

=====
Date: Wed, 28 Aug 2002 11:20:27 -0700
Sender: AAPORNET@ASU.EDU
From: Shapard Wolf <shap.wolf@ASU.EDU>
Subject: April 1998 archive - one BIG message

This is the USC Listproc archive of AAPORNET messages for this entire month. It is one big message, in chronological order, just the way the USC archive stored it. You can search within this month with your browser's search function (usually Ctrl-F).

Turning this into individual messages that ASU's Listserv software can index and sort means a lot of reformatting. We will do this as time permits.

New messages are of course automatically formatted and indexed correctly, and I have converted November 1994 through January 1995 and June 2002 to the present.

Shap Wolf
Survey Research Laboratory
Arizona State University
shap.wolf@asu.edu
AAPORNET volunteer host

Begin archive:

Archive aapornet, file log9804.
Part 1/1, total size 421372 bytes:

----- Cut here -----
>From ande271@ibm.net Wed Apr 1 08:21:20 1998
Received: from out1.ibm.net (out1.ibm.net [165.87.194.252])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id IAA02431 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 1 Apr 1998 08:21:17 -0800
(PST)
Received: from default (slip-32-100-113-240.ny.us.ibm.net [32.100.113.240])
by out1.ibm.net (8.8.5/8.6.9) with SMTP id QAA16240 for <aapornet@usc.edu>;
Wed, 1 Apr 1998 16:21:00 GMT
Message-ID: <352293E7.4919@ibm.net>
Date: Wed, 01 Apr 1998 11:22:15 -0800
From: Jeanne Anderson <ande271@ibm.net>
Reply-To: ande271@ibm.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: Survey Incentives
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It is not the reporters who do the damage, but legislators who link

incentives with a "biased" questionnaire. The two issues are separate and should not be linked in discussions - by anyone. We as researchers should be able to get that accepted!

>From Irv Crespi@AOL.com Wed Apr 1 11:19:38 1998
Received: from imo21.mx.aol.com (imo21.mx.aol.com [198.81.17.65])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id LAA05265 for <AAPORNET@usc.edu>; Wed, 1 Apr 1998 11:19:35 -0800
(PST)

Received: from Irv Crespi@AOL.com
by imo21.mx.aol.com (IMOv13.ems) id 0YONa05947
for <AAPORNET@usc.edu>; Wed, 1 Apr 1998 14:18:53 -0500 (EST)

From: Irv Crespi <IrvCrespi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <5c425247.3522931f@aol.com>
Date: Wed, 1 Apr 1998 14:18:53 EST
To: AAPORNET@usc.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Subject: AAPOR's commercial membership
Content-type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="part0_891458333_boundary"
X-Mailer: AOL 3.0 for Windows 95 sub 18

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--part0_891458333_boundary
Content-ID: <0_891458333@inet_out.mail.aol.com.1>
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

The message is attached.

--part0_891458333_boundary
Content-ID: <0_891458333@inet_out.mail.aol.com.2>
Content-type: application/octet-stream;
name="AAPORMEM"
Content-transfer-encoding: base64
Content-disposition: inline

```
/1dQzQCAAABCgABAAAAAPv/BQAYAAABAAD//w4AAAABC AAAABgAQAAAAUAAAAAP//WgAAAKYA  
AAD//wAAAAAAQAAQ291cmllciAxMGNwaQAIi3wAeAAAAAEAAAAAAAAAAAAAAQAAAAAD4MMIBeAD+  
FTYQWAcAAAAEUDJAJM49QELAFgCQP7+/v7+////v/////////7//////////  
////1BhbmFzb25pYyBLWC1QMTE4MAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABQQUtYUdExOC5QU1MA  
2wF4ABQeDBeMCGAAAAQRQMkAh88BAAEAAAAAAAAAAWAIQiCDJdFgCQPv/BQAYAAACAAD//xAA  
AAAYAQABwAOAAAAQgEAAA8AVgAAAFABAAAMAFoAAACmQAACCN8AHgAAAAABAAAAAAAAAAEENv  
dXJpZXIgmTBjcGkA+AD//4kAPwB4AHgAeAB4AAEAAAAA+DDCAXgA/hU2EFgHAAAAABBFAYQCT  
OPUBCWBYAkD+/v7+/v////7/////////+//////////9QYw5hc29uaWMg  
S1gtUDExODAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAUEFLWFAXMTguUFJTANsBeAAUHgwXjAoAAAAE  
EUDJAIIFPAQABAAAAAAAFgCEIgyXRYAkD7/wUAMgAAAAAAAwAAAAAMgIAAP//RgAAAGAA  
AAIAAIAAAAYAgAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAWQIIBwgHDwDBwQJgCWAJFADBwQK4C7gLGQDBwQIQ  
DhAOHgDBREVDTELOSU5HIENPTU1FUkNJQUwgTUVNqkVSU0hJUAoKQ29uc2lkZXJhYmx1IGNv  
bmNlcm4gaGFzIGJlZW4gZXhwcmVzC2VkIG92ZXIgdGh1IGRlY2xpbnUgaW4gZmV3DUFBUE9S  
IG1lbWJlcnMgZnJvbSB0aGUgY29tbWVyY2lhbCBzZW50b3IuIFBsYW5zIGFyZSBIZWluZyBk
```


Received: from bootp-140-192.bootp.Virginia.EDU
(bootp-140-192.bootp.Virginia.EDU [128.143.140.192]) by
server1.mail.virginia.edu (8.8.5/8.6.6) with SMTP id OAA26779 for
<aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 1 Apr 1998 14:38:56 -0500 (EST)
From: "Thomas M. Guterbock" <tmg1p@server1.mail.virginia.edu>
Sender: tmg1p@server1.mail.virginia.edu
To: AAPORnet List server <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Re: CE Survey & AAPOR
Message-ID: <SIMEON.9804011456.G@bootp-140-192.bootp.Virginia.EDU>
Date: Wed, 1 Apr 1998 14:38:56 -0500 (EST)
X-Mailer: Simeon for Windows Version 4.0.9
X-Authentication: IMSP
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII

I don't really disagree with Stuart's comments or with the concerns raised by Jeanne, but all of us do need to keep a few facts in mind:

1) AAPOR standards are mute on the question of whether or not incentives should be used. I guess any AAPOR member with access to the standards could have verified to a reporter that use of incentives would not constitute a violation of our standards. But I don't see how making our standards better known would have changed the CE situation.

2) AAPOR cannot prevent surveys from being attacked for possible bias or wasteful use of resources. Such attacks are not always scurrilous nor always ill-founded. Even when made by politicians. When such attacks are made, "no comment" would probably be the best official response by AAPOR in a lot of cases.

3) The question of whether incentives could lead to bias is not in itself an inherently silly one. Didn't Richard Bolstein of GMU publish some results on that in his incentives research? I vaguely recall that very large incentives did lead to noticeable changes in the content of open-end comments by respondents, in one of his studies, but I haven't gone back to check.

And lastly, a matter of opinion:

I'm not sure that we should feel comfortable with the idea that any "AAPOR member" should be able to speak on the organization's behalf. The quick-response mechanism set up by the Council is meant to get questions like this to folks with sufficient responsibility and experience to handle these inquiries in a way that advances the objectives of AAPOR and the profession.

Just my 2 cents . . .

Tom

On Mon, 30 Mar 1998 08:21:31 -0800 "H. Stuart Elway" <hse@elwaypoll.com>

wrote:

> I agree with Jeanne Anderson about the need to put AAPOR in position to be
> the authoritative source for questions about survey methodology. We
all
> worry about what the proliferation of survey research firms has done
> to the quality of research that is being reported in the media every
> day. Setting standards, it seems to me, is an important reason for
> the existence of AAPOR. An important part of setting standards is
> making them known. I also agree with Anderson that we have done a poor
job of that.
> When questions about survey practices arise, a reporter is going to
> call someone s/he knows -- most likely the firm that does the polling
> for their newspaper or station (I was called and talked at length
> with the Seattle Times reporter about the CE survey). How helpful if
> all such calls went to AAPOR members who could cite the association's
> position. Stuart Elway Elway Research, Inc.
> 206/728-1620

Thomas M. Guterbock Voice:(804) 924-6516
Sociology/Center for Survey Research FAX: (804) 924-7028 University of
Virginia
539 Cabell Hall
Charlottesville, VA 22903 e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu

>From BWARD@habaco.com Wed Apr 1 12:29:17 1998
Received: from habaco.com ([199.97.248.230])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id MAA29655 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 1 Apr 1998 12:29:15 -0800
(PST)
Received: from rcgva-Message_Server by habaco.com
with Novell_GroupWise; Wed, 01 Apr 1998 15:36:16 -0500
Message-Id: <s5225ef0.034@habaco.com>
X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1
Date: Wed, 01 Apr 1998 15:30:47 -0500
From: Bryan Ward <BWARD@habaco.com>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Incentives in Businesses Surveys
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Disposition: inline

All this talk about incentives...I would greatly appreciate it if anyone can
point me in the direction of research papers/articles regarding the use of
monetary or non-monetary incentives in business surveys, the more recent the
better.

Thanks,

Bryan Ward
Hagler Bailly
Survey Research Center

>From LPollack@psg.ucsf.edu Wed Apr 1 14:33:25 1998
Received: from psg.ucsf.edu (psg.ucsf.EDU [128.218.6.65])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id OAA01116 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 1 Apr 1998 14:33:21 -0800
(PST)
Received: by CENTRAL3 with Internet Mail Service (5.0.1458.49)
id <H457BM48>; Wed, 1 Apr 1998 13:51:20 -0800
Message-ID: <E74A3DA9B939D01182B800A0C921A2134A46BE@CENTRAL3>
From: "Pollack, Lance" <LPollack@psg.ucsf.edu>
To: "'aapornet@usc.edu'" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: RE: CE Survey & AAPOR
Date: Wed, 1 Apr 1998 13:51:17 -0800
X-Priority: 3
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.0.1458.49)
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

It is not that AAPOR standards say anything about incentives, it is that AAPOR can state that: a) use of incentives is common practice, especially when studies are long or involve travel or out-of-the-ordinary data collection procedures (e.g., drawing a blood sample; and b) incentives are intended to encourage people who are less likely to participate (because of time constraints or lack of interest or whatever) to do so which thereby reduces bias in the obtained sample. There has been research to show that use of incentives raises response rates, and that rise offsets the direct cost of the incentives while producing a less biased sample. I would also be tempted to say that these days \$10 does not buy you a guaranteed opinion. They should be looking at the sampling procedures for bias, not the incentives.

I also agree not just any AAPOR member can speak for AAPOR as a whole. Perhaps there simply needs to be better dissemination of information to members about where, when, and why "quick response" has been implemented. It is hard to keep track of national issues, let alone regional or state or local ones.

Lance M. Pollack, Ph.D.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thomas M. Guterbock
[SMTP:tmg1p@server1.mail.virginia.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 1998 11:39 AM
To: AAPORnet List server
Subject: Re: CE Survey & AAPOR

I don't really disagree with Stuart's comments or with the concerns raised by Jeanne, but all of us do need to keep a few facts in mind:

1) AAPOR standards are mute on the question of whether or not incentives should be used. I guess any AAPOR member with access to the standards could have verified to a reporter that use of incentives would not constitute a violation of our standards. But I don't see how making our standards better known would have changed the CE situation.

2) AAPOR cannot prevent surveys from being attacked for possible bias or wasteful use of resources. Such attacks are not always scurrilous nor always ill-founded. Even when made by politicians. When such attacks are made, "no comment" would probably be the best official response by AAPOR in a lot of cases.

3) The question of whether incentives could lead to bias is not in itself an inherently silly one. Didn't Richard Bolstein of GMU publish some results on that in his incentives research? I vaguely recall that very large incentives did lead to noticeable changes in the content of open-end comments by respondents, in one of his studies, but I haven't gone back to check.

And lastly, a matter of opinion:
I'm not sure that we should feel comfortable with the idea that any "AAPOR member" should be able to speak on the organization's behalf. The quick-response mechanism set up by the Council is meant to get questions like this to folks with sufficient responsibility and experience to handle these inquiries in a way that advances the objectives of AAPOR and the profession.

Just my 2 cents . . .

Tom

On Mon, 30 Mar 1998 08:21:31 -0800 "H. Stuart Elway"
<hse@elwaypoll.com>
wrote:

> I agree with Jeanne Anderson about the need to put AAPOR in position
to be
> the authoritative source for questions about survey

methodology. We all
> worry about what the proliferation of survey research firms has done
to the
> quality of research that is being reported in the media every day.
Setting
> standards, it seems to me, is an important reason for the existence
of
> AAPOR. An important part of setting standards is making them known.
I
> also agree with Anderson that we have done a poor job of that.
> When questions about survey practices arise, a reporter
is going to call
> someone s/he knows -- most likely the firm that does the polling for
their
> newspaper or station (I was called and talked at length with the
Seattle
> Times reporter about the CE survey). How helpful if all such calls
went to
> AAPOR members who could cite the association's position.
> Stuart Elway
> Elway Research, Inc.
> 206/728-1620

Thomas M. Guterbock Voice:(804) 924-6516
Sociology/Center for Survey Research FAX: (804) 924-7028
University of Virginia
539 Cabell Hall
Charlottesville, VA 22903 e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu

>From ande271@ibm.net Wed Apr 1 18:21:40 1998
Received: from out1.ibm.net (out1.ibm.net [165.87.194.252])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id SAA15499 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 1 Apr 1998 18:21:38 -0800
(PST)
Received: from default (slip166-72-219-212.ny.us.ibm.net [166.72.219.212])
by out1.ibm.net (8.8.5/8.6.9) with SMTP id CAA91452 for <aapornet@usc.edu>;
Thu, 2 Apr 1998 02:20:13 GMT
Message-ID: <35232057.7E2@ibm.net>
Date: Wed, 01 Apr 1998 21:21:27 -0800
From: Jeanne Anderson <ande271@ibm.net>
Reply-To: ande271@ibm.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Politics and Standards
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Tom Guterbock raises some crucial issues, and I don't entirely disagree with
everything he says. I myself believe that the "incentive" given to focus

group participants can have the effect of making them feel responsible for their comments and therefore less spontaneous and perhaps less creative and helpful.

Nevertheless, for someone who is not a researcher to raise the question in public of whether an incentive was appropriate is somewhat like one of us questioning a medical doctor's decision to perform surgery. On what basis can we legitimately question professional judgment unless we are peers (in the same profession) unless we have sought and can report the advice of another member of that profession? And then, shouldn't that professional advisor make the statement questioning the decision?

If the motive of the person questioning the methods of the CE survey was to cast doubt on the validity of the survey because of opposition to one or more of the programs/strategies covered in the questionnaire, can we as researchers simply refrain from comment on the grounds that we must maintain neutrality?

I don't have the answer to this last question. I do know that medical doctors come down hard on anyone (other than patients) who presume to "hang out his/her shingle" on a matter of medical practice, knowledge or judgment. That may be one reason the medical profession still is accorded so much prestige.

I agree that speaking publicly for the profession should be delegated to the best qualified members. I meant only that we could all probably agree that the use of incentives is widely-enough practiced so that it cannot be interpreted as a source of bias in and of itself. Perhaps it should be qualified in view of Bolstein's work. I cannot judge that.

>From murray.edelman@vnsusa.org Thu Apr 2 09:12:08 1998

Received: from libra.vnsusa.com (libra.vnsusa.com [205.183.239.99] (may be forged))

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP

id JAA11287 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 2 Apr 1998 09:12:05 -0800

(PST)

Received: from mail.vnsusa.org by libra.vnsusa.com

via smtpd (for usc.edu [128.125.253.136]) with SMTP; 2 Apr 1998

17:07:07 UT

Received: by NTS_1 with Internet Mail Service (5.0.1458.49)

id <WJWAM7CR>; Thu, 2 Apr 1998 12:04:44 -0500

Message-ID: <017480CB593ED111B05D0060B0571CFE01FDF3@NTS_1>

From: Murray Edelman <murray.edelman@vnsusa.org>

To: "'aapornet@usc.edu'" <aapornet@usc.edu>

Subject: Call for Chairs and Discussants for the May Conference

Date: Thu, 2 Apr 1998 12:04:41 -0500

X-Priority: 3

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.0.1458.49)

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

We are in the process of assigning Chairs and Discussants for the May Conference. I would like to invite you to volunteer via email to me. We are trying to give priority to people that need to be listed on the program to receive funding to attend the conference, people that are not presenting their papers in sessions, and those that follow these directions:) :)

Please send me a message with your name, affiliation, preference for Chair or Discussant, and suggested sessions or general areas of interest.

For those wanting to be discussants, it would be helpful if you would include a few sentences describing your experience in that area.

I will acknowledge receiving your email with a simple reply of "message received." A more lengthy response will follow in the next three weeks.

Thank you.

Murray Edelman
Conference Chair

murray.edelman@vnsusa.org

>From leos@christa.unh.edu Thu Apr 2 10:12:31 1998

Received: from christa.unh.edu (leos@christa.unh.edu [132.177.137.10])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP

id KAA07689 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 2 Apr 1998 10:12:29 -0800

(PST)

Received: from localhost (leos@localhost)

by christa.unh.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8) with SMTP id NAA32685

for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 2 Apr 1998 13:11:13 -0500 (EST)

Date: Thu, 2 Apr 1998 13:11:12 -0500 (EST)

From: Leo G Simonetta <leos@christa.unh.edu>

To: Mailing list <aapornet@usc.edu>

Subject: Clinton Overnights

Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96L.980402130606.8185A-100000@christa.unh.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

I just received a call from a local reporter who asked whether I knew whether anyone had done any overnight polls on the Clinton imbroglio after the dismissal of the Paul Jones case. I informed him that I didn't know of any.

Is anyone else aware of any overnights on this topic?

If so have the results been released?

Thanks

Received: from almaak.usc.edu (almaak.usc.edu [128.125.19.166])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id NAA08770 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 2 Apr 1998 13:17:42 -0800
(PST)

Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
by almaak.usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id NAA14330 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 2 Apr 1998 13:17:43 -0800
(PST)

Date: Thu, 2 Apr 1998 13:17:43 -0800 (PST)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Positions Open at Battelle
Message-ID: <Pine.SV4.3.94.980402131229.732E-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Wed, 01 Apr 1998 17:24:13 -0500
From: "Henderson, Patsy M" <hendersp@BATTELLE.ORG>

Battelle's Centers for Public Health Research and Evaluation (CPHRE) is expanding its Health Research work in the Atlanta GA, Baltimore MD and Durham NC areas. CPHRE provides Health and Survey Research services to both industrial and government markets. As part of this expansion, we have openings for the following positions:

PROJECT DIRECTOR, ATLANTA AND BALTIMORE (2 positions)

- * Advanced degree in survey methodology or related field
- * 5+ years' experience managing data collection/survey research projects and personnel
- * Growing professional reputation in field
- * Demonstrated success in proposal preparation
- * Proven excellence in oral and written communication
- * Ability to work well independently and on multidisciplinary teams
- * Experience working in environmental health desirable

The primary functions of these positions are to provide overall direction of one or more research contracts and to be accountable for completing projects on time, within project budgets and meeting clients' expectations. Requires functioning as a survey operations liaison with CDC, NCI and other federal agencies on major contracts.

STUDY LEADER, DURHAM

- * Bachelor's and two years related experience
- * Train and direct work of assigned staff in survey research projects
- * Conduct primary and/or secondary data collection efforts
- * Contribute to proposal preparation

- * Ability to work well independently and also on multidisciplinary teams

The primary functions of this position are to provide leadership of one or more research projects without appreciable direction.

If qualified, submit cover letter and resume to:

Battelle, HR Manager
6115 Falls Road, Baltimore, MD 21209
(410)377-6802 fax - CPHRE.HRManager@battelle.org
<mailto:CPHRE.HRManager@battelle.org> email

Confidential interviews could be held during the AAPOR Conference in St. Louis.

Battelle is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D/V.

>From paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua Thu Apr 2 15:28:59 1998
Received: from shiva.ukrnet.net (shiva.ukrnet.net [193.193.213.35])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTTP
id PAA21201 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 2 Apr 1998 15:28:53 -0800
(PST)
Received: from kmisua.UUCP (uucp@localhost)
by shiva.ukrnet.net (8.8.7/8.8.7) with UUCP id CAA14512;
Fri, 3 Apr 1998 02:27:32 +0300
Received: by kmis.kiev.ua (UUPC/@ v5.09gamma, 14Mar93);
Fri, 3 Apr 1998 01:15:20 +0200
To: tamara.gruzbarg@citicorp.com
Cc: aapornet@usc.edu, wapor/net@umich.edu
References: <199804021515.AA23481@egate2.citicorp.com>
Message-Id: <AA7m19ruP8@kmis.kiev.ua>
From: "Vladimir I. Paniotto" <paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua>
Date: Fri, 3 Apr 98 01:15:19 +0200
X-Mailer: BML [MS/DOS Beauty Mail v.1.36]
Subject: Exit poll in Ukraine: results

Dear colleagues,

Last week I asked your advise about first exit poll in Ukraine conducting. Many Aapornets gave me some advises but the others agreed with Warren Mitofsky: My advice to you is not to do an exit poll this Sunday for the Parliamentary elections. The answers to the questions you are asking are so basic, that if you are asking these things six days before the election you do not know enough about how to conduct an exit poll to do it properly. Do not do it.

I agree in general with Warren, but it seems to me that this discussion was mainly because I have done mistake and didn't say about our methodological plans, but just asked questions. So it seemed as we were not prepared to the poll at all. Really the poll was planned not by my firm (KIIS - Kiev International Institute of Sociology), but by another firm - Socis-Gallup and they have some ideas what to do. I was invited as consultant 6 days before elections (that's why I asked your advise so late).

Today the final results of our Parliament elections, which we had Sunday March 29, were at last published and we can compare it with the results of exit poll. Exit poll was conducted in 400 voting places, on 200 of them they used random, on other 200 - quota sample. The quota was used because Socis had not enough interviewers and controllers to have at least 2 interviewers on one voting place and to be sure that interviewers will follow all instructions of random selection. On every voting place they have done 25 interviews, so they conducted 10,000 interviews. Interviews were distributed in time according to the data of previous elections.

We had 30 parties on elections, threshold was 4%. Below is the results of elections for first 10 parties (first 8 parties, which have more that 4%, win and will form the parliament). I show below also the data of my Institute regular poll, which was conducted during the week before elections (March 20-28).

Parties	Elections		
	results,%	KIIS 20-28 March poll	SOCIS Exit poll

Communists	24.68	26.4	26
Narodnij Ruh	9.40	11.4	11
Socialists and Seliani	8.54	5.6	5
Green part	5.46	5.8	7
NDP	4.99	3.6	5
Gromada	4.68	3.2	6
Progressive socialists	4.04	3.4	4
SDPU (i½)	4.02	6.8	5
Reforms and order	3.13	2.1	4
Trudova Ukraina	3.07	2.8	4

The maximum difference (ELECTIONS - EXIT POLL) - 3.5%
 The average difference (ELECTIONS - EXIT POLL) - 1.2%
 For comparison:
 The maximum difference (ELECTIONS - KIIS POLL) - 3.0%
 The average difference (ELECTIONS - KIIS POLL) - 1.5%

I don't know what is usual results of exit polls, but it seems to me that the results are satisfactory.

Unfortunately the results of elections are much worse, communists will have more places in new, than in previous parliament.

All the best.

Vladimir Paniotto, Director of KIIS
(Kiev International Institute of Sociology)
Milchakova 1/18, kv.11, Kiev-253002, UKRAINE
Phone (380-44)-517-3949
Fax (380-44)-263-3458
E-mal: paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua

>From kneuman.cra@cclgroup.ca Fri Apr 3 04:04:27 1998
Received: from aries.ccca.com (aries.ccca.com [199.126.144.8])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id EAA10165 for <AAPORNET@VM.USC.edu>; Fri, 3 Apr 1998 04:04:24 -0800
(PST)
Received: from mail (199.126.144.5)
by aries.ccca.com (FirstClass Mail Server v5.11)
transient id 56; 8:11:34 AM -0400
From: kneuman.cra@cclgroup.ca (Keith Neuman)
To: AAPORNET@VM.USC.edu
Date: Fri, 3 Apr 1998 08:09:58 -0400
Subject: Looking for a Research Supplier in S. Calif.
Message-ID: <msg5780.thr-a6615.f7cf7@ccca.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Content-ID: <msg5780.thr-a6615.f7cf7.part0@ccca.com>
X-Gateway: NASTA Gate 2.0 beta 3 for FirstClass(R)

I am looking for a research company based in Southern California that can do on-site field testing of a new product over the next month. Any suggestions would be appreciated.

Keith Neuman
Corporate Research Associates
Halifax, NS CANADA
kneuman.cra@cclgroup.ca

>From SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu Fri Apr 3 06:24:33 1998
Received: from UConnVM.UConn.Edu (uconnvm.uconn.edu [137.99.26.3])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP

id GAA03201 for <aapornet@USC.EDU>; Fri, 3 Apr 1998 06:24:30 -0800 (PST)

Received: from UCONNVM.UCONN.EDU by UConnVM.UConn.Edu (IBM VM SMTP V2R2) with BSMTMP id 2211; Fri, 03 Apr 98 09:24:41 EST

Received: from UConnVM.UConn.Edu (NJE origin SSDCF@UCONNVM) by UCONNVM.UCONN.EDU (LMail V1.2c/1.8c) with BSMTMP id 9131; Fri, 3 Apr 1998 09:24:42 -0500

Date: Fri, 03 Apr 98 09:24:27 EST

From: Don Ferree <SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu>

Subject: Paula Jones

To: Members of AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>

X-Mailer: MailBook 96.02.327

Message-Id: <980403.092441.EST.SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7BIT

Leo Simonetta's query about overnights spurs me to write this.

I am one of those who is pretty sceptical of the usefulness of overnight polls (leaving concerns about sampling, etc. aside) because by definition they pick up the first blush of response before things have a chance to settle and people reconsider their immediate reactions in the wake of what other (including the media as well as friends, family, and social circle) have to say.

That said, I think the present instance may be one where the initial reaction is quite different from the ultimate impact. The first wave centers on the idea that this is an enormous victory for the President, and some around him have already begun to suggest that it "proves" all the allegations about him are false. Media coverage has had a strong dose of "just how bad is all this for Starr".

Undoubtedly, the White House is delighted that it can avoid the spectacle of Clinton being dragged (personally or via videotape) into a courtroom in Arkansas) and the judge's decision certainly ends one set of concerns for Clinton. However, the decision was NOT that Jones' allegations were untrue, but rather that even if every "fact" she had alleged WAS true, it would not constitute actionable behavior. In other words, when William Bennett said the judge was "right on the law" and "right on the facts" the second part of his comment was off the mark; she didn't have anything to say about the truth or falsehood of Jones' allegations, merely their legal consequence.

Politically, however, no matter how much certain Clinton-haters might have enjoyed the spectacle of a trial, I suspect that it has come to HELP Clinton's standing. We already know the public doesn't think much of the President's morals. But they (a) doubt the relevance of his "personal behavior" to his fitness for office and (b) think to some extent that he is being hounded for political purposes. This means that attention is diverted from what could be much more serious allegations of law-breaking and/or

abuse of power, the kind of thing which (obviously if proved) COULD be impeachable and/or cause the public to think he HAD disqualified himself.

Insofar as coverage of the President's difficulties focused on Paula Jones and her suit as the linchpin, it may have been easier for many to see everything that was going on as a witchhunt about his morals as opposed to an investigation into possible legal wrongdoing. There may be a separate legal question whether any (alleged) perjury has been rendered irrelevant since the suit in connection with which it was connected has been thrown out, but at least it focuses attention not on what the President did or did not do with (to?) Ms. Jones and others and onto whether or not he lied about it under oath or tried to get others to do so. Starr will be seen in a better light if people think of him as conducting an investigation into obstruction of justice, perjury, and abuse of power than if he is seen as waging a seamy inquisition into the President's sexual habits and tastes.

Just a thought. But if I were Bill Clinton, my relief at not having to testify (further) in the Jones' matter might be tempered by these considerations. G. Donald Ferree, Jr. University of Connecticut

Roper Center for Public Opinion Research &
Center for Survey Research and Analysis

860-486-4440 voice -6308 FAX

>From tmg1p@server1.mail.virginia.edu Fri Apr 3 07:08:05 1998

Received: from mail.virginia.edu (mail.Virginia.EDU [128.143.2.9])

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP

id HAA11419 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 3 Apr 1998 07:08:00 -0800

(PST)

Received: from server1.mail.virginia.edu by mail.virginia.edu id aa25211;
3 Apr 98 10:07 EST

Received: from bootp-140-192.bootp.Virginia.EDU

(bootp-140-192.bootp.Virginia.EDU [128.143.140.192]) by

server1.mail.virginia.edu (8.8.5/8.6.6) with SMTP id KAA19779; Fri, 3 Apr
1998 10:07:38 -0500 (EST)

From: "Thomas M. Guterbock" <tmg1p@server1.mail.virginia.edu>

Sender: tmg1p@server1.mail.virginia.edu

To: AAPORnet List server <aapornet@usc.edu>

cc: AAPORnet List server <aapornet@usc.edu>

Subject: Re: Incentives in Businesses Surveys

Message-ID: <SIMEON.9804031035.D@bootp-140-192.bootp.Virginia.EDU>

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 1998 10:07:35 -0500 (EST)

X-Mailer: Simeon for Windows Version 4.0.9

X-Authentication: IMSP

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII

Brian:

John Tarnai, who now directs the Washington State U. survey center, has published on this topic and I know he'd be happy to offer some

counsel on the subject of how to use incentives in business surveys. I don't have the cites handy, but you could just e-mail him directly at tarnai@wsu.edu.

Tom

On Wed, 01 Apr 1998 15:30:47 -0500 Bryan Ward <BWARD@habaco.com> wrote:

> All this talk about incentives...I would greatly appreciate it if
> anyone can point me in the direction of research papers/articles
> regarding the use of monetary or non-monetary incentives in business
> surveys, the more recent the better.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Bryan Ward
> Hagler Bailly
> Survey Research Center
>

Thomas M. Guterbock Voice:(804) 924-6516
Sociology/Center for Survey Research FAX: (804) 924-7028 University of
Virginia
539 Cabell Hall
Charlottesville, VA 22903 e-mail: TomG@virginia.edu

>From SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu Fri Apr 3 09:28:19 1998
Received: from UConnVM.UConn.Edu (uconnvm.uconn.edu [137.99.26.3])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id JAA26440 for <aapornet@USC.EDU>; Fri, 3 Apr 1998 09:28:13 -0800
(PST)
Received: from UCONNVM.UCONN.EDU by UConnVM.UConn.Edu (IBM VM SMTP V2R2)
with BSMTMP id 3927; Fri, 03 Apr 98 12:28:22 EST
Received: from UConnVM.UConn.Edu (NJE origin SSDCF@UCONNVM) by
UCONNVM.UCONN.EDU (LMail V1.2c/1.8c) with BSMTMP id 8617; Fri, 3 Apr 1998
12:28:22 -0500
Date: Fri, 03 Apr 98 12:28:04 EST
From: Don Ferree <SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu>
Subject: Paula Jones
To: Members of AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
X-Mailer: MailBook 96.02.327
Message-Id: <980403.122822.EST.SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7BIT

Leo Simonetta's query about overnights on the Jones' suit spurs this note.

In general, I am leery of such efforts, because -- ignoring any problems of

sampling, etc. -- they by definition measure immediate reaction to events before things have a chance to settle. Specifically, responses cannot reflect any deeper thinking, what respondents hear from friends, family, social circle and the media, and the coloration subsequent developments put on the event in question. Those doing the polls can (and often do) point this out, but I fear these concerns are lost on much of the public, and the results then tend to be fossilized as "the public reaction".

I suspect this may be especially apt in this case. Much immediate commentary has suggested that the Jones' dismissal is unmitigated good news for Clinton, and there has been much discussion of "just how bad is this for Starr". But I would suggest that the impact may well be substantially less positive for the President than seems at first blush to be the consensus.

To be sure, no one in the White House could have relished the idea of the President having to testify (in person or on tape) in a trial focusing on what he did or did not do with/to Paula Jones and possibly other women. And the White House spin office may yet be tempted to overplay their hand suggesting that the decision PROVES the President did nothing wrong (it does not, the judge ruled that EVEN IF all of Paula Jones' allegations were factually true the LEGAL case would not be made for harassment or other actionable behavior).

But we know, from a wealth of survey data that (a) many in the public strongly disapprove of the President's sexual morals and behavior, but (b) many are unconvinced of the relevance of this to his fitness for the presidency, and (c) many think his political opponents are (inappropriately) using inquiries into his "private behavior" to attack him politically. In that sense, further focusing on the Jones' suit could HELP Clinton. A trial might well be embarrassing, but since it would not directly focus on such things as perjury, subornation of perjury, or misuse of office, it might sharpen the sense that attention is being wasted, and that the office is being demeaned as much by those attacking the President as it allegedly was by his behavior.

Take Starr. Whatever one thinks of the scope, pace, and general flavor of his investigation, beginning with Whitewater, and continuing with Travelgate, Filegate, and now questions of perjury and obstruction of justice, it has NOT been solely (and maybe not even primarily) about Clinton's sexual tastes and habits. People may well differ in their perception of the seriousness of the allegations (not to mention their accuracy), but at the least it is harder to say "this is a private matter which has nothing to do with the presidency". Moreover, the auro of tawdriness (which reinforces the sense of persecution) does not apply as strongly.

Just some thoughts. But if I were Bill Clinton, I would caution my advisors against overplaying the "vindication" aspect of the suit, and my relief at not being dragged into court would be tempered by what the longer term impact of a re-focusing might be.

G. Donald Ferree, Jr.
University of Connecticut
Roper Center for Public Opinion Research &
Center for Survey Research and Analysis
(860) 486-4440 Voice
486-6308 FAX

>From rusciano@genius.rider.edu Fri Apr 3 11:01:27 1998
Received: from GENIUS.rider.edu (genius.rider.edu [192.107.45.5])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id LAA27200 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 3 Apr 1998 11:01:24 -0800
(PST)

Received: from genius.rider.edu by genius.rider.edu (PMDF V5.1-7 #23246) id
<01IVF09WYQPS8Y5530@genius.rider.edu> for aapornet@usc.edu; Fri, 3 Apr 1998
13:59:07 EST

Date: Fri, 03 Apr 1998 13:59:01 -0500 (EST)

From: rusciano@genius.rider.edu

Subject: Re: Clinton Overnights

In-reply-to: <Pine.OSF.3.96L.980402130606.8185A-100000@christa.unh.edu>

To: Leo G Simonetta <leos@christa.unh.edu>

Cc: Mailing list <aapornet@usc.edu>

Message-id: <Pine.PMDF.3.95.980403135552.541076244A-100000@genius.rider.edu>

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Professor Simonetta:

As I recall, CNN had results on the air just hours after the Paula Jones case decision (I saw their report at about 9 or 10 p.m., and the decision came down, I think, at 4:30). Check their website at <http://www.allpolitics.com>.

Also, I saw Tim Russert giving similar poll results on (I believe) NBC this morning.

Just for the record, all the results seem about the same-- about 2/3 of Americans think the dismissal is good for the country or was the right decision, and Clinton's approval rating remains in the high 60s.

All the best,

Frank Rusciano
Rider University

On Thu, 2 Apr 1998, Leo G Simonetta wrote:

>
> I just received a call from a local reporter who asked
> whether I knew whether anyone had done any overnight polls
> on the Clinton imbroglio after the dismissal of the Paul Jones

> case. I informed him that I didn't know of any.
>
> Is anyone else aware of any overnights on this topic?
>
> If so have the results been released?
>
> Thanks
>
> BTW we all had a wonderful time at NEAAPOR!
> --
> Leo G. Simonetta My opinions. Mine! ALL Mine!
> Director, UNH Survey Center leos@christa.unh.edu
> Fight SPAM! Join CAUCE at <http://www.cauce.org>
>
>

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Fri Apr 3 13:41:17 1998
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (almaak.usc.edu [128.125.19.166])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id NAA20696 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 3 Apr 1998 13:41:15 -0800
(PST)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
by almaak.usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id NAA07042 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Fri, 3 Apr 1998 13:41:15 -0800
(PST)
Date: Fri, 3 Apr 1998 13:41:15 -0800 (PST)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
Reply-To: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Urban sociology position, NYC (fwd)
Message-ID: <Pine.SV4.3.94.980403133525.29699C-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

----- Forwarded message -----
Date: Thu, 2 Apr 1998 15:01:58 -0500
From: Indergaard Michael <indergam@stjohns.edu>
Subject: Urban sociology position in New York City

I want to call your attention to a tenure track position just approved for the department of sociology at St. John's University in New York City. Due to the late date and the fact that the department wants to act quickly, this position is only being advertised in the New York Times. The ad is as follows:

Assistant Professor

Ph.D in urban sociology required with evident specializations in urban policy, race and ethnicity, immigration studies and comparative sociology. College teaching experience required. Productive scholarly and grants

activity expected. Instructional responsibilities include undergraduate and graduate teaching. Contact: Dr. William DiFazio, Chairperson, Department of Sociology, St. John's University, 8000 Utopia Parkway, Jamaica, NY 11439.

If you are interested you should respond as soon as possible.

Posted by Michael Indergaard [indergam@stjohns.edu]

>From worc@worc.demon.co.uk Sun Apr 5 14:11:26 1998
Received: from post.mail.demon.net (post-10.mail.demon.net [193.195.0.154])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id OAA23481 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Sun, 5 Apr 1998 14:11:24 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from worc.demon.co.uk ([194.222.4.107]) by post.mail.demon.net
id aa1018327; 5 Apr 98 21:04 GMT
Message-ID: <H3W21CAnG\$J1EwXp@worc.demon.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 5 Apr 1998 22:03:35 +0100
From: Robert M Worcester <worc@worc.demon.co.uk>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: Paula Jones
In-Reply-To: <980403.092441.EST.SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Turnpike Version 1.09 <ux2t5C6V0tojq4uJjSMF4L4Hs6>

Interesting thoughts, and I share some of your concerns; recently however, MORI was commissioned to get public reactions to the budget for the ITN at 10, 10:00 News which is our big news programme, the night of the budget, and then reactions several days later for the (London) Times as a part of our regular monthly poll. They are both up on our web site, www.mori.com, if you'd like to look in, comparing results from a snap reaction, to one more considered, and after the opposition parties had had a chance to put their boot in.

Bob Worcester

In message <980403.092441.EST.SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu>, Don Ferree <SSDCF@UConnVM.UConn.Edu> writes
>Leo Simonetta's query about overnights spurs me to write this.
>
>I am one of those who is pretty sceptical of the usefulness of
>overnight polls (leaving concerns about sampling, etc. aside) because
>by definition they pick up the first blush of response before things
>have a chance to settle and people reconsider their immediate reactions
>in the wake of what other (including the media as well as friends,
>family, and social circle) have to say.
>
>That said, I think the present instance may be one where the initial
>reaction is quite different from the ultimate impact. The first wave

>centers on the idea that this is an enormous victory for the President,
>and some around him have already begun to suggest that it "proves" all
>the allegations about him are false. Media coverage has had a strong
>dose of "just how bad is all this for Starr".

>

>Undoubtedly, the White House is delighted that it can avoid the
>spectacle of Clinton being dragged (personally or via videotape) into a
>courtroom in Arkansas) and the judge's decision certainly ends one set
>of concerns for Clinton. However, the decision was NOT that Jones'
>allegations were untrue, but rather that even if every "fact" she had
>alleged WAS true, it would not constitute actionable behavior. In
>other words, when William Bennett said the judge was "right on the law"
>and "right on the facts" the second part of his comment was off the
>mark; she didn't have anything to say about the truth or falsehood of
>Jones' allegations, merely their legal consequence.

>

>Politically, however, no matter how much certain Clinton-haters might
>have enjoyed the spectacle of a trial, I suspect that it has come to
>HELP Clinton's standing. We already know the public doesn't think much
>of the President's morals. But they (a) doubt the relevance of his
>"personal behavior" to his fitness for office and (b) think to some
>extent that he is being hounded for political purposes. This means
>that attention is diverted from what could be much more serious
>allegations of law-breaking and/or abuse of power, the kind of thing
>which (obviously if proved) COULD be impeachable and/or cause the
>public to think he HAD disqualified himself.

>

>Insofar as coverage of the President's difficulties focused on Paula
>Jones and her suit as the linchpin, it may have been easier for many to
>see everything that was going on as a witchhunt about his morals as
>opposed to an investigation into possible legal wrongdoing. There may
>be a separate legal question whether any (alleged) perjury has been
>rendered irrelevant since the suit in connection with which it was
>connected has been thrown out, but at least it focuses attention not on
>what the President did or did not do with (to?) Ms. Jones and others
>and onto whether or not he lied about it under oath or tried to get
>others to do so. Starr will be seen in a better light if people think
>of him as conducting an investigation into obstruction of justice,
>perjury, and abuse of power than if he is seen as waging a seamy
>inquisition into the President's sexual habits and tastes.

>

>Just a thought. But if I were Bill Clinton, my relief at not having to
>testify (further) in the Jones' matter might be tempered by these
>considerations. G. Donald Ferree, Jr.

>University of Connecticut

>

> Roper Center for Public Opinion Research &

> Center for Survey Research and Analysis

>

>860-486-4440 voice -6308 FAX

--

Robert M Worcester

>From survey@uts.cc.utexas.edu Mon Apr 6 11:28:09 1998

Received: from curly.cc.utexas.edu (root@curly.cc.utexas.edu [128.83.42.1])

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP

id LAA28340 for <AAPORNET@usc.edu>; Mon, 6 Apr 1998 11:28:06 -0700

(PDT)

Received: from [129.116.77.237] (dhcp-77-237.cocomm.utexas.edu

[129.116.77.237])

by curly.cc.utexas.edu (8.8.5/8.8.5/cc-uts-1.19) with ESMTP id

NAA00777

for <AAPORNET@usc.edu>; Mon, 6 Apr 1998 13:27:35 -0500 (CDT)

Message-Id: <l03110703b14ea3bf76ce@[129.116.77.237]>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Date: Mon, 6 Apr 1998 12:30:38 -0300

To: AAPORNET@usc.edu

From: Veronica Inchauste <survey@uts.cc.utexas.edu>

Subject: Info. Telecommunications

I'm posting this message for a doctoral student, Becky Lentz, Univ. of Texas College of Communication. If you have any information on this subject, please respond directly to Becky at rglantz@mail.utexas.edu. Thanks.

Veronica Inchauste

>I'm looking for rather recent survey data on public opinion related to
>various aspects of the telecom act of 1996....also, data that report
>number of households with more than 2 telephone lines, Internet access
>from home or work or library (in particular, how often people use the
>library for internet access, etc.) and perhaps some information about
>what people use their home computers for....ex., entertainment,
>finances, etc.

>

>

>-----

>Becky Lentz

>Dept. of Radio-TV-Film and the

>Texas Telecommunications Policy Institute

>University of Texas at Austin

>512-471-5826

><http://www.utexas.edu/research/ttppi>

><http://www.utexas.edu/coc/rtf>

>

>Interesting addition:

><http://www.technorealism.org/>

>

Veronica Inchauste (512) 471-2101
Director (512) 471-4980
Office of Survey Research FAX (512) 471-8500
CMA 3.110 survey@uts.cc.utexas.edu
University of Texas
Austin TX, 78713

>From dykema@ssc.wisc.edu Mon Apr 6 20:07:17 1998
Received: from robin.ssc.wisc.edu (robin.ssc.wisc.edu [144.92.190.150])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id UAA17846 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 6 Apr 1998 20:07:15 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from ssc.wisc.edu by ssc.wisc.edu (PMDF V5.1-9 #25733) id
<01IVKC6UJJICJPCS46@ssc.wisc.edu> for aapornet@usc.edu; Mon, 6 Apr 1998
22:06:54 CDT
Date: Mon, 06 Apr 1998 22:06:54 -0500 (CDT)
From: Jennifer Dykema <dykema@ssc.wisc.edu>
Subject: Announcement: T-Shirt Slogan Contest
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Message-id: <Pine.PMDF.3.95.980406220513.1170215574C-100000@ssc.wisc.edu>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

AAPORites:

Take a moment, close your eyes, and picture yourself walking around the streets of St. Louis, dressed to the nines in the official AAPOR T-shirt. Now, imagine the satisfaction you will feel knowing you penned the clever slogan appearing on your back -- and the backs of hundreds of AAPOR members! Well, imagine no more ...

The 1998 AAPOR Conference Operations Committee is pleased to announce the annual Fun Run/Walk T-Shirt Slogan Contest. As always, we are looking for witty catch-phrases, such as last year's winner "To err is human, but if it's less than 4%, it's just sampling," to adorn the AAPOR T-Shirt. Not only will the lucky winner of the contest receive a \$15 gift certificate to the Book Exhibit, but Phyllis Endreny has agreed to place the winner of the slogan contest first in line at the book sale on Saturday night! Voting will take place over AAPORNET. (Entries will be posted anonymously.)

Submit your entry by April 13th to Jennifer Dykema, Department of Sociology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706-1393;
V: 608/262-2520; Fax: 608/262-8400; Email: dykema@ssc.wisc.edu.

Jennifer Dykema Office: (608) 262-2520
Department of Sociology FAX: (608) 262-8400

1180 Observatory Drive
University of Wisconsin-Madison
Madison, WI 53706

Email: DYKEMA@ssc.wisc.edu

>From rea@iea.soc.umn.edu Tue Apr 7 04:36:09 1998
Received: from atlas.socsci.umn.edu (atlas.socsci.umn.edu [134.84.151.2])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id EAA19311 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 7 Apr 1998 04:36:08 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from atlas.socsci.umn.edu (atlas.socsci.umn.edu [134.84.151.2])
by atlas.socsci.umn.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8) with SMTP id GAA01667
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 7 Apr 1998 06:36:03 -0500 (CDT)
Date: Tue, 7 Apr 1998 06:36:03 -0500 (CDT)
From: Ron Anderson <rea@iea.soc.umn.edu>
X-Sender: rea@atlas.socsci.umn.edu
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Web Searches for Surveys
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.96.980407063223.29318F-100000@atlas.socsci.umn.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

My class is assigned the task of analyzing a survey data file on a topic of interest, but the first challenge is to find what's available on their topic of interest. So far the best free survey question search database I've found is at www.irss.unc.edu/data_archive

What is the most comprehensive (complete), free place to search for social surveys in the USA?

Assuming that no single site maintains question files on all local and state polls, what is the best search strategy for finding data on esoteric topics?

Prof. Ronald E. Anderson, 612-624-9554 624-4586(fax) <rea@iea.soc.umn.edu>
909 Social Sciences Bldg, Univ. of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, 55455, USA

>From beniger@rcf.usc.edu Tue Apr 7 10:15:50 1998
Received: from almaak.usc.edu (almaak.usc.edu [128.125.19.166])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id KAA05482 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 7 Apr 1998 10:15:47 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from localhost (beniger@localhost)
by almaak.usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id KAA10270 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 7 Apr 1998 10:15:46 -0700

(PDT)

Date: Tue, 7 Apr 1998 10:15:45 -0700 (PDT)
From: James Beniger <beniger@rcf.usc.edu>
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: 1-Yr. Position: Methods Teaching
Message-ID: <Pine.SV4.3.94.980407101140.2108D-100000@almaak.usc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Mon, 6 Apr 1998 21:17:19 -0400 (EDT)
From: Suzanne B. Maurer <maurer@mailbox.syr.edu>
Subject: Position Announcement

Monmouth University. Sociology Faculty Position Announcement. The Sociology Program in the Department of Interdisciplinary Studies invites applications for a one-year instructorship to begin September 1998. The position may be renewed for up to three years. Ph.D. preferred, although an advanced ABD might be considered. We seek someone with expertise in two or more of the following areas: family, stratification, organizations, and methodology. Computer expertise desirable. Send letter of application, curriculum vita listing published or unpublished work available for review, graduate transcripts, evidence of teaching excellence, and three letters of recommendation to: Suzanne B. Maurer, Chair, Sociology Search Committee, Department of Interdisciplinary Studies, Bey Hall, Monmouth University, West Long Branch, New Jersey 07764. Review of applications will begin immediately. Monmouth University is located in an attractive area of coastal New Jersey convenient to New York City and Philadelphia. Monmouth University is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer.

>From DOrourke@SRL.UIC.EDU Tue Apr 7 11:40:01 1998
Received: from eeyore.cc.uic.edu (EEYORE.CC.UIC.EDU [128.248.171.51])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id LAA03944 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 7 Apr 1998 11:39:59 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from SRL.UIC.EDU (SMTP.SRL.UIC.EDU [131.193.93.96])
by eeyore.cc.uic.edu (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id NAA11887
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 7 Apr 1998 13:29:00 -0500 (CDT)
Received: from main-Message_Server by SRL.UIC.EDU

with Novell_GroupWise; Tue, 07 Apr 1998 12:22:04 -0500
Message-Id: <s52a1a6c.018@SRL.UIC.EDU>
X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1
Date: Tue, 07 Apr 1998 13:30:01 -0500
From: "Diane O'Rourke" <DOroureke@SRL.UIC.EDU>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Cc: oneil@speedchoice.com
Subject: Newspaper Reporters' attitudes

I'm passing this on for an AAPOR member who got "involuntarily unsubscribed."

Subject: Studies of Newspaper Reporters' Attitudes

I have been asked by an editor of the Arizona Republic to help him develop a survey of the newspaper's reporters on their general political attitudes. I am sure that a lot of the underlying interest is in such questions as "Are reporters all liberals?" (I presume they are). I suggested he also consider including management in the study (who, especially at the Arizona Republic, are probably heavily conservative).

I know studies like this have been done. If I could obtain a questionnaire and marginals from a similar national study it would be interesting to replicate selected questions and be able to make local versus national comparisons. If anyone knows of such a study of newspaper reporters' attitudes, I would appreciate hearing of it.

This is a fairly modest effort (it is a freebie). I am hopeful that by being able to make comparisons to reporters and management nationally, it could be made more interesting. By the way, this editor is in agreement with the observation that a liberal personal political orientation does not necessarily translate into a bias in reporting and, indeed, he says he has seen many instances where reporters bend over backwards not to reflect their own views in their reporting.

As an aside, I remember that some years ago at the AAPOR conference we surveyed our own membership using, I believe, an electronic device. The results demonstrated that any liberal bias in the journalistic profession is greatly exceeded by the liberal orientation of those in the survey research industry.

My meeting is on Monday morning, April 13, 1998, so a quick response would be greatly appreciated. Please respond to me at oneil@speedchoice.com inasmuch as I seem to have been kicked off of AAPORNET.

Mike O'Neil

>From DOroureke@SRL.UIC.EDU Tue Apr 7 16:41:28 1998
Received: from eeyore.cc.uic.edu (EEYORE.CC.UIC.EDU [128.248.171.51])

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id QAA25439 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 7 Apr 1998 16:41:23 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from SRL.UIC.EDU (SMTP.SRL.UIC.EDU [131.193.93.96])
by eeyore.cc.uic.edu (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id SAA24450
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 7 Apr 1998 18:28:58 -0500 (CDT)
Received: from main-Message_Server by SRL.UIC.EDU
with Novell_GroupWise; Tue, 07 Apr 1998 17:21:48 -0500
Message-Id: <s52a60ac.042@SRL.UIC.EDU>
X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1
Date: Tue, 07 Apr 1998 18:29:54 -0500
From: "Diane O'Rourke" <DOroureke@SRL.UIC.EDU>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: telephone interview monitoring

Q: What do Linda Tripp and lots of survey organizations have in common?

A: They probably have broken state laws regarding the monitoring and/or
tape recording of telephone and personal conversations.

According to a recent compilation by the Council for Marketing and Opinion
Research (CMOR), if you are electronically monitoring telephone interviews
being conducted with respondents in several states, you may not be in
compliance with state laws if you are not notifying those respondents of the
monitoring. As of December 31, 1997, only two states (South Carolina and
Vermont) do not have laws relevant to electronic monitoring of telephone
calls. All of the other states require either one-party or two-party
consent. Notifying our interviewers that calls will be monitored for
quality control meets the one-party consent rule. Two-party consent,
required in 12 states, can be met only by also notifying the respondent that
the call may be monitored.

Information about the CMOR report is forthcoming in the next issue of the
Survey Research newsletter (in the mail). If you are not currently
subscribing but would like to (\$10 or more), contact me directly (DO NOT
REPLY TO AAPORNET) at dorourke@srl.uic.edu. Or, contact Donna McElhinney at
CMOR (info@cmor.org).

P.S. Results from a recent survey about telephone interviewing we conducted
of academic and not-for-profit survey organizations show that very few are
currently notifying respondents about monitoring (e.g., "This call may be
monitored for quality control"). Guess we need to start revising our
telephone interview introductions pronto!

Diane O'Rourke
Survey Research Laboratory
University of Illinois at Chicago
Urbana-Champaign office

>From arobbin@mailier.fsu.edu Wed Apr 8 04:49:19 1998

Received: from mailer.fsu.edu (mailer.fsu.edu [128.186.6.122])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id EAA29142 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 8 Apr 1998 04:49:17 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from localhost (arobbin@localhost)
by mailer.fsu.edu (8.8.7/8.8.7) with SMTP id HAA03632
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 8 Apr 1998 07:49:12 -0400 (EDT)
Date: Wed, 8 Apr 1998 07:49:12 -0400 (EDT)
From: ALICE R ROBBIN <arobbin@mailer.fsu.edu>
X-Sender: arobbin@mailer
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: Web Searches for Surveys
In-Reply-To: <Pine.SUN.3.96.980407063223.29318F-100000@atlas.socsci.umn.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.3.93.980408074608.2978A-100000@mailer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Ron, I often turn to the General Social Survey questions that are available thru:

<<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/gss/>>

The technology for retrieving the questions is awkward to use, but I persevere and am always rewarded with information.

Cheers. Alice

On Tue, 7 Apr 1998, Ron Anderson wrote:

>
>
> My class is assigned the task of analyzing a survey data file on a
> topic of interest, but the first challenge is to find what's available
> on their topic of interest. So far the best free survey question
> search database I've found is at www.irss.unc.edu/data_archive
>
> What is the most comprehensive (complete), free place to search for
> social surveys in the USA?
>
> Assuming that no single site maintains question files on all local and
> state polls, what is the best search strategy for finding data on
> esoteric topics?
>
> Prof. Ronald E. Anderson, 612-624-9554 624-4586(fax)
<rea@iea.soc.umn.edu>
> 909 Social Sciences Bldg, Univ. of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, 55455,
> USA
>

>
>
>
>

```
*****  
* Alice Robbin *  
* School of Information Studies *  
* Florida State University *  
* 240 Louis Shores Building *  
* Tallahassee, Florida 32306-2100 *  
* Office: 850-644-8116 Fax: 850-644-6253 *  
* email: arobbin@mailier.fsu.edu *  
*****
```

>From mkuechle@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu Wed Apr 8 05:50:55 1998
Received: from shiva.hunter.cuny.edu (shiva.hunter.cuny.edu [146.95.128.96])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id FAA07408 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 8 Apr 1998 05:50:53 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from oemcomputer (121.new-york-21-22rs.ny.dial-access.att.net
[12.79.2.121])
by shiva.hunter.cuny.edu (8.8.7/8.8.7/08.30.97) with SMTP id IAA11211
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 8 Apr 1998 08:54:43 -0400 (EDT)
Message-Id: <3.0.3.32.19980408085012.007cd740@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu>
X-Sender: mkuechle@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.3 (32)
Date: Wed, 08 Apr 1998 08:50:12 -0400
To: aapornet@usc.edu
From: Manfred Kuechler <mkuechle@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu>
Subject: Re: Web Searches for Surveys
In-Reply-To: <Pine.SUN.3.96.980407063223.29318F-100000@atlas.socsci.umn.
edu>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

At 06:36 AM 4/7/1998 -0500, Ron Anderson wrote:
>My class is assigned the task of analyzing a survey data file on a
>topic of interest, but the first challenge is to find what's available
>on their topic of interest. So far the best free survey question search
>database I've found is at www.irss.unc.edu/data_archive
>
>What is the most comprehensive (complete), free place to search for
>social surveys in the USA?

I usually point my student to "Data on the Net" (at UC San Diego)
<http://odwin.ucsd.edu/idata/> which is the most comprehensive archive of
sites for (all kinds of) social science data. This, in turn, will lead you
to sites that specialize in social survey data like the UNC site. I don't
think there is any one site that covers all.

Manfred Kuechler
Sociology Department at Hunter College (CUNY)
695 Park Avenue, NY, NY 10021
Tel: 212-772-5588
Fax: as above, then select "3" from voice mail menu (***NEW*** as of
7/21/97)
WWW: <http://maxweber.hunter.cuny.edu/socio/faculty/kuech.html>

I am prepared to use PGP 5.5 (freeware) available from <http://www.nai.com> .
Please send your public key if you are ready to protect the privacy of your
e-mail, and I will send my public key in return -- which is also available
from my web page. Note that the freeware version of PGP 5.5 does not handle
(older) RSA keys.

>From rhickson@monmouth.com Wed Apr 8 08:51:22 1998
Received: from shell.monmouth.com (root@shell.monmouth.com [205.231.236.9])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id IAA09996 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 8 Apr 1998 08:51:17 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from default (fh-ppp69.monmouth.com [205.164.221.101]) by
shell.monmouth.com (8.8.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id LAA01993 for
<aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 8 Apr 1998 11:47:49 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <352B9D65.55C9@monmouth.com>
Date: Wed, 08 Apr 1998 11:53:09 -0400
From: "Rachel A. Hickson" <rhickson@monmouth.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 2.01 (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: Newspaper Reporters' attitudes
References: <s52a1a6c.018@SRL.UIC.EDU>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I heard Ken Dautrich speak about studies like this done by the Roper
Center -- I believe -- try contacting him.

Rachel Hickson
>From yd17@cornell.edu Wed Apr 8 10:35:31 1998
Received: from postoffice2.mail.cornell.edu (POSTOFFICE2.MAIL.CORNELL.EDU
[132.236.56.10])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id KAA13251 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 8 Apr 1998 10:35:30 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from Yasamin.ilr.cornell.edu ([128.253.61.241])
by postoffice2.mail.cornell.edu (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id NAA10209
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 8 Apr 1998 13:32:08 -0400 (EDT)
Message-Id: <3.0.2.32.19980408133235.0076a408@postoffice4.mail.cornell.edu>
X-Sender: yd17@postoffice4.mail.cornell.edu
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.2 (32) -- [Cornell
Modified]

Date: Wed, 08 Apr 1998 13:32:35 -0400
To: aapornet@usc.edu
From: Yasamin DiCiccio <yd17@cornell.edu>
Subject: e-mail surveys
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

For people who have had experience doing surveys via e-mail, I was wondering what software you have used and what software you would recommend using? The instrument we have is very simple, and we're looking for software that is easy to use and not too expensive.

Thank you for any assistance you can provide.

Yasamin DiCiccio

Director, Computer-Assisted Survey Team
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

>From JJanota@asha.org Thu Apr 9 06:27:45 1998
Received: from pony-2.mail.digex.net (pony-2.mail.digex.net [204.91.241.6])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id GAA00604 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 06:27:43 -0700
(PDT)

Received: from asha.org (external.asha.org [207.86.138.3])
by pony-2.mail.digex.net (8.8.8/8.8.8) with SMTP id IAA12252
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 08:27:07 -0500 (EDT)

Received: from ASHA-Message_Server by asha.org
with Novell_GroupWise; Thu, 09 Apr 1998 09:26:28 -0400

Message-Id: <s52c9444.011@asha.org>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 5.2

Date: Thu, 09 Apr 1998 09:26:25 -0400

From: "Jeanette Janota" <JJanota@asha.org>

To: aapornet@usc.edu

Subject: Re: e-mail surveys

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Content-Disposition: inline

We are also in the process of looking for electronic survey software, and =
would appreciate it if you would buck any replies you receive. I=27m =
Jeanette Janota and my email address is: jjanota=40asha.org

One inexpensive one we=27ve found for WWW surveys is available from =
Perseus Development Corp and is called SurveySolutions. They have a =
website. I don=27t have the website address, but the web address on one =
page of my printout of their materials is: <http://207.201.151.129/results.html>. =20

Good luck, and I hope something wonderful turns up.

>>> Yasamin DiCiccio <yd17=40cornell.edu> 04/08/98 01:32PM >>>

For people who have had experience doing surveys via e-mail, I was wondering what software you have used and what software you would recommend using? The instrument we have is very simple, and we're looking for software that is easy to use and not too expensive.

Thank you for any assistance you can provide.

Yasamin DiCiccio

Director, Computer-Assisted Survey Team
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

>From saljrusso@att.com Thu Apr 9 08:05:33 1998
Received: from att.com (cagw2.att.com [192.128.52.90])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id IAA18735 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 08:05:31 -0700
(PDT)
Received: by cagw2.att.com; Thu Apr 9 10:58 EDT 1998
Received: from njb140r1.ems.att.com (njb140r1.ems.att.com [135.65.202.58])
by caig2.att.att.com (AT&T/GW-1.0) with SMTP id LAA19404
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 11:02:16 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from njb140bh3.EMS.ATT.COM by njb140r1.ems.att.com
(SMI-8.6/EMS-1.2 sol2)
id LAA23379; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 11:00:49 -0400
Received: by njb140bh3.EMS.ATT.COM with SMTP (Microsoft Exchange Server
Internet Mail Connector Version 4.0.995.52)
id <01BD63A6.EF6B4EB0@njb140bh3.EMS.ATT.COM>; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 11:02:06
-0400
Message-ID:
<c=US%a=%p=ATT%1=NJC240P002-980409035749Z-9256@njb140bh3.EMS.ATT.COM>
From: "Russo, Salvatore (Sal), BGM BGBVMBGF34" <saljrusso@att.com>
To: "'aapornet@usc.edu'" <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: RE: e-mail surveys
Date: Wed, 8 Apr 1998 23:57:49 -0400
X-Mailer: Microsoft Exchange Server Internet Mail Connector Version
4.0.995.52
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Yasamin:

You may want to check this web site out:

<http://www.CustomerSat.com/>

I have done email surveys using Survey Tracker.
I think a better approach is to send out notices
using email and point potential respondents to a
web page URL where the questionnaire can be answered.
You can also post results on a web page.

Sal Russo
AT&T

>-----

>From: Yasamin DiCiccio[SMTP:yd17@cornell.edu]
>Reply To: aapornet@usc.edu
>Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 1998 1:32 PM
>To: aapornet@usc.edu
>Subject: e-mail surveys

>

>

> For people who have had experience doing surveys via e-mail, I was
>wondering what software you have used and what software you would
>recommend using? The instrument we have is very simple, and we're
>looking for software that is easy to use and not too expensive.

>

> Thank you for any assistance you can provide.

>

>Yasamin DiCiccio

>

>Director, Computer-Assisted Survey Team
>Cornell University
>Ithaca, NY 14853

>

>

>From JRachels@concentric.net Thu Apr 9 12:56:36 1998
Received: from uhura.concentric.net (uhura.concentric.net [206.173.119.93])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTTP
id MAA24056 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 12:56:34 -0700
(PDT)

Received: from marconi.concentric.net (marconi [206.173.119.71])
by uhura.concentric.net (8.8.8/(98/01/20 5.9))
id PAA05132; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 15:56:26 -0400 (EDT)
[1-800-745-2747 The Concentric Network]

Received: from concentric.net (ts013d04.hil-ny.concentric.net
[206.173.17.112])
by marconi.concentric.net (8.8.8)
id PAA10096; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 15:56:24 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <352D28DD.762D0F25@concentric.net>

Date: Thu, 09 Apr 1998 16:00:29 -0400

From: Joyce Rachelson <JRachels@concentric.net>

Reply-To: JRachels@concentric.net

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: e-mail surveys
References: <3.0.2.32.19980408133235.0076a408@postoffice4.mail.cornell.edu>
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="-----8BC5BA7DB46A90B82D819E0C"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
-----8BC5BA7DB46A90B82D819E0C
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Attached is an ASCII text file that addresses the issue of Web interviewing.
If you have any questions feel free to contact me at JRachels@concentric.net

Joyce Rachelson

Yasamin DiCiccio wrote:

> For people who have had experience doing surveys via e-mail, I was
> wondering what software you have used and what software you would
> recommend using? The instrument we have is very simple, and we're
> looking for software that is easy to use and not too expensive.
>
> Thank you for any assistance you can provide.
>
> Yasamin DiCiccio
>
> Director, Computer-Assisted Survey Team
> Cornell University
> Ithaca, NY 14853

--

"There are no problems, only opportunities for creative solutions."

Visit CfMC's Home Page at: <http://www.cfmc.com>

-----8BC5BA7DB46A90B82D819E0C
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; name="webinfo.txt"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="webinfo.txt"

USING THE INTERNET TO CONDUCT SURVEYS

by Richard Rands, Executive Vice President, Computers for Marketing Corp.

A recent editorial in the San Jose Mercury News written by Science and Technology Editor Dan Gillmor decried the misuse of online polls that are proliferating the Internet. Mr. Gillmor wrote, "Online polls, like call-in votes run by some radio stations and news organizations...are pretty much bogus." He went on to note, "The dangers are obvious, yet people keep running and reporting these polls as if they meant something in and of themselves."

The lure of quick and easy data collection using e-mail and web page surveys has prompted a wide range of solutions based on many different techniques. The easiest method is to create an e-mail message in the form of a questionnaire and send it to a list of potential respondents. This technique is nothing more than an electronic form of a paper survey. A product marketed by Decisive Technologies allows users to generate the questionnaire using a Windows-based composer, to send the e-mail messages, and then to automatically scan the replies to extract the results from the completed surveys. The software that scans the replies assumes that the respondent has not changed the format of the original e-mail message. This approach has its limitations. Aside from the potential problems of extracting the data, an e-mail questionnaire does not allow any control over the flow of the questionnaire, such as using skipping logic, randomizations, or data validation. Of course, e-mail surveys also can simply be tabulated by hand, if the sample size is within reason.

A second method for using the Internet for polling is to create a special form on a web page and then to invite Internet surfers to participate in the survey by browsing to the page and completing the form. This technique is only slightly more accurate than using e-mail messages because the data are returned in a fixed format. The form must be written in a special programming language called HTML, and like the e-mail counterpart, this approach lacks the skipping logic and other features needed to control bias in the responses. Products are appearing on the market to facilitate composing in HTML code, and many marketing research firms will provide the service of creating and hosting web based surveys. Greenfield Online Services is one such service.

Web-based polling systems are gradually becoming more sophisticated. As the power of the Internet is expanded, new capabilities are being exploited for survey work. Such programming tools as Java, Pearl, and CGI scripts make it possible to cause some of the logic control to be programmed into a web-based survey. This technique uses what are called "plug-in" modules that are downloaded from the Internet onto the respondent's personal computer. The module then runs the logic of the survey as the respondent completes the survey. These plug-ins are becoming more powerful as time goes on, but currently are a potential problem for respondent are running older browsers that are incompatible with the plug-ins. Also, plug-ins produce different results on different browsers.

Web-based surveys also present a problem by allowing the same person to return to the web site and complete the survey multiple times. One solution

is to have the survey process place a marker on the respondent's personal computer called a "cookie." The survey process can then search each potential respondent's cookie files for the tell-tale mark that indicates they have already completed a survey and reject it. Another method is to capture the e-mail address of the respondent and try to purge the duplicates. In any case, sample control is a major problem with web-based surveys.

A more conventional method of conducting Internet surveys is available in the form of a dynamic, interactive web survey that uses a survey system that runs on a web server. These systems are based on the same software widely used in the telephone interviewing industry called CATI (Computer-Aided Telephone Interviewing) systems. A fully interactive questionnaire is composed using the CATI scripting tools and placed on a web server where invited respondents using a standard browser can enter the site using a password. No plug-ins or "cookie" files are required to control the sample. Respondents are prevented from completing multiple surveys by the server software. A suspended survey can be resumed where it was left off. All the logic control, bias control, and data validation that is common to CATI systems are easily implemented in such systems.

Interactive web surveys differ from normal web surveys in that generally each question in the survey is presented to the respondent's browser one at a time. When the response is submitted to the server, it checks the data for accuracy, determines the next question to be asked and sends it to the respondent's PC. Consequently, the results of one question can be used to control the flow of the entire questionnaire, and the response from one question can be inserted into the text of a subsequent question, a technique often called "piping." This question-by-question interaction can sometimes be slowed by the traffic problems on the Internet, or by a respondent's slow connection to an Internet Service Provider. To minimize the delay, strings (or grids) of questions can often be presented together, if there is no logic required between them. As a result, a respondent can submit the answers to several questions at a time.

Two such interactive web survey systems are currently on the market. Quantime Corp. and Computers for Marketing Corp. (CfMC) both offer versions of their widely used CATI systems for interactive web surveys. Both organizations offer hosting a web survey through their service bureau operations, or will supply their software to clients who wish to run their own web survey operation.

To try some examples of CfMC's Web-SURVENT system, browse to the following web address:

<http://survey.cfmc.com/websurvent>

In summary, an interactive web survey system has the following advantages:

1. Powerful logic controls such as skips, rotates, loops, data validation, quota management and more. 2. Familiar scripting languages used by many marketing research vendors who currently do CATI surveys. 3. Sample control by use of passwords. Suspended surveys can be resumed where the respondent left off.

4) Data is maintained in a single file in formats already familiar to many cross-tab systems.

5) Do not require special plug-ins or advanced browsers to handle survey logic.

Any further questions may be addressed to Richard Rands at CfMC - (415) 777-0470 or by e-mail at rrand@cfmc.com.

-----8BC5BA7DB46A90B82D819E0C--

>From lvoigt@cclink.fhcrc.org Thu Apr 9 14:35:40 1998
Received: from bug1.fhcrc.org (bug1.fhcrc.org [140.107.10.110])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id OAA03121 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 14:35:38 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from ccout.fhcrc.org (ccout [140.107.46.7])
by bug1.fhcrc.org (8.8.8/8.8.7) with SMTP id OAA04434
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 9 Apr 1998 14:35:34 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from ccMail by ccout.fhcrc.org
(IMA Internet Exchange 1.04b) id 52d3f190; Thu, 9 Apr 98 14:35:21 -0700
Mime-Version: 1.0
Date: Thu, 9 Apr 1998 14:21:52 -0700
Message-ID: <52d3f190@cclink.fhcrc.org>
From: lvoigt@cclink.fhcrc.org (lvoigt)
Subject: HCFA lists for sampling
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Description: cc:Mail note part

Could anyone recommend any references about used HCFA (health care financing administration) lists for sampling? I have only found the following 3 references:

Apodaca R, Lo A, Skellan K. Sampling From HCFA Lists. Proceedings of the ASA Survey Research Study Section, 1992 p. 250-255

Hatten J. Medicare's Common Denominator: the Covered

Population. Health Care Finance Review, 1980, p 53-64

Falkner KL, Wactawksi-Wende J, Tevisan M. A study of the Completeness of purchased mailing lists for identifying older women. AJE (abstract) 1996, p. 53.

Thanks!

Lynda Voigt

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

Seattle, WA

lvoigt@fhcrc.org

>From mkuechle@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu Sat Apr 11 13:05:49 1998

Received: from shiva.hunter.cuny.edu (shiva.hunter.cuny.edu [146.95.128.96])

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTTP

id NAA28847 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Sat, 11 Apr 1998 13:05:47 -0700

(PDT)

Received: from oemcomputer (79.new-york-30-35rs.ny.dial-access.att.net

[12.79.51.79])

by shiva.hunter.cuny.edu (8.8.7/8.8.7/08.30.97) with SMTP id QAA19002

for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Sat, 11 Apr 1998 16:09:41 -0400 (EDT)

Message-Id: <3.0.3.32.19980411160509.007d0370@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu>

X-Sender: mkuechle@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu

X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.3 (32)

Date: Sat, 11 Apr 1998 16:05:09 -0400

To: aapornet@usc.edu

From: Manfred Kuechler <mkuechle@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu>

Subject: Proper procedure for Web surveys

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

One of the leading (as to name recognition) companies recently started a series of web surveys. Some people were invited to participate via e-mail, others as they stumble across the company website. As an incentive to participate, respondents are promised that they will be informed about the results of the survey. I have now participated in the first two of these surveys, but I never got any information about the results (not even after I contacted the company via e-mail). Question for the AAPOR membership:

Is this

a. unprofessional and maybe unethical behavior on part of the company OR b. just normal, and my expectation to actually get information about the results just utterly naive?

AND:

Does our response as AAPOR members depend on whether or not the company itself is an AAPOR member?

Now, maybe (I don't know this for a fact) these surveys are just so much of a disaster in terms of the realized sample (size, bias) that there is nothing worthwhile to report as far as results go. But somebody certainly paid for these; some of the questions were so -- lets' say -- peculiar that nobody would have asked them just out of scholarly interest.

SO:

Do we (AAPOR) need to look into this new form of doing surveys, do we need to amend our code of ethics, do we need to devise a set of rules about how to do such surveys properly?

Just curious, MK.

Manfred Kuechler

Sociology Department at Hunter College (CUNY)

695 Park Avenue, NY, NY 10021

Tel: 212-772-5588

Fax: as above, then select "3" from voice mail menu (**NEW** as of 7/21/97)

WWW: <http://maxweber.hunter.cuny.edu/socio/faculty/kuech.html>

I am prepared to use PGP 5.5 (freeware) available from <http://www.nai.com> . Please send your public key if you are ready to protect the privacy of your e-mail, and I will send my public key in return -- which is also available from my web page. Note that the freeware version of PGP 5.5 does not handle (older) RSA keys.

>From daves@startribune.com Mon Apr 13 08:09:40 1998

Received: from firewall2.startribune.com (firewall2.startribune.com [132.148.80.211])

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP

id IAA25455 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Mon, 13 Apr 1998 08:09:39 -0700

(PDT)

Received: by firewall2.startribune.com; id KAA25853; Mon, 13 Apr 1998 10:11:00 -0500 (CDT)

Received: from gw.startribune.com(132.148.71.49) by firewall2.startribune.com via smap (3.2)

id xma025701; Mon, 13 Apr 98 10:10:39 -0500

Received: from STAR-Message_Server by mail.startribune.com

with Novell_GroupWise; Mon, 13 Apr 1998 10:10:41 -0600

Message-Id: <s531e4a1.042@mail.startribune.com>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1

Date: Mon, 13 Apr 1998 10:10:11 -0600

From: Rob Daves <daves@startribune.com>

To: aapornet@usc.edu

Subject: Public Agenda Online

Colleagues,

When you have a few minutes, you might want to point your web browser to <http://www.publicagenda.org>

Public Agenda Online says it is "the journalist's inside source for public opinion and policy analysis," and that "public opinion is Public Agenda's specialty." Among a great many other things, it has what appears to be the NCCP's "20 Questions" brochure on line, and a link to AAPOR's website, among others.

Rob, and automatically ...

Robert P. Daves

Director of Polling & News Research

Star Tribune

425 Portland Av. S. Minneapolis MN 55488 USA

daves@startribune.com v: 612/673-7278 f: 612/673-4359

>From hse@elwaypoll.com Tue Apr 14 08:59:51 1998

Received: from accessone.com (soda.accessone.com [198.68.191.24])

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP

id IAA02964 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 08:59:50 -0700

(PDT)

Received: from elway (kirk09-1.accessone.com [209.43.129.145])

by accessone.com (8.8.5/8.8.5/PIH) with SMTP id IAA11045

for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 08:58:29 -0700 (PDT)

Message-Id: <3.0.5.32.19980414090307.007a65c0@accessone.com>

X-Sender: elway@accessone.com

X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.5 (32)

Date: Tue, 14 Apr 1998 09:03:07 -0700

To: aapornet@usc.edu

From: "H. Stuart Elway" <hse@elwaypoll.com>

Subject: Internet Polls

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Colleagues,

Does anyone have experience with, or knowledge of, Internet surveys that

involve more than a chance sample of surfers? It seems to me that the only way to get a representative sample even of Internet users is to recruit them in some way, no? Is there any other way around the cyberspace version of the phone in poll?

Internet surveys are surely coming. What (if anything) could be done to make them legitimate and meaningful?

Stuart Elway

Elway Research, Inc.

206/728-1620

>From jpearson@stanford.edu Tue Apr 14 09:59:04 1998

Received: from mailhub.Stanford.EDU (mailhub.Stanford.EDU [171.64.14.35])

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP

id JAA20736 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 09:59:02 -0700

(PDT)

Received: from pc-jpearson (PC-Pearson-J.Stanford.EDU [36.188.0.94])

by mailhub.Stanford.EDU (8.8.8/8.8.8/L) with SMTP id JAA18547

for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 09:58:11 -0700 (PDT)
Message-Id: <3.0.3.32.19980414095743.0069fbd8@jpearson.pobox.stanford.edu>
X-Sender: jpearson@jpearson.pobox.stanford.edu
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.3 (32)
Date: Tue, 14 Apr 1998 09:57:43 -0700
To: aapornet@usc.edu
From: Jerold Pearson <jpearson@stanford.edu>
Subject: Re: Internet Polls
In-Reply-To: <3.0.5.32.19980414090307.007a65c0@accessone.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

> Does anyone have experience with, or knowledge of, Internet
> surveys that
> involve more than a chance sample of surfers? It seems to me that the
> only way to get a representative sample even of Internet users is to
> recruit them in some way, no? Is there any other way around the
> cyberspace version of the phone in poll?
> Internet surveys are surely coming. What (if anything) could
> be
> done to
> make them legitimate and meaningful?
> Stuart Elway
> Elway Research, Inc.
> 206/728-1620
>

In January, I conducted a survey on the web by e-mailing an invitation to a random sample of my population. The invitation stressed the salience of the survey to those in the sample and included the URL hotlink to the web site with the survey. My population was unique, though, because it was of current undergraduates here at Stanford -- and I had current and valid email addresses for everyone in the population. Moreover, just about all of them use email and the web regularly. When they got to the web site, they had to enter their ID number to get into the survey (so that only those in the random sample could take the survey). Response was highest within the first 12 hours, then tapered off. After three waves of reminder emails, I got a 66% response rate (461 completed surveys).

I have also recruited alumni for focus groups by email. But again, the part of the population I was interested in all had email addresses. (The topic was online services for alumni, so the groups were conducted only with alumni who already were using email and the web.) The response was phenomenal: Although many of the email addresses bounced, I had both groups completely recruited within 3 hours of sending my invitation. In the invitation I asked those who were interested to reply immediately, before the groups filled up. When I recruited for the other two cities, I had the same quick response. Just like recruiting by phone, however, it was necessary to keep track of who responded so I could get balance by gender and age. As it turned out, though, the response was balanced as it came in

-- not only by age and gender, but also by department and type of degree (undergraduate, graduate, and dual degree holders).

This does not answer your question about surveying the Internet population, but these techniques CAN be used with appropriate populations. Feel free to call or email me if you'd like more details.

Jerold Pearson
Director of Market Research
Stanford University
jpearson@stanford.edu
650-723-9186

>From Dawn_Von_Thurn@notes.pw.com Tue Apr 14 10:26:58 1998
Received: from willow.us.pw.com (pw20.pw9.com [208.141.52.243])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id KAA02499 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 10:26:51 -0700
(PDT)

From: Dawn_Von_Thurn@notes.pw.com
Received: by willow.us.pw.com; id NAA19297; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 13:29:20 -0400
Received: from fern.us.pw.com(10.9.16.60) by willow.us.pw.com via smap (4.1)
id xma019230; Tue, 14 Apr 98 13:29:10 -0400
Received: by fern.us.pw.com (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id NAA10312; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 13:24:42 -0400
Message-Id: <199804141724.NAA10312@fern.us.pw.com>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
cc: mbednarz@umich.edu
Date: Tue, 14 Apr 98 13:23:14 EDT
Subject: AAPOR Hotel Reservations - - we need your help!

A MESSAGE FROM THE AAPOR CONFERENCE OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

The St. Louis Marriott Pavilion hotel has provided AAPOR with a list of all of the individual AAPOR hotel room reservations. This list is displayed below and is current as of Sunday, April 12th. As in previous years, we request that you review the listed information to make sure your hotel reservation is accurate.

WE NEED YOUR HELP: Our AAPOR contract with the St. Louis Marriott Pavilion hotel requires AAPOR to reserve (and use) a large block of hotel guest rooms. If we do not meet our guest room block quotas, AAPOR will be charged thousands

of dollars in meeting space rental fees. In previous years, AAPOR has always filled the required room block; thus, we have avoided paying the meeting space rental fees. Currently, we are falling short of our hotel guest room quotas. Please help AAPOR by making your hotel room reservation as soon as possible.

April 22nd is our hotel cut off date. After April 22nd, the hotel will release any unsold rooms in our AAPOR room block to the general public. It is very important that we fill our room block PRIOR to April 22nd. PLEASE make your hotel reservation BEFORE April 22nd.

HELPFUL HINTS IN REVIEWING THE LIST BELOW:

1. If your name does NOT appear below, the hotel either does not have a room reservation for you OR your room reservation is not included under the AAPOR room block. In either case, we request that you re-contact the hotel (at 314-421-1776) to correct the situation.
2. If your name appears below twice, the hotel has TWO hotel reservations for you. Please contact the hotel if this information is not correct.
3. Ignore the "*" that follows your name (any names longer than 12 characters are truncated at the 12th character and given an *).
4. The number in the second column reflects the number of rooms reserved in your name. If this cell is greater than one, the hotel has reserved more than one hotel room for you. Please contact the hotel to correct this information. If the cell is blank, the hotel reservation is listed under another person's name. This is not problematic when you are sharing a room with another person, since the room is likely to be in this other person's name. However, if you are not sharing a room with another person, please be sure you have a "1" listed in column two.

AAPOR ROOM BLOCK HOTEL RESERVATIONS AS OF APRIL 12, 1998:

# rooms reserved	your arrival	your departure
------------------	--------------	----------------

your name	in your name	date	date
Abelson/Herb*	1	15-May	17-May
Achatz/Mary		14-May	17-May
Adams/Christ*	1	15-May	17-May
Airey/Jennif*	1	14-May	17-May
Arumi/Annam *	1	14-May	17-May
Ballou/Janic*	1	13-May	17-May
Barabas/Jaso*	1	14-May	17-May
Barnes/Chris*	1	13-May	17-May
Barton/Allen*	1	14-May	17-May
Basanez/Migu*	1	14-May	17-May
Battaglia/Mi*	1	15-May	17-May
Bauman/Lauri*	1	15-May	17-May
Bauman/Sandr*		13-May	17-May
Becher/Angel*	1	15-May	16-May
Belli/Robert*	11	15-May	17-May
Benford/Robe*	1	14-May	17-May
Beveridge/An*	1	15-May	17-May
Black/Gorden*	1	14-May	17-May
Boesch/Patti	1	14-May	17-May
Bowker/Denni*	1	13-May	17-May
Bradburn/Nor*	1	14-May	17-May
Bronson/Edwa*	1	14-May	17-May
Brooks/Rache*	2	14-May	17-May
Brown/Stepha*	1	14-May	17-May
Bryant/Barba*	1	14-May	17-May
Cantor/David	1	14-May	17-May
Carton/Ann	1	13-May	18-May
Caspar/Rache*	1	14-May	17-May
Chun/Young	1	13-May	17-May
Colasanto/Di*	1	14-May	17-May
Connelly/Mar*	1	14-May	17-May
Cooke/Valeri	1	14-May	16-May
CralleyMarl*	2	14-May	17-May
Crawford/Sco*	1	16-May	20-May
Cressato/Car*		13-May	18-May
Crossley/Hel*	1	14-May	17-May
Dautrich/Ken*	1	13-May	16-May
Daves/Rob	1	13-May	17-May
Davis/Patric*	1	13-May	17-May
DeLuca/Donal*	1	14-May	17-May
DeMaio/There*		14-May	17-May
Dicamillo/Ma*	1	13-May	17-May
Dineen/Jenni*	1	13-May	17-May
Donelan/Kare*	3	14-May	17-May
Downing/Kim	1	14-May	17-May
Dykema/Jenni*	1	13-May	17-May
Eisenhower/D*	1	14-May	17-May
Elder/Janet	1	14-May	17-May

Erth/Stacey/*	1	14-May	17-May
Esposito/Jam*		13-May	17-May
Feil/Richard	1	14-May	17-May
Feinberg/Geo*	1	14-May	17-May
Fengrich/Mic*	1	15-May	17-May
Field/Mervin*	1	13-May	17-May
Fleetwood/Jo*	1	15-May	16-May
Forsyth/Barb*	1	13-May	16-May
Frankovic/Ka*	1	14-May	17-May
Garrett/Robe*	1	15-May	16-May
Gaziano/Ceci*	1	14-May	17-May
Gimenez/Rafa*	1	14-May	16-May
Goldenberg/K*	1	14-May	18-May
Goraczko/Ann	1	14-May	17-May
Groves/Rober*		13-May	17-May
Haley/Alicia	1	14-May	17-May
Hansen/Suee*	1	14-May	17-May
Harrison/Cha*	1	13-May	17-May
Haug/Luis	1	13-May	17-May
Heald/Gordon*	1	14-May	15-May
Henning/Paul*	1	14-May	17-May
Herek/Gregor*	1	13-May	17-May
Hess/Jennife*	1	13-May	17-May
Hofmann/Deb*	1	14-May	17-May
Holz/Josehip*	1	14-May	17-May
Horowitz/Edw*	1	14-May	16-May
Howell/Susan	1	14-May	17-May
Hueber/Graha*	1	13-May	17-May
Inchauste/Ve*	1	14-May	17-May
Jay/Deborah	1	13-May	17-May
Jenks/Richar*		14-May	17-May
John/Ken		13-May	17-May
Johnson/Chri*	1	13-May	16-May
Johnson/Devo*	1	14-May	17-May
Kagay/Michae*	1	13-May	17-May
Kaplan/Gwen	1	14-May	17-May
Keeley/Cathe*	1	14-May	17-May
Keenan/There*	1	14-May	17-May
Keeter/Charl*	1	13-May	17-May
Kirchner/Cor*	1	14-May	17-May
Kohut/Andy		14-May	17-May
Kreider/Chri*	1	14-May	17-May
Krosnick/Jon*	1	14-May	17-May
Krysan/Maria		13-May	17-May
Kulka/Richar*	1	14-May	17-May
Kutner/Gail	1	14-May	17-May
Lamatsch/Tho*	1	13-May	17-May
Lang/Kurt/Mr*	1	14-May	17-May
Law/Bruce/Jo*	1	13-May	17-May
Lee/Meredith	1	14-May	17-May

Lee/Robert/S*	1	13-May	17-May
Lepkowski/Ja*	1	14-May	17-May
Levin/Kerry	1	15-May	16-May
Link/Michael		14-May	18-May
Macallum/Cry*	1	14-May	17-May
Marcum/John/*	1	14-May	17-May
Margolis/Mar*	1	12-May	14-May
Markowitz/Fr*	1	14-May	17-May
Martin/Eliza*	1	14-May	17-May
Martin/Jean	1	13-May	17-May
Mason/Robert	1	14-May	17-May
Matamoor/Mic*	1	13-May	17-May
McGrath/Patr*	1	14-May	17-May
McCombs/Maxw*	1	14-May	15-May
Merkle/Danie*	1	13-May	17-May
Mingay/David	1	13-May	17-May
Modig/Arne	1	14-May	17-May
Moore/Danna	1	13-May	17-May
Moreno/Aleja*		13-May	17-May
Morin/Carol	1	14-May	17-May
Moy/Patricia	1	14-May	17-May
Myers/AmyJo *	1	14-May	17-May
Nelson/Eliza*	1	14-May	15-May
Nelson/Terri	1	14-May	17-May
Newton/Sherr*	1	14-May	17-May
Oconnor/Robe*	1	14-May	17-May
Ohare/Barbar*	1	14-May	17-May
Oldendick/Ro*	1	14-May	18-May
Oneill/Harry*	1	14-May	17-May
ORourke/Dian*	1	13-May	17-May
Patas/Pablo	1	13-May	17-May
Patel/Mina	1	14-May	17-May
Pelletier/St*		13-May	17-May
Phillips/Dre*	1	14-May	17-May
Piekarski/Li*		14-May	17-May
Pollard/Gale	1	13-May	16-May
Presser/Stan*	1	14-May	17-May
Price/Vincen*	1	14-May	17-May
Rachelson/Jo*	1	13-May	17-May
Redline/Cleo	1	14-May	17-May
Reed/Glenn	1	13-May	17-May
Riley/Sharon	1	14-May	17-May
Richman/Aliv*	1	13-May	17-May
Rothgeb/Jenn*	1	13-May	17-May
Rund/Charles	1	14-May	17-May
Russell/Jani*		13-May	17-May
Safir/Adam	1	14-May	17-May
Schaeffer/Da*		13-May	17-May
Scheufele/Di*	1	14-May	17-May
Scheufele/Di*	1	14-May	17-May

Schlichting/*	1	13-May	16-May
Schulman/Mar*	1	14-May	17-May
Shaw/Donald	1	14-May	17-May
Simmons/Robe*	1	14-May	17-May
Smith/Tom/Mr	1	14-May	17-May
Stanley/Jaki	1	14-May	17-May
Steiger/Darb*	1	14-May	17-May
Stewart/Kath*	1	14-May	17-May
Strand/Dougl	1	13-May	17-May
Stutzman/Mar*	1	14-May	17-May
Sudman/Seym*		14-May	17-May
Sullivan/Col*		14-May	17-May
Takeuchi/Jan*	1	15-May	17-May
Thomas/Charl*	1	13-May	17-May
Tourangeau/R*	1	14-May	17-May
Traugott/Mic*	1	13-May	17-May
Tuchfarber/A*	1	13-May	17-May
Tucker/Cyde	1	14-May	17-May
Walsh/Victor	1	13-May	17-May
Watts/Mark/M*	1	14-May	17-May
Webb/Beth	1	14-May	17-May
Weeks/Michae*	1	14-May	17-May
Weiner/Neil	1	14-May	16-May
Wicks/Jerry	1	13-May	16-May
Wilber/David	1	13-May	17-May
Wilcox/Allen	1	14-May	17-May
Woolever/Cyn*	1	14-May	17-May
Woolever/Cyn*	1	14-May	17-May
Worcester/Ro*	1	14-May	16-May
Worcester/Ro*	1	14-May	16-May
Zukin/Cliff	1	13-May	17-May

Thank you for your time and effort.

Dawn Von Thurn

(301) 897-4417 office phone

(301) 897-4302 office fax

>From mtrau@umich.edu Tue Apr 14 10:49:11 1998

Received: from berzerk.rs.itd.umich.edu (smtp@berzerk.rs.itd.umich.edu [141.211.63.17])

by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP

id KAA12420 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 10:49:08 -0700

(PDT)

Received: from pacman.rs.itd.umich.edu (smtp@pacman.rs.itd.umich.edu [141.211.63.80])

by berzerk.rs.itd.umich.edu (8.8.8/4.3-mailhub) with ESMTP id NAA21886

for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 13:49:06 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from localhost (mtrau@localhost)
by pacman.rs.itd.umich.edu (8.8.8/5.1-client) with SMTP id NAA20295
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 13:49:05 -0400 (EDT)
Precedence: first-class
Date: Tue, 14 Apr 1998 13:49:05 -0400 (EDT)
From: Michael W Traugott <mtrau@umich.edu>
X-Sender: mtrau@pacman.rs.itd.umich.edu
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Re: AAPOR Hotel Reservations - - we need your help!
In-Reply-To: <199804141724.NAA10312@fern.us.pw.com>
Message-ID:
<Pine.SOL.3.95.980414134853.19585A-100000@pacman.rs.itd.umich.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Does Belli get a prize for reserving 11 rooms?

>From Dawn_Von_Thurn@notes.pw.com Tue Apr 14 13:43:04 1998
Received: from willow.us.pw.com (pw20.pw9.com [208.141.52.243])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id NAA19417 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 13:43:02 -0700
(PDT)
From: Dawn_Von_Thurn@notes.pw.com
Received: by willow.us.pw.com; id QAA18639; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 16:44:39 -0400
Received: from fern.us.pw.com(10.9.16.60) by willow.us.pw.com via smap (4.1)
id xma018584; Tue, 14 Apr 98 16:44:10 -0400
Received: by fern.us.pw.com (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id QAA27844; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 16:39:43 -0400
Message-Id: <199804142039.QAA27844@fern.us.pw.com>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Date: Tue, 14 Apr 98 16:37:43 EDT

Rob, When you have a free moment, would you mind sending me an electronic copy of the survey we discussed during the last Council meeting (e.g., the one I plan to pull together to obtain aaporites' reactions to the modified meal plan).

Thanks

>From Dawn_Von_Thurn@notes.pw.com Tue Apr 14 16:38:25 1998
Received: from willow.us.pw.com (pw20.pw9.com [208.141.52.243])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id QAA25784 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 16:38:20 -0700
(PDT)
From: Dawn_Von_Thurn@notes.pw.com
Received: by willow.us.pw.com; id TAA08075; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 19:41:00 -0400
Received: from fern.us.pw.com(10.9.16.60) by willow.us.pw.com via smap (4.1)
id xma008001; Tue, 14 Apr 98 19:40:35 -0400
Received: by fern.us.pw.com (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)

id TAA25526; Tue, 14 Apr 1998 19:36:08 -0400
Message-Id: <199804142336.TAA25526@fern.us.pw.com>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Date: Tue, 14 Apr 98 19:28:11 EDT
Subject: email SNAFU turns into an advertisement

Oops! I apologize. My earlier message was intended for Rob Daves and NOT all of aapornet.

However, this message can serve as an advertisement for a short self-administered questionnaire we will be distributing at the upcoming AAPOR conference to obtain conference attendees' opinions/reactions to the modified meal plan. The survey results will be used by the Conference Operations Committee in planning future AAPOR conference meals.

----- Forwarded by Dawn Von Thurn/MCS/Price Waterhouse on 04/14/98 06:38 PM -----

Dawn Von Thurn
04/14/98 04:37 PM
Please respond to aapornet@usc.edu @ Internet
To: aapornet@usc.edu @ Internet
cc:
Subject:

Rob, When you have a free moment, would you mind sending me an electronic copy of the survey we discussed during the last Council meeting (e.g., the one I plan to pull together to obtain aaporites' reactions to the modified meal plan).

Thanks

>From dykema@ssc.wisc.edu Wed Apr 15 07:06:55 1998
Received: from robin.ssc.wisc.edu (robin.ssc.wisc.edu [144.92.190.150])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id HAA22896 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 15 Apr 1998 07:06:53 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from ssc.wisc.edu by ssc.wisc.edu (PMDF V5.1-9 #25733) id
<01IVW5JH76V4JCPZ78@ssc.wisc.edu> for aapornet@usc.edu; Wed, 15 Apr 1998
09:06:33 CDT
Date: Wed, 15 Apr 1998 09:06:32 -0500 (CDT)
From: Jennifer Dykema <dykema@ssc.wisc.edu>
Subject: Vote for your favorite t-shirt slogan
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>

Message-id: <Pine.PMDF.3.95.980415090509.1170224756A-100000@ssc.wisc.edu>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

AAPORites:

The candidates have arrived!

Below are the entries for the 1998 Fun Run/Walk T-shirt Slogan Contest. Now YOU get to determine which of the clever slogans will appear on the T-shirt.

Simply choose your favorite slogan and email the corresponding number to me. For example, if 15 is your favorite, send email to Dykema@ssc.wisc.edu with the following message: 19. (Don't send your vote over AAPORNET.) Be sure to read through all of the entries.

*** The deadline for voting is April 20th ***

Thanks for your help,

Jennifer Dykema
Fun Run/Walk Coordinator
Email: Dykema@ssc.wisc.edu

- #1) Pollsters do it better (now):
A tribute to Presidents Tom Dewey and Alf Landon.
- #2) Without us, it's just your opinion.
- #3) We have all the questions.
- #4) Old Pollsters never die ...
They just become non-respondents
- #5) AAPOR: An organization with attitudes.
- #6) Your opinion counts if we count your opinion.
- #7) AAPOR: Unlike Mom, we actually kind of LIKE your attitude.
- #8) "Would you say: very much, somewhat, or a little?"
- #9) Vox populi, vox deus.
- #10) "If you've got the answers, we've got the questions."
- #11) Meet me in St. Louis, Louie,
Meet me at Chi square.
Meet me in St. Louis, Louie,

We'll be sampling there.

- #12) Old pollsters never die -- they just forget the question.
- #13) AAPOR: The numbers people. Dead solid perfect 5/6ths of the time, somewhat in doubt the other 1/4.
- #14) Front of Shirt: Back of Shirt:
 A: Q: Who has definitely NOT
 () Paula Jones screwed President Clinton
 () Monica Lewinsky
 (X) Public opinion
 pollsters
- #15) Reality is in the error term!
- #16) PEOPLE ARE THERE FOR THE ASKING
- #17) AAPOR: It's not just for breakfast and lunch and dinner any more.
- #18) AAPOR: The dudes with the 'tudes
- #19) AAPOR: Roses are red, violets are blue.
 I have just a few questions for you.
- #20) AAPOR: An organization with attitude.
- #21) "Meet me in St. Louis, Louie. Meet me at Chi Square."

>From leos@christa.unh.edu Wed Apr 15 08:57:12 1998
Received: from christa.unh.edu (leos@christa.unh.edu [132.177.137.10])
 by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
 id IAA20698 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 15 Apr 1998 08:57:08 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from localhost (leos@localhost)
 by christa.unh.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8) with SMTP id LAA07916
 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 15 Apr 1998 11:57:07 -0400 (EDT)
Date: Wed, 15 Apr 1998 11:57:07 -0400 (EDT)
From: Leo G Simonetta <leos@christa.unh.edu>
To: Mailing list <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: NH Push polling signed
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96L.980415115025.20826A-100000@christa.unh.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

The NH push polling bill was signed by the Governor on 4/1/98 and became effective at that time.

Text of the bill is available at

<http://webster.state.nh.us/gencourt/rereferred/hb0443.html>

General Status: SIGNED BY GOVERNOR on 04/01/98
House Status: PASSED / ADOPTED WITH AMENDMENT on 01/15/98 Amended
Senate Status: PASSED / ADOPTED on 03/12/98

--

Leo G. Simonetta
Director, UNH Survey Center leos@christa.unh.edu

>From bgroves@survey.umd.edu Wed Apr 15 11:09:13 1998
Received: from umailsrv2.umd.edu (umailsrv2.umd.edu [128.8.10.76])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id LAA03446 for <AAPORNET@USC.EDU>; Wed, 15 Apr 1998 11:09:11 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from survey.umd.edu (survey.umd.edu [129.2.169.100])
by umailsrv2.umd.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8) with SMTP id OAA11521
for <AAPORNET@USC.EDU>; Wed, 15 Apr 1998 14:00:01 -0400
Received: from SURVEY/MAILQUEUE1 by survey.umd.edu (Mercury 1.13);
Wed, 15 Apr 98 12:59:29 +1100
Received: from MAILQUEUE1 by SURVEY (Mercury 1.13); Wed, 15 Apr 98 12:59:28
+1100
From: "Bob Groves" <bgroves@survey.umd.edu>
To: AAPORNET@USC.EDU
Date: Wed, 15 Apr 1998 12:59:23 EST
Subject: Call for Papers on Survey Nonresponse
X-mailer: Pegasus Mail for Windows (v2.54)
Message-ID: <96F75941C4@survey.umd.edu>

CALL FOR ABSTRACTS FOR MONOGRAPH PAPERS

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SURVEY NONRESPONSE

Time: November, 1999

Place: United States, probably in the Western U.S.

Deadline for abstracts for monograph papers: July 1, 1998

Background and Goals

The International Conference on Survey Nonresponse will be the first international gathering totally devoted to the nonresponse phenomena in sample surveys since the meetings of the U.S. National Academy of Sciences panel in 1983. Since that time there have been important new developments both in statistical estimation in the presence of missing data and data collection procedures to reduce nonresponse. An edited volume containing a description of state of the art research findings in the area will be written and a conference will be held in 1999.

The edited volume and conference have the following goals:

the volume will be designed to be a description of the state of the art in social science and statistical theory and practice in nonresponse rate reduction, nonresponse error measurement, and postsurvey compensation for nonresponse.

the volume will be designed to be suitable for university use; that is, it will not be a proceedings volume, but an integrated treatment of the field.

the conference will gather together large numbers of active researchers in survey nonresponse and missing data issues.

the conference will have presentations of current research and practice regarding survey nonresponse.

Type of Papers Eligible for the Monograph

The ideal paper contributes both to theory and methods in the handling of nonresponse in surveys. Papers with empirical tests of ideas are preferred to papers that demonstrate an approach, without valuating it. Thus, assessing the merit of ideas, hypotheses, and protocols is the target of the conference and the monograph. Papers should focus on nonresponse; that is, the failure to measure a sampled unit on some variable desired in a survey. Issues involving coverage error; that is, missingness on sampling frames, are not in scope. However, papers that address the intersection of coverage error and nonresponse error are eligible. Papers on editing and imputation, as a tool to address missing data, are in scope, but should have evaluations of the methods being described. Review papers, synthesizing an area of research findings, are eligible for the monograph. We hope to assemble papers across the breadth of research issues in survey nonresponse, including:

Unit nonresponse vs. item nonresponse

Design vs. estimation (including variance estimation)

Methods to reduce nonresponse and methods to measure the effects of nonresponse

Theory (both social science and statistical) vs. practice

Onetime vs. longitudinal surveys

Surveys of persons, households, establishments

Item missing data on objective and subjective measurements

Relationships between nonresponse error and other survey errors

This is a call for abstracts of papers to be considered for the edited volume. Senior authors of the monograph papers will have travel and lodging expenses paid at the conference. A later "contributed paper" call will be made for abstracts of papers to presented at the conference.

How to Submit Abstracts: Abstracts will be submitted via the Web. Starting May 1, 1998, the web page of the Joint Program in Survey Methodology will have a feature permitting entry of abstracts. URL WWW.BSOS.UMD.EDU/JPSM

An abstract of 500 words should be submitted via the web, between May 1, 1998 and July 1, 1998.

Questions about submissions can also be sent to that ID, or queries can be posed to Robert M. Groves, chair of the editorial committee, at BGROVES@SURVEY.UMD.EDU.

Current Sponsoring Organizations: The American Statistical Association, Survey Research Methods Section; and the American Association for Public Opinion Research.

```
>From bauman@aecom.yu.edu Wed Apr 15 16:13:08 1998
Received: from post.aecom.yu.edu (post.aecom.yu.edu [129.98.1.4])
    by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTMP
    id QAA28979 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 15 Apr 1998 16:13:05 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from localhost (bauman@localhost)
    by post.aecom.yu.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8) with SMTP id TAA11679
    for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Wed, 15 Apr 1998 19:13:05 -0400 (EDT)
Date: Wed, 15 Apr 1998 19:13:04 -0400 (EDT)
From: Laurie Bauman <bauman@aecom.yu.edu>
X-Sender: bauman@post
To: AAPORNET <aapornet@usc.edu>
Subject: Re: Vote for your favorite t-shirt slogan
In-Reply-To: <Pine.PMDF.3.95.980415090509.1170224756A-100000@ssc.wisc.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.3.96.980415191252.11303A-100000@post>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
```

#2

```
  \\|///
 \\ - - //
 ( @ @ )
```

-----o00o-(_)o00o-----
Laurie J. Bauman, Ph.D.
Professor of Pediatrics

Co-Director, Preventive Intervention Research Center
Department of Pediatrics Albert Einstein College of Medicine 1300 Morris
Park Avenue, NR 7 South 21 Bronx, New York 10461 phone (718) 918-4421 fax
(718) 918-4388 email bauman@aecom.yu.edu

>From PRATHBUN@habaco.com Thu Apr 16 07:48:22 1998
Received: from habaco.com ([199.97.248.230])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id HAA03033 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 07:48:21 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from rcgva-Message_Server by habaco.com
with Novell_GroupWise; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 10:48:32 -0500
Message-Id: <s535e200.026@habaco.com>
X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1
Date: Thu, 16 Apr 1998 10:46:54 -0500
From: Pam Rathbun <PRATHBUN@habaco.com>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: data collection firms in Thailand
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Disposition: inline

Our firm is trying to identify one or more data collection firms in Thailand to collect data from households and businesses. The data collection could be done by either phone, mail, or on-site visit. We are particularly interested in firms that have experience in the energy industry.

I would like to know if anyone has any knowledge of or experience with data collection firms in this country. If so, would you recommend them and do you have a contact name of someone in the firm?

Responses can be sent directly to me at: prathbun@habaco.com

Thank you.

>From Kat.Lind@SRL.UIC.EDU Thu Apr 16 08:14:30 1998
Received: from eeyore.cc.uic.edu (EEYORE.CC.UIC.EDU [128.248.171.51])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id IAA12221 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 08:14:26 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from SRL.UIC.EDU (SMTP.SRL.UIC.EDU [131.193.93.96])
by eeyore.cc.uic.edu (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id KAA25349
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 10:01:30 -0500 (CDT)
Received: from main-Message_Server by SRL.UIC.EDU
with Novell_GroupWise; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 09:58:34 -0500
Message-Id: <s535d64a.014@SRL.UIC.EDU>
X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1
Date: Thu, 16 Apr 1998 09:58:31 -0500

From: Katherine Lind <Kat.Lind@SRL.UIC.EDU>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Blood Samples

Has anyone had any experience with taking blood samples during a face-to-face in home interview? I am looking for information about the feasibility and cost of training interviewers to take bloods, or hiring a phlebotomist to do interviews and take bloods (we want the blood to be taken at the same time as the interview, rather than trying to schedule a second appointment). Any advice would be most appreciated.

Katherine Lind
Survey Research Laboratory

>From DOrourke@SRL.UIC.EDU Thu Apr 16 08:27:32 1998
Received: from eeyore.cc.uic.edu (EEYORE.CC.UIC.EDU [128.248.171.51])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id IAA17326 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 08:27:31 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from SRL.UIC.EDU (SMTP.SRL.UIC.EDU [131.193.93.96])
by eeyore.cc.uic.edu (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id KAA28216
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 10:20:30 -0500 (CDT)
Received: from main-Message_Server by SRL.UIC.EDU
with Novell_GroupWise; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 10:17:33 -0500
Message-Id: <s535dabd.016@SRL.UIC.EDU>
X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1
Date: Thu, 16 Apr 1998 10:26:47 -0500
From: "Diane O'Rourke" <DOrourke@SRL.UIC.EDU>
To: aapornet@usc.edu
Subject: Blood Samples -Reply

I think Dick has some experience with this.

>From yogi@vt.edu Thu Apr 16 09:28:10 1998
Received: from quackerjack.cc.vt.edu (quackerjack.cc.vt.edu
[198.82.160.250])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with ESMTP
id JAA06872 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 09:28:08 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from sable.cc.vt.edu (sable.cc.vt.edu [128.173.16.30])
by quackerjack.cc.vt.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8) with ESMTP id MAA20862
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 12:31:31 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from vtcsr.async.vt.edu (vtcsr.async.vt.edu [128.173.16.253])
by sable.cc.vt.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8) with SMTP id MAA13733
for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 12:27:42 -0400 (EDT)
Message-Id: <3.0.3.32.19980416122831.0071af04@mail.vt.edu>
X-Sender: yogi@mail.vt.edu
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.3 (32)
Date: Thu, 16 Apr 1998 12:28:31 +0600

To: aapornet@usc.edu
From: Alan Bayer <yogi@vt.edu>
Subject: Re: Blood Samples
In-Reply-To: <s535d64a.014@SRL.UIC.EDU>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Back in the 60's, the National Merit Scholarship research group collected blood samples of everyone who had a twin in order to determine whether same-sex pairs were fraternal or identicals (in part this was used to validate a series of questions to identify the same thing: e.g., do your friends sometimes mistake you for your twin?, Do you and your twin sometimes switch identities to fool your teachers or parents?, etc....). This was a huge sample, given the large numbers taking the NMS exam, and the data/results were used in numerous subsequent monographs and articles re the perennial nature-nurture controversy. While this was done a long time back, the procedures and protocol, as I recall, sound pretty typical of today's methods and expectations (signed informed consent, etc.). I've lost track (and forgotten the name) of the director of this project (it was Bob XXXX), but his colleague collaborator was Alexander Astin, now at the School of Education at UCLA. His e-mail address is aastin@gse.cula.edu (but my impression is that he doesn't monitor his e-mail himself and hence response by this mode is slow/unreliable. I'd suggest calling UCLA Directory Assistance and phoning him directly if you're interested in following up on this lead).

Good luck.

Alan Bayer
Virginia Tech

At 09:58 AM 4/16/98 -0500, you wrote:

>Has anyone had any experience with taking blood samples during a
>face-to-face in home interview? I am looking for information about the
>feasibility and cost of training interviewers to take bloods, or hiring
>a phlebotomist to do interviews and take bloods (we want the blood to
>be taken at the same time as the interview, rather than trying to
>schedule a second appointment). Any advice would be most appreciated.

>
>Katherine Lind
>Survey Research Laboratory
>
>
>

\\|//
(@ @)

=====V=====

Alan E. Bayer, Director
Center for Survey Research

e-mail: yogi@vt.edu
phone: (540)231-3676

207 W. Roanoke St.
Virginia Tech
Blacksburg, VA 24061-0543 USA

fax: (540)231-3678

<http://www.vt.edu:10021/centers/survey/index.html>

=====
Never play leapfrog with a unicorn
=====

>From Joe_Catania@quickmail.ucsf.edu Thu Apr 16 11:40:42 1998
Received: from itsb.ucsf.edu (itsb.ucsf.EDU [128.218.80.88])
by usc.edu (8.8.8/8.8.8/usc) with SMTP
id LAA19675 for <aapornet@usc.edu>; Thu, 16 Apr 1998 11:40:34 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from quickmail.ucsf.edu (quickmail.ucsf.EDU [128.218.80.27]) by
itsb.ucsf.edu (8.6.8/8.6.6) with SMTP id LAA21125 for <aapornet@usc.edu>;
Thu, 16 Apr 1998 11:24:45 -0700
Message-ID: <n1319395516.34624@quickmail.ucsf.edu>
Date: 16 Apr 1998 11:35:28 +0100
From: "Joe Catania" <Joe_Catania@quickmail.ucsf.edu>
Subject: Re: Blood Samples
To: aapornet@usc.edu
X-Mailer: Mail*Link SMTP-QM 4.1.0
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="ISO-8859-1"; Name="Message Body"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

RE>Blood Samples

=

4/16/98

By and large my advice is do it in the home and do not have interviewers =
do it unless they have extensive training which is expensive to build to; =
it hurts like hell to have inexperienced people do it and it will drive = up
your refusal rate; refusal rates for bloods are high anyway even with = good
people and incentives. What are you measuring? Urine, hair, and = oral
secretion methods are useful for lots of stuff these days and ar