Providing Effective Feedback to
Maintain Quality and Consistency in
a Qualitative Interviewing Team



Casey Langer Tesfaye, Jessie Engel, Daniela Glusberg AAPOR 2022, Chicago

What and why?

- Challenge:
 - Maintaining an interview team that collects consistent and comparable data throughout the data collection period
 - 2. Providing effective, usable feedback for quality control



What and why?

- Goal: Create a method that is
 - Simple and streamlined
 - Meaningful and worthwhile



How?

- Strategy:
 - 1. Spreadsheet
 - 2. Annotated transcripts
 - 3. Debriefing sessions



Spreadsheet How to effectively rate interviewer quality?

- Response format:
 - Multiple choice responses are not meaningful or helpful
 - Open ended fields allow the rater to point out specific examples



 Separate the transcript QA-QC process from the interviewer feedback process.



- Are interviewers asking the question in the same way?
 - Following the script
 - Handling of visual displays or demonstrations
 - Covered all questions



- Are interviewers asking the question in the same way?
 - Probing
 - Met question intents
 - Probed sufficiently
 - Avoided leading probes
 - Avoided paraphrasing or summarizing



- Clarifications
 - When questions are misunderstood
 - Out of order responses
 - Contradictory statements
 - Slang or less-well-known terms
 - Counseling or informing



- Conversational style
 - Pausing long enough for R to respond fully
 - Avoiding interruptions or cross-talk
 - Rating comfort and rapport
 - Professionalism vs peer-to-peer style
 - Familiarity with the guide, transitions
- Catch-all question
 - Handling technical difficulties



Spreadsheet Key dimensions for moderator feedback

- Evaluating Focus Group moderation
 - Ensured that all participated and no one dominated
 - Speaker identification



Transcripts What is helpful in an annotated transcript?

- Point out the positive and the negative
- Focus on things that could be useful in future interviews, not on the specific interaction
- Consider interview duration



Debriefing What makes an effective debriefing session?

- Allow interviewers a chance to share anything unusual with the team
- Focus on interviewing and not on content
- Identify places where the guide or protocol may not work well



Timing How often should feedback be given?

- If feedback mechanism is too burdensome, backlogs may develop, and the system will not function for "early warnings"
- More important earlier in the field period
 - E.g. ...
 - Until field approval (usually 1-2)
 - After the first 1-2, After 5-7, After 10-15
- Listen to the recording when possible



Thank you for listening!

- Questions?
 - casey@researchsupportservices.com
 - jessie@researchsupportservices.com
 - daniela@researchsupportservices.com



Abstract

• Over the course of a number of thematically related qualitive in-depth interview studies, one project team has developed and implemented various methods for providing ongoing feedback to the interview team throughout data collection, with a goal of evaluating quality and maintaining consistency between interviewers. These methods include: evaluating a subset of interviews from each interviewer at prescribed intervals, evaluating interviewer quality along a set of key dimensions, providing interviewers with annotated transcripts when needed, and having regular debriefing sessions that focus on interview methods rather than interview findings. In this presentation, we will discuss the domains that have been most useful for providing effective feedback (such as "Covered all questions" "met question intents" "probed sufficiently" and "avoided leading probes"), the response format that has been most useful for each of the dimensions (not closed-ended), the type of inline feedback most effective in annotated transcripts, and a guide for productive discussion during debriefing meetings.