Survey Fatigue and Declining Response Rates: Evidence from the 2020 Census and Household Surveys

> Jonathan Eggleston U.S. Census Bureau AAPOR 2022

Any opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the author and do not reflect the views of the U.S. Census Bureau. The U.S. Census Bureau reviewed this data product for unauthorized disclosure of confidential information and approved the disclosure avoidance practices applied to this release. CBDRB-FY22-116



Introduction

- Declining unit response rates \rightarrow multiple proposed hypotheses
- One hypothesis: "Survey Fatigue"
 - Idea: People are now more likely to receive multiple survey requests, which leads them to be less likely to respond to additional surveys
 - Leeper (2019): Formalizes this with a tragedy of the commons model (i.e. survey respondents are like fish in the ocean)



Figure 1 from Leeper (2019). Surveys recorded in Roper Center Database, by year.





Literature Review

- Limited evidence for survey fatigue hypothesis
 - Usually impossible to know about prior survey participation of nonrespondents
- Papers finding no effect (for the most part)
 - McCarthy, Beckler, and Qualey (2006): USDA survey respondents
 - Sinibaldi and Örn Karlsson (2016): Length of rest period in Icelandic surveys
- Negative effect
 - Porter, Whitcomb, and Weitzer (2004): Experiment on college students
 - Baumgardner (2013): American Community Survey response rates decreased after 2010 Census
- Existing evidence limited by small number of studies + small sample size in some papers



My Contribution

- Research Question: Were households who were recently sampled for the American Community Survey (ACS) or Current Population Survey (CPS) less likely to self respond to the 2020 Census?
- Benefits of research design
 - Large sample size: 127 million households in 2020 Census. ACS samples 3.5 million households annually
 - Can obtain precise estimates, including for how estimates vary by demographics
 - Minimize effects of confounding factors: Selection into ACS and CPS sample random within a geographic area



Setup for Initial Graph

- Outcome: Whether a household (that is, an occupied housing unit) self responded to the 2020 Census
- Key explanatory variable: Month a household was sampled for the ACS
 - ACS household have three months to respond after being sampled
 - Variable denotes being sampled for ACS (including both ACS respondents and nonrespondents)
- Decennial and ACS use the U.S. Census Bureau's Master Address File (MAF) as a frame: Dataset linked directly with on another by MAFID



Figure 1: Self-Response Rates By Year and Month Sampled for ACS





Regression Model

- Concern prior graph: Probability of being sampled for the ACS varies by both the population size and predicted self-response rates of a local area
- Solution: Fixed effects model controlling for the differing probabilities of being sampled in the ACS that are based on geography
 - Dependent variable: 2020 self-response indicator
 - Explanatory variables:
 - ACS sample month indicators
 - Block fixed effects
 - Unit of observation: Household



Figure 2: Self Response Fixed Effects Estimates By Year and Month Sampled for ACS





CPS Analysis

- Concern prior graph: Is this a unique effect given similarities of ACS and the decennial census?
- Solution: Run analysis on CPS
 - CPS has no self response option, so sampled households are contacted immediately by Census employees. Opposed to decennial and ACS, which have an initial push for self response
 - Nevertheless, the CPS is also fielded by the U.S. Census Bureau, so has some similarities
- Note on CPS design: Household interviewed for 4 months in a row, have 8-month rest period, then interviewed for another 4 months



Figure 3: Self Response Fixed Effects Estimates By Year and Month Sampled for CPS





By Demographics and State-ACS Only

- Next, run fixed effects model separately by
 - State
 - Demographics
- Pool the October, November and December 2019 ACS panel indicators together in one parameter to improve precision for smaller states and demographic groups



Figure 4: Self Response Fixed Effects Estimates By State



For addresses in Virginia...

Addresses sampled in October, November, or December 2019 for the ACS had a self-response rate that was 12 percentage points lower, compared to addresses in Virginia not recently sampled for the ACS



Table 1: Self Response Fixed Effects Estimates By Demographics

Pending Disclosure Review.



Conclusion

- Being recently sampled for a Census household survey results in a lower self-response rate to the decennial census
- Key Question: Does this effect generalize to other non-governmental surveys? Depends on the mechanism:
 - If it is survey fatigue, then potential yes
 - If it is due to "survey confusion:" respondents not knowing the difference between 2020 Census and CPS/ACS or not looking at invitation letters carefully, then less likely
 - Stein et al. (2021): Only about half of respondents remembered the 2020 ACS mail materials differentiating between the ACS and the 2020 Census
- More research needed to understand why respondents would be less likely to respond to multiple survey requests from the same sponsor



My Contact Information

Jonathan Eggleston

Senior Economist

Survey Improvement Technical Lead

Survey and Economic Research Group Center for Economic Studies U.S. Census Bureau

Office: 301.763.2357 jonathan.s.eggleston@census.gov



Appendix



Regression Model



- Y_{ib} : =1 if household *i* in block *b* self responds to the 2020 Census
- S_{ibtm} : = 1 if household was sampled for the ACS in year t and month m
- α_b :Block fixed effects



Additional Technical Details of Sample

- Housing unit **occupied** in 2020 Census
- MAFID is a housing unit on 2020 MAF and in the enumeration universe
 - This excludes ACS sampled addresses marked as deletes earlier in the 2010s.
- MAFID is on the 2020 enumeration MAF (so excludes MAFIDS added during 2020 collection)
- In type of enumeration area #1 (TEA 1-self-response areas)

