36 AM
> To: AAPORNET
> Subject: Telezapper
>
> (2002.04.10, 06:34)
>
> I know that the Telezapper issue was discussed extensively a few weeks back, but I thought that the fact that a prominent techkie recently discovered it would be interesting to the list.
>
> Benoît Gauthier
gauthier@circum.com
>
> http://www.zdnet.com/anchordesk/stories/story/0,10738,2860124,00.html
>
> Hang up on telemarketers--automatically! Here's how
> By David Coursey, AnchorDesk
> April 9, 2002 9:00 PM PT
> URL:
>
> Lately, I've been enjoying a new--and extremely pleasant--experience: The telephone rings, I answer it, there's a little beep, and the caller immediately disconnects. I hang up the phone with the smug satisfaction that comes from winning another battle with a telemarketer.
>
> This is all made possible thanks to a little gizmo called the TeleZapper. I bought mine at RadioShack, but you can find it at other stores and online. I paid $49.95, and I'm sure it's discounted someplace. But if you get enough annoying marketing calls, it's worth at least twice the price.
>
> HERE'S HOW it works. You connect the TeleZapper between your telephone wall outlet and a telephone. You can plug it into any empty phone jack in the house, as long as it's close enough to an electrical outlet to plug in the power supply.
Now, big telemarketing organizations use computerized dialing systems. You can recognize these computer-generated calls by the slight delay between the time you pick up the phone and the telemarketer appears on the line. (Pre-TeleZapper, I had already learned to hang up on these calls before the telemarketers started talking.)

The TeleZapper emits a tone that these dialing systems recognize as an indication that the line has been disconnected. When the dialer hears the TeleZapper tone, it immediately hangs up and (this is the best part) removes your number from its database.

At first, I was concerned that the device was connected to only one of my phones. I thought I'd have to answer all calls from that phone if I wanted to nuke the marketing calls. I'd even planned to use a cordless phone for the purpose. But not to worry: The TeleZapper people engineered the device so it responds to a phone pick-up anywhere in the house. So no matter where I am—or what phone I answer—TeleZapper emits its little beep.

I'd expected some of my "real" callers to notice the beep and comment on it, but so far that hasn't happened. What I have experienced, repeatedly, is the pleasure of hearing the compu-dialer click off the line when I answer.

Besides making me happier, it also prevents me from occasionally erupting at the humanoid on the other end. I'm sure my karma has also improved as a result of not visiting my disgust on some poor kid sitting in Colorado Springs or someplace, avoiding a career in fast food.

As much as I like it, TeleZapper has a few limitations:

First, it's a single-line device. Two lines? Buy two TeleZappers.

Second, if you use an answering service provided by your local telco, the TeleZapper won't work on calls the answering service picks up. Someone (or something) has to actually pick up the telephone in your house for TeleZapper to work its magic.

Finally, and more seriously, the TeleZapper could prevent you from getting calls you want. Why? Because some computer-generated calls come from public safety agencies, blood banks, and other organizations you might actually want to hear from. If it weren't rude to shout, I'd put the following in all caps: If your community uses an automated calling system to warn residents about weather or other emergencies, think twice about installing the TeleZapper.
NONE OF THESE exceptions apply to me, so they aren't a cause of concern. If the local Red Cross chapter I work with ever automates its volunteer call-out system, I could be in trouble. The only limitation I've found is that not all telemarketers use computerized dialing systems. If a real human being dials the number, the TeleZapper won't work.

Whatever their limits, such devices may be superfluous in the future: The Federal Trade Commission has proposed the creation of a national database of people who don't want to receive telemarketing calls, with serious penalties for violators. And I'm sure that the big call centers are working on countermeasures against devices like the TeleZapper.

But in the meantime, after two weeks with the TeleZapper, I'm incredibly pleased with its positive impact on my ongoing battle with telemarketers. Now if they could just build something to attach to my mail server that would eliminate junk mail--call it the SpamZapper.

I'm not sure if anyone has posted this already. It would seem to hold intriguing possibilities for future trend research. Robert

http://www.statisticalresearch.com/press/pr040402.htm
If they could only have one medium or media technology, more children would choose the Internet, with television placing second and telephone third.
These are among the findings of How Children Use Media Technology, a newly released, in-depth study from Knowledge Networks/Statistical Research (KN/SRI).

Given a choice of six media, one-third (33%) of children aged 8 to 17 told KN/SRI that the Web would be the medium they would want to have if they couldn't have any others. Television was picked by 26% of kids; telephone by 21%; and radio by 15%.

For the top three media, results were dramatically different among girls and boys. Twice as many boys (34% versus 17%) chose TV as their must-have medium, while telephone was more than twice as popular (31% versus 12%) among girls. The Internet placed first with 38% of boys and 28% of girls.

Robert Godfrey  
School of Journalism and Mass Communication  
Vilas Hall  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Madison, WI 53706  
email: rgodfrey@facstaff.wisc.edu

"Well, life is about risk and it ends badly." - Sen. Daniel Patrick Monihan

The Science of Pre-Election Polling  
May 2-4, 2002  
Gallup Building  
9th and F streets  
Washington D.C.

*******************************************************************************
Pre-election polls play an expanding role in news media coverage of political campaigns. While concern over the role of pre-election polls in political campaigns is not new, there is a growing concern over the accuracy of poll results. A number of innovations have been suggested that may lead to increased pre-election poll accuracy. In light of the role that pre-election polls play in the political process and the news media, it is important that the potential improvements be discussed and evaluated.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The 2002 Nebraska Symposium on Survey Research brings together leading professional and academic researchers to discuss recent pre-election poll performance, and some recently proposed innovations that may potentially improve pre-election polling and forecasting.

Speakers include:

Frank Newport
The Gallup Organization

Donald Green
Yale University

Harold Clark
University of Texas-Dallas

Charles H. Franklin
University of Wisconsin

Kathleen Frankovic
CBS News Poll

Edward H. Kaplan
Yale University

Simon Jackman
Stanford University

Michael Traugott
University of Michigan

George Terhanian
Harris Interactive

Christopher Wlezien
Oxford University

Early registration (before April 24) for the symposium is $125 ($50 for students, photocopy of current student ID must accompany payment); late registration is $175. This includes two and one-half days of paper presentations, coffee break refreshments, conference packet and lunches (Friday and Saturday).
Hi,

I am looking for recent information/literature on the response rates and representativeness achieved by different methodologies (when there is a random selection procedure for respondents), particularly door-to-door/face-to-face as compared to other methods (telephone, mail, and CLT studies in particular).

If anyone has any information regarding this topic, please e-mail me
One of clients has asked us to conduct some surveys with lawyers, mostly higher ups at larger firms and we were wondering about whether incentives would be necessary and what kind of incentives others have used and how it worked out.

Please respond off list to me directly and I'll be more than happy to summarize what I find out and send it to anyone who is interested or to the list if there is sufficient interest.
Several people have indicated that more information would be helpful in responding to my request.

The topic is Law schools and recruiting.

We are uncertain whether we should reveal our client or not.

And it is more of an in-depth interview than a quantitative survey.

We are considering a notification letter.
One of our clients has asked us to conduct some surveys with lawyers, mostly higher ups at larger firms and we were wondering about whether incentives would be necessary and what kind of incentives others have used and how it worked out.

Please respond off list to me directly and I'll be more than happy to summarize what I find out and send it to anyone who is interested or to the list if there is sufficient interest.

--
Leo G. Simonetta
Art & Science Group, LLC
simonetta@artsci.com

Why have we had such a spate of requests on topics of wide methodological import ending with, "Please respond directly to me" -- when the purpose of the list is to advance open discussion about matters of shared interest? Don't mean to pick on KC, but am I the only member who feels miffed at what comes across as selfishness or perhaps just a lack of awareness of how others might benefit from the replies? The value of this operation is an inverse function of the amount of one-way traffic.
Hi,

I am looking for recent information/literature on the response rates and representativeness achieved by different methodologies (when there is a random selection procedure for respondents), particularly door-to-door/face-to-face as compared to other methods (telephone, mail, and CLT studies in particular).

If anyone has any information regarding this topic, please e-mail me privately.

Thanks!

Kristen Conrad
Account Manager
LHK Partners Incorporated

I agree. Even though I may not have any direct knowledge of the issue/topic I am always open to learn something new.
Why have we had such a spate of requests on topics of wide methodological import ending with, "Please respond directly to me" -- when the purpose of the list is to advance open discussion about matters of shared interest? Don't mean to pick on KC, but am I the only member who feels miffed at what comes across as selfishness or perhaps just a lack of awareness of how others might benefit from the replies? The value of this operation is an inverse function of the amount of one-way traffic.

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
Voice (610) 408-8800
Fax (610) 408-8802
jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com

Kristen Conrad <kconrad@partnersinc.com>
Account Manager
LHK Partners Incorporated

Hi,

I am looking for recent information/literature on the response rates and representativeness achieved by different methodologies (when there is a random selection procedure for respondents), particularly door-to-door/face-to-face as compared to other methods (telephone, mail, and CLT studies in particular).

If anyone has any information regarding this topic, please e-mail me privately.

Thanks!

Kristen Conrad
Account Manager
LHK Partners Incorporated

From paolo@survey.ucsb.edu Thu Apr 11 17:05:09 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/uscd) with ESMTP
   id g3C058el7784 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Thu, 11 Apr 2002
17:05:08 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from isber.ucsb.edu (research.isber.ucsb.edu [128.111.147.5])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/uscd) with ESMTP
   id RAA16134 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 11 Apr 2002 17:05:07 -0700
On Thu, 11 Apr 2002, James P. Murphy wrote:

> Why have we had such a spate of requests on topics of wide methodological
> import ending with, "Please respond directly to me" -- when the purpose of
> the list is to advance open discussion about matters of shared interest?
> Don't mean to pick on KC, but am I the only member who feels miffed at what
> comes across as selfishness or perhaps just a lack of awareness of how
> others might benefit from the replies? The value of this operation is an
> inverse function of the amount of one-way traffic.

Agree-- if the problem is keeping the level of noise down, it would be
nice if the requesters then posted a summary of the information they
gather (if any).

Cheers,

--
Paolo A. Gardinali
Associate Director
UCSB Social Science Survey Center
http://www.survey.ucsb.edu
Dear Friends and colleagues,
In the old days I learned to add to a request TWO sentences:
(1) please respond to me privately
(2) I will make a summary of responses and share this with the list

In this way you avoid an overload of messages to the list, but give others the opportunity to learn about it too. It is a bit of work to edit the responses, but tit-for-tat you have learned from the list also a lot and for free!
Why not use this old bit of nettiquette as standard AAPORLIST practice?

Warm Regards, Edith de Leeuw
Sorry to incite a riot. I was merely trying to keep the volume of e-mails down. Personally, I find it difficult to wade through all the aapornet e-mails in the course of a day and figured this was a more efficient use of my (and everyone else's) time. Of course I would be happy to summarize any findings and post accordingly.

Interestingly, there have been more replies to this complaint than to my actual question!

-Kristen
Hi,

I am looking for recent information/literature on the response rates and representativeness achieved by different methodologies (when there is a random selection procedure for respondents), particularly door-to-door/face-to-face as compared to other methods (telephone, mail, and CLT studies in particular).

If anyone has any information regarding this topic, please e-mail me privately.

Thanks!

Kristen Conrad
Account Manager
LHK Partners Incorporated

------ =_NextPart_001_01C1E216.9DBE061E
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
*         ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---            *
*  This post contains a forbidden message format          *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*  This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT     *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

--------
>From gferree@ssc.wisc.edu Fri Apr 12 05:18:24 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3CCE0e03204 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002
   05:18:24 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from ssc.wisc.edu (root@charles.ssc.wisc.edu [144.92.190.84])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id FAA01045 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002 05:18:22 -0700
   (PDT)
From: gferree@ssc.wisc.edu
Received: from oemcomputer ([128.104.144.135])
   by ssc.wisc.edu (8.11.6/8.11.6) with SMTP id g3CCE8x21372
   for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002 07:18:08 -0500 (CDT)
   (envelope-from gferree@ssc.wisc.edu)
Listmembers may find the following of interest,

Don

G. Donald Ferree, Jr.
Associate Director for Public Opinion Research
University of Wisconsin Survey Center
1800 University Avenue
Madison WI 53705
608-263-3744/262-1688 (V) 608-262-8432 (F)
gferree@ssc.wisc.edu http://www.wisc.edu/uwsc

OPPORTUNITY FOR COST EFFECTIVE RESEARCH USING NATIONAL TELEPHONE SURVEYS
University of Wisconsin Madison

The UW-Madison Survey Center announces two opportunities for conducting substantive and methodological research with national samples in a cost-effective way. Researchers may purchase time on our Periodic National Omnibus Telephone Survey or Continuous National Telephone Survey. Either opportunity costs substantially less than mounting a survey from scratch because of economies of scale, and standard demographics are available without separate charge.

OPPORTUNITY A: NATIONAL OMNIBUS SURVEYS

UWSC plans to begin a series of periodic National Omnibus Surveys, beginning tentatively in the summer of 2002. These surveys are intended to cover a variety of topics, and will be between fifteen and twenty-five minutes long (depending on subscriptions) including demographics. The "target" N of completed interviews is 1000, with a one month field period. Questions may be designed in consultation with UWSC staff, who will pre-test items, suggesting modifications for mutual agreement. The sample is intended to represent the adult, non-institutionalized adult population of the United States.
Standard RDD procedures will be used to determine the telephone numbers called; within households one adult is randomly selected as the respondent. Up to twelve calls spread over days of week and time of day will be made, and refusal conversion will be attempted. Following the field period, data are cleaned, and fully documented datasets (consisting of the demographics and client-specific "modules") are produced.

The basic cost per interview minute of the typical module will be around three dollars per minute. Special circumstances, including either very short or very long modules, and the number and complexity of any open-ended questions would affect this basic cost, as would series asked only of a subset of the population.

OPPORTUNITY B: CONTINUOUS NATIONAL SURVEY

Since 1987 the UWSC has been conducting a Continuous National Survey by telephone. This has afforded an unusual opportunity for substantive and methodological research, but its continuation is contingent on there being sufficient paid subscribers in the future.

The basic design is as follows. Each day, a limited set of telephone numbers is added to the sample, with a view to finally resolving the typical number in a period of no more than seven or eight weeks, rigorously following a prescribed calling pattern, allowing for up to fifteen separate attempts and refusal conversion. At present, we aim to complete approximately 200-250 interviews per month, following a fieldwork procedure which guarantees that those surveys completed between any two points in time constitute a random sample of the US national population. Because of the way telephone numbers are introduced, the Continuous National Survey is especially suited to time-series analysis.

In addition to a basic ten minute "core" of items (details at http://www.wisc.edu/uwsc), with an extensive demographic battery, the continuous national survey typically contains several "modules" designed to address particular substantive or methodological research agendas. Timing can be extremely flexible, since surveys completed between any two timepoints constitute a national sample, with the duration determining the achieved sample size. Short runs to pretest questions are possible, as are experiments with alternative wording or alternative ordering. The lead time required to place items on the survey can be as little as two or three weeks, depending on other commitments.

Costs:

The cost for a specific module will depend on its length and complexity of the survey material and the degree of consultation required for development or analysis. Assuming a mature instrument for which no special additional work is required, however, "tack-ons" to the Continuous National Survey begin at a
basic charge of around $2.50 to $3.00 per interview minute (number of completes times "typical length" of battery). Already included demographics and all core items are "free". Scheduling of modules is contingent on the availability of space and compatibility with already subscribed questions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT EITHER SURVEY OPPORTUNITY PHONE OR EMAIL G. DONALD FERREE, JR.

--Message-Boundary-31896--
>From barry@arches.uga.edu Fri Apr 12 05:19:44 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3CCJhe03739 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002
05:19:43 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from malibu.cc.uga.edu (malibu.cc.uga.edu [128.192.1.103])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id FAA01682 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002 05:19:42 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from archa8.cc.uga.edu (arch8.cc.uga.edu) by malibu.cc.uga.edu
    (LSMT for Windows NT v1.1b) with SMTP id <2.00C841D8@malibu.cc.uga.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002
8:19:28 -0400
Received: from barry (bhollander01.grady.uga.edu [128.192.35.230])
    by archa8.cc.uga.edu (8.9.1/8.9.1) with SMTP id IAA130842
    for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002 08:09:45 -0400
Message-ID: <002101c1e21a$c6092640$e623c080@grady.uga.edu>
From: "Barry Hollander" <barry@arches.uga.edu>
To: <aapornet@usc.edu>
References: <2E0099D87942D4118206009027DE2A125F2C1B@AMIGO>
Subject: Re: Please Respond Directly/Privately
Date: Fri, 12 Apr 2002 08:08:35 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000

I differ.  Preserve bandwidth.  The net is clogged as it is, and I appreciate anyone who asks that responses be sent privately. I've requested the same many times.

If I have too many AAPORnet comments in my mailbox, it becomes difficult to view all my porn spam. Only so much time in a day, after all.

__________

Barry Hollander
Grady College of Journalism
Surely it depends on the nature of the enquiry. Posting replies to the list:

1) Reduces duplication - you don't get twenty people writing to you with the same point (as long as people read what's been posted already and don't repeat);

2) Leads to greater coverage - that person with the vital point that he or she thinks is obvious may also think it's not worth the bother of writing to you personally because everyone else will do it;

3) Enables discussion (this is a discussion list isn't it?). Not everything (not many things in fact) in survey methods is cut and dried. For example, people sometimes know of experimental evidence that, at the very least, should make us sceptical of certain bits of received wisdom. Interpretations of evidence can vary. Sometimes a more sophisticated shared understanding emerges precisely through discussion (I know, I know, I'm just
a starry eyed idealist but I have seen it happen, even on the 'net).

4) The benefits are spread wider. Was I the only one for example to benefit from replies on how to ensure Outlook doesn't send Out-of-office messages to the list (although a few people could do with checking back on that)?

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609
0114 259 1180

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Barry Hollander [mailto:barry@arches.uga.edu]
> Sent: 12 April 2002 13:09
> To: aapornet@usc.edu
> Subject: Re: Please Respond Directly/Privately
>
> I differ. Preserve bandwidth. The net is clogged as it is, and I appreciate anyone who asks that responses be sent privately. I've requested the same many times.
> If I have too many AAPORnet comments in my mailbox, it becomes difficult to view all my porn spam. Only so much time in a day, after all.
>
> ____________
>
> Barry Hollander
> Grady College of Journalism
> and Mass Communication
> University of Georgia
> Athens, GA 30602
> 706.542.5027
>
> email: barry@uga.edu
> web: http://www.journalism.uga.edu/hollander
>
> ____________
>
> The original of this email has been scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSI) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs.
> GSI users - for further details, please contact the GSI Nerve Centre, or browse GNC 003/2002 at http://www.gsi.gov.uk/main/new2002notices.htm
In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT helpdesk.

> From CSteele@aarp.org Fri Apr 12 08:18:17 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3CFIHe09590 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002
08:18:17 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from gatekeeper2.aarp.org (gatekeeper2.aarp.org [204.254.118.58])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id IAA14826 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002 08:18:16 -0700
   (PDT)
Received: by gatekeeper2.aarp.org; (8.8.8/1.3/10May95) id LAA31677; Fri, 12
Apr 2002 11:26:07 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by imc01dc.aarp.org with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
   id <H4HD5KDP>; Fri, 12 Apr 2002 11:18:03 -0400
Message-ID: <7EDC131491CBD411AE1200508BB01EFE02DA2C75@mbs02dc.aarp.org>
From: "Steele, Cate" <CSteele@aarp.org>
To: "Listserv@" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: FW: aapornet@usc.edu
Date: Fri, 12 Apr 2002 11:18:00 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain;
   charset="iso-8859-1"

Catherine A. Steele, Ph.D.
Senior Research Advisor
AARP
601 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20049
voice: 202-434-6296
fax: 202-434-6458

-----Original Message-----
From: Steele, Cate
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2002 11:10 AM
To: 'listserv@aapor.edu'
Subject: aapornet@usc.edu <mailto:aapornet@usc.edu>

I would like to temporarily discontinue my receipt of AAPORnet email, due to
a job change. I would like to restart my receipt of the listserv membership
upon receiving a computer at my new job. Thank you.

Catherine A. Steele, Ph.D.
Senior Research Advisor
AARP
601 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20049
voice: 202-434-6296
fax: 202-434-6458

> From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Fri Apr 12 23:02:28 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
POLL: AMERICANS SUPPORT CUTTING AID TO ISRAEL

By REUTERS

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - Most Americans believe the United States should halt or reduce economic and military aid to Israel if Prime Minister Ariel Sharon does not immediately withdraw troops from Palestinian areas, according to a Time Magazine/CNN poll released on Friday.

The poll of 1,003 adults also found most Americans back Secretary of State Colin Powell's Mideast peace mission, although they expect few results and consider Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat a "terrorist" and an enemy of the U.S.

The public opinion sampling was conducted on Wednesday and Thursday, before Powell arrived in Israel on Friday, when a suicide bomber killed six people outside a Jerusalem market.

Sharon has rejected repeated direct calls by President Bush to withdraw troops from Palestinian areas, prompting some critics to raise the possibility of sanctions.

But the administration has made clear it has no plans to threaten key ally Israel with a cut in its $3 billion in annual aid. Even if it did, a strongly pro-Israel U.S. Congress likely would oppose the move.
The Time/CNN poll found that 60 percent of Americans favored the aid cut off if an Israeli troop withdrawal does not take place immediately.

An even larger number -- 75 percent -- think Powell's Mideast trip is a good idea but only 21 percent of the respondents believe major progress toward peace will result.

As for Arafat, 59 percent of Americans consider him an enemy of the United States, 62 percent think he's a terrorist and 90 percent believe he cannot be trusted, according to the poll.

Sharon's standing is better. One quarter of the respondents consider him an enemy of the United States, 20 percent say he is a terrorist and 65 percent do not trust him.

The poll found that 65 percent of Americans think Bush is doing a good job handling foreign policy, a significant decline from the 80 percent favorable rating he had in December 2001, three months after the Sept. 11 attacks.

Fear of terrorism has declined. Last September, 45 percent of the poll respondents identified terrorism as the main problem facing the United States. That number declined to 25 percent in December and 21 percent this week.

The poll was conducted by Harris Interactive and has a margin of error of plus or minus 3.1 percentage points.

Copyright 2002 Reuters Ltd.


 *****

>From pmoy@u.washington.edu Sat Apr 13 18:30:31 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3E1UUe05685 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Sat, 13 Apr 2002
18:30:30 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from mxout3.cac.washington.edu (mxout3.cac.washington.edu
   [140.142.32.19])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id SAA09143 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Sat, 13 Apr 2002 18:30:30 -0700
   (PDT)
Received: from mailscan-out1.cac.washington.edu (mailscan-
   out1.cac.washington.edu [140.142.32.17])
   by mxout3.cac.washington.edu (8.12.1+UW01.12/8.12.1+UW02.01) with SMTP
   id g3E1UI8J024400
Dear AAPORnet,

I am happy to announce that Sage Publications will be taking part in this year's AAPOR Book and Technology Exhibit with a full booth, and will have its own sale alongside our own Saturday evening after the banquet. As the conference approaches, we are sending Sage, as well as other publishing houses who have agreed to send books to the conference (see below), a list of works authored by AAPOR members as well as titles that would be relevant to conference attendees.

If there are specific titles you would like to see in Florida next month, please email me no later than this coming Wednesday, 17 April 2002. If your publisher is not on this list, I encourage you to forward my contact information to your editor. Some publishers who have been part of AAPOR's book exhibit have declined this year due to budgetary considerations, and appeals by their authors may very well prove more persuasive.

Many thanks,

Patricia Moy

--------------------------

Publishers sending only books and order forms:

ACADEMIC PRESS/ELSEVIER SCIENCE
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS
GREENWOOD PUBLISHERS
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
POLITICO'S
TRANSACTION PUBLISHERS
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS
JOHN WILEY & SONS

Full booth: SAGE PUBLICATIONS (the following titles have already been registered with Sage)

Herbert Asher
Causal Modeling (QASS series)
Earl Babbie
Adventures in Social Research (with Fred Halley & Jeanne Zaino)
Adventures in Criminal Justice Research (with George Dowdall, Kim Logio, & Fred Halley)
Exploring Social Issues (with Joseph Healey, John Boli, & Fred Halley)
What is Society? Reflections on Freedom, Order, and Change
Jay Blumler, Jack McLeod, and Karl Erik Rosengren
Comparatively Speaking: Communication and Culture Across Space and Time
Jean Converse & Stanley Presser
Survey Questions: Handcrafting the Standardized Questionnaire (QASS)
Floyd Fowler
Survey Research Methods
Improving Survey Questions: Design & Evaluation
Standardized Survey Interviewing: Minimizing Interviewer-Related Error
(with Thomas Mangione)
Lawrence Grossberg, Ellen Wartella, and D. Charles Whitney
MediaMaking: Mass Media in a Popular Culture
Beth Hess & Myra Marx Ferree
Analyzing Gender: A Handbook of Social Science Research
Gudmund Iversen & Helmut Norpoth
Analysis of Variance (QASS)
Shanto Iyengar & Richard Reeves
Do the Media Govern?
Paul Lavrakas
Telephone Survey Methods: Sampling, Selection, and Supervision
Garrett J. O'Keefe, Dennis P. Rosenbaum, Paul Lavrakas, Kathaleen Reid, & Renee Botta
Taking a Bite Out of Crime
Allan McCutcheon
Latent Class Analysis (QASS series)
Vincent Price
Public Opinion
Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences
Robert Putnam & Nicholas Bayne
Hanging Together: Cooperation and Conflict in the Seven-Power Summits
Howard Schuman & Stanley Presser
Questions and Answers in Attitude Surveys
Tom Smith
NORC GSS User's Guide
Herbert Weisberg, Jon Krosnick, & Bruce Bowen
An Introduction to Survey Research, Polling, and Data Analysis

----------------------------------------------

Patricia Moy, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor, School of Communications
Adjunct Faculty, Political Science
University of Washington, Box 353740
Seattle, WA 98195-3740 U.S.A.

Voice: +1.206.543.9676
Fax:    +1.206.543.9285
Email: pmoy@u.washington.edu
Analyze This! Wall Street's Shame

By Howard Kurtz
Washington Post Staff Writer

...... The American Prowler weighs in on an overlooked poll:

"Alarm bells went off in Harlem over the weekend, and down in Little Rock too, when the Gallup polling firm released the results of its latest survey late last week of public perceptions of presidents past. 'Clinton tanked,' says a former aide to the president, 'and it bugged him a little bit.' So much so, word out of Little Rock is that the Clinton Library may undertake its own poll to buttress Clinton's belief that he remains one of America's most popular and respected leaders.

"In the latest Gallup 'Retrospective Job Approval Ratings,' Clinton at 51% finished third to last, safely above Lyndon Johnson (39%) and Richard Nixon (34%), but also well below Jimmy Carter and Jerry Ford (60% each). Even more hurtful, George Bush Sr. came in at 69%, and Ronald Reagan at 73%, which rates up there with George Washington. Most hurtful: JFK scored 83%. Despite his best efforts, Clinton remains no John Kennedy.
"'A lot of us are sure that President Clinton will rise in the people's affection over time,' says the aide. 'He just needs to settle down. Challenging the results of one poll with another isn't the way to go. It just gives his enemies more ammunition.'"


(C) 2002 The Washington Post Company

Post/ABC/Beliefnet Poll: The Catholic Church

This Washington Post/ABC News/Beliefnet poll was conducted by telephone March 25-28, 2002, among a random national sample of 1,086 adults, including 503 self-identified Catholics. The margin of error for overall results is plus or minus 4 percentage points, and plus or minus 5 percentage points for Catholics. Fieldwork by TNS Intersearch of Horsham,
1. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Approve NET</th>
<th>Approve Strongly</th>
<th>Approve Somewhat</th>
<th>Disapprove NET</th>
<th>Disapprove Strongly</th>
<th>Disapprove Somewhat</th>
<th>Total opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/02</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/19/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/27/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/9/01</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/27/01</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/13/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/9/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12/01</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30/01</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/01</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/01</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/25/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Overall, would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Catholic Church?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Favorable NET</th>
<th>Favorable Very</th>
<th>Favorable Somewhat</th>
<th>Unfavorable NET</th>
<th>Unfavorable Somewhat</th>
<th>Unfavorable Very</th>
<th>Total opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All 55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath. 73</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>All 63</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath. 88</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/99*</td>
<td>All 68</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wash Post/Kaiser/Harvard

3. (CATHOLICS ONLY) How satisfied are you with the leadership provided by (READ ITEM) - very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

3/28/02

Summary Table:

Don't know

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Satisfied NET</th>
<th>Satisfied Very</th>
<th>Satisfied Somewhat</th>
<th>Dissatisfied NET</th>
<th>Dissatisfied Very</th>
<th>Dissatisfied Somewhat</th>
<th>Total (vol.) op.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Your parish</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Your bishop</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = less than 0.5 percent
c. The Pope 79 41 38 18 4 13 NA 3

Trend:

a. Your parish priest/priests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>(vol.)</th>
<th>op.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/92</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.

b. Your bishop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>op.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/92</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.

c. The Pope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>op.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/92</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.

4. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think the church should do more to involve lay people in deciding church policies and practices, or is it doing enough?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do</th>
<th>Doing</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Currently, Roman Catholic priests cannot get married. Do you favor or oppose that policy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Favor</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/95</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Currently, women cannot become priests in the Roman Catholic Church. Do you favor or oppose that policy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Favor</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/8/93</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/23/87</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24/86</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. In your opinion, how much of a problem is the issue of sexual abuse of children by Catholic priests - would you say it's a major problem that demands immediate attention, a less immediate problem or not much of a problem at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Major problem</th>
<th>Less immed. problem</th>
<th>Not much at all</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/95</td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/8/93</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/23/87</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24/86</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Would you call this issue a crisis for the church, or not a crisis?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Catholic Church has handled the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you approve or disapprove of the way (READ ITEM)
handled this issue? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

3/28/02

Summary Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Don't know</th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>priest</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET Strg. Smwt. NET Strg. Smwt. (vol.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Your own parish priest/priests</td>
<td>67 45 22</td>
<td>12 6 6</td>
<td>6 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Your bishop</td>
<td>54 29 25</td>
<td>30 16 14</td>
<td>NA 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. National leaders of the Catholic Church</td>
<td>41 14 26</td>
<td>52 31 22</td>
<td>NA 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Do you think that sexual abuse of children by Catholic priests is something that happens very often, somewhat often, infrequently, or very rarely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Not often</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET Very Smwht. NET Infreq. Rarely (vol.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>50 17 33</td>
<td>43 21 22</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>38 11 27</td>
<td>57 30 27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>38 11 27</td>
<td>55 28 26</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>30 5 24</td>
<td>65 31 34</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Do you feel that the Catholic Church is or is not doing enough to deal with this issue?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doing</th>
<th>Not doing</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>enough</td>
<td>enough</td>
<td>opin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. (and 14) Has the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests hurt the overall reputation of the Catholic Church in your eyes, or not? (IF HURT REPUTATION) Has it hurt the church's reputation in your eyes a great deal, or only somewhat?

| Hurt reputation | NET Grt. deal Some-what No Has not hurt reputation No op. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 3/28/02 All | 69 38 31 | 1 | 29 | 2 |
| Cath. | 64 35 28 | 1 | 35 | 1 |
| 2/20/02 All | 59 26 31 | 1 | 39 | 3 |
| Cath. | 51 24 27 | 1 | 47 | 2 |
15. Do you think Catholic priests are more likely than other men to sexually abuse children, less likely, or about the same?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>More</th>
<th>Less</th>
<th>Same</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Sometimes when a priest has been accused of sexually abusing a child, the church has responded by transferring that priest to another parish, without telling parishioners about the accusation. Do you approve or disapprove of that practice? Do you approve/disapprove strongly, or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Strongly</td>
<td>Somewhat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. For each of the following items I mention, please tell me if you think it contributes to the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests, or not. (IF CONTRIBUTES TO PROBLEM) Do you think it's a major part of the problem or a minor part?

3/28/02

Summary Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Part of Problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET Major Minor part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. The church's policy of not allowing priests to marry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>76 41 35 21 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>74 38 36 25 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The church's policy of not allowing women to be priests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>55 18 37 42 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>54 19 35 44 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The practice of transferring priests accused of sexual abuse to another parish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>92 80 13 6 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>93 77 16 5 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The practice of not calling the police when a priest is accused of sexual abuse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>94 84 10 3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>93 79 14 4 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
e. A reluctance in the Church to dismiss priests because of a shortage of priests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Cath.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f. A lack of Vatican oversight of the Catholic Church in the United States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Cath.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trend where available:

a. The church's policy of not allowing priests to marry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of Problem</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Cath.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minor part</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>opin.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compare to:

As you may know, the Catholic Church does not allow priests to marry. Do you think this policy contributes to the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests, or not?

(IF CONTRIBUTES TO PROBLEM) Do you think it's a major part of the problem or a minor part?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRIBUTES</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>No op.</th>
<th>contribute</th>
<th>opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b, c, d, e, f. No trend.

18. (and 19) If a parish priest is accused of sexually abusing a child, do you think church officials should or should not inform his parishioners of the charge? (IF SHOULD INFORM) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Should inform</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Church</th>
<th>No op.</th>
<th>inform</th>
<th>opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. (and 21) If a priest is accused of sexually abusing a child, do you think church officials should or should not report that information to the police? (IF SHOULD REPORT) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Should report</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Church</th>
<th>No op.</th>
<th>report</th>
<th>opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
22. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think your diocese should or should not publicly report the amount of money it has paid to settle lawsuits against priests accused of sexually abusing children?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>Has already reported (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think your diocese should or should not publicly release the names of priests who have been accused of sexually abusing children?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>Has already released (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24. In the past, do you think church officials have mainly tried to prevent sexual abuse of children by priests, or mainly tried to cover up the problem?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prevent</th>
<th>Cover up</th>
<th>Both (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. And how about now, do you think church officials now are mainly trying to prevent sexual abuse of children by priests, or mainly trying to cover up the problem?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prevent</th>
<th>Cover up</th>
<th>Both (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26. How confident are you that the Catholic church can solve the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests - very confident, somewhat confident, not too confident or not confident at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>--------</th>
<th>---------</th>
<th>----------</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Not too</th>
<th>At all</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. Overall, do you think the recent news coverage of this issue has been fair to the church, or unfair?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Unfair</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Has this issue caused you personally to give less money in donations to the church, to give more money in donations to the church, or has your giving to the church remained about the same?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less</th>
<th>More</th>
<th>Same</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>Cath.97</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think your diocese should or should not publicly report the amount of money it has paid to settle lawsuits against priests accused of sexually abusing children?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>Has already reported (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think your diocese should or should not publicly release the names of priests who have been accused of sexually abusing children?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>Has already released (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24. In the past, do you think church officials have mainly tried to prevent sexual abuse of children by priests, or mainly tried to cover up the problem?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prevent</th>
<th>Cover up</th>
<th>Both (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. And how about now, do you think church officials now are mainly trying to prevent sexual abuse of children by priests, or mainly trying to cover up the problem?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prevent</th>
<th>Cover up</th>
<th>Both (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26. How confident are you that the Catholic church can solve the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests - very confident, somewhat confident, not too confident or not confident at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>--------</th>
<th>---------</th>
<th>----------</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Not too</th>
<th>At all</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. Overall, do you think the recent news coverage of this issue has been fair to the church, or unfair?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Unfair</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Has this issue caused you personally to give less money in donations to the church, to give more money in donations to the church, or has your giving to the church remained about the same?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less</th>
<th>More</th>
<th>Same</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>Cath.97</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29. Overall, when you think of the way the church has handled the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests, how would you say you feel about it - angry; dissatisfied but not angry; satisfied, but not pleased; or are you pleased with the way the church has handled it?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Angry</td>
<td>Dissat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Has this issue of sexual abuse by priests caused you to rethink or re-examine your own personal faith, or not?

Yes  No  No opin.
3/28/02  14  85  1

31. (and 32) NET (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think there is any chance that you will leave the Roman Catholic Church altogether in the next few years? (IF YES) Is that very likely, somewhat likely or not too likely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chance leave church</th>
<th>Likely</th>
<th>Has left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Very</td>
<td>Smwt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31/33 NET (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think there is any chance that you will leave the Roman Catholic Church altogether in the next few years? (IF YES) Is the issue of sexual abuse by priests the main reason you might leave the church, a reason but not the main one, or not a reason?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chance leave church</th>
<th>Sex abuse as reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Main</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. (CATHOLICS ONLY) How much do you trust your own parish priest around children - do you trust him completely, a good amount, somewhat, or not much?

Don't know

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trust</th>
<th>Don't trust</th>
<th>priest</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Comp.</td>
<td>Good amt.</td>
<td>NET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35. Are you aware of any instances in which a Catholic priest in your own community has been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?

Yes  No  No opin.
3/28/02 All  10  89  1
Cath.  13  87  *

36. (CATHOLICS ONLY) As far as you are aware, have any priests in your own parish been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?
37. (NON-CATHOLICS ONLY) As far as you are aware, have any clergy in your own religious congregation been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?

Don't have a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3/28/02</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>congression (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

38. As far as you're aware, do you have any relatives or personal friends who've been sexually abused as a child by a Catholic priest, or not?

Yes No No opin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3/28/02</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39. Aside from weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services - at least once a week, a few times a month, or less often than that?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3/28/02</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Weekly</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>Less than</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


(C) 2002 The Washington Post Company
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Jim,

What is especially interesting is that if it had not been for 911, Bush's ratings would be just slightly above Clinton's:

> "In the latest Gallup 'Retrospective Job Approval Ratings,' Clinton at
> 51% finished third to last, safely above Lyndon Johnson (39%) and Richard
> Nixon (34%), but also well below Jimmy Carter and Jerry Ford (60% each).
> Even more hurtful, George Bush Sr. came in at 69%, and Ronald Reagan at
> 73%, which rates up there with George Washington. Most hurtful: JFK
> scored 83%. Despite his best efforts, Clinton remains no John Kennedy.
> At 12:34 AM 4/15/02, you wrote:
> 
> Washington Post survey:
> 
> Thursday, April 4, 2002
> 
> 1. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his
> job as president? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?
> 
> And here's the table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Approve NET</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Disapprove NET</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/02</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/19/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/27/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/9/01</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/27/01</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/13/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/9/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12/01</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30/01</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/01</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/01</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/25/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

—

From tmg1p@t.mail.virginia.edu Mon Apr 15 07:39:52 2002
Is my calculator off, or did the Post mis-calculate the margins of error on this poll? Wouldn't it be plus/minus 3% for all adults given the reported N?

Tom

On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 21:34:41 -0700 (PDT) James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu> wrote:

> This Washington Post/ABC News/Beliefnet poll was conducted by telephone
> March 25-28, 2002, among a random national sample of 1,086 adults,
> including 503 self-identified Catholics. The margin of error for overall
> results is plus or minus 4 percentage points, and plus or minus 5
> percentage points for Catholics. Fieldwork by TNS Intersearch of Horsham,
> PA.
>
> *= less than 0.5 percent

> (C) 2002 The Washington Post Company


> Thursday, April 4, 2002

> Post/ABC/Beliefnet Poll: The Catholic Church

>
1. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Approve NET</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Disapprove NET</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/02</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/19/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/27/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/9/01</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/27/01</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/13/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/9/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12/01</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30/01</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/01</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/01</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/25/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Overall, would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Catholic Church?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Favorable NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Unfavorable NET</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All 73</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catholic 63</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>All 88</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catholic 88</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/99*</td>
<td>All 68</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. (CATHOLICS ONLY) How satisfied are you with the leadership provided by (READ ITEM) - very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priest</th>
<th>Satisfied NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>Dissatisfied NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Your parish</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Your bishop</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wash Post/Kaiser/Harvard
c. The Pope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Priest</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>86 57 29</td>
<td>8 3 5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>87 56 31</td>
<td>7 2 5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>80 48 32</td>
<td>11 4 7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>78 44 34</td>
<td>14 5 9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/92</td>
<td>80 53 27</td>
<td>11 4 7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.

b. Your bishop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Dissatisfied</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>76 40 36</td>
<td>17 6 11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>81 42 39</td>
<td>6 1 5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>73 35 38</td>
<td>12 4 8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>75 30 45</td>
<td>11 3 8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/92</td>
<td>74 45 29</td>
<td>9 4 5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.

c. The Pope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Dissatisfied</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>79 41 38</td>
<td>18 4 13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>84 59 25</td>
<td>12 2 10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>83 48 35</td>
<td>11 4 7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>81 32 49</td>
<td>15 2 13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/92</td>
<td>86 57 29</td>
<td>7 4 3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.

4. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think the church should do more to involve lay people in deciding church policies and practices, or is it doing enough?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do</th>
<th>Doing</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Currently, Roman Catholic priests cannot get married. Do you favor or oppose that policy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Favor</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>No op.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/95 Cath.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.
6. Currently, women cannot become priests in the Roman Catholic Church. Do you favor or oppose that policy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All (Favor)</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/8/93</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/23/87</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24/86</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. In your opinion, how much of a problem is the issue of sexual abuse of children by Catholic priests - would you say it's a major problem that demands immediate attention, a less immediate problem or not much of a problem at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Major (problem)</th>
<th>Less immed. (problem)</th>
<th>Not much at all</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Would you call this issue a crisis for the church, or not a crisis?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Catholic Church has handled the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Approve NET</th>
<th>Strongly Somewhat</th>
<th>Disapprove NET</th>
<th>Strongly Somewhat</th>
<th>Somewhat No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you approve or disapprove of the way (READ ITEM) handled this issue? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

3/28/02

Summary Table:

Don't know

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>-----Approve-----</th>
<th>---Disapprove----</th>
<th>priest opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Strg.</td>
<td>Smwt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Your own parish priest/priests</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Your bishop</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. National leaders of the Catholic Church</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Do you think that sexual abuse of children by Catholic priests is something that happens very often, somewhat often, infrequently, or very rarely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>--------Often--------</th>
<th>--------Not often-------</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>(vol.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Very</td>
<td>Smwht.</td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Infreq.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Do you feel that the Catholic Church is or is not doing enough to deal with this issue?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Doing</th>
<th>Not doing</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>(vol.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>enough</td>
<td>enough</td>
<td>opin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. (and 14) Has the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests hurt the overall reputation of the Catholic Church in your eyes, or not? (IF HURT REPUTATION) Has it hurt the church's reputation in your eyes a great deal, or only somewhat?
--------Hurt reputation--------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Great deal</th>
<th>Some-what</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Has not hurt</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
<th>Grt. deal</th>
<th>Some-what</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Has not hurt</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

15. Do you think Catholic priests are more likely than other men to sexually abuse children, less likely, or about the same?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>More</th>
<th>Less</th>
<th>Same</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

16. Sometimes when a priest has been accused of sexually abusing a child, the church has responded by transferring that priest to another parish, without telling parishioners about the accusation. Do you approve or disapprove of that practice? Do you approve/disapprove strongly, or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

17. For each of the following items I mention, please tell me if you think it contributes to the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests, or not. (IF CONTRIBUTES TO PROBLEM) Do you think it's a major part of the problem or a minor part?

Summary Table:

---

----Part of Problem----

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Not Major</th>
<th>No Minor</th>
<th>part opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The church's policy of not allowing priests to marry</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The church's policy of not allowing women to be priests</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. The practice of transferring priests accused of sexual abuse to another parish
   All  92  80  13  6
   Cath.  93  77  16  5

d. The practice of not calling the police when a priest is accused of sexual abuse
   All  94  84  10  3
   Cath.  93  79  14  4

e. A reluctance in the Church to dismiss priests because of a shortage of priests
   All  82  57  25  12
   Cath.  83  56  27  13

f. A lack of Vatican oversight of the Catholic Church in the United States
   All  70  33  37  20
   Cath.  72  30  42  21

Trend where available:

a. The church's policy of not allowing priests to marry
   ----Part of Problem----  Not  No
      NET  Major  Minor  part  opin.
   3/28/02 All  76  41  35  21  3
   Cath.  74  38  36  25  1

Compare to:

As you may know, the Catholic Church does not allow priests to marry. Do you think this policy contributes to the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests, or not?

(IF CONTRIBUTES TO PROBLEM) Do you think it's a major part of the problem or a minor part?

----------Contributes-------- Does not  No
      NET  Major  Minor  No op.  contribute
      opin.
   2/20/02 All  55  36  17  1  41  4
   Cath.  40  24  16  1  56  4

b, c, d, e, f. No trend.
18. (and 19) If a parish priest is accused of sexually abusing a child, do you think church officials should or should not inform his parishioners of the charge? (IF SHOULD INFORM) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?

---------Should inform---------     Should not       No
                  NET     Law     Church   No op.       inform        opin.
3/28/02 All       89       65       22        2            9            2
                   Cath.     83       60       22        1           13            3
2/20/02 All       89       62       26        1            8            3
                   Cath.     85       58       26        1           12            3

20. (and 21) If a priest is accused of sexually abusing a child, do you think church officials should or should not report that information to the police? (IF SHOULD REPORT) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?

---------Should report---------     Should not       No
                  NET     Law     Church   No op.       report        opin.
3/28/02 All       97       81       15        1            2            1
                   Cath.     97       78       17        2            2            1
2/20/02 All       97       80       15        2            2            1
                   Cath.     95       75       18        3            3            1

22. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think your diocese should or should not publicly report the amount of money it has paid to settle lawsuits against priests accused of sexually abusing children?

Should     Should not       Has already         No
             report       report        reported (vol.)     opin.
3/28/02       72           25                 *              3

23. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think your diocese should or should not publicly release the names of priests who have been accused of sexually abusing children?

Should      Should not       Has already        No
             release      release       released (vol.)     opin.
3/28/02       71            26                1              2

24. In the past, do you think church officials have mainly tried to prevent sexual abuse of children by priests, or mainly tried to cover up the problem?

Prevent     Cover up     Both (vol.)     No opin.
3/28/02 All          16          74             3             7
                   Cath.        23          66             4             7

25. And how about now, do you think church officials now are mainly trying to prevent sexual abuse of children by priests, or mainly trying to cover up the problem?

Prevent     Cover up     Both (vol.)     No opin.
3/28/02 All        43          46             5             6
                   Cath.        60          31             4             4
26. How confident are you that the Catholic church can solve the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests - very confident, somewhat confident, not too confident or not confident at all?

-----Confident------- -----Not confident-----     No
NET    Very   Somewhat NET    Not too   At all opin.
3/10/02 All 56      16       40      41       23       19       2
Cath.  72      31       41      26       19        8        2

27. Overall, do you think the recent news coverage of this issue has been fair to the church, or unfair?

Fair     Unfair     No opin.
3/28/02 All 76        17           7
Cath.  66        29           5

28. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Has this issue caused you personally to give less money in donations to the church, to give more money in donations to the church, or has your giving to the church remained about the same?

Less     More     Same     No opin.
3/28/02 9        1     88          2

29. Overall, when you think of the way the church has handled the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests, how would you say you feel about it - angry; dissatisfied but not angry; satisfied, but not pleased; or are you pleased with the way the church has handled it?

--------Negative-------- -------Positive--------     No opin.
NET    Angry    Dissat. NET    Satis.    Pleased
3/28/02 All 80       38       42      17       13       3       3
Cath.  70       36       34      27       19        9       3

30. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Has this issue of sexual abuse by priests caused you to rethink or re-examine your own personal faith, or not?

Yes     No     No opin.
3/28/02 14      85         1

31. (and 32) NET (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think there is any chance that you will leave the Roman Catholic Church altogether in the next few years? (IF YES) Is that very likely, somewhat likely or not too likely?

--------Chance leave church------ Has left
----------Likely---------- Will not already leave (vol.)
3/28/02 11        5      5*    85       3       3       2
31/33 NET (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think there is any chance that you will leave the Roman Catholic Church altogether in the next few years? (IF YES) Is the issue of sexual abuse by priests the main reason you might leave the church, a reason but not the main one, or not a reason?

--------Chance leave church------ Has left
34. (CATHOLICS ONLY) How much do you trust your own parish priest around children - do you trust him completely, a good amount, somewhat, or not much?

Don't know

---------Trust---------    ------Don't trust------    priest
No

NET    Comp.  Good amt.    NET    Smwt.   Not much    (vol.)
opin.

3/28/02  78      60        19       14        9        5          7
2
2/20/02  74      59        15       13        9        4         10
4

35. Are you aware of any instances in which a Catholic priest in your own community has been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?

Yes     No     No opin.

3/28/02 All       10     89        1
3/28/02 Cath.     13     87        *

36. (CATHOLICS ONLY) As far as you are aware, have any priests in your own parish been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?

Don't have

3/28/02 Yes     No     parish (vol.) No opin.

3/28/02      6      91        2              1

37. (NON-CATHOLICS ONLY) As far as you are aware, have any clergy in your own religious congregation been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?

Don't have a

3/28/02 Yes     No    congregation (vol.) No opin.

3/28/02      6      94           *                  *

38. As far as you're aware, do you have any relatives or personal friends who've been sexually abused as a child by a Catholic priest, or not?

Yes     No     No opin.

3/28/02 All       3     96         *
3/28/02 Cath.     5     95         *

39. Aside from weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services - at least once a week, a few times a month, or less often than that?

Weekly    Monthly    Less than monthly    Never    (vol.)    No opin.

3/28/02 All  40      19           38            3          0
3/28/02 Cath.  43      23           32            2          0
2/20/02 All  38      16           41            5          *
Sympathy Slips
An ABCNEWS.com Poll Finds Less Support for Israel, Palestinians

Analysis
by Dalia Sussman
[ABCNEWS.com]
NEW YORK, April 8 - Public sympathy for Israel has fallen while the number of Americans who sympathize with neither side in the Mideast conflict has spiked - an apparent expression of public frustration with the ongoing conflict.

Forty-one percent of Americans now say their sympathies lie more with Israel, down 11 points in the last six months and down from a peak of 69 percent in a similar question in 1989, during violent unrest in the West Bank and Gaza.

Sampling, data collection and tabulation for this poll were done by TNS Intersearch.

Far fewer Americans - 9 percent - sympathize more with the Palestinian Authority, down from 14 percent in October. What's risen is the number who volunteer that they don't sympathize with either side, 26 percent, or have no opinion, 16 percent more.

Who Is to Blame?

In another question, Israel gets substantially less blame for the violence than it received a decade ago - but blame for the Palestinians also is down, albeit less sharply.

Again, what has increased is the number of Americans who blame both sides equally - 8 percent in 1989, but 24 percent today - or who have no opinion.

Americans Support Saudi Plan

Public opinion concerning the Saudi Arabian peace proposal is virtually unchanged since last month. Fifty-three percent support the plan, which calls for Israel's withdrawal from territories it occupied in 1967 in exchange for Arab recognition of its right to exist; 22 percent oppose it and about a quarter have no opinion.

Twenty-eight percent of Americans not only support the plan, but also say the United States should pressure Israel to accept it if Israel resists. Twenty-one percent say the United States should not pressure Israel to accept the offer.

GOP Supports Israel Most

Sympathy for Israel peaks among Republicans at 64 percent, compared to 38 percent of Democrats and 32 percent of independents. Democrats and independents are far more likely to volunteer that they don't sympathize with either side.

And 50 percent of Southerners say their sympathies lie more with Israel, 11 to 16 points higher than in the rest of the country.

Republicans (59 percent) and Southerners (49 percent) are also more likely to blame the Palestinians for the violence.

Methodology

This ABCNEWS.com survey was conducted by telephone April 3-7, among a random national sample of 1,027 adults. The results have a three-point
error margin. Sampling, data collection and tabulation was conducted by TNS Intersearch of Horsham, Pa. <http://abcnews.go.com/images/aquadot.gif>
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It depends on the sample design, not just the sample size (n). The Post margins seem reasonable to me.

Mike

Michael P. Cohen
Bureau of Transportation Statistics
400 Seventh Street SW #3430
Washington DC 20590 USA
phone 202-366-9949 fax 202-366-3385

>>> <tmg1p@tetra.mail.virginia.edu> 04/15/02 10:40AM >>>
Is my calculator off, or did the Post mis-calculate the margins of error on this poll? Wouldn't it be plus/minus 3% for all adults given the reported N?

Tom

On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 21:34:41 -0700 (PDT) James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu> wrote:
>
>
>
>
This Washington Post/ABC News/Beliefnet poll was conducted by telephone March 25-28, 2002, among a random national sample of 1,086 adults, including 503 self-identified Catholics. The margin of error for overall results is plus or minus 4 percentage points, and plus or minus 5 percentage points for Catholics. Fieldwork by TNS Intersearch of Horsham, PA.

*= less than 0.5 percent

1. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

| Date       | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat | All NET | Strongly | Somewhat |
|------------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| 3/28/02    | 79      | 49       | 30       | 18      | 10       | 8        | 3       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 3/10/02    | 82      | 52       | 30       | 16      | 7        | 9        | 2       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 1/27/02    | 83      | 56       | 27       | 14      | 7        | 7        | 3       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 12/19/01   | 86      | 64       | 22       | 12      | 6        | 6        | 2       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 11/27/01   | 89      | 69       | 21       | 9       | 5        | 4        | 1       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 11/6/01    | 89      | 65       | 24       | 9       | 4        | 5        | 2       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 10/9/01    | 92      | 76       | 16       | 6       | 3        | 3        | 1       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 9/27/01    | 90      | 70       | 20       | 6       | 3        | 3        | 4       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 9/13/01    | 86      | 63       | 23       | 12      | 6        | 5        | 2       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 9/9/01     | 55      | 26       | 29       | 41      | 22       | 20       | 3       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 8/12/01    | 61      | 28       | 33       | 31      | 17       | 14       | 8       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 7/30/01    | 59      | 28       | 30       | 38      | 22       | 17       | 3       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 6/3/01     | 55      | 27       | 28       | 40      | 22       | 18       | 6       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 4/22/01    | 63      | 33       | 30       | 32      | 16       | 16       | 5       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 3/25/01    | 58      | NA       | NA       | 33      | NA       | NA       | 8       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 2/25/01    | 55      | NA       | NA       | 23      | NA       | NA       | 22      |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |

2. Overall, would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Catholic Church?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>All NET</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>op.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/99*</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wash Post/Kaiser/ Harvard
3. (CATHOLICS ONLY) How satisfied are you with the leadership provided by
(READ ITEM) - very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied,
or very dissatisfied?

3/28/02

Summary Table:

Don't know

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>---Satisfied-----</th>
<th>---Dissatisfied---</th>
<th>priest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Very</td>
<td>Smwt.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

op.

a. Your parish priest/priests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/92</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Your bishop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>---Satisfied-----</th>
<th>---Dissatisfied---</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Very</td>
<td>Smwt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/92</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. The Pope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>---Satisfied-----</th>
<th>---Dissatisfied---</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Very</td>
<td>Smwt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/18/01*</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28/95</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/93</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*7/01 and previous, Time/CNN.*

4. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think the church should do more to involve lay people in deciding church policies and practices, or is it doing enough?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do more</th>
<th>Doing enough</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Currently, Roman Catholic priests cannot get married. Do you favor or oppose that policy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Favor</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Currently, women cannot become priests in the Roman Catholic Church. Do you favor or oppose that policy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Favor</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. In your opinion, how much of a problem is the issue of sexual abuse of children by Catholic priests – would you say it's a major problem that demands immediate attention, a less immediate problem or not much of a problem at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major problem</th>
<th>Less immed. problem</th>
<th>Not much at all</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3/28/02
> 8. Would you call this issue a crisis for the church, or not a crisis?  
>   Yes  No  No opin.  
>  3/28/02 All  80  19  1  
>   Cath.  71  28  1  
>  9. Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Catholic Church has  
> handled the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests? Do you  
> approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?  
>   ---------Approve--------  --------Disapprove-----  No  
>   NET  Strongly  Somewhat  NET  Strongly  Somewhat  opin.  
>  3/28/02 All  19  5  14  67  49  18  
>   Cath.  28  12  16  66  45  21  
>  10. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you approve or disapprove of the way (READ ITEM)  
> handled this issue? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?  
>  3/28/02  
>  Summary Table:  
>  Don't know  
>   -----Approve-----  ---Disapprove----  
>   priest  
>   No  
>   NET  Strongly  Somewhat  NET  Strongly  Somewhat  (vol.)  
>   opin.  
>   a. Your own parish  
>     priest/priests  67  45  22  12  6  6  6  
>   b. Your bishop  
>     54  29  25  30  16  14  NA  
>   c. National leaders  
>     of the Catholic  
>     Church  41  14  26  52  31  22  NA  
>  11. Do you think that sexual abuse of children by Catholic priests is  
> something that happens very often, somewhat often, infrequently, or very  
> rarely?  
>   ---------Often--------  --------Not often-------  Never  No  
>   NET  Very  Somewhat  NET  Infrequent  Rarely  (vol.)  
>   opin.  
>  3/28/02 All  50  17  33  43  21  22  *  
>  Cath.  38  11  27  57  30  27  1
> 12. Do you feel that the Catholic Church is or is not doing enough to deal with this issue? 
> Doing  Not doing  No enough enough opin. 
> 3/28/02 All 26 64 11 
> Cath. 39 57 5 
> 2/20/02 All 22 64 14 
> Cath. 37 50 13 
> 13. (and 14) Has the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests hurt the overall reputation of the Catholic Church in your eyes, or not? (IF HURT REPUTATION) Has it hurt the church's reputation in your eyes a great deal, or only somewhat? 
> --------Hurt reputation-------- 
> 3/28/02 All 69 38 31 1 29 2 
> Cath. 64 35 28 1 35 1 
> 2/20/02 All 59 26 31 1 39 3 
> Cath. 51 24 27 1 47 2 
> 15. Do you think Catholic priests are more likely than other men to sexually abuse children, less likely, or about the same? 
> More Less Same No opin. 
> 3/28/02 All 15 14 69 2 
> Cath. 8 19 72 1 
> 2/20/02 All 11 20 66 3 
> Cath. 4 31 61 4 
> 16. Sometimes when a priest has been accused of sexually abusing a child, the church has responded by transferring that priest to another parish, without telling parishioners about the accusation. Do you approve or disapprove of that practice? Do you approve/disapprove strongly, or somewhat? 
> --------Approve--------  --------Disapprove-------  No 
> 3/28/02 All 4 2 2 93 85 9 2 
> Cath. 7 4 3 92 84 8 1 
> 2/20/02 All 4 2 2 94 85 9 2 
> Cath. 8 5 3 89 76 13 3 
> 17. For each of the following items I mention, please tell me if you think it contributes to the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests, or not. (IF CONTRIBUTES TO PROBLEM) Do you think it's a major part of the problem or a minor part? 
> 3/28/02
### Summary Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of Problem</th>
<th>Not NET</th>
<th>Not Major</th>
<th>Not Minor</th>
<th>part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The church's policy of not allowing priests to marry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The church's policy of not allowing women to be priests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The practice of transferring priests accused of sexual abuse to another parish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The practice of not calling the police when a priest is accused of sexual abuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. A reluctance in the Church to dismiss priests because of a shortage of priests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. A lack of Vatican oversight of the Catholic Church in the United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trend where available:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of Problem</th>
<th>Not NET</th>
<th>Not Major</th>
<th>Not Minor</th>
<th>part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The church's policy of not allowing priests to marry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----Part of Problem----</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As you may know, the Catholic Church does not allow priests to marry. Do you think this policy contributes to the problem of sexual abuse of children by priests, or not?

(IF CONTRIBUTES TO PROBLEM) Do you think it's a major part of the problem or a minor part?

---

18. (and 19) If a parish priest is accused of sexually abusing a child, do you think church officials should or should not inform his parishioners of the charge? (IF SHOULD INFORM) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?

---

20. (and 21) If a priest is accused of sexually abusing a child, do you think church officials should or should not report that information to the police? (IF SHOULD REPORT) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?

---

22. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think your diocese should or should not publicly report the amount of money it has paid to settle lawsuits against priests accused of sexually abusing children?

---

23. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think your diocese should or should not publicly release the names of priests who have been accused of sexually abusing children?
24. In the past, do you think church officials have mainly tried to
prevent sexual abuse of children by priests, or mainly tried to cover up
the problem?

Prevent     Cover up    Both (vol.)     No opin.
3/28/02 All          16          74             3             7
         Cath.        23          66             4             7

25. And how about now, do you think church officials now are mainly
trying to prevent sexual abuse of children by priests, or mainly trying to
cover up the problem?

Prevent     Cover up    Both (vol.)     No opin.
3/28/02 All          43          46             5             6
         Cath.        60          31             4             4

26. How confident are you that the Catholic church can solve the problem
of sexual abuse of children by priests - very confident, somewhat
confident, not too confident or not confident at all?

--------Confident--------    --------Not confident------    No opin.
3/10/02 All       56      16       40      41        23       19         2
         Cath.     72      31       41      26        19        8         2

27. Overall, do you think the recent news coverage of this issue has been
fair to the church, or unfair?

Fair     Unfair     No opin.
3/28/02 All       76        17           7
         Cath.     66        29           5

28. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Has this issue caused you personally to give less
money in donations to the church, to give more money in donations to the
church, or has your giving to the church remained about the same?

Less     More     Same     No opin.
3/28/02       9        1       88          2

29. Overall, when you think of the way the church has handled the issue
of sexual abuse of children by priests, how would you say you feel about
it - angry; dissatisfied but not angry; satisfied, but not pleased; or are
you pleased with the way the church has handled it?

--------Negative--------    --------Positive--------    No opin.
3/28/02 All    80       38        42     17      13         3         3
         Cath.    70       36        34     27      19         9         3

30. (CATHOLICS ONLY) Has this issue of sexual abuse by priests caused you
to rethink or re-examine your own personal faith, or not?
31. (and 32) NET (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think there is any chance that you will leave the Roman Catholic Church altogether in the next few years? (IF YES) Is that very likely, somewhat likely or not too likely?

---Chance leave church---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>Not too</th>
<th>Leave</th>
<th>Has left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31/33 NET (CATHOLICS ONLY) Do you think there is any chance that you will leave the Roman Catholic Church altogether in the next few years? (IF YES) Is the issue of sexual abuse by priests the main reason you might leave the church, a reason but not the main one, or not a reason?

---Chance leave church---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Main</th>
<th>Not main</th>
<th>Not</th>
<th>Leave</th>
<th>Has left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. (CATHOLICS ONLY) How much do you trust your own parish priest around children - do you trust him completely, a good amount, somewhat, or not much?

---Trust---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>Good amt.</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Smwt.</th>
<th>Not much</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35. Are you aware of any instances in which a Catholic priest in your own community has been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?

---Don't have---

|   |  
|---|---
| Yes| No| No opin. |
| 3/28/02| All| 10 | 89 | 1 |
| Cath.| 13 | 87 | * |

36. (CATHOLICS ONLY) As far as you are aware, have any priests in your own parish been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?

---Don't have---

|   |  
|---|---
| Yes| No| parish (vol.)| No opin. |
| 3/28/02| 6 | 91 | 2 |

37. (NON-CATHOLICS ONLY) As far as you are aware, have any clergy in your own religious congregation been accused of sexually abusing children, or not?
Don't have a congregation (vol.) No opin.
3/28/02 6 94 *

38. As far as you're aware, do you have any relatives or personal friends who've been sexually abused as a child by a Catholic priest, or not?
Yes No No opin.
3/28/02 All 3 96 *
Cath. 5 95 *

39. Aside from weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services - at least once a week, a few times a month, or less often than that?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less than weekly</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>Never monthly</th>
<th>Never (vol.)</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02 All</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02 All</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(C) 2002 The Washington Post Company
---------------------------------------------------------------------

********
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Charlottesville, VA 22904-4767 e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu
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KRC Research is the research division of Weber Shandwick, a global public relations company. We currently have openings in our Washington, D.C. office for project directors and research associates. KRC conducts quantitative and qualitative opinion research for a wide range of corporate and non-profit clients. The Washington, D.C., office specializes in public affairs and issues management.

A successful candidate for project director will have a minimum of five years' experience managing opinion research projects, including responsibility for research design, implementation and analysis; staff supervision; budgeting; client management; focus group moderation; and new business development.

A successful candidate for project associate will have a minimum of two years' experience working in opinion research, including responsibility for writing proposals, questionnaires and moderators' guides; supervising interviewing, recruitment, and data processing; and preparing graphs and reports. Moderating experience is a plus.

For all positions, strong writing and analytic skills are required. Candidates must have a minimum of a bachelors degree; advanced degrees and/or training in opinion research are preferred.

Please send resume and cover letter to:

Felicia Bland
KRC Research & Consulting
700 13th Street NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005
Fax 202-585-2779
E-mail fbland@webershandwick.com

NO PHONE CALLS, PLEASE.
Mr. Fleury,

I just wanted to touch base with you about a further interview at CSS. My schedule is pretty hectic until the 7th, but I do not want this to impede on my interview with the company. Please let me know what you all are thinking, for instance if you would like the position to be filled by the date I could interview. I am very interested in your company, and I thank you all for the opportunities you have given me up to this point. I hope to hear from you soon!

Thanks again,
Emily Dwoyer

MSN Photos is the easiest way to share and print your photos: http://photos.msn.com/support/worldwide.aspx

My face is really red, sorry about that
Send and receive Hotmail on your mobile device: <a...
Several members of the list have responded privately to me in response to my question about how a survey of over 1,000 respondents could have a reported margin of error of +/- 4%. They pointed out that the survey included an oversample of (about 500) American Catholics. So, the data reported for all Americans must be strongly weighted, and the resulting design effect would reduce the effective sample size, so that the reported margin of error is actually correct.

Thanks to my colleagues for the education! It seems so obvious to me now . . .

Tom

Thomas M. Guterbock
Voice: (434) 243-5223
NOTE: NEW TELEPHONE AREA CODE CSR Main Number: (434) 243-5222
Center for Survey Research FAX: (434) 243-5233
University of Virginia EXPRESS DELIVERY: 2205 Fontaine Ave
P. O. Box 400767 Suite 303
Charlottesville, VA 22904-4767 e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu
For information, http://circum.com/cgi/plan.cgi?lang=an offers an online design effect calculator for weighted sample with unequal strata weights.

Benoît Gauthier, mailto:benoit.gauthier@circum.com
Réseau Circum inc. / Circum Network Inc.

Enregistrez votre adresse électronique http://circum.com pour être informé(e) des nouvelles de Circum

Register your e-mail at http://circum.com to be kept informed of Circum news

74, rue du Val-Perché, Hull, Québec (Canada) J8Z 2A6
+1 819.770.2423 téléc. fax: +1 819.770.5196

=================================================================

** Essayez des options : courriel avec The Bat!, Web avec Opera
** Try alternatives : e-mail with The Bat!, Web with Opera
http://www.ritlabs.com/the_bat/
http://www.opera.com/

> Several members of the list have responded privately to me in response to
> my question about how a survey of over 1,000 respondents could have a
> reported margin of error of +/- 4%. They pointed out that the survey
> included an oversample of (about 500) American Catholics. So, the data
> reported for all Americans must be strongly weighted, and the resulting
> design effect would reduce the effective sample size, so that the reported
> margin of error is actually correct.
> Thanks to my colleagues for the education! It seems so obvious to me
> now . . .
>
> Tom

> Thomas M. Guterbock Voice: (434) 243-5223
April 5, 2002

More Kids Say Internet Is the Medium They Can't Live Without

New study details how children interact with media in everyday life

Westfield, NJ, and Menlo Park, CA - April 5, 2002 - If they could only have one medium or media technology, more children would choose the Internet, with television placing second and telephone third. These are among the findings of How Children Use Media Technology, a newly released, in-depth study from Knowledge Networks/Statistical Research (KN/SRI).

Given a choice of six media, one-third (33%) of children aged 8 to 17 told KN/SRI that the Web would be the medium they would want to have if they couldn't have any others. Television was picked by 26% of kids; telephone by 21%; and radio by 15%.

For the top three media, results were dramatically different among girls
and boys. Twice as many boys (34% versus 17%) chose TV as their must-have medium, while telephone was more than twice as popular (31% versus 12%) among girls. The Internet placed first with 38% of boys and 28% of girls.

How Children Use Media Technology was conducted as part of The Home Technology Monitor, a year-round service tracking which media technologies consumers own -- from mobile Internet access to DVD players -- and how they interact with those devices and services in their everyday lives. How Children Use Media Technology looks at such important topics as:

* which media technologies kids have in their bedrooms;
* activities kids are most likely to combine with media use;
* roles of adults, siblings, and friends in guiding kids' media choices; and
* connections between kids' Internet site visits and TV viewing, and vice versa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What Medium Would Kids Choose if They Could Only Have One?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children 8-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Knowledge Networks/Statistical Research, How Children Use Media

Knowledge Networks helps clients understand and increase their ROI on consumer investments. The company brings together an exclusive set of consumer research resources, including the only Web-enabled research panel that is representative of the entire U.S. population. In conjunction with its expertise in brands, media, advertising, and analytics, Knowledge Networks uses these resources to pinpoint specific steps clients can take to maximize efficiency in reaching and selling to consumers. Other Knowledge Networks services include segmentation, pricing, product configuration, advertising research, and media.

In 2001, Knowledge Networks acquired assets and expertise from Statistical Research, creating Knowledge Networks/Statistical Research (KN/SRI). KN/SRI is one of the country's leading authorities on consumers' use and ownership of media and technology. Studying children's interactions with media has been a KN/SRI specialty for over 10 years.

For more information, contact:
David C. Tice, Director, Client Service
908.654.4000, ext. 302
dtice@knowledgenetworks.com.

Surely all who work to increase the role of public opinion in human affairs might take heart at this moving report in today's Taipei Times, about a most surprising and wondrous transformation of how government works in China's impoverished Jiangxi Province.

-- Jim
response to it: while broadly encouraging the Internet's development, some party factions seek to suppress it by arresting IT entrepreneurs and Web dissidents. Nonetheless, China's more than 35 million Internet users -- a number that doubles every nine months -- have access to a wide variety of previously censored information, including sites that are officially banned.

Staggering changes have already occurred. When, a little over a year ago, 42 elementary school children and teachers in impoverished Jiangxi Province were killed in an explosion, China's domestic newspapers and Internet sites reported the explosion as the result of an appalling child-labor scheme: nine-year-old children had been forced to install detonators in firecrackers so that teachers could sell fireworks to supplement their salaries.

Two days later, Premier Zhu Rongji (朱镕基) denied the reports. He claimed that the explosion was the result of a "deranged man." Typical of China, state-owned media that carried the original story instantly retracted their reports to parrot the party line. Atypical of China, however, the true story refused to die.

In Internet forums and chat rooms, Chinese citizens continued to express their outrage about what they saw as a government cover up. Evidence that party leaders were lying, including interviews with witnesses, was posted on the net. Some Web sites deleted the information, others refused. Links to obscure Web sites with uncensored news about the catastrophe could even be found on the government newspaper's official People's Daily Web site.

A few days later, the breaking news from China was astonishing. "Responding to reports on the Internet and elsewhere, Premier Zhu Rongji apologized for an explosion that gutted an elementary school in rural China," read a report. Zhu said that the government bore "unshirkable responsibility" for the imbroglio and ordered an investigation. The turnaround was unprecedented. Zhu apologized primarily in response to the public outcry on the Internet.

Though still in its infancy, the Internet has shown that it has the power to shake China loose from its stagnant, isolated and repressive past. Historically, information in China has been controlled by the Communist Party, making popular opinion irrelevant. Now the Internet provides ordinary Chinese what they never had before: uncensored information -- a voice.

So the Communist Party is trapped in the Internet, because the government cannot pull the plug on it without hamstringing the economy. Furthermore, China will probably need to ease the restrictions it has already imposed if it wants to gain all of the Internet's benefits; that is, unless the conservative factions who feel most threatened by the Internet consolidate their power in the upcoming leadership succession.

When I first visited China a few years ago, then US president Bill Clinton had just departed Beijing, where he charmed the Chinese people in a historic televised discussion with President Jiang Zemin (江泽民). Since the US-China spy-plane crisis in April last year, when China demanded an apology and US President George W. Bush refused to give one,
the Bush administration has been alienating the Chinese.

The implications go beyond public relations. The Communist Party is preparing to change its old-guard leadership over the next two years. Hardliners who feel most threatened by the Internet's free flow of information were the people emboldened by the nationalistic waves of anti-American sentiment that swept China.

In the aftermath of the terrorist attacks on America on Sept. 11, angry and vengeful messages appeared in some Chinese chat rooms. "I'm glad that the USA was attacked," read one. Were these the xenophobic rants of extremist rightists or did they reflect a majority sentiment of the Chinese? In China, like the US, reactionary groups often congregate online, but with one important difference. In China, only the educated and relatively privileged can take part in online discussion. Thus, they can't all be written off as a purely lunatic fringe.

For the first time, as the explosion at the Jiangxi school and the response to the attacks of Sept. 11 proved, China's public can use the Internet to both gain information and express views that may influence the government. Now that popular opinion is emerging as a force in China, the Chinese people as well as the government will need to be wooed by those wishing to influence China. The Internet has provided ordinary Chinese with access to the real story as well as to a public voice, which means that they can be a powerful ally in efforts to effect change in the most populous nation on earth.


Copyright (C) 1999-2002 The Taipei Times
Tom,

The margin of error would be +/- 3 percentage points for the entire sample if one assumes a simple random sample. Perhaps they accounted for a design effect? That would be encouraging to me.

John Hall
Senior Sampling Statistician
Mathematica Policy Research
600 Alexander Park
Princeton, NJ 08540
phone (609) 275-2357
fax (609) 799-0005
e-mail jhall@mathematica-mpr.com

Is my calculator off, or did the Post mis-calculate the margins of error on this poll? Wouldn't it be plus/minus 3% for all adults given the reported N?

Tom

On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 21:34:41 -0700 (PDT) James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu> wrote:

> 
> 
> 
> 
> -----------------------------------------------
> (C) 2002 The Washington Post Company
> -----------------------------------------------
> 
> 
> Thursday, April 4, 2002
> 
> Post/ABC/Beliefnet Poll: The Catholic Church
> 
> This Washington Post/ABC News/Beliefnet poll was conducted by telephone March 25-28, 2002, among a random national sample of 1,086 adults, including 503 self-identified Catholics. The margin of error for overall results is plus or minus 4 percentage points, and plus or minus 5 percentage points for Catholics. Fieldwork by TNS Intersearch of Horsham, PA.
FTC Cracks Down On New Telemarketing Scam. ABC News (4/15, story 10, Jennings) reports, "We're going to take 'a closer look' tonight at a twist in the usual way telemarketers try to separate you from your money. It involves you calling them. Americans actually lose about $40 billion every year to telemarketing fraud, and the government has aggressively restricted the telemarketer's ability to call people. However, Americans, it turns out can be tricked into placing the calls themselves. Today the government began to stop some of these companies doing such business." ABC (Stark) adds, "Today, Electronic Medical Billing was shut down after the Federal Trade Commission alleged false and misleading claims. A company executive decline to talk on camera. But said they had done nothing wrong. When consumers call companies like these, this is the kind of pitch they hear. 'Would you like the opportunity to make $400 to $600 per week working part time? There's a great income potential in this new and exciting opportunity. For only a small investment of $399.'" ABC adds, "The FTC says consumers are more susceptible to being scammed because they are the ones making the phone call." FTC official Howard Beales was shown saying, "People probably don't think of it as telemarketing and it is important to remember to be as careful when you call them as when they call you." ABC adds, "Today the FTC worked to shut down 11 companies." Kenneth Hunter of the Better Business
Bureau was shown saying, "This is a particularly insidious white collar crime that robs victims of their money and their dreams." ABC adds, "Consumer advocates worry this will be happening with more frequency as they limit telemarketers' ability to call you."

Howard Fienberg  
Senior Analyst  
The Statistical Assessment Service (STATS)  
2100 L. St., NW Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20037  
(ph) 202-223-3193  
(fax) 202-872-4014  
(e-mail) hfienberg@stats.org  
(website) http://www.stats.org

I am not sure whether this is of sufficiently broad interest to warrant posting to AAPORnet but given last week's questions I'll err on the side of caution.

I think I thanked everyone who responded to me via email and if I did not I apologize and thank them now.

Assume any typos are mine.

First I posted:
One of clients has asked us to conduct some surveys with lawyers, mostly higher ups at larger firms and we were wondering about whether incentives would be necessary and what kind of incentives others have used and how it worked out.

Please respond off list to me directly and I'll be more than happy to summarize what I find out and send it to anyone who is interested or to the list if there is sufficient interest.

Then I added:

Several people have indicated that more information would be helpful in responding to my request.

The topic is Law schools and recruiting.

We are uncertain whether we should reveal our client or not.

And it is more of an in-depth interview than a quantitative survey.

We are considering a notification letter.

RESPONSES

We just recently surveyed presidents of companies and the incentive we used was that their name got put into a hopper for a free palm pilot. We are giving away 5 of them.

- You may want to contact the membership folks at the American Trial Lawyers Association. I know they recently conducted focus groups with their lawyer members here in DC on the topic of the usability of their website, but I don't know the amount of incentive offered (or if they've offered incentives with any of their membership surveys).

- Our firm has had experience interviewing lawyers and I would say it depends on things like subject matter, whether you can identify your client (and if that client has positive name association), the length of the survey, etc.

- We have been able to survey lawyers by mail and usually get a 50% response rate without an incentive. However this was only the Delaware bar but that involves some of the most powerful corporate firms in the country. Its best to have a pre-letter from the local bar association or perhaps an influential member of the bar or even a key member of the judiciary. If you have a random sample from across the country that would be difficult. I would think any incentive would have to be substantial to make any difference especially if you are focusing on senior members of the firm. Good luck.
I conducted a rather large study of General Counsels or Chief Counsels in Fortune 1000 'type firms. The project had both qualitative and quantitative phases. I did use incentives for survey participation, which was a topical report germane to their interest. We also included some high level (non competitive) results from the study under investigation, as well as secondary research to prepare the report. The major issues for lawyers as well as Executive level respondents are time and interest. That is why I used a 'information' centric incentive. I did not use a sponsor. I did use a 'generic' company type in the introduction.

I survey physicians all the time and we do use incentives. We tried cash ones initially and that was modestly successful. Now we use golf weekends (you can get relatively inexpensive package deals [food, hotel, golf] in many places) and that has really driven up the response rates.

I would suggest having a notification letter from (or that includes an endorsement from) a professional organization, if possible. We conducted a statewide phone survey of physicians two years in a row. The first year the notification letter came from the PI (a university researcher). The second year the letter was from the state medical association encouraging doctors to participate and signed by the current president. All other factors were pretty much the same but the response rate the second year was markedly improved.

I have conducted a number of surveys of attorneys and have never had any luck with incentives. Most charge in excess of $250-$500/hr and the incentives did not help.

Most large firms also require you go through at least two receptions/assistants if you are talking to higher up.

We have found the introduction is important.

We have also had fairly good luck by getting home numbers from the State Bar - Yes several actually sell them as long as you will agree not to use for solicitation. I tell them what I am going to use it for and have never had one turn me down.

In 1960 Jerome Carlin, a lawyer and sociology Ph.D., conducted a study of New York City law firms at the Bureau of Applied Social Research, sponsored by the Russell Sage Foundation. The study dealt with the sensitive issue of lawyers' ethics. We got 85% cooperation from a sample of 942 lawyers from firms of all sizes. We had fairly high-level backing from the Foundation and the Columbia University Law School - the study was part of the Law School's "Program on the Legal Profession," and the law school's contacts were very helpful on legitimating the study with the profession and the professional organizations. The results along with a description of the sampling and the interview schedule are to be found in Jerome E. Carlin,

Jerry Carlin himself is to be found in Berkeley, California, where after running the poverty law program in San Francisco he retired to become a painter. You might want to talk with him about how he did it.

We have conducted customer satisfaction research with higher-up public sector lawyers who use our client law firm and have had excellent success with no incentives. We have also done small focus groups over breakfast at a professional club that went very well. In both cases, however, there was a previous or current association with our client.

I would think a notification letter would be preferable (to none) anytime the entire available sampling frame is being sampled.

It's gonna be tough.

Several years ago we did annual surveys of the "professional" market (attorneys, physicians and corporate executives) for a large bank here. We used the traditional Erdos & Morgan/Dillman mail approach with new $1.00 bills. Attorneys were the lowest responding segment; I doubt we made 40 percent. Today I would use $5.00 and probably throw in Priority Mail (another $3.75 or so) and pray.

For telephone, I think the only approach is what most do with physicians. Have a screener call the person's office and negotiate with the admin/secretary for a time for the interview with the understanding that those participating will receive a $25 gratuity upon completion. The problem is that physicians are trained in this "exchange" mentality; many of your lawyers will be being contacted for the first time and be uncertain of what to expect or whether they should participate.

www.altmanweil.com (based here but has an office in your area) probably does more surveys among attorneys than anyone else, but they are largely compensation and management related. I'm sure they would be stingy with their secrets but maybe upon learning that your objectives are in a different area (assuming they are) they could give you some pointers.

>From my experience, it depends on the relationship your client has with said attorneys. My guess would be $100 for a 15 minute survey (which would be $400/hour) would go down reasonably well. That's what we've done in the past.

About four years ago I had a project for which I need to survey lawyers in firms, corporations, and government agencies within a defined geographic region. Although incentives were offered, they seemed irrelevant to the outcome. We used a raffle model for the incentives. In one wave we offered
season tickets to the symphony; in another, we offered a table at the Bar association dinner.

Our biggest problem obtaining an acceptable response rate was overcoming attorney's suspicions about the reasons for the research, and the use of the data once they were collected. We recruited respondents by telephone before sending each a paper-and-pencil questionnaire. Most were convinced that there were ulterior motives, and that their answers were going to be used in lawsuits against them, in lawsuits to which they were not a party and therefore could not control the use of the information given, for disciplinary reasons with the Bar, by their competitors/opponents, etc., etc. Most did not believe our promises of confidentiality and said that such promises were not legally binding. The response rates were so low that we ended up completely redesigning the research; it was transformed from a quantitative to a qualitative study; in-depth telephone interviews were conducted with those who agreed to participate.

I hope you have better luck than we did.

-- Mary Losch

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

RESEARCH ASSISTANT II (PR0706) #42925 Epidemiology-Iowa Birth Defects Registry (some evening and weekend work) $27,205-commensurate.
*Advertising extended. To coordinate the survey studies for the Iowa Birth
Defects Registry and Sampling Center, including the collection, analysis, processing and reporting of survey data. Requires a Bachelor's degree in a biologic, health related or social science field supplemented by one or more years of progressively responsible experience in epidemiologic research or an equivalent combination of education and experience as well as experience with subject recruitment activities, health interviews and biologic specimen collection. A Master's degree in a biologic, health related or social science field is desired. Highly desired qualifications include demonstrated ability to function independently, and supervisory experience. Desirable qualifications include experience with the health care system including the ability to relate well with health care professionals; demonstrated ability to prepare reports, charts and other documents of a technical and scientific nature plus experience with computerized database management systems. Send resume to: Sandy Gay, Recruitment #42925, Epidemiology-Iowa Birth Defects Registry, The University of Iowa, 4251 WL, Iowa City, IA 52242-1100. 319/335-8585. Email: sandy-gay@uiowa.edu

RESEARCH ASSISTANT I (PR0804) #42924 Epidemiology-Iowa Birth Defects Registry (requires some evening and weekend work) $23,230-commensurate. *Advertising extended. To assist with the performance of birth defects epidemiological research by scheduling and administering a computer-assisted telephone interview to study subjects, both in the English and Spanish languages as required; tracing contact information for subjects, maintaining and updating computerized databases; and compiling information for inclusion in reports. Requires a Bachelor's degree in a social or health science field or an equivalent combination of education and progressively responsible experience in epidemiologic research; fluency in speaking, reading and understanding the English and Spanish languages. Highly desires interviewing experience. Desirable qualifications include experience in maintaining and updating computerized databases for subject recruitment; knowledge of the health care delivery system structure; and good verbal and written communication skills. Send resume to: Sandy Gay, Recruitment #42924, Epidemiology-Iowa Birth Defects Registry, The University of Iowa, 4251 WL, Iowa City, IA 52242-1100. 319/335-8585. Email: sandy-gay@uiowa.edu
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On a good day, I can spell "employment" -- today is not a good day...

Date sent:        Tue, 16 Apr 2002 14:28:04 -0500
Send reply to:    losch@csbr.csbs.uni.edu
From:             Mary Losch <losch@csbr.csbs.uni.edu>
To:               aapornet@usc.EDU
Subject:          Re:  Employment Opportunities

> I'm posting these for a colleague. Please respond directly to the
> folks listed in the information below.
>
-- Mary Losch

> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>
> RESEARCH ASSISTANT II (PR0706) #42925 Epidemiology-Iowa Birth Defects
> Registry (some evening and weekend work) $27,205-commensurate.
> *Advertising extended. To coordinate the survey studies for the Iowa Birth
> Defects Registry and Sampling Center, including the collection, analysis,
> processing and reporting of survey data. Requires a Bachelor's degree in a
> biologic, health related or social science field supplemented by one or
> more years of progressively responsible experience in epidemiologic
> research or an equivalent combination of education and experience as well
> as experience with subject recruitment activities, health interviews and
> biologic specimen collection. A Master's degree in a biologic, health
> related or social science field is desired. Highly desired qualifications
> include demonstrated ability to function independently, and supervisory
> experience. Desirable qualifications include experience with the health
> care system including the ability to relate well with health care
> professionals; demonstrated ability to prepare reports, charts and other
> documents of a technical and scientific nature plus experience with
> computerized database management systems. Send resume to: Sandy Gay,
> Recruitment #42925, Epidemiology-Iowa Birth Defects Registry, The
> University of Iowa, 4251 WL, Iowa City, IA 52242-1100. 319/335-8585.
> Email: sandy-gay@uiowa.edu <mailto:sandy-gay@uiowa.edu>

> RESEARCH ASSISTANT I (PR0804) #42924 Epidemiology-Iowa Birth Defects
> Registry (requires some evening and weekend work) $23,230-commensurate.
> *Advertising extended. To assist with the performance of birth defects
> epidemiological research by scheduling and administering a
> computer-assisted telephone interview to study subjects, both in the
> English and Spanish languages as required; tracing contact information for
> subjects, maintaining and updating computerized databases; and compiling
> information for inclusion in reports. Requires a Bachelor's degree in a
> social or health science field or an equivalent combination of education
> and progressively responsible experience in epidemiologic research;
fluency in speaking, reading and understanding the English and Spanish languages. Highly desires interviewing experience. Desirable qualifications include experience in maintaining and updating computerized databases for subject recruitment; knowledge of the health care delivery system structure; and good verbal and written communication skills. Send resume to: Sandy Gay, Recruitment #42924, Epidemiology-Iowa Birth Defects Registry, The University of Iowa, 4251 WL, Iowa City, IA 52242-1100. 319/335-8585. Email: sandy-gay@uiowa.edu

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Tue Apr 16 12:51:39 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3GJpde09678 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002
12:51:39 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id MAA15194 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002 12:51:38 -0700
   (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
   by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3GJo6s03844 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002 12:50:06 -0700
   (PDT)
Date: Tue, 16 Apr 2002 12:50:06 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: What Users Want From Web Sites (Princeton Survey Research Associates)
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204161249020.2087-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Copyright (C) 2002 Consumers Union of U.S., Inc.

April 16, 2002

Consumer Web Watch Research

A Matter of Trust: What Users Want From Web Sites

A Report on Consumer Concerns About Credibility of Web Sites

Consumers say they don't hold a lot of trust in e-commerce sites, according to new findings released today by Consumer WebWatch. The report, based on the results of a telephone survey of 1,500 U.S. Internet users, finds that less than one-third of the respondents say they trust
Web sites that sell products or services. That's surprisingly low when compared to consumers' trust of traditional, offline institutions, such as newspapers and television news (58% trust) and the federal government in Washington (47% trust).

A majority of users had no idea that some popular search engines are paid to list some sites more prominently than others, an indication the line between online advertising and Web information continues to blur. Users overwhelmingly demand that search engines reveal such business deals, while a small group of users say they're more likely to use search engines that disclose such financial arrangements.

Whether Web-savvy or relatively inexperienced, Internet surfers want the sites they visit to provide easy-to-find and clearly stated information that will help them judge a site's credibility.

-------


--------------------------------
Copyright (C) 2002 Consumers Union of U.S., Inc.

--------------------------------

*****

>From albright@field.com Tue Apr 16 13:54:54 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
  by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
  id g3Ksre16817 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002
13:54:53 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from sun3.field.com (adsl-66-120-12-190.dsl.sntc01.pacbell.net [66.120.12.190])
  by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
  id NAA07526 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002 13:54:50 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from default ([192.9.200.128])
  by sun3.field.com (8.8.8+Sun/8.8.8) with ESMTP id NAA28545
  for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002 13:52:21 -0700 (PDT)
Message-Id: <4.2.0.58.20020416134724.00c64c40@pop3.norton.antivirus>
X-Sender: albright/pop.field.com@pop3.norton.antivirus
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 4.2.0.58
Date: Tue, 16 Apr 2002 13:53:12 -0700
To: aapornet@usc.edu
From: victoria albright <albright@field.com>
Subject: Interviewing 16-17 year olds
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi!

We are planning a survey to interview licensed drivers in California (via RDD). As licensed drivers in California can be as young as 16, what are
the legal and ethical issues of interviewing 16-17 year olds in California? Is parental permission required or advised? What about the special case when all household members are under 18?

Many thanks, -Vicky

Victoria A. Albright (Albright@Field.com)
VP/Research Director
Field Research Corporation
222 Sutter Street, 2nd floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
415 392 5763
>

If you are conducting research that requires IRB review, there are certainly a lot of regulations that apply to interviewing minors.

You can find more than you ever want to know about federal regs regarding the involvement of kids and other "special classes" here:

http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/irb/irb_chapter6.htm

If you're lucky, you won't need to enter this swamp.

At 01:53 PM 4/16/02 -0700, victoria albright wrote:
>Hi!
>
> We are planning a survey to interview licensed drivers in California (via RDD). As licensed drivers in California can be as young as 16, what are
>the legal and ethical issues of interviewing 16-17 year olds in
>California? Is parental permission required or advised? What about the
special case when all household members are under 18?

Many thanks, -Vicky

Victoria A. Albrit (Albright@Field.com)
VP/Research Director
Field Research Corporation
222 Sutter Street, 2nd floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
415 392 5763

---

Jim Wolf
Jim-Wolf@att.net

As an IRB chairman, I recommend that you try to avoid the IRB nightmare if it is at all possible. There are two items in your favor even though children are a "protected class."

One is that if you are not receiving federal funding to do this project, then you do not have to get IRB approval.

Another is that if you are doing an RDD survey I assume the responses will be anonymous. Also, consent is implied if they are willing to answer your questions since they could just hang up.
If you have to do an IRB review, you will have to get parental consent since they are minors.

Good luck

Bill Divale
Professor of Anthropology
York College Polling Center Director
City University of New York

With all due respect, my gut feeling is that you may have to go through the State of California's IRB. California has very tight regulations regarding IRB clearance.

Linda Bourque

At 08:02 PM 4/16/02 -0400, DivaleBill@aol.com wrote:
>Vicki
As an IRB chairman, I recommend that you try to avoid the IRB nightmare if it is at all possible. There are two items in your favor even though children are a "protected class."

One is that if you are not receiving federal funding to do this project, then you do not have to get IRB approval.

Another is that if you are doing an RDD survey I assume the responses will be anonymous. Also, consent is implied if they are willing to answer your questions since they could just hang up.

If you have to do an IRB review, you will have to get parental consent since they are minors.

Good luck

Bill Divale
Professor of Anthropology
York College Polling Center Director
City University of New York

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Tue Apr 16 22:06:47 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3H56ke23905 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002
22:06:46 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id WAA04691 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002 22:06:47 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3H55F109120 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 16 Apr 2002 22:05:15 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Tue, 16 Apr 2002 22:05:15 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Republicans Bracing for Bush Poll Decline (Reuters)
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204152204050.7746-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=X-UNKNOWN
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8BIT

------------------------------
Copyright © 2002 Reuters Limited
------------------------------
story.news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20020415/pl_nm/politics_bush_dc_1
WASHINGTON (Reuters) - President Bush's pollster is warning Republicans that Bush's sky-high poll ratings are set to decline, due to Democrats returning to the fold in an election year rather than Middle East turmoil or other issues.

"Over the coming weeks and months the president's numbers will continue to drift downward as the November elections near and, as a result, Democratic partisans return to a normal disapproval pattern," Republican party pollster Matthew Dowd said in a memo to party activists.

The memo was dated last Friday. Dowd said on Monday he sent the memo in an attempt to head off speculation that Bush policies were responsible for a decline he said would be inevitable.

"There's nothing that is a current event that is causing that to happen," Dowd told Reuters.

Bush's troubled engagement in the search to quell Middle East violence has not affected his approval rating, he said.

Bush, who had earlier faced criticisms for keeping his distance from the Middle East peace process, now faces charges that his demands for Israeli and Palestinian steps toward peace are being ignored.

On the Middle East, Dowd said, voters recognize that "this has been going on for years and years and years so they don't see a need for an immediate solution."


Former Vice President Al Gore (news - web sites) and others also attacked Bush on domestic issues including the economy and environment.

Dowd declined to give specific results of the party's polls, but predicted Bush's ratings would stabilize above their levels before Sept. 11.

"Since Democratic partisans account for approximately 40 percent of the electorate, this by itself could return the president's approval numbers into the 60s (percent range)," Dowd said in his memo.

A CNN/USA Today poll by Gallup in early April put Bush's overall approval rating at 76 percent, down from a record of 90 percent in late September and 79 percent in later March but still holding strong compared with past presidential ratings peaks.

In the poll, Bush's handling of the Middle East conflict drew 67 percent support, down 5 percentage points from two weeks earlier.
Some other information may be important.

Federal funding requires IRB review, since human subjects are involved. It may be expedited or exempt for adults, but not minors. Is this Federally funded? State? Commercial? Foundation?

Most Universities have policies that extend Federal rules to all research. In other words, Federal funded or not, the same rules apply.

States often have laws that cover minors and consent for research.

In one state (Oklahoma), I learned that the law permitting emancipation of minors (making them adults, legally) which allows them to give consent for medical procedures, make contracts, etc, specifically did not permit them to give consent to participate in research.
I don't know of any laws that protect adults who are not patients from research. You can call anybody on the phone and ask them anything you want. You don't even have to promise privacy. (How else could reporters get quotes for stories?)

Several laws, policies, or regulations may apply. This depends on the source of the funds but other organizational policies may apply. State law may apply. You'll have to do some research.

David Smith

David W. Smith, Ph.D., M.P.H.

(518) 439-6421

45 The Crosway
Delmar, NY 12054

dwsmith2@nycap.rr.com

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "victoria albright" <albright@field.com>
To: <aapornet@usc.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2002 4:53 PM
Subject: Interviewing 16-17 year olds

> Hi!
> 
> We are planning a survey to interview licensed drivers in California (via RDD). As licensed drivers in California can be as young as 16, what are the legal and ethical issues of interviewing 16-17 year olds in California? Is parental permission required or advised? What about the special case when all household members are under 18? 
> 
> Many thanks, -Vicky 
>
>
> Victoria A. Albright ( Albright@Field.com )
> VP/Research Director
> Field Research Corporation
> 222 Sutter Street, 2nd floor
> San Francisco, CA  94108
> 415 392 5763
>

>From langley@uky.edu Wed Apr 17 06:01:55 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/uscd) with ESMTP
   id g3HD1se16862 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 06:01:55 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from uky.edu (smtp.uky.edu [128.163.30.142]) by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/uscd) with ESMTP
   id GAA04237 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 06:01:54 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from 302_breck_nt.uky.edu langley@uky.edu [128.163.30.142]
   by uky.edu with Novell NIMS $Revision: 2.88 $ on Novell NetWare
Vicki: I have been following the responses to your query and would suggest that even if going through an IRB, it is possible to get them to waive parental consent if you can make a compelling case that it would seriously jeopardize the research. I have done so with a project where we had a listed sample from the DMV that included 16-17 year old drivers. However, we were doing a mailed survey and obtaining parental consent would have been much more cumbersome than with an RDD phone survey. You may not be able to make as strong a case for a waiver in your case. Also, you did not mention the topic of your survey. Another factor is whether the responses to your survey could put the minors "at risk."

Good Luck!
Ron Langley

At 01:53 PM 4/16/2002 -0700, victoria albright wrote:
> Hi!
> 
> We are planning a survey to interview licensed drivers in California (via RDD). As licensed drivers in California can be as young as 16, what are the legal and ethical issues of interviewing 16-17 year olds in California? Is parental permission required or advised? What about the special case when all household members are under 18?
> 
> Many thanks, -Vicky
> 
> Victoria A. Albright ( Albright@Field.com )
> VP/Research Director
> Field Research Corporation
> 222 Sutter Street, 2nd floor
> San Francisco, CA  94108
> 415 392 5763

"Its name is Public Opinion. It is held in reverence. It settles everything. Some think it is the voice of God." — Mark Twain
FTC Gets Strong Response For National No Call Registry. NBC (4/16, story 7, Brokaw) reports, "It's the dinner hour, and if your phone is ringing, it could be one of those telemarketers, one of those persistent people who don't take no for an answer. Now, there's a new way to fight back. But will it really work?" NBC (Hager) adds, "Three months after the Federal Trade Commission proposed one national registry for consumers to put their phone numbers on a 'no call' list, the period for filing written public comments has just closed, and the agency's been flooded with 41,000 responses. More than for almost any other issue, ever. And nearly all in favor of a 'no call' list. There are already 22 states starting such lists, the latest, Oklahoma, just this week. ... In Missouri, nearly half the households in the state have signed up, and 70 telemarketers have already been penalized $540,000 for alleged violations. But the industry says such registries stifle free enterprise and full of holes anyway." Matt Mattingly of the American Teleservices Association was shown saying, "This is sold to the consumer on the basis that if you sign up on this list you are not going to be called again by a telemarketer and that is simply not true." NBC adds, "Charities would be exempt. And FTC has no jurisdiction to block calls from banks, credit card companies or phone companies. But others say so many might sign up for a national 'no call' registry, perhaps a third of all households in the US, that it would send a powerful message to all would be callers."

Howard Fienberg  
Senior Analyst  
The Statistical Assessment Service (STATS)  
2100 L. St., NW Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20037
I'm reposting a job announcement originally circulated last week for anyone who may have missed it. We would like to fill the job by July 1 of this year, and will be happy to meet people at AAPOR to talk about it. Please feel free to circulate it to anyone you think might be interested. Apologies for having to get it twice; hope no one is seriously inconvenienced. Thanks.

Cliff Zukin

------------------------------------------------------------------
-
--------
-

Job Announcement:
Director, Center for Public Interest Polling
Eagleton Institute of Politics
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
New Brunswick, New Jersey

The Director of the Center for Public Interest Polling (CPIP) directs a survey research organization that is part of the Eagleton Institute of
Politics at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.

Established 30 years ago, the Center is one of the oldest and most respected academic-based state survey research organizations in the country. Its budget and staff size depend largely on contracts. In recent years, the average annual budget has been approximately $1.5 to $2.5 million supporting 25-35 contract studies and a staff of 15 to 20.

The Center is best known for the regular surveys of the New Jersey public it conducts in partnership with The Star-Ledger of Newark, the state's largest daily newspaper. Most of its work, however, has been devoted to contract research with government and nonprofit organizations and with individual professors and academic organizations.

The Director will be responsible for leading and managing the Center's current programs while also developing and implementing a new plan for its future. His/her duties will include mid- and long-term planning as well as day-to-day administration and oversight. Among his/her specific tasks are seeking research opportunities, initiating contacts with potential funders, responding to requests for proposals, and overseeing all phases of the Center's work.

The Center's work is expected to fall within the five following major areas with the Director taking lead responsibility for some and delegating others:

1. contracts for major survey research projects;
2. a steady stream of contracts for shorter-term survey research projects including a regularly scheduled omnibus poll available for multiple clients;
3. continuation of The Star-Ledger/Eagleton-Rutgers Poll (SLERP);
4. a new educational program to provide courses, training, internships, and a certificate program in survey research; and
5. furthering the field of survey research through contributions to national projects, organizations and publications.

The Director of the Center for Public Interest Polling may be hired as a senior staff member or a research professor. All applicants should have extensive experience in survey research and policy analysis, as well as a background that includes managerial and fund-raising experience. An understanding of New Jersey politics is desirable. Applicants with a Ph.D in a relevant discipline are strongly preferred. Candidates with a Master's Degree in a relevant discipline and unusually extensive and relevant experience also will be considered.

Letters of interest and resumes as well as questions should be submitted to:

Chris Lenart, Administrative Assistant to the Director
Eagleton Institute of Politics
191 Ryders Lane
New Brunswick, NJ 08901
Or: CLenart@rci.rutgers.edu
Yesterday's "Marketplace" program had a 2-part segment on focus group recruitment and professional focus group respondents.

Market Research

Ah, the focus group -- that favorite tool of the market researcher. Companies pay big bucks to have products tested before a focus group, before they're released to the general market. But are they getting what they pay for? Turns out, those focus group participants aren't always who they say they are. Marketplace's Amy Scott has the story.
Market Research Scam

Aspiring actress Sylvia Smith has found a way to make ends meet while pursuing her dream: a part-time career as a focus group participant. While this money-making tactic may seem a bit extreme, there may be some justification for this unusual career track.

The first part was broadcast at least once before. The second part is very funny. I particularly liked this line from "Sylvia Smith:"

"I am a treasure chest of baloney, and they love me!"

The program can be listened to in RealAudio at:


You can move the RealAudio slider ahead to skip to the segment which begins about 20 minutes into the program.

Jan Werner
jwerner@jwddp.com

>From mark@bisconti.com Wed Apr 17 10:16:56 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1) with ESMTP
    id g3HHGue05438 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002
10:16:56 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from janus.hosting4u.net (janus.hosting4u.net [209.15.2.37])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1) with SMTP
    id KAA04392 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 10:16:55 -0700
    (PDT)
Received: (qmail 1088 invoked from network); 17 Apr 2002 17:16:42 -0000
Received: from libra.hosting4u.net (HELO bisconti.com) (209.15.2.27)
    by mail-gate.hosting4u.net with SMTP; 17 Apr 2002 17:16:42 -0000
Received: from mark ([138.88.127.233]) by bisconti.com ; Wed, 17 Apr 2002
12:16:37 -0500
From: "Mark David Richards" <mark@bisconti.com>
To: <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Census Loses Faith in Adjustments
Date: Wed, 17 Apr 2002 13:08:36 -0400
Message-ID: <JAEPJNNBGDEENLLCIIIBGEHCECAA.mark@bisconti.com>
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook IMO, Build 9.0.2416 (9.0.2911.0)
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
X-Rcpt-To: <aapornet@usc.edu>

Census Loses Faith in Adjustments
Officials Say Reliable Numbers Won't Be Ready to Redistrict

By D'Vera Cohn
Washington Post Staff Writer
Wednesday, April 17, 2002; Page A13

Speaking of scams, the scams that have bugged me the most lately have to do with directories. Someone calls you up or mails you a copy from their directory showing how they have you listed and asks you to correct any misinformation. Then they send you a bill for the listing when you never even asked to be listed.

I am currently battling with NIC (National Info-Tech Center) over this very issue. They called and indicated that we were listed in their US Telecommunications Directory. I pointed out that we are not in the Telecommunications industry, so they claimed that others in that industry would certainly be potential clients and that they would use their directory to locate us. After much discussion, they said they would like to send me a copy of their CD-ROM directory to look at with no obligation. So when it arrived, I discovered it is a searchable listing of many other gullible companies by SIC codes and not much more. It was useless to me.

Then the invoice arrived for $392. Followed by phone calls. I returned the calls, left messages and argued with their claims dept. I have had to
get a little belligerent with them to get them off my back.

I was scammed several years ago by someone posing as a Yellow Page Directory salesman. He took me for nearly $1000 in directory ads. Beware of bogus directory offers.

Richard Rands
CfMC

>From amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu Wed Apr 17 14:25:22 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
id g3HLPLe04843 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 14:25:21
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from unlserve.unl.edu (unlserve.unl.edu [129.93.1.130])
by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
id OAA08947 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 14:25:19 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (amccutch@localhost)
by unlserve.unl.edu (AIX4.3/8.9.3/8.9.2) with SMTP id QAA59662
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 16:25:05 -0500
Date: Wed, 17 Apr 2002 16:25:05 -0500 (CDT)
From: ALLAN L MCCUTCHEON <amccutch@unlserve.unl.edu>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Pre-Election Polling Symposium Program Now On Web
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.02.10204171618450.48694-100000@unlserve.unl.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

The program for the 2002 symposium "The Science of Pre-Election Polling" is now available on at:

http://www.unl.edu/unl-grc/

Topics, times, and speaker information can be found on this page.

Registration materials and contact information is also available on this web page.

>From mark@bisconti.com Wed Apr 17 14:28:13 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
id g3HLSCe05755 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 14:28:12
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from epimetheus.hosting4u.net (epimetheus.hosting4u.net [209.15.2.70])
by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with SMTP
id OAA11992 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 14:28:11 -0700 (PDT)
Received: (gmail 9189 invoked from network); 17 Apr 2002 21:27:59 -0000
Received: from libra.hosting4u.net (HELO bisconti.com) (209.15.2.27)
by mail-gate.hosting4u.net with SMTP; 17 Apr 2002 21:27:59 -0000
Here's a lively example of why website "polls" aren't reliable ... (see Washington Times article below)!

The Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) http://www.cair-net.org/ put a controversial polling question on their website. Initially, CAIR regulars voted. That is, until InstaPundit http://instapundit.blogspot.com/ and others passed the word to their constituencies, which promptly stuffed CAIR's ballot box and reversed the initial results.

Feeling manipulated, and apparently not happy with the results, CAIR initially tried to "clean up" their data, but eventually pulled their "poll."

Contributors to Little Green Footballs (LGF), another website, derided CAIR's decision http://www.littlegreenfootballs.com/weblog/?entry=2824#comments and put a similar "poll" on their site. Before the CAIR "poll" was pulled, one LGF contributor wrote, "As an aside, the CAIR poll is extremely easy to manipulate. They use cookies to determine whether or not you have voted. Want to vote again, all you have to do is delete the cookie, close your browsers and go back in."

------------------------------------------------------

Inside Politics
Greg Pierce
The Washington Times

News and political dispatches from around the nation.
http://www.washtimes.com/national/inpolitics.htm

Funny business


"Early results were overwhelmingly in favor; with 513 votes, InstaPundit reports, 94 percent were in favor of putting the Israeli leader on trial. But when InstaPundit and other sites reported on the poll, their readers went to CAIR's site and cast their votes. By [yesterday] morning, there were 11,951 votes, and the numbers were reversed - 94 percent were
against trying Sharon," Mr. Taranto said.

"Then CAIR started engaging in some funny business. First, the number of votes somehow declined to 2,083, with 93 percent in favor. Then the poll disappeared altogether, replaced by this message:

"'CAIR is investigating several nefarious attempts by users trying to manipulate the votes. Thank you for your patience while we isolate and correct the problem. Please be advised that such systems that help in weighing public opinion should not be misused.'

"This is astonishingly dishonest. It's true, of course, that such polls can never be taken seriously because they do not poll a random sample of the public. But what CAIR calls 'nefarious attempts' to 'manipulate the votes' are simply people with Web sites encouraging their readers to weigh in. CAIR did not want to measure 'public opinion'; it wanted to measure the opinion of its constituency - aggrieved Muslims - knowing full well what the outcome would be. Its objection is precisely that the public dared to weigh in."

---------OTHER

CAIR published "The Mosque in America-A National Portrait,"
It is part of a larger study of American congregations, "Faith Communities Today," coordinated by Carl Dudley and David Roozen of Hartford Seminary's Hartford Institute for Religious Research.


---------------------------------------------
Mark Richards
mark@bisconti.com

>From dhalpern@bellsouth.net Wed Apr 17 19:47:31 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3I21Ve19804 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002
19:47:31 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from imf26bis.bellsouth.net (mail026.mail.bellsouth.net
[205.152.58.66])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id TAA27165 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 19:47:29 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from w5y0s9.bellsouth.net ([65.81.43.49])
   by imf26bis.bellsouth.net
   (InterMail vM.5.01.04.05 201-253-122-122-105-20011231) with ESMTP
   id <20020418024648.LVRY11363.imf26bis.bellsouth.net@w5y0s9.bellsouth.net>
   for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 22:46:48 -0400
Message-Id: <5.1.0.14.2.20020417223714.00ab3b60@pop3.norton.antivirus>
X-Sender: dhalpern@mail.at1.bellsouth.net@pop3.norton.antivirus
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.1
For the statistically inclined.....and those in need of cash.....

The value of the lottery in Georgia has reached the grand sum of $325 million. That's right, $300 million.

What are the odds of winning?

The statistical Assessment Service of Washington came to our rescue and in yesterday's Atlanta Journal and Constitution the following article appeared:

Someone's got to win it
Jeffry Scott - Staff
Tuesday, April 16, 2002

You are 61 times more likely to be attacked by a flesh-eating virus than you are likely to win the $325 million Big Game jackpot tonight.

The odds of winning the jackpot are 76 million to 1 --- but you still feel lucky, don't you?

Go ahead, admit it, there are millions of us walking around today who are just like Jackie Blackmon.

"I don't even pay any attention to the odds," said Blackmon, who was buying tickets Monday at Dixie News on Decatur Street in Atlanta.

"I bought three tickets on Friday and I didn't win. I always think I've got a chance."

Here's that unwanted dose of reality --- more from the privately run Statistical Assessment Service of Washington, which figured the odds on the flesh-eating virus: You're 25 times more likely to be executed by the state even if the worst crime you've ever committed is drinking milk straight out of the jug.

You're also 25 times more likely to be struck by lightning, the benchmark of long shot odds, than you are to wake up a jackpot winner Wednesday. So why plunk down that cash or join that ticket-buying group at work?

"The thinking is, 'The odds are outrageous, but they're even worse if I don't play,' " said Atlanta psychologist Mori Freed.

Unlike most things in life, calculating the chance of winning the lottery is easy, said Lain Murray, director of research for Statistical Assessment Service. "Since it's a predetermined event, like if you're dealing a hand of cards, then it's mathematically exact," he says.

Figuring out the likelihood of dream turning into a nightmare (1 in 1,500) is more problematic because it's calculated by less exact things, such as human population and reported incidents.
If you want some reassurance about the Big Game odds, try this: the Big Game's predecessor in Georgia, Powerball, is still around. And the chance of winning the jackpot on that one was 1 in 80 million.

See, your luck just got 1 in 4 million better.

DON'T BET ON IT
The chances that you will win the Big Game jackpot are 1 in 76 million. Feel lucky? You have a better chance of:
> Being dealt a royal flush, poker's top hand (1 in 650,000)
> Being hit by a falling object (1 in 374,000)
> Having the same birthdate as the next person you meet (1 in 25,000)
> Receiving a witness protection identity (1 in 20,000)

Source: Statistical Assessment Service, Washington

Dick Halpern

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Wed Apr 17 19:55:56 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3I2tte23441 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002
19:55:55
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id TAA03528 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 19:55:52 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3I2sMD03108 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 17 Apr 2002 19:54:22 -0700
(PDT)
Date: Wed, 17 Apr 2002 19:54:22 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Advertising and Consumer Psychology Conference--Early Registration
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204171941150.2133-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

AAPORNET:

A few months ago, Barb Bickart posted a call for papers--here on our list--for the Advertising and Consumer Psychology conference. Here below, at the request of Barb's colleague, L.J. Shrum, I am pleased to post an extension of the original deadline for that conference to April 26.

-- Jim
The deadline for early registration for the Advertising and Consumer Psychology conference has been extended to April 26. The deadline for to receive the conference rate for hotel registration has been extended to April 21. If you need any information pertaining to the conference, including registration forms, please consult the SCP website (www.consumerpsych.org).

L. J. Shrum, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Department of Marketing
Rutgers University
232 Janice Levin Bldg.
94 Rockefeller Road
Piscataway, NJ 08854-8054

office: (732) 445-3816
fax: (732) 445-3236
home: (908) 806-4675

******

>From Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk Thu Apr 18 04:35:55 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3IBZte04602 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002
04:35:55
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from mail4.gsi.gov.uk (gateway1.gsi.gov.uk [194.6.79.172])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id EAA05653 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002 04:35:53 -0700
(PDT)
From: Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk
Received: from mail14.gsi.gov.uk (gateway1.gsi.gov.uk [194.6.79.172])
   by mail14.gsi.gov.uk (BLOBBY/BLOBBY) with SMTP id g3IB27v16617
   for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002 12:35:07 +0100 (BST)
Received: from 192.168.2.24 by gatekeeper.dfee.gov.uk
   Thu, 18 Apr 2002 12:21:05 -0000
Received: from lonmsw01.dfee.gov.uk ([192.168.2.27])
   by mail.dfee.gov.uk (8.9.3/BISCUIT) with ESMTP id NAA19791
   for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002 13:13:57 +0100
Received: from lonexc02.dfee.gov.uk (unverified) by lonmsw01.dfee.gov.uk
   (Content Technologies SMTPRS 4.1.2) with ESMTP id
   <Bc0a8021b5a556a9249@lonmsw01.dfee.gov.uk> for <aapornet@usc.edu>;
   Thu, 18 Apr 2002 12:15:04 +0100
Received: by LONEXC02 with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)
   id <2QS467QK>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002 12:10:36 +0100
Message-ID: <AE1F316B44D2D211A646009027228A7890865408A@SHEEXC01>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: RE: Someone's got to win it!
Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 12:10:33 +0100
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2650.21)

Didn't Pascal have something relevant to say about this sort of thing?

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
For the statistically inclined.....and those in need of cash.....

The value of the lottery in Georgia has reached the grand sum of $325 million. That's right, $300 million.

What are the odds of winning?

The statistical Assessment Service of Washington came to our rescue and in yesterday's Atlanta Journal and Constitution the following article appeared:

From BMcCready@knowledgenetworks.com Thu Apr 18 06:54:56 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3IDste08767 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002
06:54:55 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from NT-MAIL.knowledgenetworks.com ([64.75.23.157])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id GAA20312 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002 06:54:54 -0700
    (PDT)
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.0.5762.3
content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Subject: RE: Someone's got to win it!
Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 06:54:15 -0700
Message-ID: <E53CC2CFD0C8C148A28658939A4BF78C1C47B28NT-MAIL.knowledgenetworks.com>
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
From: "Bill McCready" <BMcCready@knowledgenetworks.com>
To: <aapornet@usc.edu>
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-MIME-Autoconverted: from quoted-printable to 8bit by listproc.usc.edu id
g3Idsue08768

Apparently the winning ticket was purchased in Bridgeview IL at a Speedway Gas
station, (unless there's another 300+M jackpot out there someplace!) The gas
station owner was showing off his 1.1M check last night, but so far the claimant has not
appeared. Hmmm, hopefully the money's won by someone with a real penchant for funding social research!!

Bill McCready

-----Original Message-----
From: Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk [mailto:Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2002 6:11 AM
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: RE: Someone's got to win it!

Didn't Pascal have something relevant to say about this sort of thing?

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609
0114 259 1180

> -----Original Message-----
> From: dick halpern [mailto:dhalpern@bellsouth.net]
> Sent: 18 April 2002 03:45
> To: aapornet@usc.edu
> Subject: Someone's got to win it!
> >
> > For the statistically inclined.....and those in need of cash.....
> > The value of the lottery in Georgia has reached the grand sum of $325 million. That's right, $300 million.
> > What are the odds of winning?
> > The statistical Assessment Service of Washington came to our rescue and in yesterday's Atlanta Journal and Constitution the following article appeared:
> >From jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com Thu Apr 18 07:41:35 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3IEfYell830 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002
07:41:34
-0700 (PDT)
Message-ID: <002101c1e6$571c7080$f5c7c3d1@default>
From: "James P. Murphy" <jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com>
I thought Pascal's wagering was on the odds of going to heaven or hell, and he decided to choose the "safe" alternative.

These lotteries are one of government's more insidious methods of extracting money from the public. Money that otherwise should be collected via taxes -- assuming it's needed. There's a lot not to like about state run lotteries.
For the statistically inclined…..and those in need of cash……

The value of the lottery in Georgia has reached the grand sum of $325 million. That's right, $300 million.

What are the odds of winning?

The statistical Assessment Service of Washington came to our rescue and in yesterday's Atlanta Journal and Constitution the following article appeared:

I'm not sure lotteries "extract" money from the public- playing them is not mandatory- those that like games of chance would find other ways to participate, legal or not-- the state might as well benefit from some of this revenue and thus deflect the need to increase taxes, which do indeed extract money from consumers whether they wish to pay them or not.

-----Original Message-----
From: James P. Murphy [mailto:jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2002 10:43 AM
To: BMcCready@knowledgenetworks.com; aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: Someone's got to win it!
I thought Pascal's wagering was on the odds of going to heaven or hell, and he decided to choose the "safe" alternative.

These lotteries are one of government's more insidious methods of extracting money from the public. Money that otherwise should be collected via taxes -- assuming it's needed. There's a lot not to like about state run lotteries.

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
Voice (610) 408-8800
Fax (610) 408-8802
jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com
-----Original Message-----
From: Bill McCready <BMcCready@knowledgenetworks.com>
To: aapornet@usc.edu <aapornet@usc.edu>
Date: Thursday, April 18, 2002 9:57 AM
Subject: RE: Someone's got to win it!

> Apparently the winning ticket was purchased in Bridgeview IL at a Speedway Gas station, (unless there's another 300+M jackpot out there someplace!) The gas station owner was showing off his 1.1M check last night, but so far the claimant has not appeared. Hmm, hopefully the money's won by someone with a real penchant for funding social research!!
>
>Bill McCready
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk [mailto:Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk]
>Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2002 6:11 AM
>To: aapornet@usc.edu
>Subject: RE: Someone's got to win it!
>
>Didn't Pascal have something relevant to say about this sort of thing?
>
>Iain Noble
>DFES - AS: YFE5
>Moorfoot W609
>
>0114 259 1180
>
>-----Original Message-----
>> From: dick halpern [mailto:dhalpern@bellsouth.net]
>> Sent: 18 April 2002 03:45
>> To: aapornet@usc.edu
>> Subject: Someone's got to win it!
>>
>> For the statistically inclined.....and those in need of cash.....
>>
>> The value of the lottery in Georgia has reached the grand sum of $325 million. That's right, $300 million.
>>
>> What are the odds of winning?
>>
At least they are still voluntary. Without the ability to vote for more taxes for things like education or transportation, like in Virginia where those questions were blocked from the ballot, lotteries at least provide an overt way to get around that.

More interesting are the discussions one hears in lottery lines where hopefuls debate the finer points of sample selection. Overheard: "You shouldn't pick a power ball number that's the same as one of the main numbers you pick because it is less likely to come up that way."

A classic case of confusion between sampling with and sampling without replacement if I every heard one.

-Sarah Zapolsky

------Original Message------
From: James P. Murphy [mailto:jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2002 10:43 AM
To: BMcCreary@knowledgenetworks.com; aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: Someone's got to win it!

I thought Pascal's wagering was on the odds of going to heaven or hell, and he decided to choose the "safe" alternative.

These lotteries are one of government's more insidious methods of extracting
money from the public. Money that otherwise should be collected via taxes -- assuming it's needed. There's a lot not to like about state run lotteries.

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
Voice (610) 408-8800
Fax (610) 408-8802
jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com

>From N.Moon@nopworld.com Thu Apr 18 07:58:56 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/uscd) with ESMTP
   id g3IEWte16173 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002
07:58:56
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from mail.nopworld.com (server11.nopworld.com [193.130.145.170])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/uscd) with ESMTP
   id HAA22041 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 18 Apr 2002 07:58:55 -0700
(PDT)
Message-ID: <A6DFB548A036D511817300B0D0AB4E700369CA4F01ud-exch-
   nt02.nop.nopworld.com>
From: Nick Moon <N.Moon@nopworld.com>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: RE: Someone's got to win it!
Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 15:54:19 +0100
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2655.55)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

>> I'm not sure lotteries "extract" money from the public- playing them is not mandatory- those that like games of chance would find other ways to participate, legal or not-- the state might as well benefit from some of this revenue and thus deflect the need to increase taxes, which do indeed extract money from consumers whether they wish to pay them or not.<<

I guess it depends whether you prefer a system of compulsory taxation that everyone pays, or an "enticement" system of lotteries, which evidence show are played most by the poorest and most gullible, and help reduce the tax bills of the more powerful. Since a lot of the lottery grants (in the UK at least) go to arts organisations, this makes lotteries quite an efficient way of transferring money from the poor to the better-off

******************************************************************************

Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of NOP World or any of its associated companies.
******************************************************************************

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication and notify the sender immediately. It should be noted that any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities
Given that a significantly disproportionate part of the benefits of government activity in most countries actually go to the 'better off' anyway you could say the same about income tax as well.

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609

0114 259 1180
I'm not sure lotteries "extract" money from the public-
playing them is not mandatory-- those that like games of
chance would find
other ways to participate, legal or not-- the state might as
well benefit
from some of this revenue and thus deflect the need to
increase taxes, which
do indeed extract money from consumers whether they wish to
pay them or
not.<<

I guess it depends whether you prefer a system of compulsory
taxation that
everyone pays, or an "enticement" system of lotteries, which
evidence show
are played most by the poorest and most gullible, and help
reduce the tax
bills of the more powerful. Since a lot of the lottery grants
(in the UK at
least) go to arts organisations, this makes lotteries quite
an efficient way
of transferring money from the poor to the better-off

Any views or opinions are solely those of the
author and do not necessarily represent those of
NOP World or any of its associated companies.

The information transmitted is intended only for
the person or entity to which it is addressed
and may contain confidential and/or privileged
material. If you are not the intended recipient of
this message, please do not read, copy, use or
disclose this communication and notify the
sender immediately. It should be noted that
any review, retransmission, dissemination or
other use of, or taking action in reliance
upon, this information by persons or entities
other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

Recipients are warned that NOP World cannot guarantee
that attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free
as information could be intercepted, corrupted,
or contain viruses

The original of this email has been scanned for viruses by
the Government Secure Intranet (GSI) virus scanning service
supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with
Senior Survey Statistician

National Opinion Research Center (NORC) at the University of Chicago is a social science research nonprofit organization seeking a Senior Survey Statistician. Working with a team of methodologists, project directors, and production leaders, the Senior Survey Statistician will be responsible for taking a leadership role for statistical tasks of assigned projects, including budget monitoring, managing project staff, and developing and maintaining client relations.

Project responsibilities as task leader include sample design and selection, sample monitoring, analysis and estimation, methodology, quality initiatives, and management of the statistical team. As project director, the incumbent will have the leadership role and overall responsibility for all administrative and technical activities on project assignments. The incumbent will assume responsibility for writing of statistical, sampling, analysis, and/or methodology sections of technical proposals. Administrative responsibilities include participation in selection and development of professional staff, and developing business opportunities.
Master's or PhD in field of statistics or social science strongly preferred; 5 to 8 years experience in positions of increasing responsibility in statistics, survey research methods, or related field, with at least 1 year of experience in project management and proposal development. The ideal candidate will have expert knowledge of sampling, weighting, estimation, imputation, mathematical statistics, and survey methodology; knowledge of the methods, principles, and processes of survey research and broad experience in the field; strong skills in quantitative analysis; general familiarity with social science research and policy issues; expert skills in the use of computer software, especially packages for statistical analysis; solid writing skills; strong interpersonal skills, and demonstrated skills in time management.

To apply confidentially, e-mail letter of interest and resume to tylus-sharon@norcmail.uchicago.edu or send to

Sharon Tylus
Director, Recruiting
NORC
1155 East 60th Street,
Chicago, Illinois 60637.

Electronic submissions are preferred.

NORC offers a competitive compensation and benefits package including medical, dental and vision care, as well as life insurance, 403(b) retirement fund, and tuition assistance.

NORC is an affirmative action, equal opportunity employer (M/F/D/V) that values and actively seeks diversity in the workforce.
Mark Richards gave a great example of why not to trust web polls. Here is another one.

January 1 2002 the EURO replaced the local guilders, francs, lires etc. At that date one could change the old currency in each country into EURO, but the change was restricted to currency of ones own country and paper (large bills) of other countries (that is one was able to change without banking costs). Of course everyone had some leftover 'foreign' coins, and in several countries one could send these coins to charity (red cross, etc) who could use it. In Holland a huge collection was organised enabling you to donate your 'foreign' superflous coins. Also a website was opened to vote for the charities who would get the money (most votes=most money). It went quite all right until several small groups started an action to get more votes. This ended up with the organisers deciding not to use the votes (and also by harming a basically great action).

From Amsterdam with love, Edith

Dr. Edith D. de Leeuw, MethodikA
Plantage Doklaan 40, NL-1018 CN Amsterdam
tel + 31 20 622 34 38 fax + 31 20 330 25 97
e-mail edithl@xs4all.nl

If that's all you ask,
My Sweetest, My Featest, Compleatest, And Neatest
I'm proud of the Task!

Hi. A student of mine is looking for any establishment level datasets
that contain social network data. If anyone has any clues, please contact me directly at jtanur@ccvm.sunysb.edu. Thanks, Judy Tanur

This is a question from Sweden:

What is the current telephone coverage rate for households in the U.S.?

Lars Lyberg
Stats Sweden

From r.perloff@csuohio.edu Fri Apr 19 10:12:37 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3JHCbe25280 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 19 Apr 2002
10:12:37
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from K002.internet (fwuser@gateway-internet.scb.se
[130.244.127.157])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id EAA22566 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 19 Apr 2002 04:55:16 -0700
(PDT)
Received: by K002 with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
    id <JB8HFJZK>; Fri, 19 Apr 2002 13:55:05 +0200
Message-ID: <A382578DE6C9D511A18A000347968AF8768EF8@exch03.s.scb>
From: Lyberg Lars VL-S <lars.lyberg@scb.se>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject:
Date: Fri, 19 Apr 2002 13:55:04 +0200
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="ISO-8859-1"

This is a question from Sweden:

What is the current telephone coverage rate for households in the U.S.?
New polls from Israel that may be of interest document marked shifts to the right in the wake of terrorism and recent events. A Jaffee Center for Strategic Studies poll showed that 46% of Israel's Jewish citizens support transferring Palestinians out of the territories, compared to 38% who held that opinion in 1991. Eighty percent oppose Israeli Arabs being involved in important decisions, compared to 67% who held that view in 2000.

This may not transfer to support for Sharon, as 54% of respondents in a poll reported by the somewhat liberal Yedioth Aharonoth newspaper perceived him as a credible prime minister, compared to 70% in December. 56% of respondents in an online poll in the more conservative Jerusalem Post believe Sharon's government will be toppled this year, a finding that will no doubt bring a smile to perennial opponent Netanyahu.

More details on the polls can be found at the organizations' web sites.

-- Rick Perloff
EUROPEAN POLL FAULTS U.S. FOR ITS POLICY IN THE MIDEAST

By ADAM CLYMER

WASHINGTON, April 18 -- People in Europe, while sympathetic to recent American efforts in the Middle East, strongly feel that the United States has not done enough to bring about a peace settlement, according to coordinated polls in Britain, France, Germany and Italy.

A key reason for the European unhappiness appears to be a much greater sympathy for the Palestinians than is found in the United States.

The survey, conducted by the Pew Research Center for the People and the Press, showed that majorities of 71 percent in France, 67 percent in Italy, 64 percent in Germany and 57 percent in Britain said the United States was not "doing as much as it can to bring about a peace settlement between the Israelis and the Palestinians."

The respondents, about 1,000 people in each country, were asked, "In the dispute between Israel and the Palestinians, which side do you sympathize with more?" In none of the European countries did more sympathize with Israel, while in a companion poll in the United States, 41 percent sided with Israel to 13 percent for the Palestinians.

The closest European division in the poll -- conducted with the International Herald Tribune and the Council on Foreign Relations -- came in Germany. There 24 percent sided with Israel and 26 percent with the Palestinians, a difference that fell within the poll's margin of sampling error of plus or minus three percentage points.

But in the other three nations, the Palestinian side was preferred, 36 percent to 19 percent in France, 30 to 14 in Italy and 28 to 17 in Britain.

The surveys were conducted early this month and all were finished before Secretary of State Colin L. Powell arrived in Jerusalem on April 11.

Despite the general sympathy in Europe toward Palestinians and the lack of respect for American policies in the Middle East, as measured in other questions, at least three-fourths of the respondents in each country said they liked the United States "recent" peacemaking efforts.

The survey also found that European opinion of President Bush as a foreign policy leader has improved markedly since Sept. 11, but the war on terrorism is seen as benefiting American interests, not international ones.

Backing for Mr. Bush's international policy ranged from 32 percent in France to 44 percent in Italy. A poll last August found a low of 16 percent in France to a high of 29 percent in Italy.

But while the percentages went up significantly, only in Britain and
Italy were the respondents close to evenly split. In Britain, 40 percent approved and 37 percent disapproved, while in Italy, 44 percent approved and 47 percent disapproved.

The poll found strong support across Europe for the United States' military campaign in Afghanistan, ranging from 59 percent in Italy to 73 percent in Britain.

Even greater majorities, up to 77 percent in Britain, agreed that the United States was right to be concerned about international terrorism and was not overreacting.

But at the same time, majorities ranging from 68 percent in Italy to 85 percent in Germany said the United States' conduct of the war was based "mainly on its own interests" without taking into account the concerns of its allies. That finding corresponds to pre-Sept. 11 views about Mr. Bush's overall conduct of foreign policy.

Support for extending the war on terrorism to include military action to oust Saddam Hussein in Iraq was limited. The British and the French were about evenly divided, while three-fifths of the Italians and Germans were opposed.

But when the respondents were asked if learning that Iraq was developing nuclear weapons would be a "very important" justification for military action, clear majorities in Britain, France and Germany and 49 percent of the Italians agreed.

http://www.nytimes.com/2002/04/19/international/middleeast/19SURV.html

Copyright 2002 The New York Times Company

*****
Let's take a poll: Is evil really bad?

Most public-opinion polls are like Ouija boards. They're great fun as long as you don't pay them much mind. Even the pollsters caution that a poll is only a snapshot of opinion at the moment the poll is taken. The only political polls that can be taken without a lot of salt are horse-race polls taken in the last few days of a campaign. These polls can tell you who's ahead and who has the momentum. The best ones are usually on the money.

Some Democrats are in a lather this week because certain polls show that the odds-on favorite for the Democratic presidential nomination in '04 is John McCain, who insists he's not even a Democrat. But so desperate are the Democrats for a credible opponent for George W. Bush that the man who isn't there looks better than all the men who are.

But the worst polls - and this includes most polls - are those manipulated to tell clients what they want to hear. The folks at The Washington Post, for an example close at hand, manipulate polls as well as anyone. My own private polls suggest The Post's polls are wrong 74.52 percent of the time (with a 4.31 percent margin of error).

The silliest manipulation of credulity is the online "survey," which is not a poll at all, but an exercise in massaging the vanity of readers. These "surveys" usually ask questions for which there are no actual answers, but with three or four "answers" offered for ticking. My favorite minor-league baseball team, for example, asks readers to decide whether what the reader likes best is the club's new major-league affiliation, the new starting time of night games or the new design of the Web site. Anyone who wants to vote for the new brand of mustard for the hot dogs is out of luck.

One of these fatuous surveys this week splattered not mustard, but egg, all over the faces of our friends at the Council on American Islamic Relations (CAIR), which has not found an audible voice to denounce Islamist terrorism against America, Israel or anyone else, but swoons every time someone gives a hard look to a swarthy Arab or terrorist look-alike in the checkout line at Safeway.

CAIR, seeking to "weigh public opinion," put this question to viewers of its online site this week: Should Ariel Sharon be tried as a war criminal? The answer came back with the first of 513 votes, yes he should, by a margin of 94 percent to 6 percent. But before CAIR could find out who the satanic 6 percenters were, another Web site, Instapundit.com, saw the poll and put up a link to CAIR's Web site, opening the vote to thousands.

You might think, if you were terminally naive, that our friends at CAIR would have been pleased. Many Americans could now participate, and many flowers would bloom in the garden of American opinion. Oh happy day. Eight hours later, more than 11,950 Americans had voted, and the vote was 93 percent to 7 percent. Only this time 93 percent said Mr. Sharon should not be tried, convicted, hanged, decapitated, shot, injected or gassed.

This was not good. There could be no breathless press release,
reporting that "by an overwhelming margin Americans support blowing up Ariel Sharon."

The Islamist small-d democrats, eager as always to protect and respect dissent, merely wiped out - blew up, you might say - the dissenting votes, blandly explaining to an inquirer from the Weekly Standard that "someone hacked into the site" and 11,000 viewers voted. CAIR promised that it would get to the bottom of the "nefarious attempts" to enable everyone to vote.

Except in Paris, London, Madrid or Brussels, the campaign to eradicate Israel had a bad week. Thousands turned out in Washington to cheer the Israelis and send a message to George W. and his men to remember who America's friends are and to get with the program. Colin Powell returned to Washington, having got nowhere with Yasser Arafat, freshly insulted by the president of Egypt and the king of Morocco. The administration tried to put a positive spin on its yo-yo policy, dancing down the string with Yasser Arafat one day, up with the Israelis the next. But nobody was buying it.

New polls from the Pew Institute are just in, showing that 3 of 4 Americans understand very well that the survival of the Jewish state is at stake, and are rooting passionately for the Israelis. And if that were not enough to give the average American confidence in his judgment, there was affirming news from Europe, where animosity to Jews was invented. The Euros are cheering the Palestinian terrorists by a margin of 2-to-1. What else do we need to know?

Wesley Pruden is editor in chief of The Times.

---------------------------------------------------
Mark Richards, mark@bisconti.com
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The percent of telephone households in the U.S. is about 94.49%. This percentage was
derived from the March 2001 CPS (Current Population Survey) Data from the Census.

Ashley Hyon
Marketing Systems Group - Genesys Sampling
565 Virginia Drive
Fort Washington, PA 19034
(ph) 800-336-7674
(email)ahyon@m-s-g.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Lyberg Lars VL-S [mailto:lars.lyberg@scb.se]
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2002 7:55 AM
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject:

This is a question from Sweden:

What is the current telephone coverage rate for households in the U.S.?

Lars Lyberg
Stats Sweden

This is a question from Sweden:

What is the current telephone coverage rate for households in the U.S.?
Opinion

Do you think U.S. Secretary of State Colin Powell's visit to PA Chairman Yasser Arafat will help achieve a cease-fire?

Yes 13 %
No 87 %

Total Votes: 18,458

Do you think US Secretary of State Colin Powell will succeed in bringing about a cease-fire when he visits this week?

Yes 11 %
No 89 %

Total Votes: 41,107

Do you think US Secretary of State Colin Powell should meet with PA Chairman Yasser Arafat during his visit to Israel this week?

Yes 26 %
No 74 %

Total Votes: 24,200

Should IDF forces attempt to enter the Church of the Nativity in an attempt to capture Palestinian gunmen holed up inside?

Yes 48 %
No 52 %

Total Votes: 33,017

In light of ongoing events in Israel, should the Jerusalem Post Internet Edition be updated on Shabbat and Jewish holidays?

Yes 63 %
No 37 %

Total Votes: 95 (no error)

Should Israeli forces capture Palestinian Chairman Yasser Arafat now that they have his compound in Ramallah surrounded?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should Israeli forces capture Palestinian Authority Chairman Yasser Arafat now that they have his Ramallah compound surrounded?</td>
<td>69 %</td>
<td>31 %</td>
<td>20,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the Arab summit have a positive effect on the region?</td>
<td>12 %</td>
<td>88 %</td>
<td>32,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should Yasser Arafat be allowed to attend the Arab summit in Beirut?</td>
<td>35 %</td>
<td>65 %</td>
<td>17,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will Saddam Hussein's regime be toppled this year?</td>
<td>39 %</td>
<td>61 %</td>
<td>19,485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that our online polls are not scientific but offer our site users the chance to express their views about current issues in the news.

http://cgis.jpost.com/cgi-bin/Poll/poller.cgi?pollid=mainp&actn=prev

(C) 1995-2002, The Jerusalem Post - All rights reserved

*****

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Fri Apr 19 11:54:31 2002
Israeli PR abroad is flawed, panel told

By Gideon Alon

Last update - 02:13 19/04/2002

Members of the Knesset Immigration and Absorption Committee yesterday heard vehement criticism of Israel's public relations efforts overseas.

Sidney Shapiro, representing the South African Zionist Federation, reported to the committee on what he referred to as hostile media reports about Israel in the South African press, saying: "We lack the professionalism and training and we also lack materials and up-to-date information to deal with this hostility."

The director-general of the Association of French Immigrants, Esther Tubul, said that the Jewish community in France felt abandoned. She charged that Israel had no other there with the charisma needed to deal head on with "the impressive Palestinian representatives."

MK Yuri Stern (National Union-Yisrael Beiteinu) said that Israel's public relations efforts at this time of national emergency were a failure. He said the immigrant associations had "tremendous potential to influence
overseas officials in politics, the media and academia."

Representing the Foreign Ministry, Eldad Hayet, said that the ministry welcomed the assistance of immigrant organizations in the public relations effort.

http://www.haaretzdaily.com/hasen/pages/ShArt.jhtml?itemNo=153470

*****

If you should think this report does not concern public opinion and public relations in the Middle East, just keep reading--you'll soon be convinced.

-- Jim
Qatar has discovered a new commodity more precious than its gas and oil - power-generating satellite TV

Out of a modest, low-rise prefab five minutes' drive from the Emir's diwan, the tiny sheikhdom of Qatar is now producing a commodity much in demand in the Arab world: freedom. Over the past three years, this remote desert peninsula has transformed itself from just another gas and petroleum-rich principality into a major exporter of powerful video signals that are gradually changing the cultural and political order in the Middle East.

Since it started broadcasting in November 1996, the Al-Jazeera (The Peninsula) satellite TV channel has consistently grown in popularity, overtaking both the government-run stations of the region and the London-based, Saudi-financed Arabic networks. TV ratings aren't available for most of the Arab world, but among the Palestinians of the West Bank and Gaza Strip, Al-Jazeera is now the preferred station for close to 40 percent of all TV viewers.

The reason for its dizzying success is one and alone: This is a channel that screens the kind of topics that others don't - everything from women's rights under Islam to the lack of democracy in the Arab world and the pros and cons of peace with Israel. Operating as a kind of Arabic CNN with news bulletins on the half hour, Al-Jazeera's real strength lies in its debate programs, special documentaries and one-on-one interviews with personalities such as Hamas's Dr. Musa Abu Marzuk, who wouldn't get a hearing on any other Arab station.

Consequently, everyone's furious with the Qatars. The powerful Saudis - who are fellow adherents to the strict Wahabi version of Islam - are driven to distraction by the invasion of their free-thinking neighbors into the living room of every home with a cheap satellite dish on the roof. "We know what they're up to," the Saudi Interior Minister, Prince Nayef, recently fumed into a reporter's microphone during a momentary lapse of composure in Riyadh airport.

The state-run Egyptian media has been running a bitter, if intermittent, campaign against the "yellow programs" of Al-Jazeera, condemning the station's "sinister salad of sex, religion and politics" spiced with "sensationalist seasoning." Nevertheless, curiosity got the better of President Mubarak. When he visited Doha two months ago, he came to see the studios for himself.

The Jordanian government has accused Al-Jazeera of inciting violence. Yasser Arafat is hopping mad about the station's repeated and lengthy interviews with Hamas spiritual guide Sheikh Ahmed Yassin. Yassin, for his part, vigorously protests at the type of questions he's asked. The Syrians grouse about the frequent appearances of Israeli politicians. Interviewed by Al-Jazeera's Palestinian correspondent in Jerusalem, they get much more than sound-bite time. Meanwhile Jewish organizations in the United States - and chief among them the Los Angeles-based Wiesenthal Center - have urged Al-Jazeera to refrain from anti-Semitic undertones.

The Qatars are having a hard time hiding their glee at all the fuss they're creating. The mini-emirate has all of a sudden morphed into a
super-player on the regional board. Al-Jazeera affords Qatar a new status that evokes the envy of governments several times stronger than it.

Abu Dhabi, probably the emirate with the most bloated financial reserves in all the Arab world, recently launched a satellite competitor to Al-Jazeera. Millions of dollars - nobody knows the exact amount - have been poured into the acquisition of staff, the programming, the nurturing of the "look," the packaging and the opening of bureaus in every corner of the globe. But so far, at least, it hasn't even made a dent in Al-Jazeera's hegemony. "They can't hope to offer what we provide," a high-ranking official in Doha told me. "They're scared of freedom."

Indeed, so long as the elderly, ailing Sheikh Zayyed rules in the United Arab Emirates (which include Abu Dhabi but not Qatar), Abu Dhabi is likely to avoid undue provocation, and this political correctness naturally detracts from the popularity of its TV product.

The Qataris, for their part, have discovered a veritable treasure trove of influence - satellite riches - which they've turned into an undeclared arm of their dynamic foreign policy. Whenever they come under a hail of criticism, Foreign Minister Sheikh Hamed Bin-Jasem al-Thani simply retorts that Al-Jazeera is a private business and not an organ of the government - which is nominally correct. But that's not the whole story. For one thing, Al-Jazeera steers completely clear of Qatar's own sensitive, internal issues. That's the one taboo the station hasn't broken. Furthermore, while Qatar relies on satellite dishes to broadcast its messages, it forbids the installation of such dishes on its own turf. Qataris receive Al-Jazeera by cable, but the authorities are able to block the reception of other stations that try to counter Al-Jazeera by exposing what's going on inside Qatar.

"Why is that?" I asked in Doha. "Oh," I was told, "to prevent the spread of corrupting culture."

The genesis of the idea of Al-Jazeera came out of the collapse of the ambitious but short-lived partnership between the BBC TV Arabic service and the Saudis. They fell out because of their conflicting approaches to content - the BBC style of reporting was just too much for the Saudi co-owners. According to sources in Doha, several members of the Al-Thani family were interested in the possibility of acquiring the Saudi share and continuing to run the London-based station. But the emir of Qatar, Sheikh Hamad Bin-Khalifa, less than a year after deposing his father, asked his advisers: "Why go over there? Why not do it from here instead?"

A company was set up and the ruling family put up $150 million as a five-year "loan" with which to establish the new channel, practically in the courtyard of the building of the official Qatari TV. The Information Ministry was closed down - a model the Jordanians are about to copy - and most of the Arab broadcasters, editors and journalists from the newly defunct BBC TV Arabic service were offered attractive enough salaries to relocate to Doha. Given the lack of alternative employment, it was an offer they couldn't refuse. With the team in place, Al-Jazeera started to beam up - and conquered the airwaves.

Of the 200 or so employees, only the administrative staff and a few of the technicians are Qataris. The editorial floor constitutes a kind of Foreign Legion of talent from all over the Arab world. Even wider than
the variety of states represented is the variety of opinions and political backgrounds. One journalist who volunteered in the fundamentalist ranks in the war in Afghanistan now works shoulder-to-shoulder with an editor who's a radical secularist. Staffers opposed to any kind of peace with Israel work alongside champions of normalization. Sworn enemies of Saddam Hussein work together with his supporters. It's obvious to everyone that some of those in their midst double as informants to one intelligence agency or another, reporting on their colleagues.

Still, for all the angst this set-up causes within the station, the tension isn't reflected on screen. Moreover, it blends nicely with Qatar's plans to translate its newly acquired influence into a mediating role in regional disputes. They are already upping their international profile through mediation attempts in Eritrea, Sudan and Yemen – though they haven't born much fruit yet. They are the chief intercessors in trying to improve Arab relations with Iran; it was through the Qatars that Iran's President Khatemi passed his request for the West to relax the pressure on his government in the months preceding the recent elections. At the same time, they also advocate the lifting of U.N. sanctions from Iraq (and just to be on the safe side, they've invited the Americans to set up a large base on their turf). When the Jordanians wanted to deport the heads of Hamas from their territory, Qatar agreed to host them – in comfortable villas within walking distance of the Israeli trade mission in Doha's industrial zone. And the Qatars quietly promote ties with Israel even while they praise Hizballah's operations.

On programs like "The Opposite Direction" anchored by Syrian presenter Faisal al-Qassem, "Without Borders" and "The Other Opinion," Al-Jazeera opens the floor for free and often noisy debate on some of the most sensitive issues in Arab society, including relations with Israel. One particularly stormy discussion of late pitted an Egyptian who supports the normalization of ties with Israel against another Egyptian who kept on quoting anti-Semitic literature.

Other Arabic stations wouldn't even consider screening such discussions, which result in floods of telephone calls to the studios and reams of protests in the press.

In February, Al-Jazeera dared to conduct an hour-long interview with Robert Hatem, a.k.a. "Cobra," the former bodyguard of Eli Hobeika, the intelligence chief of the Lebanese Phalange militia that was responsible for the massacre at Sabra and Shatilla. "Cobra" accused his ex-boss of a long list of murders, including that of his baby daughter, and spoke in detail about Hobeika's part in the September 1982 massacre. He also gave a verbatim account of the censure Hobeika received at the time from Arik Sharon.

The interview sparked a huge furor in Lebanon. Hobeika, who over the years has become one of Syria's most prominent quislings in Beirut, was forced to break his silence for the first time. In a more than three-hour interview he granted Future TV, a Lebanese station owned by former prime minister Rafiq Hariri, Hobeika struggled to deny, contradict and defend himself against the accusations.

Never before in the history of the Arabic media had a politician been forced to respond point by point to such serious personal accusations,
setting a powerful precedent. Hobeika, incidentally, tried to shift the responsibility for the Sabra and Shatilla massacre onto Israel and its allies in the South Lebanon Army, then under the command of Major Sa'ad Haddad. "Are you saying that they carried out the massacre?" Hobeika was asked. "Well who else did it? The Swedes?" he replied.

This investment in new standards of Middle Eastern free expression is paying off for the Qataris. It affords them not only plenty of enemies, but influence to boot. Instead of being treated like some lowly mini-state, everyone is now careful to give them respect. At last, the Qataris have some way of punishing, avenging and nipping back at anyone who relates to them as mere "Beduins with oil."

All that for what is, for them, the very reasonable cost of some $100 million a year in indirect government funding, until they can get into the full swing of broadcasting commercials. (Saudi control of most of the advertising agencies in the region has made this a tough call.)

Al-Jazeera's next target? An interview with Ehud Barak. So far he's ducked the invitation, though his advisers keep urging him to take the risk, if he'd really like to talk to his neighbors without censorship.
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I am more troubled by Lottery advertising than the state-sponsored lottery. Nick's comments about people likely to find other avenues rings true (though empirical verification would make me more comfortable agreeing with him on this point).

I am more concerned about the state-endorsed message that "getting lucky" and winning the lottery is the logical game plan for improving one's lot in life (at the expense of hard work and education). Too many already have this perspective, Lottery advertising often only reinforces this belief.

Mike O'Neil

Michael O'Neil
www.oneilresearch.com

-----Original Message-----
From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu] On Behalf Of
Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2002 8:40 AM
To: N.Moon@nopworld.com; aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: RE: Someone's got to win it!

Given that a significantly disproportionate part of the benefits of government activity in most countries actually go to the 'better off' anyway you could say the same about income tax as well.

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609
0114 259 1180

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Nick Moon [mailto:N.Moon@nopworld.com]
> Sent: 18 April 2002 15:54
> To: aapornet@usc.edu
> Subject: RE: Someone's got to win it!
> 
> >> I'm not sure lotteries "extract" money from the public-
> playing them is not mandatory- those that like games of
> chance would find
> other ways to participate, legal or not-- the state might as
> well benefit
> from some of this revenue and thus deflect the need to
> increase taxes, which
> do indeed extract money from consumers whether they wish to
> pay them or
> not.<<
I guess it depends whether you prefer a system of compulsory taxation that everyone pays, or an "enticement" system of lotteries, which evidence show are played most by the poorest and most gullible, and help reduce the tax bills of the more powerful. Since a lot of the lottery grants (in the UK at least) go to arts organisations, this makes lotteries quite an efficient way of transferring money from the poor to the better-off.

Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of NOP World or any of its associated companies.

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication and notify the sender immediately. It should be noted that any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited.

Recipients are warned that NOP World cannot guarantee that attachments or enclosures are secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, or contain viruses.

The original of this email has been scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSI) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Cable & Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs.

GSI users - for further details, please contact the GSI Nerve Centre, or browse GNC 003/2002 at http://www.gsi.gov.uk/main/new2002notices.htm

In case of problems, please call your organisations IT helpdesk.
Wasn't it Adam Smith (in The Wealth of Nations . . . 1776) who pointed out that one's maximum expected loss in a lottery came when he bought ALL the tickets. That is, you "win", but you get back only half the money you "risk" (given the funny-money accounting that goes on with our government lotteries, plus the government kickback -- i.e., taxes -- on the winnings, actually substantially less). For a reality check, imagine, when they show the winners on TV, all the losers who made that possible stretching out behind them, as far as the eye can see. So much for improving one's lot in life via lotteries. It is hypocritical that governments hype these as enthusiastically as they do, since casinos (at least here in the vicinity of Atlantic City) are prohibited from touting their gambling. Yes, buying tickets is voluntary. But government advertising in effect promotes and encourages stupidity. The numbers racket was more honest.

Ray Funkhouser

Allen Barton
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Richard Perloff's reporting of the Israeli polls leaves something to be desired. What was the actual wording of the question which "showed that 46% of Israel's Jewish citizens support transferring Palestinians out of the territories"? Transfer to where? What does this euphemism actually mean: pushing them into the sea? Rounding them up in "transports" and putting them into concentration camps on the borders of Jordan, Egypt, and Lebanon? Who would accept them? Remember the shiploads of Jews "transferred" from Germany who sailed around the unfriendly coasts of North and South America and in the end returned to the German "final solution"? What "final solution" do 46% of Israelis favor for Arabs in the occupied territories?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Richard M. Perloff" <r.perloff@csuohio.edu>
To: <aapornet@usc.edu>
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2002 1:17 PM
Subject: Israeli polls

> 
> New polls from Israel that may be of interest
> document marked shifts to the right in the wake of
> terrorism and recent events. A Jaffee Center for
> Strategic Studies poll showed that 46% of Israel's
> Jewish citizens support transferring Palestinians out of
> the territories, compared to 38% who held that opinion
> in 1991. Eighty percent oppose Israeli Arabs being involved
> in important decisions, compared to 67% who held that
> view in 2000.
> 
> This may not transfer to support for Sharon, as 54%
> of respondents in a poll reported by the somewhat liberal Yedioth
> Aharonoth newspaper perceived him as a credible prime minister,
> compared to 70% in December. 56% of respondents in an online
> poll in the more conservative Jerusalem Post believe Sharon's
> government will be toppled this year, a finding that will
> no doubt bring a smile to perennial opponent Netanyahu.
> 
> More details on the polls can be found at the
> organizations' web sites.
> 
> -- Rick Perloff
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POLLING ABSTRACT

Pollsters say Jean-Marie Le Pen could get up to 14 percent in first-round elections on Sunday. In 1995, he got 15 percent, after polls said he would get 11. But he was written off three years ago when his National Front party leadership splintered, his second in command, Bruno Mégret, formed a rival party, and some writers said Mr. Le Pen seemed tired and prone to lose his train of thought. But he has recovered his off-the-cuff, sometimes profane fire as a speaker -- a quality lacking in Mr. Mégret, whose National Republican Movement has insignificant poll numbers. Mr. Le Pen, a throwback to the street-bruiser tradition rather than the manicured and tanned neo-conservatism of Jörg Haider of Austria, is still the spokesman for France's extreme right. In this election, with so little enthusiasm for the front-runners, Prime Minister Lionel Jospin and President Jacques Chirac, anything better than 10 percent could put Mr. Le Pen in a powerful position in the May 5 runoff, especially if those two get, as pollsters predict, less than 20 percent each.

-- Jim

April 19, 2002

FRENCH NEVER-SAY-DIE RIGHTIST GRASPS AT TOP SPOT A 5TH TIME

By DONALD G. McNEIL Jr.

PARIS, April 18 -- "I told you so" is the bugle call that makes old white-supremacist warhorses, from George Wallace to Ian Smith, lift their heads. It is blowing now for Jean-Marie Le Pen, who is hardly out to
pasture.

At 73, he is running for president for the fifth time.

"To battle! God will give us victory!" he shouted, quoting Joan of Arc, patron saint of French nationalism, to his rather grizzled troops last week as they sailed down the Seine aboard a dinner boat for $62 a plate.

Victory is not considered within his grasp, but he is crowing with triumph that steadily rising crime and a recent wave of anti-Semitic attacks by French Arab youths have mainstream politicians echoing his law-and-order positions and more voters agreeing with his anti-immigrant views.

He has tempered some of them. He no longer talks of mass deportations, but favors halting immigration and making second-generation North Africans swear their loyalty to France. He still wants "native French" given priority for jobs and welfare. He has dropped all overt hints of anti-Semitism, like defenses of "France's Christian civilization," or his infamous remark that the gas chambers were "only a detail of history."

Recently, he has called for 200,000 more prison cells and a revival of executions, which have been banned since 1981.

Capital punishment is, of course, banned in the European Union, but Mr. Le Pen wants to quit the union, too. He also wants to drop the euro and restore the French franc.

Pollsters say he could get up to 14 percent in first-round elections on Sunday. In 1995, he got 15 percent, after polls said he would get 11. But he was written off three years ago when his National Front party leadership splintered, his second in command, Bruno Mégret, formed a rival party, and some writers said Mr. Le Pen seemed tired and prone to lose his train of thought.

But he has recovered his off-the-cuff, sometimes profane fire as a speaker -- a quality lacking in Mr. Mégret, whose National Republican Movement has insignificant poll numbers. Mr. Le Pen -- a throwback to the street-bruiser tradition rather than the manicured and tanned neo-conservatism of Jörg Haider of Austria -- is still the spokesman for France's extreme right.

In this election, with so little enthusiasm for the front-runners, Prime Minister Lionel Jospin and President Jacques Chirac, anything better than 10 percent could put Mr. Le Pen in a powerful position in the May 5 runoff, especially if those two get, as pollsters predict, less than 20 percent each.

Normally, far-right votes would be thrown to the more conservative candidate, Mr. Chirac. But Mr. Le Pen has a feral distaste for Mr. Chirac, whom he has called "France's greatest enemy," "a detestable and immoral liar," "a good president for Club Med" and "someone who does nothing but shake hands and pat the backsides of cows" at farm shows.

Ideologically, he blames Mr. Chirac for the resurgence of the French left and, by extension, the near-nullification of the French state by greater Europe and the decay of the French Army -- in which Mr. Le Pen was a
paratrooper in Vietnam in 1954. Personally, he accuses Mr. Chirac of pressing the country's 36,000 small-town mayors and councilors to deny him the 500 signatures he needed to get on the national ballot; he made the April 2 deadline with only seven hours to spare.

Mr. Le Pen has hung on to his aging constituency of shopkeepers and former soldiers, and his rallies are sometimes described as seas of white hair. But he also seems to have increased support among young working-class men, who might once have voted Communist.

Mr. Le Pen's speeches have not lost their tinge of paranoia.

"France is in danger, in danger of death," he said to those on the dinner boat. "We are supposedly asked to elect a president of the republic, but there is no republic, the state is decomposing."

But in his remarks about clashing civilizations, he has changed bogymen. He has dropped all talk of the Communist menace, saying he was right 40 years ago about the stupidities of socialist economies. But he now attacks the European Union, complaining that "France's prerogatives have been handed away to a supranational organism."

He has called the euro "the currency of occupation" and remarked that the president of France would soon merely oversee a vassal state to Brussels and exercise "a little less power than the governor of Nebraska, Idaho or Massachusetts."

He warns of the dangers of globalization, which would, theoretically, ship French factory jobs off to Asia and let American mechanized super-farms undercut France's heavily subsidized small farmers. That puts him in line with mainstream Gallic anti-Americanism, though not with its intellectual left wing's distaste for McDonald's burgers and Hollywood movies -- fare that a surprising number of average Frenchmen seem to like.

Some of his ideas are whimsical. He has called for an end to the income tax and estate tax, and has proposed the creation of a ministry for animals -- though he has one party committee for hunters and another for the animal rights activists whose Joan of Arc is Brigitte Bardot, who has been known to echo some of Mr. Le Pen's feelings about human immigrants.

http://www.nytimes.com/2002/04/19/international/europe/19FRAN.html

Copyright 2002 The New York Times Company
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After more than a year of mixed signals that brought protests from Japan and South Korea, discussions with North Korea begun under the Clinton administration but suspended by Bush appear ready to begin again -- despite the "axis of evil" label the president applied to Pyongyang, along with the leadership in Iran and Iraq, in January. Several sources described a president beset with conflicting senior advisers and domestic political pressures. These include warnings from White House political adviser Karl Rove that dealings with Arafat have already caused a slight slippage for Republicans in the polls, sources said.

-- Jim

POLLING ABSTRACT

Despite near universal acclaim for a strong foreign policy performance in the first six months after the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks, President Bush suddenly finds himself accused of indecisive leadership and of not having a coherent strategy to address a barrage of international crises.

In recent weeks, pro-Israel religious and political conservatives and pro-Palestinian Arab states have charged that Bush's Middle East policy, while increasingly active, lacks conviction, clarity and stability.

In a reflection of what a number of insiders say are deep internal
divisions, one group of senior administration officials has aggressively implied that an attack against Iraq is being readied, while another assures nervous allies that no plan is in place. At the same time, the administration's rationale for why Iraqi President Saddam Hussein must be gotten rid of has shifted repeatedly.

While keenly aware of Bush's continued popularity, Democratic leaders have begun to offer cautious criticism. "Let me choose my words carefully," Senate Foreign Relations Committee Chairman Joseph R. Biden Jr. (D-Del.) said of the administration's performance during the recent failed attempt to overthrow President Hugo Chavez in Venezuela. "It was.. somewhat inept."

Although a number of members of Congress on both sides of the aisle have not hesitated to voice displeasure over the administration's handling of the Middle East, most have refrained from attacking the president's performance. But conservative Christian leader Pat Robertson said last week that "the president has made a big mistake" in questioning Israeli military actions and dealing with Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat.

In his weekly radio broadcast yesterday, Bush repeated his call for Arab leaders to make "hard choices" and show "real leadership" by refusing to countenance support for terrorism and by pressuring Arafat. But several senior Arab officials said that Bush should not expect acquiescence in meetings this week with Morocco's King Mohammed VI and Saudi Crown Prince Abdullah.

Instead, they said, those leaders plan to warn Bush that his unwillingness or inability to control Israel has destroyed most, if not all, the gains in U.S.-Arab relations and in their ability to suppress Islamic extremism made after Sept. 11.

But the questions and criticisms extend beyond the immediate issues of the Middle East and Venezuela. In recent interviews, a number of administration officials and outsiders with close White House ties, foreign policy experts and senior foreign diplomats spoke of deep concern over the administration's performance in a wide range of areas.

In a speech on Thursday, Bush described an extensive effort to rebuild Afghanistan's infrastructure and economy. Yet that effort has not begun on the ground, and only a trickle of the money to pay for it, pledged by the United States and others, has arrived. The United States disagrees with a number of its allies over what it will take to reverse a deteriorating security situation.

Promised trade agreements with a number of countries have failed to materialize as Bush spars with the Democratic Senate. Mexican President Vicente Fox, according to several close aides, is profoundly disillusioned with what Bush promised early last year would be a close relationship. Long-discussed immigration initiatives have languished since Sept. 11, said one informed Mexican source, adding that "the Bush administration has been absolutely disastrous in terms of even trying, even making an effort, to keep them on track. . . . Nothing is moving. Everything is paralyzed."

After more than a year of mixed signals that brought protests from Japan and South Korea, discussions with North Korea begun under the Clinton
administration but suspended by Bush appear ready to begin again --
despite the "axis of evil" label the president applied to Pyongyang,
along with the leadership in Iran and Iraq, in January.

Several sources described a president beset with conflicting senior
advisers and domestic political pressures. These include warnings from
White House political adviser Karl Rove that dealings with Arafat have
already caused a slight slippage for Republicans in the polls, sources
said.

Some worry that the administration has taken on too much. The White House
is in "real danger of being overextended," said Brent Scowcroft, who
heads the president's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. The war on
terrorism alone, Scowcroft said, is likely to become a "much more
complicated affair."

James B. Steinberg, who served as President Bill Clinton's deputy
national security adviser and now heads the foreign policy program at the
Brookings Institution, said the Bush team has not figured out "how to
handle multiple foreign policy challenges."

Too many issues are decided only by Cabinet members and their deputies,
he said. And "below that level," he added, "it's not working at all. You
have people with intense ideological convictions and such trench warfare
between key players at State and Defense, in particular, that it's hard
for them to get anything done."

"The second thing," Steinberg said, "is that they have this sort of grand
Bush doctrine to fight evil, but they haven't developed an elaborate set
of policies on the second order of problems. If it can't be fit into the
template of counterterrorism and the fight against evil, they don't have
any strategies."

White House national security adviser Condoleezza Rice rejected such
criticism when she was asked this month on ABC's "This Week" to respond
to a description of the administration's performance as "amateur hour in
U.S. foreign policy." That characterization appeared in the conservative
magazine Weekly Standard.

"This is the most experienced administration in foreign policy in quite
some time," Rice said. "This is a president who is leading a brilliant
war against terrorism. This is a president who has changed the nature of
the relationship with Russia. This is a president who's having foreign
policy successes all over the place."

In terms of the destruction of the Taliban and the al Qaeda
infrastructure in Afghanistan, and the close relationship between Moscow
and Washington -- including President Vladimir Putin's cooperation in
the anti-terrorist war and in bilateral arms control issues -- there
are few who would dispute Rice's description. Foreign policy advisers
such as Vice President Cheney, Secretary of State Colin L. Powell and
Defense Secretary Donald H. Rumsfeld have extensive experience in
previous administrations.

But while they generally agree on the administration's goals, these
officials often disagree on tactics, and their long experience has given
them more license to disagree with each other.
Beyond the terrorism war and Russia, success is more difficult to discern on other issues. A number of individuals inside and outside government who have been in foreign policy discussions with the White House over the past two weeks expressed particular concern over Iraq and the Middle East.

In its initial focus on Iraq, the administration highlighted the threat posed by Hussein's alleged development of chemical, biological and nuclear weapons.

After the Sept. 11 attacks, the administration sought -- but failed to find -- evidence tying Iraq to al Qaeda and terrorism in general, and to the appearance of letters containing anthrax spores in the U.S. postal system. The rationale became: Hussein had to be removed because, if he has weapons of mass destruction, he might one day give them to terrorist groups.

More recently, Bush has tied Iraq to the explosion of violence between Israel and the Palestinians, charging that Hussein encouraged suicide bombings in Israel by making payments to the families of Palestinian "martyrs." Such payments are also made by Saudi Arabia and other Persian Gulf states. Presidential advisers who favor aggressive action against Hussein, sources said, now argue that Iraq is a leading provider of moral and financial support for Arafat, and that Hussein's removal is an integral part of achieving peace in the Middle East.

But according to one recent White House visitor, the pressing need to calm the Middle East has shifted the main White House focus in that direction. One result of Powell's recent trip to the region, this source said, "is that Iraq has been put on the back burner."

The aggressive focus on Iraq, coupled with what had been a reluctance to become directly involved in the Middle East conflict, has strained the close post-Sept. 11 ties with a number of European and Arab governments.

According to one senior European diplomat, private reassurances from Powell reassured governments whose concern about possible early action against Iraq was increased by aggressive statements from Cheney and Rumsfeld and his deputies. But after Bush's Jan. 29 "axis of evil" speech, he said, they began to suspect that Powell "either wasn't strong enough to prevail" inside the administration or that "he had been assigned" the role of keeping the Europeans quiet.

British Prime Minister Tony Blair shares Bush's level of concern about Iraq. But Blair also shared European outrage at the March 5 White House decision to impose tariffs on their steel exports to this country and joined his European colleagues in urging Bush to deepen the U.S. involvement in the Middle East.

Having visited the president's Texas ranch the day after Bush's April 4 demand that Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon withdraw Israeli forces from the West Bank "without delay," Blair was on hand two days later when the message was reiterated by telephone in what the administration said was even stronger language. Yet the Israeli offensive continued.

Repeatedly questioned on Israel's apparent defiance, the White House late
last week seemed to rewrite its earlier version of Bush's conversation with Sharon. Although senior officials had repeatedly denied there was any agreed timetable for the withdrawal beyond Bush's original "now," Bush said on Thursday that Sharon had given a clear timetable during their telephone conversation and that "he's met the timetable."

That seemed to contradict a briefing given to reporters by a senior administration official, who cited among the successes of Powell's visit that "he obtained . . . a clear picture of their intentions in terms of withdrawal."

-------

Staff writer Peter Slevin contributed to this report.


(C) 2002 The Washington Post Company
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Hello all,
for a possible new project, I am seeking to gather information from surveys (and other empirical work) that include the variable or concept of "skin color" in the analysis (mostly as independent variable, occasionally as dependent variable).
I know, for example, that the 1982 black oversample of GSS had a "color" variable (coded by interviewer) from which at least 2 articles have been
written. Do other surveys have the same variable? How should one interpret responses to it, whether coded by interviewer or (if this is ever done) answered by respondent? Can any one point me to work that is not survey-based that uses the same concept? Are there equivalent concepts among Anglos (e.g. degree of physical WASP-ness in appearance), or Asians? Does skin color function the same way, or analogously, among Latinos as among African Americans?

And so on. thanks for citations, ruminations, anecdotes... --- off the list if you prefer. I will compile a set of responses if people want it. best, jennifer

Jennifer L. Hochschild
Harvard University
Departments of Government and Afro-American Studies
Littauer Center/North Harvard Yard
Cambridge MA 02138
ph: 617-496-0181
fax: 617-495-0438

Allen Barton expresses concern about the exact wording of a Jaffee Center for Strategic Studies poll question that showed an increase in the proportion of Israel's Jewish citizens who favor "transferring Palestinians out of the territories." He makes the not unfamiliar, but nonetheless useful, point that wording can influence poll responses. Unfortunately, web-based research on this poll that I conducted after reading his response offers little additional information on the precise wording of the question, except that the query
was complemented by a related finding that -- and I quote -- "60 percent of respondents said that they were in favor of encouraging Israeli Arabs to leave the country." What exactly is meant by "encouraging" is also unclear, to be sure, but the conclusion one reaches from the pattern of poll results is that Israeli public opinion has, perhaps understandably, hardened on the generic Palestinian issue, thus providing empirical documentation to the various anecdotal reports to this effect in the media.

The degree to which responses are subtly influenced by wording and the extent to which that interacts, as one suspects, with Israelis' political sentiments must await future polls.

-- Rick Perloff
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EARLY EXIT POLLS SHOW UPSET IN FRENCH ELECTIONS

By THE ASSOCIATED PRESS

Filed at 3:01 p.m. ET

PARIS (AP) -- In a huge upset, extreme-right leader Jean-Marie Le Pen qualified on Sunday to face President Jacques Chirac in the runoff for French president, according to media projections based on exit polls.

Le Pen, who virulently opposes immigration, was projected to place second by all three major French networks, beating Prime Minister Lionel Jospin, who was in third place.
The projected result was seen as a political earthquake. For months, polls had consistently projected that Chirac, a conservative, and Jospin, a Socialist, would be the top two finishers in Sunday's first round of voting.

Le Pen is founder and head of the National Front party, which historically has blamed immigrants for high unemployment and urban violence. He is notorious for once describing the Holocaust as "a detail" of history. He has denied he is anti-Semitic.

Le Pen, 73, has played a central role as kingmaker in past presidential elections, with a typical score of 15 percent. He placed third in the last two races. This is his fourth presidential campaign.

During the campaign, Chirac denied allegations that he met personally with Le Pen between the two rounds of the 1988 presidential election.

France has been governed since 1981 by Chirac's mainstream right or the Socialists on the left. Centrists held power in previous terms.

For Jospin, a political heir of the late Socialist President Francois Mitterrand who has served as prime minister since 1997, it was a crushing blow.

The three French TV networks based their projections on exit polls conducted by three top polling firms: Sofres, IPSOS, and CSA.

The firms estimated variously that Chirac had won 19.8 to 20 percent of the vote; Le Pen 17 to 17.9 percent; and Jospin 16 to 16.1 percent.

Le Pen, speaking just after the projections were announced when polls closed at 8 p.m., said on French television that he had predicted the result.

"It's a great flash of lucidity by the French people," he said. Neither Chirac nor Jospin had an immediate comment.

Sunday's first-round of voting featured a record 16 candidates and an abstention rate estimated at 28 percent -- the highest in nearly four decades.

Under the French constitution, if no candidate wins outright with more than 50 percent of the votes cast, the two with the most votes face each other in the runoff. The runoff is scheduled for May 5.

French people in the streets expressed astonishment when they heard of the media projections.

"That's not possible," said Agathe Romon, 17, a student in Paris. "It's unbelievable. We were all expecting a duel between Jospin and Chirac."
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POLLING ABSTRACT

French voters stayed away in droves from the first round of the country's presidential election Sunday amid disenchantment with main bidders President Jacques Chirac and Prime Minister Lionel Jospin. The Interior Ministry said less than 60 percent of the electorate had turned out to vote by 5 p.m. local. Pollster CSA published a forecast that about 29 percent would have snubbed the vote by the end of polling. That figure would be a record for the first round of a presidential election in France's 44-year-old Fifth Republic. Chirac is seen winning between 20 and 22 percent of the vote Sunday, with Jospin at 18 percent, according to opinion polls published before a pre-vote ban imposed at midnight Friday. Such scores in the first round, together making up just two fifths of the electorate, would signal a stinging rebuke to the two politicians, who have struggled to address voters' concerns and impress them with their record in power to date. Polling was to end at 6 p.m. in most of the country, but at 8 p.m. in large cities such as Paris, Lyon and Marseille. Some 40 million people are eligible to vote. Pollsters said the fact many French families would make use of school holidays to go on vacation could hit turnout, but said the main reason for the apathy was that the vote looked to be heading for a re-run of Chirac and Jospin's 1995 clash. Anxious not to alienate centrist voters who could sway the second round, the two top contenders have run defensive campaigns with law and order and lower taxes the main issues. But the strategy appears to have backfired. Yet there is much at stake for the candidates in the first round. Failure to gain a
a convincing majority would undermine the authority of the final winner and could influence parliamentary elections to be held in June. In particular, a strong vote for Le Pen would be worrying for Chirac, as it could split the right-wing vote in June, meaning that if he wins the presidency he could be forced into another term of "cohabitation" with the left. Le Pen, riding on a late surge in support, is credited with up to 13 percent of votes in opinion polls.

-- Jim
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FRENCH SNUB PRESIDENTIAL VOTE IN DROVES

By REUTERS

Filed at 12:37 p.m. ET

PARIS (Reuters) - French voters stayed away in droves from the first round of the country's presidential election Sunday amid disenchantment with main bidders President Jacques Chirac and Prime Minister Lionel Jospin.

The Interior Ministry said less than 60 percent of the electorate had turned out to vote by 5 p.m. local. Pollster CSA published a forecast that about 29 percent would have snubbed the vote by the end of polling.

That figure would be a record for the first round of a presidential election in France's 44-year-old Fifth Republic.

The poor turnout came despite near perfect voting conditions, with most of the country enjoying brilliant sunshine and clear skies during the day.

Although an unprecedented 16 candidates are jostling for votes, the conservative Chirac and Socialist rival Jospin are virtually certain to proceed to a runoff on May 5, giving the first round the feel of a formality.

Chirac is seen winning between 20 and 22 percent of the vote Sunday, with Jospin at 18 percent, according to opinion polls published before a pre-vote ban imposed at midnight Friday.

Such scores in the first round, together making up just two fifths of the electorate, would signal a stinging rebuke to the two politicians, who have struggled to address voters' concerns and impress them with their record in power to date.

``I decided to take the opportunity to tell both Chirac and Jospin I did not want them,''

an office worker voting in a working class part of Paris who declined to give her name.
``There is a total lack of motivation this year because the main candidates are promising things they never delivered,'' said one voter, Patrick Galonzka, a security officer in the town of Donzy, south of the capital.

KEY TO SECOND ROUND

Both leaders, locked for the last five years in an uneasy power-sharing deal, have seen support waver as voters worried about crime and jobs flock to protest candidates like far-right firebrand Jean-Marie Le Pen and Trotskyite Arlette Laguiller.

Polling was to end at 6 p.m. in most of the country, but at 8 p.m. in large cities such as Paris, Lyon and Marseille. Some 40 million people are eligible to vote.

Pollsters said the fact many French families would make use of school holidays to go on vacation could hit turnout, but said the main reason for the apathy was that the vote looked to be heading for a re-run of Chirac and Jospin's 1995 clash.

Chirac, 69, is a charismatic crowd-pleaser who has seemingly shrugged off sleaze allegations that have dogged the latter years of his presidency.

Accompanied by his wife Bernadette and carrying a bouquet of lily of the valley, Chirac cast his vote in the town of Sarran in the central region of Correze, his family base.

Jospin, 64, is a stiff former professor who has struggled to capitalize on his government's positive economic record since its surprise election in 1997 after Chirac gambled on dissolving parliament to return a conservative majority and lost.

Jospin voted in the town of Cintegabelle in the southwest, where he is a regional official.

``This is quite a handful,'' he joked to electoral officials of the 16 ballot papers, one each for each candidate, that voters must theoretically take with them into the polling booth.

Anxious not to alienate centrist voters who could sway the second round, the two top contenders have run defensive campaigns with law and order and lower taxes the main issues. But the strategy appears to have backfired.

Yet there is much at stake for the candidates in the first round. Failure to gain a convincing majority would undermine the authority of the final winner and could influence parliamentary elections to be held in June.

In particular, a strong vote for Le Pen would be worrying for Chirac, as it could split the right-wing vote in June, meaning that if he wins the presidency he could be forced into another term of ``cohabitation'' with the left.

Le Pen, riding on a late surge in support, is credited with up to 13
POLLING ABSTRACT

The conservative opposition soundly defeated German Chancellor Gerhard Schroeder's Social Democrats Sunday in an economically depressed eastern state, just five months before a national election focusing on the country's struggling economy. The Christian Democrats regained control of the eastern state of Saxony-Anhalt with more than 37.3 percent of the vote, 15 percentage points more than in the last ballot four years ago. Schroeder's Social Democrats had 20 percent, down from 36 percent in the last election there. The result was a boost for Edmund Stoiber, the conservative who is running to unseat Schroeder in national elections this fall. Even more jubilant were the pro-business Free Democrats, who re-entered the state legislature with 13.3 percent. Their nine-point gain positioned them to ally with the Christian Democrats and strengthened their claim to swing-party status in Sept. 22 national parliamentary elections. Sunday's ballot in the formerly communist east was nationally significant because the region's voters are less predictable than those in the west. Politicians aim their campaigns at these swing voters, making them potentially
decisive in federal elections since German reunification in 1990. Polls had predicted a defeat for the Social Democrats, who ran Saxony-Anhalt for the last eight years with a minority government backed by the former East German communists. But the crushing result was worse than expected. The ex-communists were level at about 20 percent. Election analysts said the Social Democrats dramatically lost voter share among working-class people and the unemployed. Schroeder's party was also hurt by voter apathy -- only about 56 percent of the 2.1 million voters went to the polls.
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EXIT POLLS SHOW BIG VICTORY FOR GERMAN OPPOSITION

By THE ASSOCIATED PRESS

Filed at 7:37 p.m. ET

BERLIN (AP) -- The conservative opposition soundly defeated German Chancellor Gerhard Schroeder's Social Democrats Sunday in an economically depressed eastern state, just five months before a national election focusing on the country's struggling economy.

The Christian Democrats regained control of the eastern state of Saxony-Anhalt with more than 37.3 percent of the vote, 15 percentage points more than in the last ballot four years ago. Schroeder's Social Democrats had 20 percent, down from 36 percent in the last election there.

The result was a boost for Edmund Stoiber, the conservative who is running to unseat Schroeder in national elections this fall.

Even more jubilant were the pro-business Free Democrats, who re-entered the state legislature with 13.3 percent. Their nine-point gain positioned them to ally with the Christian Democrats and strengthened their claim to swing-party status in Sept. 22 national parliamentary elections.

Sunday's ballot in the formerly communist east was nationally significant because the region's voters are less predictable than those in the west. Politicians aim their campaigns at these swing voters, making them potentially decisive in federal elections since German reunification in 1990.

Polls had predicted a defeat for the Social Democrats, who ran Saxony-Anhalt for the last eight years with a minority government backed by the former East German communists. But the crushing result was worse than expected. The ex-communists were level at about 20 percent.
Like Stoiber at the national level, conservative gubernatorial candidate Wolfgang Boehmer campaigned heavily on pledges to improve the economy. Saxony-Anhalt offered a good testing ground: It has the lowest per capita income of any German state and the highest jobless rate, twice the national average at more than 20 percent.

Stoiber declared the results a referendum on Schroeder's economic policies in the last test of voter sentiment before the national election.

"This is clearly a signal to Berlin," a smiling Stoiber said on ZDF television.

Franz Muentefering, the Social Democrats' secretary-general, questioned the vote's national significance. While acknowledging a `dramatic defeat,' he said he expects the result to mobilize his party for the September election.

"For the Social Democrats, it's clear that we will buckle down. We know this will be an election battle, not a walkover," he said in Berlin.

Election analysts said the Social Democrats dramatically lost voter share among working-class people and the unemployed. Schroeder's party was also hurt by voter apathy -- only about 56 percent of the 2.1 million voters went to the polls.

But many voters simply appeared to be fed up with two-term Social Democratic governor Reinhard Hoeppner, a pastor's son who ran an unexciting campaign to secure continued federal aid for east Germany but failed to radiate much optimism about the area's future.

Boehmer, a gynecology professor and former state finance minister, said he wants to offer economic opportunities so fewer people will leave the state in search of jobs.

More remarkable was the revival of the Free Democrats, a party that typically draws its support from well-earning professionals in the richer west.

"What has happened here in the last few years is catastrophic," said Cornelia Pieper, the party's secretary-general. "Many people have left this state because they couldn't find work."

www.nytimes.com/aponline/international/AP-Germany-State-Election.html
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LePen reportedly pulled in 19% of the vote and is now in a run-off with the conservative candidate.
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Jacques Chirac on Sunday night looked set to retain the French presidency for a second term as a disgruntled electorate gave a huge protest vote to Jean-Marie Le Pen, the hard right leader of the National Front ejecting Socialist premier Lionel Jospin from the second round contest.

Emerging victorious from the first round Mr Chirac immediately sought to rally the nation warning of a threat to democracy should the extremist 73 year-old Mr Le Pen be elected France's next head of state.

Mr Jospin on Sunday night conceded defeat after gaining a provisional 16 per cent, behind the 17.2 per cent gained by Mr Le Pen and Mr Chirac's 20 per cent. He also announced he would resign from the premiership and withdraw from politics once the second round vote was over on May 5.

The vote was the biggest electoral upset in France's post-war political history. "The results are an enormous clap of thunder - the fact that the extreme right represents 20 per cent is a very worrying sign for France and our democracy," Mr Jospin said.

In addition to Mr Le Pen, Bruno Mégret, his onetime ally and dauphin in the National Front, received 3 per cent of the vote.

The French electorate now faces a second-round choice between the 69 year-old outgoing president, whose image has suffered from corruption scandals and Mr Le Pen, a former paratrooper whose National Front has openly identified with racist policies and has no parliamentary representation.

The contest raises the prospect of a tilt towards the right in France which will further affect the political colour of Europe after the impact of the Berlusconi government in Italy.

"What is at stake to day is national cohesion," said Mr Chirac on Sunday
night. "If an election allows rejection and dissatisfaction to be expressed, this is not enough to form the basis of a government's policy."

France's moderate and fragmented right is expected to rally round Mr Chirac for the second round. On Sunday night pollsters forecast a 78-22 per cent split in favour of Mr Chirac. But this may not provide enough momentum for the moderate right to win a tough general election in June — and without control of parliament France would face another left-right cohabitation.

"All the ingredients are unfortunately now there to get a co-habitation," said Alain Madelin, the liberal leader who won less than 4 per cent but who is an important potential Chirac ally.

Commentators said in the unlikely event of Mr Le Pen being elected president of France, this would raise far worse problems for the European Union than those presented by the entry of the Haidar faction into the Austrian government three years ago.

"This is a big defeat for the two leaders of the establishment," Mr Le Pen said on Sunday night. "This is first of all a rejection by the French people of the ineffective way in which they have been governed."

Mr Le Pen profited from an election system which allowed 16 candidates to enter the field. His support was eroded by the three hard left candidates, the Communist and Green candidates Jean-Pierre Chevenement, his former interior minister. But none of these candidates believed his presence in the second round was in doubt.

The vote saw an unprecedented level of abstention with 28 per cent of voters staying away from the polling booths.
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EXTREME RIGHTIST ECLIPSES SOCIALIST TO QUALIFY FOR RUNOFF IN FRANCE

By SUZANNE DALEY

PARIS, April 21 -- In a major upset not predicted in weeks of opinion polling, the extreme rightist Jean-Marie Le Pen qualified today to face President Jacques Chirac, a conservative, in the runoff for the French presidency next month.

The 73-year-old Mr. Le Pen, who once called the Nazi gas chambers "a detail in history," benefited from the huge field of candidates that split the vote, an apathetic electorate and a wave of anti-crime fervor to edge past Prime Minister Lionel Jospin.

With 99.33 percent of the vote counted, Mr. Chirac had 19.6 percent of the vote, Mr. Le Pen 17 percent and Mr. Jospin 16 percent.

Mr. Le Pen's victory over Mr. Jospin was described by leaders of the left and the right as a "political earthquake." Until today, Mr. Chirac was expected to face Mr. Jospin in the runoff on May 5. Polls showed that once the field of candidates was narrowed to these two, Mr. Chirac and Mr. Jospin were neck and neck in the race.

The results, however, should make it far easier for the 69-year-old Mr. Chirac to win re-election.

Polls suggest that Mr. Le Pen, a former paratrooper with a strong anti-immigration message, is a long way from winning over a majority of French voters. In fact, his candidacy could mobilize large numbers of left-leaning voters to support the Gaullist Mr. Chirac.

Even before the final votes were tallied, leading Socialists were saying that they would urge their supporters to do just that to ensure Mr. Le Pen's defeat and preserve "the honor of France." One survey of voters found that Mr. Chirac would beat Mr. Le Pen in the second round with 78 percent to 22 percent.
But at his headquarters in Paris tonight, Mr. Chirac seemed cautious. He addressed the nation in a somber mood, urging voters to rally behind democratic values, without directly mentioning Mr. le Pen.

"The moment of choice has come," Mr. Chirac said. "What is at stake are the values of the republic, to which all French people are attached. I call on all French citizens to rally to defend human rights, to guarantee the cohesion of the nation, to affirm the unity of the republic, and to restore the authority of state."

For his part, a clearly energized Mr. Le Pen stressed his anti-European Union message.

"Don't be afraid to dream, you little people, the foot soldiers, the excluded, you the miners, the steelworkers, the workers of all those industries ruined by the Euro-globalization of Maastricht," Mr. Le Pen said referring to one of the founding treaties of the European Union signed at Maastricht. "I call on the French of all races, religions and social conditions to rally round this historic chance for a national recovery."

The news of Mr. Le Pen's victory sparked late-night, anti-Le Pen demonstrations in several cities, including Lyon, Grenoble, Lille, Bordeaux and Strasbourg. In Paris, the Place de La Bastille was filled with demonstrators, mostly in their 20's and 30's. Many stood on construction scaffolds and on top of bus stop shelters, chanting "Fascism will not prevail" and "We are all children of immigrants."

Mr. Le Pen's defeat of Mr. Jospin was the latest in a series of blows to the European left that began in Italy last year, spread to Denmark and Portugal and could engulf the Netherlands and Germany next.

Reaction to the latest news was mixed, even among some right-wing parties that have been on the rise in other countries. Filip Dewinter, the leader of the far-right party Vlaams Blok that took a third of the vote in Belgium's second city, Antwerp, in 2000, hailed Mr. Le Pen's success as part of a trend. "I'm very, very pleased that Le Pen scored such a large victory," Mr. Dewinter told Reuters. "We are brothers in arms."

Political leaders on the left expressed dismay. The British Labor leader in the European Parliament said Mr. Le Pen's victory, coming after other successes for far-right parties across a continent anxious about economic malaise and ethnic migration, would "send a shudder across the European Union." The Social Democrat Prime Minister of Sweden, Goran Persson, agreed, saying, "I hope that all democratic powers will unite against right-wing extremism and xenophobia."

The outcome of the vote was a crushing personal defeat for Mr. Jospin, who had built a long career on an the image of hard work and honesty at a time when French politicians from left and right, including Mr. Chirac, had been tarred by allegations of corruption. But Mr. Jospin had failed to overcome what many saw as his greatest flaw: a professorial style that often seemed condescending and humorless.

In recent weeks, he has been criticized for running a stiff, lackluster campaign. He made several gaffes, including once calling Mr. Chirac "tired, past it and overcome by the wear and tear of power," which he
later had to apologize for.

About 11 p.m., with about half the votes counted, a shaken-looking Mr. Jospin went on television to announce that if early results showing Mr. Le Pen ahead held up he would retire from political life.

"If the estimates are correct, the results of the first round amount to a thunderbolt," Mr. Jospin said. "I assume full responsibility for this defeat and I draw the conclusion that must be drawn. I will be retiring from politics."

He gave no advice to his supporters on how to vote, but key officials in his cabinet said they would vote for Mr. Chirac. Among them was Dominique Strauss-Kahn, the former finance minister and Mr. Jospin's campaign manager, who said that "Le Pen's score, for the honor of France, must be as low as possible."

The Green Party candidate, Noel Mamere, also called on his supporters to mobilize against Mr. Le Pen as did the Communist Party candidate, Robert Hue.

Analysts say Mr. Le Pen's victory was built on several factors. One was the lack of interest that Mr. Jospin and Mr. Chirac managed to elicit.

Even Mr. Chirac's 19.6 percent of the vote is the lowest by far of a front-runner in any presidential election in France since the country's Fifth Republic was founded in 1958. And voters stayed away in droves, clearly intending to rebuke the political establishment for a lifeless campaign, in which both men made virtually identical campaign promises.

The lack of enthusiasm for Mr. Jospin and Mr. Chirac also prompted 14 others to enter the campaign, the biggest, most ideologically varied ballot in French history. The choices ended up splintering the vote, particularly on the left.

And a growing fear of crime, which many French citizens blame on immigrants, also increased Mr. Le Pen's support.

Mr. Le Pen has run for the French presidency three times before, his dire warnings of the threat to French life from North African immigration pushing his share of the presidential vote up from 0.74 percent in 1974, 14 in 1988 and 15 in 1995.
April 22, 2002

JOSPIN'S LOSS REVEALS A LEFT THAT IS LOSING ITS PLATFORM

By ALAN RIDING

PARIS, April 21 -- The advance of France's extreme right in the first round of presidential elections today may have shaken France's political system with the force of an earthquake, but the main damage was caused on the left, where the Socialist Party of Prime Minister Lionel Jospin stands in ruins after five years in government.

Mr. Jospin was personally the big loser when he was narrowly beaten by Jean-Marie Le Pen of the far-right National Front for a place in the runoff election May 5 against President Jacques Chirac, who is seeking re-election.

But while Mr. Jospin assumed responsibility for his defeat by announcing his retirement from politics, the setback represented more than the electorate's tepid reaction to his unexciting campaigning style. It also reflected how Europe's parties of the left are constrained by the market rules of the European Union from pleasing their traditional constituencies.

One of the loudest complaints in the recent campaign here was that Mr. Jospin, on the left, and Mr. Chirac, on the Gaullist right, were offering similar centrist programs. But this worked doubly in Mr. Chirac's favor. Although he has been president since 1995, his party has not been running the government since 1997.
French voters traditionally vote against the party in government. This penchant for voting "against" is one measure of the French electorate's deep distrust of France's entrenched ruling elites: in every parliamentary election since 1981, the government in office has been ousted.

Another sign of public unhappiness with all political parties was an abstention rate today of 28 percent, the highest since the Fifth Republic was founded over 40 years ago.

What was still more damaging to Mr. Jospin, however, was disenchantment with what were perceived as his pro-market policies. That apparently led many traditional socialist voters to look further to the left, giving two Trotskyite candidates 10.3 percent of votes between them and fragmenting the rest of the leftist votes among candidates of other small leftist and green parties.

Tonight, Arlette Laguiller, the perennial Trotskyite candidate of the Workers' Struggle party, who won 6.3 percent, blamed Mr. Jospin for betraying the left. She denied he was defeated by fragmentation of the leftist vote; rather, she said, the fragmentation was in reaction to Mr. Jospin's abandonment of socialist policies.

As the shock of Mr. Le Pen's strong showing sank in tonight, most leftist leaders -- although not Ms. Laguiller -- called on their followers to block Mr. Le Pen by voting for Mr. Chirac on May 5. The Socialist Party also faces the immediate problem of finding a new leader who can start preparing for the two rounds of parliamentary elections in late May and early June. Mr. Jospin said he would step down after the May 5 vote.

Mr. Jospin's poor showing today reflected the inability of his government to alleviate two fundamental concerns affecting much of the electorate. One was the perception that France is a helpless victim of the forces of globalization.

The other was the widespread belief that France is experiencing a breakdown of law and order. With Mr. Chirac exploiting the issue during the campaign, Mr. Jospin also promised new security measures. But this only served to put off many leftists, who felt he was endorsing repressive measures traditionally associated with the right.


Copyright 2002 The New York Times Company

*****

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Sun Apr 21 21:45:09 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
  by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
  id g3M4j8e18716 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Sun, 21 Apr 2002
  21:45:08 -0700 (PDT)
Roll Call's annual list of the 50 richest Members of Congress

2. Rep. Amo Houghton (R-N.Y.) $500 million
4. Sen. Herb Kohl (D-Wis.) $300 million
5. Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D-W.Va.) $200 million
7. Rep. Darrell Issa (R-Calif.) $60 million
8. (tie) Sen. Lincoln Chafee (R-I.R.I.) $50 million
8. (tie) Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.) $50 million
8. (tie) Rep. Doug Ose (R-Calif.) $50 million
11. Sen. Peter Fitzgerald (R-Ill.) $40 million
15. (tie) Sen. Mark Dayton (D-Minn.) $20 million
15. (tie) Sen. Bill Frist (R-Tenn.) $20 million
15. (tie) Rep. Porter Goss (R-Fla.) $20 million
18. (tie) Rep. Gary Miller (R-Calif.) $15 million
23. Rep. Jim Sensenbrenner (R-Wis.) $11 million
24. (tie) Sen. Maria Cantwell (D-Wash.) $10 million
24. (tie) Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.) $10 million
24. (tie) Sen. Gordon Smith (R-Ore.) $10 million
24. (tie) Rep. Ellen Tauscher (D-Calif.) $10 million
28. Rep. Dan Miller (R-Fla.) $9 million
29. (tie) Rep. Cass Ballenger (R-N.C.) $8.5 million
29. (tie) Rep. Tom Petri (R-Wis.) $8.5 million
31. Rep. David Dreier (R-Calif.) $8 million
32. Rep. Chris Cannon (R-Utah) $7.5 million
33. (tie) Sen. Bob Graham (D-Fla.) $7 million
33. (tie) Rep. Fred Upton (R-Mich.) $7 million
33. (tie) Rep. Lloyd Doggett (D-Texas) $7 million
36. Rep. Paul Gillmor (R-Ohio) $6 million
37. Rep. Tom Osborne (R-Neb.) $5.7 million
38. Rep. Anne Northup (R-Ky.) $5.6 million
40. (tie) Sen. Bob Bennett (R-Utah) $5 million
40. (tie) Rep. Terry Everett (R-Ala.) $5 million
42. Rep. Jim Leach (R-Iowa) $4.5 million
43. Rep. Nick Rahall (D-W.Va.) $4.2 million
44. Sen. Mike DeWine (R-Ohio) $4 million
45. (tie) Sen. Sam Brownback (R-Kan.) $3.7 million
45. (tie) Rep. Butch Otter (R-Idaho) $3.7 million
47. (tie) Sen. John Warner (R-Va.) $3.5 million
47. (tie) Rep. Sue Kelly (R-N.Y.) $3.5 million
49. Rep. Rob Portman (R-Ohio) $3.4 million
50. Sen. Richard Shelby (R-Ala.) $3.3 million
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Cash and Kerry

Massachusetts Senator Reclaims Top Spot as Economy Keeps Others at Bay

By Amy Keller

Roll Call's annual list of the 50 richest Members of Congress hasn't changed much since a year ago thanks in large part to the stagnant economy.

Moreover, because 2001 was not an election year, the elite roster of wealthy lawmakers also wasn't altered by retirements or election defeats, though two longtime Members who spent years on the list passed away last year. Rep. Norman Sisisky (D-Va.) died in March 2000 and Rep. Floyd Spence (R-S.C.) died last August.

While it's difficult to exactly assess Members' wealth - the most recent financial information available about Members' personal finances is current only through Dec. 31, 2000 - there were indications that several lawmakers lost large amounts of money in the stock market.

There's no better poster child for declining wealth than freshman Sen. Maria Cantwell (D-Wash.), who slipped from the ninth spot on our list to 24th, owing to the drop in price of her stock in RealNetworks, the Seattle-based company that produces audio streaming technology.

After a brief term in the House from 1993 to 1995, Cantwell returned to Seattle and made a sizable fortune as an executive with RealNetworks. At one point her net worth was estimated at about $40 million, thanks to the skyrocketing price of stock in the technology company.

Using millions of dollars of her personal wealth to finance a successful Senate bid in 2000, Cantwell fell on tough financial times shortly after winning her election as she watched the worth of her remaining stock holdings plummet.

Once selling for as high as $96 a share, RealNetworks is now trading at around $6. That left Cantwell in a tight spot early last year as she struggled to pay off campaign debts and personal loans she had taken for her campaign. Although her campaign reports indicate that she has managed, with the help of her colleagues, to raise funds to pay down her debts, and she's still worth millions, she is definitely not as rich as she was before the high-tech boom took a dive.

He's still near the top of our survey, but Rep. Amo Houghton (R-N.Y.) has also been feeling the sting of the stock market's slump as his enormous investments in Corning Inc. have dropped dramatically in value.

Although Houghton was flirting with billionaire status a year ago because
of the then soaring prices of Corning stock, which at one point was selling for more than $100 a share, the stock tumbled to between $9 and $10 a share after the company's fiber-optic cable sales declined.

This year it took at least $3.2 million to even qualify for a space on the roster, up slightly from the $3 million it took to qualify last year. That left more than 100 other Congressional millionaires off the list.

Thirty-three lawmakers on the list are Republicans; 17 are Democrats.

Inspired by Forbes' annual list of the 400 wealthiest Americans, Roll Call's roster of well-heeled Members uses the following guidelines: We begin by using disclosure forms filed with the House and Senate, estimating net worth by combining minimum assets and minimum liabilities.

For example, if a holding is valued at between $500,000 and $1 million, we assessed its value at the minimum, $500,000. For assets valued at $50 million or more, the form requires Members to list their value at "over $50 million." So, for instance, a $100 million trust counts as only $50 million on the form.

Assets held by spouses and dependent children are included as part of the total net worth - a factor that helps keep individuals such as Sens. John Kerry (D-Mass.) and Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.) staples on the list.

We use disclosure forms as a reference point, but combine them with information from Members' hometown newspapers and other sources, including the Forbes 400, to come up with a list of the 50 wealthiest Senators and House Members.

As in our previous efforts, we have done some estimating, but we present our list with a good deal of confidence that it's as close an approximation of the true state of individual Congressional wealth as is possible to derive from publicly available data.


Kerry still boasts a massive fortune thanks to his ketchup heiress wife's wealth. Teresa Heinz was booted off Forbes' list of the 400 wealthiest Americans in 1999, when the magazine estimated her ketchup fortune at $620 million, narrowly missing that year's cutoff of $625 million.

A more recent article in The Boston Globe described Heinz as having control of her family's $675 million fortune.

This year, Kerry returns to the No. 1 spot on our list after a one-year hiatus, thanks to the fact that Rep. Amo Houghton's (R-N.Y.) stock in Corning took a dive.

Kerry's 2000 financial disclosure forms reveal at least $170 million in assets, with the largest assets being a marital trust, other various Heinz family trusts and a bond fund. The couple regularly bought and sold stocks through the various funds that year.

2. Rep. Amo Houghton (R-N.Y.) $500 million

Last year we speculated on the possibility that Houghton was Congress'
first billionaire, but with his chief investment in Corning taking a massive blow in 2001, the Congressman's financial portfolio has taken a large hit and knocked him out of first place in this year's survey.

Because of fluctuations in the stock market and the imprecise nature of Congressional financial disclosure forms - which do not require that Members disclose how many shares of stock they own, but only that they broadly estimate their holdings - it's unclear exactly how rich Houghton is.

Neither Houghton - a descendent of Corning's founders and a former employee of the company - nor his staffers will comment on his wealth, calling it a personal matter.

On his 2000 House financial disclosure forms, Houghton listed assets of between $33 million and $128 million - about 40 percent less than the $2 million to $216 million he listed on his 1999 forms.

Moreover, the value of his Corning stock listed on the forms was between $12 million and $57 million - down substantially from the $16 million to $80 million he disclosed the previous year.

Corning stock - which skyrocketed during much of 2000 and part of 2001, transforming secretaries and other veteran company employees into millionaires - did not fair as well later in the year.

Corning posted a $220 million third-quarter loss in 2001, sales declined almost 30 percent and thousands of employees were laid off.

Although the company made a fortune months earlier manufacturing and selling fiber-optic cable, it was hit by an industrywide slump in sales and stock prices, which at one time reached a 52-week high of $101; it is now selling for between $9 and $10 per share.

Corning remains Houghton's mainstay, but he has investments in other stocks and bonds through several trusts.


With an estimated fortune of $400 million, the 55-year-old former chairman of Goldman, Sachs & Co. is the third-richest Member of Congress. It's clear that money is no object for the Wall Street millionaire, who spent nearly $60 million of his own funds in his 2000 race against Rep. Bob Franks (R) for retiring Sen. Frank Lautenberg's (D-N.J.) seat. Although his opponent tried to paint him as an inexperienced liberal businessman, Corzine insisted during the race that his financial prowess would be beneficial if he were elected because he would only be "beholden to the voters," not to big business.

Corzine's most recent financial disclosure statements reveal more than $66 million in assets, including an investment worth between $25 million and $50 million in JC Mesa Limited Liability Co., which he described as holding "undeveloped land" in Ouray, Colo.

Also in Colorado, he holds a $5 million to $25 million investment in Skyfield, a development in Telluride.
He also lists interests in a Denver mobile home community; Fatwitch Bakery, a New York home-baked goods company; and two Chicago bicycle shops. His stock holdings in Goldman, Sachs are listed at more than $50 million.

4. Sen. Herb Kohl (D-Wis.) $300 million

Despite the fact that Kohl's 2000 financial disclosure forms only show his net worth to be about $112 million, his true fortune is certainly much larger. (The Senate forms only require assets to be listed in general ranges, the highest category of which is $50 million and above.) In addition to sitting on a qualified blind trust worth more than $50 million, Kohl - who derived his fortune from his family's department store business - is the owner of the Milwaukee Bucks professional basketball team, which is also valued at more than $50 million. The team, which Forbes magazine estimated to be worth $131 million in 2000, had a particularly good season last year, likely boosting the value of the franchise.

Kohl disclosed that the team pulled in $51 million in gross receipts before expenses in 2000, up from the $35 million in receipts he listed in 1999.

Although final figures for the 2001 season are not yet available, revenue shot up last year when the team pulled in 89 percent of its capacity at games. Attendance was even better during the NBA playoffs, when every game was sold out.

Whatever the case, the team is worth millions more than the $19 million Kohl paid for it in 1985.

The Senator's other assets include significant real estate investments in Wisconsin and a horse breeding operation in Teton County, Wyo., valued at between $5 million and $25 million. Kohl disclosed more than $55,000 in horse sales in 2000.

5. Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D-W.Va.) $200 million

Rockefeller is the great-grandson of John D. Rockefeller, one of the world's first billionaires. Although Forbes estimates the Rockefeller family fortune to be at least $8.5 billion in October 2000, Rockefeller listed assets of more than $100 million - most of which is tied up in three qualified blind trusts and other investments - on his 2000 financial disclosure forms.

Other investments listed on his forms include U.S. savings bonds and numerous stocks in his wife's name. The stocks include shares in companies such as DoubleClick Inc., Walt Disney Co., Cisco Systems, Amgen, Applied Materials, AT&T Wireless, PepsiCo Inc., Nortel Networks Corp., Sotheby's Holdings Inc., Triangle Pharmaceuticals, United Parcel Service and Rolls-Royce.


This former three-term House Member, who returned to the chamber in 2000, is married to Sidney Harman, founder of the Fortune 500 electronics company Harman International Industries, which manufactures high-end
stereo equipment. The company paid him $1.3 million in 1999, according to the financial disclosure statement Harman filed during her 2000 House race. She declined to list his salary in her 2000 filing.

The couple also have a variety of other investments to supplement Harman's Congressional salary, and her financial disclosure statement revealed a net worth of more than $53 million.

Her husband's holdings in Harman International Industries alone are worth between $50 million and $100 million, up significantly from between the $10 million and $50 million she estimated in 1999.

The Harmans also have investment funds with holdings in commercial real estate property throughout the Washington, D.C., as well as Mexico, Argentina, Germany and Italy.

7. Rep. Darrell Issa (R-Calif.) $60 million

This freshman lawmaker who succeeded now retired Rep. Ron Packard (R) has a rags-to-riches story. He turned a $7,000 investment into a successful car-alarm business that has yielded him millions.

Issa first invested in the car-alarm business in Cleveland but later took full control of the firm, Directed Electronics, and moved it to San Diego in the mid-1980s. He reported receiving a salary of $761,800 from the company in 2000.

Issa, who invested $2 million of his own money in his primary and spent nearly $10 million on a failed Senate bid in 1998, lists a variety of other investments in his fat financial portfolio.

His holdings include an investment in Greene Properties, a property management company, valued at between $5 million and $25 million; shares in the Vanguard 500 Index Fund worth between $25 million and $50 million; and the Issa Family Foundation, a tax-exempt charitable foundation valued at between $1 million and $5 million.

He also has a large portfolio of blue-chip stocks and bonds worth more than $25 million.

8. (tie) Sen. Lincoln Chafee (R-R.I.) $50 million

Appointed to replace his late father and elected to a full term in 2000, Chafee brought a sizable fortune as well as a political legacy to his Senate seat.

His largest assets are several multimillion-dollar blind trusts, which are in the names of his spouse, his children and his grandchildren.

The various stocks and bonds that comprise these trusts together total more than $40 million. Chafee's other large assets include his wife's WeelHouse Farm in Exeter, R.I., worth more than $1 million. The couple also jointly own undeveloped land in Sorrento, Maine, worth between $100,000 and $250,000 and a house in Franconia, N.H., also worth between $100,000 and $250,000.

8. (tie) Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.) $50 million
California's senior Senator has extensive, diversified holdings with her husband, real estate mogul Richard Blum, most of which are in his name. Blum is chairman of the merchant banking firm BLUM Capital Partners.

Feinstein's assets, which are held directly or through the Bertram Feinstein Trust as separate property, consist of her interest in Carlton Hotel Properties, a pension from the city of San Francisco, a deposit account at Bank of America and the Freedom Cash Management Fund. Together those assets are worth between $5 million and $25 million.

She also has a qualified blind trust valued at between $1 million and $5 million that was established in 1991 and qualified in 1993, and she is the beneficiary of the Richard C. Blum Marital Trusts of 1994 and 1996.

The Feinsteins own a condo in Princeville, on the Hawaiian island of Kauai, and have deposit accounts at the Bank of America and First Republic Bank. The couple's investment in Carlton Hotel Properties is valued at between $5 million and $25 million.

Blum owns more than $1 million worth of stock in Northwest Airlines and a company called Kinetic Concepts. He has smaller stock holdings in dozens of other major companies.

8. (tie) Rep. Doug Ose (R-Calif.) $50 million

A wealthy developer of mini-storage facilities, Ose's most recent financial disclosure forms reveal a net worth of at least $48 million, up substantially from the $11 million we estimated him at last year. The main reason for the increase? His holdings in Ose Properties jumped from $6.25 million to more than $25 million.

Besides various properties and stocks, he has significant holdings in Lockerrooms 2, Melenco Inc., River Court West LP and Levee Road LP, though he sold off somewhere between $1 million and $5 million of his investment in Lockerrooms 2 at the end of 2000.

11. Sen. Peter Fitzgerald (R-Ill.) $40 million

This former state Senator, lawyer and banker won his seat in 1998 by using at least $7 million of his own money to beat scandal-damaged Sen. Carol Moseley-Braun (D).

His fortune is derived from his father, Gerald, who founded Suburban Banking Corp. outside Chicago in 1961. The Bank of Montreal purchased it in 1991, yielding Fitzgerald about $40 million in stock.

With the majority of his wealth still concentrated in large holdings of Bank of Montreal stock (his holdings are worth between $25 million and $50 million, according to his forms), Fitzgerald declined a seat on the Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs Committee to avoid a conflict of interest. He has also recused himself from voting on banking legislation.

In addition, the Senator has substantial stock holdings in Bank One, Charter One Financial Inc. and several other financial institutions. He also has investments in a couple of money market funds, including Harris Insight Funds.

An heir to a multimillion-dollar textile fortune, Hayes was a hosiery mill owner before being elected to Congress in 1998. His 2000 financial disclosure forms revealed his net worth to be at least $33 million, with the bulk of his fortune tied up in three trusts containing a variety of stocks and bonds.

He also owns an airplane worth between $1 million and $5 million as well as various properties throughout the Tar Heel State.

Hayes has stock in companies ranging from Oracle to EMC Corp.


Kennedy's father, Joseph, was a Boston bank president by age 25 and founded a political and financial dynasty after making millions in the liquor business. Although folks such as Bill Gates have long since edged the Kennedy clan off the Forbes 400 list, in 1990 the magazine estimated the combined Kennedy family fortune at $850 million.

Those holdings are now divided among dozens of living heirs, and the Senator reports somewhere between $10.4 million and $48 million in assets. That's significantly more than the $2.6 million to $8.2 million in assets he listed in 1999.

His largest holdings are several family trusts. Although in past years Kennedy stated that the value of those trusts is "unknown," in his 2000 forms he listed values for each for a total of more than $10 million. He also owns undeveloped land in Lafayette, La., valued at between $100,000 and $250,000, and has between $50,000 and $100,000 in various accounts with Citibank in New York.


The Frelinghuysen family arrived in New Jersey in the 1720s, and four Frelinghuysens served in the Senate in the 1800s. The Congressman's father, Peter, served in the House for two decades last century.

The House Member's wealth consists mainly of diversified stock and bond holdings, with assets totaling more than $20 million in 2000, according to his financial disclosure forms. His largest holding includes stock in Proctor & Gamble, worth between $7 million and $27 million. Other large holdings include between $1 million and $5 million worth of stock in both Eli Lilly & Co. and International Business Machines.

15. (tie) Sen. Mark Dayton (D-Minn.) $20 million

This multimillionaire heir to the Target Corp. fortune has a checking account at the Wells Fargo Bank in Minneapolis containing between $5 million and $25 million. His financial disclosure forms reveal assets of between $9 million and $38 million and other media sources have pegged his worth at around $20 million.

Once again last year Forbes magazine listed the Dayton family among the 40 richest in America, with an estimated fortune of $1.5 billion from the
Dayton, who spent more than $11 million of his own money on his 2000 Senate campaign, has hundreds of investments, including stock, bond and mutual funds, though that portfolio likely looks dramatically different now.

After facing criticism on the campaign trail in 2000, Dayton reportedly sold off all his stock holdings and plunked his money into a money market account. He does not disclose those transactions - which campaign aides at the time argued resulted in a financial loss - on his disclosure forms, noting that he was "not a federal employee" during the period when he made the sales.

He did, however, reveal the more than $1 million in capital gains taxes he was forced to pay for selling each of six holdings: BP Amoco, American International Group, Chevron, Citigroup, Intel and Waters Corp.

He has since said that any stock holdings he owns outright have been deposited in a blind trust.

He can't be hurting too badly, though. According to news reports, he was personally paying the salary of one Senate employee who can't accept federal funds because she isn't a U.S. citizen.

15. (tie) Sen. Bill Frist (R-Tenn.) $20 million

This transplant surgeon and chairman of the National Republican Senatorial Committee knows how to operate a budget. Frist is worth millions thanks to his holdings in Columbia/HCA, the for-profit hospital chain pioneered by his late father and run by his brother.

On his 2000 financial disclosure forms he listed several multimillion-dollar trusts, including a qualified blind trust in his name worth between $5 million and $25 million and another trust in his wife's name valued at more than $1 million. He also disclosed several trusts in the names of his children.

Other holdings include undeveloped commercial and residential land in Memphis valued at between $500,000 and $1 million, as well as real estate in Colorado, San Francisco and Texas.

15. (tie) Rep. Porter Goss (R-Fla.) $20 million

The former CIA agent and newspaper publisher listed assets totaling close to $18 million, according to his 2000 financial disclosure forms, about the same as the previous year.

His largest assets are farmland in Virginia valued at between $1 million and $45 million and stock in American Home Products valued at between $1 million and $5 million. He has stock in IBM, General Electric, Merck, Wal-Mart and several other companies valued at between $1 million and $5 million. He is also a partial beneficiary of a family trust valued at between $1 million and $5 million.

North Carolina's junior Senator made his fortune as a successful trial attorney, earning millions representing children and others in personal injury lawsuits. Although he listed roughly $22 million in assets on his 1999 disclosure forms, he disclosed about $14 million in assets on his 2000 forms.

Edwards maintains an impressive multimillion-dollar stock-and-bond portfolio, much of which is held through a blind trust. He also owns property in Raleigh, N.C., including several buildings valued at more than $700,000, and reported $1.4 million he received as part of a 1998 buyout provision with his law firm, which is being paid out over five years.

18. (tie) Rep. Gary Miller (R-Calif.) $15 million

A wealthy real estate developer and former California state Assemblyman, Miller revealed a net worth of at least $14.7 million on his financial disclosure forms. Major assets include plots of land in Monrovia, Calif., valued at more than $10 million. He also listed land in Diamond Bar and Rialto as well as vast stock holdings in companies from Cisco Systems and Sprint to Intel and Microsoft.


Among Lowey's largest assets is her husband's law firm, Lowey, Danenberg, Bemporad & Selinger, which (with profit sharing) was valued at between $2 million and $10 million, according to her 2000 financial disclosure forms. Other million-dollar holdings include the couple's portfolio of New York tax-free bonds and an investment in EGS Partners.


The incoming Minority Whip derives most of her money from real estate and business investments. Her husband, Paul, has diverse holdings in everything from resort hotels to wine estates as well as a limousine business.

Large assets in her husband's name include an investment worth between $1 million and $5 million in Nine Forty Five Battery, a San Francisco-based real estate partnership and another real estate partnership, called Thirteen Hundred One Sansome LLC, also valued at between $1 million and $5 million.

The couple own rental property on K Street in Washington, D.C., valued at between $500,000 and $1 million, and an eight-acre vineyard in Napa worth between $1 million and $5 million.


Taylor, a tree farmer, revealed assets of more than $12 million on his 2000 disclosure forms, up slightly from the $11.9 million he reported in 1998. The bulk of his wealth comes from stocks and his farms, and his largest holding is stock in Financial Guaranty Corp., worth between $5 million and $25 million. He also owns a sizable chunk of stock (between $1 million and $5 million worth) in the Monte Vista Corp.

His family farm in Transylvania County, N.C., along with his investment
in a land-and-timber partnership in Haywood, N.C., is worth more than $3 million. Large holdings in his wife's name include an investment in Southeastern Real Estate and Discount Co., worth between $1 million and $5 million, and stock in Blue Ridge Savings Bank, valued at between $1 million and $5 million.

23. Rep. Jim Sensenbrenner (R-Wis.) $11 million

Sensenbrenner is slightly richer this year. This self-described "tightwad" takes all the guesswork out of reading his financial disclosure forms by listing his own net worth along with a breakdown of each of his assets. For 2000 he pegged his own fortune at around $10.8 million, up several hundred thousand from 1999 as the dollar value of his assets increased. His home in Alexandria, Va., rose in value from $658,000 to $689,400, and a condominium he owns in Waukesha County, Wis., jumped from $99,000 to about $107,600. A single-family residence in Wisconsin he has an interest in increased in value from about $384,000 to about $424,000. In all, Sensenbrenner listed $41.2 million in real estate property and nearly $400,000 worth of life insurance policies.

The Congressman also reported more than $8 million worth of stock and bonds, including between $1 million and $5 million in Kimberly Clark, between $500,000 and $1 million in General Electric, and between $1 million and $5 million in the pharmaceutical giant Merck.

He also listed an additional $800,000 worth of miscellaneous items, including a 20-foot pontoon boat worth $4,250 and a 17-foot Boston Whaler worth $8,000.

24. (tie) Sen. Maria Cantwell (D-Wash.) $10 million

Cantwell fell several notches in our survey after weathering some difficult financial storms last year following her defeat of Sen. Slade Gorton (R).

A former executive with the Seattle software company RealNetworks, Cantwell cashed in more than $6.5 million of company stock to fund her campaign and also secured $3.8 million in loans, using her stock holdings as collateral. In all, she reported an income from RealNetworks of $10.8 million, including the stock options she exercised.

As a new Senator she struggled with significant campaign debts and incredibly shrinking assets when RealNetworks' value plunged on Wall Street. Stock in the streaming media software manufacturer, which at one time was selling at a high of $96 a share, plunged to less than $10.

At the end of 2000, when it was trading at between $15 and $16 a share, she estimated her remaining stock holdings in the company to be worth between $5 million and $25 million. In September of 2001, the stock plunged to a one-year low of around $3 per share; it is currently trading at about $6.

While her Senate colleagues, including Sen. Hillary Rodham Clinton (D-N.Y.), held fundraisers to help her, Cantwell also used her own funds to continue to pay off the campaign debt.

Besides her stock in RealNetworks, her financial portfolio contains
various bonds, a modest 401(k) retirement fund, a US Bank Money Fund worth between $50,000 and $100,000, and a smaller Salomon Smith Barney money fund.

24. (tie) Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.) $10 million

While he fights to put an end to big money in politics, McCain's not complaining about the big bucks in his own bank account.

A decorated Vietnam veteran who's earned a reputation as a maverick in the Senate with his push for campaign finance reform, McCain has a sizable personal fortune thanks to his wife, Cindy Hensley McCain, heiress to the Hensley liquor fortune.

Her father, James Hensley, is an Arizona beer baron who controls Hensley & Co., the nation's fifth-largest beer wholesaler. The company controls more than 60 percent of the beer market in Arizona.

According to McCain's financial disclosure forms, his net worth rose from $6.2 million in 1997 to more than $8 million in 1998 and has held steady at around $10 million for the past three years.

Million-dollar plus holdings in his wife's name include property in picturesque Sedona, Ariz., a life insurance trust, stock in a beer distributorship and stock in Anheuser-Busch as well as in the Phoenix-based King Aviation.

McCain also lists a holding worth between $250,000 and $500,000 in Fielder's Choice LLC, whose underlying asset is the AZPB Limited Partnership, which owns the Arizona Diamondbacks professional baseball team.

McCain also reported a Navy pension of $48,000 and $20,000 he received from USA Films for the rights to his best-selling autobiographical book, "Faith of My Fathers." McCain donates the royalties he receives from Random House to charity, an amount that totaled $483,000 in 1999.

24. (tie) Sen. Gordon Smith (R-Ore.) $10 million

This former frozen-food company executive listed more than $9 million in assets on his most recent financial disclosure statements, although his actual worth is likely much higher. The bulk of his fortune is linked to holdings in his companies, which broker, harvest, package and store vegetables.

His largest asset, valued between $5 million and $25 million, is Smith Frozen Foods, a Weston, Ore.-based company that processes frozen vegetables and invests in personal property. The packing and sales divisions of Smith Foods are worth another $1.5 million to $6 million. Smith's wife, Sharon, is also active in the business and received an undisclosed salary and director's fees from Smith Food Sales Inc. and Smith Frozen Foods Inc.

Other assets include a condominium in the ski playground of Park City, Utah, worth between $250,000 and $500,000, mutual funds, life insurance policies and a residential building lot in Estacia, Ariz., valued between $250,000 and $500,000.
24. (tie) Rep. Ellen Tauscher (D-Calif.) $10 million

Tauscher's divorce has made her fortune difficult to calculate in recent years. Her financial disclosure forms from 1997 revealed about $19 million in assets, but her 2000 forms listed between $5 million and $25 million in assets - though she provides little description of what she might own - pending the outcome of her divorce property settlement. The ex-couple owned a ritzy Kalorama-area home worth about $2 million, in which Tauscher still resides.

It can be safely assumed that the Congresswoman has received a large chunk of her husband's computer fortune. His stock holdings in Vanstar Corp., for which he is CEO, had previously been valued at between $25 million and $50 million.

28. Rep. Dan Miller (R-Fla.) $9 million

A shopping center and restaurant owner who came to Congress in 1992, Miller still has large holdings in a variety of Florida-based businesses, which have increased in value since our last survey.

Last year, we estimated that Miller was the 38th richest lawmaker with a fortune of about $5 million.

But with the significant increase in the value of a development company called Miller Enterprises of Manatee - now valued at between $5 million and $25 million, up from the $1 million to $5 million estimate in 1999 - Miller climbs several notches in our survey.

Other major assets include a $1 million to $5 million investment in Segrest-Miller Corp., a wholesale fish farm. Meanwhile, he sold his investment in Suncoast of Manatee in 2000 for between $1 million and $5 million.

29. (tie) Rep. Cass Ballenger (R-N.C.) $8.5 million

This Hickory, N.C., Republican earned his millions through the company he founded, Plastic Packaging Inc. Ballenger, in fact, was a businessman for nearly 40 years before becoming a Congressman. He still serves as chairman of the company and has a controlling interest in it, which he describes as his "principal family investment." His stake is valued at between $5 million and $25 million, according to his 2000 financial disclosure form. Other holdings include rental properties, stocks in a number of major U.S. corporations, Treasury notes and bonds.

29. (tie) Rep. Tom Petri (R-Wis.) $8.5 million

A former Peace Corps volunteer and lawyer, Petri revealed a net worth of nearly $8 million, with a diverse investment portfolio of stocks and bonds on his 2000 financial disclosure forms. His two largest assets are between $5 million and $25 million in Walgreen's stock and between $1 million and $5 million in Firstar Corp stock. In 2000 he bought and sold shares of Firstar and sold holdings in Disney Corp. He invested in the Strong Advisor Bond fund, the Strong Growth fund and several other investment funds.
31. Rep. David Dreier (R-Calif.) $8 million

A former college administrator who later spent four years as the marketing and government affairs director for Industrial Hydrocarbons, Dreier is sitting pretty with several solid investments. The Rules Committee chairman listed more than $7.6 million in assets on his 2000 financial disclosure statements. His largest holding is a $5 million to $25 million investment in Tiffany Manor Ltd. in Kansas City, Mo., where he was born. He also has between $500,000 and $1 million worth of stock in the Oklahoma Publishing Co. and between $250,000 and $500,000 in Gaylord Entertainment Co. stock. Additionally, he has CBS stock valued at between $1 million and $5 million.

32. Rep. Chris Cannon (R-Utah) $7.5 million

Cannon's 2000 financial disclosure forms revealed a net worth of at least $7.3 million.

His largest asset remains a loan made to his investment firm, Cannon Industries, for between $5 million and $25 million, according to his disclosure statement. Previously, some sources have estimated that his company is worth between $10 million and $20 million, according to the Salt Lake Tribune, though it appears the business may have downsized in recent years.

A former government lawyer who worked on mining and other issues for the Interior and Commerce departments during the Reagan administration, Cannon made his fortune when his brother Joe and other investors negotiated the purchase of what became Geneva Steel Co. from USX Corp. for about $40 million a decade ago, according to the Tribune.

Cannon ended up suing his brother in the late 1980s because of a disagreement over modernizing the plant. He received millions in the settlement and in the process created Cannon Industries Inc., a successful venture capital company.

Other large assets include between $500,000 and $1 million worth of stock in Advance Resin System, a foundry chemicals and supply sales company and property in Utah.

33. (tie) Sen. Bob Graham (D-Fla.) $7 million

Graham's father pulled himself out of poverty to become a wealthy dairy farmer and real estate investor. After graduating from Harvard Law School, the younger Graham joined his father in the real estate business and helped develop the town of Miami Lakes, Fla.

Graham slips a few notches on our list this year, as his fortune dropped from $8.2 million in 1999 to around $7 million in 2000.

Real estate, land development and golf resorts in Dade County still make up the bulk of his fortune. His real estate holdings alone are worth between $1 million and $5 million.

Various stocks in his wife's name also dot his portfolio, including Abbott Laboratories, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cisco Systems, General Electric and Boeing. His spouse also holds various mutual funds and bonds
as well as an investment in dairy and beef cattle in central Florida and south Georgia.

33. (tie) Rep. Fred Upton (R-Mich.) $7 million

The grandson of one of the founders of Whirlpool, Upton revealed a net worth of about $6.8 million on his 2000 financial disclosure forms, making money in everything from stocks to oil and gas holdings. About $3 million of his fortune is divided between two trusts, which contain a variety of stock, cash and other investments. One trust contains an investment in chewing gum manufacturer Wrigley valued at between $1 million and $5 million.

33. (tie) Rep. Lloyd Doggett (D-Texas) $7 million

Doggett's fortune continued its steady climb, from $6.7 million in last year's survey to more than $6.8 million this year, according to his 2000 financial disclosure forms. Before he was elected to Congress in 1994, Doggett built a lucrative law practice in Austin focused on big product liability cases.

His financial portfolio reveals diversified stock, mutual fund and bond holdings, including an investment of between $500,000 and $1 million in a Charles Schwab tax-exempt money market fund.

He played the stock market regularly in 2000, buying and selling shares in Intel Corp., Cisco Systems and Citigroup as well as a variety of other companies and mutual funds.

36. Rep. Paul Gillmor (R-Ohio) $6 million

This former lawyer and state lawmaker's largest asset is stock in Gillmor Financial Services valued at between $5 million and $25 million. Other large holdings include shares in Dealers Alliance worth between $100,000 and $250,000, stock in the Paul Gillmor Co. worth between $250,000 and $500,000 and shares in a truck-leasing business worth between $100,000 and $250,000. According to his most recent financial disclosure forms, he traded shares in Krispy Kreme in 2000 and sold stock in Cooker Restaurant and Max & Erma's, a popular restaurant chain.

37. Rep. Tom Osborne (R-Neb.) $5.7 million

The legendary former head coach of the University of Nebraska football team has also made some smart long-term financial moves. Osborne's largest asset is a retirement account valued at between $1 million and $5 million, but he has plenty of other investments to supplement that income, including shares in the home improvement store Lowes, Microsoft, Pfizer and WorldCom. And the famous sports figure, who raked in speaking fees before launching a Congressional career in 2000, was still receiving royalties from a number of sources, including Black Inc. and College Sports Media.

38. Rep. Anne Northup (R-Ky.) $5.6 million

Although she is a former teacher and former state lawmaker, Northup has a net worth of more than $5 million thanks to her husband's stake in his business, Radio Sound Inc., an $11 million company that manufactures
stereo systems for Harley-Davidson motorcycles.

His holdings in Radio Sound were worth between $5 million and $25 million, according to Northup's 2000 financial disclosure forms. The couple also have investments in Compaq, Pfizer, and Clear Channel Communications.


Isakson revealed a net worth of more than $5 million on his 2000 financial disclosure forms, about the same as the previous year. The former real estate executive has a diverse investment portfolio containing stocks, mutual funds, oil and gas investments and real estate. He lists a timeshare in Hilton Head, S.C., and a condominium in Athens, Ga.


40. (tie) Sen. Bob Bennett (R-Utah) $5 million

Utah's junior Senator falls several notches in this year's survey after selling large holdings and downgrading the value of certain assets.

Nonetheless, he's still reaping the benefits of his days at Franklin International Institute, a day-planner manufacturer and motivational-materials firm he headed during the mid-1980s. Under Bennett's direction the firm went from four employees to 800 and revenue skyrocketed from almost zero to more than $80 million annually.

Bennett reported close to $5 million in assets on his 2000 forms, down substantially from the nearly $15 million he listed the previous year.

A trust whose worth he estimated to be between $5 million and $25 million is now valued at between $1 million and $5 million, partly the result of the 2000 sale of KHWY, a Los Angeles radio station he had valued at between $1 million and $5 million.

Bennett does, however, list a $1 million to $5 million investment in the Watermark Corp. of Salt Lake City as well as a $1 million to $5 million stake in The Jackson Lodging Group Inc., which holds property in Jackson, Wyo.

40. (tie) Rep. Terry Everett (R-Ala.) $5 million

A former newspaper publisher and real estate developer, Everett disclosed a net worth of more than $4.9 million on his 2000 financial disclosure forms, up slightly from the $4.1 million he reported the previous year.

His major assets include a 400-acre farm in Houston County, Ala., worth between $500,000 and $1 million, and Treasury notes valued at between $500,000 and $1 million. He also has money market accounts, CDs and holdings through a variety of other investment vehicles.

42. Rep. Jim Leach (R-Iowa) $4.5 million

Leach knows a thing or two about money. In addition to having an
impressive personal financial portfolio, this former Banking chairman helped overhaul the financial services industry during the 106th Congress. Serving as a propane gas company executive, foreign service officer and Congressional aide before being elected to the House in 1976, Leach has sizable investments in the Foxley Cattle Co. and a Merill Lynch Cash Management Account. He and his wife also have a number of IRAs and shares in large U.S. corporations.

43. Rep. Nick Rahall (D-W.Va.) $4.2 million

A former travel agent and broadcasting executive, Rahall reported a net worth of more than $4.1 million on his 2000 financial disclosure forms, down slightly from the $4.6 million he disclosed the previous year. His largest single asset is a Salomon Smith Barney account worth about $2.2 million as of the end of 2000, according to supplements to his filings. An investment in 250 acres in Hernando County, Fla., he shares with his brother, cousins and friends is worth between $15,000 and $50,000, and he has another real estate investment with his brother in Raleigh County, W.Va., worth between $250,000 and $500,000. He also owns a timeshare in Hilton Head, S.C., as well as an apartment in Washington, D.C., which he acquired in 1985 and began renting out in 1999.

44. Sen. Mike DeWine (R-Ohio) $4 million

Ohio's former lieutenant governor derives his financial prosperity from two family businesses, which together are worth more than $3 million. Founded in the early 1950s, DeWine's Ohio Twine Co. used to import agricultural twine, but today consists of a 216-acre farming operation in the Buckeye State as well as various stock and bond holdings.

The Senator also owes his wealth to DeWine Enterprises, a personal holding company with assets of 1,158 acres of grain-producing farmland in Greene, Clinton and Fayette counties as well as stock and bond investments.

His financial disclosure forms listed about $3.8 million in assets, up slightly from the previous year.

45. (tie) Sen. Sam Brownback (R-Kan.) $3.7 million

This former teacher, lawyer and White House fellow has a solid investment portfolio filled with IRAs, mutual funds, stocks and bonds. His stock investments - all of which are in the names of his wife and children - include companies such as Abbott Laboratories, Amgen Inc., Enron, Exxon Mobil Corp. and General Electric. He and his wife, Mary, also own 240 acres of farmland in Linn County, Kan., valued at between $100,000 and $250,000. Brownback revealed a net worth of more than $3.6 million on his 1999 financial disclosure documents.

45. (tie) Rep. Butch Otter (R-Idaho) $3.7 million

This multimillionaire businessman and rancher was lieutenant governor of Idaho for 14 years before being elected to Congress. The freshman lawmaker's largest single asset is an investment of between $1 million and $5 million in G.O. Ranches Inc. His partnership in Western Capital Associates is worth between $500,000 and $1 million. The rest of his financial portfolio consists of stock investments, real estate and IRAs.
47. (tie) Sen. John Warner (R-Va.) $3.5 million

The ranking member of the Armed Services Committee has armed himself well for retirement, should he ever get the urge to do so. Warner has a variety of stocks, bonds and mutual funds, as well as oil and gas interests, real estate holdings and trust income, some of which grew out of the $7 million settlement he received in the divorce from his first wife, Catherine, an heiress to the Mellon fortune.

Warner's real estate investments include property in Laurinburg, N.C., and investments in oil and gas properties in Utah and Montana. An art connoisseur, he sold several paintings in 2000, including one called "Huntsman and Hounds" and another titled "Marigolds and Astors."

47. (tie) Rep. Sue Kelly (R-N.Y.) $3.5 million

Kelly held a variety of jobs before being elected to Congress in 1994. A former professor, teacher, hospital administrative aide, medical researcher and retailer, Kelly and her husband, Edward, have invested wisely over the years. The couple's financial portfolio includes a number of stock investments, including shares in Cisco Systems valued at between $250,000 and $500,000 at the end of 2000. They also own stock in American Home Products, American International Group, Bank of America, BP Amoco, Intel and Texaco. Kelly's husband also has a number of commercial real estate investments.

49. Rep. Rob Portman (R-Ohio) $3.4 million

A former high-powered attorney and lobbyist with the firm Patton Boggs who won a special election in May 1993 to fill the seat of then retiring Rep. Bill Gradison (R), Portman has a diverse financial portfolio made up of mutual funds, trusts, IRAs and stocks. His largest holding is an investment in Portman Equipment Co. valued at between $1 million and $5 million. He has several other smaller investments affiliated with that company.

50. Sen. Richard Shelby (R-Ala.) $3.3 million

A millionaire who switched parties in 1994 after spending 15 years in Congress as a Democrat, Shelby has a net worth of about $3.3 million, the same as a year ago.

His 48 shares in the Tuscaloosa Title Co. are valued at between $1 million and $5 million and netted him between $100,000 and $1 million in 2000. In 1995 he purchased a Tuscaloosa, Ala., apartment complex valued at between $5 million and $25 million (he still has about 18 years to go on that mortgage). He also owns a townhouse in Washington valued at between $500,000 and $1 million and another home in Tuscaloosa worth between $250,000 and $500,000. Shelby purchased 100 shares of AOL Time Warner stock at the end of 2000. He and his wife, Annette, also own stock in WorldCom, VISX, Cisco Systems and Nokia.

http://www.rollcall.com/pages/features/00/wbc/02/01/wbc0121i.html

Copyright 2002 Roll Call Inc.
For those interested, here are the addresses of the four main French pollsters pre-election surveys. Generally, Jospin was estimated at between 4 and 6 percentage points more than Le Pen. In general, the left-wing side of the political spectrum (Jospin, Hue, Laguiller, Mâmicre) was systematically overestimated in the surveys and the right-wing side was underestimated. The polls put Le Pen at no more than 14%. He got 17%.

Best,

http://www.ipsos.fr/CanalIpsos/articles/images/election/dossier-election03.htm

http://www.bva.fr/new/baro_observatoire_presidentiel20011127.html#part1

http://www.sofres.com/etudes/pol/120402_baropres.htm

http://www.ifop.com/europe/sondages/opinionf/presidv8.asp

Claire Durand

Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca
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* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
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I'm willing to bet this job opportunity is not at a tech firm....
>From now on, my resume will read as follows:

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

-----Original Message-----
From: Jason Boxt [SMTP:jboxt@GlobalStrategyGroup.com]
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2002 2:15 PM
To: 'Dumont, Bryan '; ''aapornet@usc.edu' '
Subject: RE: One last try: Employment Opportunity in Washington, DC

I'm willing to bet this job opportunity is not at a tech firm....

-----Original Message-----
From: Dumont, Bryan
To: 'aapornet@usc.edu'
Sent: 4/22/02 2:09 PM
Subject: One last try: Employment Opportunity in Washington, DC

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Hope to learn soon from post-election polls distributions of avowed Le Pen voters as compared with pre-election intentions, and also percents saying would or would not have voted for him had they expected him to qualify.

Albert Biderman
abider@american.edu

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Claire Durand" <Claire.Durand@UMontreal.CA>
To: "AAPORNET" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2002 10:38 AM
Subject: French surveys

> For those interested, here are the addresses of the four main French pollsters pre-election surveys. Generally, Jospin was estimated at between 4 and 6 percentage points more than Le Pen. In general, the left-wing side of the political spectrum (Jospin, Hue, Laguiller, Mami&agrave;re) was systematically overestimated in the surveys and the right-wing side was underestimated. The polls put Le Pen at no more than 14%. He got 17%.
> 
> Best,
To have correctly estimated Le Pen's vote, pollsters would have had to have oversampled in some key areas - which they clearly did not. The problem is fairly easy to disentangle. While surveys clearly underestimated the extreme right vote, the fact is that there was an incredible stability of Le Pen's vote (in raw numbers) between 1995 and 2002. While there does appear to have been a fairly pronounced shift in the
vote for other candidates, Le Pen got almost exactly the same numbers - at the national level, in key regions, and departments - as in 1995. The percentage looks a lot higher because of abstention.

-----Original Message-----
From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu] On Behalf Of
Claire Durand
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2002 7:39 AM
To: AAPORNET
Subject: French surveys

For those interested, here are the addresses of the four main French pollsters pre-election surveys. Generally, Jospin was estimated at between 4 and 6 percentage points more than Le Pen. In general, the left-wing side of the political spectrum (Jospin, Hue, Laguiller, Mamière) was systematically overestimated in the surveys and the right-wing side was underestimated. The polls put Le Pen at no more than 14%. He got 17%.

Best,

http://www.ipsos.fr/CanalIpsos/articles/images/election/dossier-election03.htm
http://www.bva.fr/new/baro_observatoire_presidentiel20011127.html#part1
http://www.sofres.com/etudes/pol/120402_baropres.htm
http://www.ifop.com/europe/sondages/opinionf/presidv8.asp

Claire Durand
Claire.Durand@umontreal.ca

http://www.fas.umontreal.ca/socio/durandc/

Université de Montréal, dept. de sociologie,
C.P. 6128, succ. Centre-ville,
Montréal, Québec, Canada, H3C 3J7
(514) 343-7447

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Mon Apr 22 15:57:50 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3MMVne26514 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002
15:57:49 -0700 (PDT)
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id PAA01008 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002 15:57:49 -0700 (PDT)
(PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3MMuFH04600 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002 15:56:15 -0700 (PDT)
Hoping to dispel the notion that he faces a tough primary battle with fellow GOP Rep. Bob Barr (Ga.), Rep. John Linder last week touted a new poll showing him leading Barr by double digits - even among the religious conservatives and gun-rights activists Barr has traditionally courted.

Linder led Barr, 49 percent to 30 percent, in a poll of 350 likely primary voters conducted April 15-16 by Public Opinion Strategies, a GOP polling firm based in Alexandria, Va. The firm's clients include Linder and the National Republican Congressional Committee, which he previously chaired. The poll has a 5.2 percent margin of error.

"I'm not surprised by the poll, and I don't expect [the race] to tighten," Linder said in an interview Friday. "Barr has high negatives and 100 percent name ID, so the 'undecided' voters know about him and when they fall, they'll fall my way. I suspect that in another eight weeks, we'll see another large bloc falling into our column."

Linder did acknowledge, however, that he was surprised by his level of support among "hard-right" conservatives, on whom Barr has relied heavily to fend off strong Democratic challenges in the past.

Linder held a 15-point lead among respondents who identified themselves as the "most conservative Republicans" and a 13-point lead among self-described "religious Republicans," according to the poll. Additionally, Linder led by 13 points among GOP voters who said they "support the goals and aspirations of the National Rifle Association," which has officially endorsed Barr.

Among voters who rate their interest in the race as a "10," Linder led by
16 points. And he was ahead by 20 points among voters whose interest fell
slightly lower, the poll showed.

Linder also touted a commanding advantage in Gwinnett County, which
comprises nearly half of the new 7th district. Linder leads there, 62
percent to 17 percent.

Linder and Barr will face off in an Aug. 20 primary in the newly
configured 7th district, a GOP stronghold that stretches across the
northeastern suburbs of Atlanta. Linder strategists have long maintained
that they will prevail - despite Barr's appeal among hard-core GOP
activists - because the district is comprised of a moderate, suburban
brand of Republican voters, who fall more into line with Linder's
buttoned-down approach.

Still, polls in the GOP race have varied wildly since Barr and Linder
joined the contest last fall. A Linder survey conducted by Public Opinion
Strategies last year showed him leading Barr by 13 points, while a Barr
survey conducted in October by Atlanta-based pollster Whit Ayres showed
Barr leading by 8 points.

Ayres declined to comment on the Linder survey. An NRA spokesman could
not be reached.

Barr's campaign manager, Brad Alexander, dismissed the latest Linder poll
findings, calling it a survey that "only Enron accountants could
appreciate."

"How credible could any poll be that purports to show Bob Barr, NRA Board
Member and Second Amendment champion, trails John Linder among strong NRA
supporters by 13 percentage points? Voters in the 7th district should
not be fooled by this hail mary pass," Alexander said in a statement.

Alexander said Barr's polling shows him maintaining the lead with which
he began the race, "and our strong grass-roots base is growing each day."

Linder pollsters said the sample included 5 percent Democratic voters.
Under Georgia law, Democrats can switch to vote in primaries. Some Linder
strategists believe a sizable bloc of Democrats will do so in order to
defeat Barr, a sharply partisan conservative.

"John Linder is beating Bob Barr among every brand of Republicans,"said
Gene Ulm, a Public Opinion Strategy pollster. "Whether it's conservatives
or moderates, Christians or NRA [supporters], he's beating him, and most
of that is because the largest portion of the new district is the old
district Linder used to represent."

Linder has represented roughly one-third of Gwinnett County over the past
10 years.

Alexander also said Linder strategically chose to release his poll in an
attempt to overshadow Barr's strong first-quarter fundraising report,
which bested Linder by more than 3-to-1.

Barr raised $628,000 between Jan. 1 and March 31, and he had $735,000 on
hand at March's end. Linder took in just $246,000 but had more money in
the bank, roughly $895,000, on March 31.
Barr spent $503,000 during the three-month period, about twice as much as Linder.

"Our opponent has relied largely on his personal wealth and PAC contributions from Washington lobbyists to fund his campaign," said Barr manager Alexander. "There is clearly a difference in how the two campaigns are raising funds."

Linder, who loaned his campaign $100,000 last year and took in $37,000 in PAC donations during the most recent three-month period, dismissed his rival's remarks. "When people start complaining about PAC contributions, it's because they're not getting them," he said.

Barr received $32,000 in PAC money this year.

http://www.rollcall.com/pages/politics/00/2002/04/pol0422c.html

Copyright 2002 © Roll Call Inc.
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> From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Mon Apr 22 17:18:06 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
  by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
  id g3N015e05322 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002
  17:18:05 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
  by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
  id RAA19102; Mon, 22 Apr 2002 17:18:02 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
  by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
  id g3NOGS116480; Mon, 22 Apr 2002 17:16:28 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Mon, 22 Apr 2002 17:16:28 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: "Teresa (Garcia) Duncan" <TDuncan@air.org>
cc: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: AAPORNET List Manager Dick Mead Addresses Teresa's Question
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204221707390.12750-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Date: Mon, 22 Apr 2002 16:40:18 PDT
From: USC Listproc Site Manager <listmgr@usc.edu>
To: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
Subject: Re: Do you have an answer to this question?

> Dick,
>
> Do you have an answer to this question?
Hello. Please forgive me if this request comes off as obtuse. But 75% of the email I'm getting from AAPORNET has the error message below, instead of the content of the poster's note:

---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---

This post contains a forbidden message format (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT If your postings display this message your mail program is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting

I don't know why some persons are able to read these folks' notes and can reply (I've seen follow-up messages that reference the truncated note), and others like myself cannot. I am using Outlook/Office 2000 and can read both plain text and HTML messages from other lists and sources, just not from AAPORNET! I know I'm not the only one in this boat. It's frustrating to not be able to see what people are writing, and I hope you might be able to send out a fix to the group.

Thanks in advance,

Teresa

Teresa Garcia Duncan, Ph.D.
Senior Research Analyst
American Institutes for Research
1000 Thomas Jefferson St. NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20007-3835
TEL: (202) 295-6853
FAX: (202) 944-5454
EMAIL: tduncan@air.org

This is an issue with how a particular mail reader handles a message that has HTML formatting (and/or some MIME encoded data).

I can't address each mail reader specifically. But in general, you
should look for an option to "view raw source" "view source" "show source" or "show plaintext" or some related function under one of your programs menus.

As an example, when I view a filtered message from a list with the MAIL application that comes with MacOS X, I see nothing but the filter's warning message.

However, under the Message menu I have a Show submenu that allows viewing either the raw message or plaintext. Either of those choices then reveals the missing text that I had not seen before.

Your email reader may provide similar functions. If so, simply use them to look at any message you get that appears to contain only the filter warning message.

---

Another example;

Using Outlook Express 6 for Windows, I see only the plain text portion of the message, with no filter warning message at all. So I don't even realize that it had been filtered.

Had I needed to view the raw message, I would have selected the message in the display panel and Right-clicked it and then select Properties. Then I'd click on the Details tab and finally the Message Source button.

I suspect that all mail readers eventually have some way to view the raw message.

---

Of course these examples assume that the original sender of the encoded message has a program that indeed includes the raw text of the message and not just the formatted content. But then no one should be seeing any text in that case, assuming the filter catches it.

---

Dick Mead             listmgr@usc.edu
USC Listproc Site Manager  University of Southern California
http://www.usc.edu/isd/doc/maillists/
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>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Mon Apr 22 18:06:31 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3N16Te12106 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002
18:06:29
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
Please consider yourselves warned...

-- Jim

---------------------------------------------------------------------------
Copyright (C) Associated Newspapers Ltd., 22 April 2002
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

22 April 2002

THIS IS LONDON

News & City

Sayings of Le Pen

Le Pen is a man who doesn't pull his punches, as these trademark quotes show:

'In a book of 1,000 pages on the Second World War, the gas chambers take up 10 to 15 lines. That is a detail'

'Massive immigration has only just begun. It is the biggest problem facing France, Europe and probably the world. We risk being submerged'

'The immigrants will not be forced to leave. But once they can't get jobs or benefit, there will be little incentive for them to stay'

'I am not a racist. I am a Francophile'

'You can't look after all the unfortunates in the world'

'I pledge an immediate end to all immigration and to send three million immigrants home'

'Paying women to stay at home would bring down unemployment, as it
would open up jobs for men'
'I will make the bad tremble. I will be a comfort to the good'


Copyright (C) Associated Newspapers Ltd., 22 April 2002
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>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Mon Apr 22 18:22:45 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3N1Mje14714 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002
18:22:45 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id SAA10552 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002 18:22:44 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
   by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3N1LAK23231 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002 18:21:10 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Mon, 22 Apr 2002 18:21:10 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Le Pen victory sparks battles (ThisisLondon.com)
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204221820050.22226-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Copyright (C) Associated Newspapers Ltd., 22 April 2002 -- This Is London


22 April 2002

This is London

News & City

Le Pen victory sparks battles

by Patrick Marnham in Paris and Colin Adamson

Jean-Marie Le Pen this afternoon called on "all patriots" to back him
after the success of his extreme Right-wing party shocked France and provoked clashes in the streets.

Heavily-armed Paris riot police battled with protesters today as 10,000 demonstrated against Le Pen's hardline anti-immigration policies.

Protests against Le Pen erupted across the country which has the biggest Jewish and Arab populations in Europe. European leaders also reacted with horror.

But nothing could disguise the scale of the victory of Le Pen's National Front.

The 73-year-old former paratrooper, who has described the Holocaust as "a detail of history," yesterday won more than 17 per cent of the vote - enough to take on Jacques Chirac in the race to become president of France in two weeks.

Socialist prime minister Lionel Jospin was relegated to third place in a crushing defeat for the Left. Mr Jospin immediately resigned from "office and political life".

Still flushed with success, Le Pen said: "I call on patriots, sovereignists and authentic republicans to unite around my candidacy, to oppose the technocratic Europe of Brussels and create a true popular force to defend national independence and oppose globalisation."

President Chirac, leader of the centre-Right Gaullists, today appealed to the French to unite against Le Pen, declaring: "What is at stake is the very idea that we have of mankind, his rights and his dignity."

Downing Street and the Labour Party voiced their shock at the result, with Labour chairman, Charles Clarke, calling it a "tragic situation for France".

Labour's leadership, though, played down suggestions that the success of the far-Right could spill over into next month's local elections in this country, particularly in Oldham where the British National Party is hoping to exploit racial divisions.

Tony Blair's spokesman said: "It is not for us to interfere in an election which is for the French people alone to decide but we trust the French people to reject extremism of any kind."

Tory leader Iain Duncan Smith said: "I consider this to be a very worrying development. Whilst I am not one to dabble in French politics I do wish Mr Chirac - for all our sakes - the best of luck. I hope he succeeds and succeeds overwhelmingly. This rise of extremism must be taken head on."

Finance minister Laurent Fabius denounced Le Pen's victory as "a cataclysm of terrifying proportions". He added: "On the Left, but not only on the Left, many people are simply crying. This is not the France we love."

The result led to the collapse of the Left in France which only four years ago polled 15 million votes. The once-powerful Communist party got
only 3.4 per cent of the vote.

In many rural areas of southern France Le Pen topped the vote. A record 29 per cent of voters abstained in the first round of voting yesterday.

As the results came in demonstrators gathered in the Place de la Republique in Paris. There were similar protests in Strasbourg, Toulouse, Grenoble and towns and cities across France. Many carried signs reading "I am ashamed" and "down with fascism".

For most of the night, lines of officers used batons and shields to keep a highly volatile crowd of at least 10,000 protesters from marching toward the presidential palace, but managed to stop them at the Place de la Concorde.

Demonstrators chanted "Left, Right - we are all against Le Pen," and "first, second, third generation - we are all immigrants!"

The reaction was also strong in today's French newspapers. "Non" screamed Left-leaning daily Liberation in a single-word front-page headline. "The French political system has imploded," it said.

Voters now have two weeks to organise cross-party support for Chirac in an attempt to block Le Pen.

With some results still to come in, Chirac won 19.6 per cent of the vote, Le Pen 17.1 per cent and Jospin 16 per cent. Asked to explain the result, Le Pen replied: "It may surprise you, but it's no surprise to me. It shows that the French people have demonstrated great lucidity in deciding on a solution to the country's problems."

He said he would campaign against Europe and under the slogan "For the People and against the System."

Other points in his political programme are to re-write the French constitution so that French nationals have a legal priority for jobs, housing and public services; to reintroduce the death penalty; to deport all illegal immigrants as well as foreigners who commit a crime; to refuse French nationality to the children of immigrant criminals and lock up young offenders.

Le Pen first contested the presidency in 1974 when he polled only 0.74 per cent of the vote. His personal fortunes were boosted in 1977 when he was bequeathed a vast fortune by a French industrialist.

However, he owes his status as a national figure to President Francois Mitterrand who introduced proportional representation in an attempt to split the centre-Right in the Eighties.

This allowed Le Pen, to his own surprise, to become leader of a parliamentary group of 35.
Bad news from France

The first round of voting for the presidency of France ended with results that shocked the French and all of Europe. Jean Marie Le Pen, leader of the extreme right, who only a few months ago was considered a marginal politician, managed to reach the second round of voting, as challenger to the outgoing president, Jacques Chirac.

As opposed to conventional wisdom and the opinion polls, the radical right in France was greatly strengthened, while the left suffered a painful blow. The Communist Party shrank, while the Socialists, led by outgoing Prime Minister Lionel Jospin, were weakened.

Chirac's advantage in the second round is guaranteed, and there are those who expect him to win by a landslide, but it is impossible to predict how strong the extreme right will turn out in the parliamentary elections a
month after the second round, or what influence Le Pen's party will have on the president and the new government that will be formed.

One can come up with a variety of reasons for the shock France suffered: The elections took place during a school vacation; the abstention rate was the highest since the establishment of the Fifth Republic; the French are fed up with their veteran politicians' and the left divided its vote between a number of candidates. Nonetheless, much weight must be given to the growing feeling across France that more than anything else, the vote for Le Pen was a victory of the politics of intimidation over that of civic judgment.

Jospin's leftist government managed, in a space of five years, to significantly diminish the scope of unemployment and renew economic growth. France is well-integrated - despite prior apprehension - in European trade and currency reforms; but despite all this, the extreme right continued to declaim slogans about helplessness in the face of crime, an issue that is a euphemism for xenophobia.

Already in his victory speech, Le Pen promised his voters that he would fight against the Maastricht Treaty, and declared that he, and no other leader, represented the poor and unemployed. The leader of the radical right offered France a chilling future of nationalist isolationism, and, in the name of what it claims are Republic values, a release of all the dangerous instincts to persecute immigrants and their descendants. Against this background, the expressions of satisfaction and even ideological support for Le Pen, expressed by some French Jews and even in Israel, is particularly disturbing.

French Jewry, as a minority that suffered from anti-Semitism and blood feuds, needs to worry about the phenomenon of anti-Muslim hatred gaining significant political expression. Hatred of the other is bad news for Jews. Israelis who mock "the hypocritical French who only care about protecting their croissant," are the ignorant, arrogant ones who cannot see the danger of Europe once again turning into the cradle of hatred.

Jews would not feel any more secure if the extreme right were to grow stronger, and Israel would not derive any benefit from the strengthening of Le Pen.

http://news.haaretz.co.il/hasen/pages/ShArt.jhtml?itemNo=154754
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>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Mon Apr 22 20:40:30 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3N3eTe26254 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Mon, 22 Apr 2002
20:40:29
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
Le Pen's triumph: a message to Muslims to keep quiet

Roger Cukierman, president of CRIF, the Representative Council of Jewish Organizations in France, talks about the significance for Jews of the rise of Jean-Marie Le Pen

By Yair Sheleg

Even before ultra-nationalist Jean-Marie Le Pen's startling success in the first round of France's presidential elections, Roger Cukierman, president of CRIF, the Representative Council of Jewish Organizations, was grudgingly willing to note an awkward fact. Though he was not exactly pleased by the situation, Cukierman believed that Jews in France and Le Pen shared a common interest. "The very fact that Le Pen is an outspoken opponent of Muslim immigration to France sends a message which helps contain the violence which has come from this immigration," the French Jewish leader says. Cukierman adds quickly: "Of course, I'm not forgetting that Le Pen is also the king of anti-Semitism, and our great enemy. At any event, since he won't be a member of the next government, this so-called 'common interest' lacks meaning."

Cukierman made these comments last Tuesday, five days before the election, during a solidarity visit to Israel arranged by CRIF leaders, together with heads of France's Keren Hayesod branch. After the announcement of results from the first round of balloting in the presidential elections, which showed that Le Pen had raced past incumbent Prime Minister Lionel Jospin, Cukierman was more emphatic in his analysis about beneficial consequences of the right-wing extremist's ascent. At least in the short term, Le Pen's success can have positive impact on Jewish-Muslim relations, and anti-Semitism, the CRIF president suggested.

http://news.haaretz.co.il/hasen/pages/ShArt.jhtml?itemNo=154753
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"Le Pen's success is a message to Muslims to keep quiet, because he is known as someone who has always been opposed to Muslim immigration," Cukierman said after the first election results were disclosed. The meaning of Le Pen's success, he added, "is that the next government will have to put great emphasis on the struggle against [all forms of] violence... including violent anti-Semitism."

Not hatred - interests

Cukierman, 65, is a professional banker. More precisely, as chairman of the "Edmund de Rothschild" group, he is a very senior figure in the banking sphere. Perhaps because he speaks as someone who comes from a world of precise accounts, he tends to use hard-hitting, direct formulas, and shuns roundabout phrases which might characterize the discourse of a scholarly, French-Jewish intellectual.

Thus, for example, when Cukierman analyzes Europe's problematic relations with Israel, and tries to explain why they differ so strikingly from Israel-U.S. ties, he does not rely on theological references to long-standing Christian hatred of Jews. His explanation contains little of the abstract concepts which intellectuals adore. Instead, Cukierman speaks about simple interests. "Europe's inclination toward the Arab side," he explains, "derives from two simple interests. One is the current, large presence of Muslims in Europe's population. In France, for example, some 10 percent of the population is Muslim. The second is oil. America has a greater reserve of independent energy sources, and so it allows itself a wider measure of support for Israel."

"Yet at the same time," the CRIF leader adds, "there can be doubt that there is a factor of public opinion that is fashioned by the media. The media are not influenced by the Arab electorate, nor by oil tycoons. Media are based on images; and images of Palestinians - what can you do - are a lot 'better' than those of Israelis. They can supply pictures of a pregnant woman being held at a checkpoint, or of held-up emergency medical teams, or of Arafat sitting by candlelight. These are pictures which Israel cannot deliver."

An important issue raised by Europe's current position on the Israel-Palestinian conflict involves the intensive treatment of the Holocaust on the continent during the last decade. Campaigns designed to restore Jewish property, or secure compensation for property confiscated during World War II, fostered a wave of articles, public discussions and official reports about the Holocaust. The question is, of course, how can this surge of interest in the Holocaust be related to the anti-Israeli position adopted by Europe. Is there an odd contradiction between these two trends?

Cukierman believes that extensive treatment of the Holocaust issue set the stage for Europe's current position on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. "For example," he says, "after he was elected to his first term as president in France, Jacques Chirac issued, in 1995, his historic declaration of contrition for the Vichy regime ....Then came investigative reports [on Holocaust issues] and expressions of contrition by the [Roman Catholic] Church, physicians and lawyers. In this way, the French nation came to believe that it had fulfilled its 'duty' toward the Holocaust, and no longer needed to feel guilty."
Backtracking somewhat, the CRIF leader suggests that the sheer passage of time, rather than recent discussions about the Holocaust, might be behind shifting European views of Jewish issues. He explains: "The Holocaust happened 60 years ago; and here are the Jews proving nowadays that they too act brutally; and thus the Jews are no longer in a situation wherein they can preach morality. The passage of time has apparently taken its toll; and so were it not for the campaign to restore Jewish property, Europe would stop feeling guilty and `return to normalcy.'"

Two conditions must come about, Cukierman says, before the current wave of anti-Semitism can be stopped. "If an end comes to the war in Israel," he says, "it will be easier to attain peace between Jews and Muslims in France. The second thing is that the Muslims, who are very strong, need to organize their power in a constructive political fashion. This hasn't happened up to now."

Regarding this second point, Cukierman touts the organization he now heads, CRIF, a representative umbrella council of Jewish organizations, as a worthy role model for Muslims. "We have spoken with political leaders in France about the need to pressure Muslims, and urge them to establish constructive political organizations," Cukierman explains. "They should establish an address for dialogue. The government is, in fact, trying to encourage them to create such a leadership structure. The problem is that right now they're talking about a leadership which would be based in the mosques. This would be an exclusively religious leadership.

[Incidentally, modern Jewish organizational life in France began on a purely religious basis - the religious Consistory system dated back to the Napoleonic period, whereas the political CRIF organization was established only in the aftermath of World War II. Y.S.].

Cukierman says:"We are worried that this would be a fanatic leadership, since the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood controls France's large mosques. If that's the way it is, it would be better for them not to organize at all. Hence we proposed to President Chirac and Prime Minister Jospin that a leadership group selected in the mosques ought to be supplemented by a more moderate, intellectual leadership. It remains to be seen whether this will happen."

Critical of leftists

Elections for the mosque-based leadership are scheduled for May 26. Cukierman fears that "both Chirac and Jospin [he spoke prior to the disclosure of the first round vote results, Y.S.] will accommodate themselves to such a leadership. On the other hand, it might be better to have some sort of leadership structure, even a fanatic one, so long as there are leaders, and an apparatus for dialogue."

In the absence of a Muslim organizational structure in France, Cukierman says, "a few moderate declarations have been made by some important, non-radical imam preachers, such as the chief imams of Paris and Marseilles. But the vast majority of statements, even ones which contained denunciations of terror, had all sorts of problematic comments that ruined everything. For instance, there were declarations that
appeared to repudiate violence and anti-Semitism - after making such denunciations, the speakers went on and attacked Jews harshly.

Cukierman does not hold back criticism of leftist members of France's Jewish community, including one of his predecessors at CRIF's top post, Theo Klein, who refused to take part in a large rally organized by the French Jewry two weeks ago, to protest double standards in responses to anti-Semitism, and express support of Israel. These leftists chose to stage a simultaneous rally which was limited to opposition of anti-Semitism.

"I said [at the time] that while France suffered from anti-Semitism, there had not been a single Jew killed in these incidents, whereas 125 people were killed in Israel in the month of March alone. Thus, I felt that decency compelled us to express support for Israel. The fact is that our constituency voted with its feet: About a third of French Jewry, some 140,000 people, took part in this rally. Only 1,000 people turned up for the left-wing demonstration."

Cukierman admits that the wave of anti-Semitic incidents has stirred real worries about the future of Jewish life in France. "If the war in Israel continues, I anticipate that we will have a problem [in France], unless the Muslim community turns into a constructive force," he says. At any event, he doesn't foresee a wave of immigration to Israel: "At least not for the time being," Cukierman says. He explains: "We are very well integrated in France's population, so I don't anticipate a wave of aliyah [immigration to Israel] at this stage. In any case, the Jewish leadership does not intervene in this question."

By this definition, Jewish leaders in France deal exclusively with their community's "internal matters." Cukierman feels so securely integrated in French society that when the first tremors violence against Jews and Jewish institutions started in France, in synch with the beginning of the intifada, he and his Jewish colleagues were utterly convinced that the incidents lacked a distinctive anti-Semitic character. The incidents, they explained, were part of a general wave of violence carried out by Muslim immigrants who are not integrated in French society. Even today Cukierman continues to speak of "a wave of general violence in the state, in which we are involved [as victims]." He clarifies that "we have a 'bonus' of violence directed specially against us, as Jews."

Cukierman makes a point of formulating his demands from French authorities as one who speaks not in the name of an attacked minority, but rather for "citizens of the Republic." No doubt, this choice of words reflects his sense of complete integration in French life.

http://news.haaretz.co.il/hasen/pages/ShArt.jhtml?itemNo=154753
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>From datafordecisions@juno.com Mon Apr 22 21:05:58 2002
My mail reader has no options that can be changed or set. Perhaps others have the same problem. I, too, get nothing but the truncation most of the time.

Jacquie Schriber
Hello. Please forgive me if this request comes off as obtuse. But 75% of the email I'm getting from AAPORNET has the error message below, instead of the content of the poster's note:

---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---

This post contains a forbidden message format (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting)

This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT

If your postings display this message your mail program is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting

I don't know why some persons are able to read these folks' notes and can reply (I've seen follow-up messages that reference the truncated note), and others like myself cannot. I am using Outlook/Office 2000 and can read both plain text and HTML messages from other lists and sources, just not from AAPORNET! I know I'm not the only one in this boat. It's frustrating to not be able to see what people are writing, and I hope you might be able to send out a fix to the group.

Thanks in advance,

Teresa

This is an issue with how a particular mail reader handles a message that has HTML formatting (and/or some MIME encoded data).

I can't address each mail reader specifically. But in general, you should look for an option to "view raw source" "view source" "show source" or "show plaintext" or some related function under one of your programs menus.
As an example, when I view a filtered message from a list with the
MAIL application that comes with MacOS X, I see nothing but the
filter's warning message.

However, under the Message menu I have a Show submenu that allows
viewing either the raw message or plaintext. Either of those
choices
then reveals the missing text that I had not seen before.

Your email reader may provide similar functions. If so, simply
use them to look at any message you get that appears to contain
only the filter warning message.

---
Another example;

Using Outlook Express 6 for Windows, I see only the plain text
portion of the message, with no filter warning message at all.
So I don't even realize that it had been filtered.

Had I needed to view the raw message, I would have selected the
message in the display panel and Right-clicked it and then
select Properties. Then I'd click on the Details tab and finally
the Message Source button.

I suspect that all mail readers eventually have some way to
view the raw message.

---

Of course these examples assume that the original sender of the
encoded message has a program that indeed includes the raw text
of the message and not just the formatted content. But then
no one should be seeing any text in that case, assuming the
filter catches it.

-----------------------------------------
Dick Mead       listmgr@usc.edu
USC Listproc Site Manager  University of Southern California
http://www.usc.edu/isd/doc/maillists/
-----------------------------------------

******

Jacquelyn B. Schriber, Ph.D.
Data For Decisions - 1100 E. Route 66 - Glendora, CA 91740-3771
Phone 626.963.7662    Fax 626.963.7663
--------
This e-mail & attachments are for the intended recipient(s) and contains
confidential information. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited; please contact the sender by e-mail and
I thank James Beniger and the USC list manager for trying to explain this to us.

I have MS Outlook 2000. Many of the options have been turned off by our network people for security reasons (and this worked pretty well - we were not affected by the viruses which led to the stricter security practices on AAPORNET).

When I recieve one of these messages (Specifically, I'm using Bryant Dumont's "One last try" sent 4/22/02 2:09pm), and select "View" then "Options", a box opens with routing information. When I use the slider to go to the bottom of the box, I see:

*************** START OF WHAT'S IN THE BOX
**************************************
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I thank James Beniger and the USC list manager for trying to explain this to us.
This is becoming dangerously self-referential. The message below is not what
I sent, but it is what I recived. Where the notorious message appears
below, I actually sent a cut-and-pasted section of the MS Outlook message
box. The first part came through, but some sections were cut out, including
a statement that my mail reader can't read MIME so some of the message may
be unreadable (an understatement). The previous message thread has also been
cut off.

My sending options (tools, options, mail format) specify sending in plain
text.

My original point was that apparently I can't set my options to read MIME
messages, but I thought that the list server was censoring everything except
plain text anyway, so I don't see why some people can read these messages
(if, indeed, some people can), but I can't.

-----Original Message-----
From: Butterworth, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2002 11:46 AM
To: 'aapornet@usc.edu'
Subject: FW: AAPORNET List Manager Dick Mead Addresses Teresa's Question

I thank James Beniger and the USC list manager for trying to explain this to
us.

I have MS Outlook 2000. Many of the options have been turned off by our
network people for security reasons (and this worked pretty well – we were
not affected by the viruses which led to the stricter security practices on
When I receive one of these messages (specifically, I'm using Bryant Dumont's "One last try" sent 4/22/02 2:09pm), and select "View" then "Options", a box opens with routing information. When I use the slider to go to the bottom of the box, I see:

*************** START OF WHAT'S IN THE BOX
******************************************************

Date: Mon, 22 Apr 2002 14:09:02 -0400
Reply-To: BDumont@apcoworldwide.com
Sender: owner-aapornet@usc.edu
Precedence: bulk
From: "Dumont, Bryan" <BDumont@apcoworldwide.com>
To: "aapornet@usc.edu" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: One last try: Employment Opportunity in Washington, DC
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
*         ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---            *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Tue Apr 23 09:47:55 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3NGlse22506 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Tue, 23 Apr 2002 09:47:55 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id JAA27733 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 23 Apr 2002 09:47:55 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3NGkJw03209 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 23 Apr 2002 09:46:19 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Tue, 23 Apr 2002 09:46:18 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Le Pen's strong showing won't affect relations with France (H Keinon JPost.com)
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204230945280.23088-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

---------------------------------------------------------------------------
Copyright (C) 1995-2002, The Jerusalem Post <www.jpost.com>
Le Pen's strong showing unlikely to affect relations with France

By Herb Keinon

Besides giving Israeli interlocutors with France even more ammunition when Paris preaches morality to Jerusalem, the surprise success of Jean-Marie Le Pen in Sunday's first round presidential election will have little impact on relations, officials in Jerusalem said yesterday.

For instance, no one is talking about downgrading diplomatic relations in protest, as Israel did with Austria after Jeorg Haider's far right Freedom Party joined the ruling coalition two years ago. The reason is simple: Everyone expects President Jacques Chirac will clobber La Pen in their head-to-head race in two weeks.

According to former ambassador to France Ovadia Soffer, "All those who abstained from the first round will vote for Chirac in the second. He may get 75 or 85 percent of the vote."

Soffer attributed Le Pen's success to France's frustration with some 7 million North Africans living there and enjoying full citizenship, and another 3 million who are not citizens.

Asked whether there is a distant possibility French Muslims would now look to work with France's Jews to fight the Le Pen phenomenon, Soffer said although this makes "theoretical" sense, it will not happen.

La Pen has made anti-Semitic pronouncements in the past, but the recent spate of synagogue burnings and other anti-Semitic incidents has largely been attributed to the Muslim population, not the far right.

"Certainly incitement against Israel and Jews is coming from Muslim quarters in France and elsewhere," Soffer said. "And if you add to this the one-sided European media and French media - people there are not distinguishing between Jews and Israel," Soffer said, explaining the recent spike in anti-Semitic incidents.

Although Le Pen's victory - with its strong xenophobic message - was widely interpreted as more anti-immigrant than anti-Jewish in nature, it will definitely detract from the French claim, repeated by politicians from Chirac down to Foreign Minister Hubert Vedrine, there is no anti-Semitism in France, one senior Foreign Ministry official said.

The current strong anti-immigrant feeling in France can easily be later turned against the Jews, he said, noting that for many on the the far right, the Jews are no less interlopers in France than the Muslim immigrants.
Shas leader Eli Yishai, meanwhile, called on the French Jewish community to start making plans to immigrate to Israel following Le Pen's showing.

Yishai spoke at length with community leaders yesterday and told them Jews in France should "start packing their bags."

Yishai, whose Shas Party represents North African Jews, a large majority of French Jewry, said European Jews in general and French Jews in particular should not remain apathetic in the wake of rising anti-Semitism and attacks on Jewish institutions.

Yishai said the French government is acting with "helplessness" in the face of the attacks.

In London, the Board of Deputies of British Jews expressed "shock" at the election results.

"This is part of a worrying trend toward the extreme right in European politics," noted a board spokesman. "Le Pen has taken advantage of a the low turn-out and the proportional representation system to rally minority support for his racist policies."

-------

Nina Gilbert and Douglas Davis contributed to this report.
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This survey, conducted in the first quarter of 2002 by Nielsen//NetRatings, was based on telephone interviews with 1,000 randomly selected households in provinces inhabited by 95 percent of China's people.

-- Jim

(C) 2001 The Washington Post Company
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China At-Home Net Head Count No. 2 In World

By Dick Kelsey, Newsbytes

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT, U.S.A.,

New data showing that China now has the world's second-largest Internet population does not come close to illustrating the Net's staggering potential in a country where only one out of three homes has a phone line.

Some 56.6 million people have home Internet access in China, second only to the U.S. Net population of 166 million, Nielsen//NetRatings said today.

But China's home penetration rate of slightly more than 5 percent leaves plenty of room for growth, said Hugh Bloch, managing director of the Internet audience measurement service's North Asia operation.

"Consider the Internet market potential when Internet household penetration rates in China start to more closely resemble those in other markets such as the U.S., South Korea, Singapore and Hong Kong, where penetration currently sits above 50 percent," Bloch said in a news release.

Twenty-five percent penetration in China would work out to an Internet user count of 257 million people, he said. "The potential is staggering, and it's a not-too-distant reality," he said. Data from the Chinese Ministry of Information shows Internet subscription rates growing 6 percent a month. "At these kinds of growth rates, 25 percent Internet penetration in China is only three or four years off," Bloch said.

And imagine the Internet population explosion in China if more homes were equipped with fixed-telephone lines. Even with lines in 35.6 percent of the homes in China, nearly 57 million people have Internet access, a ratio that underscores the nation's vast opportunities, Bloch said.

Chinese men aged 16 to 34 dominate home Internet access and usage, the
survey found, and more than 80 percent of users 16 and over are on the Net twice a week or more. Fifty-three percent of all Internet surfing in China is done at home, followed by Internet cafes (27 percent) and work (24 percent).

Third in the world's Internet user count is Japan with 51.3 million, followed by Germany's 32.2 million and 29 million in the U.K.

The survey, conducted in the first quarter of 2002, was based on telephone interviews with 1,000 randomly selected households in provinces inhabited by 95 percent of China's people.

--------

www.newsbytes.com/news/02/176049.html
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I am part of a team responsible for the elaboration of a longitudinal study on health, work, education, family and social networks. All the interviews will probably be conducted face to face using CAPI technology. However,
some sensitive questions need to be asked privately. Given the fact that a fair proportion of the general population is illiterate, to some extent, and that some questions will require a rather complex filtering scheme, we're considering the use of a 10/15-minute long audio CASI questionnaire which would be administered at the end of the main interview. Has anyone on the list ever used such a device? Could someone direct me to some resources about this kind of technology?

Thank you for your help.

Michael LEMAY

Universitét de Montréal, département de sociologie
C.P. 6128, succursale Centre-ville, Montréal QC Canada, H3C 3J7

Tél.: 1 (514) 343-6621  Fax.: 1 (514) 343-5722
E-mail.: michael.lemay@umontreal.ca
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SUCH GAUL

Small Earthquake in France

Le Pen will go nowhere, but Europe's left is left behind.
The most important thing about the first round of France's presidential elections is not that the arch-chauvinist and hypernationalist Jean-Marie Le Pen will be the runoff's sacrificial lamb to the corrupt and uninspiring Gaullist, Jacques Chirac. The French electorate was clearly bored by the political establishment, 16 politicians imagined they could finish first or second, and Mr. Le Pen accurately predicted "the only possible surprise is me." As sometimes happens in such contests, the only candidate with a clearly defined position and a somewhat charismatic personality prevailed over the vague and the colorless.

Nobody believes that Mr. Le Pen will defeat Mr. Chirac, but he has achieved no less than two historic triumphs: the elimination of the Socialist Party's candidate, Prime Minister Lionel Jospin, and the end of all hopes for a dominant Franco-German center-left alliance at the heart of the "new Europe." Henceforth, Europe will be dominated by a center-right bloc that runs from Tony Blair's England to Jose Maria Aznar's Spain and Silvio Berlusconi's Italy.

Ironically, this political earthquake was not accomplished by the kind of conservative political consensus that elected Messrs. Aznar and Berlusconi. Mr. Jospin was done in by a combination of the fickleness of his own people and the indifference of the electorate (nearly 28%, the highest percentage in the history of modern France, stayed away from the polls). Had the leftist voters concentrated their favors on Mr. Jospin, or had more of his own people showed up to vote, he would easily have finished second, and today the polls would undoubtedly show a neck-and-neck race for the presidency, instead of predicting close to 80% for Mr. Chirac against Mr. Le Pen. The socialists have only themselves to thank for handing the former a free pass to another seven years in the Elysee Palace.

The suicidal behavior of the French left bespeaks a more profound crisis in the European left and the growing strength of center-right and outright right-wing parties and candidates across the continent. The failure to rally around a single candidate, and the parallel failure to turn out their own voters, shows the extent to which the French Socialists have lost both a compelling political vision for the country and the discipline required to be a winning organization. Meanwhile, Mr. Aznar in Spain has won two elections by big margins, and Mr. Berlusconi in Italy has huge parliamentary majorities that will keep him in office for a full five-year term—a rarity in postwar Italy. Denmark has recently voted against the euro, and, in the biggest surprise of all, the center-right carried last weekend's elections in Saxony, a long-time leftist stronghold in what used to be East Germany. If such a region can vote out the Social Democrats, the auguries for Chancellor Gerhard Schroeder in the upcoming German elections are now decidedly negative.

The defeat of the left in the European heartland—in France by their own hands, in Germany by their political opponents—is a major event, which will unfortunately be missed by most of the headline writers and deep thinkers. They have chosen to focus on Mr. Le Pen himself, and to attempt once again to frighten their readers with misleading visions of a re-emergent "fascism," just as they did when elections in Austria led to
the inclusion of a chauvinist party in the ruling coalition a couple of years ago. Mr. Le Pen reflects the xenophobia of a substantial minority of French citizens toward the many millions of Arab and African immigrants--many of them illegal--who have taken up residence in and around major French cities in the past 20 years or so. Mr. Le Pen insists that he is a friend of France's legitimate Arab community, but he wants stricter immigration controls and instant deportation of any illegal immigrant caught committing a crime. He promises to cut taxes in half, give preferential treatment to French men and women for all government jobs, and crack down on crime.

Some years ago, he described the Holocaust as a footnote to European history, thereby earning a reputation as a nasty anti-Semite, but recently he has surprised many by strongly supporting Israel's self-defense against Palestinian terrorism. One may deplore all or part of this program, but it doesn't add up to fascism, which was a mass movement leading to a single-party dictatorship that promised to transform the world into something altogether new and dynamic. Mr. Le Pen is a reactionary elitist who speaks French with an old-fashioned elegance no longer heard, not a fascist. His vision of the French future is an idealistic vision of the French past.

In any event, we will not have to worry about Mr. Le Pen for more than the two weeks until the runoff. When Mr. Chirac is reelected, he will have to lead his country in a very new Europe, but not the center-left Europe so long imagined by most of the intellectuals and fashionable politicians. Through no particular merit of his own, Mr. Chirac will be a major player in a center-right Europe that will be more suspicious of the mounting power of the European bureaucracy in Brussels, less inclined to dissolve national identities in a new continental union, and keen on retaining more initiative in national legislatures.

Jean-Marie Le Pen will not win the French presidency, but his political victory is indeed substantial, perhaps even historic. By exposing the hollowness of the leftist vision and humiliating the long-time Socialist hegemon of French politics, he has provided Europeans with a welcome opportunity to rethink their own identities and to reshape their own policies.

-------

Mr. Ledeen is a resident scholar at the American Enterprise Institute. His new book, "The War Against the Terror Masters," will be published shortly by St. Martin's Press.
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Study: U.S. Female Murder Rate High

By STEPHANIE E. BUTLER
Contributing Writer

A Harvard School of Public Health study shows the United States has the highest rate of female homicides in the industrial world.

The study, which surveyed the most recent data on murders in the world's highest-income nations, found that in this group of countries 70 percent of all female homicides -- and 84 percent of all female homicides committed with a gun -- occurred in the United States. But American women make up only 32 percent of the female population of these countries.

"We do have a problem," said Professor of Health Policy David Hemenway, the study's principle author. "We're not just 20 percent worse or 40 percent worse. You're five times more likely to die from a gun if you are a woman living in the United States."

According to the study conducted by Harvard's Injury Control Research Center and released last week in the Journal of the American Medical
Women's Association, a woman in the United States is three times more likely to be murdered than a woman in Canada, five times more than a woman in Germany and eight times more than in a woman in England or Wales.

The firearm-related death rate is 11 times higher for American females than for females in other high income nations.

Hemenway said the study was meant to provide data that previous studies on homicides -- which typically do not consider the gender of victims -- failed to provide. Since men are much more likely to be murdered than women, statistics that consider all homicides masks specific data pertaining to women, he said.

The study noted that previous investigations of American female homicide victims had found women typically were killed under different circumstances than men. While men are usually killed by people unknown to them, women are killed by "intimates and ex-intimates."

While the study does not draw any conclusions as to why firearm homicides are so much higher in the United States, according to Hemenway the data do suggest that the country's comparatively lax gun laws could be a cause.

It is much easier to obtain a gun, particularly in the secondary market, in the United States than in the other countries studied. Nations that require licences to own a gun and firearms registration generally have lower rates of gun violence.

A previous study by Matthew Miller, Deborah Azrael and Hemenway, published in the March edition of the Journal of Urban Health, noted that women are more likely to be murdered by a gun in regions of the U.S. where firearms are more prevalent.

But, other than homicide, the United States' crime rate is on par with most other nations, Hemenway said.

"The U.S. is very different from other nations in that gun deaths are so high," he said. "We're so out of line with other countries; we're an anomaly."


Copyright (C) 2002, The Harvard Crimson Inc
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Berlin police: we did not advise Jews not to wear Jewish attire

By Ha'aretz Service

Berlin police officials denied a report on Army Radio Tuesday that it had issued recommendations to the city's Jewish community not to wear distinctive Jewish garments for fear they may be the targets of attacks by Muslim youths. According to the report, police specifically advised against the wearing of skullcaps and the Star of David.

Despite the denial, Army Radio's Igal Avidan insisted his report was accurate and that it was based on remarks made by the Berlin police spokesman, Lars Sunman, who was reported saying that the recommendation was "a blow to freedom of religion, but the police cannot protect every single Jew."

The warning comes in the wake of attacks on Jews and Jewish sites in Germany in recent weeks, and the rising wave of anti-Semitic attacks in Europe in recent months, against the backdrop of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

The head of Chabad in Berlin, Rabbi Yehuda Teichler, said he was "shocked" by the police recommendation, but that he did not plan to heed it and to hide the fact that he was Jewish.

The director of the Yad Vashem Holocaust Memorial Museum, Avner Shalev, described the recommendation as offensive, and said it indicated a lack
of will on the part of the Berlin police to confront anti-Semitism.

http://www.haaretzdaily.com/hasen/pages/ShArt.jhtml?itemNo=154995

*******
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POLLitics

Israel, Sharon Win Opinion War
Over Palestinians, Arafat

Karlyn Bowman

Israelis are faring better than Palestinians in the U.S. court of public opinion, according to several recent polls.
surveyed said Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat is a terrorist.

In an April 10-11 Harris Interactive/Time/CNN poll, 62 percent said the word "terrorist" described Arafat, and 26 percent said it did not. Twenty percent of respondents said they would describe Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon as a terrorist, but 64 percent said they would not.

In an early-April Gallup/CNN/USA Today poll, 70 percent described the recent violence by the Palestinians against the Israelis as acts of terrorism, and 24 percent called them legitimate acts of war against their opponents. Thirty-nine percent described the violence committed by the Israelis against the Palestinians as acts of terrorism, and 53 percent described them as legitimate acts of war.

In an early-April NBC News/Wall Street Journal poll, 16 percent of respondents said Arafat wants to stop the suicide bombings against Israel, but 69 percent said that he does not.

The NBC News/Wall Street Journal poll found that 20 percent had a very or somewhat positive view of Sharon, while 28 percent had a negative one. Four percent had a positive view of Arafat and 75 percent a negative one (58 percent had a very negative opinion).

In an April 2-4 Zogby International poll, 36 percent had a very or somewhat favorable rating of Sharon, and 29 percent had a somewhat or very unfavorable rating of him. The ratings for Arafat were 10 percent favorable and 77 percent unfavorable. Israelis were rated more favorably than Palestinians, 73 percent to 50 percent. The Israeli government topped the Palestinian Authority, 45 percent to 9 percent.

Gore on Bush. In the early-April Gallup/CNN/USA Today poll, respondents were told: "Al Gore has not spoken out in opposition to any of George W. Bush's policies since Bush became President."

When asked what he should do now, 15 percent said he should publicly criticize Bush administration policies he opposes, but 82 percent said he should continue to say nothing critical about Bush. This question was asked before Gore's April 13 speech to the Florida Democratic Convention.

Is the Recession Over? The Gallup/CNN/USA Today poll found that 45 percent believe the economy is in a recession, but 52 percent do not. Fifty-nine percent in the NBC News/Wall Street Journal poll said the worst of the recession is over, while 33 percent said the worst is yet to come.

Tax Time. In the Gallup/CNN/USA Today poll, 34 percent said the tax cut passed last year had lowered the amount of federal income tax they paid (or would pay), but 50 percent said it had not lowered the amount.

In the latest ABC News survey, taken April 10-14, people estimated that 47 cents of every dollar the government collects in taxes is wasted. That question has been asked 10 times since 1985, and the amount given averages 48 cents. The amount reached a high of 56 cents in 1998 and a low of 43 cents in 1985.

Bush Legitimacy. In early April, Gallup/CNN/USA Today returned to the subject of President Bush's legitimacy. Forty-nine percent said the view
that Bush won the election "fair and square" came closest to their own, 34 percent chose the view that he won "on a technicality," and 16 percent said that he "stole" the election.

In the five other iterations of this question since Dec. 15, 2000, the responses have been very similar.

Delivering for the District. In the Gallup/ CNN/USA Today poll, 45 percent said a Congressional candidate's ability to do things that help constituents in their Congressional districts was more important to them in casting their vote than candidates' positions on national issues. Forty-eight percent said the latter was more important.

Iterations of this question in 1992 and 1994 found that getting things done for the district was more important than issue positions.

Rock 'n' Roll Is Here to Stay. In the NBC News/Wall Street Journal poll, 41 percent said that rock music has had a generally positive impact on American society, culture and values. Thirty-four percent said it has had a generally negative impact.

Elephants and Tigers. The Gallup/CNN/ USA Today poll found that Republicans are only slightly more likely than Democrats (29 percent to 22 percent) to be fans of professional golf.
BRASILIA -- (Dow Jones) -- Brazil's opposition Workers' Party candidate Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva has 35% of voting intentions compared to 18% for government backed candidate Jose Serra, the IBOPE opinion research institute said Tuesday.

Challengers Anthony Garotinho of the Brazilian Socialist Party and Ciro Gomes of the People's Socialist Party continued to trail with 16% and 11% of the vote respectively.

Technically, however, challenger Garotinho remained tied with Serra as the poll result had a 2.2 percentage point margin of error.

Meanwhile, analysts said the strong lead shown by Lula da Silva was mostly expected because of the candidate's recent broad media exposure.

"It is no surprise that Lula continues to lead," noted political analyst Carlos Lopes at the Santafe Ideais consultancy in Brasilia . "He is at a good moment and has had ample television time recently."

Even so, investors have reacted negatively to the candidate's rise, as he has perceived as market unfriendly.

The country's real currency weakened and the benchmark Ibovespa index stagnated over recent sessions amid rumors that Serra was losing ground to his opposition adversaries.

The latest Ibope poll could also continue to fuel market nervousness, showed Lula would defeat all other candidates in a possible runoff elections.

Tuesday's poll, meanwhile, was one of three scheduled for this week. Monday evening the Vox Populi institute released a poll also showing Lula in the lead followed by Serra, Garotinho and Gomes respectively.

A third poll from the Sensus Institute is scheduled for release later in the week.

The Ibope poll was conducted among 2000 respondents nationwide between April 18-21.
WASHINGTON (Reuters) - Financial know-how among U.S. high school students has gone from bad to worse, a survey released on Tuesday showed, and a Federal Reserve official warned that improving financial
literacy among youth is crucial.

According to the survey, conducted by the Jump $tart Coalition for Personal Finance Literacy, knowledge over basic financial issues among high school students has steadily declined over the past several years.

"The test results suggest that we have a huge job ahead. So it's gonna take a very significant effort at all levels -- federal, state, school systems, cheerleaders -- it's just a huge job," Federal Reserve Governor Edward Gramlich said.

"The scores are low and a lot of these programs aren't working that way -- at least by the scores. We've got to do a lot. We've got to figure out what works," he added.

In the 2002 survey of high school seniors, only 50.2 percent of the questions were answered correctly, down from 51.9 percent in 2000 and 57.3 percent in 1997.

Gramlich was joined by members of Jump $tart, a partnership of federal agencies, universities and education programs sponsors that conducted its third survey of 4,024 twelfth graders in 183 U.S. schools. Democrats Sen. John Corzine of New Jersey and Rep. Earl Pomeroy of North Dakota also attended.

Survey questions included knowledge about 401Ks and retirement savings, personal insurance and liability on stolen credit cards. Many of the students answering poorly about credit cards had active accounts. Balances are at an average $2,800 for high school students, Jump $tart said.

Gramlich warned that students must learn early such skills as comparison shopping, emergency fund saving and timely bill paying before they enter college, echoing the concerns of Fed Chairman Alan Greenspan.

The survey's latest findings come at a key time after the Bush administration's "No Child Left Behind" act was signed in January, providing $385 million to U.S. public schools to use for various programs, including financial literacy education. "Our hope is that the latest survey results will compel (school) superintendents to place a high priority on funding innovative personal finance education programs when applying for the money," Dara Duguay, Jump $tart executive director, said.

Asked by Reuters if the Bush funding was enough to meet the goals the Fed, Jump $tart and others hoped to accomplish, Gramlich declined comment. "Let me stay out of that," he said.

The survey cited growing U.S. household bankruptcy filings, low personal saving rates, the Enron debacle and questionable preparation for retirement by baby boomers as key signs that problems will exist for those who do not attain finance skills when young.

Jump $tart was founded in 1997 and is based here. It's 140-member partnership is composed of federal agencies, universities and sponsors of education programs that seeks to ensure financial competence among students prior to completing high school. The survey is found on its Web
Japan PM's Popularity Falls Before Key Elections

By Masayuki Kitano

TOKYO (Reuters) - Japanese Prime Minister Junichiro Koizumi's ruling party could suffer a damaging defeat in a key weekend by-election, newspapers said on Tuesday, while new surveys underscored a dramatic slide in his support ratings.

Japanese newspapers said an opposition-backed candidate seemed to be in
the lead in the by-election in Niigata, home of the popular Makiko Tanaka, whose sacking by Koizumi as foreign minister in January sparked the slide in his ratings.

His popularity has been his main weapon against anti-reform members of his ruling Liberal Democratic Party (LDP).

A defeat in Niigata or in another by-election on the same day would further weaken his ability to fight the anti-reformers, though analysts doubted that it would cost him his job.

"If the LDP loses in Niigata...the party could be shaken up," said political commentator Mineaki Yamamoto.

A survey in the daily Mainichi Shimbun newspaper showed Koizumi's support slipping to 42 percent, down four points from last month and similar to levels found in other recent polls.

The polls were conducted before Koizumi's visit on Sunday to Yasukuni Shrine, where war criminals are honored along with the nation's war dead.

Koizumi's visit, which drew an angry response from China and South Korea, may have been intended to shore up support among conservative LDP members ahead of next Sunday's elections.

Sinking popularity ratings spelled the end for Koizumi's predecessor, Yoshiro Mori, last year because the LDP feared he would lead them to disaster in an Upper House election.

Analysts, though, expect Koizumi to hang onto his job even if his party loses Sunday's elections and his support ratings fall further, since no general election for parliament is scheduled until 2004 and there are few attractive alternatives.

BY-ELECTIONS

In Niigata, Takahiro Kuroiwa, an independent candidate backed by the main opposition Democratic Party among others, seemed to have the upper hand over LDP candidate Ichiro Tsukada, the Yomiuri Shimbun said, based on a poll of local voters.

But it said the situation was fluid because more than one third of respondents were undecided.

The Mainichi also said Kuroiwa seemed to be in the lead but added that in Wakayama, where another parliamentary by-election is being held on Sunday, an LDP candidate seemed to be ahead.

The shadow of Tanaka -- daughter of the late LDP kingmaker Kakuei Tanaka -- hangs over the Niigata race in a region where her father's ability to bring in public works contracts is still well remembered.

But the usually outspoken Makiko has thus far kept silent about the race, although her image adorns the opposition candidate's office and her name is on many lips.
Even if the LDP were to lose both elections, the overall impact on Koizumi's grip on power may be limited.

"Such losses could spark criticism from anti-mainstream factions within the LDP... (but) I don't think losses in regional elections would immediately affect his ability to carry out policies," Yamamoto said.

He said parliamentary debate on controversial bills such as crisis legislation aimed at beefing up Japan's ability to respond to military attack but criticized in some quarters as a threat to Japan's pacifist constitution was likely to pose a bigger challenge to Koizumi.
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Survey finds modest progress for global press freedom in 2001
NEW YORK - Despite initial fears that restrictions would be placed on media covering the war in Afghanistan, a study released has found that there were no "major setbacks" in press freedom in 2001.

The Freedom House, a nonprofit organization which monitors political rights and civil liberties across the globe, released the survey Sunday.

"Press reporting of the war in Afghanistan has been robust, from battlefield accounts to analyses of future strategy," said Leonard Sussman, Freedom House's senior scholar in international communications. "Some laws adopted by democratic states have restricted access to information, but not press freedom, per se."

Of 186 countries reviewed, the organization found that 75 were considered "free," with no significant restrictions on the news media; 50 were "partly free," with some media restrictions; and 61 were rated "not free" for being under state control or having other obstacles to a free press.

Out of 187 countries surveyed in 2000, 72 were "free," 53 were "partly free," and 62 were "not free."

The survey did not include Afghanistan this year because there was not enough information to evaluate, Sussman said.

That was the first time since Freedom House began conducting the surveys in 1977 that a country was not rated. The group still rated Iraq during the Gulf War because "there was still radio, they was still publishing," Sussman said.

In 2001, Ghana, Peru and Vanuatu moved from "partly free" to "free;" Mongolia from "free" to "partly free;" and Bangladesh and Haiti from "partly free" to "not free."

The United States remained in the "free" category, but the survey noted press freedom had been restricted slightly after the Sept. 11 attacks.

A report issued in February by a press watchdog group reached a much different conclusion.

The International Press Institute found press freedom was under attack in several countries, including Zimbabwe, Afghanistan and the United States.

The Austrian-based organization of editors, reporters and media executives said press freedom is endangered across the world -- notably in countries where governments used security needs as an excuse to pressure journalists.

But Sussman argued that "limiting access to certain kinds of information does not mean a reduction in press freedoms." He said that there are times, such as wartime, when a country must limit information to protect national security.
"But it's simply an emergency arrangement and it will be undone when that emergency passes," he said.

------

The Freedom House survey was dedicated to Daniel Pearl, the Wall Street Journal reporter murdered in Pakistan in January.
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LAS VEGAS SURVEY MAPS PAY OFF RETURNS

Just back from Las Vegas this weekend, KITV 4 News anchorman Dan Cooke stumbled onto a bit of interesting news.
For the first time ever, a report will be released Wednesday that will list casinos from most generous to least generous when it comes to slot machines.

KITV 4 News got an advance copy.

Looking for a better bet on your next trip to Las Vegas?

A writer for the Las Vegas Advisor may be able to help you out.

"Got a hold of some information, that is usually considered private and he obtained it legally and all, but he did get this information that most people don't get, which is about return percentages," said Anthony Curtis, publisher of the Las Vegas Advisor.

Curtis said those return percentages are for nickel slot machines at casinos throughout Las Vegas.

"It's pretty well been known as a rule of thumb that if you want the best payoffs you go downtown or the places that ring the perimeter of Las Vegas and this report pretty much supports that rule of thumb information," Curtis said.

Even though this study was done on nickel machines, Curtis said the casino ranking for quarter and dollar machines would be similar.

The casino with the best slot machine payoffs, according to this report, is the new Palms casino on Flamingo Road.

"Absolutely No. 1 with a payoff of about 93.5 percent, which means they're keeping about 6.5 percent of every dollar that goes into those machines on nickel slots," Curtis said.

When it comes to downtown casinos, the favorite of Hawaii gamblers:


http://dailynews.yahoo.com/h/kitv/20020423/lo/1171376_1.html
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There has been a lot of hand wringing over Le Pen's "victory" in the first round of the French presidential election. The results may be humiliating for France, and certainly are for Jospin and the French Left, but the raw number of voters who picked Le Pen didn't change much from past elections, so there really isn't anything new to get overly excited about.

On the other hand, what I find striking about this affair is that the French polling industry was unanimously wrong about the outcome and equally unanimous in their conceit about the accuracy and precision of their forecasts.

Judging from comments by French pollsters published yesterday and today in Le Monde and Le Figaro, they don't seem to have learned anything from this either.

The favored excuse is that some 40% of voters didn't make up their minds until the last minute, so they couldn't forecast properly. Someone from IPSOS mentioned that projecting time series indicated that Le Pen could catch Jospin by election day, but they don't seem to have looked at them until after the election, or, if they did, they didn't bother to tell anyone.

All four major polls in France used samples stratified by region and size of community, then selected respondents by quota for sex, age and occupation. Some reported afterward having adjusted on unspecified demographic variables, although I did not notice this in any of the published methodology statements.

I have been extremely critical of the way "margin of error" is reported in polls in this country, but at least serious pollsters here try to use probability samples and give their audience some idea of how far off they may be in their measurements, even if their confidence intervals are grossly underestimated.

One can only surmise that if French voters were regularly informed of the potential inaccuracy of polls, many who used their first round vote to protest the establishment might have acted somewhat more responsibly.
There has been a lot of hand wringing over Le Pen's "victory" in the first round of the French presidential election. The results may be humiliating for France, and certainly are for Jospin and the French Left, but the raw number of voters who picked Le Pen didn't really change much from past elections, so there really isn't anything new to get too excited about.

On the other hand, what I find striking about this affair is that the French polling industry was unanimously wrong about the outcome and equally unanimous in their conceit about the accuracy and precision of their forecasts.

Judging from comments by French pollsters published yesterday and today in Le Monde and Le Figaro, they don't seem to have learned anything from this either.

The favored excuse is that some 40% of voters didn't make up their minds until the last minute, so they couldn't forecast properly. Someone from IPSOS mentioned that projecting time series indicated that Le Pen could catch Jospin by election day, but they don't seem to have looked at them until after the election, or, if they did, they didn't bother to tell anyone.

All four major polls in France used samples stratified by region and size of community, then selected respondents by quota for sex, age and occupation. Some reported afterward having adjusted on unspecified demographic variables, although I did not notice this in any of the published methodology statements.

I have been extremely critical of the way "margin of error" is reported...
in polls in this country, but at least serious pollsters here try to use probability samples and give their audience some idea of how far off they may be in their measurements, even if their confidence intervals are grossly underestimated.

One can only surmise that if French voters were regularly informed of the potential inaccuracy of polls, many who used their first round vote to protest the establishment might have acted somewhat more responsibly.

Jan Werner
jwerner@jwdp.com

---

Hi,

This is just a reminder that the deadline for registering for the American Marketing Association's Advanced Research Techniques Forum is approaching. You can obtain more information about the conference at www.marketingpower.com/artforum.

Barbara Bickart
Associate Professor of Marketing
School of Business
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
227 Penn Street
Camden, NJ 08102-1656
Phone: (856) 225-6593  Fax: (856) 225-6231
bickart@camden.rutgers.edu
http://www.crab.rutgers.edu/~bickart
Greetings,

What standards or procedures do you use to assess disclosure risk in publicly released survey data?

When you release the data in public, for legal requirement or civic use, what procedures/criteria and/or softwares do you use to prevent individuals or/institutions from being identified?

Regards,

Young Chun, Senior Research Scientist
American Institutes for Research
1990 K Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20006

202 944 5325

**************************************************************************
This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain privileged or confidential information. It is solely for use by the individual for whom it is intended, even if addressed incorrectly. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender; do not disclose, copy, distribute, or take any action in reliance on the contents of this information; and delete it from your system. Any other use of this e-mail is prohibited. Thank you for your compliance.
Dear AAPOR/WAPOR Members:

I have a student looking for poll data from Japan. For those who can be of assistance, please read below and respond to him directly at moss@lclark.edu

Many thanks in advance. See you in FL.

Best,

Robert Eisinger
Chair, Political Science
Lewis & Clark College
Portland, OR 97219

---------- Forwarded message ----------
Date: Tue, 23 Apr 2002 18:21:09 -0400
To: eisinger@lclark.edu

My name is Stephen Moss and I'm attempting to conduct research for a paper that was assigned in my Quantitative Research Methods course (sociology). The paper involves a meta-analysis concerning Japan's involvement in the United State's "war on terrorism". Unfortunately, I've been having trouble finding sources that include public opinion polls that address Japanese opinions on Japan's involvement in the war. If you could point me in the right direction I would sincerely appreciate it. The paper is due on the last day of classes (around May 7, 2002).

Thank you in advance for your help.

Sincerely,
Stephen H. Moss
moss@lclark.edu (please send correspondence to this address)
Somebody should tell the aspiring journalists at this college that "rates" cannot be reliably adduced in the manner implied ("70 percent of all female homicides...32 percent of the female population") and, if they learn to present their data and conclusions correctly, we will forgive the numerous errors in spelling and grammar.

James P. Murphy, Ph.D.
Voice (610) 408-8800
Fax (610) 408-8802
jpmurphy@jpmurphy.com

-----Original Message-----
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Date: Tuesday, April 23, 2002 4:41 PM
Subject: US Female Murder Rate Highest in Industrial World (SE Butler HarvCrim)
A Harvard School of Public Health study shows the United States has the highest rate of female homicides in the industrial world.

The study, which surveyed the most recent data on murders in the world's highest-income nations, found that in this group of countries 70 percent of all female homicides -- and 84 percent of all female homicides committed with a gun -- occurred in the United States. But American women make up only 32 percent of the female population of these countries.

"We do have a problem," said Professor of Health Policy David Hemenway, the study's principle author. "We're not just 20 percent worse or 40 percent worse. You're five times more likely to die from a gun if you are a woman living in the United States."

According to the study conducted by Harvard's Injury Control Research Center and released last week in the Journal of the American Medical Women's Association, a woman in the United States is three times more likely to be murdered than a woman in Canada, five times more than a woman in Germany and eight times more than in a woman in England or Wales.

The firearm-related death rate is 11 times higher for American females than for females in other high income nations.

Hemenway said the study was meant to provide data that previous studies on homicides -- which typically do not consider the gender of victims -- failed to provide. Since men are much more likely to be murdered than women, statistics that consider all homicides masks specific data pertaining to women, he said.

The study noted that previous investigations of American female homicide victims had found women typically were killed under different circumstances than men. While men are usually killed by people unknown to them, women are killed by "intimates and ex-intimates."

While the study does not draw any conclusions as to why firearm homicides are so much higher in the United States, according to Hemenway the data do suggest that the country's comparatively lax gun laws could be a cause.

It is much easier to obtain a gun, particularly in the secondary market, in the United States than in the other countries studied. Nations that
require licences to own a gun and firearms registration generally have lower rates of gun violence.

A previous study by Matthew Miller, Deborah Azrael and Hemenway, published in the March edition of the Journal of Urban Health, noted that women are more likely to be murdered by a gun in regions of the U.S. where firearms are more prevalent.

But, other than homicide, the United States' crime rate is on par with most other nations, Hemenway said.

"The U.S. is very different from other nations in that gun deaths are so high," he said. "We're so out of line with other countries; we're an anomaly."


I notice that Scott Wilson's April 18 report here, in the Washington Post, continues to have an increasingly prominent role in the collective understanding of the recent short-lived coup in Venezuela just one week earlier.

-- Jim
Chavez Regained Power While Plotters Bickered
Coup Was Not Planned, Ex-Leader Says

By Scott Wilson
Washington Post Foreign Service

CARACAS, Venezuela, April 17 -- One reason Pedro Carmona, a bookish economist and alleged insurrectionist, was selected to run the interim government after a coup here last week was that he was one of the few people who didn't want the job.

Those with presidential ambitions in Venezuela -- the aspirants are legion -- took themselves out of consideration Friday when the military was hunting for someone to head the junta. The condition was that anyone who had the job would not be able to run in presidential elections to be held within a year.

Carmona was the face of Venezuela's short-lived coup, which ousted President Hugo Chavez last Thursday. Behind him, however, were clashing agendas and personalities that doomed the change in government, and made possible Chavez's return two days later.

"It was going to take time to put together the new government teams and consolidate our control over the situation," Carmona said today in an interview at his apartment, where he is under house arrest. "This was not something that was premeditated, as you can see from the ensuing confusion. That gave the Chavez forces time to reorganize and return."

Untangling the various forces that contributed to the coup and its collapse is a consuming passion in Venezuela and beyond its borders. There is a vigorous debate about the possible roles of U.S. officials, powerful Venezuelans abroad and leading military officers.

Carmona, who was an economist with the Foreign Ministry and has run a variety of trade associations, rose to prominence last year with the success of a national strike he called as head of Venezuela's largest business group. He joined a large labor group last week in a second strike, which became a catalyst for Chavez's ouster.

Carmona also enjoyed support among a small faction of dissident navy and air force officers, some of whom had met with U.S. officials in recent months, that had begun organizing against the three-year-old Chavez administration last fall, according to former members of the provisional government.

The role of the military and civic groups in the coup is the subject of
an investigation by the Organization of American States. OAS Secretary General Cesar Gaviria, who left Venezuela today to deliver his findings to the organization in Washington, warned that democracy was being damaged by the military's involvement in politics.

"This tradition has been established in Venezuela in the last few years that military officers are important protagonists in politics," Gaviria said. "It is very unhealthy. Close that door."

A possible U.S. role in the coup is being scrutinized here by Chavez supporters and opponents. Western diplomats generally supportive of U.S. foreign policy acknowledge that severe damage has been done to relations between the Bush administration and the third-largest supplier of oil to the United States.

U.S. officials have denied that they encouraged opposition members to overthrow Chavez, but diplomats here suggested that the large number of visits to Washington and the U.S. Embassy here in recent months by people hostile to his regime may have signaled tacit support for the opposition.

"I don't think the U.S. provided any active or material support for this," a Western diplomat said. "But the people involved may have seen all of these meetings and visits, added them all up, and come up with an idea that they were on the same team."

At least three people who landed key jobs within the provisional government have acknowledged that they met with U.S. officials in the past six months. One of them was Vice Adm. Carlos Molina, who said that he had a meeting with a U.S. official outside the U.S. Embassy within the past six weeks.

But U.S. officials say that although they were aware of the growing dissent, they sought to distance the United States from opposition figures who might be plotting a coup. In November, the U.S. ambassador at the time, Donna Hrinak, took the unusual step of ordering the embassy's military attache to stop meeting with a group of dissident officers, according to a U.S. official.

That group, according to a Western diplomat here, included Molina, Air Force Col. Pedro Soto and several other officers who in February publicly demand Chavez's removal. The U.S. diplomat said Soto and Molina each received $100,000 from a Miami bank account for denouncing Chavez.

Soto and Molina could not be reached for comment today. Molina is under arrest and was the subject of a military hearing today. Soto is among three officers seeking asylum in the Bolivian Embassy.

In his role as head of the business association, Carmona traveled to Washington in November with a delegation of seven business leaders. He said the delegation met with John Maisto, Bush's national security aide for Latin America, Energy Secretary Spencer Abraham and Otto J. Reich, then Bush's nominee to head the State Department's Western Hemisphere affairs division. Reich, a conservative Cuban exile who has expressed his deep concerns over Chavez's leftist agenda, now holds the post under an appointment.

Carmona characterized the meetings as a lobbying effort to have Venezuela
included in a group of Andean nations that enjoy preferential trade agreements with the United States. Soon after Chavez's speech in October, U.S. officials informed him that Venezuela would not be part of the group.

"They talked a lot about the difference they had with the government, and that getting into [the trade agreement] would be impossible," Carmona said. "They were very angry at Chavez, really tired of him."

But Carmona said they gave no indication that they supported Chavez's removal, and he said he next spoke with U.S. officials after Chavez was ousted. He met with the recently arrived U.S. ambassador, Charles Shapiro, and the Spanish ambassador Saturday morning.

Carmona said Shapiro was concerned about the dissolution of the National Assembly and suggested in general terms that he find a way to put the government back on a more democratic footing.

Carmona is waiting to see if the government charges him with rebellion. The crime carries a sentence of up to 20 years in prison.

"The crisis is still here," Carmona said. "It hasn't been resolved."


(C) 2002 The Washington Post Company
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Observer Worldview

Venezuela coup linked to Bush team

Specialists in the 'dirty wars' of the Eighties encouraged the plotters who tried to topple President Chavez

Ed Vulliamy in New York
The Observer

The failed coup in Venezuela was closely tied to senior officials in the US government, The Observer has established. They have long histories in the 'dirty wars' of the 1980s, and links to death squads working in Central America at that time.

Washington's involvement in the turbulent events that briefly removed left-wing leader Hugo Chavez from power last weekend resurrects fears about US ambitions in the hemisphere.

It also deepens doubts about policy in the region being made by appointees to the Bush administration, all of whom owe their careers to serving in the dirty wars under President Reagan.

One of them, Elliot Abrams, who gave a nod to the attempted Venezuelan coup, has a conviction for misleading Congress over the infamous Iran-Contra affair.

The Bush administration has tried to distance itself from the coup. It immediately endorsed the new government under businessman Pedro Carmona. But the coup was sent dramatically into reverse after 48 hours.

Now officials at the Organisation of American States and other diplomatic sources, talking to The Observer, assert that the US administration was not only aware the coup was about to take place, but had sanctioned it, presuming it to be destined for success.

The visits by Venezuelans plotting a coup, including Carmona himself, began, say sources, 'several months ago', and continued until weeks before the putsch last weekend. The visitors were received at the White House by the man President George Bush tasked to be his key policy-maker for Latin America, Otto Reich.

Reich is a right-wing Cuban-American who, under Reagan, ran the Office for Public Diplomacy. It reported in theory to the State Department, but Reich was shown by congressional investigations to report directly to Reagan's National Security Aide, Colonel Oliver North, in the White House.
North was convicted and shamed for his role in Iran-Contra, whereby arms bought by busting US sanctions on Iran were sold to the Contra guerrillas and death squads, in revolt against the Marxist government in Nicaragua.

Reich also has close ties to Venezuela, having been made ambassador to Caracas in 1986. His appointment was contested both by Democrats in Washington and political leaders in the Latin American country. The objections were overridden as Venezuela sought access to the US oil market.

Reich is said by OAS sources to have had 'a number of meetings with Carmona and other leaders of the coup' over several months. The coup was discussed in some detail, right down to its timing and chances of success, which were deemed to be excellent.

On the day Carmona claimed power, Reich summoned ambassadors from Latin America and the Caribbean to his office. He said the removal of Chavez was not a rupture of democratic rule, as he had resigned and was 'responsible for his fate'. He said the US would support the Carmona government.

But the crucial figure around the coup was Abrams, who operates in the White House as senior director of the National Security Council for 'democracy, human rights and international operations'. He was a leading theoretician of the school known as 'Hemispherism', which put a priority on combating Marxism in the Americas.

It led to the coup in Chile in 1973, and the sponsorship of regimes and death squads that followed it in Argentina, El Salvador, Honduras, Guatemala and elsewhere. During the Contras' rampage in Nicaragua, he worked directly to North.

Congressional investigations found Abrams had harvested illegal funding for the rebellion. Convicted for withholding information from the inquiry, he was pardoned by George Bush senior.

A third member of the Latin American triangle in US policy-making is John Negroponte, now ambassador to the United Nations. He was Reagan's ambassador to Honduras from 1981 to 1985 when a US-trained death squad, Battalion 3-16, tortured and murdered scores of activists. A diplomatic source said Negroponte had been 'informed that there might be some movement in Venezuela on Chavez' at the beginning of the year.

More than 100 people died in events before and after the coup. In Caracas on Friday a military judge confined five high-ranking officers to indefinite house arrest pending formal charges of rebellion.

Chavez's chief ideologue - Guillermo Garcia Ponce, director of the Revolutionary Political Command - said dissident generals, local media and anti-Chavez groups in the US had plotted the president's removal.

'The most reactionary sectors in the United States were also implicated in the conspiracy,' he said.

http://www.observer.co.uk/Print/0,3858,4398499,00.html

----------------------------------
Another view from/about France, this time from someone with a decidedly jaundiced rating of his fellow leftists, http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4400239,00.html puts in rather more printable language my own reaction to this latest triumph for the soixante-huitards (text below).

Other background/comment pieces from the same day are:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4400227,00.html

http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4400226,00.html

http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4400251,00.html

What it might mean for the UK:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4400394,00.html

A report on far-right campaigning in the UK (all the more scary for me
because the town in question is where I was born and near which I grew up): http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4400255,00.html

And, finally, a few words from that nice Mr Blair: http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4400333,00.html who, I think, would be rather surprised to learn from M Ledeen, that his natural allies are supposed to be Berlusconi and Aznar rather than Schroder and Jospin.

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609

0114 259 1180

Comment

To the pillory with the leftist cretins who stayed at home or turned their coat

Jean-Michel Helvig
Guardian

Wednesday April 24, 2002

France's leftists defeated themselves this Sunday. The people who ran for cover when the thunderbolt struck that Lionel Jospin had been knocked out of the second round of the presidential election - these people were leftists. People who stayed at home, people who voted for "protest" candidates, their faces bore mixed expressions of staggered stupidity and belated remorse.

"We didn't want this," they pathetically repeated to their friends and, of course, to themselves. But it was they who caused Jospin's downfall; it was they who mathematically lowered his percentage to the benefit of the far-right candidate who outpolled him.

Today these people march the streets shouting "No to Le Pen" with a determination equalled only by the casual, offhand manner with which they approached the polling booth. Of course we are only talking about a minority; but then, the difference between Lionel Jospin and Jean-Marie Le Pen was a mere 194,558 votes out of 41,196,339 registered voters.

So this minority of useless idiots, or rather use-ful idiots for Le Pen, thoroughly deserve to be pilloried, because although there are structural factors that explain the prime minister's defeat - he was betrayed by his populist electorate, paralysed by crime, and ran a mediocre campaign - such factors could not be overcome in a space of mere weeks.

In the space of a single day, however, those who fought the wrong fight in the polling booths could have changed their mind and put the presidential election on the right track by voting usefully.

So who are these leftist cretins, many of them our friends with whom we will, inevitably, make things up eventually? Firstly, there are those who
simpered, "We thought Chirac and Jospin would get to the second round anyway," and who preferred, rather than voting, to go away for the weekend, or not to interrupt their precious holidays.

They decided, with a clear conscience, not to budge until May 5, for the second round. Like children caught red-handed, they now plead: "But the polls and the media told us there would be no surprises."

They are right, of course, about the polls. But the polls have been wrong many times, and as for the media, they have often described a French society in which fear of crime, feelings of xenophobia and doubts about France's national identity are all on the rise.

But a journalist is not an electoral soothsayer. Besides, if these people are so cultured and well-informed, do they really need to look to anyone apart from themselves merely in order to fulfil their civic duty?

There were other deserters too: those who chose the cunning option of voting for one of the three Trotskyist candidates in order to send a social "message" to the mainstream left. In doing so, they brought triumph to two candidates, Arlette Laguiller and Olivier Besançon, who spent their entire campaign attacking the "reformists", never mentioned Le Pen let alone Chirac, and pursued the sole objective of boosting their own organisations.

Very few of their voters actually backed their strategic vision, which remains inspired, in a pretty dogmatic way, by Russia's 1917 revolution. At the very least you can say that their "message" was destined to get somewhat lost en route.

And how can we forget the extraordinary scattering of mainstream leftist candidates? There were four of them, and their essential preoccupation was to distinguish themselves from the outgoing prime minister to justify their own existence.

Of course, everyone has the right to run in the presidential election, democracy demands it, and every man has the right to cast his personal vote. But what characterises French leftists these days is a genetic incapacity to overcome self-interest in the name of collective efficiency.

And whatever Jospin's mistakes may be, or those of the Socialists during the presidential campaign, it is first and foremost the leftists who have indirectly scored victory for Le Pen. Thank God there are now some serious anti-Le Pen demonstrations for us to redeem ourselves.

Jean-Michel Helvig is deputy editor of Libération

Guardian Unlimited © Guardian Newspapers Limited 2002
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A colleague has asked me for advice on a problem with response rates on a mail survey. I've given mine but I wonder what the collected wisdom and experience of the AAPORnet can suggest.

The situation is: he is carrying out a mail survey of around 35,000 young people (aged 16-17). The design is the 'classic' TDM: Mailout, postcard reminder after 7 days, 1st questionnaire reminder after further 14 days, 2nd questionnaire reminder after further 14 days, telephone interview enhancement stage after further 7 days.

We are currently mid-way between 1st and 2nd q reminders and the response rate compared with previous years (we do this survey every two years) is looking unhealthy. It is likely we will have a final rate of (almost certainly) less than 55% and possibly less than 50%. This 'headline rate' is very important for a number of reasons and we want more than this. The reason for low response is probably an over lengthy questionnaire.

We anticipate that in a week's time we will have only about 40% returned from the initial set sample. We are, therefore, bringing forward the telephone enhancement stage by a week, substituting this for the 2nd questionnaire reminder, where we have telephone numbers for sample members, as we have found in the past to be about one fifth as effective as telephone mode.

But we will have telephone numbers for only a maximum of 40% of the remaining sample (we have to use directory matching as this is the first wave of a cohort study and we only get telephone nos from people at first wave). Thus we anticipate that in a week we will have about 12,000 cases remaining where a questionnaire has not been returned and we cannot get a phone number. We will therefore be sending these people the usual second
mail questionnaire reminder.

What can we/should we do to boost response as much as possible from this group?

We cannot afford 'big money' solutions (e.g. incentive payments or face to face calls). We think that simply extending fieldwork will have little point as the response curve flattens out around this point in the survey and few come back after 6 weeks. The 2nd questionnaire reminder is never very effective in itself (an extra 2-3%) so it is very unlikely that a further reminder would work.

Use of registered mail for a further reminder has been suggested but we think that this would be more likely to upset our target group than enthuse them (these days in the UK if you are not in when the postman calls you have to go miles to pick up your registered mail from a post office and these are young people who are, of course, never in).

A shorter questionnaire seems impractical and unlikely to yield much effect anyway.

The best idea I can come up with is: delaying the 2nd q reminder for a few days and sending, instead, a personalised reminder letter (on official paper) in urgent terms. As this will appear to be a 'normal' letter I think there's much more chance the sample members will open it than what is obviously another questionnaire. We would then follow up 3-4 days later with the second q reminder itself.

What can you suggest? Replies either to me, the list or both.

Thanks.

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609
0114 259 1180
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Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3PEWl21394; Thu, 25 Apr 2002 07:58:47 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Thu, 25 Apr 2002 07:58:47 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
cc: Elihu Katz <mskatz@mscc.huji.ac.il>
Subject: Introducing a promising newcomer...
AAPORNETters,

It is my distinct pleasure to announce the addition of a rather promising newcomer to our humble list. He calls himself "Elihu Katz," and seems to live in Jerusalem, on those rare occasions when he is not in transit from one place to another. If you wish to send him your personal greetings, you just might find his email address somewhere, if you look around carefully.

-- Jim

******

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Thu Apr 25 09:32:57 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/uscd) with ESMTP
    id g3PGWuel17097 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Thu, 25 Apr 2002
09:32:57 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/uscd) with ESMTP
    id JAA21298 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 25 Apr 2002 09:32:56 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/uscd) with ESMTP
    id g3PGVIW01773 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 25 Apr 2002 09:31:18 -0700
(PDT)
Date: Thu, 25 Apr 2002 09:31:18 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: AN EXPLOSION ROCKS CHELSEA SECTION OF MANHATTAN (JewishWorldReview)
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204250210452.52000000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

---------- Forwarded message ----------
Date: Thu, 25 Apr 2002 12:19:54 -0400
From: JWR_Editor-in-Chief <blj@jewishworldreview.com>
To: jwr-today@jewishworldreview.com
Subject: AN EXPLOSION ROCKS CHELSEA SECTION OF MANHATTAN

There's a report of an explosion at a building on West 19th Street between Fifth and Sixth Avenues in Manhattan. Part of the building, Apex Technical Institute, has collapsed. Blocks around the area have been closed.

Too early to tell what happened, of course. Let's hope it was just an
accident. But just yesterday the FBI warned of possible terror attacks. Needless to say, New Yorkers are quite nervous.

DEVELOPING

*****************************************************************
>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Thu Apr 25 09:45:25 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3PGjPe19008 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Thu, 25 Apr 2002
09:45:25 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id JAA03805 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 25 Apr 2002 09:45:25 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3PGhnK03451 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 25 Apr 2002 09:43:49 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Thu, 25 Apr 2002 09:43:49 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Part of Manhattan Building Collapses (AP)
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204250940160.2376-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(C) Copyright 2002 The Associated Press
----------------------------------------------------------------------

April 25, 2002 - Filed at 12:21 p.m. ET

Part of Manhattan Building Collapses By THE ASSOCIATED PRESS

Part of an eight-story building housing a technical school collapsed today in Manhattan's Chelsea neighborhood.

NEW YORK (AP) -- Part of an eight-story building collapsed Thursday in the Chelsea section of Manhattan. At least 21 people were being treated at the scene for injuries, fire officials said.

At least two people were carried out on stretchers and placed into ambulances.

Officials said it appeared some type of explosion collapsed some floors of the building. Federal officials said there was no sign of terrorism.
"It was just a really loud noise," said Stuart Markowitz, who runs the education department at the nearby Apex Technical School. "Some of our windows did get blown out."

All students in the school were safely evacuated, he said. Initial reports that the collapse took place at the school were not true, he said.

More than 100 firefighters were called to the scene at 19th Street between Sixth and Seventh avenues.

The school offers training in such fields as welding, automotive repair, refrigeration, air conditioning, and appliance technology.

---

*Fox TV News is now also reporting this as a boiler explosion, and also that at least a dozen people have been rushed to local hospitals in critical condition.  

-- Jim*
Early speculation is that it was a boiler explosion, as plumbers were in the building doing some work. I'm in NYC and watching local coverage right now. There is no indication of terrorism, according to officials, at this time (12:40 pm in NYC).

The biggest problem right now if that traffic is a mess, as 6th and 7th Avenues have been closed in the area.

- Steve Brant

> From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
> Reply-To: beniger@rcf.usc.edu
> Date: Thu, 25 Apr 2002 09:25:59 -0700 (PDT)
> To: <Triumph-of-Content-l@usc.edu>
> Subject: <toc>--AN EXPLOSION ROCKS CHELSEA SECTION OF MANHATTAN
>
> There's a report of an explosion at a building on West 19th Street between Fifth and Sixth Avenues in Manhattan. Part of the building, Apex Technical Institute, has collapsed. Blocks around the area have been closed.
>
> Too early to tell what happened, of course. Let's hope it was just an accident. But just yesterday the FBI warned of possible terror attacks.
>
> Needless to say, New Yorkers are quite nervous.
>
> DEVELOPING

> -- Jim

> *****
NEW YORK was seized by fears of a "dirty bomb" terrorist attack yesterday after an apparently accidental explosion ripped through a commercial building, injuring dozens, at least six critically.

Manhattan hospitals were put on full disaster alert and prepared to decontaminate incoming victims from radiation, with at least one scanning them with a Geiger counter.

Fearing a new terrorist attack, the FBI and the New York bomb squad swooped on the ten-storey building on West 19th Street in response to the blast shortly before noon. The surrounding streets were cordoned off and emergency crews and more than 100 firefighters set up a triage centre on the pavement for dozens of walking wounded.

St Vincent's Hospital, which treated the injured from the World Trade Centre on September 11, declared its top "Code Three" disaster alert as its safety officer monitored arriving victims for radiation in a decontamination area. Federal officials gave warning recently that al-Qaeda may be trying to develop a radiological device, or "dirty bomb", for attacks in the United States.

Six people were admitted to the hospital in "very critical condition" with head wounds and burns, after the blast which injured up to 50. Windows along the block were blown out by the force of the explosion and several of the injured were hit by flying glass. More than 100 firefighters were called to the scene.
But Dr Richard Westfal, the associate director of St Vincent Hospital's emergency room, said: "There was no evidence of any weapons of mass destruction or anything like that. It looked like just an explosion."

The blast rattled nearby buildings and was initially thought to have occurred in the Apex Technical School on the corner of West 19th Street and 6th Ave. The school was not damaged.

Bill Beek, who lives a half-block away, said: "It was a real giant boom, It sounded like an airplane crashing."

One eyewitness, Alan Awol, said: "I heard a big explosion. The whole third floor had collapsed. People were stuck on the third floor. They looked like they were hysterical, like they wanted to jump out."

Scott Bonilla, a student at the technical school, said he was inside the building when it began shaking. "They told us to rush out of the building," he said. Stuart Markowitz, who runs the school's education department, said: "It was just a really loud noise. Some of our windows did get blown out."

Michael Bloomberg, the New York Mayor, said: "At the moment I want to assure that there is absolutely no reason to think this is anything other than a tragic accident, and we hope there is no loss of life."

Like the jet crash near John F. Kennedy airport late last year, the blast rattled New Yorkers' nerves and offered another test of the city's revamped emergency response system.

Nicholas Scoppetta, the New York Fire Commissioner, said that the explosion occurred in the basement of the building, which houses a company that makes signs. He said the company received shipments of volatile materials in 50-gallon drums on Wednesday but could not say if those were the cause of the explosion. According to earlier reports, the New York City building department had received a complaint about unauthorised construction at the site and inspectors had issued a "stop-work" order on Tuesday. Despite the ban, work apparently continued.
What follows is the unsigned lead editorial on the "Editorials" page of this morning's New York Times.

POLLING ABSTRACT

In the year that Mr. Sharon has been prime minister, some 35 new settlement outposts have been established, in contravention of his coalition agreement with the Labor Party. Opinion polls show strong Israeli public support for removal of some settlements in exchange for peace, a position embraced by previous Israeli governments. Yet Mr. Sharon refuses to consider such a move.

-- Jim

April 26, 2002

ISRAEL'S HISTORIC MISCALCULATION

Late last week, senior Israeli Army officers called for uprooting several dozen isolated Jewish settlements in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip because of the military burden involved in protecting them. Even though the proposal was focused on Israeli security interests, Prime Minister Ariel Sharon angrily dismissed it at a cabinet meeting, saying that as long as he was in power there would be no discussion of removing a single settlement.

It is hard to imagine a more dispiriting statement for those hoping for a negotiated land-for-peace end to hostilities in the Middle East. If Mr. Sharon sticks to this view he will leave little hope for peace between Israel and the Palestinians. We recognize that this is an exceptionally painful moment in a region where the focus has been on death and human suffering rather than on land. But ultimately this dispute is over land.

Just as terror is the greatest Palestinian threat to Middle East peace, so are settlements on territory captured in the 1967 war the greatest
Israeli obstacle to peace. They deprive the Palestinians of prime land and water, break up Palestinian geographic continuity, are hard to defend against Palestinian attack and complicate the establishment of a clear, secure Israeli border.

Before the Oslo peace process began in 1993, settlements were a major American concern. The first President Bush threatened to withhold $10 billion in loan guarantees from Israel if it did not freeze its settlement building. The hostility between him and Yitzhak Shamir, then prime minister, over this issue contributed to Mr. Shamir's defeat at the hands of Yitzhak Rabin in 1992.

But for nearly a decade, settlements have earned little American attention. Since Israel and the Palestinians were engaged in peace negotiations, it was assumed that eventually many if not most of the settlements would go, and it was easier not to cause a political crisis by pressuring the Israeli right before a full peace agreement had been reached. The Oslo peace talks broke down, of course, and while primary responsibility for the collapse rests with Yasir Arafat, the settler population in the West Bank and Gaza has nearly doubled, to more than 200,000. This is an immense problem.

Two decades ago most Israelis considered the settlers to be oddballs spurred by messianism and nostalgia for the derring-do of Zionist pioneers. A few thousand and then a few tens of thousands set up cheap mobile homes on windswept hillsides and vowed to double their number. But by the early 1990's, when Mr. Sharon served as housing minister, the situation had changed radically. Aided by government subsidies and other inducements, there were more than 100,000 settlers. For Israelis, settlers were no longer zealots but ordinary fellow citizens. Suddenly their plumber or doctor or neighbor's sister was living in a big semi-detached house in a community on land captured in 1967. Many Israeli maps stopped demarcating the former border.

Today the biggest settlements are real towns, with tens of thousands of inhabitants, major access roads, neighborhoods, shopping malls, industrial parks, even a university. This is in addition to some 200,000 other Israeli Jews who live in neighborhoods of East Jerusalem also captured in 1967. Palestinians consider these to be settlements as well.

In the year that Mr. Sharon has been prime minister, some 35 new settlement outposts have been established, in contravention of his coalition agreement with the Labor Party. Opinion polls show strong Israeli public support for removal of some settlements in exchange for peace, a position embraced by previous Israeli governments. Yet Mr. Sharon refuses to consider such a move.

Mr. Sharon has said he is willing to make "painful compromises" for peace, and has called for a regional peace conference. He has welcomed the Saudi peace framework, which posits the return of all land captured in 1967 in exchange for full diplomatic ties with the Arab world. But to take out of negotiation even the most isolated settlements -- this week Mr. Sharon said Netzarim, a Gaza settlement, was the same to him as Tel Aviv -- is to undermine the possibility that following his military action, a meaningful political dialogue can begin. The Israeli public and the American government must not turn away from this painful reality. The Palestinian and Arab leadership must also realize that the longer the
Palestinians rely on terrorism and fail to return to negotiation, the harder it will be to remove these "facts on the ground."


-- Jim

This is the lead story on the front page of today's NY Times, running at the top of column 6, beneath a three-line head and four lines of subheads.

---
CRAWFORD, Tex., April 25 -- Crown Prince Abdullah of Saudi Arabia told President Bush bluntly today that the United States must temper its support for Israel or face grave consequences throughout the Arab world, Saudi officials said.

In several sessions lasting five hours at the president's central Texas ranch, the crown prince told Mr. Bush that if the United States did not do more to stop incursions into Palestinian areas by the forces of Prime Minister Ariel Sharon, it would continue to lose credibility in the Middle East and create more instability there, the Saudi officials said.

"If Sharon is left to his own devices, he will drag the region over a cliff," Adel al-Jubeir, the foreign policy adviser to the crown prince, said after the meetings between Mr. Bush and the prince. "That does not serve America's interests, and it does not serve Saudi Arabia's interests."

Mr. Bush and American officials, while they did not deny that Prince Abdullah had presented his case forcefully, offered a far more positive account of the meetings.

"One of the really positive things out of this meeting was that the crown prince and I established a strong personal bond," Mr. Bush told reporters after the meeting. "We spent a lot of time alone."

Both Mr. Bush and Mr. Jubeir said the crown prince had not threatened in any way to reduce Saudi oil exports to the United States. A person close to the prince had suggested on Wednesday that could happen if the United States continued what the Saudis view as a one-sided policy toward Israel.

Saudi Arabia is America's second-largest foreign supplier of oil, and in 2001 exported nearly 605 million barrels to the United States, or 8.5 percent of what the country consumed.

"Saudi Arabia made it clear, and has made it clear publicly, that they will not use oil as a weapon," Mr. Bush said.

Mr. Jubeir echoed the president. "Oil is not a weapon," he said to reporters here. "Oil is not a tank. You cannot fire oil."

Saudi officials also denied today a suggestion from a person close to the royal family who was quoted in The New York Times that their government might demand that the United States leave strategic military bases in Saudi Arabia if the Bush administration refuses to rein in Mr. Sharon.

Mr. Bush, who a week ago infuriated the Arab world by calling Mr. Sharon "a man of peace," said he had told Prince Abdullah that he was counting on Israel to withdraw its forces from Palestinian areas, including, he said, resolving the standoffs in Ramallah and Bethlehem.

"I made it clear to him that I expected Israel to withdraw, just like
I've made it clear to Israel," Mr. Bush said. "And we expect them to be finished. He knows my position. He also knows that I will work for peace. I will bring parties along."

"But I think he recognizes that America can't do it alone, that it's going to require a unified effort," the president added. "And one of the main things about this visit was to solidify that effort."

The meeting today seemed primarily to be a chance for the Saudis to lecture the American president, to strengthen their hand and quiet the growing unrest in their streets.

No joint statement was issued afterward, although the White House proposed one on Wednesday that was rejected by the Saudis, an official familiar with the talks said.

The Saudis objected to the United States' characterization of a peace initiative proposed by the crown prince in March, the official said. Specifically, the official said, the United States emphasized the recognition of Israel in the statement but did not include the requirement that Israel withdraw to its 1967 borders. The prince's plan calls for "normal relations" with Israel, the creation of a Palestinian state and Israel's return to its 1967 boundaries.

A Bush administration official who briefed reporters after the meeting did not explain the reason for the lack of a joint statement.

"You know, after these meetings, we sometimes have joint statements, and we sometimes don't have joint statements," the official said. "There is not going to be a joint statement for this meeting."

Administration officials said the president and the prince had discussed the idea of an international peace conference, but had not come to a conclusion.

"We haven't made any decision about whether we think an international conference makes sense now," the administration official said. "Any such conference would have be to very well prepared."

Saudi officials appeared skeptical about the idea, particularly given Mr. Sharon's isolation of Yasir Arafat, the Palestinian leader, in his compound in Ramallah, and Mr. Sharon's refusal to have Mr. Arafat attend an Arab League meeting in March.

"You can't have a peace conference if Sharon gets to decide who attends and who doesn't attend," Mr. Jubeir said. "That's not a peace conference. That's not going to fly."

Mr. Bush and Prince Abdullah also discussed American proposals to remove Saddam Hussein from power in Iraq, American and Saudi officials said, offering no details of the conversation.

"The president, once again, noted that Saddam Hussein and his efforts to acquire weapons of mass destruction are a threat to the region," the administration official said. He added: "The Saudis clearly understand the dangers from Saddam Hussein. They live in his neighborhood. They know what kind of regime that is."
Mr. Jubeir said after the meeting that the United States strategy for removing Mr. Hussein was not fully developed. "We do not believe the policy of the administration has been finalized," he said.

But he nonetheless said Saudi Arabia would not allow the United States to use Saudi bases to stage any future attack against Iraq.

"The administration is not at the point where they would ask that question," Mr. Jubeir said. "Were they to ask that question, our response would be that it would not serve the interests of the U.S. and it would not serve the interests of the region."

Prince Abdullah arrived this morning at the airport in Waco, Tex., where he was greeted by Secretary of State Colin L. Powell, and was then driven for 50 minutes to Mr. Bush's 1,600-acre ranch.

The prince was 10 minutes late in arriving at the ranch, where reporters could see the president in the breezeway of the house shifting from foot to foot like an anxious host.

The president and the prince met for two hours in the morning, and spent part of that time one-on-one, administration officials said. Afterward, Mr. Bush gave him a tour of the ranch in his pickup truck.

"He's a man who's got a farm and he understands the land, and I really took great delight in being able to drive him around in a pickup truck and showing him the trees and my favorite spots," Mr. Bush said. "And we saw a wild turkey, which was good."

Afterward, the two had a lunch of beef tenderloin, potato salad, brownies and ice cream. The lunch broke up after 3:30 p.m., more than an hour after it was scheduled to end.


---------------------------------------------------------------------------
Copyright 2002 The New York Times Company
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

*****

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Fri Apr 26 07:11:34 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3QEBYe20952 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002
07:11:34 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id HAAO2136 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 07:11:36 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3QE9xQ00237 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 07:09:59 -0700
Public Voices Doubts On U.S. Mideast Role
Poll Finds Blame for Israel, Palestinians

By Richard Morin and Claudia Deane
Washington Post Staff Writers

As the Israeli military operation on the West Bank winds down, the American public is wary of seeing the United States continue to take the lead in brokering deals between the two warring sides, according to a new Washington Post-ABC News Poll.

A narrow majority -- 54 percent -- said the United States should stand aside and let Israel and the Palestinian Authority take the lead role in crafting a peace agreement. Six in 10 say they want Israel to negotiate directly with Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat to end the current conflict -- a move rejected by Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon.

A majority also fault both sides for failing to control the bloodshed that has enveloped the region in recent months, the survey found. Most Americans blame Israel for not doing enough to prevent Palestinian civilian casualties during its military incursion into the West Bank and Gaza Strip. But an even larger majority fault Arafat for not doing more to end the wave of terrorist attacks against Israeli citizens.

In question after question, the poll suggests the American public is frustrated and largely confused about what, if anything, the United States can or should do to bring Israel and the Palestinians closer to peace. Many Americans doubt that either side is truly serious about reaching an agreement.

The survey also suggests that the Bush administration will get little guidance from the public as it plots its next move in the Middle East. On the one hand, Bush would appear to have a relatively free hand in setting policy. On the other hand, most Americans agree that the United States has a "vital interest" in the Israeli-Palestinian situation. That
suggests the public could punish the administration if the conflict worsens.

"I don't know that there can be a resolution at this time. I think they both are so set in what they want, and it's so opposite," said Paula Schapp, 34, a homemaker who lives in Tulsa. "I am pretty open to see what [the Bush administration] tries next, because I don't know what I would do if I was in control."

"I think we should be a little bit more aggressive," said Cruz Castro, 45, a construction worker in Sacramento. "The U.S. has already put itself up on the table as a leader for peace. So it has to get involved . . . it can't lay back and watch these two countries rip each other apart."

The survey found that many Americans question the motives of both Israel and the Palestinian Authority. More than four in 10 -- 43 percent -- believe Israel's goal is to seize control of the West Bank and Gaza. But the public was equally suspicious of Arafat and the Palestinian Authority: 43 percent said the goal of the Palestinians was to "destroy the state of Israel."

These mixed, ambivalent views also are reflected in the public's evaluation of Secretary of State Colin L. Powell's trip last week to the Middle East. Barely four in 10 said Powell's trip improved the prospects of peace, while half said it did not.

But few blame Powell or President Bush for the mission's failure. Among those who felt no progress was made, the overwhelming majority blamed either the Palestinians (31 percent) Israel (15 percent) or both sides equally (30 percent) rather than faulting Powell (11 percent).

Even more ambivalence is apparent when Americans are asked to look to the future. If Israel continues to defy Bush and refuses to withdraw entirely from Palestinian areas it recently occupied, about half of those interviewed said the United States should withhold military or economic aid from Israel -- but just as many disagreed.

And when asked whether the United States should give economic aid to the Palestinian Authority if it makes peace with Israel, 47 percent said yes -- and 47 percent said no.

A total of 1,207 randomly selected adults were interviewed April 18 to 21. Margin of sampling error for the overall results is plus or minus 3 percentage points.

The survey held large doses of good and bad news for the Bush administration, Israel and the Palestinian Authority.

Bush continues to enjoy the confidence of most Americans. His overall job approval rating stands at 78 percent, unchanged in the past month. Seven in 10 approve of the way he is handling foreign affairs. A smaller majority -- 57 percent -- approve of the way Bush is handling the current "situation between Israel and the Palestinians." About six in 10 respondents said the United States is doing enough to arrange a peace agreement.

But the survey contained cautions for Bush. Most Americans would prefer
that the United States take a secondary role in arranging a peace agreement. And a small majority -- 54 percent -- fear that U.S. support for Israel will hurt the broader U.S.-led war on international terrorism.

The survey also contained mixed news for Israel and the Palestinians. Americans are more sympathetic to Israel (49 percent) than to the Palestinians (14 percent). These warm feelings appear to be largely unchanged since October, when 52 percent expressed sympathy for Israel.

Most Americans also blame Palestinians more than Israel for the recent violence and said Israel was justified in sending troops into Palestinian neighborhoods and refugee camps. A majority of Americans -- 60 percent -- also said the United States should continue to support Israel at current levels, while 16 percent said the support should be increased. Still, there has been some erosion: The proportion who said the United States should reduce support for Israel has increased from 13 percent to 21 percent since October.

Six in 10 fault Israel for failing to do enough to avoid civilian casualties during its three-week-old military incursion into the West Bank and Gaza. But nine in 10 Americans said Arafat "can do more" to end terrorist attacks against Israel -- and three in four said Arafat was responsible for the attacks.

The survey found that support for a Palestinian state has increased 13 percentage points to 68 percent since early October. Even among those who were more sympathetic to Israel, 63 percent said the United States should formally grant the Palestinians recognition as an independent nation.
ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE CHRISTINE MIRZAYAN
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY INTERNSHIP PROGRAM
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES, WASHINGTON, D.C.

This Internship Program of the National Academies--consisting of the National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, Institute of Medicine, and National Research Council--is designed to engage graduate and postdoctoral students in science and technology policy and to familiarize them with the interactions among science, technology, and government. As a result, students--in the fields of science, engineering, medicine, veterinary medicine, business, and law--develop essential skills different from those attained in academia, which will help them make the transition from being a graduate student to a professional.

We are pleased to announce a new fall 2002 program session.

Beyond its current summer and winter sessions. The fall 2002 program will take place from September 9 until November 27, 2002.

To apply, candidates should submit an application and request their mentor fill out a reference form. Both are available on the Web at http://national-academies.org/internship. The deadline for receipt of materials is June 1. Additional details about the program and how to join our mailing list are also available on the Web site. Questions should be directed to: internship@nas.edu.

Here is what four former interns said about the program:

"This is an important career building opportunity for people interested in the scientific community outside academia. Even if you plan to pursue a tr="
additional academic track, seeing science from a policy perspective is very enlightening. There is something valuable in this experience for first year grad students to recent PhD's. Come with an open mind and expect to learn more than you bargained for."

"The National Academies Internship has been one of the most valuable life experiences I have had thus far. The scope of the influence of the Academies in helping shape science, medical and engineering related policy is amazing to witness. Through this internship, I have learned more about my work as a social scientist than I imagined, and I have a better sense of how my research can relate to public policy."

"The Internship program provides an exceptional opportunity for scientists to explore various facets of scholarly research and policymaking. As an intern, you will work with an eclectic mix of highly educated, diverse intellectuals who help advance the future of science. You will leave not only armed with important and influential contacts but also with invaluable skills and experiences."

"This program will open your mind to a world rarely envisioned from the confines of laboratory bench work. I learned an immeasurable amount about the policy and politics behind science and after the internship opens your mind, it opens career doors."

="
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>From Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk Fri Apr 26 07:44:05 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
id g3QEi5e23739 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002
07:44:05 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from mail4.gsi.gov.uk (gateway1.gsi.gov.uk [194.6.79.172])
by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
id HAA18055 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 07:44:04 -0700 (PDT)
From: Iain.NOBLE@dfes.gsi.gov.uk
Received: from mail.dfee.gov.uk (mail1.dfee.gov.uk [51.64.32.66])
by mail4.gsi.gov.uk (BLOBBY/BLOBBY) with SMTP id g3QEvwv518385
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 15:43:57 +0100 (BST)
And for those interested in this who have not seen Tony Judt's recent NYRB article (and they should) here's the URL for that:
http://www.nybooks.com/articles/15340

Iain Noble
DfES - AS: YFE5
Moorfoot W609

0114 259 1180

> -----Original Message-----
> From: James Beniger [mailto:beniger@rcf-fs.usc.edu]
> Sent: 26 April 2002 14:23
> To: AAPORNET
> Subject: Israel's Historic Miscalculation (lead editorial, NYTimes)
> >
> > What follows is the unsigned lead editorial on the "Editorials" page
> > of this morning's New York Times.
> >
> > POLLING ABSTRACT
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Business Week/Harris Poll: A Growing Threat

Concern is rising over privacy on the Net, with a clear majority -- 57%-- now favoring some sort of laws regulating how personal information is collected and used. Regulation may become essential to continued growth in e-commerce, since 41% of online shoppers say they are very concerned over the use of personal information, up from 31% two years ago. Perhaps more telling, among people who go online but have not shopped there, 63% are very concerned. Note: This is a longer, online-only version of the poll that appears in the Mar. 20 issue.

MORE INVASIONS OF PRIVACY
Have you personally ever been the victim of what you felt was an improper invasion of privacy, or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have been victim</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have not been victim</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMPUTER USE KEEPS RISING...

Do you personally use a computer at home?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Do not use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 2000</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1999</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 1997</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...AND SO DO TRIPS TO CYBERSPACE

If you use a computer at home, do you use it to access the Internet?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Do not use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 2000</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1999</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 1997</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECURITY CONCERNS ARE HIGHEST FOR E-MAIL

If you go online from home, work, or another location, how concerned are you that the content of various forms of communication will be read or overheard by some other person or organization without your knowledge or consent?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Some-what</th>
<th>Not Very</th>
<th>Not At All</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By telephone Mar. 2000</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using U.S. Mail Mar. 2000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By fax Mar. 2000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By E-mail through the Internet Mar. 2000</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STRONG INTERNET SHOPPING GROWTH

If you go online from home, work, or another location, have you ever used the Internet, the Web, or online service to purchase anything?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have purchased</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have not purchased</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ONLINE BUYERS DREAD JUNK MAIL

If you have made online purchases, how concerned are you about each of these possibilities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Not Very</th>
<th>Not At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The company you buy from uses personal information you provide to send you unwanted information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2000</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The company or one of its employees uses your credit-card information to make purchases without your consent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2000</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the course of the transaction, your credit-card information is made accessible to others who might use it without your consent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2000</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NONBUYERS WORRY ABOUT PRIVACY AND FRAUD

If you go online but have not purchased anything, how concerned would you be about each of these possibilities would you be if you were to buy anything?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Not Very</th>
<th>Not At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The company you buy from uses personal information you provide to send you unwanted information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2000</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The company or one of its employees uses your credit-card information to make purchases without your consent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the course of the transaction, your credit-card information is made accessible to others who might use it without your consent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MORE SURFERS ARE REGISTERING AT SITES

Some companies request that visitors to their Web sites "register" by providing personal information. If you go online and are asked to register, how often do you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most of the time</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DON'T EAT THIS "COOKIE"

If you use a computer, have you ever heard of an online technology known as "cookies"?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you have heard of cookies, which of the following best describes your understanding of what they are?

Files downloaded onto your computer that track your online habits | 75
A hacker who breaks the security of private computer systems | 5
The telephone number used to dial into an online service | 4
The place where e-mail is stored indefinitely | 3
Don't know | 12

If you have heard of cookies, how often do you set your computer to reject them?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NAMES SHOULDN'T BE CONNECTED WITH DATA

Some Web sites track personal information to match users with products and services that meet their needs. Other Web sites profit by sharing or selling user information to other organizations. If you use the Internet, how comfortable would you be if a Web site did the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Comfortable</th>
<th>Somewhat Comfortable</th>
<th>Not Very Comfortable</th>
<th>Not At All Comfortable</th>
<th>Not Sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tracked your movements when you browsed the site, but didn't tie that information to your name or real-world identity</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merged your browsing habits and shopping patterns into a profile that was linked to your real name and identity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Created a profile of you that included your real name and identity as well as additional personal information such as your income, driver's license, credit data, and medical status</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How comfortable would you be if a Web site did the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Comfortable</th>
<th>Somewhat Comfortable</th>
<th>Not Very Comfortable</th>
<th>Not At All Comfortable</th>
<th>Not Sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shared your information with other organizations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sold your information to other organizations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared information so you could be tracked on multiple Web sites</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MORE PRIVACY NOTICES, PLEASE

If you go online, when you visit Web sites, have you ever seen a privacy notice or other explanation of how personal information collected by that site will be used?

Yes  55
No  43
Don't know 2

If you have seen a privacy notice, how often do you read the information contained in the privacy notice?

Always 35
Sometimes 42
Rarely 18
Never 4

If you have seen a privacy notice, how important is it that the site you are visiting displays a notice and explains how your personal information will be used?

Absolutely essential 35
Very important 40
Somewhat important 21
Not very important 2
Not at all important 1

A PRIVACY GUARANTEE WOULD HELP

If you go online, to what extent would a policy that explicitly guarantees the security of your personal information encourage you to do the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A lot</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Not All</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use the Internet more in general</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2000</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A lot</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Not All</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Register on that Web site, providing personal information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A lot</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Not All</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase products or service from that company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2000</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If a company posts a privacy policy on its Web site, to what extent do you trust that company to follow the policy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust completely</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust somewhat</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't trust at all</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GIVEN A CHOICE, MOST WOULD "OPT OUT"...
If privacy notices allowed you to "opt out," letting you choose not to have your personal information collected by a particular Web site, how often would you "opt out"?

Always... 56
Sometimes... 34
Rarely... 4
Never... 6

...AND EVEN MORE WOULD LIKE AN "OPT IN" POLICY

In the future, consumers may be given more control over how their personal information is collected by Web sites -- you may be given the chance to "opt in" to data collection. How often would you like a Web site to ask your permission before doing the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Don't Know</th>
<th>All the Time</th>
<th>Occasion-ally</th>
<th>Only the First Time</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name, address, phone number</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail address</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browsing habits or shopping patterns</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic information, i.e., age, gender, race</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical information</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial information</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, how often would you like a Web site to ask your permission before it shares your personal information with others?

All the time... 88
Occasionally... 2
Only the first time you log on to the site... 5
Never... 4

A MAJORITY OF ALL PEOPLE POLLED FAVOR NEW LAWS

Here are three ways that the government could approach Internet privacy issues. Which one of these three do you think would be best at this stage of Internet development?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government should let groups develop voluntary privacy standards, but</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
not take any action now unless real problems arise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>15</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The government should recommend privacy standards for the Internet, but not pass laws at this time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>21</th>
<th>23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The government should pass laws now for how personal information can be collected and used on the Internet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>57</th>
<th>53</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one of the above</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Telephone survey of 1,014 adults between Mar. 2 and Mar. 6 by Harris Interactive. Except where noted, don't know and refused not included.

*Less than 0.5%

http://www.businessweek.com/2000/00_12/b3673010.htm

National Survey of Physicians: Doctors on Their Profession

As part of the Kaiser Family Foundation's biennial National Survey of Physicians, the Foundation examined doctors' views of their profession. The survey found that most physicians (87%) say the overall morale of physicians has decreased in the last five years. About six in ten say their own enthusiasm for practicing medicine has lessened over the same time period. Most doctors are satisfied with the continuity of their relationships with their patients (84%), professional challenges (79%) and current incomes (57%), but they are dissatisfied with the amount of work hours spent on administration compared with patient care (74%), the time they have for nonprofessional interests, family and friends (56%), autonomy in clinical decisions (54%) and their future income prospects (53%).

The survey also found that more than four in 10 physicians say they would not recommend the practice of medicine to a young person today. Doctors' willingness to recommend medicine has changed little since 1981, when the Kaiser Family Foundation asked physicians a similar question.

The majority of doctors (76%) say that managed care has at least somewhat negatively affected the way they practice medicine -- and doctors are more likely now than they were in 1999 to believe this strongly (41% vs. 25% in 1999). Doctors do believe managed care has had a positive effect on the use of practice guidelines and preventive care.

The National Survey of Physicians is based on a nationally representative random sample of 2,608 physicians. The complete survey results will be released later in 2002.

For more detail on these findings and on doctors' opinions about health policy priorities, see the highlights and chartpack at http://www.kff.org/content/2002/20020426c/.

If you have questions, please contact Jennifer Webber at 650.854.9400 or e-mail jwebber@kff.org
http://opinionjournal.com/columnists/dhenninger/?id=105001987
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Polls to Be Proud Of

On the Mideast, America is right and the rest of the world is wrong.

BY DANIEL HENNINGER

The people of the United States should take solace, even pride, in the fact that their views on the violence in the Middle East are completely at odds with the opinions of the United Nations, the continent of Europe and most of their own media. Since early April, various opinion polls have been asking Americans essentially the same questions about the blood-stained standoff between Israel and the Palestinians. Their responses, excerpted here, have held remarkably steady throughout, with answers that make clear they understand the meaning of terror and do so in numbers that make the "margin of error" irrelevant.

The polling question that most strikes me asks whether people believe Israel's actions against Arafat and his army is the same as the U.S.'s against bin Laden and al Qaeda. Some 59% say it is. Since last year when Yasser Arafat allowed the girding of young Palestinians with explosives who were sent to exterminate Jews in packed discotheques, markets and other public places, Americans have overwhelmingly concluded: This is
terror. This is the conscious, mass murder of innocent civilians for political ends. It is the anti-civilization that we Americans perceive as a mortal threat and have committed ourselves to defeat.

To be sure, if you excavate the entire poll you also find support for the U.S. recognizing a Palestinian state (68%). Even this may be seen as American common sense, for the fact is that the intifada, for years, has been driven by the most radical, rejectionist Palestinian factions who even now summarily execute Palestinian "collaborators" just as 20 years ago they murdered, maimed and silenced any voice of Palestinian moderation.

Sitting home at night, watching the news on U.S. television or C-SPAN's airing of the BBC, Americans who hold these views of the events in Israel must wonder if they're living in some alternative reality. This past week, amid the constant images of Jenin's rubble and elderly men and wailing women in scarves, came word that Amnesty International, the Red Cross and an arm of the U.N. were accusing the Israelis of "human rights abuses." The U.N. Security Council put through an Arab-sponsored resolution to investigate the fighting in Jenin, a place that in fact has been the West Bank's version of the Star Wars bar, the primary haunt and collection point for the most extreme Palestinian gunmen and suicide planners.

In the otherworldly moral calculus of post World War II Europe and much media--which these polls suggest is beyond the ken of most Americans--self-evident atrocities such as the Passover suicide bombing are mere stories in the wreckage of the news. But a military counter-strike is a human rights abuse. We have arrived at a point in international affairs at which the degraded concept of moral equivalence would be a step toward the sunshine.

It may well be true that Americans born after World War II lost their innocence about the world on September 11, but how fortunate that when this nation is attacked and finds itself in a long, grim war with an enemy dedicated to killing civilians, its people are not so easily diverted by the kind of casuistry, salami-slicing, needle-dancing, opportunism and moral myopia that has gripped the world's opinion-shaping institutions.

The White House, meanwhile, presumably worried about its Middle Eastern allies, has over this period taken its policy through a series of flip-flops, sent Colin Powell on a mission to Arafat that about half the people polled called a failure, and yesterday took instruction in Texas on the tender sensibilities of our nominal allies from Crown Prince Abdullah of Saudi Arabia. One would hope that Mr. Bush knows that his most steadfast allies in the war on terror are the same people he asked for support on September 20.

The war against global terror is surely far from over, and these are still dangerous times. But what the American people are discovering about themselves bodes well.

-------

Jim & Others, I'm puzzled by the increasing transmission via AAPORNET of articles from the NYTimes, WPost, and other similar sites, especially when they have little or nothing to do with public opinion or survey research. It's not because I have any objection to either those sites or their content (I read them myself almost every day), but I assume they are very easily reached and at no cost by anyone who is interested and uses the internet. (Please let me know if I am wrong about that.) So why do we need to receive them also as messages? This does not apply to more exotic sites that have relevant information we might otherwise miss (say, an interpretation not readily available of the recent French election results), but in the case of the Times, Post, and such sites, this seems entirely unnecessary, and it does add to the density of messages on AAPORNET.  Puzzled, Howard

Subject: Israel's Historic Miscalculation (lead editorial, NYTimes)
Date:
A team here at Rutgers is researching the impact of community interviewers (defined as social service agency employees – i.e., case managers, outreach workers) on securing in-person interview follow-up response among clients who have enrolled in HIV prevention programs in New Jersey.

We hypothesized that community identity would have a positive effect on response rates; however, our results show differently: community interviewers are less likely to be successful in obtaining follow-ups.

Does anybody have any ideas (citations) that would possibly explain this negative relationship?

Brian H. Roff  M.A.
Research Associate
Center for Public Interest Polling
Eagleton Institute of Politics
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
(732) 932-9384 Ext. 242
(732) 932-1551 (fax)
I second that. I already read news online. It's one thing getting posts about the results of polls and surveys (e.g., the one about internet security attitudes) and it's another to receive editorials. "Opinion pieces" are not "opinion research." I almost unsubscribed when I saw that except I wasn't sure where I saved instructions on how to unsubscribe.
leora

-----Original Message-----
From: Howard Schuman
To: aapor
Sent: 4/26/02 9:44 AM
Subject: Puzzled

Jim & Others, I'm puzzled by the increasing transmission via AAPORNET of articles from the NYTimes, WPost, and other similar sites, especially when they have little or nothing to do with public opinion or survey research. It's not because I have any objection to either those sites or their content (I read them myself almost every day), but I assume they are very easily reached and at no cost by anyone who is interested and uses the internet. (Please let me know if I am wrong about that.) So why do we need to receive them also as messages? This does not apply to more exotic sites that have relevant information we might otherwise miss (say, an interpretation not readily available of the recent French election results), but in the case of the Times, Post, and such sites, this seems entirely unnecessary, and it does add to the density of messages on AAPORNET. Puzzled, Howard
Israel's Historic Miscalculation (lead editorial, NYTimes)

Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 06:23:13 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf-fs.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>

>From wlester@ap.org Fri Apr 26 10:05:32 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/uscd)
   id g3QH5Ve04694 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002
10:05:31 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from APRelay1.ap.org (APRelay1.ap.org [165.1.59.99])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/uscd) with ESMT
   id KAA07073 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 10:05:33 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from ctcmaill1.ap.org ([165.1.22.88])
   by APRelay1.ap.org (Lotus Domino Release 5.0.9a)
   with ESMT id 2002042613035822:23837; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 13:03:58 -0400
Received: from ap.org ([165.1.68.238])
   by ctcmaill1.ap.org (Lotus Domino Release 5.0.9a)
   with ESMT id 2002042613035587:13060; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 13:03:55 -0400
Message-ID: <3CC9897F.3B3C5F62@ap.org>
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 13:08:15 -0400
From: Will Lester <wlester@ap.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.79 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: hschuman@umich.edu
CC: aapor <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Re: Puzzled
References: <3CC983DE.5E4E2CE1@umich.edu>
X-MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on CTCmail1/TheAP(Release 5.0.9a |January 7,
2002) at
04/26/2002 01:03:56 PM,
   Serialize by Router on CTCmail1/TheAP(Release 5.0.9a |January 7, 2002)
at
04/26/2002 01:03:57 PM,
   Serialize complete at 04/26/2002 01:03:57 PM,
   Itemize by SMTP Server on APRelay1/TheAP(Release 5.0.9a |January 7,
2002) at
04/26/2002 01:03:58 PM,
   Serialize by Router on APRelay1/TheAP(Release 5.0.9a |January 7, 2002)
at
04/26/2002 01:04:00 PM,
   Serialize complete at 04/26/2002 01:04:00 PM
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

howard:

I can see why you might not want offerings unrelated to public opinion, but any that add some insight to public opinion are quite interesting,
in my opinion. they can always be deleted.

will lester

Howard Schuman wrote:

> Jim & Others, I'm puzzled by the increasing transmission via AAPORNET of articles from the NYTimes, WPost, and other similar sites, especially when they have little or nothing to do with public opinion or survey research. It's not because I have any objection to either those sites or their content (I read them myself almost every day), but I assume they are very easily reached and at no cost by anyone who is interested and uses the internet. (Please let me know if I am wrong about that.) So why do we need to receive them also as messages? This does not apply to more exotic sites that have relevant information we might otherwise miss (say, an interpretation not readily available of the recent French election results), but in the case of the Times, Post, and such sites, this seems entirely unnecessary, and it does add to the density of messages on AAPORNET. Puzzled, Howard

> Subject: Israel's Historic Miscalculation (lead editorial, NYTimes)
> Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 06:23:13 -0700 (PDT)
> From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf-fs.usc.edu>
> To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Fri Apr 26 10:09:12 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3QH9Ce05058 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 10:09:12
   -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id KAA10506; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 10:09:12 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
   by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3QH7XJ17332; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 10:07:33 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 10:07:33 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: Howard Schuman <hschuman@umich.edu>
cc: aapor <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Re: Puzzled
In-Reply-To: <3CC983DE.5E4E2CE1@umich.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204260942180.11337-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Howard,

The reason why what you describe here is commonly practiced on most Internet lists--especially larger ones--is to provide list members
with common topics to discuss among themselves, whether on the list or individually. Because many postings generate discussions between just two list members, or a small number of them, no one of us has any very good idea just what the overall list response might be, to any particular posting.

In short, AAPORNET is simultaneously a mass medium, a private network for communication among friends and colleagues (much like a conference call, for example), and often also an inspiration for interpersonal communication, as I am in fact now writing directly to you, in my message here. Because this is also a reply to your posting to our entire list, however, and not just to me alone, I am also sharing my reply to you with the rest of the list, thus making my message to you also a mass communication.

At the Faculty Club, don't you bring up items in the New York Times with colleagues and friends, even though you know that those same items landed in their own driveways or on their own front porches, about the same time that they landed in or on your own?

Reading is one thing, and discussion quite another, as I'm sure you would agree.

-- Jim

******

On Fri, 26 Apr 2002, Howard Schuman wrote:

> Jim & Others, I'm puzzled by the increasing transmission via AAPORNET of articles from the NYTimes, WPost, and other similar sites, especially when they have little or nothing to do with public opinion or survey research. It's not because I have any objection to either those sites or their content (I read them myself almost every day), but I assume they are very easily reached and at no cost by anyone who is interested and uses the internet. (Please let me know if I am wrong about that.) So why do we need to receive them also as messages? This does not apply to more exotic sites that have relevant information we might otherwise miss (say, an interpretation not readily available of the recent French election results), but in the case of the Times, Post, and such sites, this seems entirely unnecessary, and it does add to the density of messages on AAPORNET. Puzzled, Howard

---

Subject: Israel's Historic Miscalculation (lead editorial, NYTimes)
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 06:23:13 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf-fs.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
I agree. I really don't look to AAPORNET for news that is easily found.

-----Original Message-----
From: Howard Schuman [SMTP:hschuman@umich.edu]
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2002 12:44 PM
To: aapor
Subject: RE: Puzzled

Jim & Others, I'm puzzled by the increasing transmission via AAPORNET of articles from the NYTimes, WPost, and other similar sites, especially when they have little or nothing to do with public opinion or survey research. It's not because I have any objection to either those sites or their content (I read them myself almost every day), but I assume they are very easily reached and at no cost by anyone who is interested and uses the internet. (Please let me know if I am wrong about that.) So why do we need to receive them also as messages? This does not apply to more exotic sites that have relevant information we might otherwise miss (say, an interpretation not readily available of the recent French election results), but in the case of the Times, Post, and such sites, this seems entirely unnecessary, and it does add to the density of messages on AAPORNET. Puzzled, Howard
Brian, many years ago I conducted by phone a sensitive survey to estimate the extent of spousal abuse. The interviewing was conducted from New York City. The sample was composed of adult women in Kentucky.

Our reported abuse rates were considerably higher than the "official statistics."

Anecdotally, in monitoring a number of interviews, I became convinced that our respondents felt more comfortable talking to interviewers who were "remote" from them. These abused women shared many confidences with "strangers" that they had not divulged to anyone else, particularly no one in their community. We asked follow-up questions about whether they had divulged their abusive experience with anyone else.

Many of those abused had not discussed it with anyone. The interviewers' anonymity seemed to encourage these "confessions."
I never did have the opportunity to test this hypothesis about interviewer anonymity. I'd be interested if anyone has empirically tested it. The work done by Turner, et. al. (Science, May, 1998) using audio-CASI may be related to this. Audio-CASI preserves confidentiality. In matched samples, the audio-CASI respondents reported higher rates of various sensitive behaviors than did respondents interviewed using traditional face-to-face methods. Traditional face-to-face interviewers are usually drawn from the communities in which they are working. Hence, they are "locals." Respondents may feel that they are divulging sensitive information to "neighbors," who will not necessarily preserve their confidentiality.

Of course, we should not assume that higher reporting rates represent more valid measurements, though one suspects that the higher rates are more accurate.

Mark Schulman

>>> Brian Roff <bhroff@rci.rutgers.edu> 04/26 12:56 PM >>>
A team here at Rutgers is researching the impact of community interviewers (defined as social service agency employees - i.e., case managers, outreach workers) on securing in-person interview follow-up response among clients who have enrolled in HIV prevention programs in New Jersey.

We hypothesized that community identity would have a positive effect on response rates; however, our results show differently: community interviewers are less likely to be successful in obtaining follow-ups.

Does anybody have any ideas (citations) that would possibly explain this negative relationship?

Brian H. Roff  M.A.
Research Associate
Center for Public Interest Polling
Eagleton Institute of Politics
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
(732) 932-9384 Ext. 242
(732) 932-1551 (fax)
http://eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu
I share Howard's concern. Last February I posted similar thoughts in response to the "stampede out of here" thread that appeared after a flurry of people trying to unsubscribe from AAPORNet:

I know the old saying, "If you don't want to read it, just DELETE it." I will continue to do just that. But I think members need to realize there may be a hidden cost to the growing number of lengthy posts of interest to only a few: others get tired of wading through the chaff and decide to leave.

At 12:44 PM 4/26/02 -0400, Howard Schuman wrote:
>Jim & Others, I'm puzzled by the increasing transmission via AAPORNET of articles from the NYT, NYTimes, WPost, and other similar sites, especially when they have little or nothing to do with public opinion or survey research. It's not because I have any objection to either those sites or their content (I read them myself almost every day), but I assume they are very easily reached and at no cost by anyone who is interested and uses the internet. (Please let me know if I am wrong about that.) So why do we need to receive them also as messages? This does not apply to more exotic sites that have relevant information we might otherwise miss (say, an interpretation not readily available of the recent French election results), but in the case of the Times, Post, and such sites, this seems entirely unnecessary, and it does add to the density of messages on AAPORNet. Puzzled, Howard

Subject: Israel's Historic Miscalculation (lead editorial, NYTimes)
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 06:23:13 -0700 (PDT)
I work on the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey, a
continuous nation-wide RDD telephone health survey. We would like to add a
question or two on interruption of telephone service. We have found one
pair of questions that asks if a household has been without telephone
service for 1 week or more in the past 12 months and, if so, for how long
the household was without telephone service. Are there any alternative
questions that we should consider?

Thanks.

Peter Mariolis, Ph.D. *** Survey Methodologist
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
Division of Adult and Community Health
Behavioral Surveillance Branch
Mailstop K66, 4770 Buford Highway NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30341-3717
Voice: 770-488-2491 *** Fax: 770-488-8150 *** Email: PMariolis@cdc.gov
Web: www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/brfss

>/From awhite@nas.edu Fri Apr 26 13:41:20 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
 id g3QjPPbe13308 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002
13:41:20
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from mcdc-us-smtp3.cdc.gov (mcdc-us-smtp3.cdc.gov [198.246.97.19])
by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
 id MAA28927 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 12:15:37 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from mcdc-us-ims.cdc.gov (MCDC-US-IMS [158.111.6.56]) by
mcdc-us-smtp3.cdc.gov with SMTP (Microsoft Exchange Internet Mail Service
Version 5.5.2653.13)
 id J4CZ0YXB; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 15:10:53 -0400
Received: by MCDC-US-IMS with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
 id <J4H9JYZK>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 15:14:50 -0400
Message-ID: <064418695C4FD311BE600805FE6CE2A083E25EC@mcdc-atl-66.nccd.cdc.gov>
From: "Mariolis, Peter" <pxml@cdc.gov>
To: "AAPORNET (E-mail)" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Interruption of Telephone Service Question(s)
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 15:14:50 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"

I work on the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey, a
continuous nation-wide RDD telephone health survey. We would like to add a
question or two on interruption of telephone service. We have found one
pair of questions that asks if a household has been without telephone
service for 1 week or more in the past 12 months and, if so, for how long
the household was without telephone service. Are there any alternative
questions that we should consider?

Thanks.

Peter Mariolis, Ph.D. *** Survey Methodologist
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
Division of Adult and Community Health
Behavioral Surveillance Branch
Mailstop K66, 4770 Buford Highway NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30341-3717
Voice: 770-488-2491 *** Fax: 770-488-8150 *** Email: PMariolis@cdc.gov
Web: www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/brfss

>/From awhite@nas.edu Fri Apr 26 13:41:20 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
The Committee on National Statistics cordially welcomes you to attend its Spring Seminar:

CENSUS CROSSROADS: The Decision on the 2000 Census Adjustment and Early Planning for 2010

Friday, May 10, 2002
3:00

? 4:30 p.m.
Auditorium at the National Academy of Sciences
2100 C Street, NW

A tea, from 2:30 to 3:00 p.m., will precede the afternoon session, which will begin with a discussion of recent developments in national statistics, followed by a seminar on the challenges of automating complex survey questionnaires and how statistical agencies may benefit from the comput=
er sciences to make survey automation more efficient and effective. (The seminar is based on a recent CNSTAT workshop on survey automation, which brought together leading computer scientists and survey methodologists.) The seminar will include a brief overview of why the replacement of paper questionnaires by computerized instruments---so promising in theory---can be so difficult in practice, and feature a presentation by Jesse Poore, Ericsson-Harlan D. Mills Chair in Software Engineering, University of Tennessee, on computer science tools for management, documentation, and testing of complex software. Discussion will follow the presentation. A reception will follow from 4:30-5:15 p.m. in the Members' Room.

All are welcome, but for security purposes, you must RSVP by May 3rd. To RSVP, or if you need further information, please contact Danelle Dessaint at (202) 334-3096 or email ddessain@nas.edu.

Please arrive early as parking is limited, and be prepared to show identification to enter the building. Please note that the entrance to the National Academy of Sciences building at 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW, is closed to the public.

Guests wishing to take Metro to the seminar are encouraged to take the National Academies' shuttle, which departs from the Foggy Bottom/GWU Metro station on every 30 minutes.

We look forward to seeing you on May 10.

--

From awhite@nas.edu Fri Apr 26 13:47:06 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136]) by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP id g3QKl5e21009 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 13:47:05 -0700 (PDT)
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from himalaya.nas.edu (himalaya.nas.edu [144.171.1.23]) by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP id NAA13609 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 13:47:04 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from smtpmta.nas.edu (smtpmta.nas.edu [144.171.1.40]) by himalaya.nas.edu (8.9.1/8.9.1) with SMTP id QAA18860; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 16:36:24 -0400 (EDT)
My apologies, I was told the title was incorrect but mistakenly changed the subject line of the email instead.

(Please pass on to colleagues, students, and relevant lists.)

SURVEY AUTOMATION: THE PROMISE AND THE REALITY

Friday, May 10, 2002
3:00

A tea, from 2:30 to 3:00 p.m., will precede the afternoon session, which will begin with a discussion of recent developments in national statistics, followed by a seminar on the challenges of automating complex survey questionnaires and how statistical agencies may benefit from the computer sciences to make survey automation more efficient and effective. (The seminar is based on a recent CNSTAT workshop on survey automation, which brought together leading computer scientists and survey methodologists.) The seminar will include a brief overview of why the replacement of paper questionnaires by computerized instruments—so promising in theory—can be so difficult in practice, and feature a presentation by Jesse Poore, Ericsson-Harlan D. Mills Chair in Software Engineering, University of Tennessee, on computer sci-
ence
tools for management, documentation, and testing of complex software.
Discussion will follow the presentation. A reception will follow from =
4:30-5:15
p.m. in the Members' Room.

All are welcome, but for security purposes, you must RSVP by May 3rd. =
To RSVP,
or if you need further information, please contact Danelle Dessaint at =
(202)
334-3096 or e-mail ddessain@nas.edu.

Please arrive early as parking is limited, and be prepared to show
identification to enter the building. Please note that the entrance to=
the
National Academy of Sciences building at 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW,
is closed
to the public.

Guests wishing to take Metro to the seminar are encouraged to take the =
National
Academies' shuttle, which departs from the Foggy Bottom/GWU Metro stati=
on every
30 minutes.

We look forward to seeing you on May 10.

--0__=i6uzgP55dNs0byeTUZDaGyu9KB38mVQ1U9v5lTvHD1I5wjRjsxjQXy6K--
>From llawton@informative.com Fri Apr 26 14:48:10 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
id g3QLm9e26835 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002
14:48:09
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from sfrexch.cahoots.com ([63.83.135.211])
by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTMP
id OAA03371 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 14:48:04 -0700
(PDT)
Received: by SFREXCH with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
id <JN8JNN5J>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 13:47:02 -0800
Message-ID: <6FFA5AEBCD9ED311861A00508B0E71FB01A741280SFREXCH>
From: Leora Lawton <llawton@informative.com>
To: "'aapornet@usc.edu'" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: re: puzzled
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 13:47:01 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain

I second what Howard Schuman said. I already read news online. It's one
thing getting posts about the results of polls and surveys (e.g., the one
about internet security attitudes) and it's another to receive editorials.
"Opinion pieces" are not "opinion research." I almost unsubscribed when I
saw that except I wasn't sure where I saved instructions on how to
State of AAPORNET Report

As of this evening, Friday, April 26, 2002, our humble Internet list, AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>, has an automatically machine-recorded total of 1,077 subscribed members.

Born on Tuesday, May 30, 1995, to serve the AAPOR Conference Committee then meeting online to plan AAPOR’s 40th Anniversary Conference, AAPORNET is just now approaching its 7th birthday.

Its 1,077 current members distribute across 22 different Internet domains, with just five -- .edu, .com, .org, .gov, and .net -- accounting for more than 95 percent of all members (just .edu and .com alone account for 77.3 percent of those on AAPORNET).

-----------------------------------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rank</th>
<th>domain</th>
<th>members</th>
<th>pct</th>
<th>cum</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.edu</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.com</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.org</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.gov</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.net</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>1,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>.ca</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>1,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>.de</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>1,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t8</td>
<td>.nl</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t8</td>
<td>.uk</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>1,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t8</td>
<td>.us</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>1,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Domain</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>CPS</td>
<td>Rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t11</td>
<td>.il</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>1,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t11</td>
<td>.se</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>1,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t11</td>
<td>.tw</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>.nz</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>1,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t15</td>
<td>.au</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>1,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t15</td>
<td>.be</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>1,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t15</td>
<td>.br</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>1,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t15</td>
<td>.fr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>1,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t15</td>
<td>.it</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>1,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t15</td>
<td>.mil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>1,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t15</td>
<td>.mx</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>1,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t15</td>
<td>.ua</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1,077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

-- Jim

******

>From tenor@one.net Fri Apr 26 23:07:25 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
 by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/uscd) with ESMTP
 id g3R67Pe14175 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002
23:07:25
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from one.net (IDENT:qmailr@newmail1.one.net [216.23.22.181])
 by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/uscd) with SMTP
 id XAA21831 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 26 Apr 2002 23:07:24 -0700
(PDT)
Received: (qmail 9235 invoked by uid 0); 27 Apr 2002 06:06:29 -0000
Received: from unknown (HELO one.net) (216.23.51.97)
 by newmail1.one.net with SMTP; 27 Apr 2002 06:06:29 -0000
Message-ID: <3CCA3EBA.7A1D00F0@one.net>
Date: Sat, 27 Apr 2002 02:01:30 -0400
From: Bill Thompson <tenor@one.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: [Fwd: Puzzled]
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
 boundary="------------1807FBCDD504C1F6818BA4D8"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
------------1807FBCDD504C1F6818BA4D8
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I am forwarding my direct response to Howard Schuman to AAPORNET at his
request.

Bill Thompson
------------1807FBCDD504C1F6818BA4D8
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Hi,

The British social psychologist Argyle did interesting research that might be theoretically helpful.

He discovered that each person has his/her 'personal space' and uses nonverbal cues (eye contact, body language, etc) to invite others in etc. One thing he experimented on was distance, eye contact and topic. When discussing more sensitive things people need more 'distance' hence the easier talking to strangers, or on the phone. Argyle & Dean 91965, Sociometry, 28) also did some nice experiments: when the physical distance between people remains the same (say in an interview) and the topic becomes more sensitive, they start avoiding eye-contact (making the psychological distance greater).

I therefore always instruct CAPI interviewers to look at the keyboard when sensitive topics are discussed, thereby offering the respondents more 'personal space'.

Best, Edith de Leeuw

At 02:35 PM 4/26/02 -0400, you wrote:
>Brian, many years ago I conducted by phone a sensitive survey to estimate
>the extent of spousal abuse. The interviewing was conducted from New York
Our reported abuse rates were considerably higher than the "official statistics." Anecdotally, in monitoring a number of interviews, I became convinced that our respondents felt more comfortable talking to interviewers who were "remote" from them. These abused women shared many confidences with "strangers" that they had not divulged to anyone else, particularly no one in their community. We asked follow-up questions about whether they had divulged their abusive experience with anyone else. Many of those abused had not discussed it with anyone. The interviewers' anonymity seemed to encourage these "confessions."

I never did have the opportunity to test this hypothesis about interviewer anonymity. I'd be interested if anyone has empirically tested it. The work done by Turner, et. al. (Science, May, 1998) using audio-CASI may be related to this. Audio-CASI preserves confidentiality. In matched samples, the audio-CASI respondents reported higher rates of various sensitive behaviors than did respondents interviewed using traditional face-to-face methods. Traditional face-to-face interviewers are usually drawn from the communities in which they are working. Hence, they are "locals." Respondents may feel that they are divulging sensitive information to "neighbors," who will not necessarily preserve their confidentiality.

Of course, we should not assume that higher reporting rates represent more valid measurements, though one suspects that the higher rates are more accurate.

Mark Schulman

A team here at Rutgers is researching the impact of community interviewers (defined as social service agency employees - i.e., case managers, outreach workers) on securing in-person interview follow-up response among clients who have enrolled in HIV prevention programs in New Jersey.

We hypothesized that community identity would have a positive effect on response rates; however, our results show differently: community interviewers are less likely to be successful in obtaining follow-ups.

Does anybody have any ideas (citations) that would possibly explain this negative relationship?

Brian H. Roff M.A.
Research Associate
Center for Public Interest Polling
Eagleton Institute of Politics
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
(732) 932-9384 Ext. 242
(732) 932-1551 (fax)
http://eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu
Dear All,

Due to a severe character flaw I feel obliged to read everything that comes across my screen; after all, I might miss something important :-). This is my problem, no one else's. I could, as others have noted, hit the delete key.

I'd like to suggest an alternative to posting the lengthy articles that is used on other listservs: Only identify the document by title, source, and url, and, even, perhaps, include an abstract, if so desired. This would provide enough information to alert us to potentially useful information and leave the task of retrieving the entire document to us.

I, for one, appreciate the receipt of these articles. My aapor colleagues are important and respected information filters, even if I am a voracious reader of the news. Nearly all the documents I receive through aapornet have something to do with my teaching and research. On a number of occasions, I forward the articles to colleagues, who also appreciate them. I typically go to the url, anyway, to save the original document. Nonetheless, I recognize the cognitive cost and administrative burden of maintaining a growing (and often overquota) number of documents in my mail server directories. My unoriginal suggestion might help in a small way.

Regards, Alice
I made an amazing discovery last night...apparently, there are newspapers in America BESIDES the NY Times.

-----Original Message-----
From: James Beniger [SMTP:beniger@rcf.usc.edu]
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2002 1:08 PM
To: Howard Schuman
Cc: aapor
Subject: Re: Puzzled

Howard,

The reason why what you describe here is commonly practiced on most
Internet lists--especially larger ones--is to provide list members
with common topics to discuss among themselves, whether on the
list
or individually. Because many postings generate discussions between just two list members, or a small number of them, no one of us has any very good idea just what the overall list response might be, to any particular posting.

In short, AAPORNET is simultaneously a mass medium, a private network for communication among friends and colleagues (much like a conference call, for example), and often also an inspiration for interpersonal communication, as I am in fact now writing directly to you, in my message here. Because this is also a reply to your posting to our entire list, however. and not just to me alone, I am also sharing my reply to you with the rest of the list, thus making my message to you also a mass communication.

At the Faculty Club, don't you bring up items in the New York Times with colleagues and friends, even though you know that those same items landed in their own driveways or on their own front porches, about the same time that they landed in or on your own? Reading is one thing, and discussion quite another, as I'm sure you would agree.

-- Jim

*******

On Fri, 26 Apr 2002, Howard Schuman wrote:

> Jim & Others, I'm puzzled by the increasing transmission via AAPORNET of articles from the NYTimes, WPost, and other similar sites, especially when they have little or nothing to do with public opinion or survey research. It's not because I have any objection to either those sites or their content (I read them myself almost every day), but I assume they are very easily reached and at no cost by anyone who is interested and uses the internet. (Please let me know if I am wrong about that.) So why do we need to receive them also as messages? This does not apply to more exotic sites that have relevant information we might otherwise miss (say, an interpretation not readily available of the recent French election results), but in the case of the Times, Post, and such sites,
I do not know of any citations off hand, but I expect there are some and that, at minimum, there is relevant literature in social psychology.

But I would suggest that interviewers from the community "know" the respondents or the respondents "know" them in other social roles. Like interviewing a wife with the husband present, the respondent censures information disclosure because s/he will continue to interact with that person in the community. The interview is no longer confidential and the respondent does not know what the community interviewer will do with the information provided.

We have similar problems when we must interview or administer questionnaires in health clinics, etc., even if the person doing the interviewing or distributing the questionnaire has no connection with the clinic. Here the problem is the respondents' concern that the information provided will be available to health providers and will negatively
influence their future health care.

Linda Bourque

At 02:35 PM 4/26/02 -0400, Mark Schulman wrote:
> Brian, many years ago I conducted by phone a sensitive survey to estimate
> the extent of spousal abuse. The interviewing was conducted from New York
> City. The sample was composed of adult women in Kentucky.
> >
> > Our reported abuse rates were considerably higher than the "official
> > statistics." Anecdotally, in monitoring a number of interviews, I became
> > convinced that our respondents felt more comfortable talking to
> > interviewers who were "remote" from them. These abused women shared many
> > confidences with "strangers" that they had not divulged to anyone else,
> > particularly no one in their community. We asked follow-up questions about
> > whether they had divulged their abusive experience with anyone else. Many
> > of those abused had not discussed it with anyone. The interviewers'
> > anonymity seemed to encourage these "confessions."
> >
> > I never did have the opportunity to test this hypothesis about interviewer
> > anonymity. I'd be interested if anyone has empirically tested it. The
> > work done by Turner, et. al. (Science, May, 1998) using audio-CASI may be
> > related to this. Audio-CASI preserves confidentiality. In matched samples,
> > the audio-CASI respondents reported higher rates of various sensitive
> > behaviors than did respondents interviewed using traditional face-to-face
> > methods. Traditional face-to-face interviewers are usually drawn from the
> > communities in which they are working. Hence, they are "locals."
> > Respondents may feel that they are divulging sensitive information to
> > "neighbors," who will not necessarily preserve their confidentiality.
> >
> > Of course, we should not assume that higher reporting rates represent more
> > valid measurements, though one suspects that the higher rates are more
> > accurate.
> >
> > Mark Schulman
> >
> >>>> Brian Roff <bhroff@rci.rutgers.edu> 04/26 12:56 PM >>>
> > A team here at Rutgers is researching the impact of
> > community interviewers (defined as social service agency
> > employees - i.e., case managers, outreach workers) on
> > securing in-person interview follow-up response among
> > clients who have enrolled in HIV prevention programs in
> > New Jersey.
> >
> > We hypothesized that community identity would have a
> > positive effect on response rates; however, our results
> > show differently: community interviewers are less likely
> > to be successful in obtaining follow-ups.
> >
> > Does anybody have any ideas (citations) that would
> > possibly explain this negative relationship?
From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Sat Apr 27 09:02:57 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3RG2ue25998 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Sat, 27 Apr 2002
09:02:56 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id JAA08436 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Sat, 27 Apr 2002 09:02:57 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3RG1II02187 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Sat, 27 Apr 2002 09:01:18 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Sat, 27 Apr 2002 09:01:18 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: NAS Invitation: Survey Automation--The Promise and the Reality
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204270854000.1778-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

From awhite@nas.edu Sat Apr 27 08:53:59 2002
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2002 16:38:31 -0400
From: Andy White <awhite@nas.edu>
To: Jim Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
Subject: Committee on National Statistics Meeting Invitation

SURVEY AUTOMATION: THE PROMISE AND THE REALITY

Friday, May 10, 2002
3:00 - 4:30 p.m.
Auditorium at the National Academy of Sciences
2100 C Street, NW

A tea, from 2:30 to 3:00 p.m., will precede the afternoon session,
which will begin with a discussion of recent developments in national
statistics, followed by a seminar on the challenges of automating complex
survey questionnaires and how statistical agencies may benefit from the
computer sciences to make survey automation more efficient and effective
(The seminar is based on a recent CNSTAT workshop on survey automation,
which brought together leading computer scientists and survey
methodologists.)
The seminar will include a brief overview of why the replacement of paper questionnaires by computerized instruments---so promising in theory---can be so difficult in practice, and feature a presentation by Jesse Poore, Ericsson-Harlan D. Mills Chair in Software Engineering, University of Tennessee, on computer science tools for management, documentation, and testing of complex software. Discussion will follow the presentation. A reception will follow from 4:30-5:15 p.m. in the Members’ Room.

All are welcome, but for security purposes, you must RSVP by May 3rd. To RSVP, or if you need further information, please contact Danelle Dessaint at (202) 334-3096 or e-mail ddessain@nas.edu.

Please arrive early as parking is limited, and be prepared to show identification to enter the building. Please note that the entrance to the National Academy of Sciences building at 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW, is closed to the public.

Guests wishing to take Metro to the seminar are encouraged to take the National Academies' shuttle, which departs from the Foggy Bottom/GWU Metro station every 30 minutes.

We look forward to seeing you on May 10.

******

>From mitofsky@mindspring.com Sat Apr 27 09:59:27 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3RGxQe28474 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Sat, 27 Apr 2002
09:59:26
-0700 (PDT)
Received: from hall.mail.mindspring.net (hall.mail.mindspring.net
[207.69.200.60])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id JAA25892 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Sat, 27 Apr 2002 09:59:27 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from user-2ive6ou.dialup.mindspring.com ([165.247.27.30]
    helo=x.mindspring.com)
    by hall.mail.mindspring.net with esmtp (Exim 3.33 #1)
    id 171VXc-0002N3-00
    for aapornet@usc.edu; Sat, 27 Apr 2002 12:59:20 -0400
Message-Id: <5.1.0.14.2.20020427123743.0318e020@pop.mindspring.com>
X-Sender: mitofsky@pop.mindspring.com
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.1
Date: Sat, 27 Apr 2002 12:59:46 -0400
To: aapornet@usc.edu
From: Warren Mitofsky <mitofsky@mindspring.com>
Subject: New Religion & Ethics Survey
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Americans are tolerant when it comes to other religions; they are not threatened by other religions; and yet they don't know much about them. These are the main findings of our national telephone survey of 2,002 adults in the United States. Mitofsky International and Edison Media Research conducted this survey, "Exploring Religious America," for the
I am thankful for the posting of all news articles that concern public opinion even though I sometimes have read them before they are posted. I am thankful because I sometimes miss an article.

I also have a very quick finger on the DELETE button.

Jim, and who ever else, please continue posting.

warren mitofsky
There is a useful literature about asking sensitive questions. Robert Boruch and his colleagues developed a variety of ways to do so during an interview session. Several chapters in two edited monographs address some solutions and may be helpful:


You could also do a citation search in "Web of Science" to track down more recent stuff.

***************************************************************************
Alice Robbin, Associate Professor
SLIS, The Information Science School
Indiana University
021 Main Library
1320 East 10th Street
Bloomington, IN 47405-3907
Office: (812) 855-5389    Fax: (812) 855-6166
Email: arobbin@indiana.edu

>From mark@bisconti.com Sat Apr 27 11:57:57 2002
I concur with Alice Robbin. But I don't mind receiving article text—might save time if I'm interested.

E-mails pile up, and some AAPOR members are not part of AAPORNET for this reason. (I confess, sometimes I'm part of the pile-up problem...) Deleting the spam and sorting the rest requires (intermittent) time. I'm also a voracious reader/scanner. I usually (unless searching for something specific) routinely read/scan The Washington Post, The Washington Times, Washington Afro American, and neighborhood papers (oh, what a distorted view I must hold!! :)). Friends and colleagues (some whom I have never met!) draw other information to my attention ... sometimes because they know my interests, other times because groups, like AAPORNET, deal with certain categories on which I am attentive. Lists seem to typically focus on current topics as they relate to specific disciplines/perspectives. From AAPOR and AAPORNET, I learn about resources, studies, perspectives, and methods ... and sometimes hear how survey researchers view reports related to public policy and public life. I have learned considerably and am appreciative. A web poll anyone (and I assume AAPORNETers wouldn't stuff the ballot box!!)?

Speaking of being puzzled. I am attaching a link to an article about violence—individual and group, titled Cities of Violence. And I wonder what solutions researchers have to offer to the U.S. problem Washington Post's Deputy Editor of the Editorial Page is describing. Colbert King has been writing about life in DC—including crime, juvenile detention, criminal justice, etc. for many years. Many of the problems he discusses are not unique to DC. I haven't seen recent comparative data about perceptions of safety in ones area. I'd like to see how residents of different U.S. metro
areas, cities, suburbs, and counties ... rate how safe they feel (compared to how safe they are in terms of risk assessment) ... any suggestions?

Today's column: Cities of Violence
King's recent columns:
http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/opinion/columns/kingcolbert/

Another related article: Embattled but Not Broken
NW Neighborhood Struggles to Emerge From Culture of Violence
By Clarence Williams

All the best,
Mark Richards, DC

-----Original Message-----
From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu]On Behalf Of Alice Robbin
Sent: Saturday, April 27, 2002 9:27 AM
To: James Beniger
Cc: aapor
Subject: Re: Puzzled

Dear All,

Due to a severe character flaw I feel obliged to read everything that comes across my screen; after all, I might miss something important :-). This is my problem, no one else's. I could, as others have noted, hit the delete key.

I'd like to suggest an alternative to posting the lengthy articles that is used on other listservs: Only identify the document by title, source, and url, and, even, perhaps, include an abstract, if so desired. This would provide enough information to alert us to potentially useful information and leave the task of retrieving the entire document to us.

I, for one, appreciate the receipt of these articles. My aapor colleagues are important and respected information filters, even if I am a voracious reader of the news. Nearly all the documents I receive through aapornet have something to do with my teaching and research. On a number of occasions, I forward the articles to colleagues, who also appreciate them. I typically go to the url, anyway, to save the original document. Nonetheless, I recognize the cognitive cost and administrative burden of maintaining a growing (and often overquota) number of documents in my mail server directories. My unoriginal suggestion might help in a small way.

Regards, Alice

******************************************************************************
* Alice Robbin, Associate Professor
SLIS, The Information Science School
I agree with Warren Mitofsky regarding the various news articles and opinion pieces posts on AAPORNET. They are especially useful in a hurried work day when visiting a variety of sites to collect news and opinion on key issues becomes yet another time consuming task. It is very handy to have these postings, and equally easily to delete them if not interesting or already viewed from another source. I strongly urge the AAPORNET group to keep them.

Richard Maullin
Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin & Associates
I can't imagine when any of us would ever have an occasion to use an English word meaning "government by the worst people," can you?

-- Jim

The Word of the Day for April 28 is:

kakistocracy \kak-uH-STAH-kruh-see\ (noun)

meaning "government by the worst people"

Example sentence: "A political exile forced to emigrate from her homeland, Dalia remains convinced that the government of her native country is a corrupt kakistocracy."

Did you know?

A reader of _Time_ magazine was once so surprised to find this rare and unusual word in the pages of that publication that he decided the occasion warranted a letter to the editor. "Where in the name of Semanticus did your writer come up with that word 'kakistocracy,'" he wrote in a letter dated February 6, 1956. "Is it a government of parrots?" (A "kaka" is a New Zealand parrot.) Good guess, but "kakistocracy" actually originated as a combination of the Greek "kakistos" (superlative of "kakos," which means "bad") and the English suffix "-cracy," meaning "form of government."

http://www.Merriam-WebsterUnabridged.com

----

>From tenor@one.net Sat Apr 27 22:49:35 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3S5nXe14836 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Sat, 27 Apr 2002
22:49:33
This is about the third time I've tried to post this to the list.

I am posting my direct response to Mr. Shulman at his suggestion:

> Howard,

> Your note echoes my thoughts. I'm not exactly sure why we are
> constantly bombarded by these article either. Surely we all have access
> to these prominent sources in one form or another.

> Now, if they were posted with a question open for discussion, I might
> think otherwise, but to simply post them would seem to some to be
> editorializing...and I don't think we're in that business.

> Bill Thompson
As usual, I'm in agreement with Warren! It's especially useful for those of us overseas.

Thanks (again) for the good work Jim.

Bob Worcester

Robert M. Worcester
Chairman, MORI
32 Old Queen Street
London SW1H 9HP
(44)207 222 0232 Tel
(44)207 227 0404 Fax
worc@mori.com

I am thankful for the posting of all news articles that concern public opinion even though I sometimes have read them before they are posted. I am thankful because I sometimes miss an article.

I also have a very quick finger on the DELETE button.

Jim, and who ever else, please continue posting.

warren mitofsky

==================================================
Disclaimer

This e-mail is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of MORI Limited.

If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error please either notify the MORI Systems Helpdesk by telephone on 44 (0) 20 7347 3000 or respond to this e-mail with WRONG RECIPIENT in the title line.

==================================================
In a message dated 4/28/02 1:50:22 AM, tenor@one.net writes:

<< Now, if they were posted with a question open for discussion, I might think otherwise, but to simply post them would seem to some to be editorializing...and I don't think we're in that business. >>

Very true: is posting these articles really a direct outgrowth of the organization's and AAPORNET's mission? I sometimes post articles, as I did this morning on entirely another research area. However, I then commented on the implication of the article to those I was sending it to. And I know that the article was "on target" for that area.

To put it another way, IRS allows you to claim educational/training expenses as itemized deductions when they "improve and maintain" the professional field you're in. They disallowed the carpentry training that a plumber took, just because he wanted to switch fields and earn more money. Except for highly broad intellectual growth, how are some of these articles closely related to our field?

Yes, I could constantly be ready to delete them as soon as I see the subject line and their first paragraph (and I'd like to, since as this morning I have 608 messages in my mailbox!)--- but why even get some of them if they don't meet our mission, goals and activities? Could those posting these articles either be more judicious in what they post, or be more ready to comment on where they see them extending our professional lives, which I would welcome? I'm ready to grow and interact, which is why I began to subscribe to AAPORNET, but how are some of these articles relevant?

Thanks for listening,

Milton R. Goldsamt, PhD
Research Psychologist and Statistician
(and someone who's also a questionnaire designer)
U. S. Dept. of Justice
miltgold@aol.com
The American Marketing Association is having its annual EXPLOR award ceremony and conference in Chicago on November 21 and 22. The conference recognizes the exemplary uses of research on the Internet.

I am on the conference committee and we are looking for a speaker for 11/22 who can address the conference on the methodological challenges of web surveys (situation where they work well and where they have not worked well). I would appreciate any suggestions for a speaker. We expect about 150 attendees. Unfortunately we are not able to cover speakers fees or travel for the conference.

Francis Fullam
My first post, so I hate to be a wet blanket, but no one's brought up the copyright issues involved, either. Short excerpts and refers/URLs usually are fine. Inclusion of full article text, such has been the case on this list, does not fall under fair use provisions, even for educational purposes.

Personally, I don't mind receiving the mail; I can easily delete what I don't want. I'm sure, though, that the publishers of this information would prefer a visit to their sites or purchase of hard copy, rather than unintentional misuse of their content.

--
Mike Donatello
Senior Partner, Vice President of Research
Borrell Associates Inc.
Digital Direction for Media Companies
2902 Mother Well Ct., Oak Hill, VA 20171-4065
V 703.582.5680  F 703.832.8630
MDonatello@borrellassociates.com

-----Original Message-----
From: owner-aapornet@usc.edu [mailto:owner-aapornet@usc.edu]On Behalf Of MILTGOLD@aol.com
Sent: 28 April, 2002 8:30
To: tenor@one.net; aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: Puzzled

In a message dated 4/28/02 1:50:22 AM, tenor@one.net writes:

<< >Now, if they were posted with a question open for discussion, I might think otherwise, but to simply post them would seem to some to be editorializing...and I don't think we're in that business. >>

Very true: is posting these articles really a direct outgrowth of the organization's and AAPORNET's mission? I sometimes post articles, as I did this morning on entirely another research area. However, I then commented on the implication of the article to those I was sending it to. And I know that
the article was "on target" for that area.

To put it another way, IRS allows you to claim educational/training expenses as itemized deductions when they "improve and maintain" the professional field you're in. They disallowed the carpentry training that a plumber took, just because he wanted to switch fields and earn more money. Except for highly broad intellectual growth, how are some of these articles closely related to our field?

Yes, I could constantly be ready to delete them as soon as I see the subject line and their first paragraph (and I'd like to, since as this morning I have 608 messages in my mailbox!)--- but why even get some of them if they don't meet our mission, goals and activities? Could those posting these articles either be more judicious in what they post, or be more ready to comment on where they see them extending our professional lives, which I would welcome? I'm ready to grow and interact, which is why I began to subscribe to AAPORNET, but how are some of these articles relevant?

Thanks for listening,

Milton R. Goldsamt, PhD
Research Psychologist and Statistician
(and someone who's also a questionnaire designer)
U. S. Dept. of Justice
miltgold@aol.com
At 4:33 PM -0400 4/28/02, Mike Donatello wrote:
>My first post, so I hate to be a wet blanket, but no one's brought up the
>copyright issues involved, either. Short excerpts and refers/URLs usually
>are fine. Inclusion of full article text, such has been the case on this
>list, does not fall under fair use provisions, even for educational
>purposes.

Not so.

Please see:
http://www.usg.edu/admin/legal/copyright/copy.html

The basic thrust of the document is that the rights assigned to
copyright holders under existing law are essentially marketing
rights. That is, the copyright owners have the right to sell their
works, and users should not interfere with that right by diminishing
the available market for a work or by selling pirated copies.
However, the existing copyright law expressly provides for the "fair
use" of copyrighted materials, especially for education and research.
The basic rule of thumb, elaborated in the document, is that a
copyrighted work can be used or copied for educational purposes so
long as the use is not solely a substitute for purchasing a copy of
the work.

It essentially comes down to fair use portion of the 1976 Copyright Act.

The 1976 Copyright Act grants the "fair use" of copyrighted materials
for a variety of purposes, for the creation of new works, for
educational use, and for personal use.

Please Jim and others, continue to post full articles that are of
interest to you.

Robert Godfrey
UW-Madison

---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---
* This post contains a forbidden message format
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting)
* This Mail List at USC.EDU only accepts PLAIN TEXT
* If your postings display this message your mail program
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting

--============_-1192073980==_ma============--
French Jews stage rally against confident Le Pen

By Reuters

PARIS - Declaring themselves proud to be French, France's Jewish community led protests against far-right leader Jean-Marie Le Pen on Sunday as he grew more confident of pulling off an upset win in a presidential runoff on May 5.

Carrying banners saying "France: Country of Human Rights" and "Jews against Le Pen", some 10,000 protesters gathered in Paris to rally against the National Front leader, who stunned France by qualifying for a runoff with President Jacques Chirac.

"We're sending one message and no other: I love the Republic and I'm against Le Pen," Johanna Samak, Secretary General of Union of French Jewish Students, told Reuters at the rally.

Sunday's emotional but peaceful rally came a day after fears of a victory by Le Pen brought up to 200,000 people onto the streets of Paris and other French cities to demand voters reject his policies, which have made him a political pariah in Europe. Students planned more protests across France on Monday.
"It's everyone's duty to vote for the incumbent president Chirac," Rabbi Gilles Bernhein told the Jewish-led rally, which ended with a rousing rendition of the French national anthem, the Marseillaise. Across town, some 6,000 people gathered to listen to leading French actors and musicians rally against Le Pen, who is fighting his fourth presidential campaign since 1974.

Despite the rallies, Le Pen grew in confidence. One of his senior aides said earlier on Sunday the 73-year-old ex-paratrooper thought he could pull off another upset like that which put him in second place in the first round of the vote.

"It's true. Over the last few days he has believed in it and we do as well," Bruno Gollnisch, Le Pen's campaign director, told Reuters. "We feel (support) is climbing." Le Pen himself told the weekend edition of Le Monde that winning "30 percent of the votes would be a stinging defeat."

"I am fighting for much more. I am aiming more at between 40 and 51 percent, closer to 51 than 40," said Le Pen, who has exploited discontent with mainstream parties, rivalries among opponents and worries over rising crime.

###
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POLLING ABSTRACT

All the opinion polls taken before voting began -- in the course of a lacklustre campaign that was dominated by the twin issues of rising crime and worries over security -- predicted that Jospin would go through to the second round against President Chirac. The two men are old political adversaries who competed in France's last presidential elections in 1995. Following the upset's announcement, spontaneous demonstrations against Le Pen and the Front National broke out across France and continued over the following days. In Paris, 30,000 people demonstrated against the Front National on Sunday night, protesting against the "nightmare" and "disaster" that Le Pen's success represents. Similar demonstrations took place in the French towns of Bordeaux, Toulouse, Rennes, Rouen, Nantes and Montpellier. In explaining Le Pen's success, French political commentators have stressed the high rate of abstention in the elections which, at almost 30 per cent of the electorate, is the highest ever recorded for a French presidential election.

-- Jim
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The Le Pen phenomenon

Insecurity and the exploitation of French fears of immigration from the countries of the South lie at the root of the Le Pen phenomenon, writes David Tresilian from Paris

------

In what French commentators are describing as a "political earthquake," Jean-Marie Le Pen, the 74-year-old leader of France's extreme right-wing National Front (Front National, FN) Party, beat last Sunday the socialist French Prime Minister Lionel Jospin to come a close second to French President Jacques Chirac in the first round of France's presidential elections.

Chirac scored 19.88 per cent of the vote, with Le Pen coming second with 16.86 per cent and Jospin third with 16.18 per cent. Having come third, Jospin was eliminated from the second round of voting which takes place on 5 May and will pitch Chirac against Le Pen.
Immediately following the announcement -- made at 8.00pm on Sunday night -- Jospin, who has been prime minister and leader of a left-wing coalition government since 1997, announced his retirement from politics. Le Pen, meanwhile, hailed the result as a "major defeat for the two establishment leaders."

President Chirac, who now seems certain to win the next round of the voting and, therefore, a second term in the French presidency, called upon "all French citizens to come together to defend human rights, guarantee national cohesion, affirm the unity of the Republic and restore the authority of the State," before what he described as "a calling into question of our idea of France" and of the country's "role in Europe and in the world."

"Discontent and rejection can express themselves during an election, but they cannot serve as the foundations of French politics," he said. On the contrary, "France is most truly herself in fraternity and in openness to others."

Le Pen's Front National Party was founded in 1973 and achieved its last peak of electoral success in 1986, when 35 of its deputies were elected to the French parliament. In the 1990s, it mustered some 1,500 local councillors as well as political control of southern French towns such as Orange, Toulon and Vitrolles. It has never before played so important a role in national French politics, largely dominated by established parties such as Jospin's Socialist Party and Chirac's RPR (Rassemblement pour la Republique).

Le Pen, who had early links with right-wing paramilitary groups involved in the French colonial war in Algeria in the 1950s and the neo-fascist New Order group in the early 1970s, is known for his racist and xenophobic views.

Describing his politics, the French newspaper Le Monde commented that Le Pen "has been able to construct a political discourse that furnishes a response to multiple insecurities. The insecurity resulting from the threat of unemployment, along with that of the working class and of small business, is put together with a fear of delinquency in a single diagnosis for social ills that has a common cause (globalisation) and a simple remedy: send back the immigrants."

Front National election material was marked by slogans such as "La France aux francais" (France for the French) and "Francais d'abord" (French first). Le Pen has made no secret of his desire to "send back the immigrants," by which he has meant chiefly non-white immigrants from former French colonies in Arab North Africa and in sub-Saharan Africa, whom he has accused of being responsible for France's social problems.

France has the largest population of Arab origin of any country in Europe, the majority of which comes from the Arab
Maghreb countries of Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia. This population has been disproportionately affected by the unemployment and poverty of recent years, and many immigrant families live in poor conditions in crime-ridden suburbs outside the major French cities.

All the opinion polls taken before voting began -- in the course of a lackluster campaign that was dominated by the twin issues of rising crime and worries over security -- predicted that Jospin would go through to the second round against President Chirac. The two men are old political adversaries who competed in France's last presidential elections in 1995.

Following the upset's announcement, spontaneous demonstrations against Le Pen and the Front National broke out across France and continued over the following days. In Paris, 30,000 people demonstrated against the Front National on Sunday night, protesting against the "nightmare" and "disaster" that Le Pen's success represents. Similar demonstrations took place in the French towns of Bordeaux, Toulouse, Rennes, Rouen, Nantes and Montpellier.

In explaining Le Pen's success, French political commentators have stressed the high rate of abstention in the elections which, at almost 30 per cent of the electorate, is the highest ever recorded for a French presidential election. Neither Chirac nor Jospin presided over a charismatic campaign and the presence of 16 other candidates -- amongst which there were several leftist candidates -- led to the dilution of the leftist vote.

Similarly, commentators have argued that the Left's "identity crisis" and the fact that the campaign was largely fought on issues such as personal security and crime meant that there was little enthusiasm for Jospin, whose generally good record in government largely went unpromoted in his campaign.

Le Pen, on the other hand, exploited worries about rising crime, especially juvenile criminality, to attract voters to his message which stresses the need for greater law and order. The resulting effect was boosted by the Front National's efforts to present itself as a "respectable," non-extremist political party.

According to an editorial in Le Monde, Le Pen's success in France, like that of other extreme European right-wing parties such as "the FPÖ in Austria, the Vlaams Blok in Belgium, the Northern League in Italy, the People's Party in Denmark, the Party of Truth and Life in Hungary, the Pim Fortuyn in the Netherlands" can be explained in terms of "the powerlessness of the nation state in the face of the redistribution of power to European institutions, of economic and financial globalisation and of a common perception of a rise in criminality."

However, the newspaper continued, the "common thread" that
joins all such parties and which lies at the foundation of Le Pen's politics is "immigration... from the South, which is forcing the welfare state to be rethought, threatens the cohesion of certain communities and is the vehicle for all manner of fears and fantasies."

It is this fear that, according to commentators, lies at the root of the Front National's electoral success, as it does in the case of extreme-right parties elsewhere in Europe.

The press in France has been virtually united in rejecting Le Pen and what he represents. "France has been wounded, and, for a number of French people, humiliated, by this result", Le Monde commented on its front page on Monday.

French anti-racist groups such as SOS Racisme are mobilising in the campaign against the Front National in May's run-off elections, and, with Socialist Party leaders urging their supporters to forget political differences and vote for President Chirac, a further seven-year term in the Elysee Palace now seems certain for the RPR leader.

http://www.ahram.org.eg/weekly/2002/583/in1.htm
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Post-ABC Poll: War on Terror/Middle East/Catholic Church

The latest Washington Post-ABC News poll is based on telephone interviews with 1,207 randomly selected adults nationwide and was conducted April 18 - 21, 2002. The margin of sampling error for overall results is plus or minus 3 percentage points. Sampling error is only one of many potential sources of error in this or any other public opinion poll. Interviewing was conducted by TNS Intersearch of Horsham, Pa.

*= less than 0.5 percent

1. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approve NET</th>
<th>Approve Strongly</th>
<th>Approve Somewhat</th>
<th>Disapprove NET</th>
<th>Disapprove Strongly</th>
<th>Disapprove Somewhat</th>
<th>Opinions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/02</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/19/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/27/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/9/01</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/27/01</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/13/01</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/9/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12/01</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30/01</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/01</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/01</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/25/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling . . . ?

4/21/02

Summary Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approve NET</th>
<th>Approve Strongly</th>
<th>Approve Somewhat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The economy</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. International</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

op.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affairs</th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Trend:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The economy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/02</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/19/01</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/01</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/9/01</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30/01</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3/01</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/01</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. International affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3/01</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/01</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/01</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The U.S. campaign against terrorism</td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Strongly</td>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>NET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/02</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/19/01</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/15/01</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The situation between Israel and the Palestinians</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compare to:

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the situation between Israel and the Palestinians?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/8/96 LV</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/8/96 RV</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Do you think the U.S. campaign against terrorism is going very well, fairly well, not too well or not well at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very well</th>
<th>Fairly well</th>
<th>Not too well</th>
<th>At all</th>
<th>Net</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/02</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Do you think (the United States has to capture or kill Osama bin Laden for the war on terrorism to be a success), or do you think (the war on terrorism can be a success without Osama bin Laden being killed or captured)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>US must capture/ kill bin Laden</th>
<th>War can succeed without bin Laden</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/02</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/19/01</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/01</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. In its anti-terrorism campaign, do you think the United States IS or IS NOT doing enough to win the support of Muslim people around the world?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/7/01</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. How concerned are you about the possibility there will be more major terrorist attacks in the United States - is that something that worries you a great deal, somewhat, not too much or not at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concerned</th>
<th>Not concerned</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Grt. deal</td>
<td>Somewhat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/02</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12/19/01  70  27  43  29  22  8  1
10/15/01  77  35  43  23  14  9  0
10/9/01   82  36  46  18  12  6  *
10/7/01   81  41  40  18  13  4  1
9/27/01*  83  43  39  17  12  5  *
9/11/01   87  49  38  12  7  4  1
6/13/97   62  21  41  38  24  14*
6/2/97    63  22  41  37  28  9  *
8/5/96    74  31  43  26  18  8  *
4/20/95   78  38  40  21  16  5  1

* 9/27/01 and previous: "How concerned are you about the possibility there will be more major terrorist attacks in this country."

7. In the Middle East, are your sympathies more with (Israel) or more with (the Palestinian Authority)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Palestinian Authority (vol.)</th>
<th>Both (vol.)</th>
<th>Neither (vol.)</th>
<th>Opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/9/01</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compare to:

On another subject, in the Middle East situation, are your sympathies more with Israel or more with the Arab nations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Arab nations (vol.)</th>
<th>Neither (vol.)</th>
<th>Opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/15/91</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3/89</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/23/86</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/85</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/26/83</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2/83</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/22/83</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/26/82</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/17/82</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8/82</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Regardless of your overall feelings toward (Israel) and (the Palestinians), who do you think is more to blame for the recent violence - (Israel) or (the Palestinians)? Do you feel that way strongly or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Israel (vol.)</th>
<th>Palestine (vol.)</th>
<th>Both equal (vol.)</th>
<th>Opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET Strgly</td>
<td>20 7 12</td>
<td>50 28 22</td>
<td>18 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>12 NA NA</td>
<td>41 NA NA</td>
<td>24 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/7/92</td>
<td>30 NA NA</td>
<td>47 NA NA</td>
<td>8 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3/89*</td>
<td>28 NA NA</td>
<td>51 NA NA</td>
<td>11 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*"... for the recent violence on the West Bank."
9. In trying to arrange a peace settlement between Israel and the Palestinians, do you think the United States is doing too much, too little or about the right amount?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Too much</th>
<th>Too little</th>
<th>Right amount</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Do you think America's vital interests are at stake in the situation involving Israel and the Palestinian Authority, or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. NOT INCLUDED

12. Do you think the United States should increase its support for Israel, decrease its support for Israel or keep it about the same?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Keep the same</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/9/01</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Do you think the United States should or should not recognize Palestine as an independent nation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/9/01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. How closely are you following the situation between Israel and the Palestinians - very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely or not closely at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>--------</th>
<th>----------</th>
<th>--------</th>
<th>Not closely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very closely</td>
<td>Somewhat closely</td>
<td>Not too closely</td>
<td>Not closely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>closely</td>
<td>closely</td>
<td>NET closely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. As you may know, Secretary of State Colin Powell visited the Middle East in the past week. Do you think his visit did or did not improve the prospects for peace there?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>--------</th>
<th>Did not</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>improve</td>
<td>Opin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET</td>
<td>Great deal</td>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. (IF DID NOT IMPROVE) Whose fault is that, mainly - (the Israelis'), (the Palestinians') or (Powell's)?

Israelis and
15/16. As you may know, Secretary of State Colin Powell visited the Middle East in the past week. Do you think his visit did or did not improve the prospects for peace there? (IF DID NOT IMPROVE) Whose fault is that, mainly - (the Israelis'), (the Palestinians') or (Powell's)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Fault for not improving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Great Not Improve

Op. 4/21/02 41 8 33 51 7 15 6 15 7

17. Do you think the United States should take the leading role in trying to arrange a peace settlement between the Israelis and the Palestinians, or should the U.S. mainly leave that to the Israelis and the Palestinians themselves?

Leading Leave it No
role to them Opin.

4/21/02 42 54 4

18. Do you think the United States has applied enough pressure on Israel to reach a peace agreement with the Palestinians, or should the U.S. apply more pressure on Israel?

Applied Apply Too much No
enough more (vol.) Opin.

4/21/02 46 43 4 7

19. Do you think Israel should or should not negotiate directly with Yasser Arafat, leader of the Palestinian Authority?

Should Should not No Opinion

4/21/02 66 28 6

20. After a series of suicide bombings by Palestinians in Israel, Israel sent its army into Palestinian areas three weeks ago. Do you think this action by Israel was justified or unjustified?

Justified Unjustified No Opinion

4/21/02 66 29 5

21. The United States has called on Israel to withdraw its forces from
these Palestinian areas. If Israel does not withdraw entirely from these Palestinian areas, do you think the United States should penalize it by reducing U.S. economic aid to Israel, or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Asked of half sample

22. The United States has called on Israel to withdraw its forces from these Palestinian areas. If Israel does not withdraw entirely from these Palestinian areas, do you think the United States should penalize it by reducing U.S. military aid to Israel, or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Asked of half sample

23. Do you think Israel has done all it reasonably can do to try to avoid civilian casualties in the Palestinian areas, or do you think it should have done more?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Done all it can</th>
<th>Should have done more</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compare to:

Do you think the United States is doing all it reasonably can do to try to avoid civilian casualties in Afghanistan, or do you think it should do more?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US doing all it can</th>
<th>US should do more</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10/15/01

24. Do you think Yasser Arafat is or is not responsible for terrorist attacks against Israel by Palestinians?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Not responsible</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4/21/02

25. Do you think Arafat has done all he reasonably can do to end terrorist attacks against Israel by Palestinians, or do you think he can do more?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Done all he can</th>
<th>Can do more</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4/21/02
26. Would you support or oppose providing a significant amount of U.S. economic aid to the Palestinian Authority, in exchange for a Palestinian peace agreement with Israel?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4/21/02

27. What do you think is Israel's ultimate goal - to take permanent control of the Palestinian areas known as the West Bank and Gaza Strip, or to return these areas to the Palestinian Authority in exchange for guarantees of peace?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permanent Control</th>
<th>Return Areas</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4/21/02

28. What do you think is the Palestinian Authority's ultimate goal - to destroy the state of Israel, or to establish an independent Palestinian state alongside Israel in the West Bank and Gaza Strip?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destroy Israel</th>
<th>Establish State</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4/21/02

29. Do you think U.S. support for Israel is hurting U.S. efforts in the war on terrorism, or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Great deal Hurting</th>
<th>Somewhat Hurting</th>
<th>Not Hurting</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4/21/02

30. On another subject, do you approve or disapprove of the way the Catholic Church has handled the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or somewhat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approve Strongly</th>
<th>Approve Somewhat</th>
<th>Disapprove Strongly</th>
<th>Disapprove Somewhat</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4/21/02 All

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approve Strongly</th>
<th>Approve Somewhat</th>
<th>Disapprove Strongly</th>
<th>Disapprove Somewhat</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3/28/02 All

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approve Strongly</th>
<th>Approve Somewhat</th>
<th>Disapprove Strongly</th>
<th>Disapprove Somewhat</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3/28/02 Cath.

31. Do you think the church can or cannot be trusted to handle this issue properly in the future?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Can be Trusted</th>
<th>Cannot be Trusted</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4/21/02 All

32. (and 33) For each item I name, please tell me if that's something you think the church should or should not do. First is.. (IF SHOULD DO) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?
4/21/02

Summary Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Should do</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>No op.</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Church</th>
<th>No op.</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>No op.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Establish a single, standard procedure on handling sex abuse charges at every diocese in the country</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Report to the police any accusation of sexual abuse of children by a priest</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Tell parishioners of any accusation of sexual abuse of children by a priest in their parish</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Suspend any priest accused of sexual abuse of children while the charge is investigated</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Remove from the priesthood any priest found to have sexually abused a child</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trend where available:

a. No trend

b. Report to the police any accusation of sexual abuse of children by a priest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Should do</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>No op.</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Church</th>
<th>No op.</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>No op.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compare to:

If a priest is accused of sexually abusing a child, do you think church officials should or should not report that information to the police? (IF
SHOULD REPORT) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Church</th>
<th>No op</th>
<th>Should report</th>
<th>Should not report</th>
<th>No op</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/28/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Tell parishioners of any accusation of sexual abuse of children by a priest in their parish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Church</th>
<th>No op</th>
<th>Should do</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>No op</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compare to:

If a parish priest is accused of sexually abusing a child, do you think church officials should or should not inform his parishioners of the charge? (IF SHOULD INFORM) Should the church be required by law to do that, or should it be up to the church to decide?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Church</th>
<th>No op</th>
<th>Should inform</th>
<th>Should not inform</th>
<th>No op</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/20/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d,e. No trend

34. As you may know, Cardinal Bernard Law in Boston has been accused of mishandling this issue by transferring priests accused of sexual abuse of children from parish to parish. Do you think Law should or should not resign as cardinal?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Should resign</th>
<th>Should not resign</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35. American cardinals will be meeting with church officials at the Vatican to discuss how the church handles the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests. Do you think this meeting will or will not produce meaningful improvements in the way the church handles the issue?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Will produce</th>
<th>Will not produce</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cath.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

36. Just your best guess - do you think there are similar problems in other countries with the way church leaders have handled the issue of sexual abuse of children by priests, or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opin.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/02</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As the Israeli military operation on the West Bank winds down, the American public is wary of seeing the United States continue to take the lead in brokering deals between the two warring sides, according to a new Washington Post-ABC News Poll.
A narrow majority -- 54 percent -- said the United States should stand aside and let Israel and the Palestinian Authority take the lead role in crafting a peace agreement. Six in 10 say they want Israel to negotiate directly with Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat to end the current conflict -- a move rejected by Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon.

A majority also fault both sides for failing to control the bloodshed that has enveloped the region in recent months, the survey found. Most Americans blame Israel for not doing enough to prevent Palestinian civilian casualties during its military incursion into the West Bank and Gaza Strip. But an even larger majority fault Arafat for not doing more to end the wave of terrorist attacks against Israeli citizens.

In question after question, the poll suggests the American public is frustrated and largely confused about what, if anything, the United States can or should do to bring Israel and the Palestinians closer to peace. Many Americans doubt that either side is truly serious about reaching an agreement.

The survey also suggests that the Bush administration will get little guidance from the public as it plots its next move in the Middle East. On the one hand, Bush would appear to have a relatively free hand in setting policy. On the other hand, most Americans agree that the United States has a "vital interest" in the Israeli-Palestinian situation. That suggests the public could punish the administration if the conflict worsens.

"I don't know that there can be a resolution at this time. I think they both are so set in what they want, and it's so opposite," said Paula Schapp, 34, a homemaker who lives in Tulsa. "I am pretty open to see what [the Bush administration] tries next, because I don't know what I would do if I was in control."

"I think we should be a little bit more aggressive," said Cruz Castro, 45, a construction worker in Sacramento. "The U.S. has already put itself up on the table as a leader for peace. So it has to get involved . . . it can't lay back and watch these two countries rip each other apart."

The survey found that many Americans question the motives of both Israel and the Palestinian Authority. More than four in 10 -- 43 percent -- believe Israel's goal is to seize control of the West Bank and Gaza. But the public was equally suspicious of Arafat and the Palestinian Authority: 43 percent said the goal of the Palestinians was to "destroy the state of Israel."

These mixed, ambivalent views also are reflected in the public's evaluation of Secretary of State Colin L. Powell's trip last week to the Middle East. Barely four in 10 said Powell's trip improved the prospects of peace, while half said it did not.

But few blame Powell or President Bush for the mission's failure. Among those who felt no progress was made, the overwhelming majority blamed either the Palestinians (31 percent) Israel (15 percent) or both sides equally (30 percent) rather than faulting Powell (11 percent).

Even more ambivalence is apparent when Americans are asked to look to the future. If Israel continues to defy Bush and refuses to withdraw entirely
from Palestinian areas it recently occupied, about half of those interviewed said the United States should withhold military or economic aid from Israel -- but just as many disagreed.

And when asked whether the United States should give economic aid to the Palestinian Authority if it makes peace with Israel, 47 percent said yes -- and 47 percent said no.

A total of 1,207 randomly selected adults were interviewed April 18 to 21. Margin of sampling error for the overall results is plus or minus 3 percentage points.

The survey held large doses of good and bad news for the Bush administration, Israel and the Palestinian Authority.

Bush continues to enjoy the confidence of most Americans. His overall job approval rating stands at 78 percent, unchanged in the past month. Seven in 10 approve of the way he is handling foreign affairs. A smaller majority -- 57 percent -- approve of the way Bush is handling the current "situation between Israel and the Palestinians." About six in 10 respondents said the United States is doing enough to arrange a peace agreement.

But the survey contained cautions for Bush. Most Americans would prefer that the United States take a secondary role in arranging a peace agreement. And a small majority -- 54 percent -- fear that U.S. support for Israel will hurt the broader U.S.-led war on international terrorism.

The survey also contained mixed news for Israel and the Palestinians. Americans are more sympathetic to Israel (49 percent) than to the Palestinians (14 percent). These warm feelings appear to be largely unchanged since October, when 52 percent expressed sympathy for Israel.

Most Americans also blame Palestinians more than Israel for the recent violence and said Israel was justified in sending troops into Palestinian neighborhoods and refugee camps. A majority of Americans -- 60 percent -- also said the United States should continue to support Israel at current levels, while 16 percent said the support should be increased. Still, there has been some erosion: The proportion who said the United States should reduce support for Israel has increased from 13 percent to 21 percent since October.

Six in 10 fault Israel for failing to do enough to avoid civilian casualties during its three-week-old military incursion into the West Bank and Gaza. But nine in 10 Americans said Arafat "can do more" to end terrorist attacks against Israel -- and three in four said Arafat was responsible for the attacks.

The survey found that support for a Palestinian state has increased 13 percentage points to 68 percent since early October. Even among those who were more sympathetic to Israel, 63 percent said the United States should formally grant the Palestinians recognition as an independent nation.


(C) 2002 The Washington Post Company
This will be my first Aapor conference. Can anyone tell me what the proper dress code is? I won't be attending the dinners, dance or any other special event. Just the sessions and a few of the short courses.

Thanks,
Terrie
Re the Howard Schuman comment:

There are three benefits that posted reprints from media (e.g., polls and opinion pieces) offer public opinion researchers: (1) They generate discussion of public opinion issues, striking to the heart of the AAPORNET mission; (2) given that elites perceive media as reflective of public opinion, such reprints provide researchers with a barometer of how elites see things; and (3) when you have already read one of the articles from The NYTimes or The Wall Street Journal that subsequently appear as a posting, you feel superior to other members of the cognoscenti, whom you assume have not read such articles, which gives you a boost in self-esteem.

-- Rick Perloff
But when I was an interviewer for SIPP, which is indeed sensitive because it asks every last thing about your financial status (with modules about things like how many times you've been pregnant and if you ever had a miscarriage), the in-person "sense of belonging" seemed important in establishing credibility. I remember I was working in a small North Florida town, and a woman at the door was "too busy" to talk to me. I said I'd be happy to come back later, as I wanted to check on tickets for the community theater production, since Anne so-and-so had raved about the current production. (Anne was the wife of a county commissioner and someone I barely know from church.)

"Oh, you know Anne?" she asked. And gave me a firm appointment to come back.

A colleague who worked in the horse-breeding area of Ocala, Florida reported much the same experience. She felt she would never have been let into those houses if she wasn't driving a vehicle that was clearly made for transporting horse feed. That mattered far more than the official Census Bureau I.D. card.

So I dunno.

Plus, I think that there is a whole different set of dynamics in Brian's original question that isn't explained entirely by community identity alone, and that is the issue of conflicting roles of a social worker vs. interviewer. We don't have enough information to say whether this is a problem in your case, but I can tell you that I have done some work on a project where we are not getting ideal cooperation from the outreach workers in doing the surveys. They have other things to accomplish during their time with the client, and tend to make the survey a low priority--and if the interviewing person doesn't think it is important, then the respondent will not, either.

Colleen

Colleen K. Porter
Project Coordinator
cporter@hp.ufl.edu
phone: 352/392-6919, fax: 352/392-7109
University of Florida,
Department of Health Services Administration
Location: 1600 SW SW Archer Road, Rm. G1-015
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100195, Gainesville, FL 32610-0195

>>> "Mark Schulman" <M.SCHULMAN@srbri.com> 04/26/02 02:35PM >>>
Brian, many years ago I conducted by phone a sensitive survey to estimate the extent of spousal abuse. The interviewing was conducted from New York City. The sample was composed of adult women in Kentucky.

Our reported abuse rates were considerably higher than the "official statistics." Anecdotally, in monitoring a number of interviews, I became convinced that our respondents felt more comfortable talking to interviewers who were "remote" from them. These abused women shared many confidences with "strangers" that they had not divulged to anyone
else, particularly no one in their community. We asked follow-up questions about whether they had divulged their abusive experience with anyone else. Many of those abused had not discussed it with anyone. The interviewers' anonymity seemed to encourage these "confessions."

I never did have the opportunity to test this hypothesis about interviewer anonymity. I'd be interested if anyone has empirically tested it. The work done by Turner, et. al. (Science, May, 1998) using audio-CASI may be related to this. Audio-CASI preserves confidentiality. In matched samples, the audio-CASI respondents reported higher rates of various sensitive behaviors than did respondents interviewed using traditional face-to-face methods. Traditional face-to-face interviewers are usually drawn from the communities in which they are working. Hence, they are "locals." Respondents may feel that they are divulging sensitive information to "neighbors," who will not necessarily preserve their confidentiality.

Of course, we should not assume that higher reporting rates represent more valid measurements, though one suspects that the higher rates are more accurate.

Mark Schulman

>>> Brian Roff <bhroff@rci.rutgers.edu> 04/26 12:56 PM >>>
A team here at Rutgers is researching the impact of community interviewers (defined as social service agency employees - i.e., case managers, outreach workers) on securing in-person interview follow-up response among clients who have enrolled in HIV prevention programs in New Jersey.

We hypothesized that community identity would have a positive effect on response rates; however, our results show differently: community interviewers are less likely to be successful in obtaining follow-ups.

Does anybody have any ideas (citations) that would possibly explain this negative relationship?

Brian H. Roff  M.A.
Research Associate
Center for Public Interest Polling
Eagleton Institute of Politics
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
(732) 932-9384 Ext. 242
(732) 932-1551 (fax)
http://eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu
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Joyce Purnick on the role of public image-mongering in political campaigns...

-- Jim
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ON THE HOLDING OF COATS, AND TONGUES

By JOYCE PURNICK

CANDIDATE Cuomo's camp, in a defensive mode since Andrew M. Cuomo described Gov. George E. Pataki as a valet, has come up with a creative notion for why the remark drew near-universal ire -- not because it was off the mark, as detractors contended, but because Sept. 11 has put even constructive criticism of the incumbent governor off limits.

The very concept of a New York campaign turning into an exercise in eggshell-treading sounds a little like predicting that the Atlantic will be as calm as a lake all summer. Could Mr. Cuomo and his opponent in the Democratic primary for governor, H. Carl McCall, really be forced to practice rhetorical restraint? The larger question is whether the catastrophe of last September has changed the public dialogue altogether, whether certain people are considered unassailable and certain subjects unapproachable.

Short answer: no. The long answer: oh come on.

Just a cursory look at New Yorkers over the last several months suggests some course corrections, but no inclination to rewrite the rules of political engagement.
Some things really have changed, especially the tendency to denigrate police officers after the torture of Abner Louima in a Brooklyn station house and the shooting death of the unarmed Amadou Diallo in the Bronx. Police officers won new respect; they, along with the always popular firefighters and other emergency workers, became national heroes.

But even newfound respect for the police probably does not mean that if an allegation of police misconduct emerged tomorrow, the public would ignore it because of a groundswell of good will in the aftermath of Sept. 11.

Same with politicians, if not more so. Mr. Pataki's popularity rose significantly after the attack, polls show. But so did President George H. W. Bush's standing during the gulf war. Then he lost to Bill Clinton.

The public's memory is notoriously short, and its sophistication can be notably nuanced. It is worth recalling that most New Yorkers opposed former Mayor Rudolph W. Giuliani's pursuit of an extended term last year, despite their regard for his masterly leadership during the city's crisis.

Given that precedent, there seems little chance New Yorkers would object if either Mr. Cuomo or Mr. McCall questioned Governor Pataki's record on health care, the budget, education, contributions to improving the flagging upstate economy or role in rebuilding Lower Manhattan.

SO why the outcry when the eager Mr. Cuomo said that Mr. Pataki stood in Mr. Giuliani's shadow and "held the leader's coat" after Sept. 11? Objections centered as much on substance as on tone; what Mr. Cuomo said was at odds with the prevailing assessment of the governor.

Mr. Pataki did not show the commanding leadership that Mr. Giuliani did, but though he and the mayor had not had a good relationship, the governor seemed to deliberately and generously defer to the mayor. And though he made that embarrassing request for $54 billion from Washington for pork-filled aid, he also gave the city what it needed, like the authorization to break borrowing limits and quick public access to Medicaid.

With his insult, Mr. Cuomo sounded as though he was trying to take political advantage of the city's suffering. It was a bit like the campaign incident last year when a mayoral contender, Mark Green, was widely ridiculed for boasting that he would have done "as well or better" than Mr. Giuliani did guiding the city in the aftermath of the terrorist attack. He sounded brash, as Mr. Cuomo did this year.

The public does not like candidates to be brash about sensitive subjects, but that does not mean, as the Cuomo defense would have it, that Sept. 11 is above politics. It's just that, like race and religion, it is one of those sensitive subjects that force politicians to be on firm ground before they speak out.

"With respect, Andrew picked the wrong topic," said Maureen Connelly, a consultant who advised Michael R. Bloomberg during his campaign last year. "9/11 does not exempt any elected or appointed official from criticism. We still have a democracy; elected and appointed officials are
still accountable for their actions. You can criticize anybody. It's up to the press and the public to determine if it's appropriate."

Which is what happened with the one-liner about the coat, and why Mr. Cuomo quickly shifted his language to focus on Mr. Pataki's leadership of the rebuilding effort. Could be that the campaign will be a tribute to substance, for once. Then again, the Atlantic is not and never has been calm.
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>POLLING ABSTRACT

Monday night and Tuesday in Los Angeles and Santa Clara, Calif., President Bush is expected to bring in as much as $4 million for Bill Simon, who is trying to deny Democratic Gov. Gray Davis a second term. Davis opened up a 14-point lead over Simon in a new Field Poll published on Sunday that also showed the state's voters were not enthusiastic about either candidate. In the survey, the Democrat topped his Republican challenger by 43 percent to 29 percent. The number of voters who are undecided or prefer someone else, however, doubled to 28 percent from 14 percent since the last poll in February. The Congressional stakes are high in the fall. Republicans control the House by just six seats and Democrats feel they have a chance to wrest the
chamber away from them. Meanwhile, Republicans hope to regain the Senate, where Democrats hold 50 seats, Republicans 49 and one is independent.

-- Jim

Bush Takes Domestic Agenda West

By Patricia Wilson

CRAWFORD, Texas (Reuters) - U.S. politics and "compassionate conservatism" take center stage for President Bush in California this week, while he works behind the scenes on ending 19 months of Middle East violence.

A senior White House official said the president preferred "quiet diplomacy" and would continue to operate that way as he shifts the public spotlight to his domestic agenda and politics in New Mexico and California on Monday and Tuesday.

Bush was to leave Prairie Chapel Ranch early on Monday for Albuquerque, where he is expected to break with many of his fellow Republicans and weigh in on the need for legislation to guarantee that insurance for mental health disorders is as comprehensive as that offered for other illnesses.

The White House official said Bush, in a move that would bolster his compassionate conservative credentials, may endorse the idea of "mental health parity" in the home state of Republican Sen. Pete Domenici, who has championed the issue.

Republicans in the House of Representatives, as well as business groups, fear the legislation would significantly increase the cost of health insurance, although some studies have estimated that premiums would rise as little as 0.9 percent if it were enacted.

"DIFFERENT KIND OF REPUBLICAN"

Later, Bush will visit South Central Los Angeles, site of riots 10 years ago that exposed the city as a mix of racial tension, social neglect and disparity between rich and poor.

Reprising his "different kind of Republican" image from the 2000 presidential campaign, Bush will discuss his plan to allow faith-based organizations to share in the federal funds available to deliver social services to the less fortunate, ranging from the homeless to unwed mothers.

Outrage following the 1992 acquittal of four police officers of beating
black motorist Rodney King turned into the darkest five days in the history of Los Angeles.

Violence, arson and looting that erupted on April 29 in run-down South Central Los Angeles even threatened the upper-class enclaves of Beverly Hills and left 54 people dead, more than 1,100 buildings destroyed or damaged and some $1 billion in property damage.

With control of Congress and key state governorships at stake in November elections, Bush also resumes his heavy fund-raising schedule this week, bringing to more than 20 the number of appearances he has made on behalf of Republican candidates since ending a post-Sept. 11 hiatus on politicking earlier this year.

In New Mexico, he headlines a lunch for Rep. Heather Wilson. Monday night and Tuesday in Los Angeles and Santa Clara, Calif., Bush is expected to bring in as much as $4 million for Bill Simon, who is trying to deny Democratic Gov. Gray Davis a second term.

Davis opened up a 14-point lead over Simon in a new Field Poll published on Sunday that also showed the state's voters were not enthusiastic about either candidate.

In the survey, the Democrat topped his Republican challenger by 43 percent to 29 percent. The number of voters who are undecided or prefer someone else, however, doubled to 28 percent from 14 percent since the last poll in February.

The Congressional stakes are high in the fall. Republicans control the House by just six seats and Democrats feel they have a chance to wrest the chamber away from them. Meanwhile, Republicans hope to regain the Senate, where Democrats hold 50 seats, Republicans 49 and one is independent.

http://www.reuters.com/printerfriendly.jhtml?StoryID=891893

--- Reuters 2002

*****
For all who gave me input on the dress code - thanks. For those who showed concern as to why I wasn't attending the social events - I am a new mom and could not leave my son for 5 days (I already leave him every day from 8 - 5:30). My husband and son will be joining me for a little R & R in Florida.

Terrie

"People are feeling better about their city than at any time since the riots," said Susan Pinkus, director of the Times Poll.
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THE TIMES POLL
A Decade Later, Residents More Upbeat

By JEAN MERL
Times Staff Writer

The passage of time has smoothed some of the edges that the 1992 Los Angeles riots carved into citizens' psyches.

During the decade that has passed since the city endured three days of looting and burning and 54 deaths, residents gradually have come to view their city more positively, a Los Angeles Times poll has found.

Angelenos express more satisfaction with their communities and the Police Department, and see the city as less racially divided than in the months and first few years after the rioting. Fewer are inclined to view the riots as unjustified.

Blacks continue to have a grimmer outlook on the city than other groups, a historical tendency attributed to a legacy of discrimination. But in this poll, their views generally were less negative than previously.

"People are feeling better about their city than at any time since the riots," said Susan Pinkus, director of the Times Poll.

The riots, America's deadliest in the 20th century, broke out 10 years ago today after a jury did not return guilty verdicts against any of the four Los Angeles Police Department officers whose videotaped beating of Rodney G. King stunned the nation.

The violence destroyed businesses and other property in largely poor and minority neighborhoods, and set off a years-long conversation about the consequences of Los Angeles' diversity and the gulf between affluent and poor residents.

A solid majority--69%--now feels the city has made at least some progress toward the question King posed at his first public appearance on the third day of the rioting: "Can we all get along?" Twenty-six percent said they saw little or no progress, while 5% said they did not know. Five years ago, however, 53% said the city had made progress toward its different racial groups getting along with one another, while 41% said little or none.

Today, the sense that progress has been made cuts across all three major racial groups and registers throughout the city: 74% of whites, 70% of blacks (contrasted with just 45% five years ago) and 60% of Latinos reported progress. Geographically, that sentiment was voiced by 75% of those living in the San Fernando Valley, 69% each of Westsiders and residents of the southern part of the city and 61% of those living in the central neighborhoods of Los Angeles.
"People have become a little more conscious that you need to be a little more patient, a little more observant, that [a member of a different racial group] is a human being," Laurie Dowling, 45, a San Fernando Valley resident, said in a follow-up interview. Dowling said she attends a multicultural, multiracial church on the Westside.

But a more specific question on the quality of race relations drew less effusiveness.

46% Call Relations Good

Only 46% described race relations as good, while 51% said not good. Among whites, 51% said race relations were good, but only 30% of blacks and 45% of Latinos agreed with that assessment. Still, that is a much rosier view than the prevailing outlook six months after the riots, when 82% of Angelenos felt race relations were not good; five years later, that number, while still a strong majority, had slid to 67%.

A solid majority, 78%, feel Los Angeles has recovered emotionally at least somewhat from the riots, although fewer blacks, 67%, hold that view than do Latinos, 85%, and whites, 77%.

"Everybody has kind of settled down and [is] trying to get along with everybody else, trying to adjust themselves to whatever is going on," said Shirley Washington, 63, a housewife who lives in one of the South-Central Los Angeles neighborhoods where the rioting was intense.

"I don't think people are quite as frustrated as they were then," said Pamela Williams, 68, who lives in the Valley.

Today, slightly more people than previously say the term "riot" best describes the events. Overall, a small majority, 54%, of residents chose "riot" while 33% preferred "rebellion." Among racial groups, however, whites chose "riot" over "rebellion" 71% to 19%, while blacks favored "rebellion" 55% to 35%—a division that also was reflected in news accounts years after the 1965 Watts riots. Latinos were more narrowly divided: 45% said "rebellion" while just 38% chose "riot."

People are divided in their opinions on the root causes of the riots—nearly one-third of those surveyed blamed a small criminal element for the looting and burning. Eighteen percent said the rioting was primarily to protest the verdicts in the King beating case.

"Police people did too much to black people, and the black people fought back in the same way," said Albert Ibaraki, 69, who has lived near downtown Los Angeles for 15 years.

Polly Stevens, 73, a retired teacher who lives on the Westside, said she watched Court TV coverage of the trial of the four officers who beat King and was stunned when none was convicted.

"Many of the rioters were people who would not ordinarily do that sort of thing, but they felt they were entitled" because of the verdicts, Stevens said. "It was a very sorry thing."
One-fifth cited economic injustice as the root cause, while another one-fifth said it was a combination of all three factors. About three-fourths agreed that the riots were not just about the verdicts, but reflected the culmination of injustices felt then by most blacks every day living in the city.

While two-thirds of all residents—and 88% of blacks—disapproved of the verdicts, a majority, 58%, said the riots were unjustified, and the responses for each of the three racial groups were about the same as residents as a whole. Five years earlier, a significantly larger proportion of residents—71%—felt the riots were unjustified.

"I don't condone the crimes that were committed," said Valley resident Ernest Fuentes, 77, "but most of the people who did these bad things had no other way of expressing their frustration."

Fuentes, an ambulance driver for the city during the 1950s and '60s, said he witnessed many incidents of police brutality and discrimination against blacks in those days and does not believe racism has been overcome within the LAPD.

One-third of residents who lived in or near the riot zone said there were businesses in their neighborhoods that still have not reopened. In the southern quadrant of the city, which includes many of the hardest hit neighborhoods, 55% reported unreplaced businesses, as did 65% of blacks.

**LAPD Comes Under Fire**

The rioting was a watershed event for the Police Department as much as for the city as a whole because it brought to the surface long-smoldering resentment and accusations of police racism. The rioting—and the LAPD's slow initial response to it—cost a longtime police chief his job, tarnished the department's image and spawned an ongoing drive to reform the department.

Not surprisingly, a Times poll taken shortly after the riots showed only 40% of residents—and only 23% of blacks—approved of how the LAPD was doing its job.

In the current poll, however, the LAPD's performance got a thumbs up from 62% of Angelenos, including a narrow majority, 51%, of blacks and from 65% of whites and 60% of Latinos. Moreover, 81% said they had a favorable impression of the department's efforts to hold down crime in their communities. Police got high marks throughout the city. But among racial groups, somewhat fewer blacks (69%) rated the department's activities favorably than did Latinos (85%) and whites (84%).

Blacks also expressed a different experience with the LAPD's community outreach efforts. Only 48% said they viewed the department's activities favorably, while the department's efforts got favorable marks from 74% of Latinos and 62% of whites.

But a majority—57%—of Angelenos believe racist feelings are at least somewhat common among LAPD officers. Not surprisingly in the wake of the Rampart Division corruption scandal and intense debate over "racial profiling" in traffic stops and arrests, that view is most strongly held
The poll also revealed wide differences in how the various groups perceive the incidence of police brutality. Roughly two-thirds of blacks and Latinos said police brutality was either fairly or very common, while only 28% of whites thought so.

As for the King beating's long-term impact on the LAPD, nearly half (45%) of Angelenos felt the department had become a better institution because of it, while 11% felt it had made the department worse and 33% said it had no impact. That is very similar to findings of a Times poll taken in spring 1997, the fifth anniversary of the riots.

If Angelenos have mixed feelings about their Police Department, their views of their own communities and circumstances are more positive than at any time since the riots--84% said they were at least somewhat satisfied with their communities. Shortly after the riots, 59% said they were satisfied and, five years later, 73%.

Jobs Are Still a Concern

Jobs and the economy continue to be a concern for nearly half of Angelenos, however: 49% said the availability of jobs and economic opportunities in their communities was not good, while 43% thought it was. But views varied widely among racial groups--nearly two-thirds of blacks and Latinos rated economic opportunities poor while only one-third of whites did so.

"It's just very difficult to get a job," said Gloria Atkins, 66, who lives in the city's harbor area. "There needs to be more training and more facilities for people to get the training for these jobs."

Atkins said she learned firsthand about job scarcity when she was laid off more than a year ago as a manager for a high-tech firm and could not find another job. She reluctantly went to work for her brother's firm.

Residents continue to put crime near the top of their list of problems facing the city--33% said it was the most important and 17% said gangs were; traffic and education were the No. 1 concerns for 15% and 14%, respectively, of those interviewed.

The poll of 1,163 Los Angeles city residents was conducted April 18 through 22, and has a margin of sampling error of three percentage points in either direction.

-------

Times staff writer Sandra Murillo contributed to this report.
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******
The Times Poll appears to suffer from serious coverage error. The Korean-American population, one of the major victims of the 1992 Los Angeles riots, is not covered in the poll. The KA business community was located at the center of the riot, and hundreds of KA stores were ashed by riots.

Today, tens of KA events are taking place across the nation to commemorate the riot victims and re-discover the path to racial reconciliation ....

Young Chun
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"People are feeling better about their city than at any time since the riots," said Susan Pinkus, director of the Times Poll.
A Decade Later, Residents More Upbeat

By JEAN MERL
Times Staff Writer

The passage of time has smoothed some of the edges that the 1992 Los Angeles riots carved into citizens' psyches.

During the decade that has passed since the city endured three days of looting and burning and 54 deaths, residents gradually have come to view their city more positively, a Los Angeles Times poll has found.

Angelenos express more satisfaction with their communities and the Police Department, and see the city as less racially divided than in the months and first few years after the rioting. Fewer are inclined to view the riots as unjustified.

Blacks continue to have a grimmer outlook on the city than other groups, a historical tendency attributed to a legacy of discrimination. But in this poll, their views generally were less negative than previously.

"People are feeling better about their city than at any time since the riots," said Susan Pinkus, director of the Times Poll.

The riots, America's deadliest in the 20th century, broke out 10 years ago today after a jury did not return guilty verdicts against any of the four Los Angeles Police Department officers whose videotaped beating of Rodney G. King stunned the nation.

The violence destroyed businesses and other property in largely poor and minority neighborhoods, and set off a years-long conversation about the consequences of Los Angeles' diversity and the gulf between affluent and poor residents.

A solid majority--69%--now feels the city has made at least some progress toward the question King posed at his first public appearance on the third day of the rioting: "Can we all get along?" Twenty-six percent said they saw little or no progress, while 5% said they did not know. Five years ago, however, 53% said the city had made progress toward its different racial groups getting along with one another, while 41% said little or none.

Today, the sense that progress has been made cuts across all three major racial groups and registers throughout the city: 74% of whites, 70% of blacks (contrasted with just 45% five years ago) and 60% of Latinos reported progress. Geographically, that sentiment was voiced by 75% of
those living in the San Fernando Valley, 69% each of Westsiders and residents of the southern part of the city and 61% of those living in the central neighborhoods of Los Angeles.

"People have become a little more conscious that you need to be a little more patient, a little more observant, that [a member of a different racial group] is a human being," Laurie Dowling, 45, a San Fernando Valley resident, said in a follow-up interview. Dowling said she attends a multicultural, multiracial church on the Westside.

But a more specific question on the quality of race relations drew less effusiveness.

46% Call Relations Good

Only 46% described race relations as good, while 51% said not good. Among whites, 51% said race relations were good, but only 30% of blacks and 45% of Latinos agreed with that assessment. Still, that is a much rosier view than the prevailing outlook six months after the riots, when 82% of Angelenos felt race relations were not good; five years later, that number, while still a strong majority, had slid to 67%.

A solid majority, 78%, feel Los Angeles has recovered emotionally at least somewhat from the riots, although fewer blacks, 67%, hold that view than do Latinos, 85%, and whites, 77%.

"Everybody has kind of settled down and [is] trying to get along with everybody else, trying to adjust themselves to whatever is going on," said Shirley Washington, 63, a housewife who lives in one of the South-Central Los Angeles neighborhoods where the rioting was intense.

"I don't think people are quite as frustrated as they were then," said Pamela Williams, 68, who lives in the Valley.

Today, slightly more people than previously say the term "riot" best describes the events. Overall, a small majority, 54%, of residents chose "riot" while 33% preferred "rebellion." Among racial groups, however, whites chose "riot" over "rebellion" 71% to 19%, while blacks favored "rebellion" 55% to 35%--a division that also was reflected in news accounts years after the 1965 Watts riots. Latinos were more narrowly divided: 45% said "rebellion" while just 38% chose "riot."

People are divided in their opinions on the root causes of the riots--nearly one-third of those surveyed blamed a small criminal element for the looting and burning. Eighteen percent said the rioting was primarily to protest the verdicts in the King beating case.

"Police people did too much to black people, and the black people fought back in the same way," said Albert Ibaraki, 69, who has lived near downtown Los Angeles for 15 years.

Polly Stevens, 73, a retired teacher who lives on the Westside, said she watched Court TV coverage of the trial of the four officers who beat King and was stunned when none was convicted.

"Many of the rioters were people who would not ordinarily do that sort of
thing, but they felt they were entitled" because of the verdicts, Stevens said. "It was a very sorry thing."

One-fifth cited economic injustice as the root cause, while another one-fifth said it was a combination of all three factors. About three-fourths agreed that the riots were not just about the verdicts, but reflected the culmination of injustices felt then by most blacks every day living in the city.

While two-thirds of all residents--and 88% of blacks--disapproved of the verdicts, a majority, 58%, said the riots were unjustified, and the responses for each of the three racial groups were about the same as residents as a whole. Five years earlier, a significantly larger proportion of residents--71%--felt the riots were unjustified.

"I don't condone the crimes that were committed," said Valley resident Ernest Fuentes, 77, "but most of the people who did these bad things had no other way of expressing their frustration."

Fuentes, an ambulance driver for the city during the 1950s and '60s, said he witnessed many incidents of police brutality and discrimination against blacks in those days and does not believe racism has been overcome within the LAPD.

One-third of residents who lived in or near the riot zone said there were businesses in their neighborhoods that still have not reopened. In the southern quadrant of the city, which includes many of the hardest hit neighborhoods, 55% reported unreplaced businesses, as did 65% of blacks.

LAPD Comes Under Fire

The rioting was a watershed event for the Police Department as much as for the city as a whole because it brought to the surface long-smoldering resentment and accusations of police racism. The rioting--and the LAPD's slow initial response to it--cost a longtime police chief his job, tarnished the department's image and spawned an ongoing drive to reform the department.

Not surprisingly, a Times poll taken shortly after the riots showed only 40% of residents--and only 23% of blacks--approved of how the LAPD was doing its job.

In the current poll, however, the LAPD's performance got a thumbs up from 62% of Angelenos, including a narrow majority, 51%, of blacks and from 65% of whites and 60% of Latinos. Moreover, 81% said they had a favorable impression of the department's efforts to hold down crime in their communities. Police got high marks throughout the city. But among racial groups, somewhat fewer blacks (69%) rated the department's activities favorably than did Latinos (85%) and whites (84%).

Blacks also expressed a different experience with the LAPD's community outreach efforts. Only 48% said they viewed the department's activities favorably, while the department's efforts got favorable marks from 74% of Latinos and 62% of whites.

But a majority--57%--of Angelenos believe racist feelings are at least
somewhat common among LAPD officers. Not surprisingly in the wake of the Rampart Division corruption scandal and intense debate over "racial profiling" in traffic stops and arrests, that view is most strongly held by Latinos (68%) and blacks (65%), while 48% of whites agreed.

The poll also revealed wide differences in how the various groups perceive the incidence of police brutality. Roughly two-thirds of blacks and Latinos said police brutality was either fairly or very common, while only 28% of whites thought so.

As for the King beating's long-term impact on the LAPD, nearly half (45%) of Angelenos felt the department had become a better institution because of it, while 11% felt it had made the department worse and 33% said it had no impact. That is very similar to findings of a Times poll taken in spring 1997, the fifth anniversary of the riots.

If Angelenos have mixed feelings about their Police Department, their views of their own communities and circumstances are more positive than at any time since the riots--84% said they were at least somewhat satisfied with their communities. Shortly after the riots, 59% said they were satisfied and, five years later, 73%.

Jobs Are Still a Concern

Jobs and the economy continue to be a concern for nearly half of Angelenos, however: 49% said the availability of jobs and economic opportunities in their communities was not good, while 43% thought it was. But views varied widely among racial groups--nearly two-thirds of blacks and Latinos rated economic opportunities poor while only one-third of whites did so.

"It's just very difficult to get a job," said Gloria Atkins, 66, who lives in the city's harbor area. "There needs to be more training and more facilities for people to get the training for these jobs."

Atkins said she learned firsthand about job scarcity when she was laid off more than a year ago as a manager for a high-tech firm and could not find another job. She reluctantly went to work for her brother's firm.

Residents continue to put crime near the top of their list of problems facing the city--33% said it was the most important and 17% said gangs were; traffic and education were the No. 1 concerns for 15% and 14%, respectively, of those interviewed.

The poll of 1,163 Los Angeles city residents was conducted April 18 through 22, and has a margin of sampling error of three percentage points in either direction.

-----

Times staff writer Sandra Murillo contributed to this report.
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Young Chun,

I strongly urge you to extend your message here into a "Letter to the Editor" of the Los Angeles Times.

The email address: letters@latimes.com

Fax: (213) 237-7679

Snailmail: Letters to the Editor
Los Angeles Times
202 West 1st Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

History will absolve thee...

Jim

* * * * * * * *

On Mon, 29 Apr 2002, Chun, Young wrote:

> The Times Poll appears to suffer from serious coverage error.
> The Korean-American population, one of the major victims of the 1992
Los Angeles riots, is not covered in the poll. The KA business community was located at the center of the riot, and hundreds of KA stores were ashed by riots.

Today, tens of KA events are taking place across the nation to commemorate the riot victims and re-discover the path to racial reconciliation ....

Young Chun
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"People are feeling better about their city than at any time since the riots," said Susan Pinkus, director of the Times Poll.
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A Decade Later, Residents More Upbeat

By JEAN MERL
Times Staff Writer

The passage of time has smoothed some of the edges that the 1992 Los Angeles riots carved into citizens' psyches.

During the decade that has passed since the city endured three days of looting and burning and 54 deaths, residents gradually have come to view their city more positively, a Los Angeles Times poll has found.

Angelenos express more satisfaction with their communities and the Police Department, and see the city as less racially divided than in the months and first few years after the rioting. Fewer are inclined to view the riots as unjustified.

Blacks continue to have a grimmer outlook on the city than other groups,
a historical tendency attributed to a legacy of discrimination. But in this poll, their views generally were less negative than previously.

"People are feeling better about their city than at any time since the riots," said Susan Pinkus, director of the Times Poll.

The riots, America's deadliest in the 20th century, broke out 10 years ago today after a jury did not return guilty verdicts against any of the four Los Angeles Police Department officers whose videotaped beating of Rodney G. King stunned the nation.

The violence destroyed businesses and other property in largely poor and minority neighborhoods, and set off a years-long conversation about the consequences of Los Angeles' diversity and the gulf between affluent and poor residents.

A solid majority--69%--now feels the city has made at least some progress toward the question King posed at his first public appearance on the third day of the rioting: "Can we all get along?" Twenty-six percent said they saw little or no progress, while 5% said they did not know. Five years ago, however, 53% said the city had made progress toward its different racial groups getting along with one another, while 41% said little or none.

Today, the sense that progress has been made cuts across all three major racial groups and registers throughout the city: 74% of whites, 70% of blacks (contrasted with just 45% five years ago) and 60% of Latinos reported progress. Geographically, that sentiment was voiced by 75% of those living in the San Fernando Valley, 69% each of Westsiders and residents of the southern part of the city and 61% of those living in the central neighborhoods of Los Angeles.

"People have become a little more conscious that you need to be a little more patient, a little more observant, that [a member of a different racial group] is a human being," Laurie Dowling, 45, a San Fernando Valley resident, said in a follow-up interview. Dowling said she attends a multicultural, multiracial church on the Westside.

But a more specific question on the quality of race relations drew less effusiveness.

46% Call Relations Good

Only 46% described race relations as good, while 51% said not good. Among whites, 51% said race relations were good, but only 30% of blacks and 45% of Latinos agreed with that assessment. Still, that is a much rosier view than the prevailing outlook six months after the riots, when 82% of Angelenos felt race relations were not good; five years later, that number, while still a strong majority, had slid to 67%.

A solid majority, 78%, feel Los Angeles has recovered emotionally at least somewhat from the riots, although fewer blacks, 67%, hold that view than do Latinos, 85%, and whites, 77%.

"Everybody has kind of settled down and [is] trying to get along with everybody else, trying to adjust themselves to whatever is going on,"
said Shirley Washington, 63, a housewife who lives in one of the South-Central Los Angeles neighborhoods where the rioting was intense.

"I don't think people are quite as frustrated as they were then," said Pamela Williams, 68, who lives in the Valley.

Today, slightly more people than previously say the term "riot" best describes the events. Overall, a small majority, 54%, of residents chose "riot" while 33% preferred "rebellion." Among racial groups, however, whites chose "riot" over "rebellion" 71% to 19%, while blacks favored "rebellion" 55% to 35%--a division that also was reflected in news accounts years after the 1965 Watts riots. Latinos were more narrowly divided: 45% said "rebellion" while just 38% chose "riot."

People are divided in their opinions on the root causes of the riots--nearly one-third of those surveyed blamed a small criminal element for the looting and burning. Eighteen percent said the rioting was primarily to protest the verdicts in the King beating case.

"Police people did too much to black people, and the black people fought back in the same way," said Albert Ibaraki, 69, who has lived near downtown Los Angeles for 15 years.

Polly Stevens, 73, a retired teacher who lives on the Westside, said she watched Court TV coverage of the trial of the four officers who beat King and was stunned when none was convicted.

"Many of the rioters were people who would not ordinarily do that sort of thing, but they felt they were entitled" because of the verdicts, Stevens said. "It was a very sorry thing."

One-fifth cited economic injustice as the root cause, while another one-fifth said it was a combination of all three factors. About three-fourths agreed that the riots were not just about the verdicts, but reflected the culmination of injustices felt then by most blacks every day living in the city.

While two-thirds of all residents--and 88% of blacks--disapproved of the verdicts, a majority, 58%, said the riots were unjustified, and the responses for each of the three racial groups were about the same as residents as a whole. Five years earlier, a significantly larger proportion of residents--71%--felt the riots were unjustified.

"I don't condone the crimes that were committed," said Valley resident Ernest Fuentes, 77, "but most of the people who did these bad things had no other way of expressing their frustration."

Fuentes, an ambulance driver for the city during the 1950s and '60s, said he witnessed many incidents of police brutality and discrimination against blacks in those days and does not believe racism has been overcome within the LAPD.

One-third of residents who lived in or near the riot zone said there were businesses in their neighborhoods that still have not reopened. In the southern quadrant of the city, which includes many of the hardest hit neighborhoods, 55% reported unreplaced businesses, as did 65% of blacks.
LAPD Comes Under Fire

The rioting was a watershed event for the Police Department as much as for the city as a whole because it brought to the surface long-smoldering resentment and accusations of police racism. The rioting—and the LAPD's slow initial response to it—cost a longtime police chief his job, tarnished the department's image and spawned an ongoing drive to reform the department.

Not surprisingly, a Times poll taken shortly after the riots showed only 40% of residents—and only 23% of blacks—approved of how the LAPD was doing its job.

In the current poll, however, the LAPD's performance got a thumbs up from 62% of Angelenos, including a narrow majority, 51%, of blacks and from 65% of whites and 60% of Latinos. Moreover, 81% said they had a favorable impression of the department's efforts to hold down crime in their communities. Police got high marks throughout the city. But among racial groups, somewhat fewer blacks (69%) rated the department's activities favorably than did Latinos (85%) and whites (84%).

Blacks also expressed a different experience with the LAPD's community outreach efforts. Only 48% said they viewed the department's activities favorably, while the department's efforts got favorable marks from 74% of Latinos and 62% of whites.

But a majority—57%—of Angelenos believe racist feelings are at least somewhat common among LAPD officers. Not surprisingly in the wake of the Rampart Division corruption scandal and intense debate over "racial profiling" in traffic stops and arrests, that view is most strongly held by Latinos (68%) and blacks (65%), while 48% of whites agreed.

The poll also revealed wide differences in how the various groups perceive the incidence of police brutality. Roughly two-thirds of blacks and Latinos said police brutality was either fairly or very common, while only 28% of whites thought so.

As for the King beating's long-term impact on the LAPD, nearly half (45%) of Angelenos felt the department had become a better institution because of it, while 11% felt it had made the department worse and 33% said it had no impact. That is very similar to findings of a Times poll taken in spring 1997, the fifth anniversary of the riots.

If Angelenos have mixed feelings about their Police Department, their views of their own communities and circumstances are more positive than at any time since the riots—84% said they were at least somewhat satisfied with their communities. Shortly after the riots, 59% said they were satisfied and, five years later, 73%.

Jobs Are Still a Concern

Jobs and the economy continue to be a concern for nearly half of Angelenos, however: 49% said the availability of jobs and economic opportunities in their communities was not good, while 43% thought it was. But views varied widely among racial groups—nearly two-thirds of...
blacks and Latinos rated economic opportunities poor while only one-third of whites did so.

"It's just very difficult to get a job," said Gloria Atkins, 66, who lives in the city's harbor area. "There needs to be more training and more facilities for people to get the training for these jobs."

Atkins said she learned firsthand about job scarcity when she was laid off more than a year ago as a manager for a high-tech firm and could not find another job. She reluctantly went to work for her brother's firm.

Residents continue to put crime near the top of their list of problems facing the city--33% said it was the most important and 17% said gangs were; traffic and education were the No. 1 concerns for 15% and 14%, respectively, of those interviewed.

The poll of 1,163 Los Angeles city residents was conducted April 18 through 22, and has a margin of sampling error of three percentage points in either direction.

Times staff writer Sandra Murillo contributed to this report.
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It seems hard to believe that the Times Poll would have not included Asians, and respondents of Korean ethnicity specifically, in the sample. The problem may be with how the survey was reported by the news staff. A call to Susan Pincus, Times Poll director, might clear up this issue faster than a letter to the Editor.

Richard Maullin
FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN & ASSOCIATES
2425 Colorado Ave. Suite 180
Santa Monica, CA
90404
310-828-1183 (voice)
310-453-6562 (fax)

Richard,

In my own opinion, "how the survey was reported by the news staff," as you put it, is much *more* important to the community in question than how that same community might have been represented in the sample.

Science is one thing, and history quite another.  

-- Jim

********

On Mon, 29 Apr 2002, Richard wrote:

> It seems hard to believe that the Times Poll would have not included Asians, and respondents of Korean ethnicity specifically, in the sample. The problem may be with how the survey was reported by the news staff. A call to Susan Pincus, Times Poll director, might clear up this issue faster than
April 29, 2002  5:12 P.M.
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Saudi ads nixed by cable nets

By LOUIS CHUNOVIC

At least nine national cable networks have turned down a potentially lucrative -- though controversial -- ad schedule from the Royal Embassy of Saudi Arabia. No national cable networks are known to have accepted the ads.
The 10-day flight is an image campaign from the Arab nation. The tagline for the spots is "The People of Saudi Arabia -- Allies Against Terrorism."

National cable networks that have passed on the Saudi spots include A&E, AMC, Bravo, History Channel, Lifetime, USA Network and The Weather Channel. In total, the Saudis plan on spending more than $10 million on image advertising.

"We had a raging debate," said a senior marketing executive at one of the cable networks approached to run the two 30-second spots. "I looked at the tapes. I thought they were tastefully done," said this executive, who, citing the issue's sensitivity, asked for anonymity. "I didn't like the end line, '[Allies] Against Terrorism.'" This network ended up walking away from a buy that was worth approximately $300,000 to $400,000, the executive said.

Both 30-second commercials feature print on a screen, music in the background and voiceover narration. One features a quote by President George W. Bush, the other a quote from Secretary of State Colin Powell. The ads demonstrate misperceptions about Saudi Arabia by showing statements about the desert nation that at first appear to be negative and then transform into what were actually positive remarks by either the president or the secretary.

One of the spots, for example, starts with the appearance on the screen of a "misquote" from Secretary of State Colin Powell to the effect that "Saudi Arabia has been prominent among terrorist organizations"; the visual then dissolves to the "correct" quote: "Saudi Arabia has been prominent among the countries acting against the accounts of terrorist organizations." The voice-over narration intones, "Prejudice, fear and conflicting views can distort what you see and hear. Please keep you eyes, ears ... and especially your mind ... open." On screen, the tagline is seen.

The second spot begins with an on-screen "misquote" from President Bush that reads, "The Saudi Arabians have been less than cooperative." That dissolves into the real quote: "As far as the Saudi Arabians go ... they have been nothing less than cooperative." The voice-over for this spot is, "Read the editorials, tune in to the Sunday morning news shows or listen to talk radio if you want opinions. Listen to America's leaders if you want the facts."

A second major cable network called in its legal department before deciding to reject the ads. "We always want to take the money," a senior advertising executive at this network said, but the Saudi ads are "not appropriate for our brand."

"We turned it down," said yet another senior ad sales executive at a third national cable network. "We asked them to revisit the creative or we cannot run it," the executive said, adding that the network's standards and practices department had been involved in the rejection.

Not every major cable network has been approached on behalf of the Saudis, however. One senior ad-sales executive said his prominent ad-supported networks had not been approached to air the ads. But "if they have an upfront budget, bring 'em on," he said.
Creative Cable Television, a cable-television media buying agency based in Manhattan Beach, Calif., and Alexandria, Va., is trying to purchase the spots on behalf of the Saudis. Barbie Johnson, Creative's CEO, at first told ELECTRONIC MEDIA that national buys had been made. Subsequently, she said that buys have been made through local multiple cable systems operators and interconnects in "about 21 markets." These spots will be inserted locally on eight cable networks.

So to viewers in those markets, the ads may appear to be on the eight national cable networks, even though time has not have been bought from the networks.

"They're trying to get in the back door," one network spokesman said.

Ms. Johnson expressed the hope that the national networks would pick up the ads after they received positive initial local response. "I wouldn't use the words 'turned down,'" she said of the national networks that so far have declined to run the Saudi spots. "I would say there are networks that are waiting so that they're not the only ones on the air, and they are looking to see what the reaction is of the public.

"I'm not doing this for Saudi Arabia, I'm doing this for the American public," said Ms. Johnson. "The hope is to give balance to the [Saudi] image."

A pro-Saudi TV ad campaign was already airing last week in Washington and a handful of other markets, according to the Reuters wire service. Ms. Johnson said she was not associated with that campaign, nor had she seen it, though the spots, as described in the Reuters report, were the same two.

Ms. Johnson said she is not placing her Saudi spots in New York or Washington. Both of those cities were targets of the Sept. 11 terrorist attack.

The CCT Saudi advertising campaign coincides with last week's visit of Crown Prince Abdullah, the kingdom's de facto ruler, with President Bush.

http://emonline.com/topstorys/042902saudi.html

(C) Copyright 2002 by Crain Communications

******
"Israel, for well over a half century, has been able to shape this discourse," said James Zogby, president of the Arab American Institute, discounting polls that show most Americans support Israel. "The Israeli media machine has been able to successfully portray Israel as behaving in self-defense," said Hussein Ibish, a spokesman for the American Arab Anti-discrimination Committee in Washington. Israel's defenders see the lobby's strength coming from its embrace of a righteous and very popular cause. An ad sponsored by the American Jewish Committee prominently featured in newspapers and magazines last week displays quotations from every American president from Harry Truman through George W. Bush celebrating the special bond between Israel and the United States. Polls show that nearly twice as many Americans blame the Palestinians for the most recent violence rather than the Israelis, numbers that have not changed during the past six weeks as the violence has escalated.

-- Jim
Senate to dinner in Washington's largest hotel ballroom. Speakers included an elite lineup of national leaders from both political parties.

Fortune magazine ranks AIPAC -- the American Israel Public Affairs Committee -- as the nation's fourth-most powerful lobbying group, ahead of the National Trial Lawyers Association and the AFL-CIO. Although AIPAC does not contribute to politicians, pro-Israeli political action committees over the past seven national elections, have contributed $17.5 million to federal candidates.

Across town, representatives of the Palestine Liberation Organization are searching for new offices after being evicted from their headquarters for failing to pay rent. U.S. Sen. Dianne Feinstein, D-Calif., has introduced legislation to seize their assets, restrict their travel and forbid their leaders from entering the country. Over the same seven national elections, pro-Arab committees as a whole have contributed $295,000, according to an analysis by the Center for Responsive Politics.

In the United States, the nation in the strongest position to broker a Middle East peace settlement, the battle to influence hearts, minds -- and foreign policy -- is a lop-sided affair. The pro-Israel lobby is established, wealthy and enormous. The Palestinian lobby, by comparison, is disorganized, poor and largely overlooked.

On this, almost everyone agrees. However, just like everything else in the Middle East dispute, the two sides interpret the same set of facts in two completely different ways.

Arab Americans, by and large, view AIPAC and other pro-Israel groups in the same light as gun control advocates see the National Rifle Association -- a shrewd interest group that yields disproportionate power by its manipulation of the political system.

"Israel, for well over a half century, has been able to shape this discourse," said James Zogby, president of the Arab American Institute, discounting polls that show most Americans support Israel.

"The Israeli media machine has been able to successfully portray Israel as behaving in self-defense," said Hussein Ibish, a spokesman for the American Arab Anti-discrimination Committee in Washington.

Israel's defenders see the lobby's strength coming from its embrace of a righteous and very popular cause.

An ad sponsored by the American Jewish Committee prominently featured in newspapers and magazines last week displays quotations from every American president from Harry Truman through George W. Bush celebrating the special bond between
Israel and the United States.

Polls show that nearly twice as many Americans blame the Palestinians for the most recent violence rather than the Israelis, numbers that have not changed during the past six weeks as the violence has escalated. "The lobby is successful because the cause is right, because supporting the only democracy in the Mideast is the right thing to do," said AIPAC spokesman Josh Block.

Members of Congress do not agree on energy, taxes, trade, immigration, Social Security, health care, prescription drugs, environmental protection, gay rights, judicial nominations or even the congressional calendar.

But they agree on Israel.

Israel receives more foreign aid than any other nation (about $3 billion a year.) There is talk of increasing that budget this year. A resolution affirming the nation's commitment to Israel -- sponsored by an unlikely duo of Rep. Tom Lantos, D-San Mateo, and Tom DeLay, R-Texas -- now on hold at President Bush's request -- would pass overwhelmingly.

Many of those sympathetic to the Palestinian side concede that they have done a terrible job of influencing American policymakers. Some are working to correct that.

But the fact remains that today, everyone on Capitol Hill knows about AIPAC (60,000 members, more than 100 staff in Washington) which is just one of numerous pro-Israel organizations. Many would be hard pressed to name a single pro-Palestinian lobbyist.

-------

Marc Sandalow is The Chronicle's Washington bureau chief. E-mail him at msandalow@sfchronicle.com
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With six months left before the election, Calif. Gov. Gray Davis has opened up a 14-point lead over Republican challenger Bill Simon, a new statewide poll shows. The new poll signifies a shift from two months ago when Simon held a slight lead over Davis in statewide surveys. The poll, released Sunday by the San Francisco-based Field Institute, surveyed 546 voters by telephone between April 19-25. It has a margin of error of plus or minus 4.5 percentage points. The survey shows 43 percent of voters said they would vote for Davis if the election were held today, compared to 29 percent who said they would vote for Simon. The poll also found about half of voters surveyed think Simon is not obligated to release his personal income tax returns, an issue that has dogged the wealthy businessman since he refused to do so April 15.

-- Jim

Monday, April 29, 2002

Field Poll: Gray Davis opens up sizable lead over Bill Simon in California governor's race
With six months left before the election, Gov. Gray Davis has opened up a 14-point lead over Republican challenger Bill Simon, a new statewide poll shows.

The new poll signifies a shift from two months ago when Simon held a slight lead over Davis in statewide surveys.

Simon, whose late father served as treasury secretary under Presidents Ford and Nixon, had never run for public office before entering the GOP governor's race last year. He won the March 5 primary in a comeback victory over former Los Angeles Mayor Richard Riordan and Secretary of State Bill Jones.

The poll, released Sunday by the San Francisco-based Field Institute, surveyed 546 voters by telephone between April 19-25. It has a margin of error of plus or minus 4.5 percentage points.

The survey shows 43 percent of voters said they would vote for Davis if the election was held today, compared to 29 percent who said they would vote for Simon.

The poll also found about half of voters surveyed think Simon is not obligated to release his personal income tax returns, an issue that has dogged the wealthy businessman since he refused to do so April 15.
Washington -- The second Holocaust - the possible destruction of the Jews in Israel - is a phrase first coined by Philip Roth in his 1993 novel "Operation Shylock. " It's a novel that seemed incredibly bleak back then. Yet even Roth's darkest imaginings seem optimistic now. Especially when examined by the glare of burning synagogues in France. Or neofascist Jean-Marie Le Pen's showing in the first round of the French presidential election.

We have to examine the dynamic going on in the mind of Europe at this moment: a dynamic that suggests that Europeans, on some deep if not entirely conscious level, are willing to be complicit in the murder of the Jews again.

Roth's narrator believes that there are in Europe "powerful currents of enlightenment and morality that are sustained by the memory of the Holocaust - a bulwark against European anti-Semitism," however virulent. It may be true in the case of some Europeans, although if so they have been very quiet about it. In fact, it seems that the memory of the Holocaust is precisely what ignites the darker currents in the European soul. The memory of the Holocaust is precisely what explains the one-sided
anti-Israel stance of the European press,

European politicians, European culture. The complacency about synagogue burning, the preference for focusing on the Israeli response to suicide bombers blowing up families at prayer rather than on the mass murderers (as the suicide bombers should more properly be called) and those who subsidize them and throw parties for their families.

There is a horrid but obvious dynamic going on here: At some deep level, Europeans, European politicians, European culture are aware that almost without exception every European nation was complicit in Hitler's genocide. Some manned the death camps, others stamped the orders for the transport of the Jews to the death camps, everyone knew what was going on - and yet the Nazis didn't have to use much if any force to make them accomplices. For the most part, Europeans volunteered. That is why "European civilization" will always be a kind of oxymoron for anyone who looks too closely at things, beginning with the foolish and unnecessary slaughters of World War I that paved the way for Hitler's more focused effort.

And so there is a need to blame someone else for the shame of "European civilization." To blame the victim. To blame the Jews. The more European nations can focus one-sidedly on the Israeli response to terror and not to the terror itself, the more they can portray the Jews as the real villains, the more salve to their collective conscience for their complicity in collective mass murder in the past. Hitler may have gone too far, and perhaps we shouldn't have been so cowardly and slavish in assisting him, but look at what the Jews are doing.

-Isn't it interesting that you didn't see any "European peace activists" volunteering to "put their bodies on the line" by announcing that they would place themselves in real danger - in the Tel Aviv cafes and pizza parlors, favorite targets of the suicide bombers. Why no "European peace activists" at the Seders of Netanya or the streets of Jerusalem? Instead, "European peace activists" do their best to protect the brave sponsors of the suicide bombers in Ramallah.

One has to put the European guilt complex not just in the context of complicity during World War II. One must also consider the malign neglect involved in the creation of the state of Israel. The begrudging grant of an indefensible sliver of desert in a sea of hostile peoples, to get the surviving Jews - reminders of European shame - off the continent, and leave the European peoples in possession of the property stolen from the Jews during the war. And that was when they didn't continue murdering Jews, the way some Poles did when some Jews were foolish enough to try to return to their stolen homes.

Make no mistake of it, the Palestinians are victims of history as well as the Jews. The last thing the nations of Europe wanted to do was the right thing, which would be to restore the Jews to their stolen homes, and so they acquiesced in the creation of a Jewish state and then did nothing to make it viable for either the Jews or the Palestinians, preferring to wash their hands of the destruction: Let the Semites murder each other and blame the Jews, the Semites they were more familiar with hating.

And now it's so much easier for the Europeans to persecute the Jews, because they can just allow their own Arab populations to burn synagogues
and beat Jews on the street for them. Still, there's something particularly repulsive about the synagogue-burnings in France. It goes a long way toward explaining why the Israeli government is acting the way it is now - with a little less restraint against those who murder their children. Yes, restraint: If Israel were to act with true ruthlessness to end the suicide bombings, they would tell the prospective bombers - who go to their deaths expecting that their families will celebrate their mass murders with a subsidized party and reap lucrative financial rewards courtesy of the Saudis and Saddam - that their families instead will share the exact same fate of the people the bombers blow up. That might put a crimp into the recruiting and the partying over dead Jewish children. But the Israelis won't do that, and that is why there's likely to be a second Holocaust. Not because the Israelis are acting without restraint, but because they are, so far, still acting with restraint despite the massacres making their country uninhabitable.

Consider the remarkable New York Times story in which Hamas leaders spoke joyfully of their triumph in the Passover massacre and the subsequent slaughters in Jerusalem and Haifa. Two things made this remarkable. One was the unashamed assertion that they had no interest in any "peace process" that would produce a viable Palestinian state living side by side with a Jewish state. They only wanted the destruction of the Jewish state and its replacement with one in which "the Jews could remain living in 'an Islamic state with Islamic law.' "

That defines the reality that has been hidden by the illusion of hope placed in a "peace process." The Palestinians, along with their 300 million "Arab brothers" surrounding the 5 million Jews, are not interested in a "negotiated settlement."

Israelis are forever being criticized for not negotiating, for not giving away enough of their security, but they have no one to negotiate with who doesn't want to exterminate their state and their people as well, if necessary.

The other remarkable thing was the setting. The interview with one of the four directors of the Hamas mass murderers, a Dr. Zahar, was conducted in a comfortable home in which "Dr. Zahar, a surgeon, has a table tennis set in his vast living room for his seven children."

If the Israelis were as ruthless as the Europeans take great pleasure in calling them, there would be, let's say, no Ping-Pong playing for the murderer of their children.

Now let's talk further about the relationship between the first Holocaust and the next. The relationship between the European response to the first and the likely Israeli response to the one in the making. It might best be summed up by that old proverb: "Fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me."

The first time, when the Jewish people were threatened by someone who called for their extinction, they trusted to the "enlightenment" values of the European people, as Roth's narrator put it.

Civilized people wouldn't let something like that happen. Pogroms, well yes, but death camps, extermination? Never. They're transporting us to camps,
yes, but what could it be, labor camps at worst? The world wouldn't let such a thing happen.

Well, the world did let it happen - with extraordinary complacency and not a little pleasure on the part of some.

But I suspect that deep in the heart of most Israelis is the idea that this time we're not going to depend on others to prevent it from happening. We're not going to hope that the world will care that they're killing our children. This time, we won't go quietly; this time, if we go down, we'll go down fighting and take them with us and take more of them if we can, and the rest of the world be damned. Fool us twice, shame on us.

I feel bad about the plight of the Palestinians; I believe they deserve a state. But they had a state: They were part of a state, a state called Jordan, that declared war on the state of Israel, that invaded it in order to destroy it - and lost the war. There are consequences to losing a war, and the consequences should at least in part be laid at the feet of the three nations that sought and lost the war. One sympathizes with the plight of the Palestinians, but one wonders what the plight of the Israelis might have been had they lost that war.

But somehow the Israelis are told that they must trust the world - trust the European Union as guarantors of their safety, trust the Arab League's promises of "normal relations," trust the Saudis who subsidize suicide-bomber parties and ignore the exterminationist textbooks the Arab world uses to tutor its children. The Israelis must learn to make nice; the Jews must behave better with people who want to kill them. I -don't think so.

As a secular Jew, I've always been more of a "diasporist" than a Zionist. I've supported the Jewish state, but thought that it was a necessary but not ideal solution with a pronounced dark side: The concentration of so many Jews in one place - and I use the word "concentration" advisedly - gives the world a chance to kill the Jews en masse again. And I also thought that Jews flourished best where they were no longer under the thumb of Orthodox rabbis and could bring to the whole world - indeed, the whole universe - the exegetical skills that are the glory of the people: reading the universe as the Torah, as Einstein and Spinoza did, rather than the Torah as the universe, as the Orthodox do.

But the implacable hatred of Arab fundamentalism makes no distinction between Jewish fundamentalists and Jewish secularists, just as Hitler didn't. It's not just the settlements they want to extirpate, it's the Jewish state, the Jewish people.

This is the way it is likely to happen: Sooner or later, a nuclear weapon is detonated in Tel Aviv, and sooner, not later, there is nuclear retaliation -

Baghdad, Damascus, Tehran, perhaps all three. Someone once said that while Jesus called on Christians to "turn the other cheek," it's the Jews who have been the only ones who have actually practiced that. Not this time. The unspoken corollary of the slogan "Never again" is: "And if again, not
us alone."

So the time has come to think about the second Holocaust. It's coming sooner or later; it's not whether, but when. I hope I don't live to see it. It will be unbearable for those who do. That is, for all but the Europeans - whose consciences, as always, will be clear and untroubled.

Ron Rosenbaum is the author of "Explaining Hitler: The Search for the Origins of His Evil." This piece originally appeared in different form in The New York Observer.
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Democrats' gas pricing probe finds no collusion
April 29, 2002 Posted: 4:35 PM EDT (2035 GMT)
WASHINGTON (CNN) -- Despite 10 months of investigation and review of 265,000 oil-company documents, investigators for Senate Democrats have found no evidence of collusion by refiners in the gasoline price spikes of the past two summers.


———

MONEY SCOPE
Pressure at the Pump
Senate Report Charges Oil Companies With Manipulating Gas Prices

April 29 - A congressional report released today charges that the oil industry has been squeezing drivers at the pump in the Midwest and California.

###
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The Eurosnots learn nothing
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The Eurosnots learn nothing
On Sunday, Jean-Marie Le Pen, the alleged extreme right-wing madman, managed to place second in the first round of the French Presidential election. Since then, many Europhile commentators in the English-speaking world have been attempting to reassure us that the significance of this event has been much overplayed -- Le Pen only got a little more than he usually gets, pure fluke he came second, nothing to see here, move along. The best response to this line of thinking was by the shrewd Internet commentatrix Megan McArdle: "They're completely missing the point, which is that it's hilarious."

Absolutely. You'd have to have a heart of stone not to be weeping with laughter at the scenes of France's snotty-nosed political elite huffily denouncing Sunday's result as an insult to the honour of the Republic. I was in Paris a couple of weeks ago and I well remember the retired French diplomat who assured me that "a man like George W. Bush is simply not possible in our politics. For a creature of such crude, simplistic and extreme views to be one of the two principal candidates in a presidential election would be inconceivable here. Inconceivable!"

Please, no giggling. Somehow events have so arranged themselves that French electors now face a choice, as the papers see it, between "la droite" et "l'extrême droite." The French people have taken to the streets in angry protests against ... the French people! Which must be a relief to the operators of McDonald's franchises, British lorry drivers and other more traditional targets of their ire, but is still a little weird. Meanwhile, the only thing that stands between M. Le Pen and the Elysée Palace, President Chirac, has declared himself the representative of "the soul of the Republic." In the sense that he's a shifty dissembler with a long history of financial scandal and no political principles, he may be on to something.

While M. Chirac has cast himself as the defender of France, M. Le Pen is apparently the defender of the Jews. While I was over there, he was the only candidate who was seriously affronted by the epidemic of anti-Jew assaults by French Muslims. The Eurosnots told me this was "cynical," given that M. Le Pen is notoriously anti-Jew and not above doing oven jokes in public. But that doesn't necessarily make him cynical. Maybe he just loathes Arabs even more than Jews (which, for linguistic pedants, would make him technically a perfect anti-Semite). Maybe he just resents the Muslims muscling in on his turf: "We strongly object to the Arab attacks on the Jews. That's our job." But, given that Chirac and Jospin brushed off the Jew-bashing epidemic like a speck of dust on their elegant suits, Le Pen's ability to co-opt it into his general tough-on-crime/tough-on-immigrants approach showed at the least a certain political savvy.

Still, despite the racism and bigotry, I resent the characterization of M. Le Pen as "extreme right." I'm an extreme right-wing madman myself, and it takes one to know one. M. Le Pen is an economic protectionist in favour of the minimum wage, lavish subsidies for France's incompetent industries and inefficient agriculture; he's anti-American and fiercely opposed to globalization. In other words, he's got far more in common
with Naomi Klein than with me. He would fit right in as a guest host on the CBC's CounterSpin. Even the antipathy toward Jews is more of a left-wing thing these days -- see the EU, UN, Svend and Mary Robinson, etc. Insofar as anyone speaks up for Jews in the West, it's only a few right-wing columnists, Newt Gingrich, Christian conservatives and Mrs. Thatcher -- or, as a reader e-mailed the other day, "all you Hebraic assholes on the right." M. Le Pen is a nationalist and a socialist -- or, if you prefer, a nationalist socialist. Hmm. A bit long but, if you lost a syllable, you might be in business.

But terms like "left" and "right" are irrelevant in French politics. In an advanced technocratic state, where almost any issue worth talking about has been ruled beyond the scope of partisan politics, you might as well throw away the compass. The presidential election was meant to be a contest between the supposedly conservative Chirac and his supposedly socialist Prime Minister, Lionel Jospin. In practice, this boils down to a candidate who's left of right of left of centre, and a candidate who's right of left of right of left of centre. Chirac and Jospin ran on identical platforms -- they're both in favour of high taxes, high unemployment and high crime. So, with no significant policy differences between them, the two candidates were relying on their personal appeal, which, given that one's a fraud and the other's a dullard, was asking rather too much of French voters. Faced with a choice between Eurodee and Eurodum, you can't blame electors for choosing to make it a real race by voting for the one guy running on an openly stated, clearly defined manifesto.

M. Le Pen wants to restrict immigration; Chirac and Jospin think this subject is beneath discussion. Le Pen thinks the euro is a "currency of occupation"; Chospin and Jirac think this subject is beneath discussion. Le Pen wants to pull out of the EU; Chipin and Josrac think this subject is beneath discussion. Le Pen wants to get tough on crime; Chispac and Jorin think this, too, is beneath discussion, and that may have been their mistake. European Union and even immigration are lofty, philosophical issues. But crime is personal. The French are undergoing a terrible wave of criminality, in which thousands of cars are routinely torched for fun and more and more immigrant suburbs are no-go areas for the police. Chirac and Jospin's unwillingness even to address this issue only confirmed their image as the arrogant co-regents of a remote, insulated elite.

Europe's ruling class has effortlessly refined Voltaire: I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death my right not to have to listen to you say it. You might disapprove of what Le Pen says on immigration, but to declare that the subject cannot even be raised is profoundly unhealthy for a democracy. The problem with the old one-party states of Africa and Latin America was that they criminalized dissent: You could no longer criticize the President, you could only kill him. In the two-party one-party states of Europe, a similar process is under way: If the political culture forbids respectable politicians from raising certain topics, then the electorate will turn to unrespectable politicians -- as they're doing in France, Austria, Belgium, the Netherlands, Denmark and elsewhere. Le Pen is not an aberration but the logical consequence.

The Eurosnots, of course, learn nothing. President Chirac, for his part, has announced that he will not deign to debate his opponent during the
remaining two weeks of the campaign. M. Le Pen beat M. Chirac in nine of France's 22 districts. Unlovely he may be, but he is the legitimate standard-bearer for democratic opposition to Chirac. By refusing to engage, the President is doing a grave disservice to French democracy. Similarly, Gerhard Schroeder, facing difficult electoral prospects this fall, is now warning German conservatives that he will decline to participate in a "campaign of fear" -- i.e., on touchy issues. But the way you defeat poisonous ideas is to expose them to the bracing air of open debate. In Marseilles, they're burning synagogues. In Berlin, the police advise Jews not to leave their homes in skullcaps or other identifying marks of their faith. But Europe's political establishments insist that, on immigration and crime, there's nothing to talk about.

A century and a half ago, Tsar Nicholas I described Turkey as "the sick man of Europe." Today, the sick man of Europe is the European -- the urbane Continental princelings like Chirac and Michel, gliding from capital to capital building their Eutopia, oblivious to the popular will except on those rare occasions, such as Sunday, when the people do something so impertinent they finally catch the eye of their haughty maître d'. I've said before that September 11th will prove to be like the Archduke's assassination in Sarajevo -- one of those events that shatters the known world. To the list of polities destined to slip down the EURinal of history, we must add the European Union and France's Fifth Republic. The only question is how messy their disintegration will be.
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Thanks Jim for posting that. We should all take Mark Steyn's views very seriously, as he is clearly an expert political commentator.
Who can forget his wonderfully accurate piece just before the 2000 US election - "the polls will try to tell you the election will be close, but they always say that and they will be completely wrong. You can go to be early and not miss anything - it will be a Bush landslide" was the gist of what he said.

If he's that good on his own turf he's bound to be an expert on Europe as well
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This book review appeared in the San Francisco Chronicle on Sunday. When we consider the apparent difference in attitudes between Americans and Europeans re what is happening in the Middle East, the review may help in
providing a needed. and I think interesting, historical perspective.

Dick

I'm afraid for this European it provided more of a perspective on the nature of pro-Israeli opinion in the US, and it's failure to understand the key point about European opinion against current Israeli policies, which is that much, and probably most, of it is anti-Israel without being in any way anti-Jewish. Whilst there has been a disturbing increase in anti-Jewish behaviour, particularly in France, most of the people in the UK, for example, who are opposed to Israeli policies at the moment, are equally opposed to instances of anti-Semitism at home.
And maybe not, in a large part, anti-Israel as such but anti the current policies (and therefore anti Sharon) which are seen as likely to make the problems far far worse in anything beyond the extremely short run. To equate this with an idea 'that Europeans, on some deep if not entirely conscious level, are willing to be complicit in the murder of the Jews again' is quite frankly ludicrous. And something that many Europeans would find deeply offensive.

One problem in US opinion of European opinion is giving too much importance to supremely unimportant groups like the 'European peace activists' who went to Ramallah or self-publicists like Tom Paulin (who by a strange coincidence published a book in the same week as he made his latest controversial pronouncements). These people are about as representative of majority feelings in European public opinion as say Mark Steyn is of US opinion.

We've got our fair share of bigots and idiots here but no more really than anywhere else.

Iain Noble
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Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., a policy research firm with offices in
Washington, DC and Princeton, has openings for experienced statisticians
at the Ph.D. level to lead sample design and estimation activities. Strong
communication skills, familiarity with statistical software, and knowledge
of sampling methods are desirable. Qualified candidates should submit a
letter of interest, resume, salary requirements, DC or NJ location
preference, and three references to:

Michael Beary
Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.
600 Maryland Avenue, SW, Suite 550
Washington, DC  20024-2512
Fax:  (202) 484-4510
e-mail: HRDC@mathematica-mpr.com
Visit our website www.mathematica-mpr.com for further details.

Janice Ballou
Vice President and Deputy Director
Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.
600 Alexander Park
Princeton, NJ 08543
PH:(609)750-4049
FAX: (609)799-0005
Do YOU Know the Privacy Laws that Affect Survey Research?

Join Donna L. Gillin, Esq., CMOR=92s Director of Government Affairs, on JUNE 5TH in Washington, DC for a three-hour legal seminar on some of the most prevalent privacy issues facing those in the survey research community. This seminar will focus on telephone privacy and online privacy and provide invaluable information for survey research professionals concerned about these laws AND/OR attorneys representing companies in the survey research industry.

When/Where:
June 5, 2002 from 12:30 to 3:30 at the J.W. Marriott, Washington, DC (an optional add on session at the MRA Annual Conference)

Seminar Topics:
Telephone Privacy - federal do-not-call laws and state do-not-call registry laws
Online Privacy =96 email solicitations, privacy policies
Future do-not-call and online privacy legislation
New FTC Privacy Position and its potential impact on the research industry
What Will You Receive With Your Registration:
3 Hour Privacy Law Seminar Registration
Seminar Binder, which includes:
- A copy of the Seminar PowerPoint Presentation
- Do-not-call registry law information
- Email solicitation law information
- FTC Recommendations for Online Privacy Policies
- State and Federal privacy legislation information
- Various CMOR articles regarding telephone and online privacy issues

HOW TO REGISTER:
Visit the CMOR website at http://www.cmor.org/forms/junelawseminar.htm

REGISTRATION FEES*:
For Seminar Only: For Seminar + MRA Annual Conference:
$200 Member of CMOR* and/or MRA $125 Member of CMOR* and/or MRA
$275 Non-members of CMOR or MRA $175 Non-members of CMOR or MRA

* Certain categories of CMOR membership are afforded additional discounts, please contact CMOR at 513-985-0001 for further details.

Please note: For attorneys, CLE accreditation for this event has been approved in several jurisdictions and is pending in others - contact CMOR at llawson@cmor.org for further details.

PLEASE FORWARD THIS EMAIL TO ANY INDUSTRY COLLEAGUES THAT MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN THIS EVENT.

(C MOR would like to extend our appreciation to the Marketing Research Association (MRA) for its assistance in holding this important industry event.)

What is CMOR
CMOR is a non-profit trade association formed to protect the interests of the survey research industry. Our members consist of research companies, their clients (the end-users of the data compiled by the researchers), as well as other trade associations that share our same concern. Our collective mission is twofold:

- To encourage respondent cooperation
- To educate lawmakers in order to protect research from restrictive legislation.

To learn more about how to join CMOR, visit our website at www.cmor.org or contact CMOR at (513) 985-0001

Jane M. Sheppard
Director Respondent Cooperation
CMOR
'Promoting and Advocating Survey Research'
I saw this ad and have been racking my brain to remember what I was watching. The tactic of selling to the local outlets after the national networks have passed on the ads is an interesting strategy -- especially in this age of consolidation of the major media outlets. It shows that there is a way around the control of mega-media -- if you have the money and
resources of Saudi Arabia!

And what does this do for those who argued against campaign finance reform based on the issue of free speech?

> -----Original Message-----
> From: James Beniger [SMTP:beniger@rcf.usc.edu]
> Sent: Monday, April 29, 2002 9:33 PM
> To: AAPORNEN
> Subject: Saudi ads nixed by cable nets (L Chunovic ElectronicMedia)
> 
> 
> 
>  
> (C) Copyright 2002 by Crain Communications
> 
> http://emonline.com/topstorys/042902saudi.html
>  
> April 29, 2002 5:12 P.M.
> 
> Electronic Media Online -- Television and Media News
> 
> Saudi ads nixed by cable nets
> 
> By LOUIS CHUNOVIC
> 
> At least nine national cable networks have turned down a potentially lucrative -- though controversial -- ad schedule from the Royal Embassy of Saudi Arabia. No national cable networks are known to have accepted the ads.
> 
The 10-day flight is an image campaign from the Arab nation. The tagline for the spots is "The People of Saudi Arabia -- Allies Against Terrorism."

National cable networks that have passed on the Saudi spots include A&E, AMC, Bravo, History Channel, Lifetime, USA Network and The Weather Channel. In total, the Saudis plan on spending more than $10 million on image advertising.

"We had a raging debate," said a senior marketing executive at one of the cable networks approached to run the two 30-second spots. "I looked at the tapes. I thought they were tastefully done," said this executive, who, citing the issue's sensitivity, asked for anonymity. "I didn't like the end line, '[Allies] Against Terrorism.'" This network ended up walking away from a buy that was worth approximately $300,000 to $400,000, the executive said.

Both 30-second commercials feature print on a screen, music in the background and voiceover narration. One features a quote by President George W. Bush, the other a quote from Secretary of State Colin Powell. The ads demonstrate misperceptions about Saudi Arabia by showing
statements about the desert nation that at first appear to be negative
and then transform into what were actually positive remarks by either the
president or the secretary.

One of the spots, for example, starts with the appearance on the screen
of a "misquote" from Secretary of State Colin Powell to the effect that
"Saudi Arabia has been prominent among terrorist organizations"; the
visual then dissolves to the "correct" quote: "Saudi Arabia has been
prominent among the countries acting against the accounts of terrorist
organizations." The voice-over narration intones, "Prejudice, fear and
conflicting views can distort what you see and hear. Please keep you
eyes, ears ... and especially your mind ... open." On screen, the tagline
is seen.

The second spot begins with an on-screen "misquote" from President Bush
that reads, "The Saudi Arabians have been less than cooperative." That
dissolves into the real quote: "As far as the Saudi Arabians go ... they
have been nothing less than cooperative." The voice-over for this spot
is, "Read the editorials, tune in to the Sunday morning news shows or
listen to talk radio if you want opinions. Listen to America's leaders if
you want the facts."

A second major cable network called in its legal department before
deciding to reject the ads. "We always want to take the money," a senior
advertising executive at this network said, but the Saudi ads are "not
appropriate for our brand."

"We turned it down," said yet another senior ad sales executive at a
third national cable network. "We asked them to revisit the creative or
we cannot run it," the executive said, adding that the network's
standards and practices department had been involved in the rejection.

Not every major cable network has been approached on behalf of the
Saudis, however. One senior ad-sales executive said his prominent
ad-supported networks had not been approached to air the ads. But "if
they have an upfront budget, bring 'em on," he said.

Creative Cable Television, a cable-television media buying agency based
in Manhattan Beach, Calif., and Alexandria, Va., is trying to purchase
the spots on behalf of the Saudis. Barbie Johnson, Creative's CEO, at
first told ELECTRONIC MEDIA that national buys had been made.
Subsequently, she said that buys have been made through local multiple
cable systems operators and interconnects in "about 21 markets." These
spots will be inserted locally on eight cable networks.

So to viewers in those markets, the ads may appear to be on the eight
national cable networks, even though time has not have been bought from
the networks.

"They're trying to get in the back door," one network spokesman said.

Ms. Johnson expressed the hope that the national networks would pick up
the ads after they received positive initial local response. "I wouldn't
use the words 'turned down,'" she said of the national networks that so
far have declined to run the Saudi spots. "I would say there are networks
that are waiting so that they're not the only ones on the air, and they
are looking to see what the reaction is of the public.
"I'm not doing this for Saudi Arabia, I'm doing this for the American public," said Ms. Johnson. "The hope is to give balance to the [Saudi] image."

A pro-Saudi TV ad campaign was already airing last week in Washington and a handful of other markets, according to the Reuters wire service. Ms. Johnson said she was not associated with that campaign, nor had she seen it, though the spots, as described in the Reuters report, were the same two.

Ms. Johnson said she is not placing her Saudi spots in New York or Washington. Both of those cities were targets of the Sept. 11 terrorist attack.

The CCT Saudi advertising campaign coincides with last week's visit of Crown Prince Abdullah, the kingdom's de facto ruler, with President Bush.

http://emonline.com/topstorys/042902saudi.html

http://emonline.com/topstorys/042902saudi.html

(C) Copyright 2002 by Crain Communications

*****
One of the weekend programs offering a satirical look at the week's headlines reported that "people in France are marching in the streets, carrying signs saying, 'We're embarrassed to be French,' to which the international reaction was 'Finally!"

JAS

J. Ann Selzer, Ph.D.
Selzer & Company
Des Moines

Thank you Jim, Richard, and Christine,

I went back to the article... and confirmed that Asian respondents in the sample were too small to be classified as a category; however, blacks were oversampled and
weighted along with Whites and Latinos.

As I indicated, it is certain that the Times Poll suffers from coverage error, the undercoverage error of the Asians. This error is very serious as Asians or Korean-Americans were the major victims of the 1992 riots as evidenced by all relevant facts and statistics. The Time Poll missed the target, resulting in low validity. Just like blacks were oversampled from the beginning, the Asians or Korean-Americans (the major victim of the riot) should have been oversampled to be represented and weighted. The optimistic headline, "... Residents more upbeat" may not be a correct statement.

The survey sampling was not well designed by missing the historical significance of the Asian or KA community at the center of the riot. Perhaps this inappropriate sampling design was due to the editorial staff who was not fully aware of the significance of the Asian community's victimization experience during the entire riot. Is this forgetting error?

I participated in a Smithonian event in Washington DC on yesterday April 29, "Sa-I-Gu 10th Anniversary" (i.e., 10th Anniversary of April 29, 1992) featuring 1) Hyungwon Kang of the then LA Times staff who won the Pulitzer prize for coverage of the LA unrest, 2) Kyung Won Lee (the first recipient of the Lifetime Achievement Award from the Asian American Journalists Association), and 3) James Early, the director of Smithsonian Institution's Center for Folklife and Cultural Heritage, who was in Los Angeles during the 1992 riot, ironically representing the Smithsonian at the opening of the Japanese American National Museum and recalled the events vividly.

This Smithonian event well summarizes the April 29, 1992 as follows:

" "Sa-I-Gu" represents a watershed moment in almost 100-year-old Korean American community, a community that has long been politically invisible. The events and tragedies of April 29, 1992 will long be remembered by Korean Americans, whether in Los Angeles or elsewhere, as the galvanizing force which inspired Korean American community leaders as well as members to take a stand and defend themselves in mainstream America. It was through brutal lessons of deaths and destruction that Korean Americans rediscovered our instinct to survive and became emboldened. It was in the face of betrayal and frustration at the lack of governmental accountability that Korean Americans learned to forge a political agenda of self-empowerment. And it was from helplessly watching fellow minorities rise up against us that Korean Americans finally learned the value of building
One important positive note I'd like to add (I don't want to commit the error of undercoverage), the LA Times was perhaps the only prominent daily newspaper that covered the 1992 riot on April 29, 2002. All other major papers including Washington Post, New York Times, the USA Today, etc. did not cover the iota of the 1992 riot on April 29, 2002.

One last note ... I would certainly 1) speak with Susan Pincus, Times Poll director regarding problems with the survey design 2) send a letter to the Editor regarding how the survey was misreported by the news staff, and 3) ask to continue the series with more balanced perspectives discussing the key stakeholders.

Regards,

Young Chun, Senior Research Scientist
American Institutes for Research
Education Statistics Services Institute
1990 K Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20006

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain privileged or confidential information. It is solely for use by the individual for whom it is intended, even if addressed incorrectly. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender; do not disclose, copy, distribute, or take any action in reliance on the contents of this information; and delete it from your system. Any other use of this e-mail is prohibited. Thank you for your compliance.

-----Original Message-----
From: Rho_C [mailto:Rho_C@bls.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2002 5:46 PM
To: 'YChun@air.org'
Subject: RE: LA Times Poll: A Decade Later, Residents More Upbeat (J Merl LATimes)

I had the same reaction as you when I read this article. They reported statistics for whites, blacks and latinos, but not asians, or koreans. So, I went back to the original article, and found a footnote about how not enough respondents were asian, and so couldn't report these statistics. But, I think you should write to the editor of LA times and address this non-coverage problem. You have a really valid point and should be voiced.

Christine H. Rho, PhD
Richard,

In my own opinion, "how the survey was reported by the news staff," as you put it, is much *more* important to the community in question than how that same community might have been represented in the sample. Science is one thing, and history quite another.

-- Jim

On Mon, 29 Apr 2002, Richard wrote:

> > It seems hard to believe that the Times Poll would have not included Asians, and respondents of Korean ethnicity specifically, in the sample. The problem may be with how the survey was reported by the news staff. A call to Susan Pincus, Times Poll director, might clear up this issue faster than a letter to the Editor.

> Richard Maullin
> FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN & ASSOCIATES
> 2425 Colorado Ave. Suite 180
> Santa Monica, CA
> 90404
> 310-828-1183 (voice)
> 310-453-6562 (fax)

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Tue Apr 30 08:32:04 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
   by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
   id g3UFW3e08613 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Tue, 30 Apr 2002 08:32:03 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
   by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
   id IAA01891; Tue, 30 Apr 2002 08:31:54 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
Young Chun,

This looks to me like a contender for the Guinness Book of World Records entry on "best researched and most scholarly letter to be published in the 'Letters to the Editor' section of a major daily metropolitan newspaper." I continue to encourage you to submit it there.

Just imagine how nervous all the professional op-ed columnists published on the same page will be, to see a amateur, unsolicited, and unpaid piece like your own--this outstanding--running beside their own offerings.

Only real live human beings can write history, and if somebody doesn't take the time to write it right, then someone else is certain to write it wrong. Those overlooked, neglected and forgotten in that history have a special responsibility, it seems to me. I hope you agree.

-- Jim

*******

On Tue, 30 Apr 2002, Chun, Young wrote:

> Thank you Jim, Richard, and Christine,
> 
> I went back to the article... and confirmed that Asian respondents in the sample were too small to be classified as a category; however, blacks were oversampled and weighted along with Whites and Latinos.
> 
> As I indicated, it is certain that the Times Poll suffers from coverage error, the undercoverage error of the Asians. This error is very serious as Asians or Korean-Americans were the major victims of the 1992 riots as evidenced by all relevant facts and statistics. The Time Poll missed the target, resulting in low validity. Just like blacks were oversampled from the beginning, the Asians or Korean-Americans (the major victim of the riot) should have been oversampled to be represented and weighted. The optimisitc headline, " ... Residents more upbeat" may not be a correct statement.
The survey sampling was not well designed by missing the historical significance of the Asian or KA community at the center of the riot. Perhaps this inappropriate sampling design was due to the editorial staff who was not fully aware of the significance of the Asian community's victimization experience during the entire riot. Is this forgetting error?

I participated in a Smithonian event in Washington DC on yesterday April 29, "Sa-I-Gu 10th Anniversary" (i.e., 10th Anniversary of April 29, 1992) featuring 1) Hyungwon Kang of the then LA Times staff who won the Pulitzer prize for coverage of the LA unrest, 2) Kyung Won Lee (the first recipient of the Lifetime Achievement Award from the Asian American Journalists Association), and 3) James Early, the director of Smithsonian Institution's Center for Folklife and Cultural Heritage, who was in Los Angeles during the 1992 riot, ironically representing the Smithsonian at the opening of the Japanese American National Museum and recalled the events vividly.

This Smithonian event well summarizes the April 29, 1992 as follows:

"Sa-I-Gu" represents a watershed moment in almost 100-year-old Korean American community, a community that has long been politically invisible. The events and tragedies of April 29, 1992 will long be remembered by Korean Americans, whether in Los Angeles or elsewhere, as the galvanizing force which inspired Korean American community leaders as well as members to take a stand and defend themselves in mainstream America. It was through brutal lessons of deaths and destruction that Korean Americans rediscovered our instinct to survive and became emboldened. It was in the face of betrayal and frustration at the lack of governmental accountability that Korean Americans learned to forge a political agenda of self-empowerment. And it was from helplessly watching fellow minorities rise up against us that Korean Americans finally learned the value of building bridges with others in America."

One important positive note I'd like to add (I don't want to commit the error of undercoverage), the LA Times was perhaps the only prominent daily newspaper that covered the 1992 riot on April 29, 2002. All other major papers including Washington Post, New York Times, the USA Today, etc. did not cover the iota of the 1992 riot on April 29, 2002.

One last note ... I would certainly 1) speak with Susan Pincus, Times Poll
> director regarding problems with the survey design
> 2) send a letter to the Editor regarding how the survey was
> misrepresented by
> the news staff, and 3) ask to continue the series with more balanced
> perspectives
> discussing the key stakeholders.
> Regards,
> Young Chun, Senior Research Scientist
> American Institutes for Research
> Education Statistics Services Institute
> 1990 K Street, NW, Suite 500
> Washington, DC 20006
> Regards,
> Christine H. Rho, PhD
> Research Psychologist
> Office of Survey Methods Research
> Bureau of Labor Statistics
> 2 Massachusetts Ave., NE, Rm 1950
> Washington, DC 20212
> (202) 691-7399
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rho_C [mailto:Rho_C@bls.gov]
> Sent: Monday, April 29, 2002 5:46 PM
> To: 'YChun@air.org'
> Subject: RE: LA Times Poll: A Decade Later, Residents More Upbeat (J
> Merl LATimes)
> 
> I had the same reaction as you when I read this article. They reported
> statistics for whites, blacks and latinos, but not asians, or koreans. So,
> I went back to the original article, and found a footnote about how not
> enough respondents were asian, and so couldn't report these statistics.
> But, I think you should write to the editor of LA times and address this
> non-coverage problem. You have a really valid point and should be voiced.
> 
> Christine H. Rho, PhD
> Research Psychologist
> Office of Survey Methods Research
> Bureau of Labor Statistics
> 2 Massachusetts Ave., NE, Rm 1950
> Washington, DC 20212
> (202) 691-7399
> 
> -----Original Message-----
> From: James Beniger [mailto:beniger@rcf.usc.edu]
> Sent: Monday, April 29, 2002 5:57 PM
> To: Richard
> Cc: 'AAPORNET@usc.edu'
> Subject: Re: Young Chun's message
Richard,

In my own opinion, "how the survey was reported by the news staff," as you put it, is much *more* important to the community in question than how that same community might have been represented in the sample. Science is one thing, and history quite another. -- Jim

On Mon, 29 Apr 2002, Richard wrote:

It seems hard to believe that the Times Poll would have not included Asians, and respondents of Korean ethnicity specifically, in the sample. The problem may be with how the survey was reported by the news staff. A call to Susan Pincus, Times Poll director, might clear up this issue faster than a letter to the Editor.

Richard Maullin
FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN & ASSOCIATES
2425 Colorado Ave. Suite 180
Santa Monica, CA
90404
310-828-1183 (voice)
310-453-6562 (fax)

From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Tue Apr 30 08:41:49 2002
Received: from usc.edu (root@usc.edu [128.125.253.136])
    by listproc.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3UFfme09413 for <aapornet@listproc.usc.edu>; Tue, 30 Apr 2002 08:41:48 -0700
    (PDT)
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (beniger@almaak.usc.edu [128.125.253.167])
    by usc.edu (8.9.3.1/8.9.3/usc) with ESMTP
    id IAA10507 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 30 Apr 2002 08:41:49 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
    by almaak.usc.edu (8.10.1/8.10.1/usc) with ESMTP
    id g3UFe8t17977 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 30 Apr 2002 08:40:08 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Tue, 30 Apr 2002 08:40:07 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Digital Divide Network Request for Submissions!! (fwd)
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0204300836050.9434-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Digital Divide Network Request for Submissions
<http://www.digitaldividenetwork.org/>

The Digital Divide Network (DDN) is one of the nation's leading online resources for information about the gap between those who have access to information technology and the skills to use it effectively, and those who do not.

One of DDN's goals is to provide community leaders, policy professionals and practitioners with a public forum to share information and strategies related to bridging the divide.

Currently, DDN is particularly interested in articles that address the following topics:

- women and information technology
- communities of color and information technology
- seniors and information technology
- community economic development and information technology
- broadband applications and deployment
- international digital divide issues
- culturally relevant content
- planning, developing and sustaining community technology centers
- strategic partnerships: community based nonprofits, government and industry

Submission Guidelines
-----------------------
If you are interested in contributing an article to the Digital Divide Network (preferably 700-1500 words), please send queries, via email, to Diana Schneider at the Benton Foundation: diana@benton.org. Your article will be highlighted in one of the Digital Divide Network channels (Digital Divide Basics, Access, Literacy & Learning, Content, Economic Development, International Issues). http://www.digitaldividenetwork.org.

We will contact only those individuals whose articles are selected for publication. The submission deadline is May 27, 2002.

About the Benton Foundation
-----------------------------
Based in Washington DC, the Benton Foundation's mission is to articulate a public interest vision for the digital age and demonstrate the value of communications for solving social problems.
Mona Charen, a nationally syndicated columnist, advised Jewish voters to reassess Democratic Party loyalty. ... Thomas B. Edsall of The Washington Post reported, "Republican Party strategists are hoping to capitalize on President Bush's strong pro-Israel policies to crack the Democratic loyalties of Jewish voters and donors who have provided vital support to the Democratic Party for decades." (Complete articles are not posted below—see links). Mark Richards

Questions that beg for answers
Mona Charen
THE WASHINGTON TIMES
http://www.washingtontimes.com/commentary/20020430-9715844.htm

Where is the universal condemnation of Palestinian war crimes? Why have we heard no protests from the Christian world at the barbarous taking of
priests as hostages at the Church of the Nativity? Why, when the press presents emotional accounts of Palestinians unable to reach hospitals because the ambulances in which they were traveling were stopped at Israeli checkpoints, are we never told that many ambulances have been found ferrying bombs and weapons (another violation of the Geneva Conventions)? ... And why do four out of five American Jews continue to vote Democrat? At the pro-Israel rally in Washington, Republicans and conservatives - including (smelling salts please) Christian conservatives - were well represented. On the op-ed pages and on television news, as the peerless William Safire has observed, it is conservatives who are morally committed to Israel's security and liberals whose sympathies go toward the Palestinians.

National polls show the same thing. Here's the Gallup poll: "Throughout the 1990s, Republicans consistently gave larger margins of support to the Israelis over the Palestinians than did the Democrats." A recent poll found that 67 percent of Republicans support Israel vs. only 8 percent supporting the Palestinians. Among Democrats, only 45 percent support Israel while 21 percent favor the Palestinians. Among conservatives, 59 percent support Israel, while only 41 percent of liberals say they do. Forty percent of liberals prefer the Palestinians. Fifty-four percent of whites support Israel vs. only 38 percent of non-whites.

Since supporting the Palestinians means winking at the most vile anti-Semitism since Hitler, and since so many liberals seem comfortable doing so, doesn't this trump other issues (like abortion) that cause Jews to vote Democrat? Isn't a reassessment of Jewish political loyalties overdue?

In 1932, publisher Robert Vann recommended that blacks depart the Republican Party. "Go turn Lincoln's picture to the wall," he said. "That debt is paid in full." American Jews ought now to do the same to the picture of the man Mr. Vann supported - Franklin Roosevelt. Jews have many old enemies, but some loyal friends among American conservatives.

GOP Eyes Jewish Vote With Bush Tack on Israel
President's Policy Has Community Leaders Questioning Democratic Allegiance
By Thomas B. Edsall
WASHINGTON POST Staff Writer
Tuesday, April 30, 2002; Page A07

Republican Party strategists are hoping to capitalize on President Bush's strong pro-Israel policies to crack the Democratic loyalties of Jewish voters and donors who have provided vital support to the Democratic Party for decades. Bush, who received only 19 percent of the Jewish vote in 2000, has impressed many influential Jewish groups and individuals with his handling of the war on terrorism and his stands on the Israel-Palestinian conflict. Some of them say they are strongly considering shifting their support to the GOP, a move that could boost Republican success in the fall congressional elections, Bush's 2004 reelection campaign and beyond.

"Quite frankly, the Republican Party is in a position for this president to realign the Jewish community in much the same way FDR [President Franklin Delano Roosevelt] did," said Matthew Brooks, executive director of the Republican Jewish Coalition. Brooks said the inclusion of Jews in Roosevelt's New Deal coalition helped turn voters toward the Democratic Party in the 1930s. ....

...Democratic National Committee Chairman Terence R. McAuliffe contended that Jewish support for the party will remain firm. "American Jews know that
the Democratic Party has always been and continues to be a strong supporter of Israel," he said. "The Jewish community has overwhelmingly supported the Democratic Party for the past 80 years because they share the values Democrats believe in. Come November, you will see that none of this has changed."...

...White House spokesman Ari Fleischer, who has made appearances at Florida synagogues at the behest of the Republican Jewish Coalition, said in an interview, "A door that was previously only open narrowly is now opened wide in terms of Jewish support. There is no telling how wide this door will swing open, but it has a lot of potential."

U.S. support higher for Israelis, polls say
By Ellen Sorokin
THE WASHINGTON TIMES
http://www.washingtontimes.com/national/20020430-43442508.htm

More Americans support the Israelis rather than the Palestinians in the current Mideast conflict, but they blame both groups for not reaching peace in the region, the latest public opinion polls show.

Fifty percent of Americans sympathize with the Israelis, compared with 15 percent who side with Palestinians in the latest dispute, the Gallup poll shows.

In addition, 67 percent of Americans believe Israel was justified in taking military action against the Palestinians in response to recent suicide bombing attacks, according to a CBS News poll.

The leader of a Jewish group said American support is vital in Israel's
conflict with Palestinians.

"The support of the American people, the U.S. government and the administration is critical when other political groups and governments are seeking to distort Israel's record and isolate it," said Malcolm Hoenlein, executive vice chairman of the Conference of Presidents of Major Jewish Organizations in New York.

A Muslim leader said yesterday he is not disheartened by the latest polls.

"These polls are selective and are conducted with an aim in mind," said Faiz Rehman, spokesman for the American Muslim Council in Washington. "To an extent, these polls reflect the deeply rooted misperceptions that Americans have of Muslims. But the results don't discount what's happening in the Middle East."

A Fox News/Opinion Dynamics poll shows 36 percent of Americans believe that the Israelis and the Palestinians both should take the blame for failing to reach a peace agreement in the region. Thirty-three percent blame the Palestinians and 12 percent blame Israel, according to that poll.

Also, 41 percent of Americans say Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon and Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat should leave their posts for both groups to reach a peace agreement, the Fox survey shows. Twenty-five percent say only Mr. Arafat should step down.

The same survey also shows that 63 percent of Americans oppose sending U.S. troops to the Middle East now to bring about a cease-fire. But 48 percent also said they would support sending troops there to keep the peace after a treaty is signed.

A recent Gallup Poll shows Republicans give larger margins of support than Democrats to Israel over the Palestinians. Sixty-seven percent of Republicans side with the Israelis, while 45 percent of Democrats support them. The poll also shows that 54 percent of white Americans support Israel, compared with 38 percent of nonwhites.

Meanwhile, President Bush continues to get high marks for his job performance. Voters gave Mr. Bush a 69 percent approval rating, down from the 88 percent he received in October, a few weeks after the September 11 attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, according to a Zogby International poll.

"The laws of politics and gravity converge," pollster John Zogby said. "It is very hard for any president to sustain stratospheric job-approval ratings."

Mr. Bush is also receiving high marks for his handling of the conflict in the Middle East. About 60 percent of Americans approve of the way Mr. Bush is coping with the conflict, and 48 percent believe the president has treated both sides fairly, a Newsweek poll shows.

However, 35 percent of those surveyed say the Bush administration has made little progress in achieving peace. Thirty percent say Mr. Bush has made some progress, and 24 percent say he has made no progress, the poll shows.

In addition, 51 percent of Americans say the Bush administration does not have "a well-thought-out plan" for ending violence between the Israelis and the Palestinians. Sixty-two percent said Secretary of State Colin L. Powell's recent visit to the Middle East was "worthwhile," the poll shows.

The CBS poll also shows that 42 percent of Americans favor the establishment of a Palestinian homeland in the occupied territories of the West Bank and Gaza Strip. The same poll further shows that 51 percent of those polled think Israel should maintain its presence in the areas it occupies, compared with 28 percent who think it should withdraw.
This report on "the anniversary of the 1992 unrest," by K. Connie Kang and Kenneth Reich, appears on page 10 of the "California" section of today's Los Angeles Times. I think you may be surprised, as am I, by how much the picture here of the "1992 unrest" differs from the images that we have been discussing based on other recent reporting by the LA Times.

-- Jim

Copyright 2002 Los Angeles Times
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L.A. Reflects, Looks to City's Future

LEGACY: A GROUNDBREAKING, AS WELL AS COMPLAINTS THAT NOTHING HAS CHANGED, GREET THE ANNIVERSARY OF THE 1992 UNREST.

By K. CONNIE KANG and KENNETH REICH
Times Staff Writers

Los Angeles marked the anniversary of the 1992 riots on Monday with joyful multicultural celebrations and promises to work toward ethnic harmony--but also with bitter reflections on the causes of the civil
unrest.

With ceremonies, concerts, exhibits, speeches and picket signs, residents considered the meaning of the violence that followed the acquittal 10 years ago of LAPD officers accused of beating motorist Rodney King—and on progress made in the decade since.

What is right with Los Angeles as well as what is wrong were both in evidence in events at the corner of Florence and Normandie avenues, epicenter of the unrest.

Even as a group of classical musicians and activists from African American, Latino and Korean communities expressed their hopes for ethnic harmony, critics charged that these efforts don't touch the deeper problems facing their community.

"This is [just] Hollywood," said Howard Mack, a neighborhood resident who works for a telephone company, as he watched on the sidelines.

"Nothing has changed," he said. "Why don't you come here at 6 o'clock, when the sun goes down, and see reality?"

Mack, along with several others, heatedly charged that despite promises of redevelopment, his neighborhood hasn't seen any improvement.

But Rod Norris, a resident who lives four blocks from the intersection, said he was deeply moved by the event, which included the lighting of a "unity candle" and people joining hands to observe 30 seconds of silence to mark the 10th anniversary of the beginning of three days of civil unrest that shook the city.

"It was so beautiful," said Norris, an X-ray technician. "The music brought a sense of unity that I haven't seen in a while."

Three violinists—Ron Clark, who is black; Jesus Florido, a Latino; and Chan-Ho Yun, a Korean American—played Pachelbel's Canon, mesmerizing onlookers in a lot in front of a liquor store at the northeast corner.

"Los Angeles is the leader city in the U.S. with all these cultures in one place," said Florido, an immigrant from Venezuela.

"What better way to bring people together than through music?"

Yun, a violin teacher at Colburn School of Performing Arts, also helped organize a 500-member interracial children's choir that was scheduled to perform later Monday at Praises of Zion Baptist Church.

"Our focus should be on children," said Loretta Jones, executive director of Healthy African American Families, an organizer of the event.

"They're the ones who will make a difference. Adults don't seem to be ready to take [it] on. But children will [do] anything together."

Elsewhere in South-Central, community leaders held a groundbreaking ceremony for an $11-million commercial development on the southwest corner of Vermont and Slauson that will include a supermarket and a Burger King. The supermarket, expected to open in late November, will be
one of four L.A. outlets of the Mexican-owned Gigante chain.

The project is spearheaded by the Vermont Slauson Economic Development Corp., assisted by the Los Angeles Community Development Bank and others.

Speaking at Monday's ceremony, Councilwoman Jan Perry called the preparations leading up to the project "long and tortuous."

"You're not going to have any problems, because we need this project," she said, adding that she did not expect the city to put any impediments in its way.

Also in South-Central, 80 activists gathered at Western and Slauson to protest the continuing presence of liquor stores, seen as an emblem of economic exploitation of the community.

Karen Bass, executive director of the Community Coalition, said her organization has in the years since the riots prevented many liquor stores from reopening. But she blamed the city attorney's office, building and safety authorities and the zoning administrator for not acting forcefully enough to shut down others.


Copyright 2002 Los Angeles Times
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Has anyone used the StatPac web survey software? If so, would you mind sharing your experience with this package for collecting reliable survey data? I'm particularly interested in being able to download the data in a format for importing to SPSS.

Thanks much

Terry Westover
Evaluation Coordinator
Audit & Evaluation
City of Boulder
303-441-3143

I don't know anything about the web survey module, but I use StatPac for all my data analysis from telephone surveys. I love it. Importing and exporting data to and from different formats (including SPSS) is a snap, so I'm sure the web module can do that too.

As a stats package, it's really easy to use, allows you great flexibility in formatting the content and appearance of your output, and the tech support is incredible - the president of the company, who wrote the
program, does the tech support himself. No question goes unanswered or unresolved.

StatPac is also designed primarily for survey research -- so it's easier to use for surveys, but may be less appropriate than SPSS for other kinds of data and social research.

Call me if you have any questions...or call David Walonick, the president of StatPac, at (612) 925-0159 and mention my name (you never know when brownie points will come in handy).

I should mention that I have no interest in StatPac, other than being a satisfied customer. Good luck.

Jerold Pearson, '75
Director of Market Research
Stanford Alumni Association
650-723-9186
jpearson@stanford.edu
http://www.stanford.edu/~jpearson/
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There was one off-list reply to my original message pointing out that both
the CNN and ABC headlines were accurate. That is true as was my point about
choice.
The ABCNews head on gasoline prices in the ABCNews Link on my Earthlink startpage sidebar did not survive for long after my post to AAPORNET last night contrasting it with CNN's on the same topic. It was replaced by a head about an ABC Poll on this topic, posted by ABCNews at 09:13AM. At this moment, the link I gave in my AAPORNET post still brings up the ABCNews report I quoted, however.

Forbes.com, to which my startpage also links, took a yet different tack today to the topic:

vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
Top Of The News
The First Gasoline Gripe Of The Season
Dan Ackman, 04.30.02, 9:05 AM ET

NEW YORK - It's almost summer: time for U.S. drivers to start complaining about "high gas prices." These complaints--just like complaints about the weather--depend on forgetting what summer was like last year and the year before that.

A report released by a U.S. Senate subcommittee Monday says some oil refineries intentionally held back on the supply of gasoline in already tight fuel markets helping to produce sharp price spikes, especially in the Midwest.


----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Albert Biderman" <abider@american.edu> 
To: "AAPORNET" <aapornet@usc.edu> 
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2002 11:59 PM 
Subject: CNN vs ABC Business News 

> Business news web sites? Pays yer money and takes yer cherce. 
> See below.

> Albert Biderman 
> abider@american.edu 
> vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv 
> Democrats' gas pricing probe finds no collusion 
> April 29, 2002 Posted: 4:35 PM EDT (2035 GMT)
> >From Brooks Jackson 
> CNN Washington 
> WASHINGTON (CNN) -- Despite 10 months of investigation and review of 265,000 oil-company documents, investigators for Senate Democrats have found no evidence of collusion by refiners in the gasoline price spikes of the past two summers.
> vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
Pressure at the Pump

Senate Report Charges Oil Companies With Manipulating Gas Prices

April 29 - A congressional report released today charges that the oil industry has been squeezing drivers at the pump in the Midwest and California.

###
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MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

POLLING ABSTRACT

Cellphones in Japan are eroding people's writing skills. In a poll of 3,000 Japanese adults conducted in January by the Yomiuri Shimbun newspaper, 27 percent said that the use of computers and cellphones had made their handwriting worse, and 52 percent said they had forgotten some characters. With more young adults reading cellphones in subways, sales of books and magazines in Japan dropped last year, for the fifth year in a row.

-- Jim

YOUTH LET THEIR THUMBS DO THE TALKING IN JAPAN

By JAMES BROOKE

TOKYO, April 29 -- Leaning alone against a wall, wearing sunglasses on a rainy afternoon, Daisuke Yoshioka is a black-jacketed image of urban loneliness. But, for this member of Japan's thumb generation, a cyberweb of friends is only thumb strokes away.

"I get about 80 e-mails a day," this 18-year-old college student says, his right thumb flicking expertly through a directory of incoming e-mails on the screen of his Web-capable cellphone. "Some of my friends now only use their thumbs for pressing doorbells, or pointing at things."

In a quiet technology-driven change, young Japanese are developing hyperagile thumbs, the fruit of childhoods spent furiously thumbing hand-held computer games and now young adulthoods spent thumbing out e-mail messages on cellphone key pads.

"Their thumbs have become bigger, more muscular," said Sadie Plant, author of a new report of "On the Mobile," a study of cellphone habits of people in eight major world cities. Talking from Birmingham, England, she said that Japan's "oya yubi sedai," or "thumb generation," was "the most advanced in the world."

"What impressed me in Tokyo was their ability to tap in a message without even looking at the keypad," she said of her study, which was financed by Motorola.

Television stations in Japan have held thumbing speed contests. Last year, one young woman was clocked thumbing out 100 Chinese characters in a one-minute burst, similar to typing 100 words a minute, a feat normally done with all fingers flying.

While thumb-operated computer games have been around for years, thumb-operated, Web-capable phones are new. The number of Japanese cellphones equipped for e-mail has jumped to 50 million today, about 40 percent of the population, from 10 million two years ago. With the United States years away from such mass use of cellphones for messaging, Japan has become a national experiment for intensive thumb use.


Sending text messages appeals to Japan's passion for discretion. Messages can be sent and received silently in university lectures, business meetings, and in crowded commuter trains where talking on cellphones is often banned.

Aki Goto, a 21-year-old college student who carries a tiny American flag
and a hot red KISS ME sticker on her phone, said of her text messages: "I am not intruding on others when they are in the middle of doing something. The receivers check them whenever it suits them."

Across town, in a white tablecloth restaurant where talking on cellphones is discouraged, Ayako Inaba's right thumbnail -- peach pink with little silver stars -- silently guided her through the electronic tree in her cellphone display.

"It has changed how I live," said the 22-year-old fashion journalist who bought her Web-capable cellphone as soon as she moved back to Tokyo from New York last spring. "We used to say, 'We will meet at 7:30 in the Ginza in front of the lion of Mitsukoshi department store.' Now we just say, 'Let's meet at 7 in the Ginza.'"

Wandering Tokyo's premiere fashion district, Ms. Inaba and her girlfriends negotiate their dockings by thumbing out messages with their coordinates. In contrast to this fluid style of living, she said that in New York, "I missed a lot of meetings."

Thumbing through her in box, she read from the text index -- a message in English from her boyfriend in Italy, a message in Chinese characters, or kanji, from an old boyfriend in Japan, and a message from a college girlfriend.

"She is saying that she has a boyfriend, but is seeing another man; I messaged back, 'You like him? Go for it,' " Ms. Inaba said, focusing intently on her flip-top cellphone, the indispensable life tool for the modern young Japanese.

In the restrooms of chic bars and clubs, women are often seen thumbing out progress reports on their dates to girlfriends.

"I am spending less and less time with my parents, so I report my activities to them by e-mail," said Ms. Goto, the college student. Using the Japanese word for cellphone, she continued: "Girls use keitai mail more than boys. I get about 50 mails a day. I send out mails like, 'I am here!' or 'Are you there?'"

Using a cellphone that retrieves most frequently used characters from memory, Ms Goto said: "My thumb has become faster and more agile."

Thumb skills are spawning thumb snobs.

Kannon Konno, a 20-year-old college student, paused from perusing her e-mail to watch a middle-aged man pecking at his cellphone with an index finger. She commented drily: "I think he should use a P.C."

On a cellphone, speeding thumbs make road kill of grammar and punctuation. Some cellphone companies include 200 pictographs in an electronic vocabulary.

Interviewed by e-mail, Etsuko Yano, an airline employee, thumbed back this high-speed missive: "Honestly, I am a expert in to punch out with thumbs! My thumbs remember exactly the right place of words. I always send mails to my friends from my cellphone using only one hand, I mean only one thumb. Very easy and fast and sooooo convenient to make mails
In Japanese, cellphones are eroding people's writing skills. In a poll of 3,000 Japanese adults conducted in January by Yomiuri Shimbun newspaper, 27 percent said that the use of computers and cellphones had made their handwriting worse, and 52 percent said they had forgotten some characters. With more young adults reading cellphones in subways, sales of books and magazines in Japan dropped last year, for the fifth year in a row.

In the future, Japanese thumbs could suffer from the repetitive stress ailments that sometimes afflict the hands of computer workers.

But so far, thumb stress is mild, partly because cellphone text addicts glide their thumbs across the keys, exerting minimal pressure. Dr. Yasuuki Watanabe, a Tokyo neurologist, said of thumb cases he has treated: "The number is small, I have just seen several."

Thumbs, the doctor cautioned, should not be belittled. Scientific research indicates that "thumbs dominate a huge area of the brain. In Japan, if you lose a thumb, you are redesignated under our national labor legislation as heavily handicapped."

---------------------------------------------------------------------------
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POLICE BRACE FOR MAYHEM AS WORLD MARKS MAY DAY

Tensions over the shock success of the far-right in France, the Middle East crisis and fears of globalisation and joblessness threaten to turn violent when May Day celebrations kick off worldwide.

Police will be out in force, fearing the cocktail of causes could combine to spark major clashes, especially in Europe where the traditional workers' day celebration has taken on a new dimension.

By far the largest demonstrations are expected to take place in France, a country still reeling from far-right leader Jean-Marie Le Pen's sweep to the second round of presidential elections.

Attention will be focussed on Paris, where Le Pen's National Front (FN) will also be marching to celebrate medieval French heroine Joan of Arc.

In Paris some 3,000 police are to be deployed to keep order and ensure that opposing demonstrators remain well apart.

Authorities fear one flashpoint could be a bridge over the river Seine, not far from the FN's route, where a ceremony is being organised by left-wing groups in memory of an Arab man killed in 1995 by skinheads.

Police said that as many as 250,000 people could take part in the demonstrations.

In London, police are bracing for clashes with anti-capitalist protestors in Mayfair, one of the capital's wealthiest districts, calling out up to 6,000 officers to deal with loosely organised protestors across the city ranging from sex workers to animal rights groups, anarchists to cyclists.

"We do still have very real fears about hard core protestors," Assistant Commissioner Mike Todd said.

Some websites, such as Mayday 2002, give an indication of what can be expected: it gives advice on "padding up" -- wearing protective clothing, headgear such as motorcycle helmets, and shields such as dustbin lids.

In Germany, police said they fear the protests over the crisis in the Middle East will give them no break from the annual round of street battles.
Police chief Gerd Neubeck told reporters that Berlin had "many citizens who are deeply affected by the conflict" in the Middle East.

He noted a pro-Palestinian demonstration two weeks ago had been marred by violence and property destruction, including hundreds of thousands of euros (dollars) of damage to the British embassy near the landmark Brandenburg Gate.

A massive police presence of 9,000 officers in 2001 in Berlin was unable to avert rioting by the far left.

Germany's extreme-right National Democratic Party (NPD) will also be out on the streets, hoping to draw 1,500 supporters to the eastern district of Hohenschonhausen. Leftist groups plan a counter-demonstration.

May Day this year also comes amid a bitter wage dispute between employers and the powerful IG Metall engineering and metalworking union, and tensions between the unions and Social Democratic Chancellor Gerhard Schroeder over his economic and jobs policy in the run-up to general elections in September.

Some 50,000 people are expected to join a communist party-organised march in Moscow, demanding the resignation of Russian Prime Minister Mikhail Kassianov and his government for, among other complaints, "the exploitation of the people by monopolies."

Across Asia, the economy will also top the list of concerns. The fear of globalization among recession-weary workers could fire up protests, with security forces across the region taking no chances.

Police will be out in force in Australia, where anarchists, Trotskyists, and anti-capitalist demonstrators are promising animated celebrations again after violent clashes last year, and in Indonesia, where 7,000 police will patrol Jakarta's streets.

In Sydney, activists of many stripes are planning a "militant blockade" against numerous organisations, including the offices of Australasian Correctional Management (ACM), which runs immigration detention centres.

A spokeswoman said the focus of the protest would be the government's policy of mandatory detention, global capitalism and Israel's treatment of the Palestinians.

"It's become a struggle against so many things ... May 1 is a convergence of all these issues and in a sense we're protesting for a different world, one that puts people before profits and respects human rights, justice and equality for all," a spokeswoman said.

In the Philippines, some 6,000 anti-riot police backed by a special military task force will guard the presidential palace in Manila, which came under attack last year.

On the Korean peninsula some 50,000 workers belonging to South Korea's Federation of Korean Trade Unions (FKTU) will rally in 10 cities, while another 10,000 members of the Korean Confederation of Trade Unions (KCTU) will be out in Seoul.
Hong Kong, meanwhile, only declared May 1 a holiday after reverting to Chinese rule in 1997. With unemployment at a record seven percent, labour unions are planning a protest to call for a minimum wage and collective bargaining power.

In Vietnam the event has long been overshadowed by the anniversary of the 1975 victory in the Vietnam War on April 30.

Communist China, for its part, has cast aside May Day rallies in favour of more capitalist pursuits like shopping and tourism.

http://sg.news.yahoo.com/020430/1/2os16.html
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"According to a New Jersey poll, 92% of married women say they would marry the same man I¿½ Mel Gibson."

-- Jay Leno